IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 1 2 No. 72701 3 DONOVINE MATHEWS, Electronically Filed 4 Oct 20 2017 01:31 p.m. Appellant, Elizabeth A. Brown 5 Clerk of Supreme Court У. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 8 Respondent. 9 APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME V PAGES 1001-1250 10 11 PHILIP J. KOHN STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 13 Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT 14 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street 15 Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 21 22 23: 24 25 26 27 28.

INDEX DONOVINE MATHEWS Case No. 72701

1

_		PAGE NO
3.	Amended Information filed 01/09/17	
4	Amended Jury List filed 01/12/17	
5	Court's Exhibit 4 dated 01/11/17	1641-1643
6.	Court's Exhibit 5 dated 01/11/17	1644-1685
7	Court's Exhibit 11 dated 01/12/17	1686
8	Criminal Complaint filed 01/28/16	1
9	Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg:: 05/31/16	137-165
10	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 10/03/16	201-204
11	Defendant's Notice of Witness filed 10/17/16	205-206
12 13	Defense Opposition to State's Motion to Continue Date of Hrg: 10/21/16	228-234
14.	District Court Minutes from 03/03/16 through 03/07/17	458-487
1:5:	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Medical Records filed 03/30/16	123-126
16	Information filed 03/03/16	5-6
17	Instructions to the Jury filed 01/12/17	
18	Judgment of Conviction filed 03/10/17	
19	Jury List filed 01/10/17	
20	Justice Court Minutes from 01/28/16 through 02/16/16	
21	Notice of Appeal filed 03/23/17	
22		
23	Notice of Appeal filed 03/30/17	433-437
24	Notice of Expert Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 03/24/16	99-122
25	Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit	
26	the Testimony of Defendant's Expert Dr. Lindsey "Dutch" Johnson or in the Alternative a Request for an Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 01/10/17	
27		239-360
28		
	1.1	

i

1 2	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend the Criminal Information to Conform to the Testimony at the Preliminary Hearing filed 01/09/17
3 4	Notice of Motion and Motion to Continue Trial Based On Outstanding Expert Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/20/16
5	Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of Defendant's Expert Dr. Lindsey "Dutch" Johnson and Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing
7	Date of Hrg; 01/10/17
8	Order for Discovery filed 10/26/16
9: 9:	Order for Production of Inmate Donovine Mathews BAC # 1161064 filed 10/20/16
10 11	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/18/16
12	Second Supplement to Defense's Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of Defendant's Expert And Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing filed 01/12/17
13 14	State's Exhibits 46 dated 01/11/17
15	State's Exhibit 63 dated 01/11/17
16	State's Exhibit 65 dated 01/11/17
	State's Exhibit 66 dated 01/11/17
17	State's Exhibit 77 dated 01/11/17
18:	State's Exhibit 78 dated 01/11/17
19 20	State's Exhibit 79 dated 01/11/17
1	State's Exhibit 80 dated 01/11/17
21.	State's Exhibit 81 dated 01/11/17
22	State's Exhibit 82 dated 01/11/17
23· 24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 06/26/16 166-200
25	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 05/16/16
26 27	Supplement to Defense's Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of the Defendant's Expert and Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing
28	Date of Hrg: 01/10/17

1	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 12/20/16
2	Verdict filed 01/13/17
3	Veldict filed 01/13/1/
4	
5	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
6	Recorder's Transcript
7	Jury Trial Day 1 Date of Hrg: 01/09/17
8	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 2
9	Date of Hrg: 01/10/17
10	Recorder's Transcript
11	Jury Trial Day 3 Date of Hrg: 01/11/17
12	Recorder's Transcript
1.3	Jury Trial Day 4 Date of Hrg: 01/12/17
14	Recorder's Transcript Jury Trial Day 5
15	Date of Hrg: 01/13/17
16	Recorder's Transcript Arraignment Continued
17	Date of Hrg: 03/08/16
18	Recorder's Transcript Arraignment Continued Date of Hrg: 03/15/16
19	Recorder's Transcript
20	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 05/24/16 499-502
21	Recorder's Transcript
22	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 10/18/16
23	Recorder's Transcript
24	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 01/03/17
25	Recorder's Transcript
26	Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 05/31/16
27	
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript
2	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg. 06/30/16
3	Recorder's Transcript
4	Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 07/26/16
5	Recorder's Transcript
6	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 03/03/16
7	Recorder's Transcript
8	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 03/07/17
9	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Continue Trial Based on
10	Outstanding Expert Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/20/16
11	
12	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Continue Trial Based on
13	Outstanding Expert Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/21/16
14.	Recorder's Transcript
15	Status Check Date of Hrg: 03/31/16
16	
17	Reporter's Transcript Preliminary hearing
18	Date of Hrg: 03/01/16
19.	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2,6	
27	
28	

residence, Donovine's in the living room with Chance rocking him. That's what she observes when she walks in.

And she saw Chance's injuries, they had to go to the hospital. Donovine provides a version to Metro when he's being interviewed and also when he's doing the reenactment video. And Donovine's version is he was watching the children alone because she had an appointment. He boiled water in a pot to make coffee. And during the reenactment video -- you all will get to see it -- he goes through the apartment and points out where the pot is, where the mug is and where all the pieces are to this story that he tells.

And this is a picture of the pot that he selects that he says he was boiling water in. So he tells Metro he's boiling water in a pot to make coffee. That he poured the boiling water into a mug and that he placed it on a counter. You'll get all the pictures. You'll be able to see where all this goes.

But at the time he does the reenactment, he points out that this mug that's overturned in the sink with all this stuff on it and no handle at that, is the mug that he poured the boiling water in during the course of these events.

That's another picture of the mug that was taken when Metro was conducting their investigation. And a picture of the inside of the mug that Metro also took when they were

conducting their investigation.

8.

1.2

Now, it's important to remember while Metro's conducting their investigation is the timeline goes injuries, Jasmin comes back to the apartment, Donovine, Jasmin and the two children leave the apartment, go to Sunrise pediatrics for treatment of Chance's injuries.

From the time they leave that apartment until the time Metro comes to the house with Donovine, no one's been in that apartment. No clean-up has happened. Nothing else has been done since they left the apartment that morning after those injuries were sustained.

Donovine's story continues. So he pours the water into the mug. He leaves the mug on the counter because he goes to attend to Jordyn who's in another room. He says he hears her babbling or making some kind of noise so he goes to check it out and he says that he changes Jordyn's diaper.

He says leaves the mug. He points out in the video that the mug is approximately right here with the X is on the counter. And then he walks out of the room. And when he walks out of the room, Chance is in the living room. He doesn't know exactly what Chance is doing, but Chance is in the living room watching TV, playing games or doing something.

So he leaves. He says Chance at the time was wearing a super heros t-shirt. It's a short-sleeved shirt.

Comes to approximately, the waist. Chance has a diaper on. He also has socks on when these injuries happen. That's the shirt that he was wearing. Again, I point out that it's short-sleeves, average size T-shirt, so it's not like it hangs down all the way to the floor. And then he went to change Jordyn's diaper.

20.

2.5

Donovine says while he's changing Jordyn's diaper, he hears Chance screaming. And when Donovine's describing the scream to Metro, he doesn't describe a hysterical child. He doesn't describe an inconsolable child. He calls Chance's screams, he's screaming as if he wants something.

And he said -- Donovine says he comes back into the kitchen, says he doesn't rush. Sounded like he wanted something so he just walked back in when he was done changing Jordyn's diaper, and Chance is sitting there shaking his hands saying "hot".

Donovine says that he sees that mug without the handle that he saw the mug that was on the counter with the hot water in it, he says is now on the floor. And he noticed the burns on Chance's hand. He takes them into the hallway to look at his burns. Only saw burns on Chance's hands. He describes Chance not being wet all over his body, just has the burns on his hands. So he runs Chance's hands under cold water, calls Jasmin, tells her to come back, Chance has burned his hands.

Donovine says he puts Chance in the tub to run his hands under cold water and put his hands in the tub to run his hands under cold water and put his hands under the cold water because of the burns. He leaves Chance in the tub and then Donovine says that he cleans up. He cleans up whatever mess may or may not have been there from the mug falling off the counter, as he says.

1.0

1.3

Donovine, when he's describing the mess that he's supposedly cleaning up, he says it's only a little water on the floor. He says the cup is in the sink. Again, the picture I showed you with the cup that's upside down. And he also tells detectives when he's being interviewed at the hospital, that the pot he boiled the water in is actually in the sink.

Donovine's asked during his interview with Metro, "How do you think Chance burned his hands?" Donovine says, "He must have grabbed the cup on the counter because it was on the floor. Must had hit the edge, pulled it down. I wasn't really in the room. I don't really know, but if I were to guess, that's what I would guess."

Jasmin told police Chance wouldn't touch a cup if it was hot. Both Jasmin and the defendant tell Metro that if Chance were doing a reach for the cup that was up on the counter, that he would have to stand on his tippy toes. And Metro actually measured the counter. The counter height is,

you'll see is 35 inches and Chance, according to the records is 37 inches at the time, so he'd have to reach above his shoulders to reach up on to the counter to get to the cup, they both say he'd have to be on his tippy toes.

There's the measurement of the counter. You can there's approximately 35 inches. And again, that's Chance who would have to stand up and the 37 inches as he's measured is obviously going to be at the top of his head.

The treatment investigation, as I said, he's at Sunrise Hospital being treat by Dr. Olson. The hospital calls Metro. Metro responds and investigates and then he -- Chance has follow-up treatment at the UMC Burn Unit. And you'll hear some of those details as far as Chance's injuries are concerned from people who will testify today.

As I said, doctor Cetl was consulted, the pediatric abuse specialist, as well as Philip Peltiar, who's a burn specialist. And they'll tell you that in looking at the burn patterns and evaluating the information they had, that Chance had second degree burns to the tops of both of his hands. They'll both point out that those are the only injuries that Chance had as a result of these events. And they'll tell you why that's significant to them.

They both reached the conclusion that they're non-accidental injuries because they're second degree burns, because the location is just on the top of the hand. They'll

also talk about the pattern of burns, as you saw on his hands. There's certain patterns that they will point out and the significance of the patterns, for instance, the wrists, where on his hands is burnt, the blistering, those types of things. Why that's significant to them in concluding what type of an injury or the mechanism of the injury and that it's non-accidental.

1.7

And again, the significant fact they'll tell you about as part of their opinions is the fact that there's no other burns, there's no other injuries on Chance's body. And they will tell you that the injuries are consistent with pouring of hot liquid on to Chance's hands.

At the end of our testimony, at the end of the evidence we're going to close this case, as the Judge explained, you all will go back to deliberate and State is confident that once you hear all the evidence, put all the pieces together, you will come to the conclusion that the defendant is guilty of what he's been charged with, child abuse and neglect with substantial bodily harm. Thank you for your time.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. KIERNY: Thank you, Your Honor:

DEFENDANT'S OPENING STATEMENT

MS. KIERNY: June 5th, 2016, started out like any normal day for Donovine. He woke up at the house that he

shares with his grandma and grandpa. Then he went over to his girlfriend Jasmin's house. Jasmin he's known since high school, and Jasmin, as you heard, lived with her two children, Chance and Jordyn. Jordyn, Donovine was familiar with. He had spent a lot of time with her. Taken her to his house. Helped her learn to walk.

And Chance, not quite as familiar with, like the State indicated. He had only recently been returned from California where he had been staying with his dad. And he had been in the house about a week. And even though he had only been there a short time, Donovine had interacted with him, had spent time with him. He bought him some shoes. He bought him some clothes, you'll hear. And though it had been a short time, he had -- Chance was calling Donovine dad.

1.5

1,9

2.3

You're going do hear that Chance might have had some behavior issues that he picked up at his dad's house, but you will also hear that Jasmin was disciplinary and dealt with any of those issues. You will also hear that on that day, that morning, she had an appointment with her apartment complex manager, and that was right downstairs. It was expected to be a very short meeting, 20 minutes or so.

And so, of course, Donovine had no problem watching the children. And she had no reason whatsoever to doubt that he was able to watch those children. He decided to make coffee so with those instant coffee granules that he kept --

that they kept in a cupboard next to the Kool Aid, next to the hot cocoa. He started boiling some water in a pot, and you'll see the pictures of the pot. And then he put the water into the mug, and it was too hot so he let it cool.

1.6

1.8.

2.2

And during that time, he went to check on Jordyn.

Jordyn, it turns out, had a dirty diaper, and so he changed it, you know, so she didn't get a rash, and since -- so she didn't have an issue. And then he heard Chance crying. And again, it wasn't a cry that -- at first it wasn't a blood curdling cry. He thought that Chance wanted something. But when he went in, he realized this was a very serious situation.

He saw Chance crying and saying "hot". And so he looked at his hands and they looked dirty, as you'll hear. Donovine describe. And there was a little flap of skin, and so, you know, he went into action. He put Chance right away — he put water in the bathtub, cool water, put Chance in that bathtub, took his clothes off to try to cool his hands down.

Chance kind of stops crying. So then he uses that time to call Jasmin so get her there and to also clean up the kitchen a little bit. When Jasmin gets there, Donovine tells her what happened, and it's the same thing I just told you what he — what he said. The same thing that Jasmin will testify to that he told her. And it's the same thing that he

would eventually tell detectives.

1.8

When they got there, Jasmin looked at those hands and said, you know, we need to go to the hospital, and he didn't protest. He didn't have an issue with it. Donovine agreed let's go to Sunrise. So they decided to walk there. It was about five minutes away from their house. So this was a very short walk.

Jasmin had to find her insurance card first, so it took a little bit of time. Eventually, they arrive at Sunrise Hospital at 9:53 a.m., I think, is the first time that Chance is seen. So the detective — eventually officers come to the hospital and the detective comes, as they do when there's — every there's major injuries to children like this, as you'll hear.

And as you'll hear, Donovine is in the room with Chance. He's there with Jordyn, Jasmin and Donovine's family is there, too. They had been called to come check on the little guy. The detectives interviewed Donovine. Donovine.— then they ultimately concluded that Donovine's story, you know, is that Chance didn't get hurt, didn't match the injuries. Didn't match up with what they're seeing. And the only possible explanation that they saw from that point was that this was abuse. That Donovine intentionally poured that water on Chance. And they called — as you'll hear, they called this abuse and neglect expert out, they called the

burn expert out to confirm that the story didn't make sense.

g

1.8

2.0

These experts did not see Chance, they did not examine him. They just heard about the story, looked at the pictures. But what you're going to hear is Donovine didn't give a story as to how Chance get hurt. He didn't. He wasn't there. He didn't know exactly what happened. So some of the things that he said were kind of guesses about Chance pulling the water on himself.

He wasn't there when Chance got burned. He was with Jordyn. So when he was asked how he thought that Chance got hurt, he said, you know, he probably pulled the mug down on himself. But again, he wasn't there, so he was never able to give a definitive answer. Despite this — the idea that he's not even there, he doesn't know exactly what happened. The detectives asked if he would do a recreation of the scene.

And you're going to see this recreation. And of course, since he wasn't there, he's just making some guesses as he pulls — as he says what happened. You're going to hear from the State's experts that if Chance had pulled this mug down on himself, those injuries would absolutely be different. It wouldn't be the tops of his hands that were injured — that were just burned and the front of his body, his legs would be involved as well. There would be these splash marks. There wouldn't be this line of demarcation on

his hands that you guys saw. We agree with all of this.

1.0

1.7

It's pretty clear that Chance didn't pull the mug down on himself, like everyone in the case is assuming.

Donovine guessed wrong about what Chance did when he was out of the room.

You're going to -- there are many different ways that the water could have been spilled that were accidental and could have produced the injuries that Chance suffered.

You are going to hear that Chance's hands were burnt by accident and not intentionally by Donovine. You're not going to hear any eyewitnesses to this incident. You're not going to hear any reason or motive as to why Donovine would want to do this to Chance. You're not going to have any proof that this wasn't an accident.

You're just going to have some experts' opinions and assumptions. And in the end, you're going to hear that Donovine isn't guilty of these charges, and we'll ask you to find him not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: You may call your first witness.

MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. JOBE: Your Honor, may we approach briefly?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Bench conference begins).

MS. JOBE: We want to invoke the exclusionary rule.

I wasn't sure that that's something we do in front of the

1	Α.	I did.
2	Q.,	And would looking at that report refresh your
3	recollect:	ion as to the event number?
4.	A .	Yes.
5		MR. BURTON: May I approach, Your Honor?
6		THE COURT: You may.
7	BY MR. BU	RTÖŃ:
8	Q.	Officer Bethard, I'm handing you a two-page
:g:	document;	do you recognize it?
1,0	\mathbf{A}_{σ}	Yes.
11	Q.	Is that the report that you wrote about this case?
12	Α.	It is.
13	Q.	And does that refresh your recollection as to the
14	event num	ber?
15	Α.	It does.
16	Q.	Will you hand it back to me, please. So is the
17	event num	ber 160105-1552?
1.8	<u> </u>	Correct.
19	.Q.	What is an event number?
20	Α.	Event number is generated when a call is made to
.21	dispatch	for patrol units to be dispatched somewhere.
22	Q.	Are event numbers unique to each thing that you're
.2.3	dispatche	d to or each thing that you respond to?
24	Α.	They are. Each call each day receives its own
25	event number on each call.	

- Q. Do you recall what the nature of the dispatch was?

 A. It was -- it had to do with a child at the
- emergency room that had, I think, burns on his hands.
- Q. Do you recall what the source of the report was?
 Was it hospital staff --
- A. Hospital -- I believe, it was a nurse that called 7 it in.
 - Q. Have you -- was this your first or only time that you've responded to Sunrise?
 - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

2:3

- Q. Was this your only time that you responded to 2 Sunrise due to injuries to a child?
- 13 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Can you tell the jury just in general when you receive those kinds of calls, what is your role? What are you going to Sunrise to do?
 - A. My role as a patrol officer is do the initial investigation, to gather information that's available at that time, and then I would call an abuse neglect detail, relay the information to them, and follow whatever they decide to do after that.
 - Q. Is that what did you in this case?
 - A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Did you eventually see the child that had injured hands or had --

- A. I did. I remember seeing him. His hands were bandaged at the time, so I don't believe we took the bandages off, but you can see that he definite was injured.
- Q. When you saw him, was he in a hospital room? Where was he?
- A. He was: He was in one of the -- I guess a waiting room inside. An individual waiting room, like an emergency room, I suppose.
 - O. Was he alone in that room?
 - A. No, sir. It was his mom and then her boyfriend.
- 11 Q. Anybody else in the room besides those two and the 12 child?
 - A. I don't recall.

- Q. As you get into the room, what is it that you did?
- A. I took a look at the child, see approximately, the age and see if there were injuries. Best I could tell that his hands were bandaged and the mom had told me that he had got burned. I asked the mom what had happened. She told me that she had gone to the management office of the apartment complex. She received a call from her boyfriend approximately, 30 minutes after she left saying that her son had burned his hands and she needed to come back.
 - Q. Did you also speak to the boyfriend?
- A. I did. After the mom told me that, the boyfriend and I stepped outside of the room and I asked him what

happened. And he said that his girlfriend had left at approximately 9:00 o'clock, she went to go to the front office. I don't know, dispute on rent or something of that nature. He boiled some water to make coffee, had poured it in a mug, set the mug down on a table, letting it cool before he put the coffee in it. He was distracted by his girlfriend's other child, who was in a separate room.

He went to see what was going on with that. At that point, he heard a scream coming back from where the — the younger child was, Chance, I believe, is his name. He ran back in the room and he told me that he saw Chance standing by — with — over the spilled cup of hot water with the mug on the ground.

- Q. Did you speak to anybody else about the injuries?
- A. Not that I can -- nothing that's in my report, but I'm sure the nurse at some point had -- we spoke.
- Q. As a result of -- you said that the boyfriend had said that he put a cup of hot water on a table. Do you recall it being a counter and not a table?
 - A. It might have been a counter.
- Q. Would looking at your report refresh your recollection?
 - A. It would.

.5

MR. BURTON: I'm showing opposing counsel the same report. May I approach?

THE COURT: You may. 1 MR. BURTON: Thank you. 2 (Court/Court Recorder conferring) 3 THE COURT: Okay. So we need to stop? 4 THE COURT RECORDER: Just for a minute, please. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 (Court recessed at 3:48 p.m. until 3:52 p.m.) 7 (Outside the presence of the jury) 8 THE COURT: Is there something outside the 9 presence? 10 MS. JOBE: Just briefly, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Sure. 12 MS. JOBE: I went out in the hallway to use the 13 facilities, and what I observed was that the people in the 14 courtroom who were supportive of the defendant had rushed out 15 and around the -- one of the witnesses in this case, the 16 mother of the child at issue, and they appeared to be talking 17 about, I don't know specifically if it what they observed or 18 what was said in court, but they were communicating something 1.9 to her. And some jurors in the hallway had stopped and 20 huddled together and looked back at what was going on. 21 the State -- obviously, the jurors have been admonished not. 22 to consider anything they see in the hallway. 23 The State's also concerned the exclusionary's been 24 invoked by the defense. The information from the courtroom 25

is being conveyed to witnesses out in the hallway. I'm not 1 saying it was. I'm just saying that's the State's concern 2 based on what I had the opportunity to observe in the 3 4 hallway. MS. KIERNY: After hearing this issue, I went 5 outside and spoke with the family. They indicated that they 6 just -- and we can call them in, if you want, but they just 7 -- they said that they told her there was a recess, how 8 Donovine looked, that he looked nice in the clothes that she had purchased for him. They said that they did not discuss 1.0 anything. I asked them to now sit separately until she is no 11 longer a witness in the case. 12 But if you want to hear further from them, their 13 representation to me was that nothing about the case was 14 said. And I did them it's improper -- it looks a little 15. improper and asked them to knock it off. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Is there -- are they friendly 17 towards each other? 18 MS. KIERNY: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MS. KIERNY: They -- Mr. Mathews has a --21 THE COURT: Just want to know. 22 MS. KIERNY: -- has a baby with Jasmin and ---2.3THE COURT: Oh. 24 MS. KIERNY: -- the baby's here, and so --25

	1,85
1.	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MS. KIERNY: and that's, you know, his
3	grandmother and uncle, the baby's - his daughter's uncle and
4	his daughter's grandmother, yeah. So they are friendly at
5	least, you know, and familiar because they've had this child
6	in common.
7	THE COURT: Okay. I'm satisfied.
8.	MS. KIERNY: Okay.
9.	THE COURT: We can bring them in.
1.0	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury,
11	please.
12	(In the presence of the jury).
13	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the
14	presence of the jury panel?
15	MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: The defense?
17	THE MARSHAL: Thank you. Please be seated.
18	MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Thank you. You may proceed.
20	BY MR. BURTON:
21	Q. So I think where we left off was I asked you if you
22	had written any in your report that it was a counter and not
23	a table?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. You could not exactly recall that. I was about to

refresh your recollection --1 Correct. 2 -- is that correct? 0. 3 MR. BURTON: May I approach? 4 THE COURT: You may. 5 BY MR. BURTON: 6 Now showing you page 2. Do you recognize that as 0. 7 the narrative of your report? 8 I do. Α. 9 If you'd read the second paragraph to yourself and Q. 10 look up at me when you're done. (Witness reading). 11 that refresh your recollection? 12 It does. Α. 13. Do you recall whether the boyfriend said that it 0. 14 was on a counter or a table? 1.5 According to my report, it was on a counter. A:. 16 Did you get names of all these people, the little Ω. 1.7 boy, the mom and the boyfriend? 18 I did. Α. 19 Do you recall the little boy's name being Chance Q:20 Jacksper? 21 Α. Correct. 22 The mother name being Jasmin Cathcart? Q. 23 Correct. 24 A. And the boyfriend's name being Donovine Mathews? 25 Q.

A. Correct.

1

2.

3.

4

5

6

7

g

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And you had also mentioned that you couldn't exactly recall, but generally you speak to someone, either a nurse or a doctor, about the nature of the injuries --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- in these types of investigations?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- from either a nurse or a doctor, does that impact your decision as to reporting this to abuse and neglect detectives?
 - A. No.
- Q. Does that influence the type of report that you give to abuse and neglect detectives?
- A. No.
- Q. Do you have to, as a part of your role in this, have to make an initial decision as to whether the injuries that you see or hear about are consistent with the account that you'd been given as to how those were caused?
 - A. Could you ask the question again?
- Q. Sure. As part of your role, do you have to make some kind of initial assessment as to whether the account that you've been given as to how the injuries occurred and the nature of the injuries themselves are consistent?
- 25 A. Yes.

1	Q. Does that influence whether you report to abuse and
2	neglect detectives?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Does that influence the type of report that you
5	give to abuse and neglect detectives?
6	A. No.
7	Q. If the nature of the injuries is inconsistent with
8.	the account that you've been given, does that change the type
9	of information you give to abuse and neglect detectives?
10	A. I would still give them the information that I have
11	at that time.
12	Q. Do you relay your impressions to the detectives?
13	A. No. I just tell them the statements that I receive
14	from people who are involved and ask them if they are going
15	to come out for a particular call or are they or am I just
16	going to take a report and forward it to them.
17	MR. BURTON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
19	MS. HOLIDAY: Court's brief indulgence.
2:0	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
22	Q. Good afternoon, Officer.
23	A. Hello.
24	Q. Officer, you spoke with Chance while he was in the
25	hospital?
	1

1	A. I did not speak with him. I don't know if he was
2	verbal at the time I met him. I just remember him being in
3	the hospital.
4	Q. So you did not ask Chance how he was injured?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Officer, is it true that you contact detectives
7	from the abuse and neglect unit any time there's a major
8	incident involving a child or an elderly person?
9	A. That's correct.
10	MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence. No further
11	questions, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Any redirect?
1/3	MR. BURTON: No, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony
15	here today. You may step down. You are excused from your
16	subpoena. Thank you for being here. You may call your next
17	witness.
18	MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor. State calls
19	Jasmin Cathcart. Your Honor, may I approach the Clerk while
20	the witness is coming in?
21	THE COURT: You may.
22	· ·
2:3	
24	
25	you please state and spell your name for the record.

```
THE WITNESS: Jasmin Cathcart, J-a-s-m-i-n,
1
   c-a-t-h-c-a-r-t.
2
                          Thank you. Direct Examination.
              THE CLERK:
3
              MR. BURTON: May I proceed, Your Honor?
4
              THE COURT: You may.
5
              MR. BURTON: Thank you.
 6
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
 7
    BY MR. BURTON:
8
              Hello, Ms. Cathcart.
         Q.
9
              Hi.
        Α
10
              What I'm going to do -- I think you're a little
         Q.
11
    soft spoken, so I'm going to stand clear back here. If you
12
    can hear me and I can hear you, then the members of the jury
13
    can hear both of us, okay?
14
              Okay. Okay.
         Α.
15
              Just make sure you speak into that microphone?
16
         Q.,
              Okay.
         Α.
17
              Ms. Cathcart, do you know Chance Jacksper?
1.8
         Ò.
              Yes.
19
         Α.
              Is that your son?
         Q.
20
              Yes.
         Α.
21
              Do you know what his date of birth is?
22
         Q.
              Yes.
         Α.
23
              What is it?
         Q.
24
               1/30/13.
25
         Α..
```

Thank you. Do you know Donovine Mathews? Q. 1 Α. Yes. 2. Do you see Donovine Mathews here in court today? Q. 3 Α. 4 Can you please point to him and describe something Ö. 5. that he's wearing. 6 A blue shirt. Α. 7 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, may the record reflect .8 identification of the defendant? THE COURT: So reflected. 10 MR. BURTON: Thank you. 11 BY MR. BURTON: 12 Is Donovine Mathews the natural father of Chance? 13 Q. Α. No. 1.4 Did you, in the year of 2015, have a relationship 15 with Mr. Mathews, the defendant? 16 Yes. Ά. 17 Do you recall when that relationship started in Ο. 18 2015? 19 April or May. Α. 20 During the year of 2015, was Chance living with you 21 Q. for the entire year? 22 Not until December. Α. 23 So where was Chance living until December of 2015? 24 Q. California. 25 Α.

1 Who was he living with in California? Q. 2. Α. His dad. 3 His dad? Q. 4 Α. His biological father. Thank you. Do his biological father, Chance's 5 Q. 6 biological father, and the defendant know each other or know 7 of each other? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Are you aware of how the defendant feels about Q. 10 Chance's biological father? 11 Α. Yes. 12 How does Chance -- excuse me. How does the Q. 13 defendant feel about Chance's biological father? 14 He's an ex of mine's. Α: 15 He doesn't like him, right? 0. Α. 16 For personal reasons. 17 Ο. But he doesn't like him? 18 À. No. 19 Right? So they don't get along, correct? Q. 20 No. Α. 21 0... Now, you had another child living with you in 2015, 2.2 before December of 2015, correct? 23 Α Yes. Who was that? 24 Q. 25 Α. My daughter.

What's her name? Q. 1 Α. Jordyn. 2 What's her date of birth? 3 Q. 2/18/14. Α. When exactly did you get Chance back? Q. 5 December 28th, 2015. 6 Α Where were you living at the time you got Chance 7 Q. back in December 28th, 2015? My apartment. 9 Α. Where is your apartment? Where was your apartment 10 Q. at that time? 11 On Maryland and Desert Inn. Α. 1.2 1029 Lisbon Avenue? Q. 13 Yes. 14 Α. Apartment No. 5? 15 Q. Yes. Ά. 16 And that's here in Clark County, Nevada, correct? 17 Q. Yes: 18 A. What was Chance's behavior like when he came back 19 Ο. from California? 20 Like an average kid. 21 Α. Did you have any behavior issues with Chance after 22 he returned from California? 23

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

24

25

Α.

0.

Yes.

Describe those, please.

Cursing, hyper, that's pretty much it. Α. 1 Who was he cursing at? ٥. 2 Just in general he would curse. Not at anybody. 3 Α. Just in general he would curse. And you said he was hyper. Can you give a little 5 Ο. bit more detail? What was he hyper about? What --Running around. 7 Α. At the time you got Chance back in December, how 8 Ο. often was the defendant at your house there on Lisbon Avenue? 10 He was with me every day. 11 Á. Would he spend the night? Q. 12 Yes. 13 Α. Did he have personal items there? 14 0... 15 Α. Yes. Clothing? 16 Q. Yes. 17 Α. Pictures? 18 Q. No. 19 Α. What other personal items did he have at your 20 Q. house? 21 His ID, stuff like that. 22 Let's go to the morning of January 5th, 2016. 23 you remember that morning? 24 A little bit. 25 Α.

Well, let's start at the beginning. When did you Q. 1 wake up? 2 I don't remember. Α. 3 Did you talk with detectives about this case clear 4 back in January of 2016? 5 At the hospital, yes. Α. 6 Were you aware at that time that the statement that 7 Q, you gave --8 9 Α. Yes. -- was being recorded? 10 Q. Yes. 11 Α. Fair to say that on the day you would have 1.2 remembered a little bit better when you woke up as opposed to 13 almost a year later? 14 I remember the time I left, but I don't remember 15 the time I woke up. 16 Do you remember telling the detectives that you 17 woke up at 8:00 o'clock that morning? 18 I don't remember saying that, but if it's recorded, 19 then that's the time I woke up. 20. And who was in the house that on -- did you wake up 21 22 at Lisbon? 23 Α. Yes. Who was in the house at the time you woke up at 24 Ö. 8:00 a.m. or whenever you woke up?

- A. Donovine, me, and Jordyn and Chance.
- Q. Can you describe for the members of the jury kind of what this apartment looks like. How many rooms does it have. Where are they, that kind of thing?
- A. It's a two-bedroom, one bathroom. And it's just -- it's a small apartment.
- Q. When you walk into the front door, what's the first thing you see?
 - A. The kitchen and the living room.
- Q. As you walk in the front door, is the kitchen straight in front of you, to the left, to the right?
- A. When you go straight, my room's right there on the right and the room next to it is the kids' room. Then next to the kids' room is the bathroom.
- Q. So when I walk in the front door, where is the living room? Is it to my left or to my right?
 - A. Well, from where I'm sitting, it's on the right.
 - O. And then the kitchen is on the left?
- A. Yes.

1

2

ż

5:

б

7

3

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23.

24

25

- Q. Thank you. And then if I keep walking, you said your bedroom is straight forward?
- A. Well, it's the wall right there and then it's right there on the right.
 - Q. And then --
 - A. The kids' room right there.

Okay. 1 Q. Then the bathroom next to it. 2 Α. Okay. You said that you remember leaving the 3 Ο. apartment and what time you left? 4 5 Α. 8:59. Do you remember, did you have to start getting 6 Q. ready for leaving apartment at any specific time? 7 I don't remember the time of getting ready. I 8 9 don't. Would it be fair -- well, would you have any reason 10 to doubt me if I told you the detectives -- you told the 11 detectives 8:45? 12 Probably. I always get ready late. 13 Α. What time -- well, why were you leaving the 14 Q. 15 apartment? I had an appointment. 1.6. Α. 1.7 What time was the appointment? 0. 9:00 o'clock. 18 Α, 19 Q. Did you just get yourself ready or did you do 2.0 anything with the kids as part of getting ready? 21 Α. I don't remember. Probably just myself. Do you remember telling the detectives that you 22 Q. changed the children's diapers before you left? 23 I don't remember saying that, but if it's recorded, 24

then that's what happened.

44

```
MR. BURTON: Showing defense counsel.
 1
    BY MR. BURTON:
 2
              Would looking at your statement refresh your
         Q.
 3
   recollection as to what you said back in January 2016?
 4
              Well, it was a conversation. It was a year ago.
 5
         Α.
              THE COURT: Is that a yes or a no?
 б
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
 7
    BY MR. BURTON:
 8
              Do you think looking at your statement will help
 9
         Q.
    you remember?
10
         A.
              Yes.
11
              THE COURT: You can approach her.
12
              MR. BURTON: May I approach?
13
              THE COURT: You may.
^{-14}
              MR. BURTON: Thank you.
15
    BY MR. BURTON:
16
              Ms. Cathcart, I'm showing you a document. I'm
17
    showing you the first page. Do you see your name there on
18
19
    it?
         Α.
              Yes.
20
              Okay. And what I'd like you to do is look at page
21
         Q.
    19. Read that to yourself. Don't read it out loud. Tell me
22.
    -- look up at me when you're done. (Witness reading). Do
23
    you recognize that as part of the recorded statement you gave
24
    to detectives on January of 2016?
```

A. Yes.

1

2.

4

5

6

7

8

9.

10

11

16

24

- Q. Does that help you remember what you said about whether you changed the children's diapers at 8:45 when you were getting ready for your appointment?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you say?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. That you changed their diapers, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. So you -- your recollection is you left for your appointment at 8:59, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And your appointment was at 9:00?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And where was your appointment at?
 - A. The office of my apartments.
- 17 Q. How did you get to that appointment?
- 18 A. I walked.
- Q. Who did you leave in the home when you left for your appointment?
- 21 A. I can't hear you.
- Q. I'm sorry. Who did you leave in your apartment

 when you went to your appointment?
 - A. My kids and Donovine.
- 25 Q. Before January 5th, 2016, had Donovine been left

1 alone with Jordyn? 2 Α. Yes. Before January 5th, 2016, had Donovine been left 3 Q. 4 alone with Chance? 5 Α. No. 6 During your appointment, did you receive a phone 0. 7 call from Donovine? 8. Α. Yes. 9 Do you recall what time that phone call came in? Q. 10 Α. Probably 9:15, maybe, around there. How did you get that phone call? I mean, do you 11 Q. 12 have a cell phone? 13 Α. Yes. 1.4 Do you remember showing your cell phone to 15 detectives during your recorded statement as to what time you 16 got a call from Donovine? 17 Α. Yes. Do you recall that time being 9:19? 1.8 Q. 19 Α. Probably, I don't know. 20 Q. Would looking at your statement help you remember? 21 Α. Yes. 22 MR. BURTON: Showing opposing counsel. May I 23 approach? 24 THE COURT: You may. 25 MR. BURTON: Thank you.

```
BY MR. BURTON:
1
              So Ms. Cathcart, now I'm showing you page 22 of
2
         O.
    that same statement. If you could read that to yourself and
3
    then look up at me when you're done. (Witness reading).
              Have you read that?
5
              Yes.
         Α.
6
              Does that help you remember what time the phone
         Q.
7
    call came to your cell phone?
              Yes.
9
         Α.
              What time was that?
         Q.
10
              9:19.
         Α.
11
              When you left the apartment, what was Chance
1.2
         O.
13
    wearing?
              A t-shirt.
         Α.
14
              Anything else?
15
         Q.
              A diaper.
16
         Α.
              Anything else?
17
         Ο.
              No.
         Α.
18
               I'm sorry, I just want to make sure the jury heard.
19
         Q_{i_{\bullet}}
    That last answer it was a no, correct?
20
21
         Α.
               No.
              When you left, did Chance have any injuries?
22
         Q.
              No.
23
         Α.
               Specifically, did Chance have any injuries on the
24
    backs of his hands?
2.5.
```

```
No.
1.
         Α.
              When the defendant called you at 9:19, did you
2
         Q:
    answer?
 3
              Yes.
         Α.
 4
              What did the defendant say?
         0.
 5.
              What time would my appointment be over.
 6
         Α.
              Were you still in the middle of your appointment
         Q.,
    when you took the call?
 8
               It was near the end.
 9
              Did he say anything else other than when is your
10
    appointment going to be over?
11
              Not that I remember.
         Α.
12
              Do you remember him telling you that Chance had
13
         Q.
    burned himself?
14
         Α.
               Yes.
15
              Did you finish your appointment after you hung up
         Q.
16
    with the defendant?
1.7
               Yes.
         À.
18
               Did you then go home?
19
         Q.
               I grabbed a filter, then went home.
20
         Α.
               You grabbed a filter?
21
         Q.
               Yes.
         Α.
22
               What type of filter?
         Ο.
23
               The one that you use for the air conditioning.
          Α.
2:4
               Where did you get that filter at?
25
         Q.
```

- A. The office.
- 2 Q. After you got a filter from the office, you walked 3 home, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When you got home, did you see the defendant and 6 Chance?
- 7 A. Yes.

.1

4

8.

.9:

19

- Q. Where were they when you first saw them?
- A. On the couch.
- 10 Q. Do you recall how long it took you to get from the phone call back to your apartment?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Do you remember telling the officers you estimated two to three minutes?
- A. I don't remember saying that, but it's not a long walk.
- Q. And that would also include the time that it took to get a filter, correct?
 - A. Yes, the filter's right there.
- Q. So you said when you came in, the defendant and 21 Chance were on the couch?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Describe what they were doing on the couch.
- A. He was just sitting in his lap. He was just bolding him.

Who was sitting in whose lap? I know it's obvious, 1 Q. 2 but --3 Chance was sitting in Donovine's lap. Α. 4 Q. Thank you. What was Chance wearing? 5 A t-shirt and a diaper. Α. 6 What was Chance's demeanor? How was he acting? 0. 7 Α. He was crying. 8 Q. Was he loud? ġ À., A little bit, not really. What about the defendant's demeanor? What was he 10 Ο. 11 -- how was he acting? 12 Α. Concerned. 13 Did you at that point go and look at Chance and Q. take a look at these injuries, these burns that --14 15 Α. Yes. 16 O. Where did you see burns? 17 Α. On his hands. The back of his hands. 18 And again, those burns weren't there when you left 19 at 9:00 o'clock, correct, or 8:59? 20 Α. No. No. 21 Q. Did you see burns anywhere else besides the back of 22 Chance's hands? 23 No. Α. What did you decide to do when you saw the burns on 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

Chance's hands?

- A. Take him to the hospital.
- Q. Did you change him? Did you -- how did -- did you just get up and go right then?
- A. Well, we got the kids ready, and Donovine carried him and I pushed Jordyn in the stroller.
- Q. Describe getting the kids ready, specifically Chance. What did you need to do to get him ready to go?
- A. I don't remember. We put his pants on, put his shoes on. And he was -- he had a blanket around him.
- Q. Did you change his shirt?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

б

8

10

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- 12 Q. So you changed his shirt as part of getting him 13 ready to go to the hospital?
- A. Well, he had on a black shirt. When we went to the hospital, he had a on red shirt.
 - Q. So when you first saw Chance, he was wearing a black shirt?
 - A. When I left the apartment he was wearing a black shirt.
- Q. So when you came back to the apartment, is he still wearing that same black shirt?
 - A. I don't remember. I think, maybe.
 - Q. And your recollection is that you then changed him into a red striped shirt?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 How did you get to the hospital? 2 We walked. 3 Q. And fair to say you decided not to call the ambulance because you were worried they wouldn't let Jordyn, 4 your other child ride in the ambulance, correct? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. How close was the hospital? 8 A. Five to ten minutes away. 9 O. And that s walking, right? 10 Α. Yes. So if you got home roughly 9:22, 9:23, how many 11 Q. minutes were you in the apartment before you started walking 12 13 to the hospital? 14 Α. Probably five to eight. 15 Ο. Five to eight minutes? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Okay. So you're leaving roughly around right Q. before 9:30 or maybe right on the dot 9:30? 18 19 I don't remember. 20 Well, your recollection is five to eight minutes in Q. the apartment getting people ready to go to the hospital? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23. Then you said it took five to how many minutes to Ο. 24 get to the hospital? 25 Α. Five to ten.

When you arrived at the hospital, did they admit 1 2 Chance? 3 Α. Yes. 4 0. Describe how that happened? 5 Well, when we got there, we told them that they had Α. burns on his hands. They told us to go through the double 7 doors, the whole staff followed us and we went to a room. 8 Q., Did they start treating Chance? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. And what did they do to start treating Chance? They kept pouring cold saline on his hands. 11 Α. 12 Would they give Chance any pain medication? Q... 13. Not that I remember. Α. 14 Q. Before you got to the hospital, did the defendant 15 tell you how Chance burned his hands? 16 Ä. Yes. 17 What did the defendant say about how Chance burned Q. his hands? 18 19 He -- well, he didn't say exactly because he 20 doesn't know either because he turn his back. 21 I'm sorry, I missed the last part. 22 Well, he turned his back so he's not really sure. So it's just an assumption of how he burned his hands. 23 24 What did the defendant say about how Chance burned 2.5 his hands?

Well, he said that he went to change Jordyn's 1 diapers and he came back and Change was crying and screaming. 2 Do you remember telling the detectives what the 3 defendant said about how Chance burned his hands? Yes, I remember telling them. 5 Α. Would that refresh your recollection as to what the 6 Q. 7 defendant said? If I see it, yes. 8 À. O. Okay. MR. BURTON: May I approach? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 BY MR. BURTON: 12 Now showing you page 24 of the same statement. If 13 you could read that to yourself, look up at me when you're 14 done. (Witness reading). 15 Does that refresh your memory as to what the 16 defendant said happened, how Chance got his hands burned? 17 18 Α. Yes. What did the defendant say happened to get Chance's 19 20 hands burned? He set the cup on the counter, and he went to go 21 change Jordyn's diaper, and when he was dealing with her, 22 Chance started crying, and obviously, the burns were there. 23 Did he say what was in the cup that he put on the 24

counter?

25

```
I
          Α.
               Hot water.
  2
          0.
               Did he say what the hot water was for?
  3
          Α.
               Coffee.
  4
                      (Pause in the proceedings)
  5
     BY MR. BURTON:
  6
          Q.
               As you were getting yourself or your children ready
  7
     to go to the hospital, did you clear up the house at all?
 8
    Did you move anything in the house?
 9:
          Α.
               No.
10
          0.
               While at the hospital, did anyone other than
11
    yourself, the defendant, Chance and Jordyn come to visit you?
12
          Ä.
               Yes.
13
               Did any family come to the hospital?
          Q.
1.4
         Α.
               Yes.
15
          Q.
               Whose family?
16
         Α.
               His family.
17
         Ø.
               His family?
1.8
         Α.
               Yes.
19
         Q.
               Do you recall who in his family came to the
    hospital?
20
21
         Α.
              Yes.
22
         Ø.
              Who?
23
         Ä.
              His grandmother and his aunt.
24
              And by "his family", you mean Donovine's family,
         Q.
25
    correct?
```

1 Α. Yes. 2 Ο, Did anyone from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police 3 Department come to the hospital? 4. Α. Yes. 5 And you had already said that at the hospital you talked with the detectives, correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Q. And that was the recorded statement that we've been 9 referring to, correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And you're aware that the defendant was also spoken 12 to by the detectives at the hospital, correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. He also talked with the detectives? 15 Α: Yes. 16 Q. At some point did the defendant and the detectives leave you, Chance and Jordyn at the hospital? 17 18 Α, Yes. 19 Where was it they were going, according to your 20 understanding? 21 Α. Back to the apartment. 22 Ó. Do you know why they were going back to the 23 apartment? 24 Α. To take pictures. 25 Q. While they went to the apartment, did you stay at

```
the hospital with Chance?
 1
 2
         Α.
              Yes.
              Jordyn stayed with you, too, correct?
 3.
         Q.
              Yes.
 4.
         Α.,
              Did you have to give the detectives and the
 5
         Q.,
    defendant a key to get into the apartment?
 6
 7
         Α.
              Yes.
              And that's because you left the door locked, right?
 8
         0.
              I think so.
 9
         Α.
              Now, you also eventually, leave the hospital with
1.0
    Chance that day when he's got his hands burned January 5th,
11
12
    correct?
13
         Α.
              Yes.
              And you don't go back to the apartment at that
14
         Q.
    point, right?
15
              No.
16
         Α.
              You went somewhere else, correct?
17
         Q.
              Yes.
18
         A.
              Where is it that you went with Chance?
19
         Q.
              To my mom's house.
20
         Α.
              And when the detectives and the defendant decided
21
    to go back to the apartment, that was with your consent,
22
    correct?
2-3
24
         A.
              Yes.
              So to your knowledge, was anyone in your apartment
25
```

from the time that you left to go to the hospital, and the 1 2 defendant and the detectives entered for pictures? :3 Α. No. 4. Q, Did the detectives a couple of days later on 5 January 8th, come to you and ask to enter your apartment 6 again? 7 À. Yes. 8 Ο. And you agreed to allow them to do that, correct? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Q. And they provided you something called a consent to search card, correct? They gave you something to sign? 11 12 A. No. Not that I'm aware of. 13 MR. BURTON: May I approach the Clerk, Your Honor? 14. THE COURT: You may. 15 THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of. 16 MR. BURTON: Showing what's been marked as State's 17 Proposed Exhibit 76. May I approach? 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 MR. BURTON: Thank you. 20 BY MR. BURTON: 21 Ms. Cathcart, I'm showing you what's been marked as 2.2 State's Proposed Exhibit 76. Do you recognize it? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Do you recognize your signature near the bottom of Q. 25 that document?

1	A.,	Yes.
2	Q.	Is this a fair what do you recognize it to be?
3	A.	A Consent To Search Form.
4	Q;	Just make sure you're being loud enough so that
5	everybody	can hear you.
6	A.	Okay.
7	Q.	What do you recognize this document to be?
8	Ά.	A Consent To Search Form.
9	Q.	And that was for your apartment on January 8th,
10	correct?	
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	And you signed that?
13	A.	Yes.
1.4	Q.	This is a fair and accurate copy of the consent to
15	search form, correct?	
16	Α.	Yes.
17		MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, the State
18	would move to admit State's Exhibit Proposed Exhibit 76.	
19		MS. HOLIDAY: No objection, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: It's admitted.
21		(State's Exhibit 76 admitted).
22	BY MR. BU	ŔŢON:
23	Q.	All right. And Ms. Catheart, I'd like to show you
2.4	some other documents.	
25		MR. BURTON: And if we could switch over to the

1 Elmo. 2 THE COURT RECORDER: Sure. 3 (Off-record colloquy) MR. BURTON: May I approach, Your Honor? 4 5 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. BURTON: 6 7 Ms. Cathcart, I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed Exhibits 3 through 13. If you could take a 9 look at those, please. Do you recognize those, all those 10 photos that were taken of Chance on January 5th, 2016? 11 Α. Yes. 12 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, I believe 13 there's a stipulation to admit Proposed Exhibits 3 through. 14 13. 15 MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor, no 16 objection. 17 THE COURT: 3 through 13 are admitted. (State's Exhibits 3 through 13 admitted, by stipulation) 18 1.9 MR. BURTON: Thank you. BY MR. BURTON: 20 21 Showing State's Exhibit 3, can you see that on the 22 screen right in front of you Ms. Cathcart? 23 À. Yes. 24 Do we need to zoom out a little bit? It seems like Q. it's a little close. There we go. That's Chance, right?

		2.15
1	Α.	Yes.
2	Q.	Showing State's Exhibit 8. Do you recognize that?
3	Α.	Yes.
.4	Q.	Is that Chance's right hand on January 5th?
5	Ä.	Yes.
6	Q.	And the what do we see? What's what do we
7	see on th	e back of Chance's hands?
8	Ά.	Burns.
9	Q.	If you look at State's Exhibit 13, is this Chance's
10	left hand	1?
11	A.	Yes.
12	Q.	What do we see on the back of Chance's left hand?
13	A.	Burns.
14		(Pause in the proceedings)
15	BY MR. BU	URTON:
16	Q.	State's Exhibit 12, what do we see in 12?
17	.A _. ,	Burns.
18	Q.	Is this to Chance's left hand?
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q,	This is a different angle, correct?
21	Α,	Yes.
22	Q.	And looking at State's Exhibit 5, what do we see in
23	State's E	xhibit 5?
24	Α.	Chance's hand covered in gauze.
25	Q.,	Is that something they did at the hospital?

1 Α. Yes. And if we look at State's Exhibit 4, is that 2 Q. 3. Chance's other hand covered in gauze? 4 \mathbf{A} Yes. Now, if we look at State's Exhibit 6, what are we 5 Q. looking at here? б 7 Chance's right hand. Α. 8 Does that show the palm area of Chance's hand? Q. 9 Α. Yes. 10 Fair to say there's no gauze placed on that palm Q. 11 side? 12 Α. Yes. Did he have any burns on the palms of his hands? 13 14 A No. 15 Looking at State's Exhibit 7, this is Chance's left Q-. hand again, correct? 16 17 Α. Yes. And that's the palm of that left hand? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes. 2:0 And again, no burns, correct? 0. .21 Α. Yes. Did Chance's injuries to his hands -- the 22 Q. 23 appearance of them, did they change over time? 24 Α. Yes.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

25

Q.

So for example, when you first saw him, did his

hands look different a day or two later? 1 They were covered so I didn't know what they looked 2 like. 3 Were you ever present when Chance's hands were 4 Ο. unwrapped, either for doctor's visits or procedures or what 5 6 have you? 7 Α. Yes. Did they look different when they were unwrapped 0. 8 than when you first saw them? 9 Yes. Α. 10 Describe that. Q. 1.1 Well, both hands were different so just at first it 12 was just -- what do you call the bubbles? 13 You saw bubbles on the tops of his hands? 14 0. Yeah. And some were like just gooey. 15 A. And you said that the hands looked different? 16 Q. Yes. 17 Α. So if we look again at State's Exhibit 8, that's Q. 18 Chance's right hand, correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And if we compare that to State's Exhibit 11, 21 Q., that's Chance's left hand, correct? 22 23 Α. Yes. And so, excuse me, the injuries to both hands, they 24 look a little different; is that what your testimony is?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

2.5

	218
	1 A. Yes.
Ý	Q. After Chance was released on January 5th, 2016, was
	he prescribed any pain medication?
. 4	A. From Sunrise, no.
.[Q. Did he have any appointments with doctors after he
.6	was discharged from the hospital on January 5th, 2016?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Were those appointments at Sunrise or somewhere
9	else?
10	A. Somewhere else.
11	Q. Where were they at?
12	A. UMC Burn Unit,
1,3.	Q. Did you go to those appointments with Chance?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Do you recall there being an appointment on January
16	6th, the day after the injuries at UMC Burn Unit?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. You were there with Chance?
19	A. Yes.
20.	Q. Were you with him between January 5th and
21	January 6th, did you give Chance any pain medication?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. What did you give him?
24	A. Children's Tylenol.
25	MR. BURTON: Your Honor, may I approach?
,	

THE COURT: You may. 1 BY MR. BURTON: 2 Now, I'm showing you what's been marked as State's Q., 3 Exhibit 14 through 25. If you could take a look at those. Do you recognize these photographs? 6 Α. Yes. Do you recognize these as photographs showing 7 injuries to Chance's hand both on January 6th and January 7, 2016? Yes. 10 Α. And you were present and saw these injuries for Ο. 11 yourself, correct? 12 Yes. 13 Α. Do these photos fairly and accurately depict how 14 Q. those injuries looked on January 6th and January 7, 2016? 15 I don't understand your question. Α. 16 Well, you saw the injuries yourself, correct? 17 Q. 18 Α. Yes. Did they look in these pictures fairly and 19 accurately like they looked when you saw them for yourself? 2.0 Α. 21 Yes. MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, the State 22 would move to admit State's Proposed Exhibits 14 through 25. 23 MS. HOLIDAY: Judge, may we approach? 24 THE COURT: You may. Can you bring them over? 25

MR. BURTON: Yes, Your Honor. 1 (Bench conference begins). 2 MS. HOLIDAY: Our objection to these pictures is 3 just that we don't know who took them. It's our 4 understanding that the detectives got them from the medical 5 staff at UMC. I'm not sure if it's clear to the detective. 6 THE COURT: Well, what's the legal objection? .7 doesn't matter who took them. It's just do they fairly and 8 .9 accurately --MS. HOLIDAY: Foundation. 10 THE COURT: -- depict --11 MS. HOLIDAY: Foundation. We don't know because we 12 don't know who took them. We don't know if they made any 13 alterations to them. If you can -- you can see from the 14 photos that they're kind of bright red, like the color seems 15 to be a little bright red. 16 MS. KIERNY: Like if there's a certain filter, you 17 know, enhance the red in them and you can see that in 18 comparison, like, of the shirt he's wearing because there's 19 other pictures where he's wearing that same shirt and it's 2.0 much brighter. 21 MS. HOLIDAY: Right. 22 THE COURT: So the objection is foundation? Do you 23. want to respond? 24 MS. HOLIDAY: Foundation. 25

1 MR. BURTON: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Sorry. 3 MR. BURTON: No, it's okay. I asked her if she recognized them as pictures of --4 :5 THE COURT: Um-h m. 6 MR. BURTON: -- her son, she said, yes, she was 7 there when these pictures were taken on January 6th and 8 January 7th. That she would fairly and accurately -- she said they fairly and accurately depict the way that her -the injuries looked to her as she looked at them in person. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. HOLIDAY: Our objection is they make the 13 injuries look worse and they're not a fair and accurate 14 representation because --15 THE COURT: I'm not sure how a camera can make 16 these injuries look worse. I don't understand that. What do 17 you mean? 18 MS. KIERNY: Because a filter can change the color. MR. BURTON: Well, they can certainly get into that 19 2.0 on cross-examination. THE COURT: You think a filter would change the 21 22 color of these injuries? 23 MS. KIERNY: I have no idea because I don't know 2.4 who took them. 25 MS. HOLIDAY: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 MS. KIERNY: What I'm saying is they look -- the 2 color of the red looks a lot brighter than all the other 3 photos, and because we don't know who took the photos, we 4 can't ask them questions about what kind of filter they may have had on their phone. THE COURT: Okay. Objection's overruled. They're going to be admitted. 8 MR. BURTON: Thank you. 9 MS. KIERNY: Okay. 10 (Bench conference concluded) 11 THE COURT: They're admitted. 12 (State's Exhibits 14 through 25 admitted) 13 MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 BY MR. BURTON: 1.50 Ms. Jacksper (sic), had any of your children or 16 yourself or anyone that you know been burned like Chance --17 well, let me -- strike that. Did you take Chance in to UMC 18 Burn Unit on January 6th, 2016 for a procedure? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Do you recall what that procedure was? What did 21 0. they do to him? 22 Just changed the gauze on his hands and rewrapped 23 his hands. 24 Did they do anything to the hands themselves?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC • 303-798-0890

2.5

Q.

- A. They popped the bubbles.
- Q. The blisters or the bubbles on top of his hands?
- A. Oh, yeah, blisters. Yes.
- Q. And do you recall them debriding or taking off layers of skin of the hand itself on January 6th and January 7th?
- A. I remember them taking off skin, but I don't remember what day it was.
- Q. So you remember at some point in time, they did more than pop bubbles or blisters, they took off layers of skin, correct?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

б.

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Were you there when they actually did that procedure, where they took off layers of Chance's skin?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. What did they use to take off those layers of skin?
- 17 A. I don't remember.
 - Q. Was it medical instruments? Was it surgery gloves? What -- do you recall?
 - A. They had on gloves. They always had on gloves, but I think they just -- it was dead skin so I think they just peeled it off with their hands.
 - Q. I'm showing you what's been now admitted as State's Exhibit 16. Do you recognize this? Do you recognize this as a photo of Chance's hands on that date?

```
1
          А
               Yes.
  2
               Do we see a bubble or a blister on the top of his
  3
     hands in this picture?
          Α.
               Yes.
  4
  5
               And because the lighting's a little off, could you
  б
     please circle that for the members of the jury. If you touch
  7
     your screen, it's just like --
 8
          Α.
               Oh.
 9
          Ö.
               -- Monday night football.
10
         Α.
               What?
11
              THE MARSHAL: Now, don't touch anything.
12
               THE WITNESS: Do it now?
13
    BY MR. BURTON:
14
         0.
              Um-h'm.
15
         Α.
             Okay.
16
         Q.
              Thank you.
17
         Α.
              Okay, it's doing it again.
18
              THE COURT: I don't know why it's doing that.
              THE COURT RECORDER: Can you clear it from
19
20.
    (inaudible)?
21
                         (Off-record colloquy)
22
    BY MR. BURTON:
23
              So that was the blister that you circled, correct?
         Q...
24
         Ά,
              Yes.
25
              Or one of the blisters on Chance's hands?
         Q.
```

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that would have been before the procedure where they're popping blisters or -- correct?
 - A. Yes.

4

5

8.

9.

18

- Q. And now if we're looking at State's Exhibit 14, this is Chance's hand as well?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Would this have been after a procedure where they're taking off that dead skin you described?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. So if we look back at State's Exhibit 16, that
 would be before that procedure where they're taking off -not only popping the blister, but taking off that skin,
 correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did they give Chance any pain medication or anesthesia for this procedure to take off this skin?
 - A. Not that I remember.
- 19 Q. Now if we're looking at State's Exhibit 15, is this 20 another photograph of Chance's hand after this procedure 21 where they're taking off that dead skin?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And that would be his left hand, correct?
- 24 A. I can't -- it looks like the right from here.
- 25 Q. I'm sorry, what?

- A. It looks like the right from here.
- 2 Q. State's Exhibit 19, is that his left or his right
- 3 | hand?

1

4

8

- A. His left.
- Q. And that would be after the procedure of taking off the dead skin, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
 - Q. Were you -- you were actually there for that procedure, right, in the same room as chance?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. State's Exhibit 20, this is the right hand,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And again, after that procedure?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So what is that -- describe the color difference.
- 17 Why do we see a different color between say Chance's wrist 18 and the top of Chance's hand?
- A. That's what it looked like after they pulled off the dead skin.
- Q. There's kind of a change in skin color there, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, after that procedure did the doctors prescribe 25 Chance any pain medication?

Yes. 1 ·A. What did the doctors prescribe Chance for pain? -2 0. I don't remember exactly what it was, but it was 3 Α. some type of Tylenol. Do you recall it being Tylenol with codeine? 5 Q. Yes. Α. 6 Did you fill that prescription for Chance? 7 Ó. 8 Α. After that procedure, do you recall coming back to 9 0. UMC the next day? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Why did you come back to UMC the next day after the 12 13 procedure? Because they were going to change his bandages. 1.4 Do you recall telling the doctors about the night 1.5 Ö. between the procedure and when you were back at the UMC Burn 16 Unit the next day? 17 I don't understand what you're asking me. 18 Α. Do you recall the night between when Chance had 19 Q. that skin taken off --20 21 Α. Um-h m. 22 Q. -- and the next day? 23 Α. Yes. Did Chance have any pain that night? 24 Q. 25 Α... Yes.

1 Α. Yes. When you told the doctors these things, what did 2 0. 3 the doctors do? I don't remember. 4 Do you remember being checked into the hospital on 5 Ö. January 8th or excuse me, Chance being checked into the 6 7 hospital on January 8th? Α. Yes. 8 Do you remember how long Chance was in the hospital 9 for after he was checked in? 10 11 Α. A few days. Do you remember if there were any procedures while 12 13 Chance was in the hospital? 14 Α. Yes. Did they take more of his skin off? 15 I don't know what -- exactly what they did, but I 16 Α. 17 believe so. 18 Q. Do you remember having to sign forms for 19 procedures? 20. Α. Yes. 21 If I showed you the forms that you signed, would it 22 refresh your recollection what the procedures actually were? 23 Α. Yes. MR. BURTON: Showing opposing counsel. May I

24

25

approach?

```
THE COURT: You may.
 1
    BY MR. BURTON:
 2
              Showing you a document. Do you recognize your
 3
 .4
    signature?
 5
         Ã.
              Yes.
              Okay. Or your initials, I'm sorry.
 6
 7
         Ά.
              Yes.
              Okay. Is that one of the forms that you signed
 8
         Q.
    when Chance was in the hospital for a procedure?
10
         Α.
              Yes.
              And do you recall -- does that refresh your
11
         Q.
    recollection as to a procedure where they were taking off
12
    more of his skin from his hands?
13
         À.
              Yes.
14
              Do you recall if Chance had to be sedated for this
15
         Q.
    procedure in the hospital?
16
17
         Α.
              Yes.
              Do you recall having to sign a consent for that as
18
    well?
19
         Α.
              Yes.
20
              And it being general anesthesia, correct?
21
         Q.
              Yes.
22
         Α.
              When Chance was discharged, you said a few days
23
    after he was put in the hospital --
24
25.
         Α.
              Yes.
```

-- he was allowed to go home? 1 0. 2 Α. Yes. Did the doctors at that time prescribe any pain 3 Q. 4 medication? I don't remember. 5 Α. Do you remember signing forms as part of Chance's 6 0. 7 discharge from the hospital? 8 A:. Yes. Would looking at one of those forms refresh your ġ Q. 10 recollection as to whether they prescribed him anything? 11 Α. Yes. 12 MR. BURTON: Showing opposing counsel. May I 13 approach? 14 THE COURT: You may. 15 MR. BURTON: Thank you. 16 BY MR. BURTON: 17 Q. Do you recognize your signature on this document, Ms. Cathcart? 18 19 Α. Yes. It's dated January 11th, correct? 20 Q. 21. Α. Yes. 22 If you could look at that, read over it and look up 23 at me when you're done. (Witness reading). 2.4 Does that refresh your memory as to whether Chance was given any prescribed -- excuse me, my pain medication --

.1 Yes. \mathbf{A}_{∞} 2 After he was discharged from the hospital on 3 January 11th, 2016, did he have further doctor's appointments and visits? Α., Yes. 6 <u>O</u>... Do you recall how long that went on for? Α. No. 8 Well, could you even give it a kind of a general idea? Weeks, months? 10 Α. Probably four to six weeks, maybe. 11 Do you remember Chance having a doctor's Q. 12 appointment in March 2016? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. So that would be three months after the burn, 15 correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Coming back around to Chance's behavior when he 18 came back to your house December 28th, 2015. Did he have 19 trouble listening? 20 Α. Yes. 21 O:. Was at that one of his behavioral issues that he 22 kind of picked up from California? 23 À. Yes. Does your apartment have -- your kitchen, does it 24 Q. 25 have cupboards?

1 Α. Yes. 2 0. And you have dishes in the cupboards, correct? 3 Α. Yes. Specifically, cups. Do you have cups? 4 Q. 5 À. Yes. 6 Q. What kind of cups do you have in your -- what kind 7 of cups did you have in your apartment in January of 2016? 8 I had all different kinds. 9 So tell me some of the kinds of cups or, you know, things that you can drink out of that you had in your 10 11 cupboard. 12 Α. Mugs, coffee cups, cups from 7-Eleven. 13 MR. BURTON: Showing State's Proposed 53. May I 14 approach? 15 THE COURT: You may. 16 BY MR. BURTON: 17 Ms. Cathcart, I'm showing you what's been marked as 18 State's Proposed Exhibit 53. Do you recognize that? Α. 19 Yes. 20 What do you recognize it to be? o. 21 Dishes. Α: 22 Ο. Is that a picture of dishes in your Lisbon Avenue 23 apartment? 24 A Yes. 2.5 Q. Is that a fair and accurate depiction of what

```
and Donovine are a family?
 2
         Α.
              Yes.
              Jasmin, do you think --
 3
         ·Q ·
              MS. JOBE: Objection.
 4
              THE COURT: Probably just rephrase it.
 5
 6
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
              Is it your opinion that Donovine is being accused.
 7
    of a crime he didn't commit?
              MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Sustained.
10
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
11
              Do you think -- is it your opinion that Donovine
12
   burned your son intentionally?
13
              MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
14
              THE COURT: Sustained.
1.5
              MS. HOLIDAY: I'll move on.
16
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
17
              You and Donovine originally met in the minth grade,
18
    right?
19
              Yes.
20
         Α.
              That's when you first dated?
21
         0.
         Α.
              Yes.
22
              And then you broke up for a time?
23
         Q.
24
         Α.
              You got back together in May or April of 2016?
25
         QT.
```

		14
1	Α.	Yes.
2	٠.	Jordyn was born in February of 2016 or January?
3	Α.	Jordyn?
4	Q.	Jordyn.
5	Α.	Jordyn was born in February 2014.
6	Q.	2014?
7	A.	Yes.
.8	Q.	Okay. Chance went to live with his dad in May of
.9	2016, right?	
1,0	A.	May or June.
11	Q-	May or June?
12	Α.	Ýes≠
13	Q.	When you and Donovine got back together around the
14	spring of	2016; Chance was with his father, right?
1.5	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	But Jordyn was with you, right?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Jordyn and Chance have the same father, right?
19	Α.	Yeş.
20	.Q.	When you and Donovine were dating, you both spent a
.21	lot of time	me around Jordyn, right?
22	Ã.	Yes.
23	Q.	Donovine spent a lot of time around Jordyn?
24	À.	Yes.
25	Q.	Donovine spent time alone with Jordyn, right?

1	Ą.	Yes.
2	Q.	Because Donovine helped you out by watching Jordyn
3.	sometimes	for you, right?
4	A.,	Yes.
5	Q.	Donovine lived with his grandma and his grandpa
6	around th	at time, correct?
7	Ą.	Ýęş,
8	Ω.,	In fact, Donovine would bring Jordyn over to his
9	grandma a	nd grandpa's house, right?
10	:A.	Yes.
11	.Q.	Sometimes he'd bring Jordyn to his grandma and
1,2	grandpa's	house so that his mom could visit with Jordyn,
13	right?	
14	A.	Yes.
15	Ω.	And that's because Donovine considered Jordyn to be
16	his daught	ter, right?
.17	À.	Yes.
18	Ω.	And so Donovine's family was very close with
19	Jordyn?	
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	And they all had a good relationship?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	Donovine was good with Jordyn?
24	Α.	Yes.
25	Q.	Donovine was patient with Jordyn?

```
Yes.
         Α.
 1
              Jordyn was still a baby back then, right?
 2
         Q.
 3
         Α.
              Yes.
              So she's probably not always easy to deal with?
 4
         Ο.
 5
         Α.
              No.
              A little frustrating at times?
 6
         0.
              Yes.
 7
         Α.
              But Donovine didn't get frustrated with her, right?
 8
         Q.
 9
         Α.
              No.
              Did he lose his temper with her?
10
         0.
              No.
         Α.
11
              You never saw Donovine hurt Jordyn?
12
         Q.
13
              No.
         À.
              You never saw Donovine spank Jordyn?
14
         Q.
              MR. BURTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
15
    Relevance.
16
              THE COURT: Sustained.
17
              MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, it goes to motive.
18
              THE COURT: It -- I don't think it's relevant.
19
    objection's sustained based on relevance. You can proceed.
20
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
21
22
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
              You trusted Donovine to spend time with Jordyn,
2.3
    right?
24
25
         Α.
              Yes.
```

```
Did you ever have any concerns about Donovine
 1
         Q.
    spending time with Jordyn?
 2
 .3
         Α.
              No.
               I want to talk to you a little bit about Donovine's
 4
         Q.,
             You are close with Donovine's family, as you just
 5
    talked to the District Attorney with, right, about?
 6
 7
         Α.
              Yes.
              Donovine has two aunts, correct?
 8
         Q.
 9
         Α.
              Yes.
              One aunt is named Beverly?
10
         Ö.
              Yes.
11
         Α.
              Aunt Beverly has children?
12
         Q.
13
         Α..
              Yes.
              How old are they?
14
         0...
              21, 17, 8 and I think 6 or 5.
15
         À.
              So a couple of her children are 8 and either 6 or
16
         Q.
17
    5.2
         À.
              Yes.
18
              MR. BURTON: Objection. Relevance.
19
              MS. HOLIDAY: Same, Your Honor. It goes to motive,
20
    and if you -- if we would like to approach, we --
21
              THE COURT: Sure, maybe you should approach.
22
                       (Bench conference begins)
23
              THE COURT: I mean, it sounds like you're
24
    apparently trying to open the door to he's a wonderful,
25
```

peaceful person that would never harm a child.

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, I'm trying to address one of the things that the District Attorney brought up in her opening, which was that when this accident happened --

THE COURT: Um-h m.

3.

MS. HOLIDAY: -- that was the first time that Donovine had spent time alone with Chance.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. HOLIDAY: I think to the extent that the District Attorney wants to argue that -- of course, I don't know what they're argument as to motive is going to be precisely, but to the extent they want to argue that Donovine wasn't experienced enough to handle him correctly, that he lost his temper or got frustrated and that's why he burned him, or that he just couldn't wait to get a little child alone to hurt a little child intentionally. In any of those scenarios, the evidence --

THE COURT: I don't think any of those scenarios -- MS. HOLIDAY: -- that we have --

THE COURT: -- have been brought up. I mean, so I'm not sure it's relevant to go into how he is around everybody else's child.

MS. HOLIDAY: I think it's relevant to show that he's spent time alone with other children before. This certainly isn't his first time with a child. He knows how to

handle other children because he's been with them. He's 1 babysat other children. He's got experience. And I think 2 that goes directly to what the District Attorney was trying 3 to say in their opening when they said this was the first 4 time that Donovine had been alone with Chance. 5 THE COURT: I think it was just a fact, right? It 6 7 was just a fact. MS. HOLIDAY: It is a fact, but at some point --8 .9 THE COURT: Okav. 10 MS. HOLIDAY: -- I think the District Attorney is going to have to explain why they think Donovine would do 11 12 this. 13 THE COURT: Not really. 14 MS. HOLIDAY: Or at the very least --15 THE COURT: You don't have to -- I haven't heard 16 anything about motive. 1.7MR. BURTON: No, motive is not, THE COURT: Nor are they required and the jury will 18 19 be instructed the State doesn't have to establish motive. 20 MS. HOLIDAY: And the jury will be wondering why he 21 would do this. The jury's going to be wondering about 22 I mean, if we're accusing somebody of a crime, the 23 jury's always going to be wondering what the motive is. 24 Motive isn't a --25 MR. BURTON: Well, they're specifically instructed

1 to --2 MS. HOLIDAY: -- you know --THE COURT: Well, I've had a lot of cases where I 3 4 don't know what the motive is. I mean, I don't know what you 5 want me to tell you, but that is very common where --6 MS. HOLIDAY: I think --7 THE COURT: -- we just don't know what the motive 8. is. 9 MS. HOLIDAY: And I think that goes to reasonable 10 doubt. I mean, I absolutely think the jury can consider if 11 there was motive or if there wasn't. If there was evidence of motive. 12 13 MR. BURTON: They're explicitly told not to 14 consider motive. 15 THE COURT: They're -- I mean, I'll going to 16 specifically instruct them. I think you're getting into 17 things that you think may be brought out. They haven't been 18 bought out. I don't think it's relevant how he is around 19 everybody else's child. 20 MS. HOLIDAY: I don't think it's relevant how he is 21 around everyone else's child. It's relevant how is he around

MS. HOLIDAY: I don't think it's relevant how he is around everyone else's child. It's relevant how is he around children. It's relevant that he has experience with children.

22

.23

24

2.5

THE COURT: I mean, I let you go a little bit into that. I really don't think it's relevant. Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 (Bench conference concluded) 2 3 THE COURT: You may proceed. The objection is 4 sustained. 5 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 6 Chance came back to live with you at the end of 7. December, as you testified earlier, right? 8 Α. Yes. 9. And Chance was with you for roughly a week before .10 the accident happened, right? 11 Yes. Α. 12 Q. During that week, you and Donovine spent a lot of 13 time together? 14 Ά. Yes. 15 Q. You and Donovine spent time together with Chance? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Donovine and Chance developed a relationship during Q. 18 that time? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. In fact, Donovine bought Chance some clothes and 21 some shoes during that time, right? 22 Α. Yes. 23 During that time, Chance called Donovine dad? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q., In fact, you told detectives a year ago that during

```
that time you felt Donovine had a better relationship with
 1
 2
    Chance than you did?
  3
          A.
               Yes.
               As a mother of two, now three children --
 4
          0.
 5
          Α.
              Yes.
 б
          Q.
              -- you have a lot of work to do, right?
 7
          Ä.
              Yes.
 8
         Q.
              It's not easy?
 9.
         A.
              No.
10
         Q.
               Sometimes you probably feel overwhelmed, like all
11
    of us parents do?
12
              MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
13
              THE COURT: Sustained.
14
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
15
         0.
              Do you sometimes yell at your children?
16
         Α.
              Yes.
1.7
              MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
1.8
              THE COURT: I'm not sure what the relevance is.
19
   you want to respond?
20
              MS. HOLIDAY: I will find a different way to ask
21
   it.
22
              THE COURT: The objection's sustained.
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
23
24
         Q.
              Did Donovine ever yell at her children?
25
         Α.
              No.
```

Did you and Donovine have an agreement about Q. 1 2 discipline? 3 Α. Yes: What was your agreement about discipline? Q. 4 If she's doing something outrageous like sticking 5 scissors in sockets, he can hit her. Not like that, but he 6 can hit her on the hand to tell her no or anything like that. 7 So if one of your children were to stick some 8 Q_{+} scissors in the light socket --9 10 Α. Yes. -- Donovine could hit them on the hand to indicate 11 that they can't do that because it's dangerous? 12 Yes. 13 Α. Okay. Back when the detectives talked to you last 14 Q., year, you talked to the detectives about discipline --15 16 Yes. Α. -- in your household? 17 0. 18 Α. Yes. You told the detectives that you don't necessarily 19 Q. believe in discipline at that age --20 21 Α. Yes. -- because those children, meaning Jordyn, when she 2.2 was roughly a year old, and Chance when he was almost three, 23 those children are too young to understand the difference 24 between right and wrong? 25

```
MR. BURTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor, could I get a
 1
 2
     page number on that?
               THE WITNESS:
                             Yes.
  3
               MS. HOLIDAY: Sure. Court's indulgence.
  4
 5
               THE COURT: Was the question is that what she
    believes or is that what she told the police?
 6
 7
               MS. HOLIDAY: Is that what she told the police.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay.
 9
               MS. HOLIDAY: Specifically.
     BY MS. HOLIDAY:
10
11
               So you told the detectives that you didn't
12
    discipline them because they were too young to understand the
13
    difference between right and wrong?
14
         Α.
               Yes.
15
         Q.
              Okay. However, because they're young children, you
16
    still have to do something or react in some way if they're
17
    doing something bad, right?
18
         Α.
              Yes.
19
              You can't exactly train your children, but if
20
    they're putting themselves in a position to hurt themselves,
21
    like you just testified about the scissors in the light
2:2
    socket --
23
         Α.
              Yes.
24
         Q.
              -- you have to take steps to stop them, right?
25
         Α.
              Yes.
```

```
And to indicate that they can't do that because
 1
         Q.
 Ż
    they could hurt themselves?
 3
         Α.
              Yes.
              MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, I'm going to
 4
             I don't know what the discipline opinions of this
 5
    witness, how they're relevant.
 6
              THE COURT: Overruled. I'm going to allow it at
 7
 8
    this point.
 9
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
10
              Did Donovine -- at one point, Donovine felt that
         Q.
    you yelled at the children too much, right?
11
12
         Α.
              Yes.
13
              MR. BURTON: Objection. Speculation.
14
              THE COURT: Sustained.
1.5
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
16
         Q. At one point, Donovine told you that you yelled at
17
    the children too much?
18
              MR. BURTON: Objection. Hearsay.
19
              THE COURT: Sustained.
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
20
21
         Q.
              Were you stricter with the children than Donovine
22
    was?
23
         Α.
              Yes.
              Jasmin, do you remember Officer Bethard, who was
24
         Q.
    the first officer that spoke with you, coming to the hospital
25
```

```
to speak with you?
 1
              At Sunrise, yes.
 2
         Α.
              He was at Sunrise. Do you remember Officer
 3
         0.
 4
    Bethard?
 5:
         Α.
              Yes.
              Okay. Officer Bethard came into the room where
 6
         Q.,
    Chance was, correct?
 7
              Yes.
 8
         Α.
              Did Officer Bethard ask Chance how he was injured?
 9
         Q.,
              MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor.
10
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
12
              MR. BURTON: Hearsay.
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
13
              THE COURT: Sustained. I guess you can ask was the
1.4
   question asked, but you can't go into the hearsay.
15
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
16
              Without saying how Chance responded, did Officer
17
         Q.
   Bethard ask Chance how he got injured?
18
19
         Α.
              Yes.
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
20
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
21
              Without saying what Chance's response was, did
22
    Chance answer him in some way?
23
         Α.
24
              Yes.
              MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, may I approach the
25
```

```
1.
    witness?
              THE COURT: You may.
 2
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
 3
              Jasmin, I'm going to show you a couple of photos.
         Q.
 4
    Please let me know if you recognize those photos.
 5
              THE COURT: Have they been marked?
 6
              MS: HOLIDAY: What's that?
 7
              THE COURT: Have they been marked?
 8
              MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, they have been --
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MS. HOLIDAY: -- marked.
11
12
              MS. JOBE: And admitted.
              MS. HOLIDAY: In fact, they've been marked as a
13
    State's exhibit.
14
15
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. HOLIDAY: I can get the originals.
16
              THE COURT: Do you want to use -- okay.
17
              MS. HOLIDAY: Sure.
1.8
              THE COURT: Go ahead.
19
              MS. HOLIDAY: I can get the originals from the
20
    State's exhibits. Jasmin, I'm showing you what's previously
21
    been marked as State's Exhibit 48 and State's Exhibit 62.
22
23
    you recognize these?
24
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
25
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
```

```
Specifically, for State's Exhibit 48, how do you
 1
         Q.
    recognize that photo?
 2
              It's the food in the cabinet.
 3
         Α.
              It's the food in the cabinet where?
 4
         Ο.
         Α.
              In the kitchen.
 5
              At your house?
 6
         Ο.
 7
         Α.,
              Yes.
              Do you -- a detective took that photo, correct?
 8
         Q.
 9
         Α.
              Yes.
              When he came to your house in January of last year?
1.0
         Ο.
              Yes.
11
         Α.
              After the accident?
12
         Q.
13
              Yes.
         Α.
              MR. BURTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
14
    believe, the testimony is this witness was not present when
15
    this photograph was taken so she can't testify as to the
16
17
    circumstances when --
              THE COURT: Was she? So, I guess, the objection is
18
    foundation?
19
20
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
              Were you present when the photos were taken?
21
         Q.
              No. Donovine was.
22
         Α.
              Okay. But you recognize them to be what your
23
24
    cupboard looked like in your house?
25
         À.
              Yes.
```

```
1
               During the time of the accident?
          Q.
  2
          Α.
               Yes.
  3
               Okay. And for State's Exhibit 62, do you recognize
          Q.
     this photo?
  4
  5
          Α.
               Yes.
  б
          0.
               Does it look like your kitchen --
 7
          Α.
               Yes.
 8
               -- looked at the time of the accident?
          Q.
 9
          Ά.
               Yes.
10
         Ø.
               Okay.
11
              MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, permission to publish
12
    State's Exhibit -- Proposed Exhibit 48 and 62 to the jury?
13
              THE COURT: Have they been admitted?
14
              MR. BURTON: Yes.
15
              MS. JOBE: They have, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. They've been admitted and you
17
    may publish.
18
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
19
         Q.
              Okay, Jasmin, can you tell me where this is?
20
         A.,
              By the door.
21
         Q.,
              In your apartment?
22
              The front door. Yes.
         Α.
23
         Q.
              Is that how your apartment looked the morning of
24
    the accident?
25
         Α.
              Yes.
```

1	Q.	Do you see a diaper in this picture?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	Jasmin, Donovine stayed with you around 90 percent
.4	of the ti	me
5	Ä.	Yes.
6	ġ.	back around the time of the incident, right?
7	Α,	Yes.
8	Q.	Did you know Donovine to drink coffee?
9	A.	Yes.
10	Q.	Donovine drank coffee from time to time?
11.	Α.	Yes.
12	Q.	There was a time when your dad lived with you,
13	correct?	
14	Ä.	Yes.
15	Q.,	And your dad drank coffee, too?
16	À,	Yes.
1,7	Q.	Your dad drank instant coffee?
18	Α.	Yes,
19	Q.	So your dad made his coffee by boiling water?
20	. A	Yes.
21	Q.	And put it in a mug?
22	Α.	Yes.
23	Q.	And then adding instant coffee to the water?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q.	The instant coffee was in a canteen in the form of

```
granules, like loose coffee, right?
 1
         Α.
               Yes.
 2
              So your dad would take some of the granules out of
    the canteen and put them into the mug to make his coffee?
 4
              MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor, relevance.
 5
              THE COURT: I'm not sure what the relevance is.
 6
              MS. HOLIDAY: I think the next question will
 7
    indicate the relevance.
 8
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
 9
10
         0
              Donovine --
11
              THE COURT: Okay.
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
12
              -- saw your dad make coffee, right?
13
              THE COURT: All right.
14
15
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
              THE COURT: I'll allow it.
16
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
1.7
18
         Ό.
              Donovine made his coffee this way, too, right?
19
         Α.
              Yes.
              Donovine would boil water, put it in a mug --
20
         Q.
21
         Α.
              Yes.
              -- and then add the instant coffee granules to the
22
         Q.
23
    mug to make coffee?
         A.
              Yes.
24
              He used the same coffee out of the same canteen
2.5
         Q.
```

that your father used, right? 1 Yes. Α. 2 At some time did your father move out of your home? Ο, Α. Yes. And he took his canteen of coffee with him? 5 Q., Yes. Α. 6 But you saved some of that coffee for Donovine, 7 0. 8 right? Yes. Α. By taking some of the granules out of the canteen 10 and putting them into a baggy? 11 12 Α. Yes. Jasmin, the morning of the incident, do you 13 remember if there was coffee in your home? 14 15 Α. Yes. I'm showing you what's been previously marked as 16 Q. State's Exhibit 48. Can you tell us -- it's kind of hard to 17 18 see. THE COURT: It's really dark. 19 THE COURT RECORDER: Maybe if we turn the light off 20 21 over here. THE MARSHAL: You just have to hit the lamp button. 22 2:3 Do it again. (Pause in the proceedings) 24 THE COURT: Can the jury see? Yes? Okay. 25

THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: All right. 2 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 3 Jasmin, when you talked to detectives during the 0. 4 time of the accident, did they ask you if there was coffee in 5 your house? б 7 I don't remember. À. Did they ask you if you knew where the coffee was? 0. 8 I don't remember. 9 Α. Can you tell us what's in this photograph? 10 Q . 11 Α. Food. Is that your cupboard? 12 Q. 13 À. Yes. And it looks like your cupboard on the day of the 14 Q. accident? 15 16 Α. Yes. By looking at this picture, can you tell where the 17 coffee was in the cupboard? 1.8 Α. 19 No. Can you tell us -- there's -- there appears to be 20 something sticking up behind the Pop Tarts box. It looks 21 22 like a brown packet. 23 Yes. Α. What is that? 24 Ο. 25 Α. Hot chocolate.

1	Q.	To the left of the hot chocolate, it looks like
¹ 2	more pack	tets. Can you tell what that is?
3	Α.	Kool-Aid.
4	Q,	Kool-Aid packets?
5:	А.	Yes.
. 6.	Q.	Like the type that you put in water and mix in the
7	water?	
8	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Okay. Do you remember if you kept your coffee
10	underneat	h your hot chocolate next to your Kool-Aid packets?
11	Ά.	Yes.
12	Q:,	Jasmin, a few days after the incident, did you look
13.	for your	coffee?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	Did you find it in your cupboards?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Where did you find it?
18	Α.	Under the hot chocolate and Kool-Aid.
19	Q.	Behind the Pop Tarts box, right?
2.0	Α.	Yes. Just underneath everything.
21	Q.	Okay:
.22		MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence. No further
23	questions	, Your Honor.
24		THE COURT: Any redirect?
25		MR. BURTON: Yes, Your Honor. May we approach

```
1
    first?
 2
               THE COURT: Sure
                        (Bench conference begins).
 3
               MR. BURTON: Your Honor, here's where we're at.
 4
    The very first question that Counsel asked on
 .5
    cross-examination was about whether Chance still lives with
    her, whether she still has custody of him and how he's doing.
 7
 8.
    We have fail calls where is she talks about the custody
    situation of Chance, in March 2016. And much more
 9
1.0
    importantly, she talks about the fact and Donovine talks
    about the fact that contact with him puts her custody of
11
12
    Chance at jeopardy.
13
              So she is contacting the defendant, continuing
14
    relationships with the defendant at the risk of losing
    custody of her children. Furthermore, it's our understanding
15
16
    that she at this point, does not have custody of Chance.
17
              THE COURT: Well, she said she did.
              MR. BURTON: I understand that, but --
18
19
              THE COURT: That's what she said on cross.
20
              MR. BURTON: -- I believe that this raises --
21
              THE COURT: Do you believe that's -- do you
22
    believe --
              MS. HOLIDAY: I asked -- I asked her is Chance
.23
24
    still with you?
25
              MS. JOBE: We have it on --
```

```
THE COURT: And when you say yes -- yeah. I mean,
  1
  2
    do you have --
              MS. JOBE: We have information that he's actually
  .3
    technically under a guardianship with her brother and that's
  4
 5
    how they closed out the case.
 6
              MS. HOLIDAY: We don't have that information. We
 7
    never got that.
 8
              THE COURT: So she doesn't have custody of her
 9
    child?
10
              MS. JOBE: The child's under her a guardianship
11
    with her brother. I don't have --
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
13
              MS. JOBE: -- CP --
14
              MS. HOLIDAY: She's (inaudible).
15
              MS. JOBE: -- but just so we're clear --
16
              THE COURT: Are you -- first of all, are you asking
17
    if you can ask on redirect about custody of her call?
18
              MR. BURTON: About the jail calls.
19
              MS. HOLIDAY: No.
.20
              MR. BURTON: They have the jail calls, Your Honor.
21
              MS. JOBE: They have.
22
              MS. HOLIDAY: We do have the jail calls, but this
23
    gets into the CPS issue. This is exactly why we wanted --
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
25
              MS. HOLIDAY: -- CPS records.
```

```
MS. KIERNY: And you're going to --
 1
             MR. BURTON: But it goes --
 2:
             MS. KIERNY: We're going to (inaudible) at
 3:
    (inaudible) that he's -- you know, that he (inaudible) know
 4
    he's in custody at that point.
 5
              MS. JOBE: No, that's not --
 6
              MR. BURTON: No.
 7
             MS. KIERNY: Once you play jail calls --
 8:
             MS. JOBE: That's not what we're talking about.
 9
             MR. BURTON: No, I was talking about --
10
             MS. HOLIDAY: (Inaudible) jail calls (inaudible).
11
              (Speaking simultaneously).
12
              THE COURT: Well, here's the thing; we don't tell
13
   the jury you're not allowed to know that the defendant got
14
   arrested. Everybody gets confused. And everybody in America
15
   knows if you're here, you got arrested. So we're not trying
16
    to keep a secret.
17
              MS. HOLIDAY: (Indiscernible)
18
              THE COURT: He's entitled to the presumption of
19
    innocence. We play jail calls all the time.
20
              MS. HOLIDAY: But March is two months afterwards.
21
    They re not entitled to --
22
              MS. JOBE: May I -- I --
23
           MS. HOLIDAY: -- know that he's in custody. That's
24
    why we don't have them this here while he's dressing out.
25
```

THE COURT: Okay. You can go into the custody of 1 the child, whether she has custody of the child, whether it's 2 guardianship. And why do you want to go into the -- because 3 if she said yes, then what? 4 MR. BURTON: If she says yes as to what? 5 If she said yes again because she has 6 THE COURT: said yes, she has custody of the child. 7 MR. BURTON: I understand. Then I will leave it, 8 because we can't -- we don't have the CPS records to prove it 9 up by extrinsic evidence. 1.0 THE COURT: Yeah. 11 MR. BURTON: But I think I can go into it on 12 cross-examination. 13 THE COURT: I think you can go into that. Now tell 14 me why it is you want to get into the -- into these jail 15 calls, because they basically portrayed her as being this 16 mother protecting her child when, in fact --17 MR. BURTON: Right. Exactly, exactly. 1.8 THE COURT: -- she's having contact with the 19 defendant and --20 MR. BURTON: And Your Honor, we have this defense 21 theory that they're all this big happy family when, in fact, 22 she's risking losing her children, at least to our 23 understanding. And that's why I think we can go into with 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

just the jail calls because she explicitly says in these jail

25

calls, if I get caught talking to you on Facebook through 1 your family, on the phone, with a letter, I'm going to lose custody of my children and he understands that. 3 THE COURT: So she decided to talk to him on a 4 recorded line instead. 5 MR. BURTON: Exactly. Yes. 6 MS. KIERNY: We would like to know more information 7 about that restriction. Is she restricted from having 8 contact with Donovine according to CPS? THE COURT: It doesn't really matter. If that's 1.0 her state of mind --11 MR. BURTON: If she (inaudible). 12 THE COURT: If that's her state of mind, it goes to 13 her state of mind. It goes to motive. It goes to a motive 14 here today and how she's testifying. Have you listened to 15 the jail calls? 16 MS. HOLIDAY: No, I have not. We have them. 17 have not listened to them. 18 THE COURT: But you haven't listened to the ones --19 MS. KIERNY: They didn't -- we had a bunch of disks 20 and we didn't have any indication of which ones they were 21 22 going to play. THE COURT: Okay. 23 MR. BURTON: A bunch of disks, we're talking 20 24 phone calls, Your Honor. 25

1	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow it, but I'm
2	concerned that they haven't listened to the exact are
3	there any redactions that need to be made? I mean
.4	MR. BURTON: We wouldn't be playing the jail calls.
5.	THE COURT: Oh.
6	MR. BURTON: There would be major redactions.
7	THE COURT: That's
8	MR. BURTON: If she denies it, then
.9.	THE COURT: Okay.
10	MR. BURTON: we're going to question and answer
11	format with the detective.
12	THE COURT: Okay. So you're not you don't want
1.3	to play the calls?
14	MR. BURTON: No. no.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Got it. You just want to go.
16	into them?
17	MR. BURTON: I just want to inquire on
ï8	cross-examination.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. HOLIDAY: And for the record
21	THE COURT: Any objection to that?
2.2	MS. HOLIDAY: Yes. We maintain our objection.
23	Again, I still don't think it's appropriate, because to
24	respond to this, we would want to provide details of what CPS
25	has said to her about contact with Donovine. We would want

to know why they've said that, or if there are certain limits, or if that ended at a certain period of time because it's my -- again, I don't know much about the CPS case, but it's my understanding that the CPS case regarding this incident was closed at a certain period of time.

1.9

So, I'd want to ask her questions about that, but it's hard to do that when I don't have the details of the CPS. I don't have the details of what the CPS person told her. I don't have the details of why she told her that. It would be great to respond to their, you know, evidence that she had the state of mind if we had details. These are details we don't have and we asked for and Your Honor ordered.

MR. BURTON: Well, we talked about that, and they could have asked for a continuance. They decided not to get those records. The bottom line, Your Honor, is this impeachment and they elicited this. They opened the door.

MS. KIERNY: We did not open the door --

THE COURT: Okay. The objection's overruled.

MS. KIERNY: -- by asking if she has custody of the child.

THE COURT: Oh, sure you did. She said she has custody of the child (inaudible).

MS. KIERNY: That was our understanding. We (inaudible) otherwise.

THE COURT: If she doesn't?

MS. KIERNY: Otherwise. If we had those CPS records, we would have known that we didn't have that information.

THE COURT: You know, I'm sorry because this is just so basic to me. A mother needs to understand what her custody is. It's shocking to me. You had contact with her. She should know what her custody is:

MS. HOLIDAY: Here's my -- here's my concern.

THE COURT: If she told you she has custody, I mean, I don't know what in the world she's going to say on redirect.

MS. HOLIDAY: Here's --

THE COURT: You're -- you're the ones that have contact with her. She doesn't show up to see the DAs. She's -- she's -- you know, that's unusual. You guys have an advantage. She's cooperating with you. She's -- she's friendly towards you.

MS. HOLIDAY: The other concern is it sounds like -- and correct me if I'm wrong, Ms. Jobe, it sounds like Ms. Jobe isn't quite sure what the situation is with CPS, right, or what the status is?

MS. JOBE: No, I know exactly what it is because if a mother who's had DFS or CPS involved in their lives, since I used to work down there, I always check, because if that

mom shows up with a child she's not supposed to have, I am 1 legally obligated to do something about it. And so --2 MS. HOLIDAY: So where did you get this 3 information? 4 I'm getting to that. So I e-mailed my 5 MS. JOBE: counterparts, because Jasmin offered to bring Chance with 6 her. So I e-mailed my counterparts to see if she was legally 7 supposed to have him in her custody, and that's when I got 8 the information, no documents, no nothing, from my 9 counterparts that he was -- the case was closed with the 10 guardianship to her brother that is still in effect. 11 MS. KIERNY: Well, obviously, this is 12 1.3 information --The brother has --THE COURT: 1:4 MS. KIERNY: -- we could have had and we do 15 (inaudible). 16 THE COURT: The brother has quardianship? 17 MS. JOBE: Yes. 1.8 MS. KIERNY: Also, what are the limits of that --1.9 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MS. KIERNY: -- guardianship? If the brother wants 21 to allow Chance to spend the night with Jasmin, is that 22 something he can do with his guardianship? 23 MS. JOBE: That's why no CPS report has been made. 24 MS. KIERNY: It's also a discovery violation 25

because this is information we could have had. We would have 1 questioned it differently if we had known that she didn't have custody. We asked her about that information. If we 3 had records -- you knew --4 MS. JOBE: I have no records. -5 MS. KIERNY: -- that she didn't -- you knew that 6 7 she had custody --THE COURT: There's no records. 8 MS. KIERNY: -- that she did not have custody of 9 10 this kid. THE COURT: You could have the CPS records and I 11 told you you could have a continuance. 12 MS. KIERNY: We told you that she would bring 13 14 Chance. THE COURT: I mean, you guys chose to go forward. 1.5 MS. JOBE: Your Honor --16 MS. KIERNY: Well, it's becoming apparent that that 17 was a bad decision because --THE COURT: What? 19 MS. KIERNY: Yes, because this -- because the CPS 20 issue keeps coming up with stuff that we just don't have and 21 we are at a disadvantage. 22 MS. JOBE: We don't have it either. 2.3 MS. HOLIDAY: What about the e-mail? You said she 24 e-mailed you back and told you -- gave you this information? 25

MS. JOBE: You're not entitled to e-mails between 1 deputies and my office. 2 MS. HOLIDAY: If this is information that you're 3 going to use as evidence in your case --4 MR. BURTON: We're not. We're just inquiring on 5. 6 it. THE COURT: Okay. Here's the thing --7 MS. HOLIDAY: Just asking the question. 8 THE COURT: -- how are they supposed to know that 9 she's going to come in and testify contrary to what the 10 custody status is? So, the objection's noted. It's 11 overruled. You can go into that --12 MR. BURTON: Thank you. 13 THE COURT: -- on redirect. 14 MR. BURTON: That's all I have. Thank you, Your 15 Honor. 16 (Bench conference concluded). 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 19 BY MR. BURTON: Ms. Cathcart, I just wanted to make something very 20 clear. You were not present when Chance was injured, 21 22 correct? 23 Α. Yes. You were outside of the home, correct? 24 Ο. 25 Α. Yes.

```
And when you got back, Chance was already injured,
 1
         Q.
 2
    correct?
 3
         Α.
               Yes.
               Sitting on the couch, correct?
 4
         Q.
 5
         Α.
               In Donovine's lap.
               In Donovine's lap?
         Q.
 6
 7
         Α.
               Yes.
               Thank you. Now, I think, I also wanted to clear up
 8
         Q.
    something. Chance was in California beginning in May 2015,
 9
10
    correct?
              May or June, one or the other.
11
         Α.
               But the year was 2015, correct?
12
         Q.
13
         Α.
               Yes.
               Okay. I thought I heard you on cross-examination
14
         Q.
    say 2016.
               I wanted to make sure we had that corrected.
15
         Α.
16
               Yes.
               So, May 2015 -- May, June 2015 --
17
         Q.
              Yeah.
1.8:
         Α.
              -- Chance is in California?
19
         Q.
20
         Α.
              Yes.
               Until December of 2015, December 28th, correct?
21
         Ο.
              Yes.
22
         Α.
              And then the injury happens on January 5th, roughly
23
         0.
    a week later, 2016, correct?
24
25
              Yes.
         Α.
```

```
Q. It's your testimony that the defendant has never
 1
    yelled at either Chance or Jordyn, correct?
 2
              MS. HOLIDAY: Objection. Misstates the testimony.
 3
              THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.
 4
              THE WITNESS: What? Can you ask it again?
 5
    BY MR. BURTON:
 6
              On cross-examination, you were asked does Chance
 7
         0..
 8
    yell at Jordyn, correct?
              Yes.
 9
         Α.
             'And you said no, correct?
10
         Q.
              MS. KIERNY: Objection. She was never asked if
11
    Chance -- what Chance's interaction with Jordyn was.
1.2
              MR. BURTON: I'm sorry. Did I -- I misspoke.
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
15
    BY MR. BURTON:
              You were asked on cross-examination whether the
16
         0.
    defendant ever yelled at Jordyn, correct?
17
              I don't know.
              You don't remember being asked if the defendant
1.9
         Q.
    ever yelled at --
20.
21
         Α.
              No.
22
             -- Jordyn?
         ο.
23
         Α.
              No.
              Well, let me ask it. Has the defendant ever yelled
24
         Q.
25
   at Jordyn?
```

1.	\mathbb{A}_{\cdot}	No.
2	Q.	So the defendant has never told Jordyn to shut up?
3	Α.	No.
4	Q.	The defendant has never told Jordyn to shut her ass
5	up?	
6	Α.	No.
7	Q:	The defendant has never told you to tell Jordyn to
8	shut her	ass up?
9		MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance,
1.0	argumenta	tive.
11		THE COURT: Overruled.
1.2		MS. HOLIDAY: Foundation.
13		THE COURT: Overruled. You can proceed.
14	BY MR. BU	RTON:
15	Q.	The defendant has never told you to tell Jordyn to
1.6	shut her	ass up?
17	Α.	No.
1.8	Q.	
19		you gave the defendant permission to physically
20	4 .	e either of your children, correct?
21	Ä.	Yes.
22	Q.	And that discipline would be to the back of their
23	hands, co	
24	À.	Yes.
25	Q.	Slap them on hair hands, correct?

Yes. 1 Α. This conversation or this interaction that you had with the first officer that got to the hospital, do you 3 remember talking about that on cross-examination? 4 Yes. 5 Ά. That was never mentioned before today, correct? 6 Ο. 7 The conversation? Α. The exchange, correct? 8 Q. With the cop and me --9 Α. Right. 10 Q., -- or with the court? 11 Α. You talking about that interaction. Have you ever 12 talked about that before today? 13 1.4 Α. No. So you had a recorded statement with the 15 detectives, correct? 16 17 Α. Yes. And you didn't talk about this interaction, 18 19. correct? 20 Α. No. And you actually came to court before today and 21 testified in another hearing, correct? 22 À. Yes. 23 Okay. And you testified for the defendant in that 24 0. hearing, correct? 25

1 Α. Yes. And you never talked about this interaction with 2 Officer Bethard and Chance, correct? 3 4 Α. No. And while we're on that subject, where the coffee 5 Q. is in your house, you never talked about that before today, 6 7 correct? 8 Α. Nο. You never brought that up in your recorded 9. 10 statement with detectives, correct? Not that I remember. 11 Α. You talked about coffee, right? 12 0. I believe so. Α. 13 With the detectives because we talked about that 14 O. 15 yesterday, right? Yes. 16 Α. MS. HOLIDAY: Can I get a page number now, Your 17 18 Honor? 19 THE COURT: Sure. MR. BURTON: Page 24. 20 21 BY MR. BURTON: And again, you testified at a hearing before trial 22 Q. for the defendant, correct? 23 24 Α. Yes. And you never talked about where the coffee is at, 25 Q,

```
who used the coffee or anything about that, correct?
              Not that I remember,
         Α.
 2
              And your testimony is that a few days after Chance
 3
    was burned, you went back to this apartment on Lisbon,
    correct?
 5
         Æ.
              Yes.
 6
              And you looked for coffee, correct?
 7
         0.
              Yes.
 8
         Α.
              And you did that because the defendant asked you to
         Q.
    do that, correct?
10
11
         Α.
              No.
              So you just on your own went back to look for the
12
         Q.
    coffee?
13
         Ä.
              No.
14
              So somebody told you to go look for the coffee?
         Q.
15
         Α.
              Yes.
16
              And you found the coffee, correct?
17
         Q.
18
              Yes.
         Α..
              And you were so excited to find the coffee that you
19
         Q...
    told nobody, correct?
20
21
              Yes.
         Α.
              You didn't tell the detectives, correct?
22
         Q.
23
         Α.
              No.
              You didn't tell any police officers, correct?
24
         Q.
              No.
25
         Α..
```

```
You didn't tell any doctors, correct?
 1
         Q.
               No.
 2
         Α.
              You didn't tell the prosecuting attorneys, correct?
 3
         Q.
 4
         Α.
               You didn't tell the court at the prior Preliminary
 -5
         Q.
    Hearing, correct?
 6
 7
         Α.
              No.
              You saved that for today, correct?
 8
         Q.
 9
         Α.
              Yes.
              At the time of Chance's injuries, Chance was in
10
         Q.
    diapers, correct?
11
12
         Α.
              Yes.
              Jordyn was in diapers, correct?
13
         Q.,
1:4
         Α.
              Yes.
              Lots of diapers between two kids, right?
15
         Q.,
              Yes.
1.6
         Α,
              Diapers used and new all over that house, right?
17
         Q.
              Yes.
18
         Α.
              Because there's two kids, right?
19
         Q.
20
         Α.
              Yes.
              And you changed both of their diapers at 8:45
2.1
22
    before you left that morning, correct?
23
         Α.
              Yes.
              You testified that Chance is with you, correct?
24
         Q.
25
         Α.
              Yes.
```

1	Q. Do you have custody of Chance?
2	Ä. No.
3	Q. Have you maintained a relationship with the
4	defendant and maintained contact with the defendant even at
5	risk of losing custody of your children?
·6	MS. KIERNY: Objection. Can we approach?
7	THE COURT: Sure.
8	(Bench conference begins)
9	MS. KIERNY; Mr. Burton just
10	THE COURT: This was
11	MS. KIERNY: I'm sorry.
12	THE COURT: This was exactly what we talked about
13	at the bench.
14	MS. KLERNY: I understand. Mr. Burton couched it
15	as she give an incorrect answer about the custody status.
16	That was then clarified. So the door is I mean, they
17	fixed the issue that they thought they had. This is no
1.8	longer relevant.
19	THE COURT: No, overruled.
20	MR. BURTON: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: She can answer.
22	(Beach conference concluded)
23	THE COURT: The objection's overruled. She can
24	answer.
25	BY MR. BURTON;

Do you remember the question? Ο. 1 Α. No. 2 Do you maintain contact and a relationship with the 3 Q. defendant even at the risk of losing custody of your 4 5 children? We stopped talking for a period of time. Α. б So it's your testimony that you stopped talking 7 0 with the defendant because you wanted to keep your children 8 in the home? 9 10 Α. Yes. You didn't call him? 11 Q _ 12 Α No. You didn't write him any letters or statements or 13 Q. anything like that? 14 We wrote letters, but we stopped. 15 Α.. And the defendant has a Facebook account, correct? 16 Q. 17 Yes. A You didn't post anything on his Facebook? 1.8 Q. No, I took his Facebook down. It was up at first, 19 Α. but I took it down. 20 You didn't try and contact him through members of 21 Q. 22 his family? Yes, I did. 23 A . So your testimony is that you did contact and 24 maintain a relationship with the defendant --25.

A. Through his family. 1. -- through his family? Was it your understanding 2 Q. that you were not allowed to contact him through members of his family? 4 5 Α. No. 6 So you thought it was okay so long as it was 7 through members of his family? 8. Ä. Yes. MR. BURTON: Brief indulgence, Your Honor. Nothing further, Your Honor. 10 11 THE COURT: Any recross? 12 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor. 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. HOLIDAY: 14 Q. Jasmin, we talked a little bit about the 15 1.6 interaction with Officer Bethard and you and Chance in the 17 hospital. 18 Α. Yes. 19 Was anyone else present in the room during that 20 interaction? 21 Not that I remember. A : Detectives interviewed you in this case, right? 22 Yes. 2.3 Α. 24 Did they ask you about that interaction with Officer Bethard? 25

- Not that I remember. 1 Α. You testified at the Preliminary Hearing in this 3 case, correct? 4 Α. Yes. Attorney Mr. Littman (phonetic) asked you 5 6 questions, correct? 7 Was that his attorney? Α. Do you remember a male attorney asking you questions at the Preliminary Hearing? 9 À. 10 Yes. Do you remember the District Attorney asking you 11 Q_{i*} questions at the Preliminary Hearing? 12 Α. 1.3 Yes. Did the male attorney ask you any questions about 14 0. Officer Bethard at the Preliminary Hearing? 15 16 Not that I remember. 17 Did the District Attorney ask you any questions
 - A. No.

18

19

23

24

about Officer Bethard --

- Q. -- at the Preliminary Hearing? Regarding the

 coffee, Jasmin, who told you to look for that coffee or who

 asked you to look for that coffee?
 - A. Joanna.
 - Q. Who's Joanna?
- 25 A. My CPS worker.

Was Joanna present at your house when she asked you 1 2 to look for the coffee? 3 Α. Yes. And so what did you do? Q., 5 Looked for the coffee. Α. Where were you standing when Joanna asked you to 6 O., 7 look for the coffee? 8 Α. In the kitchen. 9 And so did you start looking through the cupboards or did you know right where to find it or how did you find 10 the coffee? 11 12 I started looking through the cupboards. Α. 13 Ο. And where did you find the coffee? In the cabinet. 14 Α. 15 Q. Behind the Pop Tarts back? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Was Joanna present for that? 18 A_{γ} Yes. She saw you do that? 19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. How many days after the accident was this? 22 Α. Probably two or three days later. 23 Two or three days after the accident? Q. 24 Probably, I'm not really sure. Α. 25 Had you tried to look for the coffee before this? Q.

1	Α.	No.
2	Q.	So when CPS worker Joanna was in your home, that's
3.	the first	time you tried to look for the coffee?
4	Α.	That's the first time I came back to the house
5	after the	incident.
6	Q.,	That was the first time you had come back to the
7	house afte	er the accident?
8.	Α.	Yes.
9	Q.	Where had you been after the accident?
10	Α.	My mom's house.
L.1	Q _. -	So the first time you returned to your home after
12	the incide	ent, you were with the CPS worker, Joanna?
L3	Α.	Yes.
L4	Q.	She asked you look for the coffee?
L5	Α.	Yes.
.6	Q.	You looked for the coffee?
_7	Α.	Yes.
-8	Q.	And you found it behind the Pop Tarts box?
.9	Ą.	Yes.
20	Q.	Did the detective in this case ask you where the
21	coffee was	s when he interviewed?
22	A	Yes. I don't remember.
2,3	Q.	Did the detective in this case ask you anything
24	about cof	fee when he interviewed you?
25	Α.	I don't think so, but I'm not sure.

Did the male attorney who questioned you at the 1 Q. Preliminary Hearing ask you anything about coffee? 2. 3. Α. I don't remember. Did the District Attorney who asked you questions 4 Q. at the Preliminary Hearing ask you anything about coffee? 5 I don't remember. Α. б 7 MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence. 8 BY MS. HOLIDAY: Jasmin, you said you don't remember whether the 9 Q. 10 detectives asked you where the coffee was, correct? 1 I Α. Yes. And you said you don't remember whether the 12 detectives asked you about your interaction with Officer 1.3 14 Bethard, correct? 15 Α. Yes. Would reviewing a copy of your recorded statement 16 refresh your recollection about whether you had conversations 17 18 with the detective about the coffee or about Officer Bethard? 19 Α. Yes. 20 О. I'm going to hand you your transcript --MR. BURTON: I'm sorry, can I see that, Counsel? I 21 just want to make sure. Thank you. 22 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 23 -- of your voluntary recorded statement. Go ahead 24 and read through it, take your time. 25

THE COURT: Read through it? 1. BY MS. HOLIDAY: 2 And just let me know when you're done. Ó. 3 THE COURT: You want her to read through the entire 4 5. thing? MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, she testified that she 6 7 doesn't remember --THE COURT: Okay. 8 MS. HOLIDAY: -- whether the detectives asked her 9 about the coffee or about Officer Bethard. 10 THE COURT: I just asked you if you wanted her to 11 review the entire thing. If you do, that's fine. 1.2 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, please. 13 (Pause in the proceedings; witness reviewing transcript) 14 15 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, may we approach? THE COURT: Sure. 16 (Bench conference begins). 17 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, I appreciate what's going 1.8 on and what defense counsel is doing. We're willing to 19 stipulate that there is no mention of interaction with 20 Officer Bethard in anywhere of the Voluntary Statement or the 21 Preliminary Hearing transcript and the only mention of coffee 22 is on page 24. So if she wants to read just page 24, we'll 23 stipulate that that's the only place where coffee is: 24 discussed in her Voluntary Statement. 25

```
MS. KIERNY: We would appreciate that.
 1
               MS. HOLIDAY: Specifically, but it's -- the
 2
 3
    question isn't asked by the detectives.
              MR. BURTON: She can go into the specifics.
                                                             \mathbb{I}^{-1} m
 4
 5
    fine with that.
               THE COURT: You'll accept the stipulation?
 6
 7
              MS. KIERNY: Yes.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay, perfect.
 9
                        (Bench conference concluded)
10
              THE COURT: Okay. The State and the defense have
    reached a stipulation. Do you want to state it for the
11
    record, please?
12
              MR. BURTON: Yes, Your Honor. At this time, the
13
14
    parties are going to stipulate that in both Jasmin's recorded
    statement as well as her testimony at the Preliminary
1.5
    Hearing, there is no direct question regarding an interaction
.16
    with Officer Bethard. In the Preliminary Hearing transcript
17
    there is no direct question about coffee. And in the
18
1.9
    Voluntary Statement, the only specific discussion about
20
    coffee is on page 24.
              MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.
21
2.2
              THE COURT: Okay. And do you want to -- do you
    have anymore questions for the witness, then?
23.
24
              MS. HOLIDAY: I have further questions, Jasmin.
25
    Thank you.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from the State? 1 MR. BURTON: Very briefly, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. BURTON: Thank you. 4 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 5 6 BY MR. BURTON: Jasmin, your testimony is that when you found the 7. Q. coffee two to three days after the burns, that Joanna from 8. CPS was there? ġ 10 Α. Yes. And that you showed her the coffee? 11 Q. 12 A. Yes. Now, it's also your testimony that it's the 13 14 defendant that uses that coffee, correct? 15 Α. And my dad, yes. Not you, correct? 16 Q. 17 Α. No. And at the time, your dad was moved out, correct? 18 Q. 19 A. Yes. So the only person that would be using that coffee 20 21 is the defendant, correct? 22 Α. Yes. Fair to say that you never volunteered to the 23 detectives as to where the coffee was at? -24 25 Not that I remember.

1	MR. BURTON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Anything else?
3	MS. HOLIDAY: Very briefly, Your Honor.
.4	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
6	Q. Jasmin, did the detective interview you before or
7	after the detective and Donovine went back to your apartment?
8	A. I think before.
9	Q. They interviewed you before they went back to your
10	apartment with Donovine?
1,1	A. Yeah, I think so.
12	Q. You think so?
13	A. Yes.
14	MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
1.5	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MR, BURTON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your testimony
1.8	here today. You may step down. You are excused. Can she be
19	released from her subpoena?
20	MR. BURTON: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay. And the defense is okay with
22	that, too?
23	MS. KIERNY: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MS. HOLIDAY: Um, yes, Your Honor. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. You may call your next witness. 1 MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor. The State 2 calls Dr. Sandra Cetl. 3 DR. SANDRA CETL, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN 4 THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 5 you please state and spell your name for the record. 6 THE WITNESS: Dr. Sandra, S-a-n-d-r-a, Cetl, 7 C-e-t-l. 8 THE CLERK: Thank you. 9 THE COURT: You may proceed. 10 MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. BURTON: 13 Dr. Cetl, how are you employed? 14 0. So, I work out of two facilities here in Nevada, in 15 Α. southern Nevada. I work at a Sunrise Children's Hospital as 16 well as the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center. 17 work in kind of two areas; one as an emergency physician, but 18 my main employment is as a child abuse evaluator. And so at 19 both facilities I'll evaluate concerns of child abuse and 20 21 neglect. How long have you worked for the Southern Nevada 22 Q. Children's Assessment Center? 23 About six-and-a-half years. 24 Α. And how long have you worked for Southern -- excuse

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

Q.

me, Sunrise Children's Hospital?

A. The same.

- Q. How long has your job at kind of both of these places to evaluate concerns of child abuse or neglect?
 - A. The entirety.
- Q. Can you please just tell the members of the jury how many -- in your time there, how many cases you've reviewed?
 - A. Of abuse, or in general patients?
 - Q. Abuse.
- A. Abuse. So, more than likely, I don't have an exact number, but it would be in the thousands, probably up to about 5,000; 6,000 now.
- Q. When you're reviewing, what type of -- what determination are you trying to make?
- A. So, with review of any child, whether it's in the Emergency Department or concerns of child abuse, my main concern is to evaluate a child and have a differential.

 Basically, is there some kind of a medical condition a child has? Is there some kind of accidental mechanism that has injured a child? Is there a concern of abuse or an inflicted injury and then any other disease processes would kind of open up that differential up as well.
- Q. In a few thousand evaluations of suspected child abuse that you've evaluated, have you determined child abuse

in every case?

5.

1.1

- A. Oh, no, no.
 - Q. Sometimes you determine abuse, sometimes you don't?
- A. Correct.
- Q. What type of -- can you go into your training and experience for child abuse specifically?
- A. Sure. So for child abuse specifically, prior when I was still in residency, there's a child abuse pediatrician who was working here in town. I began taking rotations with her, and then eventually attending several conferences for child abuse and neglect. Once I graduated residency and was able to be employed for Sunrise Hospital as well as the SNCAC, the Children's Assessment Center, I underwent an apprenticeship with that physician. I continued to do yearly conferences as well as peer review process both of myself and of my colleagues.

And then additionally, you know just training and experience in the Emergency Department as well as the patients that I see.

- Q. Do you work with colleagues both locally and around the country concerning child abuse?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Does that also give training and experience to you?
- A. Yes, I'm a part of several international groups and societies on -- that discuss child abuse and neglect concerns

as well as general medicine concerns. We pretty much communicate on a daily basis regarding various cases or opinions or research or literature that's come out. And so throughout that and just keeping up with all of the literature and science as it comes in is — is how I maintain my kind of education in the medical field.

- Q. Fair to say your training is ongoing? You have to keep -- keep abreast of things?
 - A. Constantly, yes.
- Q. Do you have training and experience as it relates to burn injuries or burn type injuries?
 - A. Yes.

1.

1.3

- Q. Can you go into detail for that?
- A. So again, both in the Emergency Department where kids come in for everything, from a runny nose to possibly a burn, and then additionally through residency, medical school and through just being a board certified pediatrician and going ongoing education about that. There are several conferences that I attend every year. One of them specifically is a coroner's conference as well as a international child and family maltreatment conference in San Diego that's held yearly and both of those address burns within childhood as well as in any, you know, burn scenarios and so I tend to those fairly regularly.
 - Q. Are you -- do you have experience treating burns

that were the result of an accidental spill? .Ź À. Yes. Do you do that at the Sunrise Children's Hospital? 3 Q. Α. 4 How often do you see those types of injuries? 5 Q. So I -- of the shifts that I work there, probably 6 Α. burns maybe in about half or so of the patients that we see 7 we'll come in. Somebody will come in with a burn. Not half 8 the patients with burns, but half the time I work there, .9 excuse me, we'll see a burn come in. 10 Have you ever testified as an expert in child abuse 11 here in Clark County, Nevada? 12 Yes, I have. 1.3. Α. Have you ever testified as an expert in burn 14 Q., injuries here in Clark County, Nevada? 1.5 I don't know if it was specifically defined as an 16 expert in burn injuries. 17 Have you ever testified as an expert in child abuse 18 when the injury was a burn? 19 20 Α. Yes. Were you asked to consult on a case involving 2.1 Q. Chance Jacksper? 22 Yes, I did. 23 Α. Do you recall when you were contacted and asked to 24 Q. 25 consult on that case?

It was about the middle -- or excuse me, the Α. 1 beginning of January of 2016. Ž Who contacted you for that consultation? Q. 3 I believe, it was one of the outside agencies, either CPS or law enforcement. We staffed the concerns of the case together. 6 Have you received any payment above and beyond what 7: Q. you make through Sunrise and the Southern Children's 8 Assessment Center for consultations like that? 10 Α. No. Do you receive any payment for your testimony here 11 12 today? 13 Α. No. What did you do -- did you agree to consult on the 14 Ó. 15 Chance Jacksper case? 16 Α. Yes. 17 ġ. How did you go about doing that? 18 So any time I have a consultation, I want as much 19 information as I can get or as much information as needed to 20 make a determination about an injury. For Chance, I reviewed photo documentation that was obtained through the CSI or ID 21 department of law enforcement. I additionally, reviewed 22 Chance's medical records from Sunrise. 23 I talked to the treating physician, Dr. Olson, who 24 was at Sunrise at the time. And I reviewed -- Chance ended 25

up having to be transferred and eventually admitted to UMC Hospital and so I reviewed those records as well.

- Q. Let's talk about burns in general. Can you kind of describe different burns as far as the degree of burn?
- A. Oh, sure. So -- and the names have changed a little bit medically so we call first degree burns kind of partial thickness and second degree, we have full thickness, partial site. So it gets a little confusing. But essentially, the way we think of it is the first degree burn is kind of just that top layer of skin. It's a sunburn essentially.

The second degree burn starts going into past the top layer of skin and kind of into the layer where our nerves are and it's quite a painful type of burn. Third degree goes just past that layer and it's getting closer and closer to with a we call subcutaneous, so the fatty layer that you see underneath the skin.

And then fourth degree is usually when there's ligaments or bones that are exposed.

- Q. Common first degree burn sunburn?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So pretty superficial, correct?
- A. Yes.

1.8

Q. And then second degree you described it as well as partial thickness --

Α.

2:

2.0

 24

Yes.

- Q. -- is that correct? What type of pain do you expect the patient to have from a second degree burn?
- A. So second degree burns are the most painful type because how the nerves are exposed. They tend to be painful from the moment that the skin is burned and then through ongoing treatment. So pain medication is often required. And also, because of kind of where in the layer of the skin it is, a lot of times there has to be procedures to take off layers of that skin and so that adds to additional pain.
- Q. Why is it that second degree burns are more painful than third or fourth when third and fourth degree burns are more extreme or severe?
- A. Third and fourth degree burns are deeper and those deeper burns then actually pretty much kill away or affect the nervous tissue so your nerves aren't exposed and constantly being stimulated and painful.

So third and fourth degree burns, that part of the burn itself is not as painful, but they're surrounded by second degree burns. So they will be painful as well, but the more extreme it is, the deeper it is, you're just not getting nervous -- nerve tissues being exposed.

Q. You mentioned that if you have a third degree burn, that's not going to actually be what's driving the pain because the nerve endings are destroyed. It's the second

degree burn area that would surround the third degree burn?

A. Correct.

- Q. So why would a third degree burn be surrounded by a second degree burn?
- A. Oftentimes, and again, it depends on a situation, but we do see sometimes with implements or even liquids or any type of burns at the center of what's burning will be hotter than the surrounding.
- Q. And if we were specific on liquids, why would it be that the center is going to be more severe than the outlying areas of a burn involving liquid?
- A. Right. So depending, again, how the liquid is exposed to the skin, where the liquid first touches will be hottest and then will cool off as, one, skin is burning or as it's exposed to the air, or as gravity feeds, you know, the liquid elsewhere in a different direction.
- Q. What type of treatment would you expect to see for a second degree burn?
- A. So the first type of treatment we have is pain control. And then because skin is what protects us from bacterias, we often give antibiotic type of treatment.

 Sometimes we have to do IV or oral antibiotics. But more often than not will be some kind of topical antibiotic.

A second degree burn, we also continue to monitor to make sure that it's healing well. And if it's not healing

well, sometimes surgical intervention's necessary to scrape off layers of the burn to continue the healing process.

So basically, it's going to be pain control, maintenance of that healing process, you know, hopefully to prevent severe scar — and then an antibiotic to prevent infection.

- Q. Let's talk about, you specifically mentioned a potential for surgical intervention. Can you describe what that would look like, what that would be?
- A. Right. So that would be where a burn surgeon or trauma type surgeon, they would take a patient to the operating room, sometimes they do it in the room itself, and will sedate to some extent the patient, and also give pain medications, and then using a scalpel and other tools, will actually cut away the skin that has been burned in order to allow the healing process so continue.
 - Q. Is there a term for that process?
 - A. A debridement.
 - O. Debridement?
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Ż

8

g.

10

11:

12

13

14

15

16

17.

1.8

19

21

22

23

24

25.

- Q. Spelled d-e-b-r-i-d-e-m-e-n-t?
- A. I -- I trust you. I'm not sure that I could spell that in my head right now.
- Q. I do that more for the court recorder than anybody else. All right. So you mentioned that you took a -- you

looked at photographs --

- A. That's correct.
- Q. -- in this case.

MR. BURTON: Your Honor, may I approach the Clerk?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. BURTON: Your Honor, may I approach?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. BURTON:

- Q. Doctor, I'm showing you what's been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 3 through 13. If you could take a look at those.
 - A. Okay. (Witness reviewing documents). Okay.
- Q. Do you recognize those as some of the photographs that you looked at in this case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So this is a photograph of the patient you were asked to consult, Chance Jacksper, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. We're looking at State's Exhibit 4. What do you see here?
- A. So that is his right hand. On the, what we call the dorsum or the back of the hand, there's a bit of a burn creeping up towards his wrist and as well as a moistened gauze that is placed over -- like a wrapping over his hand of the burn.

- Q. What would you describe that as?

 A. I would say it's fairly well demarkated, meaning that it's not, you know, a perfect line, but it's not kind of
- 4 -- it doesn't have jagged edges. It's a fairly smooth line 5 and there seems to be one small -- possibly a small splash 6 mark to the kind of middle of the wrist.
 - Q. Can you circle that for the jury, the splash mark? And you indicated he could -- where do you see this fairly smooth line?
 - A. The line I'm drawing is supposed to be smooth, but it's jagged on the computer. I apologize.
 - Q. Now, looking at State's Exhibit 10. This is Chance's left hand, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

2.0

23

25

- Q. Can you get a better idea here of where the burn starts and stops -- or let me ask you this, it's not covered by moistened gauze, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So can we get a better idea of where the burn starts and stops on his hand?
- A. Yes. Similar area, where it's from the wrist area down to kind of the knuckle on his hand, on his fingers.
 - O. We also see blisters, correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
 - O. Can you describe how those blisters would form?

What you see typically?

1

2

3

4

5.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

.15

16

17

18

19

20.

21

22.

23

24

25

- A. So there are a couple of different ways, but blisters are just kind of a damaged layer of skin. It starts to kind of detach from what is connecting it underneath. And so those blisters start filling also with fluid. It's a part of the inflammatory or kind of protective healing process. Sometimes we he see it if something hold is applied right away. So cold water or something like that. Sometimes it happens on its own, and sometimes it can happen mechanically so actually something touching that surface.
- Q. You described on the right hand that we had a fairly smooth line of demarcation. Can you see anything like that on his left hand?
- A. Yes, it's a little hard to see on this picture, but that it -- you know, there's a fairly kind of smooth area where it does stop and then also, it doesn't -- and again, I don't know that this picture, you can see the fingers as well, but there tends to be just stopping right, there.
- Q. Now, if we're looking at State's Exhibit 11, this is the same left hand, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Can we see better the lines or the lines of demarcation you're talking about in this photograph?
- A. Yes. On the thumb there definitely an area of burn versus not burn and then we can see that the -- you know, the

fingers -- the fingers are affected, but not below where the knuckle line is.

9.

1.0

23.

- Q. Can you see how high up it gets on the wrist or the arm area?
- A. On this picture, this wrist area, it appears to be about here. Unfortunately, it looks very red underneath that and I don't know if that's just the picture and how it's being represented right here.
 - Q. State's Exhibit 12, what are we looking at here?
- A. This is his left hand as well, and that's the side where his thumb and wrist come around from the from the dorsum or the backside coming.
- Q. Based on your review of the medical records and the pictures in this case, how would you describe Chance's burn? First degree, second degree?
 - A. They appeared to be pretty uniformly second degree.
 - Q. What do you mean by pretty uniformly second degree?
- A. So when we look at burns, your burn can have, you know, first degree elements, second degree elements, third degree elements all in one burn so that wouldn't be a uniform burn. That would have varied degrees. Or you can have one where it's all second degree burn. And so this is all second degree burn, pretty much, the entirety of it.
- Q. So you don't see on the outlying area less severe burns?

1	A. It doesn't appear so.
-2	Q. And you said that you reviewed the medical records
3	in this case?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. From your review of the medical records, did Chance
6	receive treatment for these burns?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Did he receive the types of treatments that you
9	described are typical of second degree burns?
10	A. Yes.
11	. Q. Do you recall if he received or was prescribed pain
12	medication?
13	A. He was, yes.
14	Q. Do you recall what pain medication he was
15	prescribed?
16	A. So while he was in the hospital, he received
1.7	morphine, and then I think eventually, they weamed him down
18	to Tylenol with codeine and then he I believe, went home
1/9	with Tylenol with codeine.
20	Q. Do you recall if he was at any time prescribed
21	acetaminophen with codeine?
22	A. Acetaminophen, Tylenol, same thing, I'm sorry.
23	Q. That was going to be my question.
24	A. Yeah, sorry. Yes.
25	Q. To all us lay people, what is acetaminophen?

- A. It's Tylenol.
- Q. Did he receive Hydrocodone?
- A. Yes, I believe that he did as well.
- Q. What is Hydrocodone?
- A. So we would know it by -- it's an opiate medication such as Vicodin or Norco or Lortab. It's the same. That's the same medication that's in it. It's mixed with acetaminophen or Tylenol as well.
 - Q. Would this be part of the pain management?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

- Q. Did he receive any anti-bacterial treatment or medication?
- A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. Aquacel?
- A. Yep. So Aquacel will help with the healing, and it kind of creates more of a barrier so because our skin is a our barrier and then I believe, he received Silvadene, which is a antibiotic cream.
- Q. And I don't know if I'm going to pronounce this right, Bacitracin.
- A. Bacitracin as well. So that's another antibiotic cream or ointment.
 - Q. Did Chance receive that in this case?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. From your review of the medical records, did Chance

undergo any surgical intervention?

A. He did, yes.

3:

9.

1.8

- Q. What surgical intervention did he undergo?
- A. So after -- so it's debridement. Essentially, after he was in the Emergency Department at Sunrise, he was then discharged to home with close follow up at the burn center at UMC. Once he came to UMC, it was determined that the burn was not healing -- it was actually looking worse and it wasn't healing to their satisfaction so he was admitted and their Burn Unit physician then was able to do the debridement surgical procedure, so the scraping and cutting off of any of the skin that's not healing to expectation or optimally.

MR. BURTON: May I approach?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. BURTON:

- Q. Doctor, I'm showing you what's been marked and admitted as State's Exhibit 14 through 19. Can you take a look at those?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Well, now if we're looking at State's Exhibit 14, what are we looking at here?
- A. So there's some sterile towels and appears that the surgeon or the person who's pointing -- I don't know who it is -- is wearing sterile gloves. So it appears that they're

1 in a sterile environment. And the hand has been debrided of 2 all of the kind of loose skin that's been around it. So it 3 seems to be post operative. How does the skin appear in this photograph? 4 Q. 5 Ά, It's pink and very smooth and appears to be and healing without all of the kind of that loose skin that's б 7 been burned on it. Is that -- the way it typically looks after Q. g debridement's done? 10 Α. Yes. 11Is that because all the dead skin's taken off? 0. 1.2 Α. Correct. 13 Ω. So for example, if we look at State's Exhibit 16 --14 Α. Yeah, it's hard to see on the computer. 15 Yeah. Q +: 16 Α. But on the picture, yes. (Off-record colloquy Court Recorder/Mr. Burton) 17 18 BY MR. BURTON: 19 Okay. Does that make it a little bit easier for Q. you to see? 20 21 Α. Yes.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

So we see this dead skin, correct?

Would this be pre-op?

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Yes.

Correct.

1 Q. And we also see a blister? 2 À. Yes. 3 Q. You mentioned that typically with debridement the surgical intervention where they're taking that dead skin off 4 5 that there's usually anesthesia? 6 Α. Yes. 7 What type of anesthesia can be used? 8 How do you mean -- like, I think -- well, they used Α. IV anesthesia and sometimes inhalant anesthesia. 9 10 So I guess, my question is, is this a local 11 anesthesia or --12 Α.. Oh, no. 13 Q ... -- general? 14 Α. No, no, no, general anesthetic. 15 So Chance -- was Chance given anesthesia in this Ο. 16 case? 17 Α. Yes. And that would have been general anesthesia? 18 Q. 19 A. Correct. 20 Do you know if Chance had one or more debridement Q. 21 operations? 22 I know there was one major one, but I don't recall À. if he had any -- how many he had at bedside. 23 Is it typical or not unusual to have more than one 24 25 debridement?

A. Yes, it's not unusual.

Q. Why would that happen?

A. Because as it's healing, I mean, you know, you're

-- you're forming layers that are trying to protect but

sometimes are creating more of a barrier to getting the

6

7

-8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- -- you're forming layers that are trying to protect but sometimes are creating more of a barrier to getting the medications to prevent infections and scar tissue that may hinder joints or small areas of the hand. And so the continued need for removal of kind of dead and dying skin might be important for the healing.
- Q. And you had mentioned that the debridement happened, excuse me, at UMC Burn Unit, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. Why wouldn't it happen at Sunrise?
- A. Specifically, because UMC does have an excellent Burn Unit and that is where we would send and transfer for any higher end procedures or higher level of care.
- Q. Now I'd like to switch gears and talk about accidental spills.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. In your training and experience when you have an accidental spill, what types of areas do you expect to be hurt?
- A. Sure. So when we have accidental spills, specifically with -- in the pediatric environment, the most common one is reaching up and pulling something down on a

child. So, whether it's from a microwave or counter or even the stovetop. And so typically we'll have either hot liquid top of burn. So coffee coming out of the microwave are on a — on a top or we'll — you know, on a stovetop or something of that nature or we'll have grease burns. So if somebody's heating up oil on the stovetop and that pan gets moved down.

So those are typically the two types. And if they get pulled down, the burns are on the face, on the chest, kind of on the torso area, abdomen and kind of come down. We also can see them on the shoulders and the arms.

- Q. And as you're kind of miming to the jury, you see cases where they're pulling it down, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1.3

22.

- Q. And that's why you would see those burns on those areas, correct?
 - A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Would you always -- would you also potentially see burns in what are called exploratory areas?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What are exploratory areas?
- A. So especially when we're learning how to walk and crawl and just being in the environment of the world, children will be exploring with their hands out or if they bump into things it will be in the front of their bodies and on the palms of their hands and so the burns that we see, for

instance, not necessarily from liquid burns, but say a hot stovetop and a child puts their hand on that, that -- the palm of their hand, that's an exploratory area.

You -- you know, you fall when you're on a scooter going forward, you get a goose egg on your forehead, that's an exploratory area, you're exploring your environment sometimes painfully, but with the front of the body.

- Q. Would the front of the body include in some cases legs or the tops of the feet?
 - A. Yes, it can.

1.4

- Q. As that water is falling and hitting different parts of the body, do you expect to see less severe burns?
- A. Yes. So the first place that a hot liquid would touch on the skin, that would be your hottest part. And then as one the process of burning will cool down that liquid. And then subsequently, also exposure to air, and then as gravity causes that burn to kind of flow down the body or get caught up in shirts and clothing and whatnot, that will all cool it down.

And so the burn won't be uniform. It will be the worst at the hottest spot and then kind of cooled down as it goes down the body.

- Q. And the hottest spot being where the water hit first?
 - A. Correct.

1 When you reviewed the medical records and the photographs in this case, were you also provided a series of events that supposedly caused the injuries to Chance? A Yes, I did. Can you describe what you received as that information? Α. That his caregiver -- so Chance was with, I believe, mom's boyfriend, and he had stepped out of the kitchen after boiling some water in preparation to make coffee, I believe. Had placed a cup of boiling water onto the kitchen counter. Had stepped out of the room for a moment and when coming back had heard Chance say something to the effect of "ow" or "hot", and then the burns were noticed and the cup was overturned. Q. Do you recall having the information provided to

2

:3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- you being that the cup was on the ground?
- I believe, that it was on the ground, yes, but I Α. can't -- I don't remember specifically that.
- Part of the information that you received, did you also learn the measurements of the counter where this cup was initially and Chance?
- Yes. So that Chance was about 37 Inches tall and Α. the counter was about 35 inches tall, I believe.
- Based on those measurements and your training and experience, would that mean that Chance would have to reach

above his shoulders or reach up to access the counter?

- A. Absolutely.
- Q. In your training and experience and your opinion, was the series of events that you were given consist went or inconsistent with the injuries you saw to Chance?
 - A. Inconsistent with.
 - Q. Why?

-3

1.4

2.3

A. So accidental burns will happen on one side of the body, again, exploratory areas, will have this non-uniform appearance to them, especially with hot liquids that are above the level of the shoulder of a small child. In this Chance's case he was, I believe, two years old at the time that we had seen him.

And so none of those patterns followed. He had pretty uniform burns. They were on the backs of both of his hands. They were, you know, fairly symmetrical. There was areas of sparing, meaning, there was areas that weren't burned that I would anticipate being burned if there was more of an accidental mechanism there. Fairly smooth lines. Again, not jagged edges of somebody moving away from hot injuries or hot water as we tend to. That's a — just a reflex. So kind of putting the totality of everything together, it was inconsistent with him reaching above and knocking over a cup of water.

MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor. Foundation,

1 facts not in evidence. Ź THE COURT: Overruled. 3: BY MR. BURTON: Let's break some of that down a little bit, Doctor. 4 0. 5 Α. Okay. 6 So if we're looking at State's Exhibit 3, do we see 7 exploratory areas depicted in that photograph? 8 Α. Yes. 9 0. Where would Chance's exploratory areas be as we see 10 them in this photograph? 11 So basically, things that are sticking out and Α. would touch the world, so foreheads, noses, chins, sometimes 12 chest and that would be most of the exploratory areas on him. 13 14 On his right hand you can see a little bit of the forearm. 15 Sometimes we do have, you know, exploration over here as 16 well. 17 Q. And did you see any burns or documented or photographed to Chance's exploratory areas? 18 19 Α. No. If we look at State's Exhibit 7, would we classify 20 Q. his left palm as an exploratory area? 21 22 Α.. Yes. 23 There are no burns on his palm, correct? Q. 24 Α. Correct. You even said something, you said sparing. 25 Q.

please describe what that is to the jury and why that formed in your opinion?

- A. It's just an area where it's spared so there is burn on one area, it's not spared of the burn, and in the area that doesn't have a burn, that's the sparing area. So it's spared of the burn area.
- Q. Why is that significant to you that there's sparing?
- A. So again, you know, a lot of the reflexes when we're touching hot things and to move around, you would expect certain areas that would have burns. So where is not burned is significant because it would indicate either something that his palms were either on something cooler or that his hands were in a fist or something where the water couldn't access that area.
- Q. If we look at State's Exhibit 6, this is his right palm, again, an exploratory area, correct?
 - A. Correct.

4.

5.

1.8

- Q. And again, based on your review of the photographs and the medical records spared?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Is it significant to you that both palms are spared, as opposed to just one palm being spared and one not being spared?
 - A. Yes. Again, like getting burned is a pretty

chaotic kind of situation so, you know, having the control of having both of the backs of the hands being burned and nothing else is very significant. If it was spilling all the way around on one hand, but one hand was, you know, spared, the severity of that type of burn, that would mean a lot more because you wouldn't have the symmetry of — of burn.

- Q. And let's go now to State's Exhibit 13. You were talking about clear lines. Let me try this. Does that make the photograph a little easier to see?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20.

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. So you talked about how -- I'm sorry, you talked about how there were lines that you could see or that there was a uniform pattern, that's what you had said.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Do you see that depicted in this photograph?
- A. Well, the uniformity is mostly with the depth of the burn, but also the kind of the lines where they start and stop. The way they're projected is a little difficult to see, but there appears to be boundaries here as well as right here. Sorry, these are not as sensitive.
- Q. So you said that the burn itself, the thickness, the degree of the burn was uniform?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Why did that inform your opinion?
 - A. Because when we have an accidental spill of some

sort or an accidental touching of hot water or hot liquid of any sort, again, you're going to have periods where it cools down, where you're, you know, getting away from it or if something spills on the face and it cools down as it comes down, you know, gravity just pulls down the -- the fluid.

And so when it's just completely uniform, again, it suggests that, you know, whatever touched that area liquid or otherwise was there for a certain amount of time and that nobody was pulling away from a hot water situation or hot implement or anything like that.

- Q. You're touching on reflexes a little bit. You're familiar with reflexes as it relates to pain?
 - A. Yes.

18:

- Q. Are you familiar with reflexes as it relates to different forms or mechanisms of pain?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In other words, are there different reflexes or blunt force pain as opposed to pain caused by a burn?
- A. So it's not so much the pain, it's the mechanism of burns. So we would call it a thermal injury. Those neurons, they send messages faster than the actual pain messages. And so you tend -- your reflex is to move away from the -- you know, the thermal injury area and then you start feeling the pain.
 - Q. Does that affect the reflexes and what you

```
1
     understand about reflexes in thermal injury cases, which are
  2
     hot liquid burns?
  3
          Α.
               Yes.
  4
          Q.
               Or include hot liquid burns?
  5
          Α.
               That includes it.
  6
          0.
               Does that change the way injury typically looks?
  7
          Α.
               Yes.
  8
          Q.
               Does that change the degree of injury on the kind
  9
    of outlining areas?
10
          Α.
               More often than not, yes, it does.
11
               Describe that for the jury.
          Ö.
12
               So again, if hot water is -- you know, hot water,
1.3
    liquid is on the back of the hand, the first reflex that your
    brain does in your spinal cord is to move the hand away, and
14
    especially if someone's reaching or anything like that, then
15
    your hand is going to be not in a herizontal position, but
16
17
    more of a vertical or even, you know, pointing downwards and
18
    that --
19
              MS. HOLIDAY: Objection. Speculation.
20
              THE COURT: Overruled. You may continue.
21
              THE WITNESS: Okay. And so then that -- what we
    typically see then is that pooling gravitational movement of
22
23
    the water.
    BY MR. BURTON:
24
25
              So gravity being not only things fall down to the
         Q.
```

1 ground, but as things are in motion, like pulling away from a 2 hot item or a hot liquid, that moves the water or whatever liquid would be left on there --.3. 4 Α. Correct. 5 Q. -- and affects the way the injury looks? Α. б Correct. 7 You don't see any of that in these injuries? Q. 8 Α. There doesn't appear to be, no. 9 Q. If we look at State's Exhibit 9, now this is the Ι0 right hand, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Same thing in terms of uniformity of the burn? Q. 13. Α. Yes. 14 So there's no lesser severe or lower degree of burn Q., 15 on the outlying area of the burn? 16 Nothing significant, no. Α. 17 Does your understanding -- is your understanding of 0. reflexes and your examination of the photographs in this case 18 consistent with Chance being held or restrained at the time 19 20. of the burn? 21 Α. It was more consistent with that, yes. In accidental spill cases, do you typically see 22. 23 what are referred to as splash marks? 24 Α Yes.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC • 303-798-0890

Describe what splash marks are.

25

```
1
     dishes you had in your apartment in January 2016?
  2
          Α.
                Yes.
  3
               MR, BURTON: Putting this up on the Elmo,
  4
               THE COURT: Any objection?
  5
               MS. HOLIDAY: No objection.
  6
               MR. BURTON: I apologize.
  7
               THE COURT: It's admitted, That's okay. You may
  8
     publish.
 9
                      (State's Exhibit 53 admitted)
10
     BY MR. BURTON:
11
               So if we look at State's Exhibit 53, we have --
12
    this is the cupboard with the cups, correct?
13
          Α.
               Yes.
               And like you said, there's some milk cups, there's
14
          Q.
    some mugs, there's some plastic cups, there's some glass
15
16
    cups, correct?
17
         Α.
              Yes.
1.8
              Did you have a kitchen table in your kitchen?
         Q.
19
         Α.
              No.
20
              Did you have a kitchen -- like kitchen chairs?
         Q.
21
         Á.
              No.
22
         Q.
              You had a high chair for Jordyn, correct?
23
         Α.
              Yes.
              Do you recall -- well, at the time of the injury,
24
         Q.
    did Chance understand what hot things were, to your belief?
25
```

1 Α. No. I'm sorry? 2 ٥. 3 Α. No. He did not understand what hot was? 4 Q. Well, yes. 5 Α. To your belief, did he understand to not touch hot 6 Q. things? 7 8 Α. No, not really, Do you remember telling the detectives that if .9 Chance touched a cup that -- excuse me, a cup that was hot, 10 he would know not to touch it? 11 12 Yes. Α. So is your testimony that at the time of January 13 2016, Chance knew not to touch hot things? Well, what if the -- the cup, if it's hot on the 15 Α, inside, what if it wasn't hot on the outside of the cup? 16 I'm just asking you if Chance knew in January 2016, 17 to not touch hot things? 18 19 Α. Yes. You have a counter in your apartment in Lisbon, 20 Q. 21 correct? 22 Α. Yes. Where is that counter located? 23 Q. 24 In the kitchen. A. MR. BURTON: May I approach the Clerk? 25

```
THE COURT: You may.
 1
              MR. BURTON: Showing opposing counsel State's
 2
    Proposed Exhibits 33 and 34. May I approach?
 3
 4
              THE COURT: You may.
    BY MR. BURTON:
 5
              Ms. Cathcart, I'm showing you what's been marked as
 6
         Q.
   State's Proposed Exhibits 33 and 34. If you could take a
    look at those. Do you recognize those photographs?
         ZY .
 9
              Yes.
10
         Q.
              What are those photographs of?
              My kitchen.
11
         Α.
              Is that a fair and accurate depiction of how it
12
         0.
    looked in January 2016?
13
14
         Α.
              Yes.
              MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, the State
15
    would move to admit State's Exhibits 33 and 34.
16
              MS. HOLIDAY: No --
17
              THE COURT: Any --
18
              MS. HOLIDAY: -- objection.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. They're admitted.
2.0
              (State's Exhibits 33 and 34 admitted).
2.1
22
    BY MR. BURTON:
              So looking at State's Exhibit 33, this is kind of
23
24
    an overall picture of your kitchen, correct?
         Α.
25
              Yes.
```

And where would the front door of your apartment 1 Q. be? Do we see it in this photograph? 2 3 Α. A little bit. Okay. Can you please circle that on your screen 4 for the members of the jury? So then the photographer would 5 be kind of standing in your living room, if this --7 Α. Yes. Q. -- picture's -- now looking at State's Exhibit 34, 8 this is more of a close-up of part of the kitchen, correct? 10 Α. Yes. And there's a counter shown in this photograph, 11 Q. 12 correct? 13 **A**. Yes. 14 Do you know how tall that counter is? Q. 15 Α. No. At the time of the injury --1.6 Q. 17 Α. Yes. -- could Chance reach onto that counter? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Did he have to stand on anything? Did he have to 21 stand on his tippy toes? 22 Α. No. 23 Do you remember telling the detectives in January 0. 2016, that Chance could reach the counter but only if he 24 stood on his big toes or his tippy toes? 25 İ

- A. Depending on how far back things were.
- Q. I'm just asking you if you remember telling the detectives in January 2016, if Chance could reach the counter, only if he stood on his tippy toes or his big toes?
 - A. Yes.

5.

7.

1.2

Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, we're going to conclude for the day. During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until tomorrow morning at 8:30. You'll report to the courthouse, come up to the 14th floor, at which time Officer Hawkes will greet you. When you're all here, we'll start. Thank you very much. Have a good evening.

THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury.

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: Jasmin, you just need to be back

to her about anything other -- at all. So we will not be discussing anything with her. THE COURT: Okay. Just be back here tomorrow morning at 8:30. You can go. THE WITNESS: Oh. (Court recessed at 5:11 P.M., until Wednesday, January 11, 2017, at 8:45 A.M.) ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly 1.0 transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability. Julie Hond JULIE LORD, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER 25.

Electronically Filed 5/30/2017 3:16 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-16-313047-1

Plaintiff,

DEPT. NO. XII

vs.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DONOVINE MATHEWS,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 11, 2017

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

CHRISTOPHER F. BURTON, ESQ.

MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

KRISTY S. HOLIDAY, ESQ. CARLI L. KIERNY, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

KRISTINE SANTI District Court VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110

(303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

INDEX

WITNESSES

WITHHESTE
STATE'S WITNESSES:
JASMIN CATHCART Direct examination by Mr. Burton (resumed)
DR. SANDRA CETL Direct examination by Mr. Burton 64
ROBBIE DAHN Direct examination by Ms. Jobe 129
PHYLIP PELTIER Direct examination by Ms. Jobe
PHILIP DePALMA Direct examination by Ms. Jobe
* * * *
DESCRIPTION EXHIBITS ADMITTED
STATE'S EXHIBITS:
Exhibit 1
Exhibit 2
Exhibits 26 through 31
Exhibits 35 to 52

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC € 303-798-0890

Exhibits 77 to 82.............

Exhibits 54 to 75.........

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 11, 2017, 9:00 A.M. 1 (Outside the presence of the jury) 2 THE MARSHAL: All rise. Eighth Judicial District 3 Court, Department 12 is now in session. The Honorable 4 5 Michelle Leavitt, presiding. Come to order, be seated, 6 please. 7. THE COURT: Good morning. The record will reflect 8 that the hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury panel and the witness is here and in the courtroom. 10 MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. Just briefly to 11 expedite things while the witnesses are on the stand, the 12 parties have agreed that State's Exhibits 26 through 31, 13 which are photos of Chance from UMC that haven't previously 14 been admitted, Exhibit 32, Exhibits 35 to 52, Exhibits 54 to 15 75, which are all of the house, and Exhibits 77 to 82, which 16 are investigative photographs will all be admitted pursuant to stipulation, so we won't be laying the foundation. 17. 18 MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct. Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. They're all admitted. 20 (State's Exhibits 26 through 31, 35 through 52, 54 through 21 75, and 77 through 82 are admitted, by stipulation) MS. JOBE: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 23 everything, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I know, but the Marshal's -- I'm 25: assuming he's getting the jury panel --

.1	
2	THE COURT: ready.
3	(Pause in the proceedings)
4	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury,
5	please.
6.	(In the presence of the jury).
7	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the
8	presence of the jury panel?
9	MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
1.0	THE COURT: The defense?
11	MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
12	THE MARSHAL: Thank you, everyone. Please be
13	seated.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Cathcart, you may return to
15	the witness stand. When you get there, please remain
16	standing and raise your right hand. The Clerk's going to
17	administer the oath.
18	JASMIN CATHCART, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
19	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. And
20	could you please state and spell your name for the record.
21	THE WITNESS: Jasmin Cathcart, J-a-s-m-i-n.
22	MR. BURTON: May 1?
2:3	THE COURT: You may proceed.
24	MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE WITNESS: C-a-t-h-c-a-r-t.
.]	Verbatim Digital Reporting 11.6 + 202 702 appo

1 THE CLERK: Thank you. 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. BURTON: 4 Jasmin, I wanted to show you a few more pictures. 5 We're looking at what's been admitted into evidence as Exhibit 26. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 BY MR. BURTON: 9 Do you recognize that photograph? 10 Α Yes. 11 Q. Is that you and Chance? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q: Is that at the UMC Burn Unit? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Is he wearing bandages on his hand? Α. 16 Yes. Do you recall when this picture was taken or 17 Q. 18 approximately when this picture would have been taken? 19 Α. No. Sometime in January. 20 Ο. And we look at State's Exhibit 27. Do you recognize that as another photograph taken of Chance, specifically, his right hand at UMC? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 And we can see next to the bandage where it ends on Q. 25 the wrist, can we see some of the skin change, the color

```
1
    change in the skin?
 2
         Α.
               Yes.
              And that wasn't there before January 5th, 2016,
 3
    correct?
 4
 5
         Α.
              Yes.
              Now if we look at State's Exhibit 28, this again is
         Q.
 6
 7
    another picture of Chance's right hand?
         Α.
              Yes.
 8
              And we can again see some of the skin change on his
10
    fingers, correct?
11
         Α.
              Yes.
              How long did Chance need to wear those bandages
12:
         Q.
    for, do you recall?
13.
              Just until they came off.
14
         Α.
15
              Until what came off, the bandages?
         Q.
         . A .
              Yes.
16
              Do you know how long he had to have them on for?
17
         Q.
18
         Α.
              No.
              State's Exhibit 29, is this another photograph of
19
         O.,
    Chance's right hand?
2.0
         Α.
2.1
              Yes.
              We can see again that skin color change on the
22
         0.
    wrist?
23
24
         Α.
              Yes.
              State's Exhibit 30, this is Chance's left hand,
25
```

1 correct?

3

4.

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

2.3

- 2 A. Yes.
 - Q. He's pressing a toy with his first finger, his forefinger?
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. And we can see the skin color change on his wrist, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And State's Exhibit 31, that would be Chance's left hand again, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you can see the fingers and some of the color change on the fingers, some of the skin still coming off, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you remember I showed you some photographs from a procedure that Chance had on January 6th and January 7th of 2016 yesterday, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What I wanted to do is, I wanted to show you that picture again. Do you remember this picture we looked at it yesterday?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And this picture was taken after the burns on January 5th, 2016, correct?

- 1 A., Yes. So this -- where we see the patient name, the 2 medical records, somebody's holding up where they've written 3 Chance Jacksper on the room and the date specifically, the À date reads January 7, 2015, correct? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 But it was actually January 7, 2016, correct? Q. 8 Α, Yes. 9 So they just -- if we look at State's Exhibit 21, Q. 10 again, they just have the wrong date there, correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 So this picture actually was taken on January 7th, 0. 13 2016, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15
 - Q. And we talked about the fact that you were in a relationship with Donovine in 2015, correct?
 - A. Yes.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And isn't it true that you're still in a relationship with Donovine?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. You have plans together, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Plans for the future?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you a child together, correct?

```
Α.
               Yes.
 1
               And you were pregnant with his child on January
 2
          Q.
     5th, 2016?
 .3
 4
          Α.
               Yes.
 5
               When Chance was burned?
          Q.
 6
          A.
               Yes.
 7
               You have a relationship with his family, correct?
          Q.
 8
          Α.
               Yes.
 9.
               When you came to court yesterday, did you come
          Q.
1.0
    alone?
          Α.
               No.
11
12
               Who brought you to court?
          Q.
13
               His family.
          Α.
               "His" meaning the defendant's, correct?
14
          Q.
15
         Α.
               Yes.
16
         Q.
               Who all in his family brought you to court
17
    yesterday?
18
         Α.
               His mom, his aunt and his cousin.
19
         Q.
               And when you came to court today, did you come
20
    alone?
21
         Α.
              No.
22
               Who brought you to court today?
         Q.
23
         A.
              His family.
24
         Q.
              Same defendant's family, correct?
25
               Just his mom and his aunt.
         Α.
```

```
You and I never met before yesterday, correct?
 1
         Q.
         À.
              No, we have not.
 2;
              We were supposed to meet last Friday, correct?
 3
         O.
         Α.
              Yes.
 4
              You had an appointment to come and meet with me and
 5:
         Q.
    my co-counsel, Ms. Jobe, last Friday, correct?
 6
 7
               Yes.
         Α.,
              At 2:30?
         0.
 8
              Yes.
 9
         Α.
              Did you make that appointment?
10
         0.
11
         Α.
              No.
              Did our office then call you?
12
         Q.
1.3
         Α.
              Yes.
              And you said you were running late, correct?
14
         Q.
15
         Α.
              Yes.
              And you told us that you would be by at 4:00 or
16
         Q.
    4:00-ish?
17
         Α.
              Yes.
1.8
              Did you make that appointment?
19
         Ò.
20
         Α.
              No.
              Have you met with either of Mr. Mathews' attorneys?
21
         ο.
              Yes.
22
         Α.
              Did you meet with them Friday?
23
         Q.
24
         Α.
              Yes.
              Did you meet with them before court yesterday?
25
         Q.
```

```
Before you testified yesterday, did you meet with
 1
    Mr. Mathews' attorneys?
 2
 3
          Α.
               Yes.
              Did either Mr. Mathews' attorneys call you last
          0...
 4
 5
    night after you were done testifying?
               No.
 6
         Α.
 7
               Did you meet with them today before you came onto
          Q.
 8
    the stand?
 9
         Α.
               Yes,
10
         Q.
              And you spoke with them today, correct?
              Yes.
11
         Α.
              You and I did not speak about this case yesterday?
1.2
         O.
1.3
         Α.
              No.
14
              Until you testified, correct?
         Q .
15
         Α.
              Yes.
16
         Q.
              And you and I did not speak about this case today
    before you testified, correct?
17
18
         Α.
              Yes.
              MR. BURTON: Brief indulgence, Your Honor.
19
20
              THE COURT: You bet.
              MR. BURTON: Nothing further.
21
22
              THE COURT: Cross-examination.
23
              MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
24
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
25
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
```

```
Morning, Jasmin.
 1
          0.
 2
               Good morning.
          Α.
               Jasmin, is Chance still with you?
 -3
          Q.
 4
          Α.
               Yes.
 -5
               How's he doing now?
          Q .
 6
          Α.
               Good.
 7
               He's doing good?
          Q.
 8
               Um-h'm.
          Α.
          Q.
               As the District Attorney just asked you, you have
10
    two other kids?
11
         À.
               Yes.
12
         Q.
               In fact, you have a beautiful new baby?
13
         À.
               Yes.
               What's area baby's name?
14
         0.
15
              Anastasia (phonetic).
         Α.,
16
              And Donovine is Anastasia's father, right?
         Q.
17
         Д
              Yes.
18
              Again, as the District Attorney just asked you, you
    and Donovine are still in a relationship?
19
20
         Α.
              Yes.
21
              Okay. Donovine loves your kids?
         Q.
22
         Α.
              Yes.
23
              You and Donovine love each other?
         Q,
24
         À.
              Yes, that's true.
25
              In fact, you and Chance and Jordyn and Anastasia
         Q.
```

	A. Again, it's kind of chaotic. Whether you're
	2 stepping into hot water or liquid or something's pouring on a
	person, you know, that person's trying to get away and so as
.*	that, you know, kind of chaotic body movements happen you get
	splashes, and those splashes will often not leave these kind
(of smooth lines. You'll see actual little droplets or places
-	where that liquid touched that are kind of smaller in, you
3	know, in nature.
9	Q. Do you see the types of splash marks you expect to
10	see in accidental spills in the photographs in this case?
11	MS. HOLIDAY: Objection. Factual basis,
12	speculation.
13	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was the question?
14	MR. BURTON: Do you see the types of splash marks
15	you would expect to see in accidental spill cases in these
16	photographs?
17	THE COURT: Okay. The
1,8	MS. HOLIDAY: Factual
19	THE COURT: same objection?
20	MS. HOLIDAY: Factual, foundation and speculation.
2.1	THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.
22	THE WITNESS: Okay. There seems to be one splash
23	mark but not the multitude that I would have anticipate in an
24	accidental type of burn.
25	BY MR. BURTON:

1 Ο. Do you see that, what you describe as a splash mark 2 here in --3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. -- State's Exhibit, excuse me, 9? 5 Α. Yes. It's kind of towards the middle of the wrist. (Indicating). That area. 0. And if we zoom in, do you see any marks sort of next to that area that you specifically pointed at above it? I mean, there are a kind of darker area in this 10 area here. 11 Q. Do you have any opinion as to what that darker area 12 might be? 13 I mean, it potentially could be a little bit of a 14 lighter burn or a less severe burn or it's just that the --.15 the skin hasn't come off yet. So this would be associated with the burn as well? 16 Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Are you familiar with the term bilateral in hot Ο. 19 spill or hot liquid cases? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Ø. What is bilateral? 22 Bilateral's a -- you know, often used in the Α, 23 medical area as two-sided or both sides. 24 Did you see bilateral burns in this case? Q. 25 Α. Yes, on both of the backs of the hands.

1	Q. So when you say bilateral you're meaning that
2	there's burns to both of the backs of the hands?
-3	A. Correct.
(4	Q. As opposed to just one hand?
5	A. Correct.
6	Q. Did that inform your opinion as to whether it was
7	consistent or inconsistent with the factual scenario you
8	were given?
9	A. Yeş.
10	Q. How so?
11	A. Again, as we especially toddlers, as they
12	explore their environments, having two fairly uniform burns
1,3	on the same location on both hands from an accidental spill
14	would be, you know, as next to impossible as it comes, and
15	there is, you know, I
16	MS. HOLIDAY: Objection. Factual, foundation and
17	speculation.
18	MR. BURTON: Your Honor, she's giving her testimony
19	as to how many burns, how many accidental burns she's seen.
20	This is her estimation as to thousands of cases that she
21	(inaudible).
22	THE COURT: Overruled. You may continue,
23	THE WITNESS: So, you know, and the literature
24	supports this as well and just experiential, both myself,
2/5:	colleagues, and you know, all the science that comes out is

that accidental burns will be on unilateral or one-sided. They won't be symmetrical. They're being kind of -- they 3 show that kind of chaotic motion when exposed to something 4 hot. 5 So having on the backs of the hands, a 6 non-exploratory area and both hands symmetric burns is of 7 high concern. BY MR. BURTON: 8 9 Q. When you were given the series of events, were you told as well what clothing Chance had on at the time of the 1.0 11 burn? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. And do you recall him wearing a diaper and a 14 t-shirt? 15 Α. Yeah, a short-sleeved t-shirt. Short-sleeved t-shirt. Does that inform your 16 Ο. opinion as to what you would expect to see burned if it was 17 18 an accidental spill? 19 Α. Somewhat, yes. 20 Q . How so? 21 Α. So again, so anything that's being pulled from 22 above I would anticipate pooling in a -- in a t-shirt, and 23 then having more --

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC + 303-798-0890

MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor.

24

25

foundation, speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can continue. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. So you can have pooling in the -- in the shirt itself. That can cause sometimes even more .3 severe burns, but sometimes if it's not attached to the skin, 5 then you have less severe burns. So it really just depends on, you know, where the б 7 -- the water falls or the hot liquid falls. Wearing a short-sleeved t-shirt, as opposed to a long-sleeved t-shirt, I would anticipate, again, anything being pulled from the top. What we typically see would be the forearms, shoulders 10 and having burns here and not limited just to the, again, the 11 dorsum or the backs of hands. 12 13. BY MR. BURTON: 14Q. And for the record, you were pointing to your 15 shoulder and your forearm --16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. -- when you were saying burns here? 18 Α. Yes. Doctor, are doctors mandatory or mandated 19 0. 20 reporters? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 Is that by law? Q. 23 Α. Yes. What does that mean? 24 Q. 25 It means if there is any concerns -- you don't have Α.

to diagnose abuse or neglect. There just has to be a concern that either the safety of the child is somehow impaired or that that injury was caused by an abuse or neglect or could have been caused, then medical staff is required to call Child Protective Services as well as law enforcement.

- Does that change the way that you evaluate a child's injuries when you're looking at a child?
 - Α. I don't think I understand that question,
 - Q. When someone comes in --
- Α. Yeah.

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1:5

16

17

18.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- -- and you're on call at Sunrise, do you look at a Q. child's injuries differently based on the fact that you're a mandated reporter?
- No. I mean, since I'm a mandated reporter, I look at all the injuries the same and I make my evaluation based on a differential. If they appear to be concerning for abuse, then I would, you know, have the staff or myself call it in. But it wouldn't change how I approach the evaluation.
- Does it require medical staff to call in any time a child is injured?
- Yeah. Not every time a child is injured. Α., only if there's a concern, if its history is not consistent, if the injury mechanism is not consistent with the injury that is seen. If the injury is very severe and again, there is no mechanism given or history given that is consistent

with the severity of the injury or the type of injury.

- Q. Are you familiar with what's called a SCAN report?
- A. Yes.

1.

2

3

4

5.

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. What is a SCAN report?
- A. So a SCAN is just a program that I I direct at Sunrise. It's called Suspected Child Abuse and Neglect, and the report is a handwritten report so that it can be documented any findings that are concerning for child abuse and/or neglect, also given an evaluation page and some drawing pages. And it's just a handwritten report to kind of simplify some of the medical terminology of a suspected child abuse or neglect situation.
- Q. So when would a SCAN report be used or be filled out?
- A. Any time there is concerns of abuse or neglect. We have them for sexual abuse and we have them for physical abuse and neglect as well. So they're filled out if there is an anticipation that Child Protective Services or law enforcement is going to be called due to the type of injury that is noted.
- Q. Is there a section in that report for, you know, what the injury is?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you mentioned, you know, diagrams or drawings so that they can be --

1 A. Um-h'm. 2 Q. -- depicted on those, correct? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Is there a section for whether photo documentation 5. was done? б Α. Yes. 7 Q., And by who? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Is there a section titled "findings suspicious for 0. 10 abuse and neglect"? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q_{-} What's the purpose of that section? 13 So that section is just to explain what are all the findings and which are the ones that are causing concern. 14 15 child comes in and has, you know, a bruise to the shin, that's not going to be something that's necessarily noted as 16 a concern for abuse and neglect. That's kind of a normal kid 17 thing. Versus has a, you know, a, you know, a black eye 1.8 without a history and then that would be marked as a concern 19. 20 for abuse and neglect. And those are basically the focus of why the evaluation became a concern. 21 22 Is there a section for disposition? Q. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Ο. What is that section for? So disposition is to indicate based on the findings 25 Α.

at the time, if there is no concern for abuse, this seems like an accident, is it possible abuse, but further investigation needs to be done since that investigative parts are out of the scope of a, you know, a doctor or provider's practice. So it says okay, it might be an accident, but I don't know, there needs to be more history.

And then there's another area with checkboxes that talk about probable. So more likely than not this was some type of abusive event. And then the last one is definitive and the definitive one is due to the nature of the findings, this is an abusive injury.

- 12 Q. Did you -- you reviewed the medical records in this 13 case, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Both from Sunrise and UMC?
- 16 A. Yes.

3

7

8

10

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. In your review of the medical records, was a SCAN report completed in this case?
- A. Most of it was completed, yes.
 - Q. Did you rely upon that in forming your opinion?
- A. Partially, yes.
 - Q. It was the basis -- some of the basis to form --
- A. Correct.
- Q. -- part of the basis?
 - A. Yes.

1 Based on the totality of this review, the Ø. 2 photographs, the medical record -- oh, I'm sorry, did you 3 ever see Chance personally? 4 Á. No. 5 Based on your totality of review --٥. 6 Α. Um-h'm. 7 Q. -- did you come to an expert opinion as to whether injuries were accidental or something else? 9 Α. I did. 1.0 Q. What is at that opinion? 11 That it's a abusive or inflicted injury. Α. 12 Why do you come to that opinion? Q. So for all the factors we've been talking about, 13 Α. This is a two-year-old kiddo who is about the same height as 14 a counter, the history of how the burn occurred with the 15 16 caregiver wasn't consistent. And then we look at the burns themselves. Even no history or, you know, partial history, 17 however it is, having both of the backs of the hands up to 18 19 the knuckles burned is suggestive of an abusive event. 20 When you were provided a scenario as to how these burns occurred, were you -- well, let me ask you this, have 21 22 you ever looked at photographs of the location where these 23 burns happened? 24 Α. Yes.

So if I show you State's Exhibit 34 --

25

1 Α. Yes. 2 Q: -- do you recognize that as the location where 3 these burns happened? 4 Α. Yes, correct. 5 Q. Do we see a pan on the back burner of that stove, 6 correct? 7 Α. Correct. 8 Ο. And then there's a counter to the left of that 9 stove? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. And if I gave you information that a child either pulled a mug down from the counter or somehow pulled the pot 12 down and there was hot liquid in either one, will that be 13 consistent with the injuries that you saw in this case? 14 15 Α. No. If I told you that a child actually got up on to 16 Q. the counter and put his hands in the cup or in that pot with 17 hot liquid inside, would that be consistent with these 18 19 injuries? 20 Α. No. 21 What type of injuries would we expect to see in Q. 22 that scenario?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC

303-798-0890

Well, the cup is fairly small so -- or a coffee

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Α.,

Putting hands in?

Correct.

1 cup. 2 THE COURT: Sorry. 3 THE WITNESS: And -- oh. THE COURT: Sorry. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Is it going to fall --6 THE COURT: No, you're okay. 7 THE WITNESS: -- or something? Am I good? 8 whole thing just moved, sorry. You know, would anticipate that only maybe one hand would be exposed and so it would be fingertips or exploratory pads of the fingers of that nature. 10 11 If it was inside of an actual entire pot, same kind 12 of thing. I mean, if a child puts, you know, both hands in 13 there potentially, the fingers and you know, up to when they 14 first reflexively move out and so those are the types of injuries. And we've seen them. This is not like a 15 hypothetical situation. This actually occurs --16 17 MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor. May we 18 approach? 19 THE COURT: Sure. 20 (Bench conference begins). MS. HOLTDAY: Now, Dr. Cetl is doing the exact same 21. 22 thing that I believe Your Honor said our expert couldn't do. She is examining a hypothetical and determining whether or 23 not it's likely to have occurred given the pattern of burns. 24

THE COURT: But this is not what your biomechanic

25

1 was asked to do. I mean, this is a doctor and she's just --2. you objected and she says this is not hypothetical. 3 what I see in my practice. 4 MS. HOLIDAY: But the --5 THE COURT: So what's the legal objection? 6 MS. HOLIDAY: The legal objection is that there's 7 no factual foundation. She's going beyond the scope of her knowledge because just like you were going to ask Dr. Johnson to evaluate the possibility and the pattern of burns that 9: 10 would result if a mug were to spill from left to right, she's evaluating the kind of burns that would result if a child 11 were to submerse his hands into a pot of hot water. 12 absolutely no testimony or facts in evidence to show that 13 that's something that could have happened in this case if it 14 did happen, just as Your Honor ruled that there's no facts or 15 16 testimony to support Dr. Johnson's analysis. 17 THE COURT: Okay, so the --18 MS. HOLIDAY: It's the same thing. 19 THE COURT: -- objection is it goes beyond her 20 scope of her expertise? 21 MS. HOLIDAY: And there's to factual foundation to 22 support it in this case. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. She can continue. She was kind of cut off at the end so I don't know what 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

you --

MR. BURTON: I'll reask the question. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. (Bench conference concluded) 3 The objection's overruled and you may 4 THE COURT: .5 proceed. BY MR. BURTON: 6 So Doctor, we were talk -- we talked about the mug 0. and we were about to talk about the stoye -- or excuse me, the pot on the stove. 10 Α. Okay. What type of injuries would you expect to see if 11 Q. the child had put both hands at the same time on a stove of 12 hot liquid? Excuse me, a pot on the stove filled with hot 13 $1\overline{4}$ liquid? 15 Α. It would be unusual, but again, it would start 16 where we explore the world. So what is that? And putting fingers in first. You know, an injury where a child explores 17 the environment with the backs of both hands is just not 18 19 developmentally consistent with a child of that age or of 2.0 really any age. 21 Are the injuries to Chance consistent with his 22 hands either being on a flat, cool surface or his fingers being curled, crunched into a fist? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 If I told you that a child same size as Chance had Q.

1 reached up onto that counter and knocked over a mug while both hands were on the counter, would that be consistent with 3 the injuries that you saw to Chance? 4 MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, may we approach? THE COURT: What's -- is it the same objection? 5. 6 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. It's overruled. You can 8 proceed. THE WITNESS: So again, I mean, it depends on where 9 10 the cup is, of course. But either way, if both hands are on 11 top of a counter and a cup falls over, you're either pushing 12 it forward and it falls backwards and the flow of water is 13 then coming from the back to the front. I wouldn't --MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor. Beyond the 14 15 scope of expertise. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. You may continue. 17 THE WITNESS: You know, again, if -- if it's, you know, hit from the side. I mean, the -- the cup of water, 18. the way it falls to land, you know, on both the backs of 19 2.0 hands would be -- would be near impossible without some kind 21 of mechanism in order to knock that cup down without using 22 the hands. So I just would anticipate being able to have both of the hands burned in a scenario like that. 23 BY MR. BURTON: 24 25 0. When you're talking about mechanism, you're saying

1 something else pushing the cup over? 2. Α. Yes. 3 ٥. Besides the two hands? Α. Yeah. 5 Did you receive information that there is a sink in the kitchen, sink in the bathroom and a bathtub in the 7 bathroom? À. Yes. 9 Q. Did you receive information that the sink in the 10 kitchen can reach 155 degrees in 2 minutes? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Ö. And that the bathtub can reach 142 degrees in 5 13 minutes? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Are the injuries that you saw consistent with 16 turning that faucet on, a child turning that faucet on full 17 hot and putting their hands in voluntarily? So, and above or over the head. Again, there's 18 19 really no pooling or where the -- the water would flow down elsewhere. So I would -- no, that wouldn't be consistent 20 21 with the back of both hands burned from a - a sink. 2.2 Q. Would your understanding of reflexes in that 23 scenario also make it inconsistent? 24 Α. Correct, yes. 25

Q.

How so?

So from moving away as soon as that hot water would 1 Α. .2 touch, even if it is both hands, the moving away and not 3 having sparing of the palms of the hands. 4 What would be the burn look like in that scenario? 5 So we see hot water --Α. 6 MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor. 7 MR. BURTON: Excuse me, I'm sorry. BY MR. BURTON: 8 9. What would you expect the burn to look like in that Q. 10 scenario? 11 MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor. 1.2 objection. 13 THE COURT: Overruled. You may answer. 14 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. So we do -- you know, we 15 do have hot water burns. A child is left in the bathtub 16

unattended, bathtub, you know, water is warm and then one of the kids who's in there turns on the hot water or same with the sink. And so again, it lands in one spot, spills over and there's more often than not will be splash marks. It's a bit jagged and kind of chaotic as the child is trying to move away from that hot water.

BY MR. BURTON: 22

17

18

19:

2.0

21

23

25

- Q. Did you see those types of injuries in this case?
- 24 Α. No.
 - What about are the injuries consistent with a child Q.

```
touching the stovetop, the outside of a pot with boiling
 1
    water inside or the outside of a cup or mug with water -- hot
 2
 3
    liquid inside?
               No. It did appear to be a liquid burn based on how
 4
    it looks and how it was kind of peeling and bubbling versus a
    implement of something that's hot that is making a contact
    burn.
              Have you seen both hot liquid and hot contact
 8
         Q.
 .9
    burns?
              Oh, yes.
1.0
         Α.
              You can tell the difference between the two?
11
         Q.
12
         Α.
              Correct, yes.
13
         Q.
              In your opinion, this was a hot liquid, not a hot
    contact burn?
14
15
         Α.
              Yes.
              What would you expect the injuries to Chance --
16
         Q.
17
              MS. HOLIDAY: Objection, Your Honor.
18
              MR. BURTON: Excuse me, excuse me.
19
              MS. HOLIDAY: Foundation.
20
    BY MR. BURTON:
21
              What would you expect the --
         Q.
22
              THE COURT: Let me hear the question first.
    BY MR. BURTON:
23
24
              What would you expect the injuries to look like in
25
    a hot contact case like suggested?
```

So contacts usually have the shape of whatever was Α. 1 touched more often than not or even smudging, lines, things 2 3 like that. So those different patterns. But the skin itself looks more like when you skin your knee rather than having a 4 lot of bubbling. You can have some bubbling with it, but 5 6 more often than not, it will be kind of that surface burn .7 with more of a scab appearance at the top of it. 8 MR. BURTON: Brief indulgence, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 10 MR. BURTON: Nothing further. 11 THE COURT: Okay. At this time, we're going to take a recess. During this recess, you're admonished not to 12 1.3 talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on 14 any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or 15 listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any 16 person connected with this trial by any medium of 17. information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any 1.8 19 opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the 20 case is finally submitted to you. 21 We'll be in recess for the next 15 minutes. 22 THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury, please. 23 24 (Court recessed at 11:09 a.m. until 11:25 a.m.) 25 (Outside the presence of the jury)

1 THE COURT: Do you want the witness in the 2 courtroom? 3 MS. HOLIDAY: No, Your Honor. If we could just --4 THE COURT: Okay. Do you mind just stepping 5 outside for a moment? Okay. And the record will reflect 6 that the hearing is taking place outside the presence of the 7 jury panel. And the witness is about to exit the countroom. 8 Okay, the witness is out. 9 MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I 10 just wanted to take this opportunity during the break to make 11 a record about some of the things we've talked about in our 12 bench conferences, and I want to renew --THE COURT: Just you don't have to remake a record. 13 14 that's already up here. 15 MS. HOLIDAY: So I -- it's my understanding that 16 your bench conferences are recorded, correct? 17 THE COURT: They're recorded and reported. 18 MS. HOLIDAY: So they're --THE COURT: Transcribed. 19 20 MS. HOLIDAY: -- they're automatically made part of 21 the record? 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. All right, that makes it 24 easier then. I like that. We'd also like to at this time 25 renew our request for our expert to testify and our

opposition to the State's motion and the Court's granting of the motion to strike your expert. The reason I'm making this --

THE COURT: I'm not sure this motion has to be made before cross-examination.

MS. HOLIDAY: But --

1.

THE COURT: Can we handle it before lunch?

MS. HOLIDAY: That's what I was just going to say about the reason I'm making this objection now.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's okay. The reason I'm making this objection now, Your Honor, is because if our expert were to be allowed to testify, then it would certainly inform the way I would conduct my cross-examination of Dr. Cetl and the things that I would ask her and the things that I would go into, the things that I would expect to be able to later ask of Dr. Johnson. So that's why we're renewing the objection now.

We want to, you know, continue to underscore to the Court that we think this is our theory of the defense.

Dr. Johnson could present it. It's kind of destroyed if we can't present Dr. Johnson's testimony, especially when State's experts testify to things like if burns to both of the back of the hands in a situation like this would -- what did she say? They're as next to impossible as it comes.

For a child to have burns to the back of both of his hands in a situation like this, they're next to as impossible as it comes. Dr. Johnson, as we explained, would be able to tell the jury that that's not impossible because he was able to show how it's very possible.

1.

-22

THE COURT: He was able to create a situation.

MS. HOLIDAY: Absolutely. So that certainly means it's not impossible. And the situation was very plausible given the facts and circumstances of this case. Again, she was testifying --

THE COURT: I thought she said impossible. She didn't say a situation couldn't be created. I mean, I know what she testified to.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right. And so, Your Honor, that's why we think that again, it just threads and it destroys our theory of defense especially when the State presents evidence that we can rebut. We have the ability to rebut it, and we're just not being allowed to. So that's why we would at this time renew your objection.

MS. JOBE: And Your Honor, the hypothetical was posed in the context of would you expect to see these types of burns or injury patterns on the child. So in that specific context, it was within -- was appropriate for Cetl to respond to based on the State's burden of proof. State's position is it still doesn't open the door to Dr. Johnson

testifying because she didn't talk about the biomechanics of it, she didn't talk about how the cup would have fallen, as Your Honor indicated, she didn't say it wasn't possible in some controlled environment or those types of things, but that it was nearly impossible. And I'd renew the argument I made yesterday to the extent that in the videos that we have provided by Dr. Johnson, and he even said he wasn't trying to look at accidental or non-accidental, but all the videos were the cup tips over.

Ë.

23:

The cup tips over by itself. The child doesn't actually — is not the mechanism for that cup tipping over. And the State's understanding based on the representations by counsel and — yesterday is that that's what he would essentially testify to. And since there's been nothing produced and nothing he would testify to showing a child could both knock over the cup and at the same time get the hands down to have them both burned, that his testimony should still be excluded.

The State still doesn't believe he has the requisite foundational basis of his knowledge or his training or his experience as articulated yesterday and that it would also not be not something that would assist the jury.

MS. HOLIDAY: If I may respond, Your Honor? THE COURT: Sure.

MS. HOLIDAY: Actually, I believe Dr. Johnson could

have provided testimony explaining how the child could have tipped over the cup on his own.

.2

9.

1.0

1.4

THE COURT: Right. He said the child would put his hands up there and he used, I guess, his right hand to indicate the cup would tip over and then the hands would have to be flat.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right. And Dr. Johnson --

THE COURT: I'm not sure how that would happen but that's basically what he described.

MS. HOLIDAY: And if he would have been allowed to finish the evidentiary hearing, or to go further into the evidentiary hearing, he could have provided the Court and the prosecutor with more details about how that would be possible under his field of expertise. He's a bio injury mechanic — or he's a biomechanic specifically regarding to injuries.

And so he could have enlightened all of us on how that's possible. He could have given the Court more details and the prosecutor more details and explained to all of us why that's not only possible but why the way he conducted his experiments were a reasonable recreation of that and why that would still assist the injury.

I mean, you know, yesterday when Your Honor asked me how long I believe the hearing would last, and I said a few hours, that's because I expected Dr. Johnson to be able to explain in detail every aspect of his experiment, why it

was justified the way he did it, why it's, you know, based on the reasonable facts of this case, why it's not based on assumption and conjecture and why it would specifically help not only the jury to understand the facts and issues in this case, but certainly the Court, and certainly the District Attorneys, because here we all are speculating on how it would be possible for the child to knock over a cup.

.4

1.6

1.7

19.

Certainly, Dr. Cetl is speculating that. And so again, Dr. Johnson's testimony could have helped inform all of us, not just his testimony in front of the jury, but his complete testimony in an evidentiary hearing.

Your Honor, once again, Dr. Cetl did analyze the exact situation that Dr. Johnson was going to analyze. I mean, the State definitely asked her about the exact mechanism of injury that we proposed. She had the opportunity to analyze it. She started to talk about things that we believe are outside her field of expertise; how a mug could fall. Why a cup couldn't fall over and create that kind of pattern of water.

I mean, almost the exact same things we were talking about yesterday.

THE COURT: I think a lay person can testify about how water comes out of a cup. I'm not sure you need to be a biomechanic expert to testify about common sense things and common things we all experience, and that's what she

testified to. I don't think she testified out of her experiences as a pediatric ER physician when she said that water flows a certain way when it comes out of a cup.

б

9.

MS. HOLIDAY: And if that's true, Your Honor, then it's not appropriate for an expert to testify to things that a reasonable person -- or that it's part of common sense. I mean, that's one of the things that I even think the prosecutors in their motion pointed out.

THE COURT: It's not common sense what that hot liquid would do when it pours over, but you're contending she testified outside of her experience by testifying about how water flows.

But she said, you know, water flows out of a cup a certain direction, and then the type of patterns that it leaves on the skin. That's where the expertise comes in.

MS. HOLIDAY: I think the type of patterns that the -- that it leaves on the skin would fall under her expertise.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. HOLIDAY: But the way that the water could spill out of the mug on top of the countertop, that's not inside her scope of expertise. And certainly, as she testified to about the mugs and how a cup can spill, the direction the mug can move, why it would be -- again, I think for a second time she said this would be near impossible unless there was a mechanism that was creating it or

something to that effect.

ġ

1.9

20.

So twice, you know, in different contexts she testified about what would be either near impossible or as next to impossible as it comes. Both are things that our expert can show are squarely within the realm of possibility and even likely.

And once again, if he were allowed to testify in front of the jury, he could explain why those things are very likely and if he had been allowed to testify fully at an evidentiary hearing, he could have explained to all of us why those things are possible.

MS. JOBE: Can I just reiterate that those questions when Dr. Cetl asked them, said nearly impossible and impossible was the tail end of the question. It wasn't the biomechanics. It was at this — what type of burns or patterns would you expect to see, and she was talking about the types of burns and patterns and injuries in this case being nearly impossible, under that hypothetical.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection's noted and we're going to proceed with -- the Court's previous ruling stands, and we'll proceed with cross-examination.

MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor --

THE COURT: How long --

MS. HOLIDAY: -- at this --

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MS. HOLIDAY: At this point, we would also request 1 2 the Court to grant a stay of this trial so that we can file 3 an extraordinary Writ to the Supreme Court. 4 THE COURT: The stay is denied, but clearly, you 5 can do whatever you think -- a stay to the Supreme Court for 6 what? 7 MS. HOLIDAY: A stay of the trial so that we can 8 file an extraordinary writ to the Supreme Court with our 9 objection to the Court's -- and of course, Your Honor 10 knows --11 THE COURT: Oh, about the expert witness? 1.2 MS. HOLIDAY: Yes. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor knows I --1.5 THE COURT: I just wanted to make sure. 16 MS. HOLIDAY: -- have practiced in front of you all the time. I certainly always very much respect your 17 18 opinions --19 THE COURT: Oh. MS. HOLIDAY: -- and your rulings, but you know, I 20 think in this situation, we believe in it so firmly, and we 21 22 think that it's such an importance to Mr. Mathews getting a 23 fair trial, and to Mr. Mathews' theory of defense, that it

would be appropriate at this time to stay the trial and so

that we can file an extraordinary writ to the Supreme Court

24

25

asking them to just review the decision, and that way we 1 2 could proceed knowing that Mr. Mathews was getting a fair 3 trial before it actually happens. THE COURT: Okay. The request for a stay is 4 5 I'm just wondering how long you think your cross 6 will go? MS. JOBE: And Your Honor, I apologize. Without 8 giving too much detail, Dr. Cetl has a medical appointment 9 this afternoon, so to the extent to which we can get her done 10 before the lunch break. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I just don't want to -- I 12 had a feeling it was going to be quite extensive. 13 MS. HOLIDAY: Yeah. 14 THE COURT: What time does she have to be out of 15 here? 16 MS. HOLIDAY: I think it will be quite extensive. 17 MS. JOBE: I'll have to check with her. 18 THE COURT: Can you check with her because --19 MS. JOBE: Absolutely. 20 THE COURT: -- I don't know if I want to hold this 21 jury hostage either --22 MS. JOBE: No, I appreciate that. 23 THE COURT: -- without letting them eat. How long 24 do you think your cross will be? An hour? 25 MS. HOLIDAY: Over an hour.

THE COURT: Okay. Like an hour-and-a-half? Two 1 That's okay. If you think --2 MS, HOLIDAY: To be safe, an hour-and-a-half to two 3 4 hours --THE COURT: Okay. 5 MS. HOLIDAY: -- I think would be safe. 6 THE COURT: Yeah, because the -- yeah, they're 7 saying two hours. It's already 11:30. 8 MS. JOBE: 1:30 is her time frame. I know. 9 THE COURT: She's probably going to have to 10 I'll keep going, but the jury's -- they were 11 reschedule. here pretty early. People are going to probably get hungry. 12 MS. HOLIDAY: I certainly just don't want to 1.3 14 underestimate. 15 THE COURT: Right, I understand, I don't know, or we can go and she can go to her appointment and we can break 16 for lunch and she can come back. 17 MS. HOLIDAY: I'd be okay with that, certainly. 18 Absolutely. 19 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, the other issue we have is 20 Dr. -- excuse me, Mr. Peltier is coming in this afternoon. 21 He has to testify beginning and end this afternoon. 22 have two witnesses with little tight timelines. 23 THE COURT: Something's got to give. 24 MR. BURTON: I understand. 25

MS. JOBE: I apologize, Your Honor. Would there be 1 Ž any opportunity or any way we can break Cetl's testimony 3 since we've passed her and they want to cross and bring her 4 back tomorrow to finish? 5 THE COURT: I could do that. It's --6 MS. HOLIDAY: I think that would be a great idea. 7 MS. JOBE: I've talked -- she's going to make the 8 necessary arrangements. Though difficult, she says that's 9 more manageable than rescheduling. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to start your cross? 11 Because do you have your other witness out there waiting? 12 MS. JOBE: We have another witness out there, Your 13 Honor, a very short witness. Our next witness is going to be 14 very long, so the State was anticipating if we can squeeze in 15 the last short witness, take our break, and then we'll do the 16 whole afternoon with the other witness. 17 MS. HOLIDAY: That sounds good to me. 18 THE COURT: I don't -- ckay. And you're okay with 19 that? I just don't like to --2.0 MS. HOLIDAY: And I'll do Dr. Cetl --21 THE COURT: -- break up your --22 MS. HOLIDAY: -- tomorrow. 23 THE COURT: -- cross-examination. 24 MS. HOLIDAY: That's fine. Absolutely. 25 MS. JOBE: I apologize.

1	THE COURT: Okay. So, 10:30? She can come back at
2	10:30 tomorrow?
3	MS. JOBE: I'll let her know, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Doctor.
5	MS. JOBE: She's coming back.
6	THE COURT: Right. And again, you don't want to
7	start your cross, correct?
8	MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: You want okay. All right. So if
10	you'll just come back tomorrow morning at 10:30.
11	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12	THE COURT: And them you'll have an opportunity for
13	cross-examination. Sorry about that.
14	THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
15	THE COURT: But we do appreciate your willingness
16	to come back. And she's under subpoena, correct?
17	MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
18	. THE COURT: All right. I just want to remind you
19	that you're still under subpoena.
20	THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you.
21	THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. Who's the next
2.2	withess?
23	MS. JOBE: Robbie Dahn, the CSA.
24	THE COURT: Okay. I think we're ready.
25	(Pause in the proceedings)
-	

```
THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury,
  1
  2
     please.
  3.
                    (In the presence of the jury).
  4
               THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the
  5
     presence of the jury panel?
  6
               MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
  7
               THE COURT: The defense?
               MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
  8
  9
               THE COURT: Okay. At this time, ladies and
10
    gentlemen --
11
               THE MARSHALL: Thank you. Please be seated.
              THE COURT: -- we're going to take a break in the
12
    testimony. The Doctor had another appointment so I excused
13
    her for the day. She's going to come back tomorrow morning
14
15
    at 10:30, and she'll be available for cross-examination.
16
              The State may call their next witness.
17
              MS. JOBE: The State calls Robbie Dahn.
18
                 ROBBIE DAHN, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
19
                          Thank you. Please be seated.
              THE CLERK:
20
    you please state and spell your name for the record?
21
              THE WITNESS: Yes, I can. My name is Robbie Dahn.
22
    First name R-o-b-i-e. Last name spelled D-a-h-n.
23
              THE CLERK: Thank you.
24
              MS. JOBE: May I proceed, Your Honor?
25
              THE COURT: You may.
```

Thank you. 1 MS. JOBE: 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. JOBE: Ms. Dahn, how are you employed? 4 0. 5 I'm employed as a senior crime scene analyst with Α. 6 the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department. 7 Q. How long have you been so employed? 8 Α 18-and-a-half years. 9 And generally speaking, what are your duties and Q., 10 responsibilities as a crime scene analyst for Metro? We respond for calls for service either through 11 12 detectives requesting us or police. We are like the primary photographers for the police department so we perform crime 13 14 scene photography, latent print processing, evidence collection, diagraming, a whole -- whatever's needed on the 15 16 scene to get information and to recover evidence. 1.7 Q. So fair to say as a crime scene analyst you can be required to do a number of tasks at a specific location being 18 19 investigated by detectives? 20 A. Yes. 21 All right. And as far as your role is concerned. Q . you have to be called by detectives or someone requesting 22 23 that you respond to a scene in order to do whatever it is 24 they want you to do, correct?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC

◆ 303-798-0890

2,5.

Α.

Yes.

- Q. And what training and experience do you have as a crime scene analyst?
- A. I have a four-year degree in criminal justice and then I went through the crime scene analyst academy. It's not the police academy. It's a 14-week crime scene analyst academy. Field training and then the field training is like quite a long extensive time, too, that was all back in 1998.

But throughout the course of my career, we're constantly being sent to different types of training programs and this type of thing so that we can keep like a list of all our training and experience so we can come to court and have the record of that.

- Q. Directing your attention to January 13th of 2016, were you called to respond to 1029 Lisbon Avenue, No. 5 in Las Vegas, Clark County detectives (sic) to perform some functions as a crime scene analyst?
 - A. Yes.

5.

- Q. All right. And was we've heard a little bit during trial about Metro event numbers, but when you respond, does that generate a specific event number on that date of January 13th, 2016?
- A. Yes, it does.
 - Q. Okay. And was that event number 1601131159?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. Fair to say there's been -- there's a different

Metro event number under which everything's being investigated?

- A. Yes. When we're -- when we are requested to do some type of a follow-up, then we will go ahead and use the original event number. But yes, we do generate a cross referenced event, which is the event you just read.
- Q. Okay. And the work you were doing was under the main event number of 1601051552; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

.3

2:0

- Q. And who did you encounter when you responded to this residence?
- A. I went upstairs to the residence and I encountered two detectives. One was Detective DePalma and Detective Grivas.
 - Q. And did they let you inside the residence?.
- A. Yes. They were already inside the residence. The door was open to the apartment.
- Q. And as far as the information you had at that point in time, were they there based on a consent to search that had been signed by the person occupying that residence?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And when you responded, what did they ask you to do?
- A. They asked if I could and I knew this before I

 25 left the crime lab because I needed to bring some equipment,

but I was requested to do some water temperatures.

- Q. Have you ever done water temperatures before?
- A. Yes, I have.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

.9

1.0

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 24

25

- Q. Do you have a rough guesstimate of how many times you we done water temperatures in your career as a --
- A. Between like ambient air temperatures like in a hot vehicle or any type of taking of temperatures in my 18-and-a-half years, I'd say probably just a handful, maybe 15 times.
- Q. Okay. And had you tested water temperatures before that day?
 - A. Yes, I had.
- Q. All right. What is the process for testing water temperatures at a given location?
- A. When we go out to the crime scene, I fortunately, was at the crime lab, so I checked out a thermometer there at the crime lab. And then when we go out to the crime scene, we take the temperature either for standing water or in this particular case, it was running water, and we open up the thermometer. It's a flip-it Fisher scientific thermometer. It flips open. It's got a metal probe, and you run that into the running water and it creates a temperature.
- Q. All right. And do you just test the water one time or you test it more than one time, what do you do?
- A. I always double check, if not triple check the water temperatures. So once I will take a temperature,

whether it's in a car or not, I'll get that thermometer back down to the ambient air temperature. Say, if I'm at a hot car, I'll go, you know, to another location where I can get the thermometer down. And then I'll go back and double check and triple check that to make sure that temperature is correct.

- Q. Okay. And what would you do, let's say you're testing a water source and you get three very different readings as far as what the temperature is concerned?
- A. It would be a bit -- a huge red flag that there was a battery error or problem with the thermometer.
- Q. All right. Now, in this instance, you were asked specifically to test the water temperature in certain locations within the residence; is that accurate?
- A. Yes.

.1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 16 Q. All right.
- MS. JOBE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor--
- 18 THE COURT: You may.
- MS. JOBE: -- after I show Counsel the pictures?
- 20 I'm just going to do it on the Elmo, if that's okay, Your 21 Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Sure.
- 23 BY MS. JOBE:
- Q. All right. Showing you what's been admitted as 25 State's 37. Do you recognize that sink?

A. Yes, I do.

1.8

- Q. And what do you -- is that the sink at that location you responded to?
- A. Yes. I had an opportunity to review the photographs. So I do recognize this sink as being the sink.
- Q. Okay. And did you take a water temperature for the water coming out of that sink?
 - A. I did. On the hot water side only.
- Q. Okay. So when you say the hot water side only, does that mean -- and this may sound obvious, so I apologize if it sounds like a dumb question. So is that when you turn only on the hot water side and you don't turn the cold at all, correct?
 - A. No cold water at all. Just the hot.
- Q. And do you make sure the cold water is turned all the way off before you turn the hot water on?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So you turn the hot water on and what do you do?
- A. At that point, I went ahead and turned the hot water on. I let the hot water run for a length of time in order to get to, you know, the highest temperature possibly that it could get. And then I opened up the thermometer, put the probe into the running water and at the point where it gets to the highest level it will be and then you can just

like, you know, press a button for hold and then record that temperature.

- Okay. And I just want to make sure I understand 0. you correctly. So you said you turned the hot water on, you let it run for a little bit?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

 $24 \, i$

25

- Do you document or keep track of how long it's Q. running before you take the temperature?
- In this particular case, I didn't really document. Α. I believe, it was around like maybe 3 minutes, 4 minutes.
- Okay. Do you recall if Detective DePalma was 11 12 keeping track of the time?
 - I didn't know that he had kept track of the time until later after I reviewed some documents at work.
 - Ö. Okay. So as far as you were concerned, just for your purposes, you turned the hot water on, you wait a little bit, and then you stick the thermometer in?
 - Α. Yes.
- And you said something about when it gets to the highest temperature. Is the temperature changing while the 20 21 thermometer's under the water?
 - Α. No. I have not yet put the thermometer in. gave it a little bit of time just in my normal everyday dealing with faucets, I can pick up on like, you know, once the water's really got a good flow and probably, you know, a

good -- you know, the temperature that it would be. 1 2 And what temperature reading did you get from this 3 faucet? 4 Α. The faucet there was 155.3 and that was in 5 Fahrenheit. 6 Q. Okay. So 155.3 degrees Fahrenheit? Ά. Yes, ma'am. 8 All right. And did you do the process like we Q., discussed, tested it multiple times to see if it was the same 9 1.0 temperature? 11 Α. Yes, I did. 12 All right. And 155.3 is what was recorded as being 0. 13 consistent through those tests? 14 Α., It was consistent. 1.5 Q. All right. Showing you what's been admitted as State's 68. Do you recognize what's depicted in that photo? 16 Yes, that looks like it was the sink in the hall 17 Α. 18 bathroom. 19 Q. Okay. And did you --20 Α. I'm not --21 -- test the water in that sink for hot water? Q. 2.2 This sink I did not test the water. Α. 23 Ø. And why not? I wasn't -- I wasn't requested to do it. 24 Α. Okay. And showing you what's been admitted as 25 Q.

1 State's 70. Do you recognize that? 2 Yes, that looks like the -- that's the tub in the 3. hall bathroom. 4 0. Okay. And did you measure the temperature of the 5 hot water in the tub? 6 Α. Yes, the detectives requested that I check the 7 temperature of the hot water --8. Q. Okay. 9 Α. -- in this tub. 10 Just for orientation purposes, I'm going to show you State's 67. Fair to say that's a picture of the whole 11 12 bathroom, correct? 1.3 A. Yes. 14 Q. So the sink that you were not requested to test, 15 correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. And then the bathtub, right? 18 Α. Yes. Okay. And let's talk about the bathtub. Did you 19 Q. follow the same process and procedure in testing the water 20 21 temperature of the bathtub?

A. Yes, ma'am.

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And when you tested the water temperature of the bathtub, were you able to obtain a consistent reading of your multiple -- "test" is not the right word -- the multiple.

-	times you put
2	A. Multiple checks.
3	Q the thermometer in?
.4	A. Yes. Yes, they were they were all consistent.
5	Q. Okay. And what was the the recorded hottest
.6	recorded temperature of the hot water in the bathtub?
7	A. The hot water was 142.8 degrees Fahrenheit.
8	Q. Okay. And as far as you recall, did you test the
9	sink in the kitchen first and then the bathtub?
10	A. Yes, I did the sink first and then the bathtub.
11	Q. Okay. And you captured all your recorded
12	temperatures in a report; is that fair?
13	A. Yes.
14	MS. JOBE: Pass the witness.
15	THE COURT: Cross?
16	MS. KIERNY: Court's indulgence.
.17	MS. HOLIDAY: We have no questions, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much for
19	your testimony here today. You may step down.
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Malam.
21	THE COURT: And you are excused from your subpoena.
22	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Do you have any other witnesses before
24	lunch?
25	MS. JOBE: Not no, Your Honor,

THE COURT: No? Okay. At this time, we're going 1 2 to recess for lunch. During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone 3 else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch 5 or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any 6 person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any ٠9 opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you. 10 11 We'll be in recess until 1:30. Thank you. 12 THE MARSHALL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting jury, please. 13 14 (Court recessed at 11:54 a.m. until 1:26 p.m.) 15 (Outside the presence of the jury) 16 THE MARSHAL: Please come back to order. The court is now back in session. 17 THE COURT: The record will reflect that the 18 19 hearing is taking place outside the presence be of the jury 20 panel. And this is necessary before the next witness --21 MS. KIERNY: Yes. 2.2 THE COURT: -- correct? Who's the next witness? 2.3 MS. JOBE: Phylip Peltier. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MS. KIERNY: Your Honor, over the lunch break we

```
1
     received a -- some notes from the expert. They're entitled,
  2
     Case Review, two pages, and then Thoughts. And so we believe
  3
     this is tantamount, and we have obviously requested any notes
     or reports, anything written at our discovery motion, and we
  5
     did not receive them until, you know, maybe an hour before
  б
     he's supposed to testify.
  7
               As you know, NRS --
  8
               THE COURT: For today? You got it right before
  9
     lunch?
 10
               MS. KIERNY: We got it right to -- at lunch.
 11
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
12
               MS. KIERNY: Yeah. You know, NRS 174.2342, any
13
    expert witness should be noticed not less than 21 days before
14
    trial, and the written notice should contain a copy of any
15
    reports made by or at the direction of the expert witness.
16
    Obviously, we didn't get those at that time. The State --
17
               THE COURT: Did you get a report?
18
              MS. JOBE:
                         There is no report, Your Honor.
19
              MS. KIERNY: We got these notes, which I would
20
    say --
21
              THE COURT:
                          Which don't have to --
22.
              MS. KIERNY: -- are tantamount to a report.
23
                          But which --
              THE COURT:
24
              MS. KIERNY:
                          Oh --
2.5
              THE COURT:
                          Which don't have to be turned over,
```

1 correct? MS. KIERNY: Your Honor, it's his case review and 2 3 his thoughts, which a copy of any reports that are made by 4 him or at the direction of him. 5 THE COURT: Is it your contention that's a report, the handwritten notes? 7 MS. KIERNY: Yes. At this point, we have asked for -- and additionally, in our discovery motion we asked for any -8 notes by him. At this point, it's trial by ambush. 10 174.235 discovery statute was violated. It's a due process 11 violation under the 5th and 14th amendment and the Article 1, 12 Section 8 in Nevada's Constitution. It's a violation of his 6th amendment right to confront these witnesses against him 13. 14 and effective assistance of counsel because the State's failure to turn these over means we are not prepared to 15 16 cross-examine based on these notes. 17 THE COURT: Do you mind just approaching and 18 letting me see what they are? 19 MS. KIERNY: Sure. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 MS. KIERNY: Did you want me to continue or do you 22 want to read --23 THE COURT: Sure, Sure, please. 24 MS. KIERNY: Okay. The Court -- obviously, you 25 rejected our expert notice -- or our expert, in part, because

you felt that he failed to demonstrate adequate foundation for his conclusions. And as stated earlier, we believe that this violates our ability to present our theory of defense.

Had this report before turned over, we could have given this to Dutch, and he could have rebutted certain things in there, thereby forming a foundation for his testimony. In short, he probably would have -- he might have been able to testify if we had had these reports earlier and his rights would have been preserved.

Also, in August in this case, the State forced a continuance after announcing ready in the calendar call for exactly this reason. They said they couldn't proceed because we failed to turnover a report regarding our expert, and all the while the State — this report, these notes from Dutch existed, which they didn't produce until day three of the trial.

MS. JOBE: I --

1.2

24:

MS. KIERNY: I understand that they didn't have them until five minutes before, but under <u>Kyles v. Whitley</u>, I believe that anything in the constructive possession of their — if the possession of their expert is in the constructive possession of the DA's Office.

So my motion at this point is for a mistrial because we cannot competently proceed under these conditions for exactly the same reasons the State gave in August. It's

their experts, their report, and as a result, we would be ineffective under the 6th Amendment.

THE COURT: Okay.

-3

7.

1.5

1.8

MS. JOBE: First and foremost, Your Honor, Ms. Kierny makes numerous assumptions as the foundation of her argument, which are absolutely erroneous, First and foremost, these are the expert's notes. They are not a report. They were not done at the direction of the State.

Secondly --

THE COURT: Well, why did you turn them over today? Why did you feel like you needed to turn them over?

MS. JOBE: Out of an -- I didn't know if he was going to bring his notes up there.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.

MS. JOBE: And I figured that I would be polite and considerate as an attorney. And so if he was going to have notes with him and take notes up there, I've decided to make a copy so both counsel was able to review them and have them so we didn't have to have the whole back and forth potentially escorting the jury out so we could all walk up and see what his notes are.

So as soon as I've had personal contact with him, which was right as we broke more lunch today, Your Honor, I went downstairs, met him for the first time, got his notes, which I asked him to bring, and I asked him last night in an

e-mail to be sure to bring your notes, and this is what he brought.

17.

19.

23.

And for the record, the expert, though noticed, didn't do any officially work on this case and wasn't specifically retained by our office with a fee schedule for him to actually review stuff and make notes and prepare for any type of testimony or form any opinions until Friday of last week.

so I haven't had these notes. These notes weren't made back in August or October or anything. These notes, the State's understanding is, are a product of his review of materials, in fact, this week. And so this is the earliest I've received them. Under the statute, I don't have to turn them over because it's not a report. It's just him jotting down the information he has, as he puts together his thoughts as he reaches his conclusions in the process.

So based on those things, Your Honor, it is absolutely not trial by ambush. It is not a willful or even any type of failure to turn anything over that is owed to the defense under the statute or any of the disclosures, and so we'd ask that their motion be denied.

MS. KIERNY: Your Honor, if I may respond briefly.
THE COURT: Sure.

MS. KIERNY: This is not a situation where he was hired at the last minute and just looked at the case. This

-- he was contacted by the police -- by Detective DePalma in 1 this matter to give his expert opinion, which was then 2 incorporated into their police report. And some of the 3 points that he has in there, not all, are put into the police 4 5 report. So this is a document that was made back, perhaps, 6 7 in January of 2016. And there are some things in there that Dutch could directly rebut some of his conclusions, but we 8 didn't have those conclusions at the time for Dutch to look 9 1.0 at. So --THE COURT: Like what? He doesn't have any 11 12 conclusions. It looks like two pages of factual things that 13 you would have already known. He has some thoughts. I don't 14 even know if those are conclusions. Those appear to be thoughts and then something about defendant statements. 15 16 This all appears to be him writing down actual 17 facts that everybody knew about. 18 MS. KIERNY: There is --19 THE COURT: There's nothing on here that you didn't 20 know prior to today. 21 MS. KIERNY: There's a reference regarding an Arrowhead burn pattern that we did not know --22

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MS. KIERNY: -- which is something that --

Okay.

THE COURT: Let me just --

THE COURT:

23

24

25

```
MS. KIERNY: -- Dutch could rebut.
 1
 2
              THE COURT:
                           Where are you at?
                            I'm sorry. I think I'm on the first
              MS. KIERNY:
 3
 4
    page.
              THE COURT: Okay. The first page. Say that again.
 5
              MS. KIERNY: An Arrowhead burn pattern.
 6
 7.
              THE COURT: Oh, just the -- basically what he
 8
    observed, the burn pattern?
 9
              MS. KIERNY: Right. And --
10
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MS. KIERNY: -- in referring when we talked to our
11
12
    expert, he indicated that he did not see that pattern. If
1.3
    you are not inclined to grant the mistrial, I have other
1.4
    remedies that I would like to suggest. My second remedy
15
    would be to strike their expert and any reference to things
16
    that he has said. I know it would be hard to unring the bell
17
    because they have already opened to him testifying, but that
1.8
    would be, I guess, my second request.
19
              Finally, if not, then I'd ask that he be allowed to
20
    testify, but we be allowed to call our expert to rebut these
21
    things that we now learned about in the notes.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
23
              MS. KIERNY: No, Your Honor.
              MS. JOBE: I would just point out that as far as
24
25
    the direction and any -- as far as Peltier's discussion with
```

Metro, I don't have any notes from that. I don't have any notes from January of 2016. These are notes obtained from the expert based on the information provided him by State once we agreed to pay his fees, which I've provided them his fee schedule on Friday of last week.

8..

2.0

I'd also note that as far as information of what his conclusions may be, all of that is contained or at least to some extent is contained in the arrest report in that it — the officer preparing the arrest report, DePalma, relays information from his conversation with Peltier, where Peltier talks about how patterns of burns — page 4 of 5, counsel — starting from his fingers moving down towards his wrist splashing on to his arms and it goes on.

So this isn't surprise. This isn't ambush. It's a courtesy.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time, I'm going to deny the request. I'm going to make a finding these are notes. I'm not sure that this is a report that would even be required to be turned over, but I'd like to make this a part of the record.

MS. KIERNY: I appreciate that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is this your only copy?

MS. KIERNY: It is. I apologize.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll have a copy and I'll have this made part of the record as Court's Exhibit --

THE CLERK: It will be 4.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

2:5

THE COURT: Court's Exhibit No. 4.

MS. KIERNY: And one final issue. In viewing those notes and the police report that the State pointed to, I would object to Dr. Peltier testifying at all is this seems to be cumulative. It's going to be basically the same conclusions as Dr. Cetl just testified to, the same methodology. He just reviewed some photographs and put his opinions on them, which seem to be the same as Dr. Cetl's.

It seems like the State is going to be able to have two experts or possibly three medical experts testified to cumulative information that it's justify the same thing being regurgitated.

THE COURT: Okay. That he might be coming in and giving the same information that the pediatrician did?

MS. KIERNY: Yes. Okay.

MS. JOBE: And Your Honor, his testimony's going to be yes, it's about the burn lines, but it's about the type of material that would cause the burn patterns, the flow or the movement of the material on the hands and why it creates the burns and the burn patterns that Peltier sees.

Re's more specific as -- he's a burn expert. He studies how burns occur, what types of things cause the burns and what patterns, deliberate pours versus accidental types of things. And so the State's contention is though there

will be some mild overlap and I'm going to try to avoid as much overlap as possible. The fact is, he's the burn expert talking about the pattern of burns and the causation issue, whereas, Cetl was talking about the injuries and the treatment of those types of things and the abuse and neglect determination.

THE COURT: Okay. So he's not going to be testifying about the same thing --

MS. JOBE: No, Your Honor.

ġ

1.2

1.6

1.8

THE COURT: -- is basically what I hear? Okay.

But I would entertain any objections, because I agree, it
doesn't need to just be cumulative.

MS. KIERNY: Okay. And additionally, it says that at this point — sorry to keep going on this direction, but Ms. Jobe just said that he is going to talk about how the burns were caused. And I think we run into the same foundation objection as to, you know, why our expert was concluded. He obviously wasn't there. He doesn't have any information as to, you know, via statements, via eyewitnesses or anything like that to which he's basing his conclusions on.

So I think there's a lack of foundation for him to testify as to how she's burns were caused as well.

MS. JOBE: And unlike their expert, State's expert has been working with burns, burn injuries, criminal cases,

1	investigation of burns since, I believe, 1981, and has		
2.	developed methods and procedures for testing those, for		
3	studying those that are now used by around the world and		
4	be in numerous criminal cases. He's testified multiple		
5	countries, multiple courtrooms across this country about his		
6	investigation of burns, his conclusions and such		
.7	So clearly, his history, his training and		
8	experience is far different than the biomechanics expert put		
9	up by the defense.		
10	THE COURT: Okay. He'll be permitted to testify.		
11	You can bring them in.		
1/2	THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am.		
1:3	(Pause in the proceedings)		
14	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury.		
1-5	(In the presence of the jury).		
16	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the		
17	presence of the jury panel?		
18	MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor,		
19	THE COURT: The defense?		
20	MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.		
21.	THE COURT: You may call your next witness.		
22	THE MARSHAL: Thank you. Please be seated.		
23	MS. JOBE: The State calls Phylip Peltier.		
24	PHYLIP PELTIER, STATES WITNESS, SWORN		
25	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Could		
j			

you please state and spell your name for the record. 1 THE WITNESS: Phylip J. Peltier. Phylip is spelled 2 P-h-y-l-i-p. Peltier is P-e-l-t-i-a-r. 3 THE CLERK: Thank you. 4: MS. JOBE: May I proceed, Your Honor? 5. THE COURT: You may. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. JOBE: 8 Good afternoon, Mr. Peltier. What is your 9. occupation? 10 I'm a retired DA investigator. 11 Α. Okay. And do you currently have a business? 12 Q, 13 I do. Α, And what is that? 14 Q. 15 Á. It's not an official name. I call it Blue Dye Presentations, but it's -- I do teaching, consulting, 16 17 training, and I investigate suspicious burn injuries. 18 Q. Have you always investigated suspicious burn. 19 injuries? 20 Α. I have since 1981. And what started you on the process of 21 Q. 22 investigating suspicious burn injuries? I was at the San Diego Police Department and I had 23 24 completed patrol division or was promoted out of patrol division to juvenile. I asked to go to child abuse division. 25

Shortly after I got there, there was a case that came through, it was assigned to me. It was a three-year-old that had been put in scalding bath water, and I asked around to different people, and it was a difficult investigation.

Nobody really had specifics as to what to go look for.

So we worked through it. It took us about four weeks which --

MS. HOLIDAY: I'm going to object as to the relevance of this case.

MS. JOBE: It's the foundation for him pursuing burns and burns investigation.

THE COURT: You may proceed. Overruled. You can proceed.

BY MS. JOBE:

2.

5.

.17

2.0

- Q. You said four weeks, Mr. Peltier?
- A. I had 41 cases on my desk. After we finished the case, I took a years worth of my own time and did some research and sent out questionnaires to prosecution, defense, investigative teams, CPS, medical and asked if we only had one day at the house and the house was destroyed, what would you all want for all of your different disciplines?

A year later we gathered up all the information. I turned it into a one-page report rather than the five pages they all wanted. People started calling and asking me what did you find out and it branched out from San Diego County to

international request presentations, testimonies in Sidney Australia and it just went from there.

- Q. Okay. So it sounds like it kind of developed or grew from your inquiry from 1981?
 - A. That's correct.

1.

- Q. And what types of things have you done to train or become familiar with burn injuries, burn patterns and those types of things?
- A. The training involved -- or the training out there is essentially me. I've looked for specialized training.

 There really isn't any. Most of the training I've spoken to doctors and nurses, and they've told me about temperatures, there's been articles that I could read. When I've sought it out, it turns out that the presentations -- I am the presentation, with all due respect.

I've tried and it's not there. The experience has come from 35 years of receiving cases from all over the world and asking for help.

- Q. Let me ask you this, you said part of your work these days is doing presentations, correct?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Approximately, how frequently throughout one given year do you do presentations?
 - A. It varies. Probably six or eight times a year.
 - Q. And what is the general length of these

presentations or does it vary?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

1.6

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. It varies. It can be anywhere from two hours to three days and it's within the United States or other countries.
- Q. And where or to what groups have you made these presentations?
- A. There's quite a few. We were -- we've been to England three or four times, the International Association of Forensic Nurses in Montreal, Canada. That was the keynote presentation to start the conference, and it was 750 nurses from around the world in the audience.

The San Diego Children's Hospital was probably the first international presentation and that's where my book was handed out to 41 representatives -- or 41 countries that were represented at that conference. Consulted with Bogota, Columbia, presented in Canada other times.

- Q. Let me ask you this, Mr. Peltier; have you given presentations to physicians and pediatricians?
- A. Yes. Plastic surgeons, physicians, nurses, medical personnel.
 - Q. Have you given presentations to investigators?
 - A Yes.
 - Q. What types of investigators, sir?
- A. Police officers, school police, college police, the International Association of Forensic Medical Examiners that

I do here in Las Vegas every other year or every three years, they invite several branches of local law enforcement along with the medical examiners, the coroners from around the world.

l

2.

3

4.

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14.

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

Most of the time, my audiences are the disciplines that you would expect to be involved with CPS, nursing, medical, defense attorneys, prosecutors and every level of law enforcement that gets involved in child protection.

- Q. All right. Now you said you started -- this started when you were at the police department -- or sorry, the DA's Office in San Diego, correct?
- A. It started at the San Diego Police Department. I was there from '74 to '87, and I went to the District Attorney's Office in 1987.
- Q. I apologize for getting that wrong, sir. And how long did you stay with the District Attorney's Office in San Diego?
- A. In San Diego, until 2000, and then I finished officially two-and-a-half years later with the Butte County DA's Office, which is about an hour-and-a-half north of Sacramento, California.
- Q. And were you developing and doing these types of presentations and this type of work throughout that entire time?
 - A. Yes. Even during other assignments to undercover

bank robberies with the FBI, homicide assignments, cold case investigations with the DA. I was always being sent and continuing in my own personal education in burn injuries.

- Q. Now, have you -- you mentioned being published, a book being distributed. Are you published?
 - A. I'm published four times, personally.
 - Q. Okay. And what are those four publications?
- A. The Department of Justice was burn injuries in children. I forget was the title. It was back in the early '90s. It's a lime green --
 - Q. Sure.

6.

2.0

- A. -- 25 or 30 pages. The American Bar Association, the International Association of Post Directors and the other, I forget the acronym, but I published -- I co-authored with Dr. Asser (phonetic). And the other publications are medical journals where I ve given permission from my evidence worksheet and my information to be published by doctors in their personal medical journals.
- Q. Okay. You referred to the lime green thing. That was with the Department of Justice?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And has that been republished on multiplications?
- A. Several times. I co-authored with Dr. Gary Purdue out of Dallas/Ft. Worth with that.
 - Q. All right. Now, you talked about investigative

checklists. What do you mean by investigative checklists?

A. When I got back all of the information, the point was we didn't want to stumble for four weeks through an investigation asking and asking. We don't have that amount of time, when we were averaging 35 or 40 victims on each of our desks. And so we put it all together and it ended up originally it was called the Emersion Burn Evidence Collection Worksheet.

Q. Okay.

ġ

1.8

- A. And it's -- it's the same title, essentially, it's just gotten in different formats because of the computer have gotten better and we didn't have to hand type it anymore.
- Q. And so is that still in use as far as you know around the country or around the world?
- A. I know that it is because when I get requests from other jurisdictions, including other countries, they send that along, e-mail or mail it to me with CDs or thumb drives, whatever.
- Q. All right. Now, you -- do you have any training or experience specifically investigating or looking at burn patterns?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And how did you develop your knowledge or your expertise in looking and evaluating burn patterns?
 - A. Through the cases that I was being assigned, cases

that were being sent to me, visiting with the doctors at the UCSD burn center in San Diego and basically, they would do — the extent of the training that I could find were like lunchtime briefings for the nursing and medical personnel that I asked if I could be invited in. And we would look at different patterns.

19.

2.0

2.5

It's come from the ones that I didn't get in that kind of training is cases that were being sent to me, then. We would sit down and analyze and recreate and figure out what happened, the position of the child, accidental, non-accidental, et cetera.

- Q. And how would you recreate those situations?
- A. It depends on the burn, if it's dry contact or wet contact. If it was, for example, wet contact with cigarette lighters, ovens, microwaves, things that produce heat. Car hoods in Las Vegas, we use dry ink and recreate impressions that were made. In liquid contact, which is much more frequent except for cigarette lighters, I came up with an idea to use Rit, the company Rit navy blue clothing dye. We tried Easter egg dye. It wasn't dark enough especially on the different pigments of skin. And we couldn't use red so we came up with navy blue and we've been using that probably since the middle '80s.
 - Q. And that's why you're the blue dye guy?
 - A. Thanks to the doctors at Children's Hospital in

- Sidney Australia I have that Nickname now, yes.
- Q. Now I mean no disrespect, sir, but are you a medical professional?
 - A. I am not.

.1

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. So your training and your experience is based from allowed in on observing these injuries and at least talking to nurses and doctors, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. But just so the whole the jury's clear and everyone in the court is clear, you don't treat burn injuries, correct?
- 12 A. No, I do not.
 - Q. You simply investigate?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Not that it's simple, but that's your speciality and your expertise, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. All right. Now, this blue dye that you developed, do you use that yourself? Has it been disseminated as far as the procedure and the practice?
 - A. I use it myself. I know that it's in dozens of burn centers around the world. They've called and asked me what it was. What kind of bottle to store it in. I had a bottle for -- well, until 9/11 I had the same bottle and now I have to purchase it wherever I go because I can't bring the

powder or the liquid on the plane for obvious reasons. So I have cases of it at home and when I go teach, I bring it with me if it's local, or I purchase it or have somebody purchase it when I get there. And most of the time, I explain over the phone, if they have not been to my class, I'll tell them to go purchase it and I explain how they can do their own recreations.

- Q. Now, we've talked about your training and you training other individuals as well as investigation, but have you ever been consulted on burn cases specifically outside your work as an investigator for either police or your work at the San Diego District Attorney's Office?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand,
 - Q. After your career in law enforcement --
 - A. Yes.

1.8

- Q. -- have you ever been consulted on burn cases or burn injuries for your opinions?
 - A. Oh, yes, frequently.
- Q. Okay. And you said you -- your career with the San 20 Diego PD and then you went to, was it Butte County?
 - A. I went to the San Diego County District Attorney's Office in January of '87. I left there in October of 2000, and I retired in March from 2003 from the Butte County District Attorney.
 - Q. Since March of 2003 up until today, how many cases:

have -- how many burn injury cases have you consulted on, if you can guesstimate?

A. More than 200.

-2

-3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Q. Okay. And has your consultation always been to the same level? So today you're here testifying. Do you always testify in every case you're asked to consult on?
 - A. Very rare that I have to testify.
- Q. All right. And when you are consulted who or what types of individuals ask you to consult?
- A. It usually starts off with law enforcement, CPS, occasionally a plastic surgeon or a doctor from a attending ER, defense counsel, prosecutors, forensic nurses. Just about everybody. I --
- Q. Now, when law enforcement or CPS contact you to consult, do you charge them for that consult?
- A. If I have to write a report, put it in discovery or if I have to testify, I charge. Up until then I've donated my time for the 35 years. So, for example, in Australia it was 121 hours, and we didn't take payment for that. The testimony was video and over the phone. So since I've retired, maybe four or five testimonies where I've accepted payment. The other time it's donated.
- So I would say 98, 99 percent of my time is donated.
 - Q. And what's the criteria for donating your time?

- A. I'm not sure about --
- 2 Q. If there is any.

.6

2:3

- A. I'm not sure about the -- oh, how does it work?
- Q. Yes. How do you make the judgment call for donating your time versus not donating your time?
- A. If I have to put something into discovery, if I have to leave home to testify. If I have to send a videotape recreation, which would be in discovery. Most of the time it's on the phone, they're getting help, they're getting advice. Sometimes it lasts several months. Sometimes it's 20 minutes, I never hear from them again.
- Q. Now, you also testified that you are sometimes consulted by defense; is that correct?
 - A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Okay. And as far as when you're consulted by defense, do you have -- are you trying to recreate something or are you just offering your opinion as to what you see and what's produced?
- A: It's the same with everybody. They call and they ask for -- depending on the help that they're asking for.
 - Q. Sure.
- A. Oftentimes, when it's the defense, they're not looking for the initial investigation. They're -- they're already putting their case together, and so we decide how far I should go and how many hours I should work on what they

want, and then I call them back before I prepare any reports and ask if I should go further.

- Q. Sure. Now, as far as your defense work is concerned, have you ever refused a consult or refused to take a case simply because it was from the defense?
 - A. No.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

1.1

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. As far as when you have to put something into discovery and come and testify, travel, those types of things, do have you a standard rate schedule?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. And do you modify that rate schedule at all?
- A. Modify from year to year or depending on who it is?
- Q. I apologize. Depending on who it is, do you ever modify or come down off your rates based on who is retaining you or who's requesting your services?
- 16 A. No. Same for everybody.
 - Q. All right. As between State or defense or whoever it may be, same rates?
 - A. Yes. It's whoever calls first and that's who I'm working with.
 - Q. Okay. Now, as far as burn patterns, what are the different types of burn patterns that you may see from say a hot liquid?
 - A. The most frequent we see, which is usually in a bathtub or a larger container, is an emersion burn and it

usually involves the buttocks, the genitalia, the upper leg, lower back, et cetera. We have — anything can be immersed, and that simply means lowered down into whether carefully or violently into a hot liquid, so hands, feet, face.

We see splash burns, spill burns, pour, self-inflicted where the pan or the container of water is in the hand of the victim, which ends up being any one of those, splash, spill or pour.

Q. Okay.

ġ

1.2

1.8

- A. And it depends on the edges and what we're looking at, clothing, not clothing, et cetera. There's so many factors to consider.
- Q. Sure. Let's talk about an emersion burn. You -- I saw you gesture with your left hand. You kind of were holding your wrist as if you were putting it somewhere, fair?
- A. If this was the container of water at the edge of the bench.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So to lower it down in, and if the water is stable, then it would be as if -- especially because of the Navy blue dye, it would be as if the line here up would be the healthy skin --
 - Q. Sure.
- A. -- and everything below would be, in this case,
 Navy blue or burned tissue if the water was stable and very

calm and the hand was held very still.

1.0

2.3

- Q. Okay. Now, talking about emersion burn and based on your demonstration, it looks like the whole hand would be placed in. So both sides of the hands would be affected; is that fair?
- A. In this particular case, the hand is open and both sides would be affected, yes.
- Q. Now, you talked about splash burns. What's a splash burn pattern?
- A. The easiest way to explain it, if I had a cup of blue dye and I threw it into somebody's face, it would be a splash. It would explode and -- not fire explode, but it would explode and leave fingers everywhere or -- and as you would imagine, if you dropped it from a high level, the fingers of blue dye would go everywhere and --
- Q. And you mentioned a pour burn as well. What types of criteria or what things do you look at that indicate to you something is a pour burn?
- A. The same example, if the cup is filled with blue dye and I pour it over somebody's forehead, it traditionally starts wide and then it or what we call an arrow down pattern, depending on how fast it's poured, it can start narrow. If it's poured very slow and flare out based on the tomography of the face. If the whole cup is poured violently, then it can fan out and it arrows down because the

liquid -- the volume runs out, it reduces and it trickles down usually to a point depending on how gravity is affecting the flow or the viscosity of the liquid.

Q. Okay. Now, you talked about self-inflicted. What's your definition of self-inflicted?

.13

A. I've had several cases where the child was able to get to the liquid. We were able to determine how much there was in the -- how much liquid there was, how much the vessel weighed and then we went and asked their pediatrician are they capable of lifting this amount from this area, whether it was from the floor, over their head, wherever it was.

And a particular slide in my presentation that we start with, there are different types of emersion or different types of liquid burns on the body and it turns out as you bend the elbow where it starts, the liquid in the pan ended up on top of the shoulder, and at the same time, while they were doing that, the crease of the elbow here where the liquid came here and here, the crease of the liquid -- crease of the elbow, which is the popliteal fossa did not get burned because the way the elbow was closed, the crease was closed, the liquid could not penetrate this V pattern, this crease here.

Q. So if I understand what you're saying, Mr. Peltier, what you're talking about with the self-inflicted, when the child closes the arm like that, it kind of creates a barrier,

shall we say, where the water can't go or the liquid can't go?

- A. It does. Frequently, it's a diamond shape. It depends on how much it was closed. They're most frequently seen behind the knees because the child is kneeling down in the tub of hot water.
 - Q. I see.

1.4

1.5

- A. And they can sit all the way back. So the further they sit back, when you open it up this way, the larger the spared area. If they were just leaning back -- if this was my knee, I can't lean back that far anymore, but if this was my knee and I just barely leaned back a little bit, then we would expect just a sliver of sparing or tissue that was not affected when this is burned and this -- or this is Navy blue, this is Navy blue.
 - Q. Okay. And --
- A. So it then we can then put them in the exact position because we touch the lower limb to the upper limb, the burn injury, so we're closing off just until the spared area is no longer revealed, and that's how we put a child even decades later in the exact kneeling or leaning forward position that they were in no matter where they were.
- Q. Okay. Now, you talked about the spared area where there would be no blue dye for when you're doing experiments, but fair to say no injury or no burn pattern, correct?

A. Correct.

12.

- Q. And that would be whatever their skin normally looked like would be what the spared area appeared, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, when you are analyzing a case, what types of things do you need to perform your -- not talking about your experiments with the blue dye, but asked to give your opinion about burn patterns and those types of things. What do you need to look at or review or know in order to form your opinion?
- A. Well, I think it's important to point out that I tell my students when I hand out my number at my conferences, sessions, whatever, that I caution them not to give me a lot of things and when they call me up saying, it was the guy next door and he held him like this, and I'm -- and -- I don't want all that.
 - Q. And why don't you --
 - A. So I caution them.
- Q. -- want all that?
- 20 A. Excuse me.
 - Q. Why don't you want all that?
 - A. I don't want to be prejudiced in any way. I want to tell them if I can possibly figure out what happened, determine temperatures, body positions, accidental, non-accidental. I want to do it from photos. So I ask for

four or five photos to start. One perspective photo, the whole -- the entire child and sometimes an adult. Mostly child. Then I ask for the injuries. I ask if the child has any mental or physical handicaps.

I ask for the age of the child. I ask dead or alive. And I ask them if they're in a hurry --

Q. Okay.

1.6

- A. -- because I'm retired. So I want to know what their timeline is. Frequently it's a cold case and -- and I might drop what I'm doing and find out it's five years old they went to my class and I've ignited some kind of new idea with them.
- Q. Sure. So when you were trying to make your -- when you've been asked to consult, you said you look at photos.

 You have some basic information about the victim or the individual who's been burned --
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. -- is that fair?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you intentionally don't find out any other information about what's been said of what happened?
 - A. Not at first, no.
 - Q. Okay. Now, when you're looking at the photographs and the information that you have, do you consider the severity of the burns in rendering your opinions and forming

your conclusions?

.8

2.0

- A. I do.
- Q. What significance does burn severity have for you in your occupation?
- A. The burn formula that as I define it, my definition of the burn formula is the temperature of the source, obviously, the degree of the burn, the skin sensitivity and therefore, the time of exposure of the heat to that. So burns, as we learned them, were first and second, third degree. First being like a sunburn. Second being maybe some bleeding and blistering. And third degree destroyed tissue that has to be replaced through surgery.

Now we call it -- because it's easier to remember. People were forgetting which was worst, first or third. So now it's defined as superficial, partial, deep partial and full thickness because you -- there's no misdefining full thickness.

- O. Sure.
- A. So that would be same as third degree. And the only disadvantage I'm at, if it's close, I'll ask to see a medical report because I'm using a 30-inch monitor so I don't get to be at the burn center during the debride or a dressing change where I can examine -- and I'm not a doctor as we've defined, but after all the cases, I get it pretty close.
 - Q. Sure. So burn severity is part of your three-step

formula that you've developed, correct? 1 Yes, because it involves the temperature of the 2 water, which can also be affected by the time of exposure to that temperature. All of them have to fit. 4. And you've kind of led to my next question is 5 you've said you're not a medical doctor, but you said you get 6 it pretty close in looking at the photographs, correct? 7: Yes. I remember one time the victim was nine weeks 8 Α. old and I asked if that was new --MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object to any case 10 studies that aren't this case. 11 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not -- I'm not sure it's 12. 13 responsive. MS. JOBE: I'll move on, Your Honor. 14 BY MS. JOBE: 15 Now, fair to -- in your training and experience, 16. we've talked a little about hot liquids and you've also 17 mentioned you've done some work on implement burns. Is there 18 a difference, I guess, in the material that's doing the 19 burning and what you would expect to see in the burn 20 21 patterns? MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object as to cumulative. 22 Doctor Cetl already went over this. 2.3 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25

BY MS. JOBE:

1.1

.12

2.0

- Q. For instance, we talked about hot liquids, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And would it be fair to say that hot liquids leave a different pattern than say if someone spills really hot magazoni and cheese on them?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Describe that for us, please.
- A. Macaroni and cheese, mashed potatoes, refried beans very common. They they are usually consistent with edge to edge. And because they hold the heat, you don't see a reduction in damage to the tissue. Going back to the example of on the shoulder, if it started at full thickness, by the time it goes to the waist in the normal environment of 65 or 75 degrees, it may only be superficial in just a few inches.
 - Q. And are you talking about liquid or hot liquid --
 - A. In liquids that are moving.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. If it's a solid substance and we're not talking about stoves or lighters yet, if it's a solid substance like refried beans and mashed potatoes, things I've experienced, macaroni and cheese, it stays there. And it's it's almost identical to a dry contact like a steam iron because usually the injury is is consistent from edge to edge. There is no change in temperature because like a steam iron, it's that

high temperature from the point to the base to the sides, where water's affected by air depending on temperature outside of inside.

- Q. Now, in doing your analysis of burn patterns, when you're being consulted, does the amount of skin or amount of area on the individual that's been burnt, does that affect or have something to do with your opinion? Do you take that into consideration?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand.
 - Q. Fair point. When you're consulting on cases --
- 11 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

.9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q. -- do you look at the amount of skin or the amount
 13 of area that's been burned?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And does that have -- do you take that into consideration in rendering your opinions?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And what significance does the amount of area that's been burned, what does that mean to you or how does -- how is that part of your thought process in forming your conclusions?
 - A. Well, like in an emersion burn, the emersion can be just the center of the gluteal muscles or the buttocks cheeks or it can involve up to the middle of the thoracic area, the middle of the back, the backs of the knees, the feet, the

shoulders, the hands, the neck. I need to determine how much of that person was in that liquid, if we're talking about an emersion burn.

O. Sure.

1.

3.

12:

A. If in the case where you mentioned both sides of the hands being burned, if they made a fist resisting when they went in the hot liquid, then the fingertips are usually spared of injury and so are each one of the knuckle joints, which are almost like the popliteal creases behind the knees and elbows, but very tiny. And most of the palm of the hand is then spared.

So I know that the whole hand was in because of the circumferential line of demarcation, the burn goes all the way around the wrist, but when you open up the hand and only one side is burned, that's very significant to me.

- Q. Let's be more specific about this case,

 Mr. Peltier. Did you have a chance to review photographs in
 the case involving a child by the name of Chance Jacksper?
 - A. I did.
- Q. And were you initially asked to consult by a Detective DePalma on or about January 5th or 6th of 2016?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when -- fair to say you had communications with Detective DePalma during the course of his investigation and then up until last week you were officially retained by the

```
State to testify; is that correct?
 1
 2
         À.
               That's correct.
               All right. And you received materials from the
 3
         Q.
    State in advance of today; is that correct?
 4
 5
               It is.
         Α.
               Okay. And you had a chance to review those?
 6
         Ö.
 7
         Α.
               Yes.
                          (Pause in the proceedings)
 8
                         May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
 9.
              MS. JOBE:
              THE COURT: You may.
10
    BY MS. JOBE:
11
12
         Q.
              If you'll review those, please.
13
         Α.
              Yes.
14
              Do you recognize those, Mr. Peltier?
         Q.
15
              I do.
         Α.
              Okay. And do these appear to be the photos you
16
         Q.
17
    were asked to review and consult on for this case?
18
         Α.
              They do.
              I'm going to show you what's been -- give me a
1.9
20
    minute to find this -- I'm going to show you what's been
    admitted as State's 8. Looking at State's 8, what, if
21
    anything, is significant to you about the burn patterns in
22
    State's 8?
23
              Well, the first thing I noticed that the wrist is
24
    spared. So the burn seems to initiate just below the wrist
25
```

near the thumb.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

1.3

14

15

16

22.

23

24

25

- Q. Okay. And I think if you point on your screen it should show up, where you touch your screen.
 - A. Really?
- Q. It should. Not always, but it should. Did it do
 - A. I'm touching the screen.
 - O. Okay. Well, where that arrow is, Mr. Peltier?
 - A. Oh, there it is. That's -- that's where the -that's where the initial contact occurs and then it flows
 towards the knuckles and it involves, at least from this
 picture, there's some blistering in the last knuckle joint
 before it joins the dorsal or backside of the hand. There's
 also a small little island just below the arrow. If I touch
 it again, do I get another arrow?
 - Q. Yes. We'll get to that island in a moment.
- 17 A. Oh, okay.
- Q. All right. Mr. Peltier, you said it appeared to

 19 flow in the direction from the arrow towards the knuckles; is
 20 that correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
 - Q. And what about this burn pattern tells you that?
 - A. If it started towards the knuckles, it would not -in the position of the hand, it doesn't lose volume and start
 at a rounded area. It would start there and flow downward so

the hand would be slightly tilted in a down position and dragged the majority of the volume of the water towards the knuckles and then down through the fingers.

- Q. Okay. So is that why -- and I apologize if I'm simplifying this too much. Correct me if, I do, but is that why this area appears to be smaller and then it widens in that direction?
- A. That's correct. It starts at the -- where the arrow is near the wrist and then it flows, the volume of the water, a small amount of it then flows down over the fingers and I can't tell if the thumb is involved in that particular photo.
- Q. Fair enough. And you talked about the knuckles; is that correct?
- A. Right. And it appears to involve at least and if I can number. In the right hand and fingerprinting, the thumb on the right hand is number one.
 - Q. Okay.

б

- A. And then on the left hand it starts six, seven, eight, nine, ten.
 - Q. Sure.
- A. So in this case, from this photo anyway, it looks like it involves at least the last knuckle before it joins the dorsal side of the hand. It looks like it involves the index finger or finger number two, then three, four and five.

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA		
2			
3	DONOVINE MATHEWS,) No	o. 72701	
4	Appellant,		
5	vi.		
6	V1.)		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
8	Respondent.		
9			
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLU PHILIP J. KOHN ST	UME V PAGES 1001-1250 TEVE WOLFSON	
11		ark County District Attorney 0 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor	
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 La	s Vegas, Nevada 89155	
13		DAM LAXALT	
14 15	Ca	torney General 0 North Carson Street arson City, Nevada 89701-4717 02) 687-3538	
16	Counsel for Respondent CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada		
18	Supreme Court on the day of	, 2017. Electronic Service of the	
19	foregoing document shall be made in accordance w	with the Master Service List as follows:	
20		EBORAH WESTBROOK	
21	1	OWARD S. BROOKS of this document by mailing a true and	
22	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:		
23	DONOVINE MATHEWS		
24	NDOC# 1161064		
25	c/o High Desert State Prison PO Box 650		
26	Indian Springs, NV 89070	1	
27			
28	BYEmployee, Clark	County Public Defender's Office	