INDEX DONOVINE MATHEWS Case No. 72701

1

2	Case No. 72701	PAGE NO
3	Amended Information filed 01/09/17	421-422
4	Amended Jury List filed 01/12/17	424
5	Court's Exhibit 4 dated 01/11/17	1641-1643
6	Court's Exhibit 5 dated 01/11/17	1644-1685
7	Court's Exhibit 11 dated 01/12/17	1686
8	Criminal Complaint filed 01/28/16	1
9	Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg:: 05/31/16	137-165
10	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 10/03/16	
11	Defendant's Notice of Witness filed 10/17/16	205-206
12 13	Defense Opposition to State's Motion to Continue Date of Hrg: 10/21/16	228-234
14	District Court Minutes from 03/03/16 through 03/07/17	458-487
15	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Medical Records filed 03/30/16	123-126
16	Information filed 03/03/16	5-6
17	Instructions to the Jury filed 01/12/17	425-447
18	Judgment of Conviction filed 03/10/17	449-450
19	Jury List filed 01/10/17	423
20	Justice Court Minutes from 01/28/16 through 02/16/16	2-4
21	Notice of Appeal filed 03/23/17	451-454
22	Notice of Appeal filed 03/30/17	455-457
2324	Notice of Expert Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 03/24/16	99-122
25	Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit	
26	the Testimony of Defendant's Expert Dr. Lindsey "Dutch" Johnson or in the Alternative a Request for an Evidentiary Hearing	020.270
27	Date of Hrg: 01/10/17	
28		

1 2	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend the Criminal Information to Conform to the Testimony at the Preliminary Hearing filed 01/09/17	413-420
3	Notice of Motion and Motion to Continue Trial Based On Outstanding Expert Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/20/16	211-225
5 6	Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of Defendant's Expert Dr. Lindsey "Dutch" Johnson and Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 01/10/17	361-368
7	Order for Discovery filed 10/26/16	
8	Order for Production of Inmate Donovine Mathews BAC # 1161064 filed 10/20/16	
10 11	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/18/16	207-210
12	Second Supplement to Defense's Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of Defendant's Expert And Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing filed 01/12/17	387-408
13	State's Exhibits 46 dated 01/11/17	1623-1624
14	State's Exhibit 63 dated 01/11/17	1621-1622
15	State's Exhibit 65 dated 01/11/17	1625-1626
16	State's Exhibit 66 dated 01/11/17	1627-1628
17	State's Exhibit 77 dated 01/11/17	1629-1630
18	State's Exhibit 78 dated 01/11/17	1631-1632
19	State's Exhibit 79 dated 01/11/17	1633-1634
20	State's Exhibit 80 dated 01/11/17	1635-1636
21	State's Exhibit 81 dated 01/11/17	1637-1638
22	State's Exhibit 82 dated 01/11/17	1639-1640
23 24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 06/26/16	166-200
25	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 05/16/16	127-136
26 27	Supplement to Defense's Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of the Defendant's Expert and Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing	
28	Date of Hrg: 01/10/17	369-386

1	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 12/20/16
2	Verdict filed 01/13/17448
3	
5	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
6 7	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 1 Date of Hrg: 01/09/17
8	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 2 Date of Hrg: 01/10/17
10	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 3 Date of Hrg: 01/11/17
11	Date of Hrg: 01/11/171074-1378
12	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 4
13	Date of Hrg: 01/12/17
14	Recorder's Transcript
15	Jury Trial Day 5 Date of Hrg: 01/13/171606-1612
16	Recorder's Transcript Arraignment Continued
17	Date of Hrg: 03/08/16
	Recorder's Transcript
18	Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 03/15/16492-494
19	Recorder's Transcript
20	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 05/24/16499-502
21	
22	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
23	Date of Hrg: 10/18/16
24	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
25	Date of Hrg: 01/03/17
26	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion for Discovery
	Date of Hrg: 05/31/16
27	
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion for Discovery
2	Date of Hrg: 06/30/16
3	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion for Discovery
4	Date of Hrg: 07/26/16
5	Recorder's Transcript Initial Arraignment
6	Date of Hrg: 03/03/16
7	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
8	Date of Hrg: 03/07/17
9	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Continue Trial Based on
10	Outstanding Expert Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/20/16
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	State's Motion to Continue Trial Based on
13	Date of Hrg: 10/21/16
14	Recorder's Transcript Status Check
15	Date of Hrg: 03/31/16
16	Reporter's Transcript
17	Preliminary hearing Date of Hrg: 03/01/16
18	Date of Fig. 05/01/10
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

25

///

MS. CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:15 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

KRISTINE SANTI Court Recorder

Electronically Filed 05/12/2017 12:45:25 PM

Alun J. Chum	
CLERK OF THE COURT	

RTRAN 2 3 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C-16-313047-1 7 Plaintiff, DEPT. XII VS. 9 DONOVINE MATHEWS, 10 Defendant. 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 12 TUESDAY, MAY 31, 2016 13 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: 15 DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR DISCOVERY 16 17 18 APPEARANCES: ELIZABETH J. ANDERLIK, ESQ. For the Plaintiff: 19

Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: KELLI M. DEVANEY, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defender

23

24

20

21

22

RECORDED BY: DEBRA WINN, COURT RECORDER 25

TUESDAY, MAY 31, 2016, 8:58 A.M.

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

III

///

| |//

23

24

25 ///

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Mathews, C313047. He's present. He's in custody.

MS. DEVANEY: Thank you, Your Honor. This matter was set today for defense discovery motion; however, after discussions between the parties on this, they are requesting a two-week continuance on the matter.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ANDERLIK: Your Honor, I actually – I'm sorry. I spoke with Mr. Hamner this morning. He's requesting, in his words, several weeks to a month. So if we could have a bit longer than the two weeks.

THE COURT: Several weeks to what?

MS. ANDERLIK: A month, up to 30 days.

MS. DEVANEY: And I do have word -

THE COURT: For what?

MS. DEVANEY: - from Ms. Clark that she does not mind if the State -

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. DEVANEY: - is requesting additional time.

THE COURT: Okay, 30 days.

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

June 30, 8:30.

MS. ANDERLIK: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Proceedings concluded at 8:59 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

KRISTINE SANTI

Court Recorder

Hum THE COURT	
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
3047-1	
1047-1	
RT JUDGE	
•	
NER, ESQ. ley	
·	
ər	

1	THURSDAY, JUNE 30, 2016, 9:17 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	THE COURT: State versus Mathews, C313047, present in custody.
4	MR. HAMNER: Good morning, Your Honor
5	THE COURT: Good morning.
6	MR. HAMNER: Christopher Hamner for the State.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So it's your discovery motion.
8	MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, the State is going to be requesting a
9	continuance. I've been in the middle of a two-week trial. I just haven't had
10	opportunity to do it. If the Defense doesn't have any opposition -
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. HAMNER: - we were going to ask to possibly set it to the end of
13	July, if that's possible.
14	THE COURT: That's fine.
15	MR. HAMNER: His trial is not until August 16 th .
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MS. CLARK: That's correct, Your Honor.
18	MR. HAMNER: Thank you so much.
19	THE CLERK: July 26, 8:30.
20	MS. CLARK: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	
22	H

an

THE COURT: You're welcome.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:18 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Knotine Santi

KRISTINE SANTI Court Recorder

		Alun & Elmin
1	RTRAN	CLERK OF THE COURT
2		
3		
4	DISTRICT	COURT
5	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C-16-313047-1
7	Plaintiff,	DEPT. XII
8	vs.	
9	DONOVINE MATHEWS,	
10	Defendant.)	
11		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE	•
13	TUESDAY, JU	LY 26, 2016
14	RECORDER'S TR	
15	DEFENDANT'S MOTIC	JN FOR DISCOVERY
16		
17	APPEARANCES:	MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ.
18	For the Plaintiff:	Chief Deputy District Attorney
19		CHRISTOPER S. HAMNER, ESQ.
20		Deputy District Attorney
21	For the Defendant:	KRISTY HOLIDAY, ESQ.
22		Deputy Public Defender
23		
24		
25	RECORDED BY: KRISTINE SANTI, COUR	T RECORDER
- 1	11	

TUESDAY, JULY 26, 2016, 10:04 A.M.

THE COURT: State of Nevada versus Mathews, C313047, present and in custody. Okay. It's on for the Defendant's Motion for Discovery, correct?

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me get my notes. Okay As to the first one, any statements made by the Defendant or any other person in the case, is granted pursuant to NRS 174.235, as well as Number 2.

Obviously, any crime scene analysis reports is granted, as is required by the Nevada Revised Statute.

It looks – Number Four is access to and preservation of all evidence. What is it you want to have access to?

MS. HOLIDAY: We'd like access to anything that the State might have that we don't have. I know that's a really broad request, Your Honor. In this case I don't think that there's something we don't have that the State has.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: But we're asking just in case.

THE COURT: So at this time I'll just deny it without prejudice. If there's something you believe that you don't have that the State has and you're entitled to it, you can bring it back.

Number 5, I believe that this is too broad. Do you want to narrow your request? I get all nervous about what this means, because it appears to encompass a whole lot of things. I mean electronic messages could even be, you know, text messages, emails.

MS. HOLIDAY: We could -

4 5

THE COURT: And I think you need to narrow it.

MS. HOLIDAY: We could narrow it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I mean, obviously, if there's a wiretap you'd be entitled to that, but it doesn't appear as though there's that in this, right?

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: We can -

THE COURT: I think it's entirely too broad, but, again, if you have something that's more narrow that meets this definition I would consider it.

Number 6 is, I guess, just the definition of *Brady* material. It's granted.

Number 7, were there any warrants issued in this case?

MR. HAMNER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Number 8, they're entitled to the reports regarding any chain of custody as to evidence.

Number 9, let me see, sometimes I think these requests are so broad I don't know how I can grant them, you know? Anything they use to prepare a witness for a preliminary hearing. Is there anything specific? I mean –

MS. HOLIDAY: Specifically, in this case, Your Honor, we've got an expert that made some statements, a burn expert that made some statements to officers, as well as a doctor from Sunrise Hospital that never treated the victim or examined the victim, and the expert didn't treat or examine the victim either. So we would want access to anything —

THE COURT: Oh, you want to know what they had in order to arrive at

25

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

MS. JOBE: They're the photographs that the Defense has, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: And if that's it, then that's fine. We just want to make sure that we've asked for anything that they may have used.

THE COURT: Was it anything other than photographs and medical

MS. JOBE: Not that the State is aware of.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's granted to the extent it's photographs and

Number 10 is granted to the extent it's required by 174.234,

Number 11, again, I'm not sure how - any records that Metro has, I just think it's too broad, so I'm going to deny it, if you want to get more

Number 12, were there any police informants?

MS. JOBE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Number 13 - okay, I guess, 12 and 13, there weren't any. They're not applicable to this case.

I'm assuming you have all the photographs. Was there any video? MS. HOLIDAY: I don't - actually, Your Honor, I don't believe we do. In the probation -

THE COURT: Because clearly there's photographs of the child.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct. We do have some photographs. In the

2

3

4

5

6

7

probation revocation hearing in his other case the detective testified that there were some photographs taken specifically of inside the cupboards in the apartment. We don't have those yet.

THE COURT: Why would they take pictures inside the cupboard?

MS. HOLIDAY: They were looking for coffee in this case.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Okay, okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. You want the pictures of the inside of the cupboard? Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: We want any pictures that they may have taken, but, specifically, we know we don't have those ones.

THE COURT: Okay. So any video, audio or photographs taken can be turned over.

15, was there anything other than what's statutorily required?

MS. JOBE: No, Your Honor.

MR. HAMNER: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Number 16, it's granted as to the extent it's required by statute.

Number 17, this is denied.

Number 18, it's granted to the extent of prior felonies or crimes of dishonesty.

Number 19 is denied. Because I think Number 19 says if we didn't ask for it previously we're asking for it now, and I'm not sure there's anything you didn't ask for, so.

Number 20, it's my understanding that they went to the hospital

24

25

and that there were not any - it wasn't a 9-1-1, 3-1-1 situation - that they came in contact with the police after they took the child to the hospital, MS. HOLIDAY: Actually, Your Honor, I thought that maybe a nurse from the hospital had called 9-1-1 to get Metro detectives to respond. MR. HAMNER: I believe that's correct. MS. HOLIDAY: But I could be wrong. MR. HAMNER: I think that's correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay, then it's granted. Obviously, I'll give you the medical

MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Were you seeking every medical record for this child. because I think you're only entitled to the medical records relevant to this

MS. HOLIDAY: That's fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Were there any – was CPS –

THE COURT: Okay. And so there's a file.

THE COURT: So what I generally do is I just order it, and then they can give it to the Court and then I review it in camera.

MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. There was an investigation and ongoing situation with the mother of the child because she now has a separate child from the Defendant. So she has a DFS worker and all of that.

1 |

THE COURT: Okay. So the State can prepare the order.

MS. JOBE: And it will be just limited to this case, correct, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. And then – they're really good. They'll bring them to chambers sealed, and then if I deem them appropriate they'll be turned over, or if they have *Brady* material.

MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And Number 23, it sounds like we've already discussed this. You want anything the expert relied upon in forming his or her opinion?

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. It was previously granted.

Again, I think Number 24 is too broad; therefore, it's denied.

MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, specifically, if I may, for the second part of Number 24, electronic communications between Child Protective Services and the State, we think that CPS and the State may be communicating back and forth as to the Defendant's communication with the child's mother.

Specifically, CPS in the past has warned her that she shouldn't be speaking to him, even via the jail calls. And if she is, that they're threatening, you know, action against her rights as a mother. And they keep bringing this up time and time again, which is making the mother feel that maybe they're getting information about jail calls from the State or from somebody else. So I would just be curious as to what kind of information CPS and the State are passing back and forth regarding this case.

MS. JOBE: A, the State represents the Department of Family Services.

THE COURT: Right. That's -

MS. JOBE: So there's that issue.

7-8

THE COURT: Right.

MS. JOBE: Secondly, the mother's proven herself untrustworthy and not protective by showing up on behalf of the Defendant and taking the stand on his behalf at the preliminary hearing, while her permanency worker, Nancy Doyle, was sitting outside. So the State isn't providing any information or jail calls. Nancy Doyle just happens to be smart and intuitive when it comes to unprotective mothers.

MS. HOLIDAY: And, Your Honor, you can see where the mother feels like -

THE COURT: Well, she's not on trial here.

MS. HOLIDAY: She's not on trial, but she feels like she's on trial.

THE COURT: I suggest she does what they tell her to do, if she wants to keep her kids.

MS. HOLIDAY: And one of the things she's concerned about them telling her to do is not to testify on his behalf; whereas, Your Honor knows, as a witness she's –

THE COURT: They can't tell her that.

MS. HOLIDAY: Then that's what I'm asking for in this case, because I'm wondering if there's -

THE COURT: They can't tell her not to come in and testify.

MS. HOLIDAY: I don't think there's specific -

THE COURT: That's not — I mean that's not their job to say you can't testify. That makes no sense.

Because the State's going to subpoen her, correct?

MR. HAMNER: That's correct.

25

2

3

4

5

THE COURT: So, basically, you're saying that her CPS worker is telling her to avoid a subpoena?

MS. HOLIDAY: No, Your Honor. That's not what I'm saying. And, again, the reason I want to see the communications is because I -

THE COURT: Are you trying to say they're trying to tell her how to testify?

MS. HOLIDAY: I'm trying to say, I would like to get some -

THE COURT: Because that's -

MS. HOLIDAY: - information to see if that's a possibility. I would certainly never accuse the State or CPS of something like that, but I'm getting information that she might be concerned about that. And that's why I would just like to see the communications. I would -

THE COURT: Between whom?

MS. HOLIDAY: Between the State and CPS, regarding this case in particular.

THE COURT: Well, I guess, anything that would be in the CPS file. Are you talking about these district attorneys?

MS. HOLIDAY: Any representatives from the State.

THE COURT: I mean because they represent the Department of Family Services, right? So there's another DA involved in this case that's handling it down in Family Court. I'm not sure you're entitled to that, unless it's part of the CPS file. I mean are you asking me to tell the DA that's in charge of it in Family Court to turn over his or her file?

MS. HOLIDAY: I would ask for communications between that DA and CPS workers that relate to this case.

2 .

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 MR. HAMNER: Well, it's -

MS. JOBE: But, yeah, and that's -

THE COURT: That DA and that -

MS. JOBE: They're privileged.

THE COURT: This seems to be the mother's issue.

MS. JOBE: Right.

THE COURT: I mean she's not the Defendant here. I mean she should probably just worry about her — you know she should probably just worry about her kids at this point. But if there's any evidence that someone's trying to dissuade her from being a witness or sway or persuade her how to testify, that would be very concerning to me. Because it sounds like that's kind of the allegation you're making —

MS. HOLIDAY: I don't want to make that allegation, Your Honor. I would just like –

THE COURT: - on her behalf.

MS. HOLIDAY: I'm concerned that she's concerned, and so I'd like to see if there's any basis to make that allegation. That's why I'd like to see the communication. I'd like to see if there's any basis for that allegation.

THE COURT: I'm not sure -

MS. JOBE: Can we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.

[Bench conference transcribed as follows:]

THE COURT: See, I get worried because I'm -

MS. JOBE: Any communication is attorney/client privilege -

THE COURT: Right.

MS. JOBE: - between the DFS attorney, for the attorneys in Child Welfare -

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. JOBE: - and the CPS worker.

THE COURT: Plus you got -

MS. JOBE: And just -

THE COURT: I'm thinking of all the levels of confidentiality -

MS. JOBE: Right.

THE COURT: - attorney/client. Yeah.

MS. JOBE: Not to mention that -

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. JOBE: - Ms. Jasmine Cathcart, the mother, has lied to CPS workers faces and lied about things that are independently verified in case notes. So I appreciate she wants to take her at her word, but this is ridiculous.

MS. HOLIDAY: I don't want to take her at her word. I want to be able to verify the information for myself. That's why I'm asking for the information.

MS. JOBE: She's getting a CPS worker.

THE COURT: Okay, but I mean you can't -

MS. JOBE: She's not our client.

THE COURT: Yeah. You can't have attorney/client – I mean there's – it's protected by law. I mean I can't even give you the CPS records, unless I review them and deem that they're *Brady* material. If I see anything in there that's *Brady* material or – I mean they – I read these CPS records. They document everything. If they have a phone conversation it's documented. So I don't know if I've ever gotten the emails in the files. I can't remember. But

-10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

you're for sure not entitled to any communication electronically because that could be protected.

MS. HOLIDAY: Would Your Honor consider reviewing that kind of information in camera, as you would the CPS records, to see if -

THE COURT: Oh, there's no -

MS. HOLIDAY: - there's Brady material?

THE COURT: You mean ask the DA to turn their file over to me? No.

MS. JOBE: Ask DFS to waive their privilege?

THE COURT: Yeah. First of all, I think it's an extremely serious allegation to make that there's a representative of the State of Nevada that is telling her, because this is what it sounded like to me and you can correct me if I'm wrong, that they have her children holding over — they have the threat of —

It's two kids now?

MS. HOLIDAY: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: — of two children being taken away from her unless she testifies the way the State wants her to, either doesn't testify, because you said they don't want her to testify on his behalf, or the State's told me she comes in and gives favorable testimony for him. So that's outrageous. I mean you're — that's accusing someone of committing a crime, a representative of the State. I mean that's dissuading a witness.

MS. HOLIDAY: I agree, Your Honor. I agree.

MS. JOBE: The only thing -

THE COURT: It's a crime.

MS. JOBE: Yeah.

THE COURT: So, no, I'm not going to ask someone to turn over

THE COURT: They cannot take her kids away from her because she comes in and tells the truth.

MS. HOLIDAY: I agree.

MS. JOBE: It's about whether or not she's protective. That's their issue.

MS HOLIDAY: She thinks it's about whether or not she's supportive of him, and if she testifies honestly she feels that — I mean she feels like they already made threats to her based on her preliminary hearing testimony because she told the truth and she was supportive of him, and she's worried. After that CPS responded very negatively to that

MS. JOBE: Because she's not protective of the kids. That's the bottom line. That's a different issue than whether or not why she testifies to is the truth or not. They assess her protective capacity and, again, not Kristy Clark's client.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. HOLIDAY: And I want to make it clear. I respect CPS. I respect the CPS workers. I certainly respect the District Attorney's Office. I would never just take her word that that's what they're saying.

THE COURT: Well, that's kind of what you're telling me.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's why I -

THE COURT: You're accusing the District Attorney's Office of, you know, conspiring to commit a crime with the DCFS people –

MS. HOLIDAY: And I -

THE COURT: - based on what she says.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right. I certainly wouldn't accuse them of that, but when she gives me that information I want to find out more about it. That's all

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOLIDAY: - I want to find out more about it. I want to find out.

THE COURT: Call up the DCFS workers and talk to them.

MR. HAMNER: Right.

THE COURT: Call up the District Attorney that's on the -

MS. JOBE: It will - right.

THE COURT: – that side. There's nothing that prevents you from doing that.

MS. JOBE: Or any – like you said – any conversations they've had with her will be documented in the UNITY notes.

THE COURT: Right. So when I get – they really do document it good, so if there's any concern about that. And you know if they have concerns about mom testifying and doing things like that, then, yeah, they'll be documented in the notes and, yeah, they do record the phone calls. She knows that, right?

MS. HOLIDAY: Yeah, of course. They both know that.

THE COURT: So if she's been told not to communicate with him -

MS. HOLIDAY: And -

THE COURT: - the phone calls are recorded.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right. And our point is just she's – they're obviously getting information from somewhere about phone calls.

THE COURT: Well, sure they are. Oh, okay. So she's disobeying what they're telling her to do.

MS. JOBE: The DAs down there have the power to subpoena the jail records and the jail calls.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But you'll prepare the CPS order?

24

25

MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay... MS. JOBE: And are you granting the State's request for reciprocal discovery? THE COURT: Yes. MS. JOBE: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. HOLIDAY: And, Your Honor, in this case the trial date is currently set, I believe, for August 16th. THE COURT: Sure. MS. HOLIDAY: We would be requesting a defense continuance at this time. We have further investigation that we need to do. We spoke to the State about it. I don't think they have an objection at this time. We would ask for a month or two, I think October, if the Court's available in October. That was the time that would work for both us and the State. THE COURT: Sure. Any -MR. HAMNER: We don't have any objection and October does work for us. I think we even threw out a possible date of October 24th. I don't know if that's a criminal stack in this court - in this department but -THE COURT: We have that date. So the motion to continue is granted. The trial date is vacated. It will be reset. THE CLERK: Calendar Call, October 18th, 8:30; Jury Trial, October 25.

Tuesday, 1:30.

MR. LAURENT: Your Honor, can I direct your attention to page 11 -MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. LAURENT: - Ranzie?

THE COURT: Thank you.

[Proceedings concluded at 10:23 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

KRISTINE SANTI Court Recorder

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT 2 DISTRICT COURT 3 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C313047 6 Plaintiff, DEPT. NO. XII VS. 7 DONOVINE MATHEWS, 9 Defendant. 10 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 11 12 TUESDAY, OCTOBER 18, 2016 13 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF CALENDAR CALL 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 APPEARANCES: 21 CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER For the State: **Deputy District Attorney** 22 KRISTY S. HOLIDAY For the Defendant: 23 CARLIL KIERNY Deputies Public Defender 24 25 RECORDED BY: KRISTINE CORNELIUS, COURT RECORDER

MS. LAVELL: It would appear to be. I mean, I guess it -- the State believes it's a two-to-three-day case. The defense thinks it's a little bit longer. I think we should probably be able to get it done in four days.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Oh, Mr. Cottner, you're still here too. So you think it's overflow eligible as well, page 12? Why won't you give me a commitment?

MR. COTTNER: Most likely --

THE COURT: Make a commitment.

MR. COTTNER: Most likely not. I mean, I --

[Proceeding trailed until 9:36 a.m.]

THE COURT: Probably into next year.

MS. HOLIDAY: Into January?

THE COURT: No, like, March-April.

MS. HOLIDAY: March or April? Okay. We'll stick with next week, thank you, Your Honor.

MR. HAMNER: Just --

THE COURT: Okay, so --

MR. HAMNER: Just one other note and it has nothing to do with scheduling. The defense has noticed an expert and provided us a series of photographs that have some kind of mathematical formulas where they appear to have done kind of reconstructions, a sense of kind of what happened, supposedly happened to this little kid who burned themselves, numbers, figures, timing, there's, like, snapshots of children running. But there's been no report that's been prepared.

And so the State's requesting is -- I asked defense counsel, Is there

thing, Your Honor, is I don't think he would be entitled --

THE COURT: Okay.

24

25

MS. HOLIDAY: -- to any notes, even if there are notes. The third thing is I think the State has noticed at least three experts. We have not been provided any reports or notes from those experts.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: So I think at the very least, if their expert has notes, we would want those too if we have to give our expert's notes.

MR. HAMNER: And I think one of the distinctions at least is this, their expert is conducting what appear to be simulations or re-creations of an accident where they're suggesting there's a certain timeframe in which a two-year-old child runs from point A to point B because it shows you snapshots of a child running to some location, an undisclosed location.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: And it shows, you know, point-two and then point-seven. It looks as if it's measuring the number of seconds it takes for this child to go from kind of point A to point B. I don't know how they came up with any of that.

THE COURT: A hypothetical child?

MR. HAMNER: A hypothetical -- and I asked that, I said, Is this our kid? This isn't our kid. It's not our child. This doesn't appear to be the same location where this actually happened. So there's really no notes to where this was taking place, who was used, how these formulas were calculated. Normally you'd expect that to be in a report. And the difference is is that our experts are --

THE COURT: It sounds like a hypothetical child might be irrelevant.

MR. HAMNER: And that's -- that's all -- I mean, if we had more to go on,

Č

1,1

yeah. I think that would be our concern. So we've been waiting to see if there's been any more details to kind of substantiate as to how they came up with these conclusions.

And with respect to our experts, the two experts in question are medical doctors that examined, either examined the child or examined photographs of the burns which would explain why there wouldn't be a report per se because they were just offering their opinion as to what they saw when they examined it. And they have the medical records. We've already provided those.

So it's really kind of apples and oranges in terms of our experts because one is re-creating a hypothetical scenario, according to the defendant; and the other two are treating physicians that examined a child or examined medical reports and photographs of a child who had second degree burns.

THE COURT: Okay. So no other reports except the medical records and medical reports. Is your expert going to try to do some kind re-creation/simulation?

MS. KIERNY: You mean an in-court demonstration? Is that what you're talking about or --

THE COURT: I didn't say an in-court, I said some type of simulation or re-creation, like a reconstruction of -- I don't know -- of what -- of the allegations?

MS. KIERNY: It's correct, that he did run some analysis and he did do some calculations regarding certain hypotheticals. Ultimately, he could have completed an omnibus report.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KIERNY: However, it would have required multiple hours of work, and we did not have the budget for it, unfortunately

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KIERNY: So what we asked him to do --

THE COURT: So your expert didn't prepare a report?

MS. KIERNY: -- is just talk to us about it. And so if --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KIERNY: -- Mr. Hamner wants to call him, that's fine. We have additional hours available we can, you know, they can bill us. I would love for him to talk to our expert about the experiments that they're -- about the hypotheticals that he, you know --

THE COURT: Okay. I would suggest to you you turn over anything you think is required to be turned over by law.

MS. KIERNY: We have turned over everything we have.

THE COURT: Or the run the risk of it being excluded.

MS. KIERNY: We have turned over everything that we've been provided.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: Well --

THE COURT: Okay. I don't know if your expert's going to try to do some kind of, I mean, I don't know -- I've never, ever had a -- a reconstruction, well, no, I guess I have, but you always have reports, diagrams, and such. So you really run a risk -- I don't know what it is, so you have to make a decision of -- of what you think you need to turn over. So I'm going to tell both sides, turn over what the statute requires you to turn over.

MR. HAMNER: And, Your Honor, I didn't want to jump the gun in terms

that needs to be filed or --

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Electronically Filed 05/12/2017 12:51:10 PM

1	RTRAN	Dun D. Burn	
2		CLERK OF THE COURT	
3			
	DIOTRICT COLUMN		
4	DISTRICT COURT		
5	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C-16-313047-1	
7	Plaintiff,)	DEPT. XII	
8	vs.		
9	DONOVINE MATHEWS,		
10	Defendant.)		
11			
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
13	THURSDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2016		
14			
15	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:		
16	STATE'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL BASED ON OUTSTANDING EXPERT DISCOVERY		
17			
18	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff:	CHRISTOPER S. HAMNER, ESQ.	
19	TOT END TRANSMIT	Deputy District Attorney	
20		MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ.	
21		Chief Deputy District Attorney	
22	For the Defendant:	KRISTY HOLIDAY, ESQ.	
23	, or the borondame	Deputy Public Defender	
24			
25	RECORDED BY: DEBRA WINN, COURT RECORDER		

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2016, 8:41 A.M.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, I'm also ready on page 19; however, I think the prosecutor is not here anymore. Mr. Hamner was here. It doesn't look like he's here.

THE COURT: Okay...

[Proceedings trailed and recalled at 8:59 a.m.]

THE COURT: State versus Mathews, C313047.

Mr. Mathews is not here today?

MS. HOLIDAY: No, Your Honor. I guess the State did not do an order for transport this morning.

MR. HAMNER: Well -

MS. JOBE: And I apologize, Your Honor.

Sorry, Mr. Hamner.

THE COURT: I think we - Pam told me - I mean this motion was put on yesterday, right?

MS. JOBE: I had thought he'd been remanded to CCDC.

THE COURT: Oh.

MS. JOBE: Because that's what they usually do.

MR. HAMNER: Right.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JOBE: I didn't realize he'd gone all the way back to prison.

THE COURT: Okay, all right.

MS. HOLIDAY: And, Judge, obviously, I would ask to continue this hearing for a couple of reasons, first and most importantly, because my client

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

. 2**2**

23

2425

isn't here. I think this is a significant proceeding that he should be present for. In fact, it was very important to Mr. Mathews that the trial not be continued. It's also important to us but especially important to Mr. Mathews.

THE COURT: I'm assuming you don't want to go forward without your expert.

MS. HOLIDAY: No. No, Your Honor. We don't want to -

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: - go forward without the expert.

THE COURT: Well, then I'm sure that it's important for him to - because I figured there was going to be something that expert should've turned over and didn't turn over.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, Your Honor, I think we would dispute that.

THE COURT: I mean you can't do calculations. You can't take all these photos. I mean this is a – he's a biomechanic, correct?

MS. HOLIDAY: Biomechanical Injury Expert -

THE COURT: Right.

MS. HOLIDAY: - that's correct.

THE COURT: And so they try to reconstruct, basically, what happened.

MS. HOLIDAY: What could've happened.

THE COURT: I'm not sure I've ever had a biomechanic that can come in and testify — I mean I just — if you're going to do things like that, there's going to be something, and we know that there are clearly photos because they were attached to the motion, right?

MR. HAMNER: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct.

THE COURT: What else is there?

MR. HAMNER: There -

THE COURT: And can the State not get ready, I guess, is the next question.

MR. HAMNER: Yeah.

MS. JOBE: Your Honor, I spoke to the defense expert briefly after Calendar Call, based on the conversations that were had in court.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. JOBE: And he indicated to me that he did approximately 20 videos trying to recreate the scenario that fits the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department video reenactment with the Defendant trying to explain how this child's hands got burned. So he would watch that and review that. He'd do a video of his experiment, I guess we shall say, and then he'd review that and compare it to the Metro video and make modifications and changes to his reenactment videos that he was recreating based on whether or not it fit the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department reenactment video.

We don't have any of those 20 — we don't have one of those 20 videos of all the things he did, of what worked, what didn't work. We also don't have the measurements and the photographs taken by the investigator of the Public Defender's Office that were provided to the defense expert by which he used to determine what model of a cup to use and how to make these simulations that are —

THE COURT: Well, what's the stuff that was attached to the motion then?

.

MS. JOBE: Those were the photographs that the defense expert did.

And he told me he took those still frames from videos.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JOBE: And so, but we don't know which video. We don't know which videos he chose to ignore. We have no basis to cross-examine him on the veracity, I guess, or the weight of his testimony to – in reconstructing what worked and –

THE COURT: So he created videos and photos at least.

MR. HAMNER: Right.

MS. JOBE: He created videos and he created photos from those videos, and he relied on information from the Public Defender's Office that only they have; that has not been provided to the State.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: And, additionally, Your Honor, he also indicated to Ms. Jobe when she spoke to him that he actually had [indiscernible] had a series of notes. And he also indicated that he has destroyed some of those notes in preparation, as he described it, of his trial presentation, presumably —

THE COURT: Yeah. It sounded like I destroyed my notes after I prepared my report that I'm going to testify about at the time of trial.

MR. HAMNER: Or -

THE COURT: I'm just saying that's what it sounded like to me.

MR. HAMNER: Or there's the possibility that there's a video PowerPoint presentation of some sort, another thing that hasn't been provided to the State prior to this.

So the bottom line is this. All of the - I've been doing this now for

12 years. I've never had a situation, and I've done civil practice too, where you have an Accident Reconstructionist Expert who – there's no report, none of the videos are turned over, none of the information, and all we have are representations that all he did was take a couple of photographs, which is absolutely not the case. And the only reason why the State learned of it was because we had to go out of our way and have a conversation with their expert.

I'm shocked that the Defense didn't know about the existence of the 20 videos, because Dr. Johnson was more than forthcoming about the existence of all this information. And the State can't even evaluate whether the methodology and the analysis he did would even be appropriate for a jury to hear until we have that information.

So, and it was what I had said at Calendar Call. I didn't want to jump the gun to try to strike this guy, because I hadn't seen all of his materials yet. And right now it's Thursday. We don't have any of the information yet. He's indicated that he's putting it on a jump drive because the information is so vast he can't just email it. He's sending it to defense counsel. It's Thursday. We still don't have it. He's from out of state. We have out-of-state witnesses as well that need to review this information and analyze it.

So the bottom line is: we are asking for a continuance at this point. I mean it's the only appropriate remedy, if they want to go forward with this theory of defense, because the other alternative is he's struck, and then no one testifies about it because they've violated this discovery obligation. But I think the more reasonable thing is: we continue it out, they provide us this material, we can then analyze it, see if it's even appropriate to file a motion to exclude

 him, or at least have our experts prepare and review his material so they can testify about it.

THE COURT: What do you think, Ms. Holiday?

MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, for the record, again, I would just object to going forward with this hearing at all without my client present. It's not his fault that —

THE COURT: I don't think your client needs to be here for a motion to continue, but go ahead.

MS. HOLIDAY: And I respect your decision, Your Honor, but I just want to make the record clear. You know, it wasn't his fault that he wasn't transported this morning. I would personally suggest that we continue the motion for maybe tomorrow morning, try to get an order to transport. The second reason I would object to this hearing even going forward this morning is because I didn't receive a copy of the State's motion until right when I was coming into court this morning. The e-file email came in around midnight with a copy of the motion.

THE COURT: You guys didn't send it over to her when you sent it to chambers?

MS JOBE: I specifically asked our secretary to send it to her. She confirmed she had. Ms. Clark was aware when I had a telephone conversation with her that the motion had been prepared and should've been anticipating it. So if she hadn't received it —

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: And, in fact, Your Honor, when Ms. Jobe and I – THE COURT: I would've hoped that you would've received it yesterday

when I did.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct. And when Ms. Jobe and I spoke on the phone, that's part of why I called her, because she had given advance notice that this motion or that they would at least be requesting a motion be put on this morning. And so one of the things I wanted to ask her for was a copy of the motion. She indicated at the time we spoke on the phone that they hadn't finished the motion yet. This was before lunch.

MS. JOBE: That's not what I said. I said we'd just gotten the motion and just got everything set to put it on calendar for tomorrow.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Perhaps not finished -

THE COURT: Have you even had a chance to read it?

MS. HOLIDAY: I skimmed it briefly, Your Honor, while I was sitting over in the corner.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Also, Ms. -

THE COURT: All right, if you haven't even had a chance to read it, I'm not going to -

Can the parties be here tomorrow morning?

MS. JOBE: Yes.

MR. HAMNER: Yes.

MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Because if you're not going to go to trial I have to – if you're not going to go to trial I have to – you know I kind of need to know by tomorrow.

- 1			
1	MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct.		
2	THE COURT: Okay. What time, 9:00, 10:00?		
, з	MS. JOBE: Court's convenience.		
4	THE COURT: It's your pleasure. Well, we have to make sure - I just		
5	want to make sure we get —		
6	MS. JOBE: I'm going to go back and have it typed out and I'll walk it		
7	over, Your Honor.		
8	THE COURT: Right, to make sure that he gets here in the morning.		
9	So why don't we try 10 o'clock?		
10	THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.		
11	October 21, 10 o'clock.		
12	MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.		
13	THE COURT: Thank you		
14	[Proceedings concluded at 9:07 a.m.]		
15	* * * *		
16	ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.		
17	Kristine Santi		
18	KRISTINE SANTI		
19	Court Recorder		
20			
21			
22			
- 1			

Electronically Filed
5/17/2017 8:37 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN	Diver.	
2			
3	DISTRICT COURT		
4			
5	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
6	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	CASE NO. C-16-313047-1	
7	Plaintiff,	DEPT. XII	
8	vs.)		
9	DONOVINE MATHEWS,		
10	Defendant.)		
11)		
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
13	FRIDAY, OCTOBE	R 21, 2016	
14	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE:		
15	STATE'S MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL BASED ON OUTSTANDING EX		
16	DISCOVE		
17			
18			
	APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff:	MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ.	
19		Chief Deputy District Attorney	
20		CHRISTOPER S. HAMNER, ESQ.	
21		Deputy District Attorney	
22	For the Defendant:	KRISTY HOLIDAY, ESQ.	
23		Deputy Public Defender	
24			
25	RECORDED BY: DEBRA WINN, COURT RECORDER		

1

FRIDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2016, 10:09 A.M.

2

3

4

5

6

8

_

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

25

THE COURT: State versus Mathews, C313047. He's present. He's in custody. And it's on for the State's Motion to Continue Trial.

So, Mr. Mathews, I'm assuming your attorney brought you up to speed.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Good morning, Judge.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MS. HOLIDAY: Any objection to going forward, Your Honor? No.

THE COURT: Well, no. Any objection to the motion to continue?

MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor. I do have an objection. I filed an opposition this morning in open court. I did send the Court and the district attorneys a courtesy copy, but it was really late yesterday. I'm not even sure if Your Honor would've still been here to get it. So, obviously, if you didn't receive it and didn't have time to review it, that's okay. I'd be happy to just argue it orally this morning.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, I'm opposing this continuance for a couple of reasons. First, the items that the State doesn't yet have or was just given this week prior to Calendar Call – I mean after Calendar Call – I don't think those are things that I was required to turn over in the first place. I was looking through the statute that governs –

THE COURT: You really think you're not supposed to turn that stuff over,

stuff that your expert prepared in anticipation, videos, calculations? You have to turn over the facts and underlying data of the opinion. Both sides have to do that so that both sides can conduct a meaningful cross-examination.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, Judge, I think that according to NRS 174.245, specifically as to results or reports of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests or scientific experiments, which I think is what we're talking about –

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. HOLIDAY: - scientific experiments.

THE COURT: Mathematical calculations.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right. The statute says that the Defendant has to turn over those things which the Defendant intends to introduce in evidence during the case-in-chief of the Defendant.

THE COURT: I agree.

MS. HOLIDAY: When we compare that with statute 174.235, which governs what the State has to turn over, the State has to turn over those same items that they have possession, custody or control of. There's a difference between their requirements and our requirements. We are required to turn over only those things that we intend to introduce into evidence in our case-in-chief.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Now on October 4th I provided the State an email with pictures. Some of those pictures were pictures that my investigator took. Some of those pictures were pictures that the expert took and some of those pictures were still photos created from videos that the expert took. Those are the entirety of the photos that we intend to introduce in our case-in-chief. All of the videos that were made we don't intend on introducing and we never did.

7

2

 All of the — so there are six additional pictures that the — or I mean that the expert took — that we didn't turn over until this morning.

THE COURT: Okay. It appeared pretty clear to me, based on the State's representations, that your expert is clearly preparing a report. He's just preparing it in a PowerPoint presentation. He's – I mean unless he's going to do all of this off the top of his head, which I know that's not true. I mean it's not true. Those biomechanical experts they don't just do it on the fly. Otherwise we could just call him in here, tell him the facts and data while they're sitting on the stand and let them give their testimony. That's not what your expert did.

MS. HOLIDAY: No, Your Honor. Our expert in preparing to testify in this case reviewed materials that I provided him. Those materials included all of the evidence that the State had given me, so medical records, photos, the police reports, and the six photos that our investigator took, which, like I said, I provided those six photos to the State back on October 4th. That's what he used to base his opinion on. Now he also made some videos.

THE COURT: And he created 20 different videos.

MS. JOBE: It's actually 30, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thirty now? It's -

MS. JOBE: Just so the record is clear.

THE COURT: Okay, thirty different videos to apparently match this scenario that the Defendant gave the police, right?

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor. So in creating these videos, the purpose that he creates these videos is so that he can later take still photos from the videos. The videos, most of them are two or three seconds. The

23

24

25

longest video is 11 seconds. If you put all the videos together they total 117 seconds –

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: — which is a little less than two minutes. That's all of the videos put together.

THE COURT: Do you plan on using those?

MS. HOLIDAY: No, Your Honor. We do not plan on -

THE COURT: And he doesn't plan on testifying about them at all?

MS. HOLIDAY: He plans on testifying about the still photos that were created from five of those videos.

THE COURT: You just told me you're going to use it in your case-in-chief then.

MS. HOLIDAY: So five of the still photos created from the videos, five of the videos, we intend to introduce in our case-in-chief and we turned over those still photos on October 4th.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Now I would agree with the State that for those five photos that are still photos made from a video, they're probably entitled to have those videos. If the still photos are created from videos they should have those videos.

THE COURT: And they don't have them, correct?

MS. HOLIDAY: They do now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: However, they did not before yesterday.

THE COURT: And they got them when, yesterday?

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

MS. HOLIDAY: Yesterday, yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JOBE: About 4:30.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So untimely.

MS. HOLIDAY: Untimely, absolutely. Those -

THE COURT: Okay. So you're admitting you didn't - I mean and there's an order in place. So you're admitting you didn't turn them over until yesterday, but they've been turned over.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yet you think that there's an objection to them asking for a continuance?

MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor, because those five videos are about 26 seconds total. I think that the State has time before trial to review the videos, the five videos of 27 or 26 seconds, before trial on Monday. Not only that, Your Honor, again, the longest video that we're talking about -

THE COURT: Okay, so here's - I mean I don't think I've changed my mind. We can either go forward without your expert, or I can grant the continuance. I guess I'm just trying to figure out why you're fighting so hard the continuance when you're the one that has the problem.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, Judge, part of the reason I'm fighting the continuance is because at Calendar Call Your Honor said that your next available stack for a continuance would be March or April. That's -

THE COURT: That's what it's looking like.

MS. HOLIDAY: Yeah. That's a significant amount of time for the State

to review 26 seconds worth of video. I mean, Judge, if we were talking about a continuance of a month or a couple of weeks, I wouldn't have any opposition at all, but when we're looking at March or April because outstanding is 26 seconds worth of video, I think that's a big deal. I think that's not fair. That's not — it violates fundamental fairness.

Judge, just to try to make it a little bit more clear, these five videos that we're talking about, again, the longest is 11 seconds. The still photos that I provided the State, they show the substance of what's in those videos. So they've had those still photos since October 4th. So if they needed to review them or analyze them, or if they needed their expert to review them or analyze them, again, they had the substance of the video back on October 4th. Now I agree that they're entitled to have those videos if we're using still photos based on them, but I don't think there needs to be an additional analysis performed beyond, you know, those still photos. And if there does need to be an additional analysis, I think it can be done, over that 26 seconds of video, before trial next week.

A few other things that the expert had not given to us that we hadn't given to the State included six additional photos. Now, again, these are photos that we never intended to use in our case-in-chief. In fact, the expert never even planned on giving them to us because he didn't intend on using them. I provided them to the State just out of an abundance of caution, but we don't plan on using these pictures, so I don't think these pictures not being turned over until today merits a continuance either.

The third thing that we're talking about is some notes, some calculations. We had talked about this at Calendar Call. So when I talked to

the expert -

2

5

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: So we're on to the third thing that hasn't been turned over.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Again, though, these calculations that we're talking about we were not planning on using in our case-in-chief. We think that the photos speak for themselves as to these calculations. So the calculations, basically, what we're talking about is —

THE COURT: The photos speak for themselves.

MS. HOLIDAY: - when the -

THE COURT: Did your expert do calculations, and is he going to talk about calculations?

MS. HOLIDAY: I don't think he's going to talk about the calculations, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Because what -

THE COURT: Then why did he do it?

MS. HOLIDAY: This is what he did. From those videos he then slows the videos down so that he can provide a frame-by-frame picture of what's happening.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's the whole purpose of the video, so that we can break it down and see the mechanics of what's going on in slow motion. The calculations helped him to determine how many fractions of a second had passed in the video.

So, for example, we have a child – the longest video is a child turning and running. So he had to note, you know, that in this frame it was point 24 seconds had passed, in this frame point 54 seconds had passed, and those were the calculations that he made. In fact, the calculations altogether total a half of page. Now I gave the State a three-page document because, at my request, after Calendar Call the expert provided a rough explanation for how he did these calculations, so that the State could understand what they were looking at, but the calculations in total are a half of page.

So what we're really talking about here is five videos totaling 26 seconds, again, the substance of which the State already had back on October 4th, and half of page of calculations, but, again, I think were reflected in the original photos because they did have times on the bottom. But I understand. If that's important for the State to be able to analyze, I think they can analyze or have their expert analyze half of page of time calculations between now and trial. Again, certainly I don't think that fundamental fairness would merit an almost six month's continuance for them to do—

THE COURT: We're almost in November. That's 90 days: December, January, February. I mean where are you getting -

MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. You're right.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MS. HOLIDAY: So April would be five months.

THE COURT: Well, and it's March.

MS. HOLIDAY: So almost six months. If it's March, it's four months. But, Judge, even then, for a 26 seconds worth of video and a half of page of calculations, a continuance of that size just so that the State has time to review

4

those things, I don't think it's merited here.

THE COURT: Well, it would be really nice if I could continue stuff a week, two weeks. That's just not the way things work.

MS. HOLIDAY: And I do understand that, Your Honor. I understand that that's not the Court's fault. But speaking of fault, you know this isn't my client's fault either. This is my fault. The fact that I didn't give the State those five videos, those 20 seconds, 26 seconds worth of videos, that's my fault.

THE COURT: I'm not looking to place fault, I mean, but both sides are entitled to a fair trial.

MS. HOLIDAY: I agree, Your Honor. And my client also has a constitutional right to fundamental fairness and to me it just seems not just for him to be in custody for an additional four or five months because I failed to turn over 26 seconds worth of video and a half of page of calculations before now. Now, again, the State has that stuff now. They would have time to review it before trial and they've had the substance of those things since October 4th.

As to the PowerPoint, Your Honor, when I learned that the expert prepares a PowerPoint for his testimony I was pretty surprised. Obviously, I have never seen an expert testify on direct examination with a PowerPoint.

Come to find out that's what he does in every criminal case he's testified in.

THE COURT: Right, because he's - if you tell him not to prepare a report, he's got to do something. So his report is the PowerPoint.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, I disagree with that, Judge.

THE COURT: I've been doing this awhile. The report is the PowerPoint.

MS. HOLIDAY: I asked him a little - to specify a little bit more about

23

24

25

what would be in this PowerPoint. He's not finished with it yet. That's why I don't have it. That's why I haven't given it to the State.

THE COURT: Because you're the one that's going to ask the questions.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct.

THE COURT: Not the expert.

MS. HOLIDAY: And it -

THE COURT: So it sounds like it's a report to me.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, Judge, again, I would disagree with that. I would further even state that I don't think I would be requesting the Court to allow this PowerPoint. I don't think I would intend on using it, because I don't think that —

THE COURT: But it's your witness.

MS. HOLIDAY: - that's direct examination.

THE COURT: Yeah. It's your witness.

MS. HOLIDAY: So I asked him to give me a little bit of an idea of what goes into the PowerPoint. He said -

THE COURT: This is kind of strange to me because it's your witness.

You're saying you don't want a PowerPoint. You don't want him to do it; yet he told the State that's what he was in the process of doing.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You know that sounds nonsensical to me.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well -

THE COURT: That an expert that you hired and is paying – and are paying – is doing something you don't want him to do.

MS. HOLIDAY: Admittedly, Your Honor, I did not discuss the PowerPoint

.

 with the expert. I assumed, because I've never seen an expert use a PowerPoint, that he would not be preparing the PowerPoint. He assumed that would expect a PowerPoint because that's what he's done in every other case. So this was definitely just a miscommunication. But either way, it's not something that is a report. He said around 20 slides, talk about his qualifications, so that would be reflected in his CV, which was already turned over.

THE COURT: He's preparing the foundation for his expert testimony and – okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: Apparently, this is what he's done in the past. And when I've asked other people in my office if they've ever seen this done, they said yes, they've seen it done and usually it's just for timing. It goes a lot quicker. If you have an expert that's going to go through a lot of material, it goes a lot quicker if they just go through it.

Now, again, I don't know if that's what we would be requesting to do in this case, but the point I'm trying to make is it's not a report. Again, these 20 beginning slides would talk about his qualifications and the Field of Biomechanics in general. So they had — they would have nothing to do with this case in specific, but they would just kind of talk about the Field of Biomechanics, what is it? Another ten slides would talk about skin and burns, again, not specific to this case but —

THE COURT: Okay, but this is something your expert is preparing but you don't want him to; then tell him not to prepare it.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, Judge, I just found out about it a couple of days ago. I personally don't think we'll need to use it, but he's not done with it yet,

so I haven't seen it, so I don't know if I'd want to use it.

THE COURT: He's your expert. If you don't want to use it, tell him not to do it.

MS. HOLIDAY: Here's what I would propose then, Your Honor. We would agree not to use a PowerPoint, not to use this PowerPoint or any other PowerPoint from this expert. I'm totally okay with that. All of the pictures that I turned over on October 4th, that's all I intended to use in our case-in-chief. That's all I still intend to use in our case-in-chief. And certainly I've talked to the expert —

THE COURT: Okay, but still, by your own admission, they weren't turned over timely.

MS. HOLIDAY: Those email or those pictures, they were turned over timely. They were turned over on October 4th.

THE COURT: You just got through telling me you turned some stuff over yesterday.

MS. HOLIDAY: What I turned over yesterday was the videos.

THE COURT: Okay.

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. HOLIDAY: The videos -

THE COURT: So, by your own admission, there's stuff you didn't turn over until yesterday.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. So the State hearing that, what's your position?

MS. JOBE: Where do I start is the thing. I would just point out for the record, it's disingenuous for the Defense to stand here and say it's her fault she didn't turn over these things and then invoke fundamental fairness. The fact of

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

2425

the matter is the Defendant is currently in Nevada State Prison on another case, on another prison sentence. So whether it's two months, three months, four months or eight months, he's not going anywhere.

THE COURT: What's his sentence?

MR. HAMNER: Nineteen -

MS. JOBE: I don't remember -

MR. HAMNER: It's 19 to 48 months, Your Honor -

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: - for a home invasion.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor. And he's not getting credit on this case while he's in custody, obviously.

MS. JOBE: And to invoke fundamental fairness when it's -

THE COURT: Yeah, because he's under a term of imprisonment.

MS. JOBE: Right.

MS. HOLIDAY: Exactly.

MS. JOBE: And to invoke fundamental fairness when this is all the Defense's doing is so disingenuous and egregious based on the discovery violations. That's about all I'm going to say at that point.

The fact of the matter is the State didn't have the substance just by having the still photos, as Defense claims. I've taken the time, though I got the videos at 4:30 yesterday afternoon, I took the time to sit and watch the videos. And while theoretically I could go through all the videos in two minutes and eleven seconds or however minutes it is and physically watch all the videos, there's much more to analyzing these videos than simply watching cross my

eyes on the screen.

I'd note that in the still photos of the child running, which there have to be calculations, the expert told me came from a computer program he uses, and those calculations are contained in some of the still photos provided, how much distance the child is covering, some formulation comes up with some mathematical number. Clearly, I'm not a mathematician; I'm an attorney. But in watching that specific video where those stills come from, there's so much more to that video, even in that short amount of time. That child has an individual speaking to him, prodding him, probing him to try to come and run.

The State has the absolute duty and obligation to cross-examine that expert witness. And what the Defense is doing is they're trying to cherry-pick which evidence they're going to introduce and withhold absolutely everything else from the State. That is not how this system works. That is not how the defense is prepared. I have never seen a defense attorney do something more egregious than withhold anything and everything, except for what they want to present, and tell the State, tough, we're going forward.

The fact of the matter is, in watching all of those videos, all 30 videos are relevant to the State's cross-examination of this defense expert because, though he picked five, and I point out for the Court that not all five videos that they turned over that he's pulled stills from are of the reenactments of pouring the water on the child's hands, some are, two or three —

THE COURT: Well, I'm just kind of curious. Do the parties think I'm going to allow someone to do a reenactment? I mean I'm just wondering.

MS. JOBE: We were -

MR. HAMNER: That's -

ı

MS. JOBE: We received this -

THE COURT: Because I know what biomechanics do and it -

MS. JOBE: Your Honor -

THE COURT: And based on the facts in this case -

MS. JOBE: Sure.

THE COURT: - I'm not sure why everyone is assuming I'm going to let that expert testify.

MS. JOBE: And, Your Honor, we weren't able to fully analyze whether we should even bring a motion to strike him with only receiving the still photos. We had no idea if he only conducted experiments from pouring from the sides, which is what we've seen. There appear to be no experiments with any child, let alone that Your Honor's going to let him testify, but there's — there are no experiments. There's nothing showing a child reaching up and grabbing something and pouring it down on him, which the State's position is that has to be the facts of how this happened according to the Defense's statement, though the, or although the State's theory is he held that child's hands under water. So these reenactments don't even get at the Defendant's statements in that reenactment video.

But the fact of the matter is it's not just five videos; it's all 30 videos that are relevant to the State appropriately cross-examining this defense expert. He said to me on the phone the other day he would essentially create an experiment, he'd watch his video of the experiment, he'd go back, he'd watch the Metro reenactment with the Defendant and look at the statements and everything else that he obtained, and then he'd tweak his experiment and he'd tweak what he'd do. He'd create a new experiment, different angles,

 slightly different hand position, now that I've seen the 30 videos, and he'd redo the experiment and videotape that as well.

Well, Mr. – Dr. Johnson – how come Video 61 wasn't sufficient for your opinion today? How come you didn't use Video 33? How come you didn't use Video 34? We have the right to ask those questions. And by intentionally withholding those videos and all that information the Defense is trying to hamstring the State and have a very unfair trial.

And in the course of all these discussions we've had and the hearings that we've had, the State can't help but think, what the heck would happen if the shoe was on the other foot? If it were the State who retained an expert and the State only turned over evidence or only turned over stills that were favorable to the State, (a) we'd be in egregious violation of the discovery statutes. I guarantee you the news would be here. The headline would be how shady the State is, they're hiding evidence, they're wrongfully convicting people, wrongfully imprisoning people, and she'd be screaming from the top of her lungs and we'd probably get fired. That's how egregious this would be if the shoe were on the other foot.

The other things I want to point out, Your Honor, based on her representations today, she said there's something in the PowerPoint about skin and burns. Absolutely nothing about the disclosure of this expert has anything to do with skin or burns. None of the discovery turned over to us has to do with skin or burns.

So the fact of the matter is it's not just 26 seconds, it's not just five videos, it's not even just the still pictures she turned over to us this morning or everything else, according to Ms. Holiday, according to their

opposition, there are items of evidence that the State's entitled to that we are never going to get. On page, I believe – yeah, page 4 – the Defense notes that there were two to three pages of notes created, possibly other reminder notes, and they talk about how on page 5 what was turned over to us yesterday wasn't even his original notes. He recreated the calculations and the explanations to turn them over to the State.

And, Your Honor, I'd point out that all of this happened after I spoke with him Tuesday afternoon, after I talked to him about his methodologies, after I talked to him about what he used and relied upon. He contacts them, or they have a communication with them, and it's the State's doing that's brought all of this to light. It's the State's doing that's brought up the videos.

I note that in the Defense opposition they never mention surprise; they never mention that they didn't know about these videos. They, in fact, state on page 4, the Defense does not intend, nor did we ever intend to introduce any of those videos in evidence in our case-in-chief and that is why Dr. Johnson did not even send them to the Defense and the Defense never watched the videos. You can't selectively decide what you get or don't get from a defense expert or what you do or don't turn over.

In fear of repeating myself, I would just point out that, first, the videos were turned over yesterday without a court order at the Defense's doing. They didn't wait for Your Honor to order them to do that. Regardless of the amount of time it takes to continue this trial, if Your Honor is inclined to grant that, that's on the defense attorney and that's on their side of the case. It's not the State. It's not fundamental unfairness when it's their doing that

causes this continuance.

And, finally, Your Honor, because this is criminal practice and not private practice, where sanctions and fees and everything else would be ordered, [indiscernible] just asking the Court to order the Defense to turn over everything they received. I note that the defense expert has run everything through Ms. Holiday and not given it to us directly, which is fine, and that's his choice. We are asking Your Honor to require them to turn over absolutely everything and it includes that report because, as Ms. Holiday said today, that report includes things the State doesn't have. In their opposition that report includes things that were in notes that have been destroyed once – that were destroyed once it was incorporated into that PowerPoint presentation.

THE COURT: Well, that's what it sounded like to me, because you're taking notes, and then he said, once I prepare my presentation I destroy all my notes. It sounds like a report to me. You can't call it something else and say it's not a report. So at this time I'm inclined to grant the motion to continue.

MS. HOLIDAY: And, Judge -

THE COURT: But the State has everything except -

MS JOBE: Well -

THE COURT: - what I think is probably the report?

MS. JOBE: Yeah. And we don't know that, Your Honor, because (a) when I spoke to him yesterday – not yesterday, Tuesday afternoon – he said he still had some notes, but I'd point out to the Court that what was turned over to us yesterday is not what his notes are. Those were recreations of calculations. So to the extent he still has any notes, Your Honor, we're asking for an order that he not destroy or get rid of anything else and that he turn over

2

THE COURT: H

everything that he has, whether it be scribble notes, whether it be post-it reminders, whatever it may be as it relates to his experiments and what will be his testimony. We are asking for all those things and an order that he not destroy it.

MS. HOLIDAY: Judge -

THE COURT: He can't keep destroying stuff.

MS. HOLIDAY: And I understand what you're saying, Judge. When I talked to him I asked a lot more about the notes, like what are you talking about as far as notes, how many pages of notes did you have? He said he might have had a total of two to three pages of notes, maybe, and what those notes would look like would be, like I said, jotted down reminders. So he used this specific example with me. He said, I might write "61 gravity spill" to indicate that in Video 61 that had been a gravity spill. So we're talking about — I'm not talking about, like, paragraphs of notes he would've written.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: He didn't have that, according to him, when he told me. He would have -

THE COURT: He still destroyed them.

MS. HOLIDAY: - little handwritten notes.

THE COURT: He said in preparation of his PowerPoint he was destroying

MS. HOLIDAY: I think, Judge, because once he had the photos -

THE COURT: If you have a presentation prepared to give in court, you have a report. I don't know. I mean I – he has a report.

MS. HOLIDAY: Judge, and -

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

22

21

24

23

THE COURT: You're just calling it something else. So turn it over. Comply with the statute. I'll give you a court date as soon as I can. Here's what you can do. I can continue it until the next stack and you'll go to the very bottom, or I can give you the ordinary course, where you have a better chance of going. It's your pleasure.

MS. HOLIDAY: I think we would like the next stack but -

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: And, Judge, I -

THE COURT: But just so you know, you're going to the bottom, okay?

MS. HOLIDAY: That's okay, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But there's always a chance you'll go.

MS. HOLIDAY: And, Your Honor, I do respect your opinion, but I would like to just make a record in response to some of the -

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. HOLIDAY: - the points that the State's made.

The State talks about how if the shoe were on the foot we would have a different view. That's true, Judge, because –

THE COURT: You have different obligations. I understand that.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right. We do have different obligations. And as far as cherry-picking what evidence we turn over, that's because the statute tells us we can cherry-pick what evidence we want to present in our case-in-chief and we don't have to turn over the things that we decided not to use in our case-in-chief.

In fact, this morning I read a case that kind of explained why our statute is written that way. The case, Judge, is called *Binegar vs. the Eighth*

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judicial District Court. And it sounds like back in 1996 - I do have a copy for the Court, if the Court would like a copy - back in 1996 it sounds like maybe the statutes were written the same, or at least a draft of the statute that was going through the legislature was written the same for both the State and Defense, meaning that the Defense would have to turn over all scientific experiments that their expert prepared, even if they didn't plan on using them in their case-in-chief. But the Supreme Court, the Nevada Supreme Court stated that that would violate constitutional rights of the Defendant because the Defendant has the constitutional right against self-incrimination.

And so the statute, as it was written at the time, would violate the Defendant's constitutional rights, and that's why there's a different standard for the Defense. That's why the Defense can have an expert prepare a bunch of materials that are not reports, scientific experiments or even notes, and the Defense can decide if -

THE COURT: And destroy them -

MS. HOLIDAY: Not necessarily destroy them.

THE COURT: - so you don't have to turn them over?

MS. HOLIDAY: But then the Defense can decide if we want to use those notes or scientific experiments in our case-in-chief. If we decide not to use those in our case-in-chief, then the statute says that we don't have to provide them to the State. The statute is very clear that we only have to provide to the State the items which we intend to introduce into evidence in our case-in-chief. The statute is very clear on this, as long as it's not a report.

THE COURT: But you can't - here's the thing. Your expert is preparing a report and calling it a PowerPoint and you're saying we don't have a report.

9

1.1

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

He's using all these notes and calculations and videos and saying, but I destroyed them, so I don't have to turn them over. I mean I'm getting kind of frustrated with what I'm hearing here, because that's not how the rules are supposed to work. You're not allowed to have an expert prepare a report and call it a PowerPoint so you don't have to turn it over. You're not permitted to have your expert do all these things: take notes, do calculations, create videos, and then say, it's not a report, so we're not going to turn it over. I mean I just never heard of such a thing, okay?

MS. HOLIDAY: And -

THE COURT: I mean and if you want your expert to testify, comply with the rules. If you don't, you risk exclusion of the expert. I mean do you want to go forward without your expert, because it sounds like both sides would be ready to go without your expert?

MS. HOLIDAY: My suggestion, Your Honor, would be what the Defense is always requesting in these situations of discovery violations.

THE COURT: So it's no.

MS. JOBE: Well -

MS. HOLIDAY: 1-

THE COURT: It's just simple. Do you want to go forward without your expert?

MS. HOLIDAY: I want to go forward without the things that we didn't provide -

THE COURT: Okay. That's -

MS. HOLIDAY: - timely.

THE COURT: I'm not - well, I'm not - it's not let's make a deal, okay?

Do you want to go forward without your expert?

MS. HOLIDAY: Of course not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, that's what I thought, so.

MS. JOBE: And, Your Honor -

MS. HOLIDAY: But my suggestion to the Court would be that you exclude us from introducing anything that we didn't provide by the deadline.

MS. JOBE: So give them what they want is what she's saying.

THE COURT: Well, yeah, because -

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, because we never intended to introduce these things in the first place. Exclude the videos, because we never intended on introducing them in the first place. Exclude these six additional photos. We never intended on introducing them into our case-in-chief.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, so when is the next trial stack?

MS. JOBE: Your Honor, I need to make a record on the case she just cited because it involves Fifth Amendment and I want Your Honor to make a finding. I didn't bring it up when I initially was arguing because I — she didn't go there, so I didn't go there. But the fact of the matter is this case is remarkably distinguishable from the — was it Burrenger [phonetic] — Binegar case.

The fact of the matter is in the *Binegar* case the Defendant had gone to evaluations, mental health psyche evaluations, and the Defense in that case was not calling the expert at issue. The Court forced the Defense to turn over the reports, the notes from the mental health and psyche evaluations of the expert who was not testifying. And so the statute was held overly broad and unconstitutional because it didn't include just the witnesses the Defense

 was calling; it included any potential witnesses the Defense may call. And that was unconstitutional because, let's be honest, in certain situations the Defense may hire an expert who has an opinion that goes against their case and for the State they don't have to call that expert.

In this case -

THE COURT: And then they don't notice them. This expert is noticed.

MS. JOBE: Correct. This expert is noticed. They intend on calling him. The State has an absolute right to impeachment of this expert for all the experiments that he did and the evaluations based on the Defendant's own statements to Metro in the Metro reenactment video that was done on the date in question and his interview.

MS. HOLIDAY: Judge, specifically concerning the case that we're talking about now, the case specifically analyzed the statute language that we're talking about now, results or reports of physical or mental examinations, scientific tests or scientific experiments made. On page 849 of that opinion they specifically said that that is what the Defense can decide to use in their case-in-chief or not and that only those items that the Defense uses in their case-in-chief have to be turned over. It's not – the case isn't limited to just any experts that the Defense decides to call in their case-in-chief.

It's specifically talking about an expert's experiments or results or reports, and that's the way the statute reads too. Just because I pick an expert to testify, doesn't mean that the State gets all of their stuff. In fact, the statute specifically says the State only gets the things that we intended to introduce in our case-in-chief. And so it's not just — I want to make clear that case doesn't just say if you're not calling an expert in your case-in-chief you

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2**1** 22

2**3**

2425

don't have to provide their things. It also applies to other things that an expert you are calling prepared that you're not intending on using in your case-in-chief.

THE COURT: Okay. So -

MS. JOBE: And it involves self-incrimination and these recreation videos this expert did, and this specific case is not self-incrimination because the Defendant had no conservation with him about what was going on. No statements were made by the Defendant and nothing about these videos that their expert created that the State intends to use in cross-examination have anything to do with the Fifth Amendment.

THE COURT: Okay. So the State's Motion to Continue is going to be granted. The objection is noted.

It looks like the first week in January. Is that going to be okay?

MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.

Right, I mean I'm not - the first week in January?

MS. JOBE: It's the 3rd, right?

THE CLERK: Yeah, the 3rd, with a Calendar Call on -

MR. HAMNER: Counsel's -

THE CLERK: - December 27th.

MR. HAMNER: Counsel's in a murder trial that week, so I don't think the first week of January is going to work.

THE CLERK: Okay. How about January 10th, with a Calendar Call of January 3rd?

MS. HOLIDAY: That works for me, Your Honor.

MR. HAMNER: That's fine.

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

THE CLERK: Calendar Call is January 3rd at 8:30 with a Jury Trial January 10th at 1:30 p.m.

MR. HAMNER: I hate to point this out. I just saw on my calendar I am set to go for a invoked trial in this department on the exact same day.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: So, I mean that's fine if you want to double stack.

THE COURT: Well, like I said, I can leave it here, but I mean that's the -

MS. JOBE: Sure.

MR. HAMNER: That's fine.

THE COURT: I mean this is my very next stack, so.

MS. JOBE: Because he - yeah. And for the record, he has waived.

THE COURT: Okay. He has waived?

MR. HAMNER: Yeah.

MS. JOBE: Yes.

MR. HAMNER: This Defendant has waived.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: The other one has not.

THE CLERK: Do you want to go to March then, Your Honor?

THE COURT: No. We'll leave them there.

MS. JOBE: All right.

MR. HAMNER: Okay

THE COURT: We'll leave them there.

THE CLERK: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you. audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

MR. HAMNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. HOLIDAY: I appreciate the Court's indulgence.

[Proceedings concluded at 10:44 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the

KRISTINE SANTI Court Recorder

Knotine Senti

Alun	1. Chum

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 5 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C-16-313047-1 Plaintiff, 7 DEPT. XII VS. DONOVINE MATHEWS, Defendant. 10 11 BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 12 TUESDAY, JANUARY 3, 2017 13 14 RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT RE: CALENDAR CALL 15 16 17 APPEARANCES: CHRISTOPER S. HAMNER, ESQ. For the Plaintiff: 18 Deputy District Attorney 19 MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ. 20 Chief Deputy District Attorney 21 ERIC W. RUSLEY, ESQ. For the Defendant: Deputy Public Defender 22 23 24 RECORDED BY: DEBRA WINN, COURT RECORDER 25

TUESDAY, JANUARY 3, 2017, 9:10 A.M.

15.

THE COURT: State versus Donovine Mathews, C313047, present in custody. It's on for Calendar Call.

MR. RUSLEY: Judge, I'm here for Ms. Holiday. Her son is sick today, so she could not be here. Just to give you a little status, she filed a late response on Friday. She has some additional information she wants to supplement. She's getting the information from the expert, so she does have some more information she wants to provide. I guess we're kind of debating whether Your Honor is going to request a hearing with regard to the motion, but I think what we'd like to do, regardless of how you decide the motion, is do a – start next week maybe on Wednesday for Mr. Hamner's schedule. Ms. Holiday is fine with that. If you're inclined then to order a hearing, we would ask maybe if we could do that on Tuesday –

THE COURT: Well -

MR. RUSLEY: - because the expert would be available.

THE COURT: Well, what's the motion? Is it the State's - are you talking about the State's motion?

MR. HAMNER: Yes. It's the motion to strike their expert, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: And Christopher Hamner and Michelle Jobe for the State for the record.

THE COURT: Well, and you have filed a response?

MR. RUSLEY: She filed a response on Friday, Judge. I've got a copy if it here. I don't know if the Court has it yet. I think the date it was originally set

to be argued was the 10th, which was going to be a day after the trial date. So she's filed a response, but she does have more information to add to that. She's going to have that done in the next couple of days. I just didn't know if you wanted to set a date to argue that, you know, next Monday or Tuesday, and then, regardless of which way it's decided, she'll be ready to go on Wednesday.

THE COURT: Okay. So both sides will be ready to go?

MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor. And I'm in a murder trial starting today, which is why I am requesting a Wednesday start. And I've spoken with Ms. Holiday. She indicated that, regardless of how the Court rules on her motion, she indicated the Defense would be ready regardless of whether they win or lose, so. And she's fine with that Wednesday start date. So that's fine. I think we received it, I don't know —

MS. JOBE: It was after Friday.

THE COURT: How many days do you think it will be?

MR. HAMNER: I think three.

THE COURT: Will you be able to finish it next week?

MR. HAMNER: I think it's three days, possibly four, but I think we probably could get it done in three days.

THE COURT: Okay.

[Colloquy between the Court and clerk]

MR. HAMNER: And I think - Ms. Jobe was indicating to me I think we received it at 5:02 on Friday. I got an email. We got an email from her.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. Say that again.

MR. HAMNER: I was just saying that we had received the opposition at

about 5:02 on Friday via email.

THE COURT: Okay. All right, so it will be continued or it will be continued to start trial on Wednesday at 8:30.

What's that date?

THE CLERK: The 11th.

THE COURT: January 11th at 8:30.

Do you want to put the motion on for Tuesday?

MR. RUSLEY: That would be fine, Judge.

MR. HAMNER: That's -

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: That's fine. If we could have a morning start, my trial schedule, we start in the afternoons on Tuesday, so anytime in the morning would be fine.

THE COURT: Sure.

THE CLERK: 8:30, Judge?

THE COURT: That's fine.

THE CLERK: January 10th, 8:30, for the motion.

MS. JOBE: And, Your Honor, I apologize. Just to clarify, do you want us to be ready to go with an evidentiary hearing, if necessary, on Tuesday, or how does the Court want to handle that?

THE COURT: I guess, can you give me an opportunity to review the pleadings -

MR. RUSLEY: We can.

THE COURT: - and I can let you know?

1///

20

21

23

24

25

25

MR. RUSLEY: She'll try and have everything done by Thursday, so you'll - you can give us an idea. We can have the witness here on Tuesday, if need be.

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you just have the witness here?

MR. RUSLEY: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. RUSLEY: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. HAMNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:14 a.m. and recalled at 9:16 a.m.]

THE COURT: State versus Mathews, C313047.

MR. RUSLEY: He's up at the prison, Judge. Is there any way we can remand him to the detention center to make it easier for her to go over - Ms. Clark - to go over to see him, or Ms. Holiday to go see him for the next few days?

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. RUSLEY: Okay.

THE COURT: He'll be remanded pending his trial.

MR RUSLEY: Thank you.

[Proceedings concluded at 9:16 a.m.]

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

> KRISTINE SANTI Court Recorder

Electronically Filed 5/30/2017 2:53 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-16-313047-1

Plaintiff,

DEPT. NO. XII

vs.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DONOVINE MATHEWS,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 1

MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 2017

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

CHRISTOPHER F. BURTON, ESQ.

MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ.
Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

KRISTY S. HOLIDAY, ESQ. CARLI L. KIERNY, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defenders

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

KRISTINE SANTI District Court VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110

 $(3\bar{0}3)$ 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 2017, 10:49 A.M. 1 (Outside the presence of the prospective jurors) 2 (Pause in the proceedings) 3 THE COURT: How long do you think this trial's going to last? 5 No longer than Friday. MS. JOBE: 6 THE COURT: No longer than Friday? 7 MS. JOBE: Hopefully, before then. 8 THE COURT: Okay. So maybe two alternates. 9 we'll qualify 24. I'm assuming there's not going to be 10 anything outside the presence? 11 MS. JOBE: There is, Your Honor. 12 There is? Okay. Sorry, I told him to THE COURT: 13 go -- all right. The record will reflect the hearing is 14 taking place outside the presence of the jury panel. The 15 defendant is present in custody with his attorneys. 16 MS. JOBE: Your Honor, in reviewing the Information 17 this weekend and comparing it to the preliminary transcript, 18 the State has presented a request to file a Motion to Amend 19 the Criminal Information to modify the language. At the 20 current time it says "hot water". Have it say "hot water 21 and/or liquid". 22 Pursuant to the Preliminary Hearing transcript, 23 Dr. Cetl testified it will was a hot liquid. The fact of hot 24 water actually came from the defendant's statements to Metro.

This doesn't prejudice the defendant in that it doesn't alter the State's theory of the case. It doesn't -- it just 2 modifies whether it was water or some other hot liquid used to --THE COURT: So te State wants to amend so it says "with hot water and/or any other hot liquid"? 6 MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Any objection? 8 MS. HOLIDAY: We just have a quick objection, Your 9 Honor, and that would be, to the extent that this does change 10 the State's theory of the case, from the very beginning of 11 this case, there's never been an indication to us that the 1.2 State felt the burns were caused by anything but water. 13 So if now we want to leave the possibility open 14 that it could have been some other liquid, to me that seems 1.5 like a change in theory. And our only other objection would 16 be that this is something that could have been handled a long 17 time ago at the Preliminary Hearing or any time since then. 18 And that's all we have. So we would submit it on that. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So the motion's granted. 20 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. JOBE: 21 THE COURT: Have you filed it? 22 MS. JOBE: I presented it, Your Honor, to be filed. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MS. JOBE: As well as the Amended Information.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

THE COURT: Anything else?

MS. JOBE: I just want to make a record, Your Honor, the State conveyed an offer to plead guilty to the charge with a sentence of two to eight years. The State's understanding, as communicated to counsel, was if the State didn't hear back that the offer was going to be accepted by Friday, we were proceeding to trial today. So that is information the State's proceeding on.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's your understanding,

sir?

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And you rejected that offer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And you want to proceed and go to

trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: And Your Honor, we only have a couple other brief things. We met with the State Friday. We discussed some redactions to the audio statements that the State is thinking about playing. One statement would be Mr. Mathews' statement. Another would be the recorded statement of Jasmin Cathcart. I believe the State said they are planning on playing Mr. Mathews' statement. They're not

sure yet if they're going to play Ms. Cathcart's statement.

MS. JOBE: I believe that we're playing Ms. Cathcart's statement.

MS. HOLIDAY: In any event, we agreed on some redactions to Mr. Mathews' statement, including any mention that he's on probation, or that he has a curfew or that he was in custody, or that he had been in trouble before.

I think we are all good with the redactions to Mr. Mathews' statement, with maybe the exception of the following: We have heard some references both in Ms. Cathcart's statement and in Mr. Mathews' statement to CPS.

MS. JOBE: Hold on.

1.0

1.1

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. HOLIDAY: Specifically, Ms. Cathcart has had involvement with CPS in the past before this case, and I believe both Ms. Cathcart and Mr. Mathews discussed that in their recorded statements. It is our request that we redact any mention of any CPS involvement, either prior to this case or during, this case because we have previously requested CPS records that are related to this case.

I believe that in the past the Court granted or ordered CPS records to be reviewed in camera and that the Court would pass along any information that she felt the parties needed to have, she would pass it along to us. We have not received anything from CPS. We don't know if the

Court has. We --

2.0

THE COURT: No.

MS. JOBE: I thought I'd take -- we had a full discussion about this on Friday so I'll fill in the other part of the discussion --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JOBE: -- when she's done.

THE COURT: Because I haven't received -- I haven't reviewed any CPS reports.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right. And so our position would just be because we don't have the details of the prior CPS records or these -- this -- the CPS records involving this case, it would be our request that we don't discuss the CPS issues at all.

Specifically, to Ms. Cathcart's prior involvement with CPS, she makes some reference to the fact that maybe her — the father of her children had made complaints to CPS, I don't know, to try to hurt her or to get back at her. And if that's the case, we might find details about that in CPS records. I think the other part of it that she represented was that the allegations were unsubstantiated. I think we would like to find the details of why they were unsubstantiated, and that might be important to this case if the jury gets the idea that Ms. Cathcart has trouble with CPS.

We might want to respond to that and provide details. If we don't have any CPS records that we've requested, we don't believe we can appropriately respond to that. And so our request would just be that we not mention CPS at all in this case.

1.3

MS. JOBE: And Your Honor, we had the opportunity to discuss this. I note that at the October trial date and the calendar call, defense never mentioned the lack of CPS records. And I apologize, I have no explanation or justification for the fact that they were not obtained pursuant to the Court's order. So I do apologize for that.

But it wasn't mentioned in October when defense was announcing, ready, ready, ready, must be ready and must go, and State got the continuance based on the failure to disclose information from their expert. We had an at length discussion about this at our -- when we met can defense counsel on Friday. I was open and honest with them when I said I don't know why they weren't obtained. The State doesn't have any record of producing them to the Court, so I don't have any reason to think the Court would have them otherwise.

THE COURT: Um-hum.

MS. JOBE: And it was agreed upon by all counsel that defense wants to proceed. They don't want to take the time to get these records produced to Your Honor to provide

it to counsel in order to wait for those to proceed. THE COURT: Okay. So you agreed to go get them and 2 they said we don't want to continue trial? 3 That was their position. MS. JOBE: 4 THE COURT: Okay. MS. JOBE: I'm like, we can fix this, but they said no, we want to go forward on Monday. Fine, no problem, 7 that's their call, that's their decision. So to stand up today and say well, we don't want to get into this because this may be, and we don't know, and it would be really 10 helpful to have these records, and know what actually 11 happened is a bit disingenuous, based on the conversation 12 that was had in our office on Friday. In the defendant's --13 THE COURT: I'm happy. If you want those CPS 14 records -- in fact, it sounds like they've already been -- it 15 was -- they were ordered to be turned over to you. Your 16 remedy is to seek a continuance and get the records. 17 MS. KIERNY: Your Honor, my --18 THE COURT: And bring it to someone's attention 19 besides at 10:57 when I have a jury panel outside. 20 MS. KIERNY: And I apologize for interrupting you 21 earlier, but our understanding was that they had pretrialed 22 the CPS investigator. She did not provide anything 23 exculpatory, inculpatory, so they were not going to be 24 presenting her. So that's why we agreed to go forward.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

weren't -- we didn't believe that they were going to be introducing anything regarding CPS.

And then when I e-mailed asking about those redactions, they said well, it's not a bad act because it involves Jasmin. So we just wanted to make sure we were all on the same page. We're not --

THE COURT: Do you want the CPS records? If you want them, we'll stop, the State will provide the CPS records. I want to make sure it's loud and clear. If you want those CPS records, we'll stop and give you an opportunity to get them.

MR. HOLIDAY: We don't want a continuance, Your Honor, and we believe that a continuance is not the appropriate remedy in this situation, because we requested the CPS records, like we were supposed to. I believe, it was the Court that ordered the CPS records to be produced. They weren't produced.

THE COURT: Which specific CPS records.

MS. HOLIDAY: I believe -- I'd have to go back and double check, Your Honor. Just going from memory, I believe the -- I believe that Mr. Hamner had done ex parte request for CPS records related to this case to be reviewed in camera by the Court, and I believe the Court granted that application. Again, I'm just --

MS. JOBE: He did not --

MS. HOLIDAY: -- going from memory. 1 MS. JOBE: -- and no, it wasn't presented to the Court or signed by the Court. 3 THE COURT: I mean --MS. HOLIDAY: Yeah, I'm just -- I'm just going from 5 memory, Your Honor. I'd have to go back and double check the minutes to know that. But we had also requested any CPS records, whether they're related to this case or not in regards to Mr. Mathews, Ms. Cathcart --9 THE COURT: Okay. So tell me what CPS records you 10 believe were ordered by this Court to be turned over. 11 MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, I'd have to go back to 12 13 be -THE COURT: Well, go back. 14 MS. HOLIDAY: -- to be sure. 15 THE COURT: Do whatever you have to do. 16 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. Let met pull up the minutes. 1.7 Court's indulgence. 18 MS. JOBE: For the record, it's Request 22 by the 19 defense in their motion for discovery. 20 MS. HOLIDAY: On March --21 THE COURT: It looks like the 1st of August, 2016, 22 I signed an order that they turn over CPS records from 23 January 5th, 2016 to the present for Chance Jacksper, and ${\tt I}$ 24 -- and/or natural mother, Jasmin Cathcart to be released to 25

the DA's Office under seal and submitted to the Court. there was an order. But I assume that orders are complied with if no 3 one tells me. So if you want a break in order to have those records produced, I'm happy to do it. 5 MS. HOLIDAY: We don't, Your Honor. We don't want a continuance. We would just like to lodge our objection for 7 the record. THE COURT: Okay. 9 MS. JOBE: And if I could go back to the more 10 specific request regarding -- I believe this started with 11 respect to the defendant's statements and the redactions from 12 his statement. The State left in the comments he made about 13 a prior CPS investigation unrelated to these specific events, 14 and I have the transcript to quote, Your Honor. 15 There was a question, "Okay, have you had any 16 contact with CPS ever?" 17 The defendant responds, "Um, she has," referring to 18 Jasmin, "not me. She has because they were always trying to 19 get Jordan, like but all the" --20 The detective says, "Okay." 21 The defendant responds with, "All the CPS guys 22 were", and the rest is unintelligible. 2.3 The detective asked, "No injuries or anything like 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

that?"

Defendant responds, "No."

Question, "To any of the kids?"

Answer, "No."

That's regarding prior CPS history. Jasmin, in her statement, which we're not intending to play, had a prior investigation that was unsubstantiated. She detailed that it didn't have to do with injuries or anything to that effect. So we've left that in the defendant's statement because it is unrelated to him. It doesn't have to do with any injuries and it just has to do with his knowledge of the dynamics of Jasmin in the house.

THE COURT: And the objection to that is?

MS. HOLIDAY: The objection to that is the same,
Your Honor. I think this is evidence that the State wants to
present. If indeed, they want to present Ms. Cathcart's
statement, they are wanting to present the evidence which
involves a mention of her prior CPS history. If the State
wants to present evidence of that, we should have the
opportunity to get the complete picture, meaning, the details
of the prior CPS involvement, and we would just submit our
objection on that.

THE COURT: All right. But I've given you an opportunity to get those CPS records and you don't want that opportunity.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.

	\cdot .
1	THE COURT: And in fact, the order I signed is not
2	as broad as you just stated. It's not. It's it's from
3	January 5th, 2016 to the present. Let me just
4	MS. HOLIDAY: That was my understanding, too, of
5	the order, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	MS. JOBE: And that
8	THE COURT: Right, and
9	MS. JOBE: information is outside that time
10	frame.
11	THE COURT: Right. I mean
12	MS. HOLIDAY: I agree with that.
13	THE COURT: other records that you're talking
14	about would not be responsive to this order. This order was
15	very specific.
16	MS. HOLIDAY: I agree with that, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MS. HOLIDAY: I I did note that the Court only
19	ordered CPS records as pertaining to this case, but we had
20	requested them as to any prior CPS involvement for in
21	Cathcart
22	THE COURT: Was that granted?
23	MS. HOLIDAY: It was not granted.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MS. HOLIDAY: But our objection still stands,

```
though. We still think, obviously, it should have been
   granted and that we should have that information if the State
   wants to introduce that evidence, and that's it. We'd submit
 3
    it on that.
              THE COURT: Okay. At this time, the objection's
 5
    overruled. Anything else?
             MS. JOBE: Not for the State, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Anything else before --
 8
             MS. HOLIDAY: Not for us, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you. You want to bring them
11
    in?
12
              MS. HOLIDAY: We're ready.
13
              THE COURT: Okay, thank you.
14
             (Waiting for prospective jurors to enter)
15
              THE MARSHAL: 502, Juror James Oakley, just so you
16
    know ahead of time, his daughter is with him. He's had a
17
    head injury in the past so she's been helping him get to
18
    where he needs to go today.
19
              THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. I have a juror
20
    that can't come here unassisted?
21
              THE MARSHAL: That's what it looks to be is his
22
    daughter is with him, had brought him here and everything.
23
    He suffered a head injury. I didn't ask too many details so.
24
              THE COURT: And they did not excuse him?
25
```

]	
1	THE MARSHAL: No, Ma'am.
2	MS. JOBE: Your Honor, may I suggest, can we bring
3	him and his daughter in for brief questioning and so we can
4	just
5	THE COURT: Sure, because I'm not yeah.
6	(Waiting for Prospective Juror 502 to enter)
7	(Prospective Juror No. 502 is present)
8	THE COURT: Okay, good morning.
9	THE MARSHAL: Judge, this is Mr. Oakley, Juror No.
10-	502. Just right up at the podium.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Okay.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Oakley is present, Badge No. 0502.
13	Mr. Oakley, thank you very much for being here today. And it
14	appears as though your daughter is here with you, too.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Yes, ma'am.
16	THE COURT: Will you state your name, Ma'am.
17	MS. THOMPSON: It's Valerie Thompson (phonetic).
18	THE COURT: Okay. And do you need the assistance
19	of your daughter to be here?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: That would be helpful.
21	THE COURT: Okay. What does she need to assist you
22	with?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Well, remember
24	memory.
25	THE COURT: Remembering things?
	Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

	· ·
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Yes, ma'am.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Did you have a head injury?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Yes, ma'am.
4	THE COURT: And when was that?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: 6/12/87.
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: 1987.
8	THE COURT: Okay. But you have a hard time
9	remembering things?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: All right. Because if you were to
12	serve as a juror, your daughter would not be able to assist
13	you in that manner.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Okay.
15	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Yes, ma'am.
17	THE COURT: Okay. So do you want to be excused by
18	the Court?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: I good question. I
20	don't yeah, that would be yes, ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I guess, my question is, can you
22	proceed without the assistance of your daughter?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: I don't know. First
24	time. No.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Then what I'm going to do is I

```
just want to thank you very much for your willingness to be
     here, and thank you for bringing him, but at this time, I'm
  2
     going to excuse you.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: All right.
               THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir, for being
  5
     here.
  6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 502: Thank you, Ma'am.
  7
               THE COURT: Have a good day.
               THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury panel,
  9
    please.
 10
              (Inside the presence of the prospective jurors)
 11
                       (Pause in the proceedings)
12
               THE COURT: All right. Does the State stipulate to
13
14
    the presence --
               THE MARSHAL: Thank you. Please be seated.
15
               THE COURT: -- of the jury venire?
16
              MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: The defense?
18
              MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
19
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
20
               Good morning, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to
21
    Department 12 of the Eighth Judicial District Court. You've
. 22
    been summonsed here today to serve as jurors in a criminal
23
    trial entitled State of Nevada versus Mathews. Before I do
24
    allow the parties to speak to you and give you a brief
25
```

statement of the facts in the case, I'm going to introduce some of the members of the staff in Department 12 and tell you what they do.

You've already met Officer Hawkes. He's the Court Marshal in Department 12. He's in charge of the security in Department 12. He's also the person that you'll have the most contact with. Throughout this process of selecting a jury and after we do have a jury selected. Myself, the parties, the staff, the attorneys, with the exception of Officer Hawkes are not permitted to have any communication with you whatsoever outside of the courtroom.

So if there is something that you need to communicate to the Court, I ask that you do so in the courtroom on the record in the presence of both sides. In front of me is Kris. Kris is the court recorder. It's her job to take down everything that is being said in the courtroom. When you're called upon to address the Court or the lawyers, I ask that before you respond that you please state your name, the badge number that's been provided to you by the Jury Commissioner before you do respond so that we have a clear record of who is speaking at all times.

At some point, Kris is going to be called upon by myself to prepare what we call a written transcript, which means that she will read -- I'm sorry, that she will listen to the recording and she will type verbatim everything that

is said in the courtroom. That's why it's important that you please state your name and badge number before responding.

To my right is the court Clerk Phyllis. She's the person that keeps the official record of the court. She takes the minutes, she's also the person who will take charge of all of the evidence when it's introduced at the time of trial. To her right is Robert. He works in Department 12 as well. He's a licensed attorney in the State of Nevada and he assists the Court with legal issues.

With that, I'm going to allow the State of Nevada and the defense to give you a brief statement of the facts. Both sides are going to give a witness list. I ask that you pay attention to all the names of the witnesses who will be called to testify in this matter because at some point I will ask you if you're familiar with any of the witnesses. State of Nevada.

MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen of the jury. My name is Chris Burton. Seated with me at counsel table is my co-counsel, Michelle Jobe. We represent the State of Nevada.

In this case, the Defendant Donovine Mathews, is charged with child abuse, neglect or endangerment with substantial bodily harm arising from an incident that occurred at -- on January 5th, 2016 at 1029 Lisbon Avenue, Apartment No. 5, here in Las Vegas.

The witnesses who may be called in this class include: Jasmin Cathcart, Dr. Ellis Olson, Dr. Sandra Cetl, Phil Peltiar, Officer John Bethard, Detective Phil DePalma, Detective Christopher Grivas, Detective Brian Santarossa, Sergeant Troyce Krumne, Robbie Dahn, Joseph Szukiewicz, Joanna Westmoreland and Matt Trammell. Thank you.

MS. HOLIDAY: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

My name is Kristy Holiday. My co-counsel in this case is Carli Kierny.

MS. KIERNY: Good morning.

MS. HOLIDAY: We have the privilege of representing Mr. Donovine Mathews, who is not guilty of these charges. In addition to any of the witnesses named by the State, we intend to call Dr. Lindsay Dutch Johnson and Kevin Gene, who is an investigator with our office. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, the Clerk will call the roll of the panel of prospective jurors. When your name is called, if you'll please indicate present or here.

(THE CLERK CALLS THE ROLL OF THE PROSPECTIVE JURORS)

THE COURT: Anyone whose name was not called by the Clerk of the Court? The record will reflect no response from the panel. If you'll all please stand and raise your right hand so the Clerk can swear you in.

(THE CLERK SWEARS PROSPECTIVE JURORS)

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

2.0

THE COURT: Thank you. At this time, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, we're about to commence is called voir dire examination. The term voir dire means to tell the truth. During this process, you'll be asked questions bearing upon your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors. To accomplish this result, various questions will be asked of you by myself or counsel for the parties.

On occasion some of these questions will seem somewhat personal. While we do not wish to unnecessarily pry into your personal lives, the questions are necessary so that counsel and the Court can make an intelligence determination as to your capabilities to serve fairly and impartially. I want you to know that myself and the attorneys and all the persons involved in this case are concerned with having this matter tried by jurors who are completely open-minded, neutral, objective and unbiased in their thinking.

Wide discretion is vested in the trial judge as to the method of examination of jurors. As I stated previously, I will personally conduct the voir dire, but I will give the attorneys the opportunity to participate in the questioning.

It is important that you know the significance of full, complete and honest answers to all the questions we're about to ask you. I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything which might indicate bias or prejudice of

any sort by any of you. Should you fail to answer truthfully or if you hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications, that fact may tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after you're discharged as jurors.

Your decision should be based upon all of the evidence presented during the trial, not based upon preconceived prejudice or bias. I will conduct a general voir dire of all of you while you're seated in the audience. After those general questions, the Clerk will call the first 24 names using the order provided to us by the Jury Commissioner to fill the jury box.

At some point during the process of selecting the jury, the attorneys for both sides will have the right to ask that a particular person not serve as a juror. These requests are called challenges. There are two types of challenges; challenges for cause and peremptory challenges.

Challenges for cause means that a juror's been excused because his or her answers to some of the voir dire questions indicate that he or she would have a difficult time in giving a fair and impartial hearing to the case. I will ask the attorneys to pass or waive the prospective jurors for a cause challenge when they are done questioning the jury panel.

A peremptory challenge means that a juror can be

excused from duty without counsel having to give a reason for the excusal. Please do not be offended should you be excused by either of the challenge procedures. They are simply part of the procedure designed to protect the rights of the parties under our system of government.

Is there anyone on the panel who has ever been convicted of a felony? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel who is not a citizen of the United States? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel who is not a resident of Clark County, Nevada? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Is there anyone on the panel who has such a sympathy, prejudice or bias relating to age, religion, race, gender or national origin that they feel would affect their abilities to an open-minded, fair and impartial juror? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you on the panel who are acquainted with the defendant in this matter? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Anyone familiar with his attorneys? The defendant's attorneys? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you who are acquainted with the Deputy District Attorneys who have been assigned to prosecute this matter? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

.14

The District Attorney's Office employs many deputies and other personnel. Is there anyone who has such a close relationship with either the District Attorney Mr. Steve Wolfson, his deputies or other members of his staff that you feel might affect your ability to serve as a fair and impartial juror in this particular case? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Are there any of you on the panel who are acquainted with any of the witnesses whose names were previously mentioned by the attorneys? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

We expect this case to last four or five days. So -- is that what we agreed, four or five days?

MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So, through the week. Is there anyone what's spending that amount of time with us would be such an undue hardship or burden such it would make it impossible for you to be here? We'll just start -- if you'll start with your name and badge number, Mr. Evans.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Timothy Evans, Badge No. 363. I currently work for Clark County School District

```
as a substitute teacher, so my schedule, I don't get any
    compensation for when I'm not at work for that. And also, I
 2
    -- I am contracted with CSN as well. So then that would
 3
    hinder my attendance for going to work being gone that long.
              THE COURT: Okay. So you work for Clark County
 5
    School District and CSN?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes.
              THE COURT: But they both know you're here on a
 8
    jury summons? as soon as.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363:
10
              THE COURT: You didn't tell your employer?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I don't -- I'm a call
12
    -- I'm a call-in. So I only get -- I only get -- I only work
13
   when I go to -- like I only get called in. I'm not a --
14
              THE COURT: I'm sorry, you work for the Clark
15
    County School District, what, as a substitute teacher?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
18
    you for being here.
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: My name's Christopher
20
   Vergari, Badge No. 369. I currently have two part-time jobs
21
    that don't offer like sick leave or annual leave. So if I
22
    don't go to work, I don't get paid, and I'm the sole income
23
    earner for my house and I support my fiancé and my
24
    two-year-old son. So I don't think it would -- I wouldn't --
25
```

1	I wouldn't make money to support them.
2	THE COURT: Be able to pay your bills
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Right.
4	THE COURT: if you were here?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Right.
6	THE COURT: Would you be able to pay your bills if
7	you were here?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 369: Oh, no, I would not.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, my name is Tony
11	Lozano. My badge number is 439. I am currently on light
12	duty at work after knee surgery. I am only allowed to work
13	six hours a day, and I am not compensated through my company
14	for any kind of jury duty.
15	THE COURT: Okay. So you're on light duty over a
16.	knee jury, correct?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, I had knee surgery
18	about a month-and-a-half ago, I'm on light duty now. I'm not
19.	back to my full job, and I'm only allowed six hours a day
20	because of workman's comp.
21	THE COURT: Okay. Six hours a day, but if you were
22	here and sitting down, would that interfere with your injury?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Anyone else?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: My name is Nick Lewis,

```
Badge No. 450, and I have my own private facility, and I have
    over 30 welcome workouts coming in, like consultations coming
    in, and my partner is out of town and it's just me running
 3
    the business right now. And I don't know how I would manage
    and miss out on all that money to flourish my business if I'm
 5
 6
    in here.
              THE COURT: You say a private facility. What type
    of facility?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It's a fitness
10
    facility.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
12
              THE COURT: And is there someone there watching the
13
    business?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: As of right now, my
15
    little brother's right now.
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: And then my partner's
18
    out of town in Miami. We're working on some partnerships and
19
    everything like that right now. We've been open for two
20
    years. We're working on a bigger location right now on at
21
    Flamingo and Durango so it's kind of hectic and they need me
22
23
    there.
              THE COURT: Okay. But if you were asked to be
24
   here, other arrangements would be made, correct?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I mean, I would have
 1
    to, if I had to --
 2
              THE COURT: Kind of like today?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: If I had no choice,
    like so --
              THE COURT: Sure.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: -- yes. I mean, like
 7
    if I have no choice, then, of course, I have to be here, but.
 8
              THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate you being
10
    here. Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Good morning, my name
11
    is Gloria --
12
              THE COURT: Morning.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: -- Melendez. My number
14
             I have a difficult to understand English. I speak a
15
    little bit, and I don't understand --
16
              THE COURT: Are you a citizen of the United States?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Yes.
18
              THE COURT: How long --
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I --
20
              THE COURT: -- have you lived in the United States?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Excuse me?
22
              THE COURT: How long have you lived in the United
23
    States?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Around 20 years.
25
```

1	·	THE COURT: 20 years?
2		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I have yes. I
3	have	
4		THE COURT: Okay.
5		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: taken English class
6	in the fir	st years. After that, I been a volunteer at the
7	Clark Coun	ity
8		THE COURT: Are you employed?
9		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Excuse me?
10		THE COURT: Are you employed?
11		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I have my husband, he's
12	real estat	e agent. I have with administration paper.
13	ı	THE COURT: You work in your husband's office?
14		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Yes.
15		THE COURT: Okay.
16		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Uh-huh.
17		THE COURT: If there's anything that you don't
18	understand	, I just ask that you bring it to my attention so
19	we can cla	rify for you. Okay?
20	·	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Oh, okay.
21	r	THE COURT: Thank you for being here.
22		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Thank you.
23	r	THE COURT: You don't have to talk.
24	!	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Hi, I'm Tim Martin,
25	Badge No.	455. I work swing to late graveyard, 7:00 to 3:00

to 4:00 in the morning. 1 THE COURT: 7:00 to -- okay. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: And then --3 THE COURT: Here's -- what do you do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Bartend. 5 THE COURT: Okay. I know that Vegas is a 24-hour 6 town so whenever I -- but the courthouse, unfortunately, is 8:00 to 5:00. Well, I guess fortunately, we have regular hours. So if you were asked to be on this panel, if you were 9 selected, I would tell you not to go to work, don't work --10 don't work until 3:00 o'clock in the morning because that 11 prevents you from being awake during the day. 12 Like, I usually don't have a problem with people 13 working until midnight, but to 3:00 or 4:00 in the morning I 14 think would be a problem. So you'd be under court order, 15 basically, not to work past midnight. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: And plus, also, I pick 17 my daughters up at 3:00 from school in the afternoons. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Who's going to pick them up 19 20 today? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: I got my mother to pick 21 She has -- she's leaving work early as soon as she 22 her up. 23 can pick her up. THE COURT: Okay. So if you're asked to be here, 24 you'll be able to make other arrangements, correct? 25

. 1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Not a hundred percent
2	guarantee.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Because her mother
5	works during the day, too, so
6	THE COURT: You're not going to leave your kids
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: we split
8	THE COURT: on the you're not going
9	to leave them at school without someone to pick them up,
10	right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Hello. Brandon Bonham,
14	Badge No. 458. I currently reside in Indian Springs. I'm
15	currently unemployed so
16	THE COURT: You don't live in Clark County?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Indian Springs, Clark
18	County, yes, ma'am.
19	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do in Indian
20	Springs?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I'm unemployed.
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry. How long does it take you
23	to get here?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Over an hour.
25	THE COURT: How go you get here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I got a ride from someone who's currently waiting in the parking garage. 2 THE COURT: You got someone that drove you from Indian Springs, and they're waiting for you in the parking garage? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes, ma'am. 6 7 THE COURT: Are you sure? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes, ma'am. 8 THE COURT: Are they still there? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: Yes, ma'am. 10 THE COURT: Is it a good friend? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: It's my mother. 12 13 THE COURT: Oh, your mom. Okay. If you were asked to be here, would you be able to be here every day? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I doubt I could. 15 THE COURT: Why not? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 458: I don't have the money 17 or transportation. Everybody I know works so --18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Good morning, my name's 20 Tom Gaytan, Badge No. 467. My employer currently does not 21 22 reimburse me for any time off, and I'm taking care of my mother, who has dementia, and I'm kind of leery of just 23 leaving her this long. I usually check in around noon. 24 to work in the morning, check in around noon, and I only work 25

four days a week, but it gives me that time go in and check 1 on her and make sure she's okay before I leave her for another few hours and go home. THE COURT: Okay. But you were asked to be here today and you made arrangements, correct? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: I just came. I just had to do what I had to do. came. Okay. Thank you. Thank you for being THE COURT: Anvone else? here. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: My name is Lynis Lehne. 10 My badge number is 493. I'm currently unemployed and 11 receiving compensation from the State through the 12 unemployment office. Part of that -- receiving that is I 13 have to be both available and actively seeking work on a 14 daily basis. That as a single mom precludes me from --15 THE COURT: You're not going to be in violation of 16 your unemployment if you're here doing jury duty, right? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: Well, I do know that I 18 have to actively --19 THE COURT: I imagine --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: -- seek and be 21 available on a daily basis. That's the requirement from 22 DETR. 23 THE COURT: Okay. You don't believe that you'll be 24 violating your unemployment by actually showing up to jury 25

1	duty, right?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: As far as I understand,
2	
3	I would be, if I'm not available. I have to mark a box on
4	there that says that I'm available every day. And
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: as a single mom of a
7	six-year-old, I'm my sole breadwinner.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: Thanks.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: Good afternoon, Your
11	Honor. My name is Christina Jameel, Badge ID is 495. My
12	employer does not reimburse me for being here. And my mother
13	was just recently hurt at work, so I've been providing
14	financially for myself and her. So I do not believe I'll be
15	able to pay my bills by taking a full week off of work.
16	THE COURT: If you were asked to be here, you would
17	not be able to pay your bills?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: Correct.
19	THE COURT: What do you do?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: Marketing.
21	THE COURT: Where do you work?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: Caesars.
23	THE COURT: And Caesars does not pay you if you
24	were
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: To be here.

1	THE COURT: here?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: Correct.
3	THE COURT: Did you check with human resources?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: Yeah.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 495: Thank you.
7	THE COURT: Anyone else?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Marie Luce Hamilton,
. 9	522. I actually have more as a questions.
10	THE COURT: Sure.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Any okay, I'm a
12	single mom, so if I have to be sequestered, I have a problem
13	with my son.
14	THE COURT: I'm not sequestering this jury.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Nobody going to
16	okay. That's fine, then.
17	THE COURT: You'll get to leave at the end of the
18	day.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 522: Good. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? The record
21	will reflect no further response from the panel. Are there
22	any of you who believe that for any other reason, you would
23	be unable to serve as a juror in this particular case? The
24	record will reflect no response from the panel.
25	Is there anyone on the panel who's ever been

1	engaged in law enforcement work or have a spouse or close
2	relative who's been engaged in law enforcement work?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Does it have to be here
4	or anywhere?
5	THE COURT: Go ahead and raise your hand and we'll
6	discuss it.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Nick Lewis, Badge No.
8	450. My aunt, she's a marshal for the Texas law enforcement.
9	THE COURT: For what?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: She's a Texas law
11	enforcement, she's a marshal in Houston, Texas.
12	THE COURT: Anything about her employment that
13	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this
14	particular case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Not really, but we
16	disagree and agree to a lot of things.
L 7	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
L 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I said not really, but
L 9	we do disagree, and agree on a lot of different like it's
20	her way and then the way that I see it like
21	THE COURT: Okay. I don't have a problem with
22	that. I just want to know
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah.
24	THE COURT: if there's anything about her
2.5	employment that would affect your ability to be fair and

1	impartial?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I
3	THE COURT: Because you understand you won't be
4	able to talk to her about this case?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Most definitely.
6	THE COURT: You understand that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about her
9	employment that would affect your ability to be fair and
10	impartial in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Not really. I just
12	don't agree
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: with a lot of
15	things. All right.
16	THE COURT: I'm sorry, not really what?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I said I just don't
18	agree with like some of the ways that she does her work and
1,9	how they have her
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: operating.
22	THE COURT: And she's in Houston, Texas?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Your name and badge
25	number, sir?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Tom Gaytan, Badge No.
2	467. Based on what you just said, would it affect my
3	decision
4	THE COURT: Go ahead.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: making that it
6	would
7	THE COURT: Just tell me what it is you were going
8	to tell me.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: No, that my brother is
10	he's a detective in the Arson Division in Los Angeles
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: and also does
13	THE COURT: So LAPD?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Yes.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about his employment
16	that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: No, no.
18	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: That's why I said.
20	THE COURT: Okay, anyone else that wishes to
2-1	respond? The record will reflect no further
22	THE MARSHAL: Hang on.
23	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: Lynis Lehne, Badge No.
25	493. My cousin is a detective with Metro.

THE COURT: Okay. How long is your -- what department in -- where is your cousin a detective? I know 3 Metro, but what division? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: I have no idea. 5 part of a think tank. 6 THE COURT: I'm sorry? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: He was part of a think tank so I don't know what division he's with. 8 THE COURT: A think tank? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: Correct. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that, that would 12 affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 493: No. 13 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? The record 15 will reflect no response from the panel. Is there anyone on the panel who believes they may not be able to follow all the 16 17 instructions of the Court on the law, even if these 18 instructions differed from your personal conceptions of what the law ought to be? Anyone who believes they cannot follow 19 the law as given to you by the Court even if you don't agree 20 21 with it? The record will reflect no response from the panel. As a follow-up to the previous question, in any 22 23 criminal trial, the members of the jury sitting collectively are the judges of the question of fact in this case. As the 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Judge in the case, I'm the Judge as the question of law, and

25

it's my responsibility to be sure that I give instructions on the law that apply to this particular case.

1.0

It would be a violation of a juror's duty if he or she tried to render a judgment based upon what he or she believed the law to be if that differed from my instructions. With that in mind, is there anyone who feels they cannot be fact finders and follow my instructions on the applicable law in this case? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Under our system, there are certain principles of law that apply in every criminal trial. They are that the Information filed in this case is a mere acquisition and is not evidence of guilt. As the defendant sits here today, he is presumed innocent and the State must therefore — the State must therefore prove the defendant is guilty by proof beyond a reasonable doubt. Does anyone not understand or believe in these basic precepts of American justice? The record will reflect no response from the panel.

Anyone on the panel that knows anything about this case other than what's been stated in the courtroom here today? The record will reflect no response. I'm going to ask the attorneys to just meet me out in the hallway. I'll leave you in here because it will be faster. I just want to remind you that you're not permitted to discuss the case. The Court Marshal will stay in here and the Court Recorder

will be here, and I just want to remind you that you'll still be on record. It will just take us a couple minutes. Thank you. (Off-record sidebar). THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence of the jury panel? MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: The defense? 8 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Before we do begin, I just want 1.0 to tell you we had a change of the Clerks. Susan is the Clerk in Department 12. So she's back. She was previously 12 on jury duty. She was excused so now she can get -- she gets 13 to come back to join us for the rest of the day. 14 So with that she's going to call the first 24 15 16 names. THE CLERK: Thank you, Your Honor. Timothy Evans, 17 seat number 1. Lourdes Pineda, seat number 2. 18 THE COURT: So Mr. Vergari, I'm going to ask you to 19 step down and sit out in the gallery. 20 (Pause in the proceedings) 21 THE CLERK: Thank you. Gloria Melendez, seat 22 Just one over. Alvaro Herrera, seat number 4. 23 number 3. Donny Cheng, seat number 5. Marilyn Lopez-Hernandez, seat 24 number 6. Kevin Terry, seat number 7. Michael Browning, 25

seat number 8. Gabriel Cleto, seat number 9. Rashaad Davis, seat number 10. Ericka Gonzalez-Garcia, seat number 11. Alexandria Todd, seat number 12. Justin Boren, 3 seat number 13. Damaris Ibay, seat number 14. Nathan 4 Miller, seat number 15. Tommy Lozano, seat number 16. Aaron 5 Bouch, seat number 17. Nicholas Lewis, seat number 18. 6 Bryan Figuered, seat number 19. Timmy Martin, seat number 20. THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Bonham, I'm just going to ask you to step out and sit out in the audience. 10 THE CLERK: Erica Rodriguez, seat number 21. 11 Thomas Gaytan, seat number 22. Heather Warren, seat number 12 23. And Michael Pypkowski, seat number 24. 13 THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Evans, I'm going to start 14 with you, and I just have a few questions. How long have you 15 lived in Clark County? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Timothy Evans, Badge 17 No. 363. I've lived in Clark County for five years. 18 THE COURT: Your employment, training, education 19 background? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right now I'm a 21 substitute teacher for Clark County School District and CSN 22 or College of Southern Nevada. I have a bachelor's in 23 business and a master's in business administration. 24 THE COURT: Your marital status? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Single.
2	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No.
4	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
5	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
6	serve on this panel?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I would have to
8	honestly say my bias towards child neglect and abuse.
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: This by my personal
11	view and bias against child neglect and abuse
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: is just for me
14.	personally. I just because I think of my nephew and how
15	close I am so I just
16	THE COURT: Do you have any opinions on thoughts
17	that would interfere with your ability to be fair and
18	impartial to the parties here?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. Just because of
20	my just how am I about
21	THE COURT: Who are you going to be unfair to?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Probably to the
23	defendant.
24	THE COURT: You know you haven't heard any
25	evidence, correct?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I know. I know, and I
 1
    just -- it's a personal thing I do have. And I -- it's
    something that I try to work on but it is because of my
 3
    background, I've also worked in prisons so then I also have
    this block, just kind of put up --
 5
              THE COURT: So you have law enforcement --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: -- a history --
              THE COURT: -- background?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No, I wasn't a law 🖡
 9
    enforcement. I worked with -- I was a trainer in prisons.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: So I worked with people
12
   getting on parole and probation and I trained them to become
13
   able to get out and get on parole and take their classes when
14
15
    they were --
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: -- in prison.
17
   wasn't a -- I wasn't a parole -- I wasn't an officer or
18
    anything like that.
19
              THE COURT: You understand as the defendant sits
20
   here today, he's presumed innocent?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yeah, I do.
22
              THE COURT: Do you agree with that?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: That's -- I -- I
24
   can -- I can see how you can be presumed innocent, but I
25
```

could still see where I would find bias in some things because if you are -- for me to be even put into that situation, means that you have to --THE COURT: What situation? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: To be put into a 5 situation that you're in now where you're being accused of 6 something like that, means that you have to have -- to me, it means that you have to have done something to even be put into that situation. THE COURT: Okay. But you understand he's presumed 10 innocent? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I can't say I do or I 14 don't. 15 THE COURT: You don't agree with the presumption of 16 17 innocence? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I do to a certain 18 degree, to be perfectly honest. 19 THE COURT: Well, I need to know as to what degree 20 21 because -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Because -- well, that's 22 what I'm saying, to where it you are put into a situation, 23 there has to have been something in your past or something 24 that you've done or something that has been put out there 25

that makes someone believe that you are capable and that you are the person that would do something to that to a child. THE COURT: So that statement would apply to 3 anybody in America that was charged with a crime, that statement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I guess, you could 7 say --THE COURT: So my question again is do you agree 8 with the presumption of innocence? Do you understand the presumption of innocence? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. Presumption of 11 innocence is that you have to be proven guilty in order 12 13 to --THE COURT: No, the presumption of innocence --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: -- in the court of 15 16 law --THE COURT: -- means as he sits here today, he is 17 presumed innocent. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: 19 THE COURT: And you understand that? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right, I do -- yes. 21 understand that because he's sitting here he does -- but I'm 22 just saying -- I don't know to explain it, I guess, but I : 23 understand that he's presumed innocent because he's sitting 24 here right now and today. But I just don't --25

1	THE COURT: Just like anybody else in America
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right.
3	THE COURT: that was charged with a crime.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right.
- 5	THE COURT: Even including yourself.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right.
7	THE COURT: Do you think you'd be entitled to the
8	presumption of innocence?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I I I
10	couldn't say it's hard for me to put myself into that
11	situation.
12	THE COURT: If you were charged with a crime, do
13	you believe you'd be entitled to the presumption of
14	innocence?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: If I had nothing to do
16	with anything or anything like that, yes. But if I at the
17	end of the day for me, I understand what you're
18	THE COURT: You understand that
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I understand what
20	you're asking me.
21	THE COURT: Okay, just a minute. You understand
22	that's not what the presumption of innocence means?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right.
24	THE COURT: It doesn't mean if this or if that
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right.

THE COURT: -- and condition on this. Everybody is 1 entitled to the presumption of innocence. 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 3 THE COURT: Do you understand that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: 5 Including you --THE COURT: 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 7 THE COURT: -- if you were charged with a crime. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 9 THE COURT: Do you understand that? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Do you agree with that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I guess, you could say 1.3 I do -- I do -- I guess, I -- I have to stop saying I 14 guess because I'm thinking too much, but yes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. You understand the State has the 1.6 burden and they have to prove everything that they've alleged 17 in their charging document by proof beyond a reasonable 18 doubt? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 20 THE COURT: Do you understand that? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 22 THE COURT: And you understand that, I mean, they 23 have that burden. If you sit in this trial and the State 24 does not meet their burden, do you have any problem returning

a verdict of not guilty? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No, I guess. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Is there something about the --3 I mean, that makes sense, right? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yeah. THE COURT: And vice versa. If the State meets their burden of proof, would you have any problems signing a 7 guilty verdict? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: 9 THE COURT: Okay. But you understand you would 10 have to judge this case based solely upon the facts and 11 evidence as you hear in the courtroom and nothing else? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 13 THE COURT: You understand that, correct? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes, I -- I understand 15 16 that. THE COURT: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: That's what I'm saying 18 with -- it's just the -- I understand all of that. It's just 19 me -- it's a personal thing that I do have based on certain 20 things in my past. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: So that's where -- it's 23 not about -- I understand that I have to do that. As my duty 24 I have to be able to block those things out. It's just that 25

I have that personal problem where I know it will be a very difficult thing for me, to be very honest. I'm just trying 2 to be honest with you. 3 THE COURT: Sure. You're under oath. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. THE COURT: So you would have a difficult time granting the defendant the presumption of innocence? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: In this case, yes. 8 THE COURT: Why? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: It's just things that 1.0 have happened where I just feel like neglect and -- and child 11 abuse and all that kind of -- I just -- I have a big 12 13 problem --THE COURT: Let me just stop you there because you 14 15 understand that nothing that has occurred in your life has 16 anything --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 17 THE COURT: -- to do with this case, right? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes, I do. 19 THE COURT: You haven't heard -- remember I asked 20 you, do you know anything about this case other than what's 21 been said in the courtroom? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 23 THE COURT: So you know absolutely nothing about 24 25 this case; is that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 1 THE COURT: All right. So you understand that you 2 would not be able to judge this case if you were asked to 3 serve, based on anything other than what you see and hear in the courtroom? Do you understand that? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 6 THE COURT: And that's an interesting concept 7 because everybody comes to the courtroom with life experience. We want people. That's what makes good jurors. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 10 THE COURT: People that have life experience, that 11 have done things, experienced things. We don't expect you to 12 leave it all at the door, but what we do expect you to do is 13 to set it aside --14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 15 THE COURT: -- and judge this case based solely 16 upon what you see from the witness stand and the evidence and 17 the instructions on the law, which will be given to you by 18 myself. Do you understand that? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 20 THE COURT: Because you understand how unfair it 21 would be to either side if you were to judge this case on 22 your life experience? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Correct. 24 THE COURT: Or something that didn't happen in the 25

1	courtroom?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right.
3	THE COURT: That would be outrageous, right?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Do we have to worry about your
6	life experiences or anything that would interfere with your
7	ability to judge this case solely upon what you see and hear
8	in the courtroom and the instructions on the law?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: That was it.
12	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Lourdes Pineda, how long
13	have you lived in Clark County?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Since 2005.
15	THE COURT: Your education background?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I have a college
17	background in the Philippines.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a degree?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: No, not a degree. Just
20	only a college background. I I take secretarial in the
21	Philippines.
22	THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a living?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I'm working at the
24	(indecipherable) right now and, yeah.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
- 1	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I'm married. I have
2	two kids.
3	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: No, my spouse is
5	already retired.
6	THE COURT: What did your spouse retire from?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: He's retired in he's
8,	a U.S. Navy retired.
9	THE COURT: And your two kids, are they old enough
10	to be employed?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Oh, my daughter, she
12	went to college. She's 24 old and this coming 18 and my son
13	is 25.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be
15	a completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
16	serve on this panel?
. 17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: The reason why is
18	sometimes this is my first time in my whole life to attend
19	a jury, but
20	THE COURT: Thank you for being here.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: you know, in in
22	this case its look like I feel nervous to judge the person.
23	I don't know why. It's not like I'm not concentrated.
24	THE COURT: Nothing wrong with being nervous.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: That's all. You know

```
-- you know, because I'm not that kind of person that to
 1
    judge so --
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: -- that's -- yeah,
    that's my problem is I'm not comfortable.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay. I'd probably -- I would probably
 6
    be more uncomfortable with someone that said I'm really
 7
    comfortable judging people. There's nothing wrong with that,
    okay? You should be uncomfortable. You should be nervous.
    But is there anything beyond that, that would interfere with
10
    your ability to be fair and impartial to the State or to the
11
    defense?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370:
                                         I think, no.
13
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Melendez, how
14
    long have you lived in Clark County?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I has living here for
1.6
    around 20 years.
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Your education background?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I have a bachelor
19
    degree, accounting, public accounting in Mexico. I has been
20
    taking English class here at the Community Center, and I was
21
    volunteer at the --
22
              THE COURT: You've been employed here in Clark
23
    County?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I was working at -- at
25
```

1	the car dealer.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Yeah. And I was
4	volunteer at the Clark County School District at the school
5	my kids' schools.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Okay?
8	THE COURT: All right. Your marital status?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I'm married. I have
10	two kids.
11	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: The what?
13	THE COURT: Is your husband employed?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Yes. He's a real
15	estate agent.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And you indicated earlier that
17	you work in his office?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I I work with him
19	sometimes. I file the documents or write some letters.
20	THE COURT: All right. And your two kids, you
21	indicated they were in the Clark County School District. Are
22	they both under 18?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: My son is 15 years old
24	and my daughter is 17 years old.
25	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be

```
a completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
 1
    serve on this panel?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I am glad to serve my
    country, yeah.
              THE COURT: Okay.
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I don't understand more
 6
    technical word, but I want to serve my country.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you for being
 8
 9
    here.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Thank you.
10
              THE COURT: Mr., it's Alvaro Herrera?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Alvaro Herrera.
12
              THE COURT: Thank you.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
14
              THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark
15
    County?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: End of 2000, so
17
18
    about --
              THE COURT: 16.
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: -- 16 years, yes.
20
              THE COURT: 16 years? Your educational and
21
22
    employment background?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: I've got two associate
23
    degrees. I'll currently pursuing my bachelor's at UNLV.
24
              THE COURT: Your bachelor's in?
25
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: In business
2	administration, finance.
. 3	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: I'm a loan support
5	officer for Bank of Nevada.
6	THE COURT: Your marital status?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Married.
8	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: She is.
10	THE COURT: What does she do?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: She's an insurance
12	agent.
13	THE COURT: Do you have children?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Two.
15	THE COURT: Are they little?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Minors?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: 14 and 7.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be
20	a completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: No.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
23	here. Mr. Donny Cheng.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes, ma'am.
25	THE COURT: Good morning.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Good morning, Ma'am.	
2	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark	
3	County?	
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Since 2001:	
5	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education	
6	background?	
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Not much.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. What's your education	
9	background?	
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Probably high school.	
11	THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living?	
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: I'm a dealer at the	_
13	casino Wynn.	
14	THE COURT: You're a dealer?	
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Table games, dealer.	
16	THE COURT: How long have you been dealing table	
17	games?	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: About 20 something, 30	
19	years.	٠
20	THE COURT: That's a long time.	
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes, ma'am.	
22	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes, I do.	
24	THE COURT: You're married?	
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes, ma'am.	

1	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
. 2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
3	THE COURT: What does your spouse do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: She does as a dealer,
5	too.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: We have one, Ma'am.
8	THE COURT: Is that child old enough to be
9	employed?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No, Ma'am, she's in
11	junior high.
12	THE COURT: Any thank you.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: You're welcome.
14	THE COURT: Any any reason why you could not be
15	a completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
16	serve?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: I don't get.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Did you hear me? Any reason
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: I hear a little bit,
20	but not
21	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
22	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
23	serve?
24.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yeah, I have to pick up
25	the son at 3:00 after work. I work 4:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon,
- 1	

1	and then took a map and then I need to pick up the kid, and
2	some of the neighbor kids
3	THE COURT: Okay. You understand if you're here,
4	you're not leaving and picking up any kids at 3:00 o'clock,
. 5	right?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes, ma'am.
7	THE COURT: Okay? So you understand if you're
8	here, you have to make other arrangements?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay? Is there any any reason why
11	you could not be a fair and impartial juror if you're
12	selected to serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No, Ma'am.
14	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Marilyn
15	Lopez-Hernandez.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Hello. Hi.
17	THE COURT: Good morning.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Good morning.
19	THE COURT: Well, I guess, it's afternoon, sorry.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: It's okay.
21	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark
22	County?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Eight year.
24	THE COURT: Eight years?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.

THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: In my country in Cuba.
THE COURT: I'm sorry?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: In the in the United
States only a year.
THE COURT: Oh, you've been in the United States
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
THE COURT: I just how long have you lived in
Clark County, here in Las Vegas?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Eight years.
THE COURT: Eight years?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: No, I sorry, my
English no, no more too perfect. I came into my country
here. I live in the Las Vegas eight year.
THE COURT: Eight years?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
THE COURT: And are you employed? Do you work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
THE COURT: What do you do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Aria, it's a casino,
dishwasher.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you married?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
. 2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Does your spouse work?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
5	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: She work the the
7	same casino, Aria Resort, my husband.
8	THE COURT: And what does your spouse do at the
9	Aria what did you say, the Aria Casino?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
13	THE COURT: What does your spouse do there?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Kitchen worker.
15	THE COURT: Do you have children?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
17	THE COURT: How many?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: One.
19	THE COURT: Is that child a minor child?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: I'm sorry, too more
21	nervous.
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: I'm too more nervous.
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry, is that child a small child?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
- 1	

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
4	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
5	serve?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: No.
7	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Terry, how long have
8	you lived in Clark County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Since 1990.
10	THE COURT: Your education background and
11	employment?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Bachelor's degree in
13	business administration. I work for Smith's Food and Drug as
14	a a receiver, inventory control manager.
15	THE COURT: Your marital status?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: I'm married.
17	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes, she is, with Clark
19	County as a assistant in the elementary schools.
20	THE COURT: She works for the school district in
21	the elementary
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes, as an assistant.
23	I'm not sure of her title.
24	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes. I have eight
ŀ	

	·
1	kids.
2	THE COURT: Are any of them old enough to be
3	employed?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Yes, five of them are.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me what they do for
6	a living?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: My oldest is an
8	accountant. My second oldest is a manager at a deli shop,
9	and my third oldest is employed by Clark County as a teacher.
10	Fourth oldest is a full-time student up in Reno. My fifth
11	oldest, fifth child is a missionary for our church out in
12	Japan. And my three kids at home are 16-year-old and
13	12-year-old and a 8-year-old.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be
15	a completely fair
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: I see no reason.
17	THE COURT: and impartial juror if you were
18	selected to serve?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: I see no reason.
20	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
21	here. Mr. Browning, how long have you lived in Clark County?
22	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 412: 54 years, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
25	background?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 412: No formal education
2	after high school, and I'm left lame electrician with a local
3	sign company.
4	THE COURT: Your marital status?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 412: Single.
6	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 412: Yes, I do. I have two
8	children.
9	THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 412: Yes.
11	THE COURT: What does each do for a living?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 412: One is self excuse
13	me, self-employed. My youngest works at Pizza Hut.
14	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
15	completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 412: No, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
18	Mr. Gabriel Cleto.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark
21	County?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: For 14 years.
23	THE COURT: Your education back ground?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: I have a bachelor's
25	degree at UNLV with art.

1	THE COURT: A bachelor's in?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Art.
3	THE COURT: In art? What do you do for a living?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: I'm a user interface
5	designer for the websites (indecipherable).
. 6	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Single.
8	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: No, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
11	completely fair and impartial juror for you were selected to
12	serve?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
15	here. Mr. Rashaad Davis, how long have you lived in Clark
16	County?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: 20 years.
18	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
19	background?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: No formal education
21	after high school. Background at working as loan specialist
22	for Bank of George.
23	THE COURT: Your marital status?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: Single.
25	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
1	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: No.
2	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
. 3	completely fair and impartial juror if selected to serve on
4	this panel?
. 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 416: No reason.
. 6	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
7	here. Ms. Ericka Gonzalez-Garcia, how long have you lived in
. 8	Clark County?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Four seven years.
10	THE COURT: Your education background?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I have a vocational
12	degree in medical billing.
13	THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: I work for Nationwide
15	Nevada as a funder.
16	THE COURT: As a what?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: As a funder.
18	THE COURT: What does that mean?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: We process the credit
20	applications that come in from the auto dealerships.
21	THE COURT: Okay. So you don't do anything about
22	medical billing?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Married.

ł .	
	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes, he is.
	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: He's a Uber and Lyft
driver.	
	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: An Über and Lyft
driver.	
	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have children?
I	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No, I don't.
7	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
completely	fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
serve?	
P	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No, Your Honor.
Т	HE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
Ms. Alexand	ria Todd, how long have you lived in Clark County?
P	ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: For 21 years.
Т	HE COURT: I'm sorry?
· P	ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: For 21 years.
T	HE COURT: Your education background?
. Pi	ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: I'm just a CNA license.
Ti	HE COURT: I'm sorry?
PI	ROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: A CNA license.
TI	HE COURT: Certified nursing assistant? Is that a
yes?	
}	driver. driver. driver. completely serve? P T Ms. Alexand P T Pi Ti Pi Ti

1		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Yes.
2		THE COURT: And what do you do for a living?
3		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: I'm a quality assurance
4	agent.	
5		THE COURT: Your marital status?
6		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Married.
7		THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
8		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Yes.
9		THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
10		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Same thing, quality
11	assurance	agent.
12		THE COURT: Do you have kids?
13		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: No.
14		THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
15	completely	fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
16	serve?	
17	·	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: No.
18		THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
19	Mr. Boren,	how long have you lived in Clark County?
20		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: 16 years.
21		THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
22	background	?
23		PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: I have a bachelor's in
24	economics,	and I manage a large mortgage banker.
25		THE COURT: Your marital status?
•		\cdot

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Married, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No.
4	THE COURT: Do you have children?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes. I have three
6	biological and four stepchildren.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So, seven total?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: Yes.
9	THE COURT: Are any of them old enough to be
10	employed?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No.
12	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
13	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
14	serve?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 423: No. Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
17	Ms. Damaris Ibay
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes.
19	THE COURT: how long have you lived in Clark
20	County?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: About 18 years.
22	THE COURT: Your education and employment
23	background?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: High school. I
25	finished high school, and I studied paralegal and I became a

```
nursing assistant back in New York City. I currently manage
 1
    my husband's office.
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 435: Medical office.
              THE COURT: So you manage your husband's office.
 5
    What kind of office is it?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425:
 7
    Obstetrician/gynecologist.
              THE COURT: Okay. How long -- so you manage the
    medical practice?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes.
11
              THE COURT: How long have you done that?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Since about 15 years or
13
14
    more.
              THE COURT: Okay. Do you have kids?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes.
16
              THE COURT: How many?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: I have four kids.
18
              THE COURT: Are any of them old enough to be
19
    employed?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yeah, three of them.
21
              THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me what those three
22
23
    kids do?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: My oldest daughter,
24
    she's 35, she is administrator/biller of the office.
```

1	second daughter, she's in college here in UNLV, and my son,
2	he's in college in San Diego. And then my 14-year-old, she's
3	in high school over here.
.4	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
5	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
6	serve?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: No.
8	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Miller, how long have
9	you lived in Clark County?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: 28 years.
11	THE COURT: Your employment and education
12	background?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: High school diploma.
14	I'm an auto mechanic.
1,5	THE COURT: Your marital status?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Married.
17	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: No.
19	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yes, three.
21	THE COURT: Are they little kids?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Youngest is 14.
23	THE COURT: Minors? Okay. Minors?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: One's 14, 17 and 22.
25	THE COURT: Okay. The 22-year-old, is that child

. 1	employed?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yes.
3	THE COURT: What does that child do?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: He works for a pipe
5	sales company.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be
7	a completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
8	serve?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: No.
10	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you, sir. Thank
11	you for being here. Mr. Tommy Lozano.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.
13	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark
14	County?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: 16 years.
16	THE COURT: Your education background?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No degrees, just high
18	school.
19	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Security officer for a
21	hotel resort.
22	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: 16 years.
24	THE COURT: What hotel do you work at?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Bellagio.
	i

·	THE COURT: Bellagio? Your marital status?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Married 42 years.
	THE COURT: Is your wife employed?
·	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No.
	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Three stepsons.
	THE COURT: Are any of them old enough to be
employed?	
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.
	THE COURT: What do they do for a living?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: One's a lawyer
journalist	The other one's a land processor. And the other
one is a r	mechanic.
	THE COURT: You said one was a lawyer journalist?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Correct.
	THE COURT: What does that mean?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: He got two master's
degrees.	One in journalism and one as an attorney.
	THE COURT: And does he practice law?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, he does.
	THE COURT: Is it here in Clark County?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No, he's not. He's in
California	
	THE COURT: All right. In another jurisdiction?
	journalist one is a reddegrees.

	i .
1	that you cannot discuss this case with anyone including your
2	fellow jurors until you've been discharged as a juror or you
3	go back to deliberate upon your verdict?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, I do.
7	THE COURT: So you understand you can't call up
8	this son and ask him any questions about this case; do you
9	understand that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, I do.
11	THE COURT: And you'll comply with that, correct?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, I will.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Any reason why you could not be
14	a completely fair and impartial juror?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
17	here. Mr. Aaron Bouch.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: Bouch.
19	THE COURT: Bouch. How long have you lived in
20	Clark County?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: Off and on for 21
22	years.
23	THE COURT: Your education and employment
24	background?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: I'm working to complete

1	my engineering and project management professional from
2	University of Nevada Reno. I am currently the senior project
3	manager for Project Neon.
4	THE COURT: For what?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: Project neon.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: I am married for five
8	years.
9	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: I have two sons and a
11	daughter. My oldest son is a junior at UNLV. My youngest
12	son is a freshman at Utah State, and my youngest daughter's
13	ten years old.
14	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: She's a genetic analyst
16	for Providence Healthcare.
17	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
18	completely fair and impartial juror?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: No.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you for being here.
21	Mr. Lewis, how long have you lived in Clark County?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: 20 plus years.
23	THE COURT: 21, 22?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Because it's off and on
25	because college and everything like that. My dad was in the
- 1	

1	Air Force so we like
2	THE COURT: 21, 22?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: 22.
4	THE COURT: 22? Your education background?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Bachelor's degree in
6	exercise science.
7	THE COURT: And you told me earlier about your
8	profession; is that correct?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: Your marital status?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Single.
12	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: No, Ma'am.
14	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
15	not be a completely fair and impartial juror if selected to
16	serve?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Well, it's kind of
18	personal, but I was like abused when I was younger, me and my
19	niece.
20	THE COURT: Is that something you want to talk to
21	at the bench with the Court?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Honestly, it's a little
23	bit personal, and I would not like
24	THE COURT: You can answer it in front of everybody
25	or at the bench in front of the lawyers and myself. It's up

1	to you.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I mean, I can answer it
3	in front of you
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: by
6	THE COURT: You can approach the bench and the
7	attorneys can join me.
8	(Bench conference begins)
9	THE COURT: Up here, Mr. Lewis.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: How are you doing?
11	THE COURT: Good. Just don't put your (inaudible)
12	up there.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Sorry (inaudible). I'm
14	sorry.
15	THE MARSHAL: The speaker has to pick you up.
16	THE COURT: Yeah, so that we can hear everything
17	you're saying. The lawyers are present, Mr. Lewis is
18	present. Is there something you think that happened when you
19	were a child?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah. Me and my niece
21	got abused by a babysitter.
22	THE COURT: How old were you?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Ten. She was nine.
24	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I said was ten and she
- 1	•

was nine. 2 THE COURT: Your sister? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: My niece. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And the babysitter was? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Like a friend of one of 5 my sister's friends that moved in or whatnot, but just giving 7 us a bath and like inappropriate touching and stuff. So, 8 like --THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: -- I said something, but nothing was really like established like, but he never 12 watched us again, and a family member like believed me and my 13 niece on what went down in the bathroom. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Was it a male or female? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It was a male. 16 THE COURT: It was a male babysitter? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am. 18 THE COURT: Okay. And you did disclose it? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Um-h'm. 20 didn't -- once I told my dad and my mother, and they like 21 handled it through them and nothing was -- like, no child -charges or anything. It was just like you stay away from us, 22 23 we stay away from you guys type of thing. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: So it was like

1	THE COURT: But you
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: kind of a touchy
3	subject
4	THE COURT: you did disclose it to your parents?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about that,
. 7	that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
8	this case?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I do I do. With
10	anything with kids it just turns my stomach. I'm just
11	really, so obviously, I'm not really like
12	THE COURT: Not really what?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I don't agree with it.
14	THE COURT: You don't agree with what?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: With any child abuse or
16	anything like that.
17	THE COURT: I think most people would probably say
18	that.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Um-h'm.
20	THE COURT: Is there anything about your experience
21	that would
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I mean, I was
23	touched
24	THE COURT: Just a minute.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Sorry about that.
Ì	

That would impede your ability to be THE COURT: 1 fair and impartial to the State or to the defense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I don't necessarily 3 understand what you're saying, but I was touched 4 inappropriately and I --5 THE COURT: And I'm sorry that you had to --6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Um-h'm. 7 THE COURT: -- tell us about that. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right. 9 THE COURT: But you understand this isn't -- this 10 isn't -- you heard both sides tell you --11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right, I heard -- I 12 heard --13 THE COURT: -- what the facts were, right? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Most definitely, but --15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: -- I don't know, that's 17 exactly I don't know what it's going to be thrown at me if 18 this happened and maybe what if I -- you know what I mean? 19 Like I said, I don't know any information or anything. 20 just letting you know because you asked me a question so --21 THE COURT: Okay. 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: -- I'm just giving you what you asked for. 24 THE COURT: Are you going to judge the case based 25

	1 upon facts and evidence that you hear in the courtroom?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Honestly, kind of, just
• *	3 depending what it is. If it's like touching and stuff like
	4 that, then I will probably have a cold heart towards that.
	THE COURT: Okay. Listen to my question. Are you
	6 going to judge this case based upon the facts and evidence
	7 that you hear in the courtroom and the instructions on the
8	8 law?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I'm not understanding.
10	I'm sorry.
11	THE COURT: Okay. You understand if you're called
12	to be a juror
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
14	THE COURT: that you'll hear evidence?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Oh, most definitely.
16	THE COURT: You'll hear evidence, you'll hear
17	witnesses. There might be things introduced into evidence.
18	And that you will be told what you can consider.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right.
2,0	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
22	THE COURT: You can't consider what may have
23	happened to you in your private life.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right.
25	THE COURT: Do you understand that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right.
2	THE COURT: Can you do that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I'll like, I mean,
4	if I got to, then I will. But like I said
5	THE COURT: Okay.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: it's a touchy
7	subject for me so
8	THE COURT: Okay. I understand.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: just letting you
10	know. I'm just informing you.
11	THE COURT: But you understand that anything that
12	happened to you, you cannot judge that this case based
13	upon that; do you understand that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Oh, most definitely. I
15	learned to let it go, but it's just the thought that comes in
16	my head so
17	THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not saying you got to let it
18	go. What I'm saying is, is that the parties here
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
20	THE COURT: are entitled to a jury in front of a
21	fair and impartial jury panel who's going to judge the case
22.	based on the evidence in the courtroom.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
24	THE COURT: Do you understand that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.

	1
1	THE COURT: Do you have any problem with that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Like I said, I'm
3	THE COURT: Listen, I know you don't want to be
4	here.
- 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I'm
6	THE COURT: I got that.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It's not even about
8	being here or
9	THE COURT: I got that.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: anything like that.
11	If I have to be here, then by all means like I have no choice
12	but, like, you're asking me questions so I'm just let you go
13	know like, maybe it's the wrong question I may be answering
14	or I'm answering it wrong, but I was just letting you know to
15	the best of my knowledge.
16	THE COURT: No, you're doing fine.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Um-h'm.
18	THE COURT: You're doing a good job. There's no
19	right or wrong answer.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right.
21	THE COURT: You're under oath.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am.
23	THE COURT: So the answer is only the truth.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: And that's why I'm just
25	want to just

THE COURT: Okay. 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: -- inform you that 2 maybe I might not inform you the way that I'm supposed to, 3 but I was just trying to let you so you can understand where I'm coming from as well. 5 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: THE COURT: And you also understand you cannot 8 judge this case based on anything other than what you see 1.0 here in the courtroom? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I --11 THE COURT: Do you understand that? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I totally understand 13 14 that, but --15 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: -- it's a touchy 16 subject when stuff comes to like that. 1.7 THE COURT: Okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: To me. I'm just going 19 to be so honest with you it is very touchy to me. 20 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, sir. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Thank you. 22 THE COURT: Thank you again for being here. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Thank you. Appreciate 24 25 you.

1	MS. HOLIDAY: (Inaudible) ask a question?
2	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
3	MS. HOLIDAY: I notice you haven't been asking if
4	they've had prior jury service.
5	THE COURT: No, I'll ask them at some point
6	MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.
7.	THE COURT: if they've ever served as a juror
8	before.
9	MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you.
10	(Bench conference concluded).
11	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bryan.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Figuered.
13	THE COURT: There you go. How long have you lived
14	in Clark County?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Just over 27 years.
16	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
17	background?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: I'm employed at New
19	York New York Hotel and Casino. I'm a grave shift manager
20	for property operations and maintenance.
21	THE COURT: Did you say what kind of shift
22	manager?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Grave graveyard.
24	THE COURT: Okay. So you heard what I say earlier?
25	If you're the manager, does that mean you have to be there?
- 1	

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: On the days that I
2	get I can get coverage.
. 3	THE COURT: Okay. So you understand you can't work
4	graveyard and then come here; you understand that, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: I did last night.
6	THE COURT: Pardon?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: I did last night,
8	Ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Well, you look pretty good for
10	someone that's been up all night and working, but you
11	understand I and I thank you very much. I appreciate you
12	doing that. But you
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: You're welcome.
14	THE COURT: understand that's probably not a
15	healthy thing to do, and we don't want jurors that are going
16	to do that, right?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Not tonight.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Or whatever.
20	THE COURT: Right.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Whenever's needed.
22	THE COURT: Because at some point you might just
23	pass out because you'll get so tired. I'm assuming your
24	employer doesn't want that either.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.

THE COURT: But you understand you wouldn't be able
to work graveyard, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: Okay. Your marital status?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Divorced.
THE COURT: Do you have children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Three.
THE COURT: Are they old enough to be employed?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: One is 27. She's
self-employed. The other two in Reno with their mother, is
12 and 11 years old boys.
THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
serve?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: There shouldn't be, no.
THE COURT: Okay. Anything? What did you hesitate
for? No reason?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Oh, I hesitated for
personal reasons, I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything you want to
inform the Court?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: No.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Martin thank you. Thank
you for being here how long have you lived in Clark
County?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Ten years.
2	THE COURT: Your education and employment
3	background?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Bartender and some
5	college.
6	THE COURT: Your marital status?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Single.
8	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: Yes.
10	THE COURT: How many kids do you have?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: One five-year-old.
12	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
13	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
14	serve on this panel?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 455: No.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
17	here. Ms. Rodriguez, how long have you lived in Clark
18	County?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Ten years.
20	THE COURT: Your employment and education
21	background?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Medical assistant.
23	(Court/Marshal conferring)
24	THE COURT: Your education background?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: High school.
- 1.	

1	THE COURT: And you're a medical assistant?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Um-h'm.
3	THE COURT: Is that a yes?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Your marital status?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Married.
7	THE COURT: Is your spouse employed?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Yes.
9	THE COURT: What does your spouse do?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: He's a doorman.
11	THE COURT: Do you have kids?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: Yes, two.
13	THE COURT: Two kids? Are they old enough to be
14	employed?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: No.
16	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
17	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
18	serve on this panel?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 466: No.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. It's my understanding that
21	the panel wants a bathroom break. So at this time, we're
22	going to recess for lunch. During this recess, you're
23	admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with
24	anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read,
25	watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial
- 1	

or any person connected with this trial by any medium of 1 information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio. Or form or express any 3 opinion on any subject connected with this trial until this case is finally submitted to you. 5 We'll -- we will start again at 1:45. Thank you 7 very much. THE MARSHAL: All rise for the exiting jury panel. (Court recessed at 12:27 p.m. until 1:52 p.m.) (Inside the presence of the prospective jurors) 10 THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury panel, 11 Thank you. Everyone please be seated. 12 please. Come to order. Court is now in session. 13 THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the 14 presence of the jury panel? 15 MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: The defense? 17 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Thomas Gaytan, how long have you lived in Clark County? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: 22 years, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Your employment, training, education 22 23 background? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Some college. 24 currently a manager at the Grandview Resort. 25

1	THE COURT: At the where?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Grandview Resort.
3	THE COURT: Your marital status?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Single.
5	THE COURT: Do you have children?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: No children.
7	THE COURT: Do you know of any reason why you could
8	not be a completely fair and impartial juror if you were
9	selected to serve on this panel?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: No.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Heather Warren, how
12	long have you lived in Clark County?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: 21 years.
14	THE COURT: Your employment, training, education
15	background?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: I have bachelor of
17	science in elementary education and a master's of education.
18	I I'm a sixth grade teacher for the Clark County School
19	District.
20	THE COURT: You're a sixth grade teacher?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Um-h'm.
22	THE COURT: Is that yes?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Yes, I'm a teacher.
24	THE COURT: You teach sixth grade?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Um-h'm.

	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: This is my seventh year
	with sixth grade. I've been in the school district since
4	2004.
Ę	THE COURT: Okay. Have you taught other than sixth
6	grade?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: I taught kindergarten,
8	first, third and fourth.
9	THE COURT: Oh, so almost all of them.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Um-h'm.
11	THE COURT: What do you like best?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: There's everybody
13	asks me that. There's there's pros and cons to both. The
14	older are more independent, but sometimes they're harder to
15	get to do their work.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: So I love all the ages.
18	THE COURT: Good. Good answer. Your marital
19	status?
2.0	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Single for now. Just
21	got engaged.
22	THE COURT: Do you have any children?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Three step kids.
24	THE COURT: Pardon?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Three step kids.
-	

1	THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a
2	completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to
3	serve?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: No.
5	THE COURT: And your fiancé, how is your fiancé
. 6	employed?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: He works in maintenance
8	control for Skywest Airlines.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Mr I'm going
10	to
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: Pypkowski.
12	THE COURT: Pypkowski. How long have you lived in
13	Clark County?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: 29 years.
15	THE COURT: Your education background?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: High school graduate.
17	THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: I'm an engineering
19	technician for the Nevada Department of Transportation.
20	THE COURT: What does that mean? What do you do?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: I test concrete and
22	asphalt aggregates to make sure they meet our quality
23	assurance standards.
24	THE COURT: Your marital status?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: Engaged.

What does your fiancé do for a living? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: She's an assistant 2 3 manager for Smith's. Do you have children? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: Two young girls. 5 THE COURT: Any reason why you could not be a 6 completely fair and impartial juror if you were selected to serve? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 473: No. Thank you, sir. Thank you for being THE COURT: 10 I just have a few questions for the panel as a whole. 11 So in the panel of 24, if you wish to respond in the 12 affirmative, just let me know and remember to state your name 13 and badge number before responding. 14 15 Any of you on the panel of 24 that have ever been called to serve as a juror before and you've been sworn in 16 and served? Anyone that's ever been called to serve as a 17 juror before? Not even one person? Okay, go ahead. Mr. --18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Gaytan, Tom Gaytan, 19 467. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: I want to say I think I 22 was, but then I was exempt at the time because I had my own 23 24 business.

THE COURT:

25

You were called and then you were

1	excused?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Correct.
	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Anyone else? Go
3	
4	ahead.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Heather Warren, 470.
6	THE COURT: The question is have you ever served as
7	a juror before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: No.
9	THE COURT: So come to court, if you've served as a
10	juror before. Go ahead.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Oh, no. I was called
12	before, but I never made it into a courtroom.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Anyone on the panel who has ever
14	served as a juror before? The record will reflect no
15	response from the panel. Have you or anyone close to you,
16	such as a family member or friend, ever been the victim of a
17	crime? Go ahead. You got the microphone. What are you
18	handing it off for?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Heather Warren, 470.
20	Yes, I have. My mother's car car was stolen.
21	THE COURT: I'm sorry?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Car car theft, my
22	
23	my some my mother.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Your mom had a car stolen?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Um-h'm.

1	THE COURT: Is that a yes?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Yes.
. 3	THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Anything about that, that would affect
6	your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: No.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: It was just a home
10	robbery.
11	THE COURT: Your name and badge number?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Oh, Tom Tom Gaytan,
13	467. It was a home robbery during my father's funeral.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Was that here in Clark County?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: No, it was in
16	California.
17	THE COURT: And how long ago was that?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: That was eight years
19	ago.
20	THE COURT: And did you call the police?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Oh, yes.
22	THE COURT: Did they find the person that did it?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: They did not catch him.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that, that would
25	affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: I don't believe so.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Go ahead,
3	sir. Your name and badge number.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Timothy Evans, No. 363.
5	I've been robbed three times at gunpoint.
6	THE COURT: You've been robbed at gunpoint three
7	times?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes, in California, but
9	not in Nevada, but in California.
10	THE COURT: They were all in California?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes.
12	THE COURT: Each time? Did you call the police?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: One time I was able to
14	call the police. Other two times, they took my phone so I
15	wasn't able to call the police or and there was nowhere to
16	go at like immediately to get to a phone to call.
17	THE COURT: And so you didn't report it at all?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I yeah.
21	THE COURT: So one time you did report it, correct?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes, yes.
23	THE COURT: And did the police respond?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Unfortunately, not.
25	They didn't really come because it was in an area where a lot

```
of stuff is going on.
              THE COURT: You call the police and they didn't
 2
    come?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Not for me. They came
 4
    for other -- other stuff was happening in the area.
 5
    of makes it like it was a party going on and stuff like that
    so they came for that, but when they called, they were like
    oh, a police is already on his way.
              THE COURT: Okay.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363:
                                          So --
10
              THE COURT: And when the police came there, did you
11
    go get in contact with the police officer?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: We talked to them.
13
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: And we told him what
15
   was going on, but there was a whole bunch of other reports of
16
    the same thing, I guess, going on. I guess, it was a whole
17
    bunch of people getting robbed that night.
18
              THE COURT: A whole bunch of people at a party or
19
    whatever?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363:
2.1
                          Getting robbed?
              THE COURT:
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363:
                                          It was -- yeah, it was
23
    in high school so --
24
              THE COURT: It was in high school?
25
```

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes.
 1
              THE COURT: Okay. And then the other two times,
 2
    you didn't call the police at all?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363:
                          Why?
              THE COURT:
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I just chalked it up.
    I just -- I just was like it's -- it happens. Where I --
 7
              THE COURT: Robbed at gunpoint?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Well, I -- I've been --
    in the areas where I grew up it was just like it happens so
10
11
    get over it.
                          Okay.
              THE COURT:
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I grew up in the areas
13
    where it was kind of like that.
14
              THE COURT: And that's how you felt kind of about
15
    those two incidents?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes.
17
              THE COURT: To get over it?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yep.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those experiences
20
    that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
21
22
    this case?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363:
23
              THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Thank you for being
24
    here. Anyone else? Okay. Have you or anyone close to you,
25
```

. 1	such as a family member or friend, ever been accused of a
2	crime? Go ahead. Your name and badge number?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Timothy Evans, Badge
4	No. 363. I have friends that have been accused of robbery.
5	Friends that have been accused of stealing a car. I have a
6	friend that was accused of murder, and I have that's all I
7	can think of right now.
8	THE COURT: Are any of those currently ongoing?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No. They've all been
10	closed cases.
11	THE COURT: Resolved?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes, resolved.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those incidents
14	that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in
15	this case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No.
17	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Anyone else? Okay.
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Alvaro Herrera, No.
19	373. My brother has gotten three DUIs.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And what jurisdiction?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Clark County.
22	THE COURT: Are any of them
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Pending.
24	THE COURT: I guess pending?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: No. Everything's been

. 1 closed out. 2 THE COURT: Anything about that, that would affect 3 your ability to be fair and impartial? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: No. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 402: Kevin Terry, 402. 7 had a nephew that was involved in a automobile accident, and there were two people that passed away in that accident. He was accused of being under the influence. He wasn't under 10 the influence. He was on medication, off of his medication 11 at that time and went through the court service, but there 12 was -- he was exonerated. He was --13 THE COURT: Okay. So you had a nephew that was 14 accused of what? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Of --16 THE COURT: Of driving under the influence causing 17 death? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes, 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. And then, I guess, was 20 prosecuted? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: He was prosecuted at the time. There was no jury, there was no -- it was resolved 22 23 and then he was exonerated. He was let -- he was freed, I 24 guess, is what you might say. THE COURT: What does that mean to you? He was 25

1	exonerated? He went to trial and he was acquitted?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: He did not go to trial.
3	The judge released him. He's currently on probation for a
4	little bit, but he's
5	THE COURT: So you can't have probation unless
6	you're generally convicted of something.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: He was not convicted.
8	THE COURT: But he's on probation?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: My understanding, yes.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what he was
11	convicted of?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Just being involved in
13	killing those two people.
14	THE COURT: Okay. So it's a current ongoing case?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: It's my understanding
16	there's no ongoing case. It was closed, but he's still on
17	some sort of probation.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Which to me, that means it's
19	ongoing current. What's his name?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Nathan Hardy
21	(phonetic).
22	THE COURT: And it sounds like you followed the
23	case?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
25	THE COURT: And it's your nephew?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Okay. So one of your sibling's child?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: My sister's oldest boy.
4	THE COURT: Okay. And so you have followed the
5	case and paid
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
7	THE COURT: attention? So
. 8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: And it's been several
9	years since it's been two or three years since we've been
.10	to any hearings or anything.
11	THE COURT: Okay. So you actually went to some of
12	the court hearings?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
14	THE COURT: And were they in this building?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes, they were.
16	THE COURT: Okay. And what type of hearings were
17	they?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Just the situation,
19	what happened.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: On his mental state.
22	THE COURT: All right. And your understanding is
23	that it's over, but he's on some sort of probation?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Correct.
25	THE COURT: Okay. And then at some point will that

•	
1	be over?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
. 3	THE COURT: All right. And do you know who he was
4	prosecuted by?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: I don't recall.
. 6	THE COURT: But probably prosecuted by the Clark
7	County District Attorney's Office?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Probably.
9	THE COURT: Right? And you know that there's two
10	DAs from that office that are here today? You understand
11	that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Do I what?
13	THE COURT: The same prosecutors that are here in
14	the courtroom today
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: No.
16	THE COURT: work in the same office, the Clark
17	County District Attorney's Office; do you understand?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: I understand that, yes.
19	THE COURT: Is there anything about that, that
20	would affect your ability to be fair and impartial? The fact
21	that your nephew was prosecuted by the same office?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Do you think he was treated
24	fairly?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes, I do.

Anything about that, that would affect THE COURT: your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this case? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: No, no. 3 Thank you. Anyone else? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Hello, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Your name and badge number, please? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: That is 0425, Damaris My daughter got arrested, forcely (sic) arrested, 8 treated like garbage by an officer --THE COURT: Okay. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: -- because her baby's 11 -- baby daddy, that's what they call them, he was abusive to 12 my daughter and to my granddaughter. And it was hard for me 13 to see that. And I went through a lot. But I want to be 14 honest with the Court. The DA was awesome because he knew 15 that she didn't do anything wrong so he didn't charge her 16 with anything. But he -- Jeremy Moe (phonetic) dragged this 17 continuously to court, disrespected the Judge. The Judge 18 kept telling him to shut up, be quiet, to respect the court, 19 but that was over and over again and did nothing. 20 And we continued to go to court for a whole year, 21 and it was really bad for me. I'm sorry that I get 22 emotional, but it was an experience I'll never forget because 23 I'm a mom and a grandma and I love my grandkids. 24

25

THE COURT: Why did you have to go to court for a

year? Because he will always PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: 2 3 tell --THE COURT: Was it custody? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes, it was custody. 5 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: So he was always saying 7 -- he'd tell the Judge he didn't do drugs. He'd tell the 8 Judge that he only smoked weed. They test him, he comes methamphetamines and marijuana and he just kept playing the 10 Judge. And the poor Judge, she wouldn't do anything. And he 11 would disrespect the court, and I went through that, and I 12 was like, wow. 13 But have I a lot of respect for police officers 14 because I have a family of police officers. I have family of 15 lawyers in my family. And I have a lot of respect for police 16 officers. But this particular police officer did not one 17 time listen to when she said I didn't do anything. 18 THE COURT: Okay, now we're talking -- sounds like 19 we're talking about different things. That your daughter had 20 an ongoing custody dispute. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes. 22 That you attended hearings. THE COURT: 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Um-h'm. 24 THE COURT: But then I think you were telling me 25

that your daughter got arrested for something? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yeah, they said it was 2 domestic violence, but they let -- the DA said no, there was 3 not enough evidence. And it all proved that he was -- he was the one that was the problem. He was the one --5 THE COURT: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: -- that lied. At the 7 end, we got custody of my granddaughter. 8 THE COURT: All right. But your daughter got 9 arrested for something? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: And -- and you had to --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: They dropped it. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: They dropped her case. 15 They -- it was unfounded, they said. 16 THE COURT: All right. So I assume --17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: But she did go to court 18 for child custody, we went to court for that. And that just 19 happened -- the case was closed just recently. 20 THE COURT: Okay. So the case where your daughter 21 was arrested, I assume you believe she was treated fairly? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes, in the courtroom. 23 Yes, she was. 24 THE COURT: Okay. But you didn't necessarily like 25

the way the police officer treated her? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: No. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those experiences 3 that would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this particular case? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Thank you. Anyone else? THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: Aaron Bouch, 448. Honor, as a prospective juror, do we have no input on repercussions or penalties, correct? 1.0 THE COURT: I'm sorry, does the jury determine 11 12 penalty? No. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: 13 THE COURT: Okay? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: So I'll share mine 15 because I can do this unbiased. So my -- my wife, her niece 16 and nephew lost both their children last year to child 1.7 abandonment and neglect. They fell asleep and had drugs in 18 them, the kids partook in the drugs and ended up in the 19 hospital. One nearly died. They were taken -- the --20 my nephew, he ended up going to jail for a long period of 21 time. 22 The mother went to jail for a long period of time, 23 got out to a halfway house and has since, they've both gotten 24 the kids back. But during that time, my mother-in-law had 25

custody. She's 65, she had custody of the two-year-old for approximately nine months. And the other child was in custody of the grandmother. But I don't have a bias to that. It's -- I just -- the penalty phase, if it were differently, I would -- I'd probably be bias in the -- if we came to us, but --7 THE COURT: Okay. Then have you a situation in 8 your family that involved children --9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: Yeah. 10 THE COURT: -- where the adults were charged with, 11 what, child abuse and endangerment? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: Child neglect and child 13 endangerment, yes. And that was --14 THE COURT: Okay. And those cases are resolved, 15 though? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: I believe so. 17 they were in the -- one, I believe, was in Washoe County 18 courts and the other one was in Douglas County in Carson 19 City. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about those cases that is would affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this 21 22 case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 448: 23 24 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: I'm Gloria Melendez, my

=

=

number is 371. I know my husband in Mexico, and he was on vacation and returned here to Las Vegas. And he was 2 detention for a police officer because in a shoe store, the 3 people that were over there called the police because the store was stolen, okay, and there were people that work over there say that my husband like, a person that was stole in 7 the store. He was -- I don't know where in detention for a 8 week and then the police officer leave to him to go home, and 9 after that, my husband ask for a -- looking for a lawyer, and 10 the lawyer go to the court and my husband win the -- the 11 12 case. THE COURT: Okay. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: Uh-huh. 14 THE COURT: Anything about that, that would affect 15 your ability to be fair and impartial? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: No. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 371: No, nothing. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Okay, you can 20 hand it back up to the front. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: I -- Heather Warren --22 Thank you. THE COURT: 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: -- 470. I was arrested 24 once for obstruction of a police officer. The charges were 25

dismissed by the District Attorney's Office. My roommate's boyfriend at the time got into an accident with himself and his motorcycle on the ground. There was no damage, nobody was hit or anything. And he called us for help, and I -- I was the only one with a car at the time. And I took him to the -- talked him into going to the hospital and getting checked out. And when I was there, the police arrested me.

THE COURT: For?

=

=

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Yeah. They were lovely individuals. They searched my purse, my address book, quizzed me, who's this person, who's that person. Yeah, I wasn't even there. He got into an accident with -- with his motorcycle on the ground and he called us for help. So we went and he wanted to go home, and on the way there, I talked him into going to the -- to the hospital to get checked out --

THE COURT: Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: -- because he was hurting. And I was standing in the emergency room driveway area smoking a cigarette, and the police arrested me.

THE COURT: You were arrested?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Yeah, they took me down. I never got in trouble in my life. Fingerprinted me, took my --

THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: It was like -- I think it was late '90s. 2 THE COURT: And they said the charge was 3 obstructing? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Obstructing a police 5 officer. Okay. And --THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: Her -- I guess her boyfriend, I didn't really know him, but I guess, he had been in trouble before and stuff. I don't even know. I didn't 10 even see him after that. I was like --11 THE COURT: Okay. But you were released from 12 custody and formal charges were never --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: I was released on --14 THE COURT: -- filed? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: -- OR, and the District 16 Attorney's Office sent me a letter saying that the charges 17 were dismissed. They weren't -- weren't valid. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Anything about that, that would 19 affect your ability to be fair and impartial in this case? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 470: No. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Okay. 22 record will reflect no further response from the panel. Is 23 there anyone on the panel who would have a tendency to give 24 more weight or credence or less weight or credence to the 25

testimony of a police officer simply because he or she is a police officer? The record will reflect no further response from the panel, at this time.

The panel is turned over tot State of Nevada.

MS. JOBE: Thank you, Your Honor. May I get the podium? If you all see me about to trip over this cord, please warn me before it happens. High probability that it is a possibility.

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, as Mr. Burton said, I am his co-counsel. I work at the District Attorney's Office. My name is Michelle Jobe. No disrespect to all of you in the back, I'm going to direct my questions to the 24 who are seated here for the moment. If any of you happen to end up in one of these hot seats, then we'll have a conversation, okay?

But if those of you sitting out there can keep in mind what I'm asking or think about it, that will be a little more efficient if you end up in any of these seats, okay?

All right. I'm going to start out with general questions to the whole panel. If you guys have a response, please raise your hand like you've been doing with the Judge and we'll move it along, okay?

How many people would say they have really good common sense? Okay. I see lots and lots of hands. Anyone ever been told you have absolutely no common sense? All

right, we have one. Mr. Lewis, correct? If we -- where's the microphone? Perfect. Go ahead. Why have people told you, you have no common sense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Well, my football coach told me I had no common sense. 5 MS. JOBE: Was there anything that usually happened 7 before he said that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It was just a hour out that I used to break on and I didn't break this time, and he 9 was just ripping my butt. 10 MS. JOBE: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: So --12 MS. JOBE: Any other times you've been told you 13 don't have any common sense? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Just when I'm acting 15 16 crazy, being goofy. MS. JOBE: Okay. I can't -- since you have the 17 mic, I'm very curious about your fitness business. Is it 18 like Crossfit, parkour or something? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It's more of like hit 20 21 training. MS. JOBE: What's that? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It's kind of like 23 muscle building and like endurance training in between. 24 MS. JOBE: Okay. And you said someone can cover 25

```
the business while you're here, right?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Well, my little
 2
    brother's there right now. He leaves to UNR in, what --
    what's today, Monday -- Wednesday.
              MS. JOBE: He leaves Wednesday?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah. And then my
 6
    other partner, he's in Miami right now.
 7
              MS. JOBE: When does he come back from Miami?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: He's not going to be
    back until Friday.
10
              MS. JOBE: Okay. And I believe, you said had
11
12
    meetings with some people.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Over 30 consultations.
13
              MS. JOBE: It's the new year, right? Everyone
14
    wants to get in shape and do all that stuff?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah. And then we're
16
    on the rise to our second location, too, so it's like --
17
              MS. JOBE: Okay. All right. And once your brother
18
    leaves for school, what's the plan if you are selected to be
19
    a juror?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I mean, I -- I would
21
   just have to say we're going to be closed down due to jury
22
23
    duty.
              MS. JOBE: Okay. All right, well, thank you very
24
   much, Mr. Lewis.
25
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: All right. MS. JOBE: How many people -- a few of you all have 2 medical backgrounds; is that correct? Some of you have been trained medically, but don't necessarily do it. I believe --I have a cheat sheet here because I'm not real good with 5 names. Ms. Ibay, you work in the medical office, correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes. And I worked in the hospitals --MS. JOBE: Can you pass the microphone to Ms. Ibay, 9 please? Go ahead. What's your medical background? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Basically, I used to be 11 a nursing attendant. I used to take care of patients, very 12 sick patients. I took care of mental patients. I took care 13 of patients with heart surgery. I took of every unit in the 14 hospital. I was trained to do the whole entire units 15 (inaudible). 16 MS. JOBE: Did you work with pediatrics? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: All the time. 18 MS. JOBE: Okay. Did you ever have to work on 19 cases where abuse was reported as a result of a pediatric 20 21 patient? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Yes. 22 MS. JOBE: What was your experience with that? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Basically, I was told 24 to watch the patient when the visitors come in. Certain

25

people were not allowed in there, whether it was the parents or uncles or whoever did anything to the child or stuff like that. So we would be watching everything in the -- in the patient's room, making sure that things weren't being brought in or that if, you know, we hear anything that was not correctly to a child, that we to report it to the supervisor immediately.

MS. JOBE: Did you form any opinions about investigations about child abuse or how that whole system works?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: No.

MS. JOBE: Now, as far as your medical experience goes, would you be able to set it aside if you hear medical testimony and make the decisions based on the evidence presented or would you bring in your knowledge, too?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: No, I wouldn't. I will be able to listen and have an open-mind and I'll be able to not think about what -- what I experienced back then. That was years ago. No, it wouldn't affect me.

MS. JOBE: Okay. Thank you very much.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 425: Um-h'm.

MS. JOBE: All right. You all have been told you are the fact finders in this case, as the Judge explained to you. Anyone have an issue with listening to witnesses, making determinations about credibility and weighing the

testimony? By a raise of hands, what would like to respond to this? What types of things would you look for in examining witnesses and weighing credibility and things you would consider if you're selected to be a member of the jury? Mr. Evans?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I think some of the things that I would look for would be body language to see how a person reacts to a question when it's asked right away to see if they're kind of looking for the right way to answer a question based on their own perception of what they think the person that's asking them is asking them or what they think that person wants to hear.

MS. JOBE: Okay.

1.5

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Rather than giving the -- an explanation of what really happened, I guess, you could say. So really, like, body language. And sometimes in my working with -- in my like things that I've worked with, it's like the way someone speaks and certain words that they use to connect sentences such as like me right now with "like". Most of the times when you're trying to think of something or trying to figure out what you want to say, you use certain words to kind of fill in the space because you want to find a way for that person to understand what you're saying.

So a lot of times when someone uses the word "um" and "like a lot", I use those as cues to know whether or not

someone may be lying, someone may be trying to come up with 1 something or maybe they have something that they rehearsed 2 and they were trying to just use it in a way, and I just --3 that's my experience of working and certain things I've 4 learned that from talking to people and interviewing myself. 5 MS. JOBE: Now, you said you substitute in at least sometimes in the Clark County School District, correct? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I substitute every day for Clark County. That's my full -- that is my job is a 9 substitute teacher. That's my whole -- that's my sole 10 11 income. I apologize for not quite understanding MS. JOBE: 12 how it works because --13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Okay. 14 MS. JOBE: -- I'm obviously, not a teacher. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Right. 16 MS. JOBE: But so you call in, you're like hey, I'm 17 available today and they say we have a spot or don't have a 18 How does that work? spot? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: So for Clark County, 20 you -- you get called in the morning like at 5:00 o'clock in 21 the morning, they call you and tell you that this school has 22 a job, are you available? Or you can go online and pick the 23 schools that are -- that have spots available. You're only 24

25

paid if you go in.

MS. JOBE: Sure.

1.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: So you kind of have to pick where you're going to go for that day. For my schedule, I have a weird schedule where I do Mondays and Wednesdays are my open days where I can go to any school. And then on Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, I substitute at a certain school, but it's a contracted position. So it's still kind of like an on-call position, it's just that I don't get anything else. I don't -- if I don't show up, I still don't get paid.

I don't get any PTOs, sick time or anything like that.

MS. JOBE: Sure. So if I understand you, for certain days of the week, you're a designated substitute at a specific school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes.

MS. JOBE: Okay. And how frequently on any given week are you working as a substitute?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: I work five days a week as a substitute.

MS. JOBE: Okay. And to what extent, if you're selected as a member of the jury, would that impact your ability to pay your bills and those types of things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: That would take my whole check because this next check -- this is the end of a

This week is the end of a pay period so --pay period. MS. JOBE: Oh, and you were off last week, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: -- I would -- last week 3 was our first week back, and that was on another -- well, this is the beginning of a pay period. 5 MS. JOBE: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: So this would take a whole weeks check, and my last check was only for a week. because we just came back from break. MS. JOBE: Okay. I'm going to jump subjects. You 10 mentioned you had some friends who had been accused of 11 crimes, correct? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Yes. 13 MS. JOBE: Do you think any of them are falsely 14 15 accused? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: Some to extent, yes. 16 MS. JOBE: What do you mean by that? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: To -- I say to an 18 extent because of their background, it's possible that they 19 -- in my -- even my opinion, it's possible that they've done 20 it because the things that they've done as a younger person 21 or when they were caught up in different things that they 22 were doing as a younger adult. 23 MS JOBE: Okay. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: So it's possible that 25

they could have done it. But at times I do feel like they've been falsely accused because of that same factor where being in the wrong place at the wrong time. You just happened to be with someone else that did something beforehand and then they got caught and then you were just in the car with them so you were accused of it as well. So you're kind of like quilty by party. MS. JOBE: Sure. Do you think your friends were 9 treated fairly? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: In most cases, yes. 10 But in a few, no. 11 MS. JOBE: And when you -- of the few you say no, 12 were those in Clark County or elsewhere? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: They were all -- all --14 all -- I've only lived out here for a while. So most of my 15 -- most of my stuff is from California. 16 MS. JOBE: And would you hold big about the friends 17 who weren't treated fairly or even those you think you were 18 against either the State or the defense in it case? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No, not -- not in this 20 state. Because I've -- I have -- I have met a lot of Metro. 21 I have friends that work for Metro now that I've moved out 22 I've met people that work for Metro so I kind of know 23 their characters. Well, the people I know. 24

MS. JOBE:

25

Sure.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: So I know -- I have seen like how Metro kind of works and their mentality towards different things on a just a personal level. So I wouldn't hold it against in this area because of just that fact that it's like I know they -- they try their hardest to be fair.

MS. JOBE: Now, about your friendships with or the people you know in Metro, would you give any more or less weight to any officers from Metro or detectives who come in and testify because of your friendships?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: No. I wouldn't give more weight to anyone. I would -- I would expect them to come in and be -- or, I would -- because I -- hopefully -- I don't really know people's names. When you guys name names, I couldn't say if I know anyone.

MS. JOBE: Okay.

1.1

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 363: But I -- just by going first names, it didn't seem like I knew any of the people.

But I wouldn't hold any weight towards like oh, that this person's more -- more reliable because they're an officer, or I wouldn't say oh, they're not as reliable because they're an officer. I wouldn't give them any more weight on what they say because of their experiences.

MS. JOBE: Okay. Thank you very much. Can you pass the microphone to Mr. Miller. Okay, Mr. Miller, you said you're an auto mechanic, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: MS. JOBE: I don't understand anything about it, 2 but fair to say people bring you their cars or whoever you 3 work their cars and they describe something's wrong with it and then have you to figure it out, right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: MS. JOBE: Obviously, cars can't talk, correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Correct. 8 MS. JOBE: So how do you figure out what the problem is? You get some information, car's not going to sit 10 there and tell you, hey, my right rear tire needs to be 11 replaced or my breaks or whatever? How do you figure it out? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Just get as much 1.3 information as possible from the customer. 14 MS. JOBE: And then what do you --15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Try to duplicate 16 whatever it is their complaint is. 17 MS. JOBE: Fair to say you don't always have all 18 the information about what's wrong with the car? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yes. 20 MS. JOBE: And then you kind of -- do you have to 21 kind of piece it together or you examine the car yourself and 22 then kind of make your own assessments based on your 23 expertise? 24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: All the above.

25

MS. JOBE: Okay. Any time someone brings you a car 1 and just says, something's wrong with it, and you have to 2 start with little to no information and figure it out? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: All the time. MS. JOBE: As an analogy, if you -- if there's a 5 situation with people where say it's a closed universe, would you need an eyewitness or someone to tell you exactly what 7 happened in order to reach a verdict? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: What do you mean? I'm 9 sorry. 10 MS. JOBE: So say, you know, the car can't tell you 11 exactly what happened or exactly what's wrong it with it, 12 13 right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Right. 14 MS. JOBE: If you're seated on the jury here, and 15 would you need an eyewitness or someone to specifically tell 16 you A, B, C, D and E happened in order for you to reach a 1.7 verdict? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yeah. 19 Why would you need that? MS. JOBE: 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Because you just need 21 nor information. 22 MS. JOBE: Okay. What type of information would 23 you look for? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Facts. I mean, stuff 25

that -- anything that's been proven or whatever. 1 MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you ever follow the news and 2 crime reports that are in the news? I keep wanting to lean on that. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yeah. 5 MS. JOBE: Okay. Fair to say there's some cases or some stories you may hear about where there's no eyewitness to the crime, correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yeah. 9 MS. JOBE: Do you think those cases are still 10 solvable or something you could figure out what happened? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: They're probably still 12 solvable. MS. JOBE: Okay. Well, what was your hesitation? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: I couldn't solve it. 15 Other people may. 16 MS. JOBE: Okay. All right. Do you have any 17 concerns if there is no -- you said you might if there's no 18 eyewitness, correct? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Right. 20 MS. JOBE: Okay. Would you wait to listen to all 21 the evidence to make a determination? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yes. 23 MS. JOBE: Well, in your car situations, do you 24 think there's ever a time where you can't figure out what 25

happened? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: A lot of times. 2 MS. JOBE: What makes it that you can't figure it out? Sometimes you just PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: can't duplicate a problem. Sometimes you're just guessing at what the customer's referring to. MS. JOBE: And what do you do in those situations? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Sometimes you just say that we'll have to wait until it presents itself to be able 10 to go any further. 11 MS. JOBE: Ever had customers where they swear the 12 noise happens and then you drive around and you never hear 13 the noise? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 436: Yeah. 15 MS. JOBE: All right. I only ask because I always 16 have at that debate with my mechanic. Anyone else here on 17 the jury panel would need an eyewitness to the events in 18 order to render a verdict? Anyone have any issues of called 19 to be a member of the jury in piecing evidence together to 20 figure out what happened? Ya'll are a very quiet bunch. Can 21 you pass the microphone to Juror 19? I don't want to 22 mispronounce your last name, sorry, I apologize. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: It's easy. Figuered. 24 MS. JOBE: Figuered? 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Just like figure eight. MS. JOBE: Oh, perfect. Thank you. Okay, 2 Mr. Figuered, you were looking at me a little funny when I 3 was asking those questions. What are you thinking? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: It might be a little 5 difficult to do what you're asking. MS. JOBE: Okay. What about it would be difficult 7 for you? I realize it's hard, we're all talking in 8 hypotheticals here now, but --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Well, I know I'm not 10 personally trained or educated on the whole piecing together 11 of a court case. 12 MS. JOBE: Sure. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Okay? He's specially 14 trained in auto mechanics and has a better idea with that as 15 opposed to yourself or me. 1.6 MS. JOBE: Right. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: In the field of work 18 that I do, the reverse is true. 19 MS. JOBE: Okay. And I --20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: So that's what I was 21 frowning or mulling over is -- and you're specifically asking 22 if or if there is not a witness, so that's another question 23 mark in my head. 24 MS. JOBE: Okay. You have --25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: As being necessary. Sure. You have children, right? MS. JOBE: 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: I have three children. 3 MS. JOBE: Okay. And ever a situation with your three children, something happens, one of them came to you 5 complaining about something and you as the parent kind of got to figure out what happened? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Um-h'm. 8 MS. JOBE: How do you do that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Looking at the 10 situation physically. 11 MS. JOBE: Okay. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Verbally finding out 13 information that you can, seeing what the other child or 14 children is seeing. 15 MS. JOBE: Okay. 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Family or friends, 17 whatever may have been around. 18 MS. JOBE: If they're --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: You try to conduct an 20 investigation as best you can and figure out what's going on. 21 Usually a parent can do so. 22 So then you have some practical skills MS. JOBE: 23 in piecing thing together and kind of figuring out what 24 happened; would that be fair? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: A little bit, yeah.
2	MS. JOBE: Okay. And then, as a parent, when
3	you're trying to sort out what's going on, you rely on the
4	information provided, correct?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Um-h'm.
6	MS. JOBE: Is that yes?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: You try to, yes.
8	MS. JOBE: I apologize, ladies and gentlemen.
9	Ya'll have the microphone. Kris is taking everything down
10	that we say, which is why we all keep prompting you to say
11	yes or no so
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: I'm sorry.
13	MS. JOBE: It's okay. And then as far as in
14	sorting out what's going on with your children, fair to say
15	there may be times some of your children one or more of
16	your children have lied to you about what actually happened,
17	correct?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Of course.
19	MS. JOBE: How do you figure that out?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: By figuring out what
21	actually happened. Piecing things together.
22	MS. JOBE: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: But you don't always
24	come to that you don't always figure it out.
25	MS. JOBE: Sure.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Sometimes they get away
 1
    with it.
 2
              MS. JOBE: Sure, but there are -- fair to say at
    least you've talked of all these experiences where you've
    been able to piece it together and figure it out, right?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: For the most part, yes,
 7
    ma'am.
              MS. JOBE: And that's all either side's going to be
    asking any of you to do if you're selected as members of the
    jury. Does that sound fair to you?
\cdot 10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Yes.
11
              MS. JOBE: Sound like something you could do?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 454: Yes.
13
                         Okay. Thank you, sir. All right.
              MS. JOBE:
14
    here -- a lot of you have kids. Who does not have experience
15
    with young children? Can you pass the microphone behind you
16
    to, is it Mr. Cleto?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414:
18
              MS. JOBE: Okay, thank you. And your badge number,
19
    please?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Gabriel Cleto, Badge
21
22
    No. 414.
                         Okay. You said you do not have
23
              MS. JOBE:
    experience with children?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Not directly. I don't
25
```

. 1	have any children or anything.
2	MS. JOBE: Do you have any nephews or nieces or
3	anything?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yeah, I have I have
5	nephews and nieces and stuff, but not particularly close with
6	them since they live in different countries and stuff.
7	MS. JOBE: That would be a challenge. How
8	comfortable are you involving situations with kids or hearing
9	about evidence with kids?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: I'm open to like
11	listening to the evidence and stuff.
12	MS. JOBE: All right. And you, like Mr. Miller,
13	have a job that I do not understand. It involves computer
14	programming, correct?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yes. But I'm not a
16	programmer. I'm just a designer.
17	MS. JOBE: What I apologize, what exactly does
18	that mean?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: So I design like I
20	help design and mock up different like web pages and
21,	interfaces for our user base.
22	MS. JOBE: So is that like the art side of it, what
23	it should look like or what it
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yes.
25	MS. JOBE: (inaudible) wants to look like?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Um-h'm. 1 Okay. And how long have you been doing MS. JOBE: 2 3 that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Going to be three years in April. 5 How do you convey your ideas of what --MS. JOBE: obviously, you do some drawings, correct? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yes. MS. JOBE: And how easily do your drawings relate to the people who actually have to program it and make it 10 look like your drawing? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: It's a lot of 12 communication back and forth between us. Usually, we start 1.3 off with like a hypothesis and how to best tackle the problem 14 and then I come up with some wire frames, mock-ups and then 15 we go towards the -- that side of things where they convert 16 it, and then program it and stuff, and then it comes back to 17 me to check and make sure it looks good. 18 MS. JOBE: Okay. So it sounds like it's kind of a 19 back and forth with information between you and the people 20 who do the programming and that kind of stuff? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yes. 22 MS. JOBE: I'm sure I'm over simplifying it, so I 23 apologize. Ever have a time where those who have to bring 24 your vision to reality where they either understand it really

well from your drawings or don't understand it at all from your drawings? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: If -- a lot of times in 3 the business side of things, they'll have a different idea of 4 how to best tackle things. So that can influence your -- or 5 like the programming side or the design side of things. MS. JOBE: I know you don't have much experience with children, but do you, yourself, have some memories from 8 childhood? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yes. 10 MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you have any expectations 11 about what a young child would or wouldn't do in a particular 12 situation? 1.3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yeah. 14 MS. JOBE: Growing up go to school, have classmates 15 and all that stuff? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Um-h'm. 17 MS. JOBE: Would it be fair to say that you and 1.8 some of your classmates or you and some of your friends may 19 respond differently to the same situation? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yeah. 21 MS. JOBE: Would that affect your ability to think, 22 you know, maybe in some situations a person or a child may 2.3 respond differently than you would have, but that's their 24 normal response for them? 25

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yes.
2	MS. JOBE: Would you be able to keep an open mind
3	and listen to the evidence in that way?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 414: Yeah.
5	MS. JOBE: Okay. Who else does not have much
6	experience with young children? Mr can you pass the
7	microphone down, please? Thank you very much. Name and
8	badge number, sir?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Tom Gaytan, 467.
10	MS. JOBE: And you said you don't have much
11	experience with children, correct?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: I don't have kids,
13	right?
14	MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you have
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Dogs.
16	MS. JOBE: any nieces, nephews?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: I do.
18	MS. JOBE: And were you around them growing up?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: No. They've been
20	they're in different states.
21	MS. JOBE: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: I'm the only one here.
23	MS. JOBE: Ever see them much at all when they were
24	little or growing up?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Yeah, I did. I mean,

during holidays and things. Mostly holidays. MS. JOBE: Any memorable incidents with your nieces 2 or nephews when they were little that you remember from the 3 holidays? 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Yeah, a few. 5 MS. JOBE: Generally speaking, how would you gauge 6 reaction of a child or the reaction of a parent without 7 having much experience yourself? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: How would I gauge it? MS. JOBE: Yeah, how would you -- if someone comes 10 in here as a parent or a child and testifies, like, how would 11 you -- what would you look for? What kinds of things would 12 you consider? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Actually, I'm kind of 14 stumped on that one. I don't -- I guess, I just have to hear 15 it, see it and make my decision right then based on what they 16 17 said. The woman next to you has all kinds of MS. JOBE: 18 training with kids and schools, correct? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Um-h'm. 20 That's Ms. Warren, right? All right. MS. JOBE: 21 If you -- do you think you and Ms. Warren would have a 22 different response to a young child's behavioral issues based 23 on your lack of experience and her experience with kids? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: I think because of the 25

=

vast experience that she's had on a daily basis with kids and 1 me not so much, yeah, they might differ. MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you think Ms. Warren may get -- have more patience with children and be less frustrated with them and know how to handle them a little better? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: Yes, I'm sure she 6 would. 7 MS. JOBE: No disrespect to you or just --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 467: No, no, no. I 9 understand. 10 MS. JOBE: Okay. All right, thank you very much. 11 How many here have experience dealing with young children who 1.2 are very frustrating or having behavioral issues? Okay, 13 let's talk to Ms. Todd. If you could pass the microphone 14 behind you. 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Alexandria Todd, Badge 16 No. 419. 17 MS. JOBE: What experience do you have in dealing 18 with frustrating children or --19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: I babysat a friend's 20 younger brother and sister a lot, and the younger brother 21 loved attention, and when he didn't get attention, he would 22 kind of act out and just do things that he probably wasn't 23 supposed to do, just being extra, you know, misbehaving. Not 24 to the extreme, but just kind of how little kids are. 25

MS. JOBE: And how would you deal with that in those situations?

mean, I would definitely follow kind of how his parents would act with him. They liked to always sit him down and explain to him why you don't do certain things or this is why you can't be like this, or kind of just really sitting down explaining it to them, talking through it. And, I mean, that was pretty much how we handled it. And it would usually work for him. Excuse me.

MS. JOBE: Were there ever times where it wouldn't work for him and you'd just become frustrated with the situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Sometimes because he was one of those kids where he just liked to really like be on you and just very clingy kid. He loved attention. But a lot of times it was more of just kind of stick to first if the explaining didn't work, you had would just kind have to either let him know like okay, well, you can't -- a lot of it would be well, okay, you can't participate with us in this activity because you need to sit out because you don't know how to -- you're not -- you're misbehaving, and the first time didn't work explaining it, so you're going to have to basically start taking little -- I don't know if you want to call them treats or like little activities that they would

	·
1	want to participate in.
2	MS. JOBE: Okay. You said you have a CNA license?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Yeah.
4	MS. JOBE: Okay.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: It expired, but yeah.
6	MS. JOBE: Okay. So you have some medical
7	training, correct?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Yeah.
9	MS. JOBE: What work, if any, did you do with your
10	CNA license?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: I'm sorry, what was
12	that?
13	MS. JOBE: Did you work in any hospitals or
14	facilities?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: No, is we did
16	clinicals. It was for about five months of clinicals working
17	in a nursing home.
18	MS. JOBE: Do you have any experience with children
19	or burns or anything like that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: No.
21	MS. JOBE: All right. As far as your job with
22	quality assurance, what do you do?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: We actually listen
24	so when a phone call is being taken place I don't know if
25	vou've heard

1	MS. JOBE: You're those people?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Yes, I'm that person.
3	MS. JOBE: Oh.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: I listen to phone calls
. 5	that have happened
6	MS. JOBE: Sure.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: the day prior. It's
8	actually for like home warranties and like Indiana and Ohio
9	and things like that. So we listen to make sure the sale is
10	valid. If it's not, we have to be very particular and list
11	what went wrong, what the agent said that shouldn't have been
12	said, what made the sale invalid and things like that.
13	MS. JOBE: I didn't know people actually listened
14	to those.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: I get that a lot.
16	MS. JOBE: Okay. And so you listen and kind of
17	it sounds like you have certain criteria you look for,
18	correct?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Yeah.
20	MS. JOBE: And Fair to say of the calls you
21	listened to, everyone kind of has a different may have a
22	different approach, but there's some basic things they're
23	supposed to do, right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: Oh, yeah.
25	MS. JOBE: And as far as your decision-making, if

it's valid or not valid, is it a hard and fast criteria or is 1 it kind of in the gray area? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: There's some gray area. 3 I mean, I also do like monitoring of calls. So that's more of like customer service basis. So that has a lot of gray 5 Whereas, if the sale's valid or not, that's very 6 areas. black and white. You either read what's in front of you or 7 you don't. 8 MS. JOBE: Sure. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: But with the gray area 10 side, it's very -- you have to use your opinion, put yourself 11 in the customer's shoes, would you want to be talked to that 12 -- in that way? Would you want to -- would you have liked if 13 your call was handled that way? It was a lot of just your 14 perspective, I guess. 15 MS. JOBE: Okay. And how would you feel if you 16 were asked to sit as a members of the jury if have you to 17 piece information together to figure out what happened? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: That wouldn't be a 19 problem, but, I mean, yeah, that wouldn't be a problem at 20 21 all. I know I ask all very vague MS. JOBE: 22 hypothetical --23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: No, you're good.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MS. JOBE: -- questions.

24

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 419: It's okay.
 1
              MS. JOBE: Okay. Thank you very much. All right.
 2
    Do any of you have an investigation background? We talked
    about how Mr. Figuered would -- you know, when his kids had
    issue and would kind of figure out what's going on? Do any
 5
    of you have more formal investigation backgrounds? Seeing no
 7
    hands.
              I believe, the -- Mr. Lozano --
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes.
 9
              MS. JOBE: -- would you pass the microphone to him,
10
    please?
11
                                          Tommy Lozano, 439.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439:
12
                                          You work in security,
              MS. JOBE: Thank you, sir.
13
14
    correct?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439:
                                          Yes, I do.
15
              MS. JOBE: Where?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Bellagio Hotel.
17
                         Okay. Do you ever have to do any
              MS. JOBE:
18
    investigations with respect to being security?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Yes, we have.
20
              MS. JOBE: Okay.
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: And yes, I have.
22
              MS. JOBE: Do you have any training or experience
23
    in investigations?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Not in investigation
25
```

department, but as a security officer, we build up that 1 training as the years that we work there. 2 MS. JOBE: Okay. Now, as far as your investigations go are there times where your investigations involve law enforcement? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Many times. 6 MS. JOBE: All right. And how would you describe -- do you have an opinion about law enforcement in general 8 9 or? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No, other than I 10 respect law enforcement highly. 11 MS. JOBE: Based on your experience with law 12 enforcement, if officers -- well, officers and detectives are 1.3 going to come in and testify, would you give any more or less 14 weight to their testimony simply because they work for Metro 15 and because you have respect for officers? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: No. 17 MS. JOBE: All right. Now, as far as security, I'm 18 sure you could tell stories for days about things you've 19 investigated? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: In 16 years, hundreds 21 of stories. 22 MS. JOBE: I'm sure. Fair to say not all your 23 investigations turned out to be what you thought; is that 24 25 fair?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: That's very fair. 1 MS. JOBE: And how would you piece together your 2 investigations? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Mainly through a lot of 4 questioning that we do of the person or people that we're 5 investigating. As many questions as possible. A lot of 6 background checks. Anything that we consider minor, we will 7 basically do what all hotels do, and that's just trespass them. Anything major, any kind of a felony or anything more 9 than that, as much information as we can and then we detain 10 them for Metro. 11 MS. JOBE: Okay. Ever get a story from someone 12 where as you think about it and play it out in your head as 13 you're making your decisions as a security officer, you're 14 just like that doesn't really make any sense? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Many times. 16 MS. JOBE: What do you do in those situations? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: In a situation like 18 that where I'm just -- I am a security officer, we do have 19 supervisors and managers. 20 MS. JOBE: Okay. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: I -- for the years that 22 I've been there, I do, I have an input and I will give them 23 my input on what I hear and see, and from there basically, 24 it's taken over by supervision or management. Sometimes they

will agree with and sometimes they won't. MS. JOBE: Okay. Going back to when you first started in security. Would there be times when you are investigating something, things maybe didn't seem right or didn't add up, but you -- someone with more experience would kind of take over and decide where to go from there? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: Absolutely. Even now. 7 MS. JOBE: Okay. And any concerns if you're 8 selected as a member of the jury of sitting, listening to the evidence and waiting to make a decision until all the 10 evidence has been presented? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 439: I have no problem. 12 MS. JOBE: A general question for the panel. Have 13 any of you all sought out a second opinion as far as medical 14 advice or doctors are concerned? I see no hands. 15 of you gone to the infamous webmd.com to diagnose yourself? 16 All right. Let's talk to, is it Ms. Gonzalez-Garcia? If you 17 could pass the microphone back to her. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Ericka Gonzalez-Garcia, 19 Badge No. 417. 20 MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you find WebMD to be 21 reassuring or make you a little nervous? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Depending on what I 23 read, if I feel that the diagnosis that it's giving me is 24 sufficient, then I tend not to get a second opinion from a

second doctor. And if I still am weary or have questions, 1 then I will go and seek a second opinion from a different doctor. MS. JOBE: Okay. And have you ever gone to seek a second opinion from a different doctor and gotten conflicting 5 information or conflicting opinions? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Yes, I have. MS. JOBE: And so when you're in that situation where one doctor says, A and one doctor says B, you're the patient, what do you do to kind of sort that out as to who's 10 right or whose advice you want to take? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Compare both results 12 that I got from each doctor. 1.3 MS. JOBE: Okay. And then what do you do? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: And then I make my own 15 decision. 16 Okay. MS. JOBE: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Based on the things 18 that was given to me as far as the report of the diagnostic. 19 MS. JOBE: And is that something you're comfortable 20 with or something that makes you uncomfortable? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: Sometimes it's 22 uncomfortable. 23 MS. JOBE: Now, in this trial, we anticipate you're 24 going to hear from multiple experts testifying about what

happened in this case. Do you have any concerns or any 1 thoughts about having to listen to expert opinion and make 2 decisions based on that even if they may disagree? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No, because I'm open-So I want to listen to what both parties have to minded. 5 6 say. MS. JOBE: And what types of things would you look 7 for in making that decision if there's a disagreement? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: The person's behavior and body language. 10 MS. JOBE: Okay. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: And the evidence that 12 is brought up. 13 MS. JOBE: Okay. Would you have any concerns 7.4 making that determination if you're selected to sit on the 15 jury in reaching that decision with 11 other people? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 417: No. 17 MS. JOBE: Anyone -- thank you very much. Anyone 18 here on the panel, if you're selected to sit, have any 19 concerns about making a decision with 11 other people, 20 engaging in that that dialogue and reaching a final decision? 21 Anyone here on the -- of the 24 of you, anyone 22 who's just kind of a go-along-to-get-along kind of a person? 23 Do you know what that means? Yes, Mr. Lewis. You don't 24 strike me as the go-along-to-get-along type, but are you? 25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: 1 MS. JOBE: I don't mean that badly. I just --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I mean dead fish go 3 with the flow so --How would you feel about deliberating MS. JOBE: with 11 other people and taking in evidence and testimony and doctors and all kinds of stuff? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I think it would be a headache because it's 11 different people thinking of 11 9 different things. 1.0 MS. JOBE: Okay. How do you think you might be 11 able to work through that situation with the other 11 to 12 reach a decision? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I guess, just see what 14 everyone's talking about and come to an agreement. 15 MS. JOBE: Okay. Now, if you were firm in your 1.6 conviction that one way or the other way and you were not 17 persuaded by any of the other 11 or what they have to say, 18 would you hold on to that conviction if you were just like 19 absolutely it's this way or absolutely it's that way? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Depending. Right is 21 right and wrong is wrong. So if it's right, then I'm going 22 to stand on it. If it's wrong, then I can't agree with it. 23 MS. JOBE: Okay. While you have the microphone, 24 you said your aunt works for law enforcement in Houston; is

that correct or in Texas somewhere? 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, ma'am. 2 MS. JOBE: Okay. And you indicated that there are 3 some things you agree with your aunt on and some thing us 4 don't agree with her. Seems like I just found something. 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Kind of put me on the 6 I see what you're doing here. It's okay. spot. MS. JOBE: Sorry. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It's all right. 9 MS. JOBE: What types of things -- let's go with 10 the easy one. What type of things do you agree with her on? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Well, she does like the 1.2 immigration part. 13 MS. JOBE: Okay. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: So she kind of like 15 tells me about like how the system's kind like of like ran. 16 So I'm kind of like not for the system, honestly. 17 MS. JOBE: Okay. And that's -- it sounds like 18 that's the federal system for people who are here illegally; 19 is that fair? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Pretty much. Like she 21 deals with all the inmates, transferring and --22 MS. JOBE: Between the federal custody and the 23 deportations and all that? 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Pretty much, yeah. 25

she tells me like all the stuff that like goes on sometimes 2 so --MS. JOBE: What types of stuff do you disagree with 3 4 her on? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: How they cage them up 5 like animals and treat them like an animal, pretty much. MS. JOBE: Okay. Any thoughts on how -- you said they cage them up like an animal and treat them like an 8 animal, how that affects the criminal system or the criminal justice system? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I just feel like it's 11 -- you gave me a mic. I'm just going to speak my mind, I'm 12 sorry. 13 MS. JOBE: That is all we ask you to do. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I just -- honestly, I 15 just feel like it's corrupted, if you ask me. 16 Why do you think that? MS. JOBE: 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Because it's a lot of 18 things that's going on that's -- in this society that a lot 19 of people don't agree with. 20 MS. JOBE: Sure. Fair to say people can have 21 differing opinions, right? 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Of course, that --23 everyone's entitled to their own opinion. That's why I keep 24 mine's to myself. 25

MS. JOBE: Doesn't make them right, doesn't always make them wrong? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right. 3 MS. JOBE: And obviously, you probably -- would you agree with me that there's been a lot of negative press about 5 things happening around the country involving law 6 enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: That's what the news is, all negative. You don't see the news posting up hey, what a good day today. 1.0 MS. JOBE: Right. 1.1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: It's all bad. 12 MS. JOBE: Right. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: But I don't watch the 14 news much. 15 MS. JOBE: What about the rare occasion you can 16 find the good story about law enforcement in the news? 17 Sorry, I believe he was in one of the southern states, the 18 gentleman who goes to a neighborhood, he patrols off duty, 19 hangs out with the kids, works with the kids to develop that 20 relationship? Have you heard that story? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah, that's like all 22 over Facebook, right? 23 MS. JOBE: Facebook is probably happier news than 24 CNN, fair? 25

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah. I've seen it
 1
    where he dances with the kids and stuff.
                         Um-h'm.
              MS. JOBE:
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah, we need more
 4
    people like that.
 5
              MS. JOBE: Do you think that the news and what's
 6
    going on with the law enforcement is a fair representation of
    all officers and all police departments?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I mean, at the end of
 9
    the day we'll never know.
10
              MS. JOBE: Okay. Have you yourself had any
11
   positive or negative situations with law enforcement?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yes, I have.
13
              MS. JOBE: Positive or negative or both?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Negative.
15
              MS. JOBE: Okay.
                                Tell me about that.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Just, I guess, you --
17
    just racial profiled, honestly.
18
              MS. JOBE: Okay. For like an investigation?
19
    driving, what?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Just, yeah.
21
                         Okay.
              MS. JOBE:
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: We Were on the Strip
23
   and this guy (inaudible) for no reason, just 'cuz.
24
              MS. JOBE: I mean, did they just like stop you and
25
```

```
what happened?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah, they just pulled
   us out of nowhere and thought we were like in a gang file and
 3
   all types of stuff. For what? I don't know, because I don't
   hang around stuff like that.
              MS. JOBE: Sure. Probably not a -- obviously, not
   a positive experience for you. But at the end of that
    encounter, were you able to leave?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Huh?
              MS. JOBE: Were you able to leave? Did they let
10
   you go?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah, after a while.
12
13
   Yeah.
              MS. JOBE: Okay. Did any --
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: But that's embarrassing
15
    just --
16
              MS. JOBE: Sure.
17
              THE MARSHAL: Can you speak into the microphone.
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Sorry. It's
19
   embarrassing.
20
              MS. JOBE: Sure.
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I'm not really good at
22
23
    this.
              MS. JOBE: And I'm not -- I'm not trying to --
24
   minimize that or take that away at all. But at the end, you
25
```

were allowed to leave and they went about whatever they were 1 doing and you --2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Yeah. MS. JOBE: -- at least eventually were allowed to 4 5 go? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Most definitely, but 6 there's been other occasions that I -- I don't want to talk 7 8 about but --MS. JOBE: Okay. Does that leave you with a general opinion about law enforcement in Clark County? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: You know what I mean, 11 like I don't agree with a lot of things, but at the end of 12 the day life goes on. I -- it's out of my control. I just 13 got to worry about myself, at the end of the day. 14 MS. JOBE: Okay. And will that affect your ability 15 to listen to an officer or detective testimony and weigh it 16 for what it's worth from that officer or that detective or 17 are you going to bring in your experiences and any positive 18 or negative feelings you have? 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: You never know. 20 honestly can't sit here and tell you because until it 21 happens, it happens. Like, I can't sit here and tell you 22 what they going to -- or something's going to make me feel a 23 certain type of way. I -- I can't honestly like -- I'm like, 24

_

I go -- like, if something happens and I got to react and

either fix it or move on so. MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you think if you're selected 2 to be to set any feelings you may have aside in making a 3 decision or would your feelings come into that decision 4 making process? 5 I mean, it's going to PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: be hard, but like I said, at the end of the day, right is 7 right and wrong is wrong. MS. JOBE: At this point, could -- are you able to 9 be fair and impartial to both the State and the defense? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: You say what, excuse 11 12 me? MS. JOBE: At this point in time, are you able to 13 be fair and impartial to the State and the defense? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I -- I got to 15 understand what's going on. I don't got enough evidence to 16 sit here and tell you yay or tell you no so --17 MS. JOBE: Okay. Earlier today Judge Leavitt 18 talked about the American ideals of justice, and as you sit 19 here right now, the State's put on no evidence. The State 20 bears the burden of proving its case. And the defendant, 21 sometimes they call it the cloak of -- it's like he's wrapped 22 in protection in that he's -- and is absolutely innocent at 23 this point in time. There's been no evidence. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Right.

1	MS. JOBE: Do you have any issues with those?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: I can't tell you. I
3	don't know.
4	MS. JOBE: Okay. Okay. Thank you for your
5	honesty. I appreciate that, Mr. Lewis.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 450: Thank you.
7	MS. JOBE: Anyone well, let's talk to, is it Ms.
8	Lopez-Hernandez. If you could pass the microphone behind
9	you, Mr. Lewis. Could you state your name and your badge
10	number, please.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: 391.
12	MS. JOBE: And your name, Ma'am?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Can you repeat, please?
L 4	MS. JOBE: Your name?
L 5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Marilyn
L 6	Lopez-Hernandez.
L 7	MS. JOBE: Okay. As you've been sitting here
8.	today, have you had any trouble understanding or following
. 9	what everybody's been talking about?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: No.
21	MS. JOBE: Okay. When you were talking with the
22	Judge and I'm not trying to be disrespectful. I'm just
:3	trying to figure out, make sure if you're selected to sit on
4	the jury, see if you would have any concerns about
5	understanding what everybody's testifying to. Do you have

```
any concerns about that?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: I'm sorry, I didn't --
 2
    I speak English so-so.
 3
              MS. JOBE: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
 5
              MS. JOBE: Sure. You're from -- I think you said
 6
    you're from Cuba, right?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
              MS. JOBE: Okay. And how long have you been in the
 9
    United States?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Eight year.
11
              MS. JOBE: Eight years and --
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
1.3
              MS. JOBE: -- how long have you been in Clark
14
    County?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
16
              MS. JOBE: For eight?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Eight.
18
              MS. JOBE: So you've always been in Clark County?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
20
              MS. JOBE: Okay. And when you're -- you said you
21
   work at the Aria as a dishwasher, correct?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
23
              MS. JOBE: When you're at work, do you speak in
24
   English or do you speak in Spanish or something else?
25
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: More more in Spanish
2	than English.
3	MS. JOBE: Okay. And when you you said you were
4	married, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
6	MS. JOBE: And when you speak to your spouse, do
7	you speak in Spanish or English or both?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Spanish. Only my
9	daughter speak English.
10	MS. JOBE: Okay. How old's your daughter?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: 15.
12	MS. JOBE: Does your daughter also speak Spanish?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes. Two language,
14	English and Spanish.
1.5	MS. JOBE: Oh, that would be nice.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
17	MS. JOBE: Do you speak more in English with your
18	daughter or more in Spanish when you're speaking?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Can you repeat, please?
20	My daughter?
21	MS. JOBE: Yes. Do you speak more in Spanish with
22	her or in English?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: She she?
24	MS. JOBE: With your daughter, yes?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: She speaks more

```
English.
   1
                MS. JOBE: Okay. And do you speak more English to
   2
      her or more Spanish to her?
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: I speak with my
   5
      daughter in Spanish.
   6
                MS. JOBE: Okay.
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391:
                                            Yes.
                MS. JOBE: Do you think you would be able to listen
  8
      to doctors or other experts testify and be able to understand
     what they're talking about?
 10
 11
                PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: I'm sorry, I don't
 12
     understand.
 13
               MS. JOBE: If a doctor comes in to testify, would
 14
     you -- do you have any concerns about being able to
 15
     understand what they're saying and what they're explaining?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Yes.
 16
 17
               MS. JOBE: All right. Okay, thank you very much.
 18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: Every the look at my
     face and you speak English very, very -- very, very fast.
 19
 20
     You going sorry, I need to (indecipherable). I need to
 21
     study English, but I need to job.
 22
               MS. JOBE: Okay.
. 23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 391: (Indecipherable).
               MS. JOBE: Okay, thank you. Can you pass the make
24
    phone to Ms. Pineda, please. Hi.
25
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Hi, I'm Lourdes Pineda, Badge No. 370. MS. JOBE: All right, Ms. Pineda. Just at couple 3 of questions for you, okay? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Okay. 5 Earlier today, you said you felt nervous 6 MS. JOBE: about judging a person that was affect -- was it affecting your concentration? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Right. MS. JOBE: Can you explain that for me? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Because you know what, 11 12 for me, I'm not, you know, like a judgmental person until, you know, a (indecipherable) she's, you know, 13 (indecipherable), you know, what's going on. 14 15 MS. JOBE: So it would be fair to say you wait to make a decision until you hear what's going on? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: That's why it's hard 17 I can't -- I can't figure out, you know, what's 18 for me. 19 going on to the person as long as I will see the real what's going on, that's the -- you know, it's bothers me a lot. 20 21 that's --MS. JOBE: Okay. 22 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: -- why I feel nervous, you know, to judge the person. 24 MS. JOBE: Once -- obviously, you haven't heard 25

1 anything, correct? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Right. MS. JOBE: So you can't make a judgment today? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Exactly. MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you think once you hear all the evidence, and all the evidence is closed and submitted, if you're selected to be a part of the jury, would you then be able to make a decision and make a judgment one way or the other? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I think so. 10 11 MS. JOBE: Okay. You think so. Would you have --12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: It means, yes. Means 13 yes, that if, you know, I heard both (indecipherable), I could make a decision which one or what's going on or what 14 15 happened, if it's guilty or not guilty. MS. JOBE: Okay. Under the law, it's -- the State 16 17 carries the burden. So we are the only side that actually has to produce evidence. 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Oh, okay. 19 MS. JOBE: Under the law, I'm not saying they will, 20 21 but technically, the defense attorneys and the defendant can sit there and draw, play games on their phone, not even pay 22 23 attention, if that's what they wish to do. I'm not saying they're going to do that, but they could if they wanted to. 24

25

Would you have any problems holding the State to

its burden with just the State's evidence? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I think, yes. 2 MS. JOBE: What would be your concern? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: My concern is -- I mean, my concern is -- is it's hard to justify the person. That's all I can say. MS. JOBE: Okay. Would you -- in order to make a decision, would you have to hear from the other side? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Yes. 9 MS. JOBE: Why would you have to hear from the 10 other side? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Because I could 12 (indecipherable) both panel, which one. It's -- he's doing 13 the right thing or the bad thing. 14 MS. JOBE: Okay. But you understand at least in 1.5 the court of law, the State's the only one who has to produce 16 any evidence? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Yes. 18 MS. JOBE: And would you have a problem with that 19 if you don't hear from the defense? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: No, no. 21 MS. JOBE: Okay. I feel like you've given me two 22 different answers so I just want to make sure I understand. 23 You may not have. It might just be my misunderstanding. 24 If the defense doesn't put on any evidence or the 25

```
defendant chooses not to testify, would you still be able to
    make a decision?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Yes, I do.
  3
               MS. JOBE: All right. And you said you -- you work
  5
    -- is it a Dillards?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Yes, I'm working at
    Dillards for 12 years.
              MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you work in a specific
 8
    department or no?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I'm working at the
10
    ladies shoe department.
11
              MS. JOBE: Oh, oh. That must have been busy for
12
    the holidays.
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: We're so busy in
14
15
    holiday.
              MS. JOBE: All right. Ever have any challenges or
16
    problems with customers at Dillards?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I do have sometimes,
18
    but, you know, for me I love to deal with people. I love to
19
20
    work with people.
              MS. JOBE: So when you get a challenge, how do you
21
    deal with a challenging person?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Well, for me, mostly at
23
    the time, you know, I cannot -- sometimes there's a customer
24.
    that is moody, sometimes customer just doesn't like --
```

honestly, sometimes customer just tell me that right away I don't like, you know, to work with Asian people. I said okay, that's fine. So that's why I call the, you know, or like white, especially the customer is white. They're like white people to help them. That's fine and understand.

But to deal with customer with a hard, you know, I want to talk to my manager and tell them that, you know what

But to deal with customer with a hard, you know, I want to talk to my manager and tell them that, you know what, is there any way that you could help her because, you know, I don't have a lot of time it deal with so the customer would work with those customer and then -- and then I'll find another one.

MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you have any -- if you're asked to deliberate with 11 other people, any concerns about participating in that conversation about what the decision should be or should not be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Yes, I do.

MS. JOBE: What are your concerns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: My -- oh, to be able -- what you mean by concern other people?

MS. JOBE: Sure. If you are selected as a member of the jury, there will be 12 of you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Um-h'm.

MS. JOBE: -- that have to reach a decision one way or the other, guilty or not guilty, after hearing all the evidence. How do you feel about working with 11 other people

1	to reach a decision?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: I would like to talk to
3	somebody else, you know. I don't want to deal with myself if
4	11 people were agree. So I would go with them.
5	MS. JOBE: Okay. Would you okay. So if the 11
6	people are reasonable, you would go with them?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Right.
8	MS. JOBE: Let's say for whatever reason they're
9	absolutely unreasonable and you absolutely disagree with
10	them, what would you do?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 370: Well, that's that's
12	well, I think that's a big issue for me if 11 people is
13	disagree and then I'm agree. So I side with the 11 people,
14	you know, you just to follow them.
15	MS. JOBE: All righty. Thank you. If you could
16	pass the microphone to Mr. Herrera, please. Thank you.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Alvaro Herrera, 373.
18	MS. JOBE: Thank you very much. You all are doing
19	a fantastic job, by the way, with your name and badge
20	numbers. I appreciate it. You said your brother has had
21	some DUIs, correct?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes, yes.
23	MS. JOBE: How involved in the process were you for
24	those?
2 E	PROCEECTIVE THEOR NO 373: Samewhat involved I

1	mean, if the first occurrence he represented himself
2	MS. JOBE: Oh.
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: or the second one
4	there was an attorney involved. The third time, attorney
5	involved. The third time, I referred him over to one.
6	MS. JOBE: Oh, you know attorneys in town?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes. Well, I work with
8	a special access group for a bank and so we deal with
9	collections, and so I deal with bankruptcy attorneys or
10	yeah.
11	MS. JOBE: Okay, fair enough.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: A couple different
13	attorneys.
14	MS. JOBE: Do you think your brother was treated
15	fairly?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
17	MS. JOBE: And as far as you said you have a degree
18	in business administration and finance?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: I have an associate's
20	in business.
21	MS. JOBE: Okay.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: And I'm working on my
23	bachelor's of science in business administration, finance,
24	yeah.
25	MS. JOBE: I see. Sorry, I got that mixed up.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: It's okay.
2	MS. JOBE: So are you kind of a numbers guy, the
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: It's part of it, yea
4	but it's just business in general, yeah.
. 5	MS. JOBE: Okay. How would you say some peop
6	are like concrete people. They have to have everything la
7	out for them in order to reach a decision. Other people a
8	you can have part A, part B, and I can kind of figure o
9	where part C is going. Which kind of a thinker are you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Can you repeat that
11	more time, I'm sorry.
12	MS. JOBE: Sure. Like accountants, right? Can't
13	have fuzzy math or you might go to jail, fair?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yeah.
15	MS. JOBE: So you have to have all the numbers ha
16	to add up and all the numbers have to be there and you have
17	to be very detail oriented and have to see everything for
18	yourself, right?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yes.
20	MS. JOBE: Okay. And then there I guess, whil
21	we're on the topic of college then there are the
22	humanities majors who are like communications, sociology
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Um-h'm.
24	MS. JOBE: that kind of stuff and they just kir

of have some information and piece things together and reach

their conclusion. It's not as concrete. Do you understand the difference? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Yeah, I mean, I -- I try not to put things like black or white, you know, and just overall try to look at both sides of things. 5 MS. JOBE: Okay. Do you tend to over analyze things or you just kind of take them as they come? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: Sometimes, yeah, over 8 9 analyze. Yes. MS. JOBE: What types of situations do you tend to 10 over analyze? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: The way to handle a 12 13 situation, I guess, when it comes to work. MS JOBE: 14 Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: We deal with 15 16 collections and -- and the group that I work with and so there's different approaches in how we can pursue someone, 17 something like that, and so sometimes my boss gets on me and, 18 you know, you're over thinking this too much type of --19 MS. JOBE: Um-h'm. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 373: -- thing. And so try 21 to keep things a little more simpler and --22 23 MS. JOBE: Okay. All right. Well, thank you very much. If you could pass the microphone to Mr. Cheng, please. 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Hi.

1	MS. JOBE: Hi. Name and badge number, please?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Oh, I'm sorry, I'm
3	Donny. I'm Donny Cheng. My badge number is 383.
4	MS. JOBE: Okay. You said you have a child who has
5	to be picked up; is that correct? You have a child who has
6	to be picked up from school?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Yes, ma'am.
8	MS. JOBE: Are you able to make arrangements for
9	the rest of the week if you are selected to be on the jury?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 3:83: Definitely not today.
11	MS. JOBE: What what do you mean not today? Is
12	your kid going to be stranded today?
13	THE COURT: It's 3:15.
14	MS. JOBE: I'm sorry?
15	THE COURT: Who picked your who's picking your
16	child up today?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: I just texted my
18	neighbor to do it.
19	MS. JOBE: Okay. It sounds like you weren't
20	planning on being here all day, right?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: No, Ma'am.
22	MS. JOBE: Okay. This is the part of trials you
23	never see on TV, right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Nope.
25	MS. JOBE: Because this is kind of the boring part

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Well, first of all, we will walk up and see who's -- who's the customer that we been Let's say we -- do we know them as a royalty dealing with. customer? And we also -- to me, I also see who's the dealer with? Who's the dealer there --5 MS. JOBE: Okay. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: -- dealing with the 8 customer. MS. JOBE: So who the people are --PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: So --10 MS. JOBE: -- kind of depends on what you do? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: Right. Kind of depend 12 on whether it was -- maybe we'll have some dealer who was a 13 troublemaker and they were never politely to the guest as to 14 how they create with the argument or something, whether it 15 was so ever for -- normally, we won't see that first and then 16 we kind of find out what happened to, you know, whatever 17 18 happens. MS. JOBE: Okay. All right. And are there ever 19 times where either a dealer or a player gives a story or 20 makes a complaint and you're like oh, that just doesn't make 21 22 sense? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 383: We do have a lot every 23 day of different type. 24 MS. JOBE: And what do you do when you get a