1 IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 2 DONOVINE MATHEWS, 3 No. 72701 Electronically Filed 4 Oct 20 2017 01:31 p.m. Appellant, Elizabeth A. Brown 5 Clerk of Supreme Court V. 6 THE STATE OF NEVADA, 7 8 Respondent. 9 **APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VI PAGES 1251-1500** 10 11 PHILIP J. KOHN STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street 12 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 13 Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT 14 Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (702) 687-3538 15 16 Counsel for Respondent 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

INDEX DONOVINE MATHEWS Case No. 72701

1

.2	Case No. 72701	
3	Amended Information filed 01/09/17	PAGE NO 421-422
4	Amended Jury List filed 01/12/17	
5	Court's Exhibit 4 dated 01/11/17	
6	Court's Exhibit 5 dated 01/11/17	
7	Court's Exhibit 11 dated 01/12/17	
, 8	Criminal Complaint filed 01/28/16	
9	Defendant's Motion for Discovery	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
10	Date of Hrg:: 05/31/16	
10	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 10/03/16	201-204
12	Defendant's Notice of Witness filed 10/17/16	205-206
13	Defense Opposition to State's Motion to Continue Date of Hrg: 10/21/16	228-234
14	District Court Minutes from 03/03/16 through 03/07/17	458-487
15	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Medical Records filed 03/30/16	123-126
16	Information filed 03/03/16	
17	Instructions to the Jury filed 01/12/17	
1.8	Judgment of Conviction filed 03/10/17	
19	Jury List filed 01/10/17	
20	Justice Court Minutes from 01/28/16 through 02/16/16	
21	Notice of Appeal filed 03/23/17	
-22:	Notice of Appeal filed 03/30/17	
23		439-43/
24	Notice of Expert Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 03/24/16	99-122
25	the Testimony of Defendant's Expert Dr. Lindsey "Dutch" Johnson or in the Alternative a Request for an Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 01/10/17	
26		<u></u>
27		239-360
.28		

1 2	Notice of Motion and Motion to Amend the Criminal Information to Conform to the Testimony at the Preliminary Hearing filed 01/09/17
3	Notice of Motion and Motion to Continue Trial Based On Outstanding Expert Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/20/16
5	Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of Defendant's Expert Dr. Lindsey "Dutch"
6	Johnson and Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 01/10/17
7	Order for Discovery filed 10/26/16
8.	Order for Production of Inmate Donovine Mathews
9	BAC # 1161064 filed 10/20/16
10	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/18/16
11	Second Supplement to Defense's Opposition to State's Motion
12 13	in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of Defendant's Expert And Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing filed 01/12/17
14	State's Exhibits 46 dated 01/11/17
	State's Exhibit 63 dated 01/11/17
15	State's Exhibit 65 dated 01/11/17
16	State's Exhibit 66 dated 01/11/17
17 18	State's Exhibit 77 dated 01/11/17
18	State's Exhibit 78 dated 01/11/17
20	State's Exhibit 79 dated 01/11/17
21	State's Exhibit 80 dated 01/11/17
22	State's Exhibit 81 dated 01/11/17
23	State's Exhibit 82 dated 01/11/17 1639-1640
24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 06/26/16
25	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 05/16/16
26 27	Supplement to Defense's Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Strike or Limit the Testimony of the Defendant's Expert and Defense Request for an Evidentiary Hearing
28	Date of Hrg: 01/10/17

1	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses And/or Expert Witnesses filed 12/20/16
2.	Verdict filed 01/13/17
3	
4	
5	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
6	Recorder's Transcript
7	Jury Trial Day 1 7 Date of Hrg: 01/09/1757
8	Recorder's Transcript
.9	Jury Trial Day 2 Date of Hrg: 01/10/17
10	Recorder's Transcript
11	Jury Trial Day 3 Date of Hrg: 01/11/17
12	Recorder's Transcript
13	Jury Trial Day 4 Date of Hrg: 01/12/17
14	Recorder's Transcript
15	Jury Trial Day 5 Date of Hrg: 01/13/17
16	Arraignment Continued
17	Date of Hrg: 03/08/16
18	Recorder's Transcript Arraignment Continued
	Date of Hrg: 03/15/16
1.9.	Recorder's Transcript
20	Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 05/24/16
21	
22.	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
23	Date of Hrg: 10/18/16
24	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
	Date of Hrg: 01/03/17
25	Recorder's Transcript
26	Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 05/31/16503-505
27	Date of fire. 03/31/10/min.min.min.min.min.min.min.min.min.min.
.28	

1	Recorder's Transcript
2	Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 06/30/16
3	Recorder's Transcript
4	Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 07/26/16
5.	Recorder's Transcript
6	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 03/03/16
7	Recorder's Transcript
8	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 03/07/17 1613-1620
9	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Continue Trial Based on
10	Outstanding Expert Discovery Date of Hrg: 10/20/16
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	State's Motion to Continue Trial Based on
13	Date of Hrg: 10/21/16
14	Recorder's Transcript Status Check
15	Date of Hrg: 03/31/16
16	Reporter's Transcript
17	Preliminary hearing Date of Hrg: 03/01/16
18	Date of Fig. 05/01/10
19.	
20	
2.1	
22	
23	
24:	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 41	

- Q. Okay. And you said the dorsal side of the hand.

 For us lay people who aren't familiar with those terms, what

 does that mean?
 - A. Sorry.
 - Q. It's okay.
 - A. The backside of the hand.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you also made that mark at -- over here; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

.5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

1.3

14

15

1.6

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

2.3

24

- Q. Why is that significant to you?
- A. You can see that there's a small island where the pink mark is and that island meaning an isolated area from the total burn itself, the majority of the burn. But if you look real close, there's a shaded area and these -- I'm only looking at --
- Q. I'm going to zoom in Mr. Peltier so if you'll hold on a second.
 - A. (Speaking Spanish), I mean good.
 - Q. Okay. Go ahead. So this area right here --
- A. The water settled for just a brief second, and but you can see that it was connected. You can see the dark shaded area connecting the large volume of the burn to that little area and it just tells me that there was that the hands were not held in a perfectly motionless motion. There had to be some little tiny bit of movement involved there.

There was freedom to move, which there are other things that helped me find that out also.

- Q. Okay. So if I understand your testimony,
 Mr. Peltier, based on the burn patterns as you see them, the
 starting here and then the, I guess, I'll say the branching
 out towards the knuckles and then that little spot here,
 based on the burn patterns, you're able to tell that the hand
 had some freedom to move during these events?
 - A. Yes.

Ż

1.8

2:0

- Q. Okay. What -- you said there were other things. What other things indicate to you there was some freedom of movement?
- A. Well, when I don't see freedom of movement, when I have a crisp line or a very absolute line of demarcation, especially on a child and I believe, this child was 25 or 30 days short of three years old.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Especially in a child this size. They have the ability to fight back and struggle.
 - O. Sure.
- A. And frequently in these cases, when the line is very crisp and there is absolutely no movement, there are associated signs of force and restraint like finger bruises, face slap bruises, bruises around the scapula, the shoulders, the back of the neck. And in a case if it was just involving

the hands, they would be on the biceps or usually on the lower arm, the ulna/radius, the forearm where somebody was holding them 100 percent motionless. And both things -- I don't see any signs of force or bruising in these photographs, and it doesn't indicate that I would be looking for that because the hand was free to move even though it was a little bit.

- Q. Okay. Now I'm going to show you what's been admitted as State's 11. And that is the left hand of Chance Jacksper.
- A. Um-h m.

8.

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

2.3

24

- Q. And looking at this burn pattern what, if anything, is significant to you?
 - A. Well, first of all, there's a circumferential burning or at least appears to be a straight line of burning. You can't see the underside of the wrist, but it's a different type of pattern. It did not start as a dome and then flare out, as we're saying.
 - Q. So like --
 - A. It's horizontal at the wrist.
 - Q. Okay. So like the right hand, which has the dome and then flares out, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. This has a different pattern; is that fair?
- 25 A. This did not. And in fact, this involves more of

the wrist, the back or dorsal side of the hand and in this case, which would be the index finger, so counting would be six and seven are not involved at all and it indicates to me that the hand was flat and maybe even lifted or at least the — in this case, the fingers were touching or they were lifted up in the air because the water didn't go towards the fingers or the hot liquid. It either settled or settled at the wrist area. So they were in two completely different positions.

- Q. Meaning the hands were in two completely different positions?
 - A. Correct. Right versus left.
- Q. Okay. And so let's -- for those of us learning about dorsal numbers, this would be six, right?
 - A. Yes, the left hand starts with six --
 - Q. Six

.2

- A. -- and counts to the little finger, six, seven, eight, nine and ten.
- Q. Okay. And you said six -- at least six, seven and eight don't have any injuries, as you can see; is that correct?
- A. They don't appear in this picture, no. You can see some blistering on what would be the ring finger, the left hand and the pinky finger or fingers nine and ten.
 - Q. Okay. Now, you indicated you can't see all the way

around the wrist in this case, on the left hand.

A. Um-h m.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24.

25

- Q. So let me show you what's been admitted as State's 12. A little different angle. What, if anything, is significant about the burn pattern on this portion of the hand?
- A. So you can see that the burn continued around lateral. But you still can't say that it was circumferential, meaning that it burned all the way around --
- O. Sure.
- A. -- as an emersion. Or if the water was held or poured. If there was a larger volume of water and the hand was tilted properly, as in this case if it seems to be leaning more towards the pinky finger, then the water can move around all the way and be circumferential, but you'd still have to see the complete underside.

I also see that there's island blister a few centimeters above what looks like the total burn. Can I touch the screen and do it or --

- Q. Let -- I'll get to --
- 21 A. Okay.
 - Q. -- island blister in a second.
- 23 A. All right.
 - Q. So you're talking about circumferentialing being around the whole entire wrist, correct?

- A. Complete burn all the way around, top, sides and underheath.
- Q. Sure. And you would expect to see circumferential if it was an emersion burn, so the whole hand put in, correct?
- A. Or if the hand was tilted and enough water was poured that instead of just going this way in a small amount of water, if they continued pouring here, if that zero gravity line say is the top of the bench or my hand, well, if it's here, it's only going to go to about halfway and fall off.
 - Q. Okay.

1.7

19.

- A. If you tilted the hand enough, then it's still going to go -- it's still all gravity.
 - Q Sure.
- A -- it's still going to go to here, which would mean it could go circumferential or all the way around the wrist.
- Q. So if I understand your testimony, Mr. Peltier, the burn pattern not being circumferential tells you something at least about the amount of water that was used; is that fair?
 - A. It does, yes.
- Q. Okay. And so if it were a very large amount of water, you would expect to see a different pattern of injuries. So does this suggest to you it was a small amount of water or a smaller amount of water?

- A. I think it was a small amount of water. I don't think there was any significant puddling. I don't think it was poured for any significant amount of time. I think it touched the back of the hands. Fortunately, for the victim it was gone almost instantly because of the the temperatures that I've been given, and it was very, very fast. Had it come from like a gallon container, it will eventually find its way around, puddle, and even affect other parts of the body.
 - Q. Okay. Let's talk about puddling for a second.
 What is puddling for those of us who are not burn experts?
 - A. If the hand is held on a flat surface, unless there is somebody forcing down so the contact points may be spared.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. But if the person is free to move and in this case, when you're hand is burned, we all react.
 - Q. Sure.
- A. And just the slightest little flinch or twitch of your hand, especially at extreme temperatures will cause severe burning or full thickness burning even on the other side, even if the source is being introduced from the top. It will find its way under. If it's a very small amount and it runs off of the hand, there's really no chance for ounces, quarts or gallons to move around. It's on the hand, gone and away from the victim.

- Q. Okay. Let me make sure I understand that. So if it's a large amount of water, you said there's a twitch or a flick of the hand. So if it's a large amount of water used in the twitch or the flick of the hand, you'd expect some water to get on the palm side of the hand; is that fair?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. So you would expect to see some burn pattern on the palm side of the hand?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Now let's talk about this satellite burn that you see.
- A. Okay.

3:

1.0

.25

- Q. If you could please mark for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury where the satellite burn is. Okay.

 And what significance is that to you?
 - A. Well, again, it shows that there's movement of the hand. There's not there's not a caretaker who was holding or forcing the person to be motionless.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And it allowed that there was movement. And we react to pain, and in this case heat, and the hand moved because it was hot and it was being burned. If the person is being held 100 percent motionless, which is a frequent occurrence, then you don't see those satellite injuries, but you do see where they're being held, especially if they're an

older child.

1.5

1.9

- Q. Okay. Now, it appears there's some pattern on the six digit around where the thumb connects to the wrist; is that fair?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. What, if anything, does that tell you about the flow of the material or the way the burn occurred because we've talked about the wrist --
 - A. Yes.
- Q. -- right, and then it appears there's some injury to the thumb or index. What does that tell you, sir?
- A. I think it's free to flow. I don't think it's something moving like a thick gravy or something like that. I think if it was just for a second on the back of the hand, it wouldn't have time to move around and cause the same injury. Plus, the degree of injury, and again we're at the mercy of a photograph and not a medical exam, but the degree of injury appears to be slightly less
 - Q. Okay,
- A. -- less partial than what I see as partial to deep partial thickness injuries. And the water is cooling as it moves, even if it is just for a quarter or a half a second, it's already starting it lose some of its heat.
- Q. Okay. Now you talked about it -- said something like it's not gravy. So is there something about the type of

material or the type of -- the way this burn occurred that you can tell if it was thin or thick material or something else?

- A. It just does not appear to be slow moving. The lines vary in temperature. The lines are free to move. If you can imagine a very thick gravy and you barely twitched your hand, I with expect a trailer on a small island to move from this timest little blink of an eye when they reacted to the heat. The hand would have to be moved for significantly. And in cases where we've had a more viscous material, but still hot enough to burn, like a gravy or something being prepared on the stove, the lines are more crisp, they're more defined. They don't fade into the healthy tissue. There's burn and there's healthy.
- Q. Okay. I'm going to show you what's been admitted as State's 7. That is the left palm of Chance. What, if any, significance of what you can see in this photo does that have for your opinion about the burn pattern?
- A. Well, at least from this photo, it doesn't appear to be a circumferential burn unless there's a couple of shiny places here and you can't tell if that's flash photography, if there's a tiny little bit of superficial burn there, but the palm appears to be completely spared in this photo.
- Q. I forgot to show you when I was asking about the right hand, but here is what's been admitted as State's 6,

the -- a picture of (inaudible) of the palm of the right hand. What, if anything, significance does that have as far as burn patterns are concerned in your opinions about the burn patterns in this case?

- A. Again, I think the palm at least what we can see in this photo appears to be 100 percent spared and healthy tissue.
- Q. Now, as far as the burn pattern that we see on the right hand, this is State's 8, in your experience, if this were an accidental spill or burn, what would you expect to see, or what, if anything, would be different about the burn pattern?
- A. I wouldn't see the sharp line of demarcation. May I touch the screen?
 - Q. Sure.

3:

5:

8.

1.3

A. Where it starts to flare out from the dome here. The line have very crisp separating the healthy and the burn tissue. There may be some heat because we're only looking at one day of these photos. We don't have seven or eight days of healing photos to compare where the skin may debride further back.

But if it's accidental, I'm expecting it to be more of a splash or -- or the water to be more active when it hits the hand. Where this appears to be a very careful slow, deliberate pour. The water is moving very slow and it's held

very close to the hand. It's not held at a distance, which again, when it hits, I think it's pretty obvious, if you hold it here and it hits, it's not going to make a smooth line. It's going to splash like this when it hits the surface.

- Q. I'm showing you what's been admitted as State's 13, the left hand.
 - A. Um-h'm.

1.1

1.8

2.1

- Q. Again, what would you expect to see if this were an -- as for as the burn pattern is concerned if this were an accidental spill to the left hand?
 - A. Using just this hand by itself?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Okay. Again, at the risk it's very -- it's not a crisp line where they were held perfectly still, but a very horizontal line of demarcation between the healthy tissue, even though there's a small lighter area just above where the burn seems to stop. There's no splash, there's no trailers. It doesn't involve the thumb, index and middle finger, at least what appears in this photo. It only involves to its -- the hand is tilted in what appeared to be either tilted to the left so it would involve the last two fingers or the water was poured near that area, where it worked that way.

In an accidental, it's -- it's difficult, if not impossible, to not involve, you know, three-fifths of the hand, especially in a young child.

```
Now, as part of your -- State's request in this
 1
         Q,
    case, you also had a chance to look at some measurements; is
 2
    that correct, of the location where the injury --
 3
              That's correct.
         Α.
 4
              -- took place?
 5
         Q.
 6
         Α.
              Yes.
              This has been admitted as State's 63. Do you
 7
         0.
    recognize that, Mr. Peltier?
 8
              I do.
         Α.
 9
              All right. And this -- the State's 64, fair to say
10
         Q.
    that just appears to be a close-up of what the actual height
11
    of the counter is; is that correct?
12
13
         Α.
              Yes.
              And you were provided with the information that the
14
         Q.
    -- that Chance Jacksper was 37 inches or approximately 37
15
    inches high on the date of this event; is that correct?
16
              I don't think I got his exact measurements.
17
         Α.
              Okay. If you were told that Chance is 37 inches
18
         Q.
    high on the date of these events --
19
              MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object. This is -- this
20
    didn't go into his analysis. This isn't something he
21
22
    previously analyzed.
              THE COURT: Well, clearly, but I don't -- there's
23
24
   nothing --
              MS. JOBE:
                         Your Honor.
25
```

THE COURT: -- that prevents you from asking him something based on information that's made known to him at the time of trial.

MS. JOBE: And this is foundational leading up to what burn patterns he would expect, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sure. You can proceed.

BY MS. JOBE:

.6

.11

22.

- Q. That counter is 35 inches high, and the victim is approximately 2 inches taller than that counter. What type of burn patterns would you expect to see if the child pulled a mug off that counter full of hot water?
- A. Depending on the material that it was in, I would expect to see burns on the fingers, having the knowledge that this was boiling water. But if they reached over their head, and they would if they were 37 inches tall to the top of the their head, 37 is not their reach. It's the top of the head; is that correct? Yes. I would expect them to reach up. I would expect the burns not to be on the backs of hands. I would be -- I'm surprised. It should be on the face, the chin, the top of the head. It should be down the front of the body. I would especially expect to see it on top of the feet.
 - Q. And why on top of the feet?
- A. It's where the water is eventually going to to go as it runs down the body depending on the amount of body. In

this case, I know the person had on a diaper and a t-shirt.

Q. Okay.

15.

- A. So there was not a lot of absorbency to stop the water going down. If there was a couple of ounces, I may not expected to see -- it could be interrupted on the way down.
 - O. Sure.
- A. But in a coffee cup or mug that I have a picture of, hold off reaching over your head, I would expect some of that to be actually not on the back of the hands at all, more in the palms, the face, the chin, the chest, and it really depends on where it came cough. If the person reacted, it went to the shoulder. But eventually, it's headed to the floor unless there is sufficient material to stop it and soak it up before it hits the bottom.
- Q. Okay. And going back to State's 8, the burn patterns that you see on the hand, if a child is reaching at the time -- this child's reaching at the time the burn occurs, would you expect to see this burn pattern or a different pattern on the hand and the wrist, et cetera?
- A. If somebody reaching for the mug and they pull it off the edge and it spills on themselves, I would never expect to see this and never have.
- Q. And what about the left hand, as shown in State's 11?
 - A. The answer is the same. It's -- it's on the wrong

side of the hand. It needs to be on the palm of the hand. 1. It needs to be near the elbow. It needs to be running down 2 the wrist affecting the shoulder, the face. This is only on 3 the back, which is the exact opposite of what I expect to see 4. when somebody has the vessel in their hand. And if it's hot 5 enough, I expect to see burns, especially on three-year-old 6 sensitive skin, I would highly expect to see the burns on the 7 pads of their fingers.

- Now, if a child -- we're using a 35-inch counter 0. and the 37-inch child.
 - Α. Okay.

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15.

16:

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Q. The child's reaching up and for some reason the hands get burned by the liquid with the child's hands on the counter, placed on the counter, what burn patterns would you expect to see?
- MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object to foundation. Factual foundation --
 - THE COURT: Overruled.
 - MS. KIERNY: -- for this.
 - THE COURT: You can answer.
- THE WITNESS: The child's hands are up on the counter, and they're still standing on the floor,
- 23 BY MS. JOBE:
 - 0. Yes.
- 25 Then they're reaching up higher. I expect the Ά.

water to run away from the fingertips, down the forearms, 1 possibly underneath the forearms, affect the elbow and then 2 just depending, we'd have to do an exact mannequin 3. recreation, but I certainly would expect it to run downhill 4 5. and not stop at the --MS. KIERNY: Your Honor, I'm going to object 6 because he didn't do an exact mannequin recreation so he's 7 8 speculating at this point. MS. JOBE: And Your Honor, I don't believe he's 9 speculating. He's offered his opinions based on his training 10 11 and experience. THE COURT: All right. Do you have to do this 12 13 recreation to give this opinion you're rendering? THE WITNESS: I do not, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed. 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you. I would expect the water 16 17 to run downhill. In the case of the right hand, in fact, the water started at the wrist or below the wrist towards the 18 fingers, if that's below on this side towards the fingers, 19 and it moved towards the tips of the fingers. 20 If you're reaching up, that's not the way the water 21 If you're reaching up, it's coming back towards you. 22 And it's completely inconsistent with that theory. 23 BY MS. JOBE: 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

So if I understand your testimony, Mr. Peltier, as

far as the right hand is concerned, it sounds like, would the hand have to either be flat or turned down at the wrist, so bent at the wrist down instead of bent up, or up above the head?

- A. That's correct. In this case, with the -- with the right hand the fingers would have to be tilted at some degree forward --
 - Q. Okay.

- A. so the flesh or thumb part of the hand would have to be lifted off of the surface somehow to make the water flow away from the wrist.
- Q. And when that -- would your opinion be the same or different as it relates to the left hand?
 - A. In this case, the left hand, it could be flat.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. We notice that it did go lateral or to the side of the thumb slightly in one of your photos. There's no evidence to show that it got underheath, but they're two completely different patterns. And the vessel would have to be tipped over somehow equally in the middle, instead of one way or the other, and I don't know how that happens.
- Q. Sure. Now, fair to say, Mr. Peltier, you don't know the implement or exactly where the hot liquid came from; is that correct?
 - A. I was given reports that there were statements it

was on the edge.

5.

1.3

- Q. But that's just as far as the mug is concerned?
- A Yes
- Q. But as for as your opinion as far as the -- what you can tell from the burn patterns in this case, fair to say you can't tell if that was actually water out of the mug, water out of the faucet or something else; is that fair?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And would the burn patterns that you see be consistent with hands being put under hot water running out of a faucet?
- 12 A. Very consistent.
 - Q. And what about that makes it consistent for you?

 MS. KIERNY: I'm going to objection to foundation.

 Assumes facts or facts that we don't have.
 - MS. JOBE: It's a hypothetical regarding consistency and burn pattern.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer.

THE WITNESS: If their hands are held under water and again, using these specific hands, it would be very brief contact, therefore, the water would be extremely hot. They were not held by force. There's no sign of bruising on the forearms, biceps, any part of the neck or body, and the hands were free to move. And if they were held under the faucet, they were held very close to the faucet. If they were held

just a half an inch away and it was turned on very slow, you could get that pattern. If they were held on close, if it was, I don't know the water pressure of the house -- BY MS. JOBE:

O. Sure.

9.

15.

- A. -- but the water did not come -- it did not explode out of the faucet and bounce off of the hands. It was slowly applied to the hands from a higher source or vessel.
- Q. And you have said not being held, that the hands were free to move.
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. What do you mean by that specifically?
 - A. Again, hands are frequently forced into --
 - Q. Sure.
- A. a scalding situation. So are bodies. And the line would be very crisp and clean between affected tissue and non-affected tissue. Burned and healthy tissue. In this case, the water was moving. In cases that we have where the hands are held perfectly still, the patterns are very crisp and clean and oftentimes very consistent from right to left and the little satellite injuries, the tiny little splash a few centimeters away on the left hand, the little trailer shows me that the hands were moving.

In an adult maybe there would be more movement because they have the strength to fight back. And they don't

1 -- I didn't talk to the pediatrician, I don't know percentiles, but in a three-year-old, in an instant touch 2 3 like that, they're going to move their hands a little bit. .4 But they don't require the same muscular strength to hold 5 them still. 6 So I just want to make sure I understand your Ο. 7 testimony correctly. When you say his hands weren't forced, 8 do you mean that his hands weren't made immovable by someone 9 or something? 10 That's correct. They were -- they were forcefully Α. pushed under, whatever the source is --11 12 Q. Okay. 13 -- and held there, but they weren't held so tight À. 14 that they couldn't move a little bit. 15 Q. Okay. 16 And there's no bruising to suggest that they were. Α. 1.7 0. Okay. 18 MS. JOBE: Court's indulgence. Pass the witness. 19 THE COURT: Cross-examination. 20 MS. KIERNY: Yes. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. KIERNY: 23 0. Mr. Peltier or Peltier? 24 Α. Peltier, please. 25 Peltier. Sorry about that. Q.

Α. No problem. 1 You have a long history in law enforcement, right? 2 Q. I do. 3 Α., And you worked for a District Attorney's Office 4 0. 5 most of your career? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And the focus when you were investigate --Q. working for the DA's Office was in assisting attorneys to 8 9 prosecute cases? Α. 1.0 Yes. Okay. And through that background, as an 11 0. 12 investigator, as well as your police work, you've been able 13 to develop these certain techniques to determine, you know, 14 if a burn's intentionally inflicted, right? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Okay. And the techniques are based solely on your observations in other burn cases? 17 18 Α. That's correct. 19 And you have not performed experiments to validate 20 these techniques? In this case? 21 Α. 22 In your -- in developing your methodology you were Ο. not able to perform experiments to develop this methodology? 23 24 Yes, I did. Α. 25 What type of experiments did you perform? 0.

A. So we used the blue dye in various types of emersion cases. We use cigarette lighters using ink pads. We use ink or spray paint or fingerprint powder on the inside of ovens and microwaves, on the hoods of trucks, on bottles found on the beach. Any time it — and we didn't start thinking of things, but any time that a case came through that it could be that, we would use whatever we could make a visual, if it was the islets of a boot, the inside of a rice cooker for an infant bath. Whatever it was, we had to take that and see if we could visually compare it to like, for example, a Hibachi grill.

Q. Okay.

8.

11.

 24

A. One time we wanted to count how many Hibachi grills were on the back of a victim so we imprinted it old fashioned. We put it on paper and we made Xerox copies with transparencies. Now you can put it on a flatbed scanner.

And then we simply taped all of these transparencies over the back of this victim until we could count up to 38 separate injuries.

- Q. Okay. So you were kind of -- would it be fair to say you were doing recreations?
 - A. Yes, um-h'm.
- Q. So you would have an injury and you would try to recreate it?
- A. Yes.

Okay. And your background's not in physics, 1 Q. 2 correct? 3 No. Α. 4 0. Or biomechanics? 5 Α.,. No. 6 So what you're testifying to is observing Q: 7 individuals that you believe to be intentionally burned and 8 then learning from that? 9 No, I'm looking at pictures of people who have a 10 burn injury and then I determine are they intentionally, not 11 intentionally, or I don't know and my peer review would be 12 the medical staff that's working on the case or medical 13 colleagues that I can go to that are in the burn field, and there's several that I go to that they're not involved with a 14 15 case in Wichita, but I'll call Seattle or Scotland Yard and 16. -- and I'll tell them here's what I think, where are we in 1.7 the same opinion? 18 So I don't try to prove intentional. I try to find 19 out what happened. 20 Q. I understand. You call your -- or you said that 21 you investigate suspicious burns, though? 2.2 Α. Yes, um-h'm. 2:3 0. That's what you're doing now. So by the time a 24 case gets to you, somebody has already looked at it and 25 determined there was some reason to be suspicious of it?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Some reason to be concerned?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6.

7

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

- Q. And you are aware that some sort of abuse is suspected when you start reviewing a case?
- A. I don't know in that's accurate. I think somebody's calling to say what is this, and I -- and I get that a lot especially from students that go to my class.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. We had a case three years ago that we shelved. We don't know what it is. We thought it was a lady's belt buckle. And I did some work on it, and I found out not only was it a cigarette lighter, but the exact brand name of the cigarette lighter and they reopened their case.

So sometimes they don't even know if it's abuse or not. They're just curious, what is that mark on this adult or child --

- Q. Okay.
- A. -- and then we try to help.
- Q. In this case, you knew that abuse was already -- was suspected when Detective DePalma called you?
- A. Well, I think because it was a detective, I might have inferred it. I don't know if he used any words. He just said can I send you some photos and -- and get your opinion. That's a pretty standard call.

Okay. And right now you -- your business is 1 Q. consulting on these kind of burn cases? You don't do the DA 2 3 investigator thing anymore? 4 No. It's just whoever calls. 5 Okay. And you are paid by these district -- or 6 whoever calls you to testify will pay you? If it gets that far, yes, um-h'm. 7 8 Q. Okay. And in this case, you didn't donate your 9 time to the District Attorney's Office? 10 Not eventually. Only the first -- since whenever Α. they first called me, and then all of a sudden there's a 11 12 subpoena on my e-mail and I don't know which Las Vegas case it is. 13 14 Q. Okay. So you expect to be paid for this case by 15 them? 16 I do. Α. And you charge a six-hour minimum, correct? 17 Q. 18 Α. Correct. 19 Q. And that would be about at least \$2,100 for the 20 testimony? 2.1 À. Okay. 22 Oh, is that -- I'm going off of the --Q. 2.3 Α. I think --24 Q. -- paperwork that you sent off. Is that --25 Α. I think that's the new --

```
Is that accurate?
 1
          Ο.
               I think that's numbers, yes.
 2
              Okay. Did you want to review your fee schedule to
 3
         Q.
    make sure that's accurate or --
 4
 5
         Α.
               I can do that.
              -- does that sound right?
 6
         Q.
 7
         Α.,
              Yeah, let's do that.
 8
         Q.
              All right.
 9
              Because it depends on if I'm on the stand or
         Α.
    waiting in the hall and then --
.10
         Q.
              Of course.
11
              MS. KIERNY: If I may approach?
12.
13
              THE COURT: You may.
14
              MS, KIERNY: Thank you.
    BY MS. KIERNY:
15
16
              There you are.
         Q.
1.7
         Α.
              Thank you.
              MS: KIERNY: And for the record, I've given him a
18
    copy of his fee schedule that was provided by the DA's
19
    Office.
20
21
              THE WITNESS:
                            There's different categories.
                                                            Which
    question are we on?
2.2
    BY MS. KIERNY:
23
24
         Q.,_
              Oh, okay. Let me back to that.
2.5
         Α.
              Okay.
```

- Q. So there's going -- in this case, there's a six-hour minimum for consultation, correct?
- A. That's correct.
 - Q. An analysis. That would be at least \$2,100?
 - A. That is:

.9

1.4

1.5

- Q: And then additionally you charge \$400 an hour for testifying in court?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. You indicated that when you got this case from Detective DePalma, you knew he was a detective so you probably thought it was an abuse case?
- A. Well, again, I think he was asking me if I could tell him what I see in the photographs. They don't call up and say I don't remember that he said somebody's in custody, you have 72 hours, hurry and get back to me. So again, the standard call, and I would have to say it's this one, because I have calls all the time, and most of them I work on the case for 30 minutes. I think in this case was pretty much the same. He said is it okay if I send you some photos and you give me your opinion? Like, when I was waiting to fly here this morning, I got a couple of calls.
- Q. Sure. And at some point, he gave you Donovine Mathews' statement, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
 - Q. Did he tell that to you orally or did he send you a

copy or how did that work?

- A. There's a summation in an arrest report.
- Q. Um-h'm.

-6

- A. And then I got a transcribed 43-page document of the interview.
- Q. Okay. And that was from Detective DePalma, not the District Attorney's Office?
- A. Well, I don't know whose name was on the envelope, but it came a few days ago.
- Q. Well, let's do it this way. Was that -- that was back in January when you -- last year January when you first started consulting on this case with Detective DePalma, what did he give you?
- A. My memory is I got what I usually ask for. I got a few photos, disabled yes or no, alive yes or no, age of the victim, and called back and said here's what I see.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I can't remember a year back specifically because there's so many phone calls.
- Q. All right. Do you know if at the time of that initial consultation you knew what Donovine Mathews was saying had happened?
- A. On the initial one I did not because I won't let them tell me.
 - Q. Okay. So you never -- Detective DePalma never

1 informed you what he -- what Donovine said happened? If he did, it was in subsequent calls later when I called and said now tell me more, if you need more. 3 4 Q. Okay. :5 Α. Most of the time, people say thank you very much. 6 I had tell them just -- just tell me when it's done so I can get it off my desk and go play. And then so I may have called and said tell me more, I don't know. But in the 8 initial one, I don't allow them to tell me more. 9. 10 Okay. And in this case, you just stated you look Q. 11 at -- you started by looking at photographs of Chance's 12 injuries? 13 Α. Yes, I did. 14 And you didn't observe him personally? Q. 15 Α. No. 16 In any investigation, obviously, it's preferable to Q. 17 look at the injuries in person as opposed to photographs? 18 Α. Yes. 19 Ο. Because as you -- you said a couple times, you're 20 at the mercy of the photograph, right? 21 Α. Always. 22. You can't always see what's going on in the photos. Q. 23 So you have limited information from the photographs. And in 24 this case, you did not meet Chance in person, correct?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

Α.

No, I did not.

You got that information from Detective DePalma and 1 Q. 2 later the District Attorney's Office? 3 Α. Correct. And you did not visit the scene? 4 0. 5 I did not, no. 6 0. So there's kind of a bunch of things that you have 7 to look at when you're determining whether something is 8 intentionally inflicted or accidental, right? 9 Α, Yes. Yes, there is. 10 0. Is that fair to say? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And one of the things that we keep hitting on is, 13 is the explanation consistent with the injury, right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 All right. You know, you also would look at maybe Q. 16 if the child's age is compatible with the actions being 17 described as, you know, what the child did? 1.8 Α., A huge factor, yes. 1.9Q. Okay. 20 Α: Absolutely. 21 Ο. So like, you know, could the child do what this 22 explanation has them doing? 23 Α. Correct, yes. 24 You look for other abusive injuries to the child? Q. 25 Α. With the photos I had, I looked at what I had, but

I didn't ask for any other healing injuries or satellite injuries other than what I could see.

- Q. Okay. Another thing that you sometime -- did you ever look to if there's a delay in seeking medical treatment in determining -- you know, your determination of accidental versus on purpose?
 - A. I do.

.2

б

1.2

.17

- Q. Yeah. And then you look at those lines of demarcation, like you kind of talked about?
- A. Very critical. It the key thing in my class when I'm teaching, to clarify, is and it's probably the most important slide, whether it's three hours or three days, sparing tell you more about the burn than the burn itself because it's key.

If it was in or -- especially if it's in, in this case, a liquid. If something is in the liquid, but only one side of it's burned, it's not just a problem. There has to be an explanation for that.

- Q. Okay.
- A. So I want to look what's burned and not burned in that area. If there's other burns on the body, different stages of healing. But did I ask for a history of a year-and-a-half ago, was there other reports or anything like that? No, they were not. I didn't ask for that.
 - Q. Sure. When I just indicated lines of demarcation

of one time of sparing; is that fair to say? Or show you sparing?

A. It separates the sparing. The line of demarcation, the line is -- the demarcation is there's the affected tissue, or in this case, burned tissue, and then there's the healthy tissue. In the case of a child that's immersed in a tub of water or sat in a tub of water, just about the tailbone there is a straight or slightly wavy line if they're held perfectly still.

The line of demarcation is very telling. If it's all over the back, they could have possibly fallen back like somebody might is suggested. If they say fell backwards in the water and they were all on their own for 20 seconds, but the line is drawn like a Sharpie marker across the third vertebrae, pretty sure they didn't fall backwards in a tub of blue dye. So that's why sparing tells you so much.

Q. Okay.

2.

1.1

1.5

1.6

- A. Um-h'm.
- Q. Going back to, you know, looking at photographs isn't always the best because sometimes you can't tell exactly where that line of demarcation ends when you're looking at photographs of a newer injury, correct?
 - A. Correct, yes.
- Q. You need some time lapse to see really, where that line is?

- A. You do.

 Q. And you didn't have that in this case?
 - A. This seems to be the same age of photos in all of
- 4 these.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

1.5

16

17

19

25.

- Q. All right.
- A. Um-h'm.
- Q. And either Detective DePalma or maybe the DA's Office provided you with some information about what Donovine said -- what Mr. Mathews said happened, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And the explanation was kind of that he assumed Chance pulled a mug down on himself?
 - A. I don't know if that was the word, but I remember words like on the edge. I remember he was in the other room changing a sibling's diaper.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I remember a 45-second away from the kitchen.
- 18 Q. Okay. So --
 - A. No --
- Q. -- to be fair, he was not present when the injuries occurred and anything that he was -- any explanation of how it occurred was an assumption?
- A. Not from what I've been told. He was not present in the kitchen.
 - Q. Okay. And you are dertain that if, you know, if

that mug had been on the edge and Chance pull it toward him, there would be far more injuries to Chance?

- A. Hundred percent.
- Q. On his arms, on his feet?
- A. Somewhere.
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

-9

10

11

12

1.3

1.4

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And if he actually grabbed the mug, there would be injuries on his fingertips, right?
- A. Depending on what the temperature was, depending on the mug. I haven't touched the mug. I know that the word "boiling" was used. But I don't know if that means it was 212 degrees. That was just a word. And I don't know how hot the water was in the mug, and I don't have anything. I didn't measure the temperature of the mug from the outside to see what the porcelain, ceramic or whatever it's made out of was -- I didn't do any of that testing, and I -- as for as I know, the word was boiling, but nobody ever said 212 degrees.
- Q. So at any rate, it seems very unlikely that Chance -- impossible in your estimation that Chance grabbed the mug and spilled it on to himself given the burn injuries that you saw?
- A. There's no pattern to indicate he pulled it down on the front of his body.
 - Q. Okay. There are other ways a cup can spill,

```
1
     correct?
  2
          Ä.
               Sure, yes.
  3
          Q;
               It could fall backwards?
               It could.
  4
  5
               It could be knocked from one side and then fall to
  6
     the other side?
  7
          À.
               It could.
 8
          o.
               Okay. And then it could also be knocked kind of
 9
    from of bottom and fall the direction where it was knocked?
10
          À.
               That one I'm not sure what you're saying.
11
         Q.
               I apologize.
12
         Α.
               A demonstration would help.
13
         Q.
               A demonstration would help?
14
         Α.
               Um-h'm.
15
         Q.
               Okay. So obviously, a cup could be knocked
16
    backwards --
17
         Α.
               Yes.
18
         Ο.,
               -- is the first?
19
         Α.
              Um-h'm.
20
         Q.
              A cup could be knocked from one way and fall the
21
    other way?
2.2
         Α.
              Yes.
23
         Q.
              And a cup could also be knocked from the bottom and
24
    fall over that way?
25
         Α.
              I suppose.
```

1 Q. Is that possible? 2 Α. I think it's possible. All right. Ø. 3 Uh-huh. Α. 4. So when you were analyzing whether Donovine's 5 Q. explanation made sense, you were assuming a cup, a mug being 6 7 grabbed by Chance and pulled upon him? No, I just knew that from the statements the cup 8 Α. was, quote, "on the edge", based on the reports I got. 9 Um-h'm. 1.0 Ο. I heard it contained boiling water to eventually 11 Α. 12 make coffee, and the rest of it I just looked at the patterns 13 and tried to figure out what happened. Of course. And you didn't consider at that 14 0. perhaps, Chance had knocked the mug in a different direction 15 16 than forward? I did. I considered all of the possibilities. 17 All right. And after you -- so if Chance had Q. knocked a mug on its -- from the bottom and it had fallen 19 2.0 this way --21 Α. Um-h'm. -- what would you expect to see? 22-Q. Splash patterns. 23 Α.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Not such a smooth line of demarcation.

Okay. So the absence of splash patterns?

2.4

25

Q.

Α.

opposite direction of flow. One hand pointing down, one hand pointing up. Not a clean smooth line going from -- going from the top to the bottom or from the tip of the fingers back. I would expect much more irregularity in the line. I would expect more of a splash or trailing patterns.

I don't know that I would -- I don't think I would expect three of the fingers to be involved and two spared. It -- it -- it was just -- it was done very slow and very close to the hand. There was nothing tipping over. There was no direction of the motion, and the easiest way to describe that is -- is in blood spatter. If somebody's standing still and a blood drop falls, it's -- it's a complete radius like a sunburst versus if they're walking a certain direction, the fingers, as the blood hits the ground, reach in the direction they're walking.

There's no fingers or anything. This water was very slow, very deliberate, fairly stable and facial close to the hand and extremely hot and not much of it.

- Q. Okay. And one of the hands was either -- the left hand was either flat or curled and the other hand would have had to be pointing down, the right hand, correct?
 - A. I don't know about the curling.
 - Q. Is that -- okay.

13.

1.5

A. I don't know about -- I don't remember saying anything curling. There's -- there's a variety of reasons

why it could be spared. The biggest indicator in this is there is no indication of a splash, a splatter or a spill. It seems to be a very slow pour application. There — if one finger might have been curled or maybe not, maybe it was tilted, maybe it was curled, maybe two fingers were touching, maybe they weren't. We'll never know.

Q. Right,

Ż

1.0

22.

- A. But what we do know is there's no indication of a standard spill or a splash or a splatter or any violent movement or something from a distance. It's -- it's very close to the hand, and poured slowly, and at a low volume and not very much of it fortunately.
 - Q. Those are your conclusions?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you talked a little bit about this blue dye technique that you came up with and that's what you're known for?
 - A. Yeah, Yes.
 - Q. Or infamous for, if you will?
- A. Well, no, but they called and they couldn't remember my name and the director of the children's hospital at Sidney who had been in my class said I can't remember his name, but I'm looking for the blue dye guy, and I haven't got rid of it sense. It's kind of insulting.
 - Q. And so basically, you put blue dye in water and

1. spill it to see the pattern that that water left? 2 Α. Just a three dollar package of Rit, either liquid 3 or powder, I stir it up. My students leave with blue hands, 4 the doctors leave with blue hands because I make the 5 students, the doctors, nurses, one time a judge; in my class, б they have to make their hands look like the victims in the photos. So they do the blue dye on themselves when I do my 8 training. 9 All right. And you use this technique to determine, you know, if something -- if you -- how something 10 11 was inflicted, correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. It's a recreation? 14 Α. Yes, it is. 15 Q., You didn't do that in this case? 16 Α. No, I did not. The DA's Office didn't ask you to do that? 17 0.. 18 Α. They did not. 1.9 They didn't ask you to do any sort of recreation? Q. 20 À. They did not. 21 Q. And that would have -- on your fee schedule that

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

would have been an additional charge to do these recreations,

I suppose, if it would have taken more time, yes.

22

23

24

25

correct?

Α.

Q.

Correct?

1 Α. Sure. 2 And it seems like when you started studying these 3 burns, you were just frustrated that there wasn't a lot of information out there; is that correct? 4 5 That's exactly right. 6 0. And, you know, a lot of the practice at that time 7 you were limited by what caretakers told you? 8 Α, Yes. 9 Q. And obviously, there was more information that you wanted to have from the people who actually were either there 10 for the burn or around when the burn happened? 11 1.2 Ά. Yes. 13 And it was really important to you that you Q. 14 distinguish, you know, purposeful burns from, you know, 15 innocent care -- accidental burns and innocent caretakers? 16 We wanted to know what happened, and it was 17: important to know who to protect the victim from. 18 And you also didn't want to unjustly accuse Ο. 19 innocent caretakers? 20 Oh, no. Α. 21 Q. Of course. And without being present when a burn occurs, obviously, you don't have all the information you'd 22 23 like?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

And you can never be 100 percent sure of what

Correct, yes.

24

25

Α.,

0

```
1
    happened?
 2
          Α.
               Oh, yes, I can.
 3
               You can be certain?
          Ö.
               100 percent.
          Α.
 4
 5
               Okay.
          Q.
 6
          Α.
               Yes.
 7
              All right.
          Q.
 8
              MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence.
    BY MS. KIERNY:
 9
               Mr. Peltier, how is a pour different from a spill
1.0
         Q.
11
    burn?
12
         Α,
              A pour is usually more deliberate.
13
         Q.
              Okay.
14
              It's -- it's slower. It can be poured from a
         Α.
1.5
    height.
             There have been inflicted intentional non-accidental
16
    pours before.
17
         Ο.
              Um-h'm.
              A lot of times it depends from how high. So but
19
    usually a pour is very close. If I wanted to demonstrate the
20
    difference, which I do in my class, the difference between a
21
    pour, I hold actually these cups. And I hold them very close
    to the hand and I pour and I show them. And the beauty of
    the blue dye, is you can see every single direction and where
2:3
24
    it goes even by twitching, moving.
2.5
```

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

And they can see how it moves. And then I take

another student and I do it from a higher level. And then I take and I throw it on somebody's hands. So there's — and it always looks different. And the advantage is that that — and then I tell them in the class the advantage of this is, besides being inexpensive is \$3, anybody can use it, and medical, defense everybody's used it to recreate, is that it takes a couple days to come off so it can be videotaped, it can be photographed.

And it's just a lot easier because I know when I first got my case, and it was because I was the new person, people said why don't you just get a cup of water and do that? Well, it was clear and you can't see what you need to see, because as we found out later, you need to see a lot finer detail, not just it went downhill or it went side ways or it went all the way around. If you were to immerse somebody's hand in clear water, you'd never be able to prove that the fingertips were spared.

But when the caretaker said she reached in to pick up a red potato thinking it was one of her balls, you have to explain how you can reach into blue dye and not get the tips of your fingertips. So we came up with a color and it stays.

- Q. Okay. All right. And you mentioned all these different types of burn, these splash burns, these spill burns, these pour burns.
 - A. Um-h'm, um-h'm.

2.4

2.5

Q. And all of these types could be self-inflicted?

Ż

-3

- A. Depending. I have victims that are three weeks old, but yes, most of the time -- and I do, I tell, again, as part of my class, and I'll tell the investigator eventually, don't talk to a pediatrician. It's very, very important. I want you to go talk to their pediatrician and see if they're capable of lifting this much water. I don't want to know from a study. I want to talk to that doctor, and so they're directed to talk to the specific child's doctor and of course, we don't do that when they're three or nine weeks old. But if they're one, two or three, yes, go talk to the pediatrician, see can they lift this much weight and we do the weight and the experiments before them.
- Q. So your answer is basically, as long as, you know, the child is capable of making that motion, any of those types of burns could possibly be self-inflicted?
- A. Well, they can. It doesn't mean they match the pattern, but yes, a child can spill, splash, spatter. They happen in domestic violence adult cases all the time. So --
 - Q. Just because it's a certain --
 - A. -- but the pattern has to match.
- Q. Okay. Sorry to interrupt. Just because it's a certain type of burn doesn't mean that that's automatically accidental versus non-accidental, or it's automatically self-inflicted or automatically an accident -- or

automatically self-inflicted or automatically inflicted? 1 Well, it I could get a clarification, when you say 2 that kind of burn. If we can talk about each individual one, 3 4 maybe I could answer better. Okay. I'm just trying to reference when you said 5. ٥. -- when you were talking about the different types of burns--6 Um-h'm. 7 Α. -- with Ms. Jobe, you indicated that there were all 8 9 these different types of burns, and then the final category 10 was self-inflicted. Α. 11 Um-h'm. And you said -- do you recall saying in any of the 12 -- in self-inflicted, any of those could really be 13 self-inflicted? 14 15 A. I think that's what I said, yes. Okay. So that's an accurate statement? 16 Ο. Yes, uh-huh. 17 Α. All right. Thank you. 18 Q. 19 MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence. I'll pass 2.0 the witness. 21 THE COURT: Redirect. 2.2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2:3: BY MS. JOBE: Mr. Peltier, as far as what Ms. Kierny was asking 24 you about, she was talking to you essentially, detectives 25

come to you, tell you they're investigating a case and asking you for your opinions, correct?

A. Yes, um-h'm.

3.

1:2

- Q. Are you looking, always trying to make something be abuse or have your conclusion be abuse or deliberate pour or non-accidental?
- A. Frequently, I call back and say it's accidental, and in a number of cases I've called back where the suspect was in custody and told them that he needs to not, or she needs to not be in custody because it's a hundred percent accidental. So I guess, you can say I've been blamed for letting people go, but I call them back, it's no big deal.
- I I called one person one time during the recreation and I said, you're on speakerphone because my hands are in blue dye, and I've done this now eight or nine times and it's always accidental, just the opposite of why you've sent reports saying that he's in custody, and they put him in custody because of medical or something. So I've let people out well, I don't let them out, but
 - Q. So --
 - A. -- been responsible.
- Q. -- would it -- would it be fair to say as far as your looking at the burn patterns --
- A. Um-h'm.
- Q. -- and your conclusions, for you, you call it like

you see it; would that be a fair statement?

A. I call it --

.9

2.0

2.4

- Q. Like you see it.
- A. Oh, yes, uh-huh, um-h'm.
- Q. So would you be swayed by a doctor's opinion or a medical person's opinion in your determinations of what did or didn't happen with the burn patterns you see?
 - A. No, and frequently I'm arguing against the doctors.
- Q. Now, Ms. Kierny asked you about the information you had about Mr. Mathews' statements of what may or may not have happened at the time these injuries were sustained to Chance. Do you recall her line of questioning?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, in reaching your conclusions and rendering your opinions, do you solely rely on the story provided by Mr. Mathews, mainly, he must have pulled the mug off the edge and it fell on to the floor, or do you consider other things or other possibilities of how the injuries may have occurred?
- A. I consider all the possibilities I can come up with. If it was -- if it was poured, spilled, held in the hand, I try to come up with an explanation of how I think it happened. But I try to consider, well, if it was just right or if it was Murphy's law or if it was something, could they be possible?

those burn patterns?

б.

2.0

- A. No, I cannot.
- Q. What if the cup happened to tip, as she said, hit the bottom, cup tips over, hands are down; is that a possibility?

A. No, the -- the patterns aren't consistent with a cup going sideways. I would expect the burns to be more lateral, going to the sides. I would expect not a very smooth regular line of demarcation. I would expect more identical patterns. I would expect in that case that if you put the hands side by side, you could show that it was one continuous pattern. But if you're reaching and if they're reaching up and it lands to side, even if it's just a few ounces of water with a standard -- I know that coffee was being made. So if it was an 8, 10, 12-ounce cup, I would expect then maybe it would miss the torso if he was reaching out, but the feet are at the bottom, and it's going to end up on the floor.

Even more tragic, if they're wearing socks because now it doesn't get to move away and cool. It's absorbed and held against the skin. So if it lands on the socks, the burns would have been tragic. If it lands on bare feet, it's fortunately not as bad.

Q. Okay. And fair to say if water's traveling approximately 35 inches by the time it gets to the feet, it

may not burn the feet at all, depending?

- A. Depending on the original temperature. If it truly was 212, it will burn the feet.
- Q. Okay. And you had talked -- you mentioned that when Ms. Kierny was asking you questions. What is the significance of the number 212 degrees Farenheit?
 - A. 212 is boiling.
- Q. Okay. And as far as your training and experience, if you know, the point in time where you turn the burner off, boiling water, remove the pan from the burner, it starts to cool down immediately, doesn't it?
 - A. It does, depending on the pan.
- Q. Okay. Now, Ms. Kierny asked you some questions and you talked about -- she specifically was asking you about inflicted -- self-inflicted versus, we'll say, not self-inflicted injuries.
- A. Okay.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. Did you form an opinion in this case if the injuries to Chance Jacksper in any way could possibly have been self-inflicted by Chance himself?
- 21 A. I did.
 - Q. And what is your opinion, sir?
 - A. It did not. The -- the liquid was not in his hands. It wasn't tipped over by him. It was done by someone else.

Now, the fact that Ms. Kierny asked you questions 1 Q. that at least according to Mr. Mathews' version of the events, he wasn't in the room, would it surprise you -- or in 3: your training and experience, have you ever had a case where 4 a child's been intentionally burned and the person who's done 5 the burning does not themselves have any burns, marks on 6 7 them? MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object to talking about 8 other cases. That's not relevant to what's happened here. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer. 10 THE WITNESS: Since I started doing this in 1981, 11 there's never been an accidental spot, mark, oops, on one 12 single caretaker, in any case, any of the countries, states, 13 jurisdictions I've been in, not once. 14 BY MS. JOBE: 15 And fair to say the cases you've worked on, at 16 least some of them in your opinion had been intentional 17 inflicted burns, correct? 1.8 Some of the cases that I've done it's been an Α. 19 intentional burn? 20 Yes. 21 0. Oh, yes. 22 Α. And not one of those caretakers in your experience 2.3 Ο.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

has themselves been burned?

Never, ever.

Α.

2.4

25

1	MS. JOBE: Pass the witness.
2	MS. KIERNY: No further questions.
3	THE COURT: No recross? Okay. Thank you very much
4	for your testimony here today, sir.
5	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: You may step down. You're excused from
7	your subpoena. Thank you very much for being here.
8	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: During this recess, you're admonished
10	not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone
11	else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch
12	or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any
13	person connected with this trial by any medium of
14	information, including without limitation, newspapers,
15	television, the Internet or radio. Or form or express any
16	opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the
17	case is finally submitted to you. We'll be in recess for the
18	next 15 minutes.
19	THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting
20.	jury.
21	(Outside the presence of the jury).
22	THE COURT: Anything outside the presence?
23	MS. KIERNY: Yes, Your Honor
24	THE COURT: Sure.
25	MS. KIERNY: just briefly. We would renew our

motion not to exclude Dutch's testimony. As you've heard from State's expert, Mr. Peltier -- Peltier, I keep getting this wrong -- he used -- he basically created scenarios and would try to eliminate, you know, and he saw the burn and worked backwards and tried to create that pattern from any means that he could; accidental, non-accidental, and then he -- that is how he developed his entire research.

3.

б

1.3

That's essentially what Dutch did, except he didn't use the blue dye. Other than that, I mean, it seems to be very much the same exact method that Dutch employed in this case. And we would need Dutch's testimony at this point. I think that's the only way for us to establish our theory of the case that this was an accident, because the State's expert has indicated that there is no possible way that it could have spilled in the way that Dutch was able to recreate the spill.

He would expect the burns -- he said that he would expect the burns to be more lateral --

THE COURT: Based on the patterns, right?

MS. KIERNY: There were -- right. Based on --

THE COURT: Based on the patterns.

MS. KIERNY: -- burn patterns.

THE COURT: Right.

MS. KIERNY: He indicated that he doesn't see there's any way to, you know, recreate that injury in the

manner that I tried to describe. Dutch was actually able to run the ex -- run that recreation, I think is the best way to put it. Experiment is probably the wrong word. And to find those exact results that he said would be impossible to find.

1.0

2.1

So, I think there's no way else for us to present that. There are no witnesses that we can get that out of. I think we need to call Dutch Johnson in order to defend Mr. Mathews adequately.

MS. JOBE: Your Honor, the witness's testimony was based on his training and extensive experience with respect to burn patterns. And the question has always been for our witnesses is the burn pattern that Chance has consistent with these different scenarios?

And so based on their expertise and based on their testimony, the State's position is that's different and distinguishable from what their purposes of bringing in Dr. Johnson. Dr. Johnson's just trying to create some sort of mechanism, whether it be intentional or accidental, where this maybe possibly could have happened. I would just reiterate for the -- probably the fifth time at this point in time, the videos provided by Dutch Johnson do not show the cup being moved by the subject whose hands then get this pour that he claims to be the similar pattern of what's in this case.

And each every experiment that's been provided to

said. And that's that if the mug were to spill from the left to right, it would not create the kind of burn patterns that we see on Chance. But we know that's not true because we see the pictures.

1.7

2.4

2.5

The prosecutor's seen the pictures. I'm sure Your Honor has seen the pictures and --

THE COURT: You know what isn't true?

MS. HOLIDAY: -- in Mr. Johnson's Power Point.

It's not true that it wouldn't match the pattern on Chance's hands because when Dr. Johnson tipped over the mug --

THE COURT: He's not competent to testify about burn patterns. He's a biomechanical expert. I mean, that's the difference. You have a burn expert testifying about burn patterns and then you have a biomechanical that wants to come in and testify about movements, correct?

MS. HOLIDAY: Movements and burn patterns.

Dr. Johnson has experience with burns, as he testified, with liquids. And more importantly, he has medical training that Mr. Peltier does not have, to learn more in-depth knowledge about the skin, the different layers of the skin, the way that the skin reacts with the things around it. That's what biomechanics is. The way the human body interacts with things around it.

So burns, and burns on skin are squarely within Dr. Johnson's field. But it's so interesting that

Dr. Johnson was able to show that the exact pattern that we see on Chance could result from a mug spilling from left to right. We can see it. Mr. Peltier just testified that it would not create that pattern, but we can all see that it would create that pattern because we have the pictures of Dr. Johnson experiments.

So we all know that what Mr. Peltier just said is not accurate because Dr. Johnson was able to show that it's not accurate. But guess who won't be able to know that what he said is not accurate? The jury.

And more importantly, that's our theory of defense, that's what he has a constitutional right to present to the jury, and we can't present that in direct reputtal to what the State's expert testified to.

I mean, obviously, since the beginning of this case, we have said that not allowing our expert to testify would cripple our defense for many reasons. Obviously, I thoroughly briefed it. But Your Honor, the more testimony we hear from the State's experts, the more it becomes clear that Dr. Johnson can provide an exact rebuttal to their testimony. That's something that we can't provide because we're not medical experts, and we can't testify. And this is all stuff that the jury will not get the benefit of hearing. That deprives Mr. Mathews of his constitutional right to present adequate defense.

And again, the State now, if Dr. Olson comes to

testify, the State will have three experts testifying in this

case, three. And we will have zero. Although, we have

presented an expert with adequate qualifications that will

testify to things squarely within his knowledge that answer

questions in this case that the jury will have and that

directly rebut what State's experts have testified to.

That's why we're renewing our motion yet again, Your Honor.

MS. JOBE: If I could, Your Honor, because she added some things I didn't address.

THE COURT: Sure.

1.5

MS. JOBE: A, the State takes issue with her representing that State actually believes Dr. Johnson with recreate some scenario that would explain these injuries and explain this situation. I'm not going to repeat the videos and what I've seen, but my prior argument about the fact that that cup moves itself in each and every experiment he does is absolute evidence he cannot do that.

Notwithstanding that, Dr. Johnson does not possess the requisite qualifications or experience to discuss burn patterns, to discuss how they — anything about burn patterns that Dr. Cetl and Mr. Peltier have discussed. They've repeatedly tried to bootstrap Dr. Johnson's experience as a — in the Army or the Marine Corps, I forget which specifically in treating first — in first aid, for first aid

preparing people to try to keep them alive to go to the 1 hospital. They try to bootstrap that repeatedly. And he 2 testified that there -- he didn't have any specific training, 3 no specific experience with respect to burn patterns and burn injuries. And so for all those reasons, he's not qualified, 5. it's not helpful to the jury, and the State does not believe 6 what Ms. Holiday represented that we knew, which is that 7 Dr. Johnson can provide something that replicates this case. THE COURT: Okay. At this time, the motion's 9 10 denied. MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 Thank you. THE COURT: 12 (Court recessed at 3:28 p.m. until 3:48 p.m.) 13 (Outside the presence of the jury) 14 MS. JOBE: Before we bring the jury back, can we 15 have a conversation? 16 Are we on? Okay. Sure. THE COURT: 17 (Pause in the proceedings) 1.8 The record will reflect the Okav. THE COURT: 19 hearing's taking place outside the presence of the jury 20 panel. 21 MS. JOBE: Two things, Your Honor. First, we have 22 a scheduling -- this is becoming our scheduling nightmare. 23 So we have detective DePalma here to testify, based on 24 playing the defendant's statement and the reenactment video, 25

```
we don't anticipate getting through DePalma before the end of
 1
   the day. But we've ordered Cetl back at 10:30, and I know
 .2
   Cetl has a very tight schedule tomorrow because she has to
 3
   see patients at the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment
   Center, and she's been gracious enough to get coverage for
 5
    those appointments tomorrow, but that's kind of where things
 6
    stand. So --
 7
              THE COURT: And I was planning on being dark
 8
    Friday, but I don't know how that's going to affect us.
                                                             So I
 9
   was going to try to see how today and tomorrow went.
10
              MS. JOBE: Okay. I mean, the alternate for DePalma
11.
    is to stay until he gets done today.
12
              THE COURT: Oh, you just want to stay until --
13
    okay. I don't care.
14
              MS. JOBE: I don't know what everybody's schedule
15
    is that --
1.6
              THE COURT: Well, it's not at 9:00 o'clock at
17
   night, right?
18
              MS. JOBE: No, it won't be -- no, it won't be 9:00.
1.9.
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
20
              MS. KIERNY: Well, I mean, the thing is it's -- we
21
   have his statement and the recreation to play --
22.
              MS. JOBE: Sure.
23
              MS. KIERNY: -- through him, which is probably
24
   about an hour-and-a-half?
25
```

MS. JOBE: No. 1 MR. BURTON: Between the two of them? 2 MS. KIERNY: Between the two? 3 THE COURT: If you want to try to power through and 4 get the detective done, that's fine. Let's go. 5 MS. JOBE: Okay, here's the other issue before we 6 7 get to tomorrow, which is --8 THE COURT: Okay. MS. JOBE: -- in Jasmin's testimony -ġ THE COURT: Yeah, because we got to get to that 10 doctor right at 10:30. 1.1 MS. JOBE: Right. 12 THE COURT: I see. Okay. 1.3 MS. JOBE: And during Jasmin's testimony, Your 14 Honor, they --15 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 16 MS. JOBE: -- elicited testimony from her about 17 this miraculous find of coffee in the residence and that Ms. 18 Joanna Westmoreland was present, and it was at her direction 19 when all this happened. Mr. Burton and I did argue diligence 20 and phoned Ms. Westmoreland over the lunch hour, and we 21 believe her version of events is going to differ in some 22 material respects from Jasmin's testimony. 23 Now, this involves case CPS unity notes from that 2.4day, and it involves a witness we had decided not to call 2.5

based on -- we weren't anticipating to call based on our 1 pretrial and our information, but when they elicited that through Ms. Holiday's leading questions, obviously, this 3 becomes a concern for State and --4 THE COURT: Did you want to bring her in to testify 5. that that -- that's not what happened? 6 MS. JOBE: We will also - it will also she'll have 7 to look at her specific case note to make sure her testimony 8 -- she has a memory of it, she did not review her case note 9 10 before our phone call. THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. JOBE: We kind of just called her on the fly, 12 and she has a specific memory of that event. 13 THE COURT: And that's different from what Jasmin 14 15 testified? In some material respects, yes. MS. JOBE: 16 THE COURT: Like what? Like how material, if you 17 want to bring her? 1.8 MS. JOBE: Like Jasmin came to her with the coffee 1.9 and that the amount of coffee wasn't even the amount of 20 coffee that could have made a cup of coffee. That it was in 21 a napkin-ish type of a thing, and that it was days after the 22 23 event. MS. HOLIDAY; I would ask if they plan to call her 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

25

that --

THE COURT: You guys did it. 1 MS. HOLIDAY: Right. 2 THE COURT: I knew you were opening up something 3 with that coffee find, 4 MS. HOLIDAY: If they plan to call her, that we get 5 the notes pertaining to what she's going to testify to. So if б she's only going to testify about this day in particular, we 7 would like the notes that she has from that day. If she plans on testifying about anything else regarding Jasmin's CPS case 9 or CPS at all, then we want, you know, the CPA records that 10 pertain to her testimony. 11 THE COURT: Do you want to bring her in for anything 12 other than that? 13 MS. JOBE: No. Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: And she had a specific recollection of 15 it not even having to go to the notes and review them? 16 MS. JOBE: That's correct. We called her blindly at 17 lunch on her cell phone. 18 THE COURT: And does she believe that she documented 19 it in her notes? 20 She would, it's her practice. MS. JOBE: 21 THE COURT: Okay. Will you make sure you get those 22 23 notes? I will. If I have five minutes to send MS JOBE: 24 25 an e-mail?

THE COURT: Sure, sure. You can send the e-mail. 1 MS. JOBE: And --2 THE COURT: You're probably going to have to provide 3 those notes to the Court and then I can --4 MS. JOBE: I absolutely will. 5. THE COURT: -- turn them over to defense counsel. 6 MS. HOLIDAY: And we'll need a little time to review 7 them, of course. Obviously. 8 THE COURT: Of course. I was hoping maybe they -9 could get them today so we could give them to you. 10 MS. JOBE: Could we go off the record for this, 11 12 please? THE COURT: Sure. 13 MS. JOBE: And can we approach? 1.4 THE COURT: Yeah. 15 MS. KIERNY: If it's that easy to get all of them, 16 let's just get all of them. The judge had already ordered all 17 18 of them. MS. JOBE: Are we back on the record, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT RECORDER: It's going to take just one 20 moment because my computer's taking forever today. Sorry, 21 Your Honor. We're on the record now. 22 THE COURT: Okay. The hearing is continuing to take 23 place outside the presence of the jury panel. Is there 24 anything you want to add? 25

MS. HOLIDAY: Oh, for our side, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MS. HOLIDAY: We would just, as I stated, request any notes that pertain to whatever the State is going to present in the testimony of the witness. However, if it turns out that finding the one note that pertains to this very specific topic involves sorting through all the CPS records pertaining to this case, which we've already requested and the Court has already ordered, then I would ask, why not just get all the records? It's something that the Court previously ordered. It's something I think we're entitled to. It's something that we shouldn't have had to hunt down.

I mean, I understand part of the issue we've been talking about with these CPS records is that, you know, the defense didn't say --

THE COURT: You know, let me just say, did I -because generally what my practice is with CPS records,
because I do this a lot, is not just automatically order you
get them. I order that the District Attorney provide them to
me. I do an in camera review, and then I decide. I don't
always just give all the CPS records.

I listen to with a you have to say and then I look for exculpatory information or anything that I think could be Brady material, but I don't just turn those CPS records over. You're not entitled to them.

MS. HOLIDAY: Right, and I -THE COURT: So I don't think that was my court

order.

1

3

4

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

2.5

MS. HOLIDAY: I agree --

THE COURT: So now you're saying that I -- that's not what I generally do. I don't just say, order the CPS records:

MS. HOLIDAY: And I -- to clarify, Judge, I don't -I certainly didn't think you ordered the records to be
produced to all parties. I --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: It was my understanding that Your Honor ordered the records just as you stated, to be produced to you to review in camera --

THE COURT: And I told you I didn't get any records.

MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor. And the point I'm making is, you know, we've mentioned that this is something that we could have asked about at calendar call if we still didn't have them, we could have brought it up and asked about it. But I believe that it is the District Attorney's or the State in general, the State's responsibility if they're --

THE COURT: Why are we going through this again because I said if you want a continuance, you really want me to review those records, because, you know, that -- with that

-- reviewing CPS records is not a walk in the park either. 1 You know, you've got to sit down and you have to, you know, 2 have you to have blocks of time and you have to really review .3 them. I don't know the significance of these CPS records. 4 don't know that I could just review them in a -- you know, I 5 get boxes sometimes, boxes. So, I don't know. 6 Based on what I heard, I mean, if this was her only 7 CPS contact, I wouldn't expect them to be in boxes, but I 8

don't know. Was this her only CPS contact?

MS. JOBE: No, Your Honor, based on the testimony --

THE COURT: Okay.

9

10

11

12.

139

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JOBE: -- and the argument we had the other day, it is not.

THE COURT: Okay. So then it probably would come over in boxes then.

MS. HOLIDAY: Pertaining to this case, I think was the Judge's order, that the CPS records were only ordered to be produced to the judge pertaining to this case, no the her other cases, from my understanding.

THE COURT: I know, but the bottom line is I don't -- I mean, it sounds like you want me to stop the case so you can get these CPS records. I mean, I think if they're going to bring that witness in, you're entitled to see those records from that day, no doubt about it.

So I'm going to order that. But I don't know, are

you asking me to stop the trial and order CPS to come here with all these records and then give me an opportunity to review them? Because I'm never -- you're never going to get me to just turn over CPS records. I don't think that -- I don't think I can. That's not the law.

MS. HOLIDAY: No, I agree. I agree. I think -- I think it would be appropriate for Your Honor to review them in camera first. My point is just that someone's going to have to go through the CPS records to find the specific note. If it's going to take time to do that, then perhaps, Your Honor does have time to review them.

Someone else is going to be reviewing them to find this note. Maybe in this case Your Honor would have time to review them, too.

MS. JOBE: It is going to be -- I'm doing by best, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

ġ

MS. JOBE: The fact of the matter is we've had this discussion. They chose to go forward with this trial having met with me in my office on Friday before we started trial, knowing the DFS records had not been attained and turned over to Your Honor, which I have apologized for on behalf of State. I am sorry that that happened.

They made the strategic decision to push forward with this court trial without those records. The only reason

we are getting into this witness and getting into this information is because they chose to open the door. So clearly, they had some information relating to Child Protective Services investigation and what happened with this investigator that the State was unaware of, because State does not have those records in its possession, because they are protected records, they have to be obtained by court order.

1.5

And the fact of the matter is, the fact that Ms. Holiday is standing here trying to make a change in this case, or why don't we just get all these records because I have contacts and I'm trying to expedite this for the Court is so beyond offensive to me at this point in time. I have no words to go with how offensive it is that she's now trying to exploit the fact that the State's doing its best to comply with the court order for something they opened the door to today.

So I'm not going to explain the process to Ms.

Holiday of how that works and what they have to go through and what the system looks like. If Your Honor wishes me to, I'll be happy to do so. But the fact of the matter is, it will not be a criminal deputy going through these records. It will be someone who directly represents the Department of Family Services. I will be happy to get that note.

THE COURT: I know what -- I know what the process because I get them all the time.

1	MS. JOBE: and turn it over to you.
2	THE COURT: And I know I know how they I know
3	how they arrive so I know what has to be done. If these
4	records come to the courthouse I'll review them. Okay?
5	That's what I can tell you. I'm not going to stop the trial
б	and order a bunch of records be delivered.
7	But if that witness is going to testify, at least
8	the records from that day have to be turned over to the
9	defense.
10	MS. JOBE: I agree.
11	THE COURT: Is your witness here?
12	MS. JOBE: He is, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Okay. We can start.
14	MS. JOBE: Can I send this e-mail really quick,
15	please?
16	THE COURT: Oh, yeah. Sure, go ahead.
17	(Pause in the proceedings)
18	THE MARSHAL: Judge, how late are we going to go?
19	THE COURT: I don't know.
Ź0	THE MARSHAL: Because
21	THE COURT: Until we get our witness done.
22	THE MARSHAL: Well, it's just because if we're going
23	to be here after 6:00, I have to get special tickets for the
24	garage to open up.
25	THE COURT: Well, can I let you know at 5:30? Thank

```
you for thinking of that. Usually at 5:30 I'll be able to
   tell if you guys are go to be done.
                      (Pause in the proceedings).
3
             MS. JOBE: Okay, Your Honor.
4
             THE COURT: We can bring -- did you get that e-mail
5
   off?
6
             MS. JOBE: I did.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right, we can bring them in.
8
              THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury,
 9
10
   please.
                   (In the presence of the jury).
11
              THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the presence
12
   of the jury panel?
13
              MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Defense?
15
              THE MARSHAL: Thank you, please be seated.
16
              MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: You may call your next witness.
18
              MS. JOBE: State calls Phil DePalma,
19
                            I'm sorry?
              THE MARSHAL:
20
              MS. JOBE: Phil DePalma.
21
                PHILIP DEPALMA, STATES WITNESS, SWORN
22
              THE CLERK: Thank you, please be seated. Could you
23
   please state and spell your name for the record.
24
              THE WITNESS: Philip DePalma, P-h-i-l-i-p. DePalma,
25
```

D-e-p-a-1-m-a. 1 THE CLERK: Thank you. 2 MS. JOBE: May I proceed, Your Honor. 3 You may. THE COURT: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. JOBE: 6 Mr. DePalma, what is your occupation? 7 Q., I'm a police officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Α. Police Department. How long have you been employed by the Las Vegas 10 Metropolitan Police Department? 11 Α. 20-and-a-half years. 12 What is your current assignment, sir? 13 Q. I'm a detective with the Abuse and Neglect Detail. Α. 1.4 How long have you been in that detail? 15 Q. Total about three years. 16 Α. What training and experience do you have to be on 17 Q. the Abuse and Neglect Detail? 18 Extensive. Interview, interrogation classes, death 19 Α. investigation classes, forensic interviewing classes, along 20 with search warrant execution, search and seizure classes, so 21 on and so forth. 22 Prior to becoming a abuse and neglect detective, 0. 23 what was your assignment with Metro? 24 I was also a detective with the robbery detail for 25 Α.

about a year-and-a-half. A detective with the violent crimes section for about a year-and-a-half. I was a narcotics detective for three years, and I also did about 30 days in homicide and 60 days in missing persons.

- Q. Now, as part of your training and experience as an abuse and neglect detective, do you go to conferences and trainings with respect to injuries to children?
 - A. Yes, I do.

.5

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And do they also train you about how to investigate injuries to children to make the appropriate determinations for purposes of police investigation?
 - A. Yes, they do.
- Q. And generally speaking, what are your duties and responsibilities as a abuse and neglect detective?
- A. Respond to not only child, but elderly abuse, neglect, endangerment cases. All child deaths. Especially we respond immediately to a substantial bodily injury to elder and to children if it's suspicious in nature. Suicides involving children as well.
- Q. So fair to say you're called to respond to all those different type scenarios and then have you to conduct an investigation to kind of figure out what's going on; is that fair?
 - A. Correct.
 - 2. Approximately, how many investigations have you done

as an abuse neglect detective?

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25.

- A. We average close to a hundred cases per year per detective. And so I would assume close to 300 cases that I've handled or at least that came across my desk, whether they're closed or there an or was made is a different story, but close to 300 that I've laid my eyes on, maybe more.
- Q. And that takes me to my next question, Detective.

 Do you -- do the cases you investigate, do they always result
 in criminal proceedings?
 - A. No, they don't.
- Q. And is part of your duties and responsibilities for investigative purposes, at least to make a determination if the matter should be submitted to the District Attorney's Office to determine if criminal proceedings should be filed?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And do you submit to the District Attorney's Office once your investigation's complete; would that be fair?
 - A. Yes, that's accurate.
- Q. And what types of -- specifically with respect to cases involving child abuse or neglect, what types of things do you look for or do as part of your investigation?
- A. Well, having kids myself and knowing -- knowing or learning and seeing and reading a lot of cases that come across, we understand there's a lot of accidents that happened in the home, outside of the home. Some things may be even

neglectful in nature, however it doesn't rise to a criminal charge, so to speak. So there are times when -- when we strike up a report that was filed or an allegation that was made as an either accident or just never happened.

Q. And who do you speak with or what are the steps of your investigative process to reach your investigative conclusions?

A. On each investigation we conduct interviews, first and foremost. We speak with the caretakers of the person, whether it's a child or whether it's an elder. If it's -- if the elder does not have a family member caring for them, we -- we speak with the person that's -- that is in the complete control and care of that elder. Same with the -- the child.

Sometimes it's difficult to talk to a child because they're too young and they don't communicate. And when they're too young and they can't communicate, that's when we have to rely on the people that are caring for that child. We have to conduct interviews. So that's first and foremost.

- Q. So would it be fair to say when you're dealing with a case with a child who can't communicate or at least relay to you the events in what happened, is it your duty to investigate all the surrounding facts and circumstances and kind of figure out what happened as best as you can?
- A. Yes, it is. From interviews to the medical professionals, we often deal with medical professionals as

well.

-2

3

10

1.1

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And is there a protocol for age range of kids as far as being interviewed or relaying information or obtaining information from them?
- A. There's not a particular age. It's basically, the level of competence for that child, what level they are as far as being able to communicate, understanding the difference between right and wrong, good and bad. And that's why you get forensically trained. But normally, it's around the three-year-old range in a child. Three and under are very difficult to talk -- to talk to. Sometimes even goes up to four-and-a-half years of age, depending on that person's level.
- Q. Okay. And have you received at least some training and experience with respect to -- in reviewing children and kind of making that assessment of you can even interview a child about what happened?
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Now, on January 5th of 2016, were you assigned as a lead detective to investigate a concern related to burns on a child under has Vegas Metropolitan Police Department event number 160105-1552?
 - A. Yes I was.
- Q. And if you could tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury who was the named victim at least that you were

investigating?

- A. It was a Chance Jacksper was the little boy.
- Q. And how did this call come in, at least as far as you're aware?
- A. We received a phone call at our office from the patrol officers that were responded to Sunrise Hospital. They were there to make a report for a child abuse neglect report, and the explanation of the injuries they felt the staff felt it didn't match. So in return, our patrol officers aren't to investigate further. They're to contact us. So we were at our office, and what they call it when you're working is a same-day response.

And I was next up, out of all the detectives and investigators in my unit, I was the next person up to take lead on a case during a same-day.

- Q. Now, just because you're the lead detective, are you the only detective who responds and participates in the investigation?
 - A. No, they don't.
- Q. All right. And as far as this process that you have described with the patrol officer calling your detail and providing information, is that standard for these calls?
 - A. Yes, it's standard.
- Q. And then fair to say the detective who takes the call from patrol essentially makes the determination to go out

and continue investigating or wait until another day or something else?

- A. Yes, It all depends on the the severity of the the incident. The severity of the injury to the child, whether or not it's going to fit our criteria for a same-day response or if it's something that we can follow up the next day.
- Q. And I believe you testified that in this case it was considered what you call a same-day?
 - A. That's correct.

13.

- Q. So when the call comes in, it's determined to be a same-day, what happens?
- A. So myself, my partner, who is my secondary,

 Detective, I believe, it was Detective Grivas, and my sergeant
 responded to Sunrise Hospital, along with another detective
 because he was new in the unit. And he's the one who actually
 took the phone call because he's what they call a bucket
 person. That's the individual, the detective and it gets
 passed around each day who actually takes fields calls
 from patrol officers and from the public who have questions or
 want to make a report for assistance.

He took the call, and he got all -- gathered all the information and presented that to myself and the sergeant. So it was actually three detectives and a sergeant that went out to the hospital that day.

- Q. And this detective bucket, would that have been Detective Santarossa?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. So you all go out to Sunrise Hospital, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

4

.5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. And what do you do when you first arrive?
- A. The first thing we do is we get to -- we -- I go to the nurse's station or try to make contact with the doctor.

 If that individual's too busy or if they're making rounds, I find out which room the victim is in and I like to go see the victim.

In this case, I did get a chance to see Chance. I forgot which room it was in, but it was all the way to the back and left. And I don't know which number it was, but it was right by the double doors and Chance was sit not guilty there with his mother, Jasmin, and he was very upset. And I believe, Donovine Mathews was standing right outside the door when we first arrived.

- Q. Did you have any contact with Officer Bethard, when you arrived at the hospital?
 - A. Yes, briefly we did. Yes.
- Q. And what is the purpose of that interaction with Officer Bethard?
 - A. To basically get any statements that were -- were

given to him when he responded to see if -- if the victim would make any statements, if the parents made any statements, what he gathered as a preliminary investigation and oftentimes the patrol officer, if they don't know, they may look to us for guidance as far as what type of report they want to list in the incident.

- Q. Now, as far as this specific incident and your conversation with Bethard, without telling me what Officer Bethard said, did you obtain any information from Officer Bethard, that he had spoken with the victim about what had happened?
- A. The victim, he wasn't able to speak with.
 - Q. And in this specific case, did you try to speak with Chance to find out what happened from Chance's perspective?
 - A. I did.

7

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

- Q. How did you engage Chance?
- A. Well, on site from him seeing me, he immediately started to cry. He was he was very upset and scared. His mother immediately told me that he's just scared of other people.
- 21 0. Okay. And were you able to engage Change in any 22 other respects?
 - A. I -- I tried to -- to calm him down with my charm and -- and -- but it really didn't work. He was pretty upset.
 - Q. Okay. So did you ever have a chance to speak -- an

opportunity -- since his name is Chance -- to speak with Chance about what happened?

- A. I had a second opportunity when I -- I saw Chance at a doctor's appointment at UMC. Again, he wasn't very talkative. He was playing and doing his own thing. I tried -- I tried making small talk with him to see if I can engage in conversation, and it -- it wasn't -- it wasn't happening.
- Q. Fair to say you're not going to take a two, almost three-year-old child into a room and force them to try to have a conversation with you?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Now, you indicated Jasmin, the child's mother, was in the room, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And an individual, Donovine Mathews, was outside the
- 16 room?

1

8

10

11

- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you see Donovine Mathews in court?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 Q. Would you please identify him and something that 21 sets him apart from everybody else?
- A. He's sitting at this time in between two female attorneys wearing a white shirt and a blue tie.
- MS. JOBE: For the record, identifying the defendant.

THE COURT: So reflected. 1 BY MS. JOBE: 2 Now, you said there were four of you at Sunrise 3 Q. Hospital, correct? Yes. 5 Α. So as far as the tasks of the investigation are 6 Q. concerned, it was kind of a division of labor? Yes, you can say that. 8 A. And what was everybody's responsibility? Q. The lead detective's responsibility is to take lead 10 with the investigation and direct who they want sitting in the 11 | interview with myself, and who I want to interview, who I 12 choose that -- to interview, and I direct the other two to 13 interview the other people. Or if there are anybody else --14 In this case --0. 15 -- that's my job. Α. 16 Sorry, Officer -- or Detective. In this case, who 17 Q., did you interview? 18 So I interviewed Donovine Mathews with myself and 19 Detective Santarossa, I took him with me. 20 And where did you interview him? 21 O: .

A. It's called a quiet room in Sunrise. It's a small little room, very tiny with a -- a few chairs in it.

22

23

2.4

Q. And if you know, what were Detective Grivas and Sergeant -- the Sergeant doing while you were speaking with

the defendant?

2

3

7

8

25

- A. They were interviewing the mother, Jasmin, in the same room where Chance was.
- Q. Okay. So it sounds like Jasmin and the defendant were kept separate for purposes of their interviews; is that fair?
 - A. That is fair.
- Q. All right. And as far as your interview with the defendant, you said it was in the quiet room, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 0. Was he detained?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Was he free to leave?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did he have to go with you for that interview?
- 16 A. No.
- 0. Did he go willingly to the quiet room?
- 18 A. Yes, he did.
- 19 Q. Did you explain he's free to leave, he's not under 20 arrest --
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- 22 Q. -- all these things? And at the point in time where you're speaking with the defendant, what's the purpose of this 24 interview?
 - A. I was in a fact-finding mode. I was strictly there

to find out what happened to this little boy.

- Q. At that point in time, when you're in fact-finding mode, had you had an opportunity to observe the injuries to Chance?
- A. I did. He -- when I first walked in, he had gauze dressing on both his hands. And they were -- they were trying to change them -- change the -- the -- the gauze. And we did have ID respond, and I'm not quite positive if they responded exactly when we showed up, but I believe it was shortly after.

So I was able to see some of the injuries on Chance with the gauze around it, and when they were taking the bandages off, as hysterical as he was, I was able to see the injuries on -- on his hands.

- Q. Showing you what's been admitted as State's 3, do you recognize the individual in that picture?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Who do you recognize that to be?
- 18 A. That's Chance Jacksper.
- Q. And was -- as far as you know, was this a photograph
 that was taken at Sunrise Hospital on January 5th of 2016?
 - A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Okay. And it appears -- fair to say it appears his hands are wrapped with gauze?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

24

25

Q. Is that what you were discussing about he had gauze

```
And what's a P number?
 1
         0.
              P5297D. That's how we sign our -- for our -- our
 2
         Α.
 3
    personnel number --
               Okay, so --
 4
         Q_{\bullet}
              -- and our initials.
         Α.
 5
              -- every Metro employee has a unique personnel
 6
         Q,
 7
    number assigned to them?
 8
              That's correct.
         Α.
              And that's yours?
 9
         0.
              Yes, it is.
10
         Α.
              All right. And the date is 1/9/17; is that
11
         Ο.
    accurate?
12
              Yes, it is.
13
         Α.
              Okay. And you -- did you sign that after you
14
15.
    listened to the entire recording?
16
         Α.
              Yes.
              Okay. And as far as you listened to this recording,
17
18
   was that the interview we're speaking of that you had with the
   defendant on January 5th of 2016?
19
20
         Α.
              Yes.
              MS. JOBE: I'd move for the admission of State's
21
22
   Proposed 1.
23
              MS. HOLIDAY: No objection.
24
              THE COURT: It's admitted.
                      (State's Exhibit 1 admitted)
25
```

MS. JOBE: And Your Honor, the State moves to 1 2 publish this. THE COURT: You may. ż MS. JOBE: For the record, we've prepared 4 transcripts to hand out to the members of the jury only while 5 it is being played. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Officer Hawkes will hand the 7 transcripts to the members of the jury. 8 MS. JOBE: I'm probably going to need Officer 9 Hawkes' assistance with my computer as well. 10 THE COURT: And do you have a copy for the Court? 11 Well, I'll have it marked. 12 MS. JOBE: May I approach, Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: Sure, Thank you. It will be mashed as 14 next in line, Number 5. 15 THE CLERK: 5. 16 (Pause in the proceedings) 17 MS. JOBE: Thank you, Officer Hawkes. 1.8 (Recorded Interview played). 19 BY MS. JOBE: 20 So Detective DePalma, that interview finishes and 21 22 what happens? He walks out of the room, and I meet up with my 23 Sergeant and Detective Grivas at the nurse's station. Q. While you were still at Sunrise Hospital, did you 25

have the chance to speak with the treating physician, Dr. Olson?

- A. Yes, briefly.
- Q. And I believe, based on your earlier testimony, that's kind of part of your protocol when investigating a child abuse case; is that fair?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And without telling me what Dr. Olson said, your conversation with Dr. Olson, did that kind of influence your next steps in the direction of your investigation?
 - A. In a way, yes.
- Q. Okay. And as far as you know, the report and the fact you were even at the hospital conducting that investigation was a result of a call generated from the hospital; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, after you complete this interview, speak with the doctor, what's the next step of your investigation?
- A. I asked Donovine if he would be willing to do a, what we call a reenactment, going through the motions on video inside the apartment to show exactly how Chance got burned.
 - Q. And did he, in fact, agree to do that?
 - A. Yes, he did.
 - O. Okay. And was that done the same day?
 - A. Yes, it was.

How did he get back to the residence? Q. 1 I drove him. Α., 2 Why did you drive him? 3 Q. He didn't have transportation. I'm not going to let 4 Α. him walk. 5 Fair to say he wasn't under arrest? 6 0. That -- that's correct, he was not under arrest. 7 À. And you went back to the residence because he was 8 Ö. 9 willing to go? Α. Yes. 1.0 All right. And was that 1029 Lisbon Avenue, Ö. 11 Apartment 5, Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada? 12 Yes, it was. 13 Α. How -- when you went -- when the defendant went back O. 14 with you willingly, how did you gain access to the inside of the apartment? 16 By way of key. 17 Α. Was that key from either Donovine or Jasmin? Q. 18 That was from Jasmin. 19 A. And as far as you knew during your investigation, 20 Q. had the apartment been closed and unaccessed from the time 21 they left to go to the hospital that morning until you went back for at that reenactment? 2:3

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC • 303-798-0890

because they were at the hospital the entire time, and there

24

25

Α.

We were under the impression that it was locked

was no other roommates or anyone that had access to that apartment per Jasmin.

- Q. And when you went in with Donovine, did he make any statements or expressions like oh, my gosh, someone's been here or if anything had been amiss?
 - A. No, he did not.
- Q. Now, when you -- before you came to court today, you had the chance to look through the State's Exhibits 1 through 76; is that accurate?
- A. Yes.

.1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

23

24

25

- Q. And those appear to be fair and accurate copies of the photographs in this case, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And some of the photographs are from the residence; is that fair?
 - A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Showing you 32, State's 32. What is that?
 - A. That's the number of the apartment,
- 19 0. So it's the outside --
- 20 A. Placard.
- 21 0. -- the number of the apartment?
- 22 A. Yes, the outside of the apartment.
 - Q. All right. Now, as far as the investigative steps go, you said you went back to the residence to do a reenactment, and a reenactment was done; is that accurate?

Ä.

- Q. And obviously, we have photographs from the residence. Who took the photographs as far as you know?
 - A. Our CSA investigators.

Yes.

- Q. All right. And as far as you know, or as far as you recall, were the photographs by the CSA done before the reenactment?
- A. I believe they were done before the reenactment, yes.
- Q. And is that the practice, to kind of preserve it and make sure nothing's changed when the photographs are taken?
- A. Correct. We -- we don't want to disturb. We want to photograph the scene as it was before we start moving things around and searching the residence or having the opportunity in a reenactment for someone to move something.
- Q. And though you had permission to be at the 1029
 Lisbon Avenue, No. 5 address, did you or someone within your
 unit that you were working with, obtain a search warrant for
 the residence for January 5th, 2016?
- A. Yes, we did obtain a search warrant. It was
 Detective Santarossa that was the author of the search
 warrant, and he got authorization for that telephonic search
 warrant of the residence.
- Q. Now showing you what's been admitted as State's 33. What is that?

- A. That's the view of the kitchen. After you walk in, the door is on the left. As you walk in, you turn left, and that's what you see.
- Q. Okay. And if you could mark for the ladies and the gentlemen of the jury where the door is. If you mark your screen, it will show up. And so do you walk into the kitchen or do you walk into the living?
- A. You actually walk into the living room. It's carpeted where you walk in.
- Q. All right. And do you -- or what was the type of flooring that was in the kitchen?
- A. Linoleum.
 - Q. You a chance to walk on the linoleum, correct?
- A. Yes.

- Q. As far as you could tell, was it a hard surface, a soft surface or something else?
- A. It's somewhat hard.
- Q. And your testimony is that when the pictures were taken, nothing had been disturbed in the apartment; is that fair?
- A. Right there, no, nothing was disturbed.
- Q. Now showing you State's 34. Is that just a close-up of the -- at least a closer photograph of the stove and the counter?
 - A. That's correct.

- And some of these things come up during the reenactment, is that fair? Α. Yes.
 - All right. And we'll get to that. 35, it's the 0. sink, correct?
 - That's correct. Α.
- And is there anything significant about the sink Ο. that came up during your investigation or any contents of the sink?
- Just the black mug on the left part of the two-tier 10 Α. sink or two double sink, double-sided. 11
- If you could please mark for the ladies and the 12 gentlemen of the jury. So that black mug came up? 13
 - That's correct. Α.
 - And what was the significance of that black mug?
- Mr. Mathews stated that that was the mug that he Α. used to start preparing his coffee. 17
- And as we heard in the interview, it was the 0. Okay. 18 boiling of the water and he poured the hot water in a mug; is 191 that correct? .20
- That's correct. 21 Α.
- And so that's the mug he identified he put the water 22
- 2.3 in?

1

3

6

14

15

16

- Yes. 2.4 Α.
- Showing you State's 40. I'm sorry, I forgot I 2.5 0.

zoomed in. Is that just a closer picture of the mug as it was 1 found in the sink? Yes. 3 Α. And then showing you State's 41. At some point, did 4 the CSA take the mug out of the sink to photograph it? Yes, they did. Ά. б And did you ever find out what the -- it looks like 7 Ο. there's some debris on the cup. Is that fair? I asked about that. Yes. And did Mr. Mathews explain to you what that was Q. 10 from? 11 Yes. He said it was a spread that he makes. 12 Α. Okay. And how does he make the spread? 13 0: They usually make a spread with -- with hot water, 14 Α. and they crush up food particles to make a spread. 15 Okay. Showing you State's 42. What is that a 16 Q. photograph of? 17

- A. That's the inside of the mug from top looking down.
- Q. The same mug we've been looking at?
- A. Same mug.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. And in the reenactment video, did -- or at least when you were at the residence, did Donovine tell you where the pot was that he had been boiling the water in?
 - A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. And where was that?

- A. It was located on the stove.
- Q. Okay. Correct me if I'm wrong, Detective, in the interview didn't he say it was located in the sink?
 - A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Okay. But your testimony is at least when you went to the residence, he indicated it was on the stove?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And do you see the pot that he indicated he boiled water in on the stove --
- A. Yes.

:2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

- g. -- in that photo?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Would you please point it out for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury? When you saw the pot on the stove, when you all went in, were there any contents in that pot?
 - A. No, there was not.
 - Q. Showing you State's 45. Is that just a closer picture of the inside of that pot?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Okay. And is it when these photographs were taken, had anything been poured into or dumped out of the pot at this point in time?
 - A. No.
- Q. Showing you State's 46. What is that?
 - A. That's a picture of the counter where he said he

placed the mug.

1

3

5

б

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And did he, in fact, at any point in time, show you where on the counter -- approximately, where on the counter he placed the mug?
 - A. During the reenactment, yes.
 - Q. It would be fair to say it was approximately there?
- 7 A. Exactly.
 - Q. Now, there was a series of photographs of the cupboards in the apartment; is that fair?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Showing you State's 47. That appears to be an overall of the cupboards above the stove; is that fair?
 - A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. And then it looks like individual photographs were taken of the cupboards; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Why was that?
 - A. Just to show -- we normally, when we have a search warrant involving a child, we open up all the cabinets, and the refrigerator, the freezer, to show if there's food in the residence, if -- if there's anything that's -- maybe that can endanger a child, and of course, in this instant -- this incident, we want to see if there was any coffee in the cupboards as well.
 - Q. Now, in Mr. Mathews! interview we just listened to,

he -- did he give you a specific description of the coffee or what the coffee was contained in?

- A. He said it was Folgers or Folgers with a -- he described the color being green, a green cap possibly.
- Q. All right. And showing you State's 53, is that a picture of the cupboard over the sink area?
- A, Yes. That looks like the cupboard to the left of the sink.
 - Q. Okay. And it appears there are some cups or contents in this cupboard; is that fair?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

5

10

11

1.2

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

- Q. And I hate to be so detailed with the questions, but were any of these cups added or removed or disturbed before this picture was taken?
 - A. No.
- Q. And then up here is showing you State's 54, are also photographs taken of the -- 55, items below the stove below the counter; is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. 56. And then you also indicated the freezer, 21 correct, and the fridge?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Showing you 57. And then fair to say there's a series of close-up photos of the contents of the fridge and the freezer; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

9

10

20

- Q. When you were there -- well, let me ask you this, when you first walked into the apartment, when it had just been opened up, did you -- were there any distinct smells that you recall?
 - A No.
- Q. Any smell of a dirty diaper or anything like that near the door?
- A. No.
- Q. And you indicated you have children, correct?
- 11 A. I do.
- Q. So are you familiar with the smell of a dirty diaper?
- A. Unfortunately, I am, and because of this job, I've gone -- go in numerous residences, so I'm familiar with the smell.
- Q. All right. Now, after you get done in the kitchen,
 do you go to a different location in the residence or with the
 CSA to continue taking pictures?
 - A. Yes, the bathroom and the other bedrooms.
- Q. And in the -- showing you State's 67. Is that the bathroom, Detective?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And then fair to say there are other close-ups of the different portions of the bathroom; is that correct?

A. Yes.

1.

2

5

6

7

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

2.5

- Q. Okay. At some point in time, did you -- while you were at the residence, did you locate any of the clothing that Chance was wearing during the events?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - O. Where was that located in the residence?
- A. I located the shirt that he said he took off in the bathroom. It was in the -- in the bedroom. I believe it was Jasmin's bedroom.
- Q. Okay. Let me make sure I understand. You said the shirt that he said he took off?
- A. Donovine said he stripped Chance of all the -- all of his clothes, and he was wearing a black super hero t-shirt.
- Q. Okay. And did the defendant tell you where in the household he stripped Chance of his clothes?
- A. He said the bathroom.
- Q. Okay. And you said that a shirt was found in a le bedroom?
- 19 A. It was found in the -- Jasmin's bedroom.
- 20 Q. Okay. Showing you State's 73. What's in that 21 photo?
- A. That's the t-shirt inside out on top of a plastic three-tier bin.
 - Q. Okay. Is that the condition and the location of the t-shirt where it was found?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Okay. And showing you State's 75, is that
3	essentially the t-shirt was turned inside out to see what it
4.	was?
5.	À. Yes.
6	Q. All right. But was that t-shirt selected because
7	you were directed to it and told that was what Change had been
8	wearing?
9	A. They they said it was a super hero black super
10	hero shirt and when we located it, yes, we assumed that it was
11	that. And then we asked Donovine if that was the shirt and he
12	said yes.
13	Q. Okay. So he confirmed that was the shirt?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Now, during the course of the CSA being present,
1[6]	were measurements taken of the counter?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Okay. Showing you State's 63. Why were
19	measurements taken of the counter?
20	A. We wanted to see how high the counter was in
21	relation to how tall Chance was.
22	Q. Fair to say you still had some additional
23	investigation to do after this day; is that fair?

Did you have a chance to watch the reenactment video

Absolutely.

24

25

Q.

before coming to court today? Yes, I did. Α. 2 And as with the interview we just listened to, when 3 you were done listening to it or watching it, did you have the chance to sign, put your initials and the date? Yes, I did. Α. 6 MS. JOBE: Showing defense counsel State's Proposed 2. May I approach, Your Honor? 8 THE COURT: You may. 9 BY MR. BURTON: 10 Detective, do you recognize State's Proposed 27 Q. 11 Yes, I do. 12 Α. What do you recognize that to be? 13 Q. The reenactment video on a CD with my initials, with 14 A. my P number, personnel number, and the date, 1/9 of '17. 15 And is that your signature and -- or your initials 16 Q. and the date from when you watched this reenactment video? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And when you watched it, was that a fair and 19 accurate copy of the reenactment done with the defendant at 20 1029 Lisbon on January 5th of 2016? 21 Yes, it was. 22 Α. Now, what is the purpose of a reenactment video? 23 0. Basically, to get a -- we often do it to get a 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

better feel of the incident that took place. We hope to get

25

the person that was there witnessing it or the person involved in the incident work at regular speed. And oftentimes we -- we take that video and we show our medical professionals.

Often in broken bones or burns or any kind of co-sleeps where there's some death to a child, we'll do a reenactment just to show the medical professionals what they said happened, and if that explanation would match the injury to that child.

- Q. And so when you're doing a reenactment video with someone, is this still part of the fact-finding investigation?
 - A. Yes.

ġ

1.1

1.6

- Q. And when the reenactment video is done, I guess, what's the process? Do you kind of ask them questions as this goes or do you let them explain it to you and then ask questions? What's the procedure?
- A. Sure, yes, we inform them that they're going to be going on tape. I go on tape. Usually, the lead detective goes on tape. There's a cameraman, he's usually another detective. And we talk into the camera basically giving the date and the time or where we're at and what we're going to do. We explain it so -- so they can -- everybody can understand why we're there and what we're there for basically and -- and if there's any questions that may arise during that reenactment, we can use that as a taped statement, so to speak.

MS. JOBE: I'd move for the admission of State's 1 Proposed 2. 2 MS. KIERNY: No exhibit. 3. THE COURT: It's admitted. 4 (State's Exhibit 2 admitted). 5. MS. KIERNY: I mean, no -- no objection. But Your 6 Honor, may we approach briefly? THE COURT: Sure, 8 (Bench conference begins). ġ MS. KIERNY: I know earlier we indicated we could 10 probably get through the detective's entire testimony, but 11 then we remembered that they had said they wanted to get into 12 some jail calls. We got those jail calls Friday and we 13 unfortunately, have not had a chance to listen to them. 14 So we're probably not going to be able to finish him 15 today or get into the jail calls --16 Oh, we're going to finish him. THE COURT: 17 going to keep going. 18 We haven't had a chance to review those MS. KIERNY: 19 20 jail calls. THE COURT: And you knew this witness would --21 you're not prepared for this witness? 22 MS. KIERNY: Well, we didn't know until today that 23 the jail calls were coming in, that they were going to seek to 24 admit them. We didn't know that he was going to complete his 25

testimony today.

б

1.1

12.

1.5

MS. JOBE: We're not seeking to admit the jail calls. The statement made when we provided the jail calls on Friday was we don't plan on admitting them unless something comes up, and of course, everything that's come up (inaudible) the cross-examination of Jasmin Cathcart.

MS. HOLIDAY: The one last thing I would add is that we requested the jail calls when we filed our original discovery motion. Do I believe that was one of the items that the Court ordered, and they weren't produced to us until Friday. So I would say that they should not be allowed in, also, as the result of late discovery.

THE COURT: Well, it's because we're not prepared to go to trial because you -- I mean, basically, you're telling me you're not prepared to cross this witness? You're tying my hands. What am I supposed to do, say keep going? If you're not prepared to cross this witness, I'm not going to keep going.

MS. HOLIDAY: First, when we announced ready at calendar call, we didn't have any jail calls. The jail calls were provided to us Friday, but the information at that point --

THE COURT: And today's Wednesday.

MS. HOLIDAY: -- is that we weren't going to be using the jail calls. We didn't know that until today.

1	MS. JOBE: Again, Your Honor, I mean, all of this
2	has only come up because of their cross-examination of Jasmin
3	Catheart.
4	MS. HOLIDAY: I would disagree with that.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to proceed with
6	this type of record.
Ż	MS. HOLIDAY: I appreciate that, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: They're not prepared to cross this
9	witness. I'm not going to force them to
10	MS. HOLIDAY: That's
11	THE COURT: proceed.
12	MS. JOBE: But this can I at least play the
13	reenactment video since that's where I'm at and call it good
14	for the night?
1/5	MS. HOLIDAY: We're fine with that.
16	THE COURT: Oh, yeah, you can go and it can start
17	well, I guess, you can't you can't pass the witness. Yeah.
18	MR. BURTON: Right.
19	MS. JOBE: Right.
20	THE COURT: Yeah. Do everything
21	MR. BURTON: But.
22	THE COURT: up to that, year.
23	MS. JOBE: Okay. No problem.
24	THE COURT: Okay,
25	MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

(Bench conference concluded) . 1 MS. JOBE: I apologize. Exhibit 2 was admitted; is 2 that correct? 3 THE COURT: Yeah, there's no objection, right? 4 MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, no objection. 5 THE COURT: It's admitted. 6 MS. JOBE: Thank you. Permission to publish? 7 THE COURT: You may. 8 (State's Exhibit No. 2. Reenactment Video played). 9 BY MS. JOBE: 10 In that reenactment, he filled up that pot; is that Q. 11 correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And do you recall exactly how much water he put in Q. 14that pot? 15 I can't be for certain how many ounces --Α. 16 Sure. Ο. 17 -- but it was -- it was more -- it appeared to be Α. 1.8 more than a cup full. 19 Okay. And in -- showing you State's 45. In the 20 reenactment video he referred to a line on the pot where you 21 could see and that that's how far he filled it up; do you 22 recall that statement? 23 That's what he said, yes. Α. 24 Okay. And do you see that line in this picture? 25 Q.

A. Yes.

2

3

4.

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Can you please mark for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
 - A. (Indicating)
- Q. Okay. Now, your testimony was that this photograph was taken before the reenactment where you would have put water in it and all of that, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In observing the pot and obviously, you had a better chance to do this at the residence, was there anything that you noticed about the contents of the pot or what we can see in the pot in this picture in State's 45?
- A. Just that it appeared that it was bone dry. There was no water in it.
- Q. Okay. And as far as were you able to determine or at least see what this different colored stuff is on the bottom of the pot?
- A. Yeah. It looked like some sort of stains. I wasn't sure if it was, excuse me, food stains or if it was stains inside the -- the metal.
- Q. Now, when the defendant was doing the reenactment video, it appears he was looking for said coffee, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And I believe, and correct me if I'm wrong,

 Detective, in his statement at Sunrise Hospital, he described

it as having a green cap; is that fair? .Ż Α. Yes. Now, he appeared to go through multiple cupboards 3 Q. when looking for the coffee; is that fair? Yes. 5 Α. Did you ever stop him from looking for this coffee? 7 Α. No. Did you ever tell him, hey, time's up, you only have 8 Q. 50 seconds to find the coffee? No, I did not. 10 And even after the reenactment video was done, could 11 he have gone back and looked for this coffee? 12 13. Α. Absolutely. And it appears from the video, he looked through a 14 number of the cupboards; is that fair? Yes. Α. 16 Okay. And then -- sorry, one second. Let me find 17 the picture. And more specifically -- well, which cupboard is 1.8 this, Detective? 19 That looks like the cupboard just to the left of the 20 21 stove. So would that have been above the counter where he 22 said he put the mug? 23 Correct. 24 Α.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

Ο.

Okay. And in the video, correct me if I'm wrong,

but he came back to this cupboard a couple of times; is that fair?

- A. Yes, he did.
- Q. And it looks like there's some Pop Tarts and stuff on the bottom of this photograph?
 - A. Yes.

1

Ż

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5.

1.8

19

22

23

24

25

- Q. Do you know, did he have a chance to pull those things back in a way and look at the contents in that cupboard?
- A. I heard him going through some of the items. I was behind him, and he was rather large, so he did block the the cabinet. So I don't know exactly what items he touched inside there, but he was going through some items with his hands.
 - O. All right.
- MS. JOBE: Your Honor, I'm about to switch topics.
- 17 I don't know if the Court wants me to continue.
 - THE COURT: Do you have more you can -- I mean; I thought we would go all the way up until --
- 20 MS. JOBE: Sure.
- 21 BY MS. JOBE:
 - Q. All right. Now, after you do the reenactment well, let me tell you this. Did you have the opportunity to make some observations while you were at the apartment doing the photos and doing the reenactment video?

A. Sure.

1

2

5

7

8

9

10:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And as far as your observations go, did you have a chance to see if there were any tables or step stools or something that a kid could climb up on?
- A. Yes, I -- I looked around to see if there was any step stools, any kitchen tables.
 - O. Were there?
 - A. They were -- they were none.
- Q. After the reenactment video was done, did you and your fellow detectives have an opportunity to look through the trash a bit and look through the contents of the residence?
- A. I was looking for that -- I was curious about that -- the missing handle to the -- to the mug.
 - Q. Why were you curious about that?
- A. Well, if -- if he had the coffee mug up there, and he wasn't sure if it was broken, he didn't say anything about --

MS. HOLIDAY: Objection. Speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled. You may continue.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE COURT: You may continue.

THE WITNESS: So, I was curious to see if the broken handle had — maybe it was thrown away or it fell under a counter or the stove or something like that or if it was in the sink for that matter. So, I did look through the trash,

yes, and I looked through the sink.

BY MS. JOBE:

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

2:3

24

25

- Q. Did you have ever find --
- A. Or in the sink.
- Q. Did you ever find a -- the handle that had broken off?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. All right. And as far as you know from your investigation, do you even know if that handle broke off that day or if it was already broken off?
 - A. I wouldn't be able to tell.
- Q. Sure. And now when you were looking around the residence, did you see any bathtubs out that looked like they had been used or anything like that laying about the residence?
- A. There was one bath towel that I saw, and it was in the -- the bathroom and that truck was on top of that bath towel.
- Q. Okay. And as far as the reenactment video is concerned, did the defendant make any statements as to whether or not that truck and bath towel over there prior to you -- showing you State's 70. Do you make any statements as if that truck and bath towel were already there and had been there throughout the day?
 - A. Yes, I asked him specifically in that interview if

that truck was there when Chance received his burns, and he said yes, it was there.

- Q. Now, after you concluded your investigation at the residence, did you secure the residence and leave with your fellow detectives?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. And did you complete any additional investigation in this matter?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What additional investigation did you do?
- A. I got the water temps of the faucets, both faucets in the residence.
 - Q. What was the purpose of that?
- A. We wanted to see how hot the -- the temperatures got on both faucets, the hottest it could get by just running the hot water.
- Q. Okay. And did you get a search warrant? Did you consent to search or something else?
- A. No, I did not. I got consent from Jasmin to go back into the residence at a later date.
 - Q. Showing you State's 76. Do you recognize that?
- A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay. And what is that?
- A. That's a consent to search form where Jasmin signed it, I witnessed it, and it was dated on the 8th of January.

- Q. Okay. And this is your signature and your P number?
 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. All right. And did you go in on the 8th or did you go on a different day?
 - A. I went on a different day.
 - Q. Why did you have to go on a different day?
 - A. Jasmin didn't want to go back to the apartment with me, and she asked if I can have her father go.
 - Q. Did you make those arrangements to meet her father?
 - A. I did.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2:1

22

23

24

25

- Q. All right. And when you went back to the apartment, if you recall, Detective, was there much that was different about that apartment?
- A. Not really, no.
- Q. All right. And when you went with her father to test the water temperatures, did you test the water temperatures or did you call someone out?
- A. Our CSAs went out there with the temperatures and -- and they did their own report.
 - Q. Okay. And was that a Ms. Robbie Dahn?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And while they were doing the testing of the temperature of the water, did you have the opportunity to time how long it took for the water to heat up?
 - A. Yes, I did.

- Q. And do you recall how long it took for the water coming out of the kitchen sink to heat up?

 A. To be exact, I'd have to refer back to the -- my
- report. It was a couple minutes, I believe, two minutes.

 Q. Okay. And do you recall how long it took for the
- Q. Okay. And do you recall how long it took for the bath water to heat up out of the tub?
- A. Again, to be exact, I'd have to refer to my report, but I believe it was five minutes we ran that temperature, just the hot for five minutes.

MS, JOBE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

12 BY MS. JOBE:

.1

5

7

- Q. First and foremost, do you recognize that,
- 14 Detective?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What do you recognize that to be?
- 17 A. My arrest report.
- 18 Q. Okay. If you would review that for purposes of the 19 question pending about the faucets.
- 20 A. Yes, I --
- 21 Q. Does that --
- 22 A. -- I was correct.
- Q. So does that refresh your memory?
- 24 A. Yes, it does.
- 25 Q. You said you were correct about, approximately, two

minutes for the kitchen sink to heat up and five minutes for the bathtub; is that correct?

A. Correct.

1

3

4

5

б

 $\cdot 7$

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Now, we've learned that the sink in the bathroom was not -- the water temperature in the sink in the bathroom was not tested; that is correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Why not?
- A. He stated that the child went into the bathroom, and there was water when we got there in the bathtub, so we knew that -- or we -- we believed that he was in the bathtub at one point. So we tested that water coming out of the faucet --
 - Q. All right.
- A. -- as he was -- because he was supposedly in the bathtub.
- Q. Did you take any -- well, let me ask you this; when you were at the residence with Jasmin's dad, did you become aware of him doing anything with the contents of the residence or the contents of the kitchen?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And what did you learn?
- A. He was going to take some food back with him to his residence where Jasmin was staying.
- Q. All right. Now, as far as your investigation goes, fair to say you did even more follow-up; is that correct?

Α., Yes. 1 And what additional follow-up did you do? Ò. 2. I contacted a specialist that deals in burns, a burn 3 Α. specialist. 4 Is that Phylip Peltier? 5 Q. That's correct. Α. б And how did you contact him? Q. 7 I contacted him via e-mail initially and asked to 8 Α. review a case of mine. 9 Did he agree? Q. 10 Yes. 11 Α, All right. And without telling me what was Q . 12 discussed, why did you reach out to Mr. Peltier? 13 I was in several conferences here in Las Vegas where 14 Mr. Peltier, who's known as the "blue dye guy", he comes out 15 here and he does his burn classes. And he's considered -- I 16 heard he was an expert in burns. And I reached out to him 1.7 because in order to -- in order to make a -- a proper decision 18 on going forward if it's going to go criminal or an accidental 19 case, I wanted to be a hundred percent confident in my 20 feelings on how -- what I thought happened. I wanted to back 21 it up by -- by an expert and that's why I contacted him. 22. Did you tell Mr. Peltier, hey, I need this result, 23. this is what I want to do? 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

Α.

No.

- 1 Q. All right.
- A. In fact, he -- he told me not to ask him about the case or not to tell him about the case --
- 4 Q. So --
- 5 A. -- initially.
- Q. -- just provide pictures, πο details?
- 7 A. Correct.
 - Q. Did you also consult Dr. Sandra Cetl?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Why did you do that?
- A. We have a working relationship, our unit, with
- 12 Dr. Cetl. She is our pediatric intensivist here in Clark
- 13 County. So she works on all the child abuse cases here in Las
- 14 | Vegas.

8

- 15 Q. Have you ever consulted with Dr. Cetl and she's told
- 16 you, no, I just -- it's accidental, this isn't abusive?
- 17 A. Numerous times, yes.
- 18 Q. And so you consulted with her on this case, correct?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. And do you -- I believe early on in your testimony
- 21 you mentioned going to UMC and following up there as well; is
- 22 that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 Q. And what was the purpose of following up at UMC?
- 25 A. I -- I had heard there were additional pictures out

there, and Mr. Peltier wanted some pictures that were not just of that day. He wanted the healing pictures as well. So I sought out to get some pictures in the healing process of Chance.

- Q. Now, after you did all those steps, did you eventually book the defendant on a charge related to this case?
 - A. Yes.

б

1.6

1.8

THE COURT: Are you're done? Okay.

MS. JOBE: Up to this point, yes.

THE COURT: All right. At this time, we're going to stop for the evening. During this recess, you're admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read, watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with this trial by any medium of information, including without limitation, newspapers, television, the Internet or radio. Or form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you.

We'll be in recess until tomorrow morning at 10:30 thank you very much. You are excused:

THE MARSHAL: You'll rise for the exiting jurors, please.

THE COURT: Detective, if you'll just stay a minute

```
so I can --
 1
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
 2
              THE COURT: -- determine when we --
 3
              THE WITNESS: Wait until everybody leaves, okay.
 4
              THE COURT: -- want you to come back.
 .5
              THE WITNESS: Okay.
 б
              THE COURT: Because we're probably going to have to
 7
   split your testimony up. Sorry. I wanted to get done
 8
 9
   tonight.
                                        I know.
                                                  I understand.
                            It happens.
              THE WITNESS:
10
                   (Outside the presence of the jury).
11
              THE COURT: What time do you want the detective back
12
   tomorrow, because I know we have the doctor and I don't want
13
   to -- I just don't want to have him --
14
              MS. JOBE: Absolutely.
15
              THE COURT: -- here all day --
1.6
              MS. JOBE:
                         I appreciate --
17
              THE COURT: -- tomorrow.
18
              MS. JOBE: Your Honor, the State's going to ask
19
   based on the estimate by Ms. Holiday of her cross-examination
2.0
   of Dr. Cetl, we're going to ask the detective to come back at
21
22
   1:30.
              THE COURT: Okay. Is that good?
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
24
              MS. JOBE: And if that changes, if we're running way
25
```

```
ahead or I'll be in touch with him.
              THE COURT: Sure. Okay, Thank you.
 2
              THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
 3
              THE MARSHAL: Thank you, everyone.
                                                   See you
 4
 5
    tomorrow.
              THE COURT: See you tomorrow, Hawkes.
 6
              THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am.
 7
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
                    (Pause in the proceedings)
 9
            (Off the record at 5:31 p.m. until 5:31 p.m.)
10
                  (Outside the presence of the jury).
11
                       (Pause in the proceedings)
12
              THE COURT: Did you tell them specifically which
1.3
   phone calls so that they know which phone calls that they need
14
    to review?
15
              MS. JOBE: I think. We can.
16
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
17
              MS. JOBE: Yeah.
18
              MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, we have one final
19
20
   matter.
              MS. KIERNY: I think Michelle had something first.
21
              MS. HOLIDAY: Oh, I'm sorry.
22
              THE COURT: We're just -- we're just --
23
              MS. KIERNY: We're waiting for the record.
24
              THE COURT: The thing is still spinning.
25
```

THE COURT RECORDER: Yeah, we're waiting, One 1 It should come back on. 2 second. MS. JOBE: I am so sorry. I should have been more-3 (Pause in the proceedings) 4 The record will reflect the hearing is THE COURT: 5 taking place outside the presence of the jury panel. Go ahead. MS, JOBE: No, Your Honor, while we were listening :8 to Mr. Mathews' recorded statement from Sunrise Hospital, I 9 was trying to discretely have communications with --10 THE COURT: Oh, wait, what happened to Mr. Mathews? 11 MS. JOBE: He's gone. 12 THE COURT: Oh, my gosh. 13 MS. HOLIDAY: Oh, yeah, they took him. 1.4 THE COURT: Are you okay? 1.5 MS. KIERNY: We can -- is this regarding --16 I didn't even realize --THE COURT: 17 MS. KIERNY: -- the CPS --18 THE COURT: -- that he had gotten out of the 19 20 courtroom. MS. KIERNY: And then ours is just regarding 21 clerical. I think we can waive his presence for this. 22 MS. HOLIDAY: Yeah, that's fine. 23 MS. KIERNY: Are you okay with that? Okay. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25

1	MS. KIERNY: Yeah.
2	MS. JOBE: I received minimal notes from Ms. Dohrman
3	(phonetic), and she reviewed the notes that they had so I'm
4	going to forward those to the Court before I go home tonight.
5	It will be as soon as I can get back to my office.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MS. JOBE: (Inaudible) I believe it might be ten
8	pages at best. It's probably more like five or six, and
9	not
1.0	THE COURT: Okay.
11	MS. JOBE: a whole lot of information is my
12	understanding. We're going to
13	THE COURT: Will you make sure it goes to Pam?
14	MS. JOBE: Yes, ma'am.
1,5	THE COURT: Okay.
16	MS. JOBE: Your Honor, sorry.
.17	THE COURT: Thank you. That's okay,
18	MS. JOBE: Secondly, it appears they still have the
19	transcripts from the defendant's interview so we're asking to
.20	have those collected
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MS. JOBE: so they don't keep them and refer to
23	them.
24	THE COURT: Sure. Thank you for reminding me.
.2:5	Anything else?

```
MS. JOBE: Not from the State.
 1
              MS. HOLIDAY: Just one quick thing. As Your Honor
 2
    knows, earlier we requested a stay so we could file an
 3
    extraordinary writ to the Supreme Court. We'd like to just
 4.
    request a transcript -- an expedited transcript from today so
 5
    that we have that to make the record, if we have an order
 6
 7
    prepared, if the Court --
 8
              THE COURT: Sure.
 9
              MS. HOLIDAY: -- would be interested in signing it.
              THE COURT: How fast -- how expedited do you want
10
11
    it?
              MS. HOLIDAY: I don't know, I guess --
12
              THE COURT: Well, I'm just --
13
              MS. HOLIDAY: -- as quickly as possible.
14
              THE COURT RECORDER: What does that mean?
15
              MS. HOLIDAY: Obviously, we'd prefer to file our
16
    writ first thing in the morning. I assume that's not going to
17
18
    be possible.
19
              THE COURT: You'd better prepare for
20
    cross-examination.
21
              MS. HOLIDAY: We certainly will.
22
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. HOLIDAY: Absolutely, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: So somebody else is doing the writ
24
    tonight. Who owes doing cross?
25
```

```
MS. KIERNY: I can do cross.
 1
              THE COURT: Okay.
 2
              MS. KIERNY: I can do that.
 3
              THE COURT: You've got to listen to those phone
 4
 5
    calls.
              MS. KIERNY: She can prepare the writ so I can --
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 7
              MS. KIERNY: -- listen to those phone calls.
 8
              MS. HOLIDAY: That's it.
 9
              THE COURT: I mean, because, you know Kris has to be
1.0
              I'm happy to sign this so you can get your
11
    transcript whenever you want, but I don't know if it will be
12
13
    tomorrow.
              MS. HOLIDAY: That's okay. I mean, I guess, as soon
14.
    as possible. I don't know if there's a process by which
15
    somebody else prepares it if she's still in trial. I have no
16
    idea how it works.
17
                         It would be -- that would be nice.
              THE COURT:
18
              THE COURT RECORDER: It depends on how fast you need
19
20.
    i.t.,
              MS. HOLIDAY: Well --
21
              THE COURT: See, and it just says expedited, that's
22
23
    why I asked.
              MS. KIERNY: The thing is we need to obviously have
24
    that to the Supreme Court as soon as possible because once the
25
```

```
jury deliberates, returns a verdict, a writ of -- you know,
 1
   the writ is no longer useful, valid. You know, they'll just
 2
   decline it for that. So I don't know if we anticipate closing
 3
   tomorrow and settling instructions and discharging the jury.
   If that's the case, then we need it by the morning to attach
   to our motion that we're writing tonight.
 6
             THE COURT: There's no way.
 7
             MS. KIERNY: If we anticipate --
 8
              THE COURT: I'm not -- I'm not keeping the court
 9
   reporter here all night to --
10
             MS. KIERNY: Shoe, I understand. And I understand
11
12
   that.
             THE COURT:
                        Sorry.
13
             MS. KIERNY: I know there's -- I -- I don't want --
14
              THE COURT: I'll give you a CD. I'll give you a CD
15
16
   of the hearing.
             MS. KIERNY: Is that possible? Well -- Mr. Burton,
17
   I know you have more experience with the Supreme Court. Will
18
    they accept a CD, do you think?
19
              THE COURT: Oh, okay. No, the Supreme Court's not
20
    going to accept that.
21
                           I didn't think so.
              MS. KIERNY:
22
              THE COURT: Yeah.
23
              MS. KIERNY: Well, I guess, that --
24
                          No, they're not.
              THE COURT:
2.5
```

```
MS. KIERNY: -- at least we could make reference to
 1
    -- we can't really make reference to something in the CD.
 2
              MS. HOLIDAY: I don't each know how you do this.
 3
              MS. KIERNY: Yeah. This is new to us. As soon as
 4
    possible, I guess, would be our request. So --
 5
 6
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. KIERNY: -- if that's morning or if that's by
 7
    noon or, you know, just as soon as possible. As soon as --
 8
              THE COURT: It's Probably not going to be tomorrow,
 9
10
    I'm telling you.
              MS. KIERNY: There's no way tomorrow.
11
              THE COURT: I mean, because my court recorder has to
12
   be in here with me.
13
              MS. KIERNY: It sounds like she --
14
              THE COURT: So we'll make a request for somebody
15
16
    else --
17
              MS. KIERNY: Okay.
              THE COURT: -- to transcribe it.
18
              MS. KIERNY: That would be great. That would be
19
           And I know there's like a rough draft versus a final
20
21
   draft.
              THE COURT: Sure.
22
              MS. KIERNY: And a rough draft is absolutely fine,
2.3
   whatever we can get. I -- I know there's a distinction there,
24
25
   but --
```

```
THE COURT: Okay.
 1
              THE COURT RECORDER: And how -- what exactly, how
 2
 3
   long is it going to --
              MS. KIERNY: Right.
 4
              THE COURT RECORDER: -- depend, too, how long it's
 5
    going to be.
 .6
              MS. KIERNY: What is -- what is it that we need?
 7
              THE COURT: She's -- and it said the proceedings for
 8
    today. It's an entire -- it's an entire day.
              MS. HOLIDAY: To make our argument, I think the ones
10
   we really need are just the experts because that's, you know,
11
   where the focus of our argument would be is what the experts
12
    testified to. So if it helps to limit to just having, you
13
    know, the expert testimony, I think that would be fine.
1.4
              THE COURT RECORDER: That's up to you, Your Honor,
15
   you know. I mean if they're wanting a daily for like hours
16
17
   of --
              THE COURT: Well, no, I told them there's no way
18
   it's going to be done tomorrow.
19
              THE COURT RECORDER: Yeah. I mean, I will do it,
20
   you know. I'll have --
21
              THE COURT: No, I'm not going to require someone to
2.2
23
   stay here at --
             THE COURT RECORDER: No, no, no, no.
24
              THE COURT: -- all night and --
25
```

```
THE COURT RECORDER: I know that.
 1
              THE COURT: -- transcribe.
 2
              THE COURT RECORDER: I'm just saying whatever you
 3
   want me to ask Lara to have them do, I will do, you know.
 4
              THE COURT: Okay. I can ask.
 5
              MS HOLIDAY: Okay.
 6
              THE COURT: I can ask for it to be done tomorrow.
 7
   just don't know if that will happen.
 8
             MS. HOLIDAY: That's okay. As I said, we're just
 -0)
   cool with as soon as possible.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MS. HOLIDAY: So we'd prefer, obviously --
12
              THE COURT: But you only want the testimony of the
13
   two experts today?
14
              MS. HOLIDAY: I think that would be enough to at
1.5
    least draft our --
16
              MS. KIERNY: Well, I mean, we probably need our
17
   record -- the record that we made regarding that, and we're
18
   going to need our hearing, too.
19
              MS. HOLIDAY: From yesterday?
20.
              THE COURT RECORDER: Can we go off the record or?
21
             MS. KIERNY: Oh, yeah, that's fine.
22
              THE COURT: I don't care.
.23
             (Court recessed at 5:37 P.M., until Thursday,
24
                   January 12, 2017, at 10:53 A.M.)
25
```

3 4

2.2 2.3

* * * * *

ATTEST: I hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the audio/visual proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.

Julie Hond

JULIE LORD, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER

CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

CASE NO. C-16-313047-1

Plaintiff,

DEPT. NO. XII

WS.

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DONOVINE MATHEWS,

Defendant.

.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MICHELLE LEAVITT, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 4

THURSDAY, JANUARY 12, 2017

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

CHRISTOPHER F. BURTON, ESQ.

MICHELLE Y. JOBE, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

KRISTY S. HOLIDAY, ESQ. CARLI L. KIERNY, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

COURT RECORDER:

TRANSCRIPTION BY:

KRISTINE SANTI District Court VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

Englewood, CO 80110 (303) 798-0890

Proceedings recorded by audio-visual recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

INDEX

STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. BURTON	.•	157
DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MS. HOLIDAY		180
STATE'S REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MS. JOBE		199
WITNESSES		
STATE'S WITNESSES:		
DR. ELIS OLSON Direct examination by Ms. Jobe	•	. 42
PHILIP DePALMA Direct examination by Ms. Jobe (resumed) Cross-examination by Ms. Kierny Redirect examination by Ms. Jobe		. ენ
DR. SANDRA CETL Cross-examination by Ms. Holiday (resumed). Redirect examination by Mr. Burton	•	123 131 133
ROBBIE DAHN		129

EXHIBITS

(No exhibits admitted)

	3:
1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, JANUARY 12, 2017, 10:53 A.M.
2	(Outside the presence of the jury)
3	(Pause in the proceedings)
4	THE MARSHAL: Please come to order. Court is now
5	in session.
6	THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that the
7	hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury
8	panel. The defendant is present with his attorneys.
9	MS. JOBE: Your Honor, before we get started, I
10	believe, any proposed witnesses need to be outside of the
11	courtroom.
1,2	THE COURT: Okay. Are there any witnesses in the
13	courtroom?
14	MS. JOBE: And
15	MS. HOLIDAY: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Morning.
17	MS. HOLIDAY: So we just have a quick issue we want
18	to talk about. You may have noticed my mom sitting in the
19	courtroom throughout the trial. She's not here right now.
2.0	THE COURT: Let's see, I have where's your mom?
21	MS. HOLIDAY: But anyway
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	MS. HOLIDAY: She's outside right now
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MS. HOLIDAY: but she's been sitting here

throughout the trial.

Ž.

3.

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

2.2

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: She's here from out of state visiting and to watch the trial and to help me with my son. Anyway, this morning she was waiting outside the courtroom to come in and --

THE COURT: Oh, no.

MS. HOLIDAY: -- and there were a couple of other witnesses outside the courtroom also, I believe, Dr. Olson and Detective DePalma.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: I think she overheard them talking to each other. She obviously, can't remember exact wording, but it sounded to her like maybe Dr. Olson was asking about why did the defense ask the female doctor if -- why she didn't treat the -- something like that.

THE COURT: Like what, something like what?

MS. HOLIDAY: Something --

THE COURT: You have to tell me something.

MS. HOLIDAY: -- why didn't she treat the -- why didn't the defense attorney -- or why did the defense attorney say the female doctor didn't treat the child or something like that. Obviously, this would be a concern to the defense because we, certainly in the middle of trial, don't want two witnesses discussing testimony or certainly

not discussing --1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 MS. HOLIDAY: -- prior -- somebody else's testimony 3 that's already happened when the exclusionary rule is 4 invoked. 5 I certainly, at this point, don't want to accuse 6 two professionals of having an improper conversation. 7 perhaps the Court would entertain a very brief hearing to find out what was actually said. THE COURT: Okay. 10 To say that Dr. Olson doesn't want to be MS. JOBE: 11 here might be one of the greatest understatements of 2016 and 12 2017 combined. In the State's attempts to -- Dr. Olson is 13 the treating physician --14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MS. JOBE: -- the first physician with eyes and 16 hands --17 THE COURT: Sure. 18 MS. JOBE: -- on the child. The Sunrise records 19 that the State received only makes reference to Dr. Olson. 20 Doctor Olson filled out that SCAN report that Dr. Cetl has 21 testified about, the -- not the contents of this case 22

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

And since we're outside the presence of the jury,

specifically, but what is SCAN report is when Mr. Burton was

23

24

25

asking her questions.

in that SCAN report, Dr. Olson checks off definite abuse and his signature is the one on the page, which is why the State has brought him in. He has contended that Dr. Cetl somehow had something to do with the child's visit at Sunrise pediatrics. I've specifically asked him, I'm like she's not mentioned in any of this child's records. She's not mentioned — she hasn't done a consult report. She hasn't done anything. We need you to come in because you are the physician whose name is on this report.

I appreciate that Dr. Cetl was consulted about this
case.

THE COURT: I was wondering why he has to be here if Dr. Cetl testifies.

2.4

25.

MS. JOBE: Very angry. And so it's State's understanding that the detectives may have challenged Dr. Olson considering this burn to be an emersion burn because that's what's checked off in the SCAN report, based on the detective's training and experience. And that challenge, and them going to Dr. Cetl for information, is apparently a hot topic or at least a hot source of contention. Dr. --

THE COURT: Oh, so Dr. Olson is irritated that the detectives got a consult from Cetl?

MS. JOBE: Yes, that's State's understanding. And Dr. Olson --

```
THE COURT: Who cares?
 1
              MS. JOBE: If I may. I apologize.
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
 3
              MS. JOBE: He came in here -- I've never met the
 4
   man in person. I've talked to him on the phone. He came in
 5
   here very hostile to me, and told me what he's going to be
 6
    testifying to, and he's going to be testifying that Dr. Cetl
 7
    saw this child and whatever. So that is what has transpired
 8
    this morning.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay.
                                 So he --
10
                        He also --
              MS. JOBE:
11
              THE COURT: -- he's going to testify to something
12
    that he doesn't know?
13
              MS. JOBE: Well --
14
              MS. KIERNY: I would ask to strike Dr. Olson --
15
              MS JOBE: No.
16
              MS. KIERNY: -- at this point.
17
              MS. JOBE: No. What he --
18
              THE COURT: He's not going to testify to anything
19
20
    that you don't ask him.
              MS. JOBE: Sure.
21
              THE COURT: I can tell you that or that the defense
22
    doesn't ask him.
2:3
              MS. JOBE: And I'm not asking leading questions.
24
    I'm relying on the information --
25
```

THE COURT: Sure.

1.2

1.3

MS. JOBE: -- in the medical records that have his name and his signature on these documents. As far as the exchange in the hallway, we heard about it so we pulled DePalma in without Dr. Olson, what happened.

THE COURT: Okay.

Dr. Olson essentially came up to him in much the same manner that Dr. Olson approached us, and Dr. Olson was challenging Detective DePalma, because Detective DePalma was the one at the hospital, and isn't it true that this, that or the other? And Detective DePalma knows not to engage, knows not to talk about statements or his testimony. I don't know exactly what words were spoken, but Detective Olson (sic) said something to the effect of, you had Dr. Cetl, whatever, and that's what I'm going to testify to. And the detective was like, testify to whatever you want to testify to.

THE COURT: Okay. So what is the defense concerned about? That Dr. Olson is talking to the detective?

MS. HOLIDAY: Partially.

THE COURT: Okay, do you want --

MS. HOLIDAY: Now explaining to the detective who hasn't finished testifying what Dr. Olson's going to testify to. But also --

THE COURT: He doesn't have any idea what he's

going to testify to because he hasn't been called as a witness.

.9

MS. HOLIDAY: Well, it sounds like he does. But,

THE COURT: I'll be happy to tell him.

MS. HOLIDAY: -- more concerning is we're just -we want to know where Dr. Olson got the information about
what Dr. Cetl testified to or maybe he doesn't know what
Dr. Cetl testified to, and that's just what it sounded like
is --

THE COURT: You want me to bring Dr. Olson in and find out what the conversation was about and tell him stop talking to other witnesses?

MS. JOBE: To be clear, I have specifically told Dr. Olson that -- I have not told Dr. Olson anything about what Cetl testified to. He and I had a discussion prior to trial even starting about Dr. Cetl's role in this case, and I said she will also be called to testify as an abuse expert.

when he came in here this morning and very upset, he said something like, to the effect of whether Cetl's testifying or not. I said, she's also testifying, but you need to be here because you are the first physician. That's the extent of the conversation.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm happy to bring Dr. Olson in. Officer Hawkes, can you get Dr. Olson?

THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am. 1 THE COURT: What's Dr. Olson's full name? 2 MS. JOBE: That I'm actually not sure of. 3 THE COURT: And we have the other doctor out that 4 we're supposed to have on at 10:30? 5 MS. JOBE: She is not. She had a medical procedure 6 this morning so I've had her come back after lunch. 7 Detective DePalma's outside. Dr. Cetl has not been here this 8 morning. (Dr. Olson is present) 10 THE COURT: Okay. If you'll just stand right there 11 at the podium. And if you'll just state your true and full 12 name for the record. 13 DR. OLSON: Elis Olson. 14 THE COURT: Okay. And it's my understanding that 15 you have been called here, even subpoensed here today by the 16 State of Nevada, correct? 17 DR. OLSON: Yes. 18 THE COURT: And when you came up, did you have a --19 when you came up to the courtroom, did you have a 20 conversation outside of the courtroom with one of the 21 detectives? 22 DR. OLSON: Yes, sure did. 23 THE COURT: Can you tell me the substance of that 24 25 conversation?

```
DR. OLSON: I asked him if he was aware that
 1
    Dr. Cetl had seen this child in the Emergency Room, and he
 2
    said yes.
 3
                          He was aware that Dr. Cetl saw this
              THE COURT:
 4.
    child in the Emergency Room?
 5
              DR. OLSON:
                          Yes.
 6
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
 7
              DR. OLSON: And I asked him, I said, well, do you
 8
    remember that you disputed my findings on the case, and he
 9.
    said, no. And I said, well, that's why you asked Dr. Cetl to
10
    come to the Emergency Room to see the kid.
11
              THE COURT: Okay. And that was the substance?
12
    you think that that has transpired? You think --
13
              DR. OLSON: What's transpired?
14
              THE COURT: -- that -- you think that Dr. Cetl came
15:
    to the ER and examined this child?
16
                          Yes.
              DR. OLSON:
17
                         Did you see that?
              THE COURT:
18
              DR. OLSON:
                          Yep.
19
                           You saw her come to the ER and examine
              THE COURT:
20
    this child?
21
                          Um-h m.
              DR. OLSON:
22
                          With your eyes?
              THE COURT:
23
                           I saw her in the Emergency Room.
              DR. OLSON:
24
                           Did you see her examining this child?
              THE COURT:
25
```

1	DR. OLSON: No, I didn't go into the room and see
2	her in the room.
-3	THE COURT: Okay. So why do you think that she
4	examined this child?
5	DR. OLSON: Because she told the detective that she
6	agreed that these were child abuse burns.
7	THE COURT: How do you - I'm just wondering how do
8	you know all this?
9	DR. OLSON: Because I was there. I overheard it.
10	THE COURT: Okay. So you heard her talking to the
11	detective on the day this child was in there?
12	DR. OLSON: Yes.
13	THE COURT: And you observed her treating this
14	child?
15.	DR. OLSON: I observed her making that statement.
16	I did not see her going into the room.
17	THE COURT: Making what statement?
1.8	DR. OLSON: That she agreed that these were child
19	abuse burns.
20.	THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that you talked
21	ta
22	DR. OLSON: That's it.
23	THE COURT: Okay. I just want to inform the
24.	exclusionary rule has been invoked, and therefore, the
25	witnesses that have testified the detective is not done

testifying --1 DR. OLSON: Okay. 2 THE COURT: -- so the people that have testified 3 and the people that are still to testify are not permitted to .4 have any discussions with anyone --5 DR. OLSON: Um-h'm. 6 THE COURT: -- about the testimony that they will 7 give, about the facts and circumstances of this case with 8 anyone other than the attorneys that subpoensed you here today. It's my understanding you had a conversation with the 1.0 State. They subpoenaed you here today. That's appropriate. 11 It's just not appropriate to talk about the case with other 12 13 witnesses. And I'm -- you've been called to testify before, 14 right? 15 DR. OLSON: Yes. 16 THE COURT: Okay Do you understand the 17 exclusionary rule? 18 DR. OLSON: No. 19 THE COURT: Okay. That's why I'm just informing 20 I'm not sure if anyone told you. It has been invoked, 21 so you're not permitted to have any communications with 22 anyone except the attorney that subpoensed you here today. 23 So you can't have any communication with the detective about 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

the facts and circumstances in this case or any of the

25

```
testimony that has been --
 1
              DR. OLSON:
                          Sure.
 2
              THE COURT: -- had in this matter. Do you have any
 3
   questions?
 4
              DR. OLSON:
                         Nope.
 5
              THE COURT: Does either side have any questions
 6
   before I allow the doctor to step out?
 Ż
              MS. HÖLIDAY: Court's brief indulgence.
 8
              THE COURT: Sure.
 9
              MS. JOBE: State does not.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's previous indulgence. I do
12
   have just a couple questions, Doctor?
13
              THE COURT: You can direct it to the Court.
14
    is it that you want to know?
15
              MS. HOLIDAY: Sure. I would like to ask Dr. Olson
1.6
    if he has heard any information about Dr. Cetl's testimony in
17
    this case so far?
18
              THE COURT: Okay. Have you heard any -- has anyone
19
   told you about what Dr. Cetl has testified to?
20
              DR. OLSON:
                         Yes.
21
              THE COURT:
                         Who?
22
              DR. OLSON: The attorney.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. The State -- you've had
24
   conversations with the attorney?
25
```

```
DR. OLSON:
                          Yes.
 1
              THE COURT: Before trial?
 2
              DR. OLSON: Yep.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. So before trial?
 4
              DR. OLSON: Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: So you don't know what -- has anyone
 6
   called you after the doctor testified to tell you what she
 7
    testified to?
 8.
              DR. OLSON: No.
              THE COURT: Okay.
10
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
11
              THE COURT: Anything else?
12
              MS. HOLIDAY: I would ask him if these were
13
    conversations about what she testified to in previous
14
15
    hearings?
              THE COURT: Did you have any -- what, who cares?
16
   That's not relevant to what I'm doing here today. All right.
1.7
    But your conversations were with the District Attorney before
18
    trial about what Dr. Cetl would testify to; is that correct?
19
              DR. OLSON: Yes.
20
              THE COURT: Or what she may have testified to at
21
    previous hearings?
22
              DR. OLSON: I specifically asked the attorney
2:3
   whether Dr. Cetl had been involved in any hearings on this
24
    case, and she --
25
```

```
THE COURT: Okay.
 1
                          -- flat out said no.
              DR. OLSON:
 2
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else?
 -3
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence. No.
                                                       Thank you.
 4
              MS. JOBE: And if I could direct my questions to
 5.
    the Court, Your Honor?
 6
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 7
                         To the extent any conversations were had
 8
              MS. JOBE:
    with Dr. Olson about what Dr. Cetl would be called for was --
 9
    if you could ask him if it was clarified she'd be called as
10
    abuse or neglect specialist, and that he was the first hands-
11
    on physician with the child at the hospital?
12
              THE COURT: So you understand that --
13
              DR. OLSON: Can I --
14
              THE COURT: -- because that's --
15
              DR. OLSON: Can I clarify something?
16
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
17
                          I specifically asked the District
              DR. OLSON:
18
             if Dr. Cetl had been involved in this case at all,
19.
    Attorney
    and she said no, except that she reviewed the pictures the
20
21
    next --
22
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                          -- day or whenever.
              DR. OLSON:
                                               She didn't say
23
24
    exactly when.
                          Okay. And that was before trial?
              THE COURT:
25
```

Yes. DR. OLSON: 1 Okay. And you asked if Dr. Cetl had THE COURT: 2 testified in any previous hearings? 3. DR. OLSON: And she just said no. 4 THE COURT: Okay. And anything else that you had 5: to discussions about? 6 DR. OLSON: Nope, that's it. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And I mean, you understand why 8 You've been subpoensed here today, right? 9. DR. OLSON: Absolutely. 10 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 11 Dr. Olson, we're going to try to bring you in as soon as 12 possible. I just want to thank you very much for your 13 willingness to be here --14 DR. OLSON: Sure. 15 THE COURT: -- and to provide testimony to this 16 And just remember it's -- you just can't talk about 17 anything with -- it's just better if you just don't talk to 18 anybody. Then we don't have to worry. 19 DR. OLSON: Absolutely. So am I going on the stand 20 because I'm supposed to be in the Emergency Room. This was 21 scheduled at 10:30 so. 22 THE COURT: Thank you very much for being here. 23 DR. OLSON: Okay. 24 THE COURT: We'll let you know when we need you. 25

t-ron	(Dr. Olson is not present)
2	THE COURT: Okay, the record will reflect that
3	Dr. Olson has left the courtroom. Is the defense satisfied?
4	MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
5	(Pause in the proceedings)
ć	MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.
7	THE COURT: Dr. Cetl did not come to the ER and
8	treat this child, correct, or did she?
9	MS. KIERNY: We don't know, weren't there.
1 Ó	MS. JOBE: The State's understanding is that
11	Dr. Cetl was not the treating physician for this child.
12	Ordinary practice, if she treats this child and does an
13	actual consult on the child, she fills out her own report
14	that is contained within the medical records.
15	There are times, because her office is at the ER,
16	where she pops in or the detectives see her in the hallway
17	and they'll run some facts by her and she'll provide her
18	opinions and go from there and then they usually follow up
1.9	with her.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Because I just want to I
2.1	mean, she's not noted anywhere in the medical records,
22	correct?
23	MS. JOBE: No.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MS. JOBE: I assure you, if I could have called her

as the treating physician without calling Dr. Olson, I would 1 2 have. THE COURT: Okay. All right. 3 I know Dr. Olson is really anxious to MS. KIERNY: 4 hit the stand. I do have before Detective DePalma testifies 5 regarding jail calls, I do have a record to make regarding 6 that. Do you want to wait until that happens or do you want 7 8 to --9 THE COURT: Who are we going to call first? Dr. Olson. 10 MS. JOBE: THE COURT: So we're going to break up the 11 $1\overline{2}$ detective's testimony again? 13 MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Before I bring the jury in, the 14 15 District Attorney did e-mail some documents to me. I have reviewed those. I'm going to provide them to the defense. 16 17 MS. HOLIDAY: Oh, okay. THE COURT: They're not -- I've reviewed them. 18 They're narrative notes. I'm going to mark a copy it as 19 20 court's exhibit next in line, however, it will be sealed. 21 Exhibit 6? THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And Exhibit 6 will be sealed. 23 defense and State can approach and you can -- does -- the 24 State has a copy, correct? The defense, I'm going to give 25

you a copy of the records.

MS. HOLIDAY: May I approach?

THE COURT: Of course. My review of the notes does not indicate that there's any notation -- I mean, I encourage you to read them, of course, yourself, but I reviewed them last night. And I -- I'm going to give them to you, but out of an abundance of caution, however, my review did not indicate that there was any notation of an event where the mother and the CPS worker were together and she found coffee.

MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.

THE COURT: There's no corroboration for that event in the CPS notes, that I could find. But again, I encourage you to review them yourself.

MS. HOLIDAY: Could Your Honor tell if there were any notes --

THE COURT: There's notes about coffee.

MS. HOLIDAY: In this -- regarding the specific day that this meeting would have taken place:

THE COURT: Not that I can tell.

MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.

MS. JOBE: And as a matter of record, after

Detective DePalma's testimony and watching the reenactment

video in court, Mr. Burton and I had a conversation last

night after court and decided we're just not going to call

Joanna Westmoreland. I still believe it's -- obviously, we

```
turned over the records to the Court and they should have
   them to do what they wish with about that issue because we
   raised it, but the State's not going to be called her to
   testify.
              THE COURT: Okay, All right. I think we can bring
.5
             And then we're going to bring in Dr. Olson first.
    them in.
              MS. KIERNY: And then take a break --
              THE COURT: Who's going to --
8
              MS. KIERNY: -- and then make a record of jail
9
   calls?
10
              THE COURT: We're going to finish Dr. Olson and get
11
   him out of here.
12
              MS. KIERNY: Of course, yes.
13
              THE COURT: Yeah.
1.4
              MS. JOBE: I will be questioning, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: I was just going to ask, who's going to
16
    do cross3
1.7
              MS. HOLIDAY: I will, for Dr. Olson.
1.8
              THE COURT: Okay.
19
                     (Pause in the proceedings)
2.0
              THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury,
21
22
    please.
                   (In the presence of the jury).
23
              THE MARSHAL: Thank you, everyone. Please be
24
25
    seated.
```

1	THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the
2	presence of the jury panel?
3	MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: The defense?
5	MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7	Thank you for being here. We're going to we were with the
.8	detective yesterday at the end of the day. We're going to
9	apologize for this, but we're going to break in his testimony
10	now and State's going to call another witness out of order.
11	State may call Dr. Olson.
12	MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor, the State calls
13	Dr. Olson.
14	DR. ELIS OLSON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
15	THE CLERK: Thank you please be seated. Could you
1.6	please state and spell your name for the record.
17	THE WITNESS: Elis Olson, E-l-i-s, O-l-s-o-n.
18	THE CLERK: Thank you.
19	MS. JOBE: If you could just set those to the side,
2.0	Dector.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. JOBE:
23	Q. What is your occupation?
24	A. I'm a pediatric ER physician.
25	Q. How long have you been so employed?

1989. 1 Α. And what is your training and experience to be a 2 0. pediatric physician? 3 I've trained in pediatric emergency medicine. Α. Do you also have degrees, Doctor? 5 Ο. Yes, I do. 6 Α. And what did you get your degrees? 0. 1986, 1989. 8 Α. And where were they from? 9 Q. Emory. 10 Α. And what were your degrees in, Doctor? 11 Q. Pediatrics and pediatric emergency medicine. 12 Α. Fair to say as a physician, you have to have 13 Q. continuing education; is that correct? 14 Yes. 15 Α. Obviously, you've maintained your license over those 16 Q. 17 years? Α. Yes. 18 So you've been completing your continuing education, 1.9 Q. correct? 2.0 Yes. 21 Α. All right. And do you sometimes do shifts and work 22 at Sunrise Children's Hospital? 23 Yes. 24 Α. How frequently? 25 Q.

Ten days a month. Α. I'm sorry? Q. Ten days a month. Α. Thank you, Doctor. You're not an employee of Ο. Sunrise Hospital; is that --Α. No. As -- when you work in the Sunrise Children's Q. Hospital Emergency Department, generally speaking, what are your duties and responsibilities? Take care of sick children. Λ When you're taking care of a sick child, do you get a history or complaint of why they're presenting to you, what you may need to address? Ä. Yes. Is that usually taken from the parents or whoever 0. accompanies the child into the Emergency Department? Parent, nurses, paramedics. À. Is that information provided to you always accurate? Q. I'm not -- I don't get the question. Α. So let's say, whatever the caregiver or the Sure. 0. person with the child, whatever information they provided as to what they thought happened to the child, is that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

.12

141

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

And is that information always accurate by the time

information documented in the medical records?

Yes.

Α.

Ó.

you've gone through your assessments, seen the child and made a determination? Α. Yes. So, for instance, if a parent complains that a child Q. has a fever, they run a test and the child's temperature is normal, do you say their information is still accurate? What do you mean by that? If a parent comes in with a child or a caregiver, Ο. says the child's running a fever, but the time the child's in the hospital, the temperature is taken, and that temperature for the child is normal, is that information still accurate? It can be. Ά. Are you a mandated reporter as far as being a physician in an Emergency Department? What do you mean by that? Α. If you see or suspect child abuse, are you required 0. by law to report that? Α. Yes. And did you make a report on January 5th, 2016 for a child you were seeing in the Sunrise Emergency Department?

1

2

3.

4

5.

6

7

ä

9

10

11

12

13:

14

15

1.6

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Α.

Yes..

Yes.

seen you have concerns, correct?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

more investigation needs to be done, but from what you've

Fair to say when you make that report, it just means

And that triggers your responsibility to call? Q: 1 Yes. Α. 2 And fair to say, Doctor, you don't call. You direct Q. ġ someone else to call with information; is that fair? 4 Α. Yes. 5 All right. Now, were you treating a patient on 6 January 5th of 2016; were you treating a patient by the name 7 of Chance Jacksper with a date of birth of January 30th, 2013? 9 Yes. Α. 1.0 And what were you treating the child for? 11 Q. Burns. 1.2 Α. Were you able to observe the burns? ġ. 1.3 Α. Yes. 14 And as part of treatment, do you treat the -- do you Q. 15 have to treat any pain for those burns? 16 Yes. Α. 17 And did you provide or order any pain medication to 18 Q. be provided to the child? 19 I don't know. I'd have to look at the records. Α. 20 Sure. One moment, please. Generally speaking, when 21 you're treating a child for burns or any kind of pain, would 22 you order pain medication? 23 Most of the time, yes. 24

25

Q.

Okay. I apologize, Doctor. I should have kept

```
better tabs on my records. I'll get back to that in a
 1
    minute. What do you do to treat the -- what did you do to
 2
    treat the child for his burns?
 ż
             What did I do?
         Α
 4
         0.
             Yes.
 .5
             I'd have to look at the record.
 6
             Would that refresh your memory, Doctor?
 7
         Q.
         Α.
             Sure.
 8
              THE COURT: Would that refresh your recollection if
 9
    we showed you the medical records?
10
              THE WITNESS: Sure.
11
12
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. JOBE: May I approach, Your Honor?
13
              THE COURT: You may.
14
15
    BY MS. JOBE:
             And while you're looking through, Doctor, if you
1.6
    could see if you prescribed any pain medication, please.
17
             Go ahead.
         Α.
18
             Okay. Does that refresh your memory, Doctor?
19
         Q.
             Um-h'm.
20
         Α.
             And I apologize --
21
         Q.
              THE COURT: Is that a yes?
22
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
              THE COURT: Thank you.
24
25
    BY MS. JOBE:
```

1	Q.	I apologize. We're not allowed to leave that up
-2	there bed	dause what type of treatment did you do or have
3	done for	the child's burns?
:4	Α.	The wound was cleansed with saline and Bacitracin
5	ointment	was applied. He received Hydrocodone for pain
6	medicatio	on.
7	Q.	For those of us not familiar with the medical
8	profession	on, what is Hydrocodone?
.9	Z	It's a narcotic.
10	Q.	Obviously, not something you can get over the
1.1	counter;	is that correct?
12	Α.	Not to my knowledge.
13	Q.	All right. And did you diagnose this child's burns?
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	And to what degree were the burns?
16	A.	They were a combination of first degree burns and
17	partial t	thickness burns, which are second degree burns.
18	Q.	Did you have any follow-up orders for this child?
19	Α.	Transfer to the UMC Burn Center.
20	·Q	Why would you transfer the patient to the UMC burn
21	center?	
22	Α.	Because these are second degree hand burns that need
23	frequent	debridement and do have potential for being
24	disabling	g down the road.
25	Q.	Okay. And do burns kind of develop over time?

It can progress, yes. .1 Α. Okay. And what happens when they progress or what 2 can happen as far as progression? 3 They can become deeper and more extensive. Α. 4 I'm going to approach and show you some pictures, 5 Q. Doctor. 6 MS. JOBE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor? 7 THE COURT: You may. 8 9 BY MS. JOBE: If you'd just look through those for me. Q. 10 Okay. 11 Α. Do you recognize those, Doctor? 0. 12 They're burns. 13 Α. Okay. And do you recognize whether or not this is 14 Q. the patient you treated on January 5th of 2016? 15 There's no way I can verify that. 16 Α. Okay. But can you verify that you did, in fact, 17 Q. treat a patient by the name of Chance Jacksper with a date of 18 birth of 1/30/2013 at Sunrise Hospital on January 5th of 19 2016? 20 Yes, I can. 21 Α. Now, did you also -- well, we talked about the 22 complaint when the patient comes in and the caregiver or 23 person with them provides the complaint, correct? 24 25 Α. Yes.

```
Okay. And is that contained in what's called an
 1
    HPI?
 2
             Um-h'm.
         Α.
 3
             Is that a yes?
         Q.
 4
         Α.
             Yes.
 5
             I apologize. She's typing everything down so --
 6
 7
         Α.
             Yes.
             Thank you. And as far as you are aware, what was
         Q.
 8.
    the complaint in this case?
 9
             I'd have to look at the record.
10
             Would at that refresh your memory, Doctor?
11
         Q.
12
         Α.
              Yes.
              MS. JOBE: May I approach the witness?
13
              THE COURT: You may.
14
              THE WITNESS: There was a hot --
15
    BY MS. JOBE:
16
              If you could just -- I'm sorry, Doctor. If you
17
    could read that to yourself and then when you're done, I'll
18
    ask a question. (Witness reviewing document).
                                                     Thank you,
19
             Does that refresh your memory?
    Doctor.
20
         Α.
              Yes.
2.1
             And based on your refreshed memory, what was the
         Q.
22
    complaint?
23
              The boyfriend -- according to the boyfriend, he
.24
    grabbed the hot water off the counter and --
2.5
```

i	Q. Did you end up instructing someone at the hospital
2	to contact Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
3	A. Yes.
4	Q for this case? And why did do you that?
5:	A. Because the burns were suspicious for abuse.
6	Q. And what about them was suspicious?
7	A. On one picture there's a clear demarcation line,
8	which is consistent with an abusive burn.
9.	Q. Did you fill out what's called a SCAN Physical Abuse
10	and Neglect Form?
11	A, Yes.
12	Q. And is that required when there are concerns or
13	suspicions of abuse?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And in that SCAN report, did you mark or write down
16	what your concerns were or your findings that were
17	suspicious?
1.8	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. And do you recall what you documented,
2,0	Doctor?
21	A. Definitive for abuse.
22	Q. Okay. And was that because you observed at least
23	what's in the findings, suspicious for abuse and neglect
24	emersion burn or matching or symmetric burns?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. Now, fair to say once the patient is discharged from
2	Sunrise Hospital, your contact with that patient is over
3.	with, correct?
4	A. Yes.
5	MS. JOBE: Court's indulgence.
6	BY MS. JOBE:
7	Q. And Doctor, in reviewing the records, you talked
8	about the burns on the hand. Did the child have any other
9	injuries to any other area of his body?
10	A. Not to my knowledge.
11	Q. And I'm just going to ask a couple of follow-up
12	questions on that issue. Did you have the chance to do a
13	physical examination of the child?
1.4	A. Yes.
15	Q. And in your physical examination, did you see any
16	marks or concerns on the child's abdomen or stomach?
17	A. None.
18	MS. JOBE: Pass the witness.
19	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
22	Q. Morning, Doctor.
23	A. Good morning.
24	Q. Doctor, did you originally think this was an
25	emersion burn?

Originally? What do you mean? When I first saw the 1 Α. burn? Yes. 3 Q. Α. Yes. 4 Did you ever change your mind about that conclusion? 5 Ο. 6 Α. No. Dr. Olson, the information you had was that the 7 mother's boyfriend said Chance had grabbed the hot water off 8 the counter. Yes. That's all he said. 10 A:. MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, may I approach the 11 witness? 12 13 THE COURT: Sure. BY MS. HOLIDAY: 14 15 Doctor, I'm giving you a three-page document. 16 you tell me what we're looking at in this document? 17 A history and physical. Α. 18 Q... Can you tell us a little bit about when a history 19 physical is generated? 20 At the time of the exam. Α. 21 Q. Who generates it? A scribe. 22 Α. 23 And the scribe generates it based on something that Q. 24 somebody says out loud? 25. That's correct. Α.

1	Q. Who would have been talking out loud?
2	A. Me
3	Q. Do you recognize this as things that you would have
4	said out loud that someone later would have turned into
5	writing?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Okay.
ģ	MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, I would move to admit
9	this three-page document of medical records.
10	THE COURT: Hearsay. What
11	MS. JOBE: Well, State's objection is hearsay.
12	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
13	Q. Doctor, let me ask you this. On the second page of
14	that document
15	A. Um-h'm.
16	Q there's a section that talks about skin.
1.7	A. Um-h'm.
18	Q. Where did the information come from that is in that
19	section?
20	A. Where did it come from? From the physician.
21	Q. And that would be you?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. You would have said the information out loud and
24	someone later would have transcribed it?
25	A. Yes.

- Okay. Can you read that section and what it says? 0. Which one? Α. The section entitled "skin". Q. "Right hand second degree burns over the dorsum of Α. the hand down to the knuckles, stops at the wrist. Small amount of redness below the left wrist. Left hand, mild second degree burn, with linear blisters over the wrist, circumferential burns around the wrist, burns to the palms of the hand and index finger". Do you recall saying that out loud? I can't remember that. Α. Do you recall that there were burns to the palm of
- hand and index finger on this child?
 - I can't recall that. Α.
- Would you have been saying these words out loud as you were staring at the injury or later as you were remembering the injury?
 - As I was remembering the injury.
- Okay. Thank you, Doctor. You noticed clear demarcation lines on this injury?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2.

3

7

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And that's why it was consistent with abuse? Q.
- Yes. And it was bilateral.
- Are there any instances where you would see a demarcation line that is not consistent with abuse?

I don't know. Like what? 1 In other words, does a demarcation line always 2 Q. indicate abuse? 3 It's always suspicious for abuse. In your mind, does a demarcation line by itself 5 prove abuse? A. Not necessarily. MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence. 8 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 9 Dr. Olson, you saw Dr. Cetl. Dr. Cetl is a 10 colleague of yours, correct? 11 12 Α. Yes. You saw Dr. Cetl in the Emergency Department around 13 Q. the time Chance was being treated? 14 Yes. 15 Α. Is it your understanding that Dr. Cetl treated 16 17 Chance? MS. JOBE: Objection. Foundation. 18. THE COURT: Sustained. 19 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 20 Where particularly in the Emergency Department did 21 you see Dr. Cetl? 22 Somewhere close to the room that the child was in. 23 Based on that, was it your understanding that 24 Dr. Cet1 --25

```
MS. JOBE: Objection. Foundation and speculation.
 1
              THE COURT: Sustained.
 2
   BY MS. HOLIDAY:
 3
             At some point, were you aware of an opinion that
 4
         Q.
    Dr. Cetl gave regarding Chance and his injuries?
 5
             Yes.
         À.
 6
             How were you aware of that?
 7
             I overheard it.
         Α.
 8
             You overheard it -- this was a discussion you
 9
         Ø.
    overheard?
10
         A
             Yes.
11
             Who were the two participants or more participants
12.
    in the discussion?
13:
             The detective. There may have been more
14
    participants that I'm not aware of, but that's who I
15
1.6
    remember.
            A detective and --
         0.
17
         A. Dr. Cetl.
18
             Dr. Cetl? Okay. Where did you overhear this
         0.
19
    conversation?
20
              In an area close to the room.
         A.
21
             And she was making conclusions or opinions about
2.2
    Chance's injuries?
23
24
         Α
              I assume.
              Why do you assume so?
25
         0.
```

```
Because I overheard her say that the burns were --
1
             MS. JOBE: I'm going to object to --
2
             THE COURT: Sustained.
 3
             MS. JOBE: -- hearsay and speculation based on his
 4
 5
   testimony.
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
 6
        Q. You know based on what you heard that they were
 7
   discussing Chance's injuries?
             MS. JOBE: Objection, speculation.
 9
             THE COURT: Sustained.
10
             MS. JOBE: He said he assumed.
11
12
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
        Q. What did you hear Dr. Cetl say?
13:
             THE COURT: How is that not the same thing?
14
             MS. JOBE: It's hearsay, Your Honor.
15
             MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor --
16
              THE COURT: You're asking the same thing.
17
             MS. HOLIDAY: -- I would say it's not hearsay
18
    because it doesn't go to the truth of the matter asserted.
19
    It goes to the effect on the listener.
20
              MS. JOBE: It doesn't --
21
              THE COURT: Then it's not relevant.
22
   BY MS. HOLIDAY:
23
         Q. Dr. Olson, are you upset that you have to testify
24
   today?
25
```

MS. JOBE: Your Honor, may we approach?
THE WITNESS: Am I upset?
THE COURT: Yeah. Okay, don't answer that. Don't
answer that.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
(Bench conference begins)
THE COURT: Why are you going into this?
MS. HOLIDAY: I'm trying to
THE COURT: I mean, he's been doing good.
MS. HOLIDAY: The fact that Dr. Olson has a
different recollection than Dr. Cetl, I think could be pretty
significant in this case.
MS. JOBE: I think the fact that when he was being
questioned by the Court and changed some of his answers as
far as the details he is sure of, and those he assumed, it's
speculative about it's more evident of what he does or
doesn't remember.
THE COURT: Okay. But the question is do you want
to be here? Who cares. I don't care. Do you think that
goes to bias or?
MS. HOLIDAY: Because the follow-up question would
be, you know, you're upset because you think Dr. Cetl Could
have provided all the information
MS. JOBE: That's not relevant.
THE COURT: Yeah, but it's not

MS, HOLIDAY: -- that you could testify to. 1 THE COURT: It's not really relevant except to 2 embarrass him. 3 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. 4 THE COURT: You know? I don't think there's any 5 reason to embarrass him in front of the jury. 6 MS. HOLIDAY: How about the -- the -- the fact that 7 Dr. Cetl disagreed with his diagnosis. 8 THE COURT: And what's the relevance? 9 MS. HOLIDAY: If another doctor --10 THE COURT: I mean, we -- he can't even tell me 11 whether he knows. He's saw Dr. Cetl in the ER. Okay. 12 doesn't know. He doesn't know. He doesn't even know whether 13 she treat the him. The lack of medical records is pretty 14 significant to me. Hospitals make medical records. That's a 15 serious thing. 16 MS. HOLIDAY: That's true. 1.7 THE COURT: Okay. I believe that Cetl didn't treat 18 him in the ER because there would have been a medical record. 19 It would be outrageous for there to be a lack of medical 20: record especially in a case like this. 21 MS. HOLIDAY: I think Dr. Olson obviously is upset 22 about a discrepancy between either his conclusion, Dr. Cetl's 23 conclusion, or the detective's understanding of their two 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

conclusions, and that would be important in this case that

25

two doctors are disagreeing about --1 THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure that he is. I 2 guess, if you want to -- I'm not sure he knows any opinions 3 she's given because --4 MS. KIERNY: I think he does from the what the DA 5. 6 told him. No, the DA talked to him before she had 7 THE COURT: given any testimony. 8 MS. JOBE: Since this keeps coming --9 MS. KIERNY: She gave testimony at prelim. 10 MS. JOBE: Since this keeps coming up, he (A) never 11 got a copy of the Preliminary Hearing transcript. And the -1.2only thing discussed as to what she literally testified to is 13 whether or not she thought the injuries were abusive 14 (inaudible) details and say what her opinions were and say 1.5 what her conclusions were. And his response is essentially 16 1.7 then --THE COURT: He --18 MS. JOBE: -- why the hell --19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible). 20 MS. JOBE: I apologize. Can I finish? 21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 22 MS. JOBE: My response to him was saying -- his 23 response to me is essentially then why the hell do I need to 2.4 25 be involved.

1	THE COURT: Right. He appears to be upset because
2	he thinks the State could have gotten all this information
3	through Dr. Cetl, and therefore, he was called here
4	needlessly. Okay? I'm not sure that you have to embarrass
5	him in front of the jury by having him acknowledge that he's
6	pissed off he's here.
7	MS. KIERNY: I think he has a different medical
8 .	opinion than Dr. Cetl, and I think we're entitled to bring
9	that out.
10	THE COURT: Yeah, you're entitled to bring out his
11	opinion.
12	MS. KIERNY: Okay.
13	THE COURT: But he's already testified to what his
14	opinions are.
15	MS. HOLIDAY: Good enough.
16	THE COURT: And then if you think they re
17	different
1.8	MS. KIERNY: (Inaudible). Okay.
19	MS. HOLIDAY: Okay, that's fine.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you.
2:2.	(Bench conference concluded)
23	MS. HOLIDAY: Dr. Olson, thank you. I have no
24	further questions.
25.	THE COURT: Any redirect?

MS. JOBE: Just quickly, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Almost, Dr. Olson. 2 THE WITNESS: I have all the time in the world. 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. JOBE: 5 And I do apologize, Doctor. Showing you what's been 6 admitted as State's 6 and 7. If you could look at those for 7 me briefly. 8 9 Α. Yes. In those photographs, Doctor, do you see any burns 10 on the palms of the hand? $1\dot{1}$ I see some redness. Α. 12 Q. Okay, Let's talk about that real quick. Showing 13 you 6. Is it up on your screen, Doctor? 14 15 Α. Yes. Where's the redness that you see? You can mark on 16 your screen, Doctor, if you touch it. 17 Oh, wow, that's great. In this over here. 18 Okay. All right. And showing you State's 7. Where 19 Ο, is the redness that you observed? 20 You see that over there. 21 Α. 22 Ö. Okay. And I think there's something maybe over there. 23 Α. Q. Okay. 24 And maybe -- and right -- see that there? 25. Α.

```
I do. Thank you, Doctor.
1
         Q.
             Can I interject something?
 2
              THE COURT: There's not a question pending.
 3
              THE WITNESS: Okay. All right.
 4
              THE COURT: If the State wants you to add
 5
    something --
 6
    BY MS. JOBE:
 7
             Did you have any additional opinions about the
 8
    burns, Doctor?
 9
             No additional opinions.
10
         Α.
             Okay. Thank you.
11
         ·Q.
             Um-h'm.
12
         Α.
              THE COURT: Any recross?
13
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
14
                          RECROSS-EXAMINATION
15
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
16
             Doctor, is there any opinions that you wanted to add
17
    about the burns to the palms?
18
         Α.
19
             No.
             Doctor, you didn't take these pictures, correct?
2.0
         0.
         Α.
             No.
21
              MS. HOLIDAY: No further questions.
22
              THE COURT: Dr. Olson, thank you very much for your
23
24
    testimony --
                             Thank you.
              THE WITNESS:
25
```

THE COURT: -- here today, your willingness to be 1 here and the testimony you've provided to this jury. You are 2 excused. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 4 THE COURT: All right. Are we going to call the 5 detective back now? 6 MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We're going to take 8 a short recess. During this recess, you're admonished not to 9 talk or converse amongst yourselves with anyone else on any 10 subject connected to this trial or read, watch or listen to 11 any report over commentary on the trial or any person 12 connected with this trial by any medium of information, 13 including without limitation, newspapers, television, the 14 Internet or radio or form or express any opinion on any 15 subject connected with this trial until the case is finally 16 submitted to you. We'll be in recess for the next probably 17 ten minutes. 18 THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting 19 20 jury. (Outside the presence of the jury). 2:1 THE COURT: The record will reflect that the 22: hearing is taking place outside --2:3: THE MARSHAL: Thank you, everyone. 24 THE COURT: -- the presents of the jury panel. 25

Okay.

11.

2.5

MS. KIERNY: Thank you, Your Honor. My understanding is at this point we're going to get into some jail calls that Detective DePalma intercepted between Jasmin and Mr. Mathews. Just to make a record, we requested any and all jail calls in August. All these calls were made in March. We received them on Friday before the trial. The State indicated that they would not play them unless we opened the door. We got eight calls.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KIERNY: The State indicated earlier there may be one or -- two or three that they wanted to call. It turns out there's eight. They indicated that we opened the door when Jasmin said that she had custody and that we opened the door by asking if they were a big happy family. We never used those words. We just asked if they were a family unit? A family would be, you know, mother, father, children. It does not necessarily mean happy.

THE COURT: Okay. I already said that the State could get into this information. If you have objections to some of the phone calls --

MS. KIERNY: Okay.

THE COURT: -- can we get to those?

MS. KIERNY: Well, I don't think I got to make a complete record about that because I wasn't sure what was

going to be introduced, and I was waiting for that time.

3.

1.8

25.

MS. JOBE: Again, for the record, we're not specifically introducing the actual content of the jail calls. We're bringing in information from the jail calls through the detective. We're not playing any jail calls. And it was specifically based on the line of questions to Jasmin about Donovine's relationship and how great he is with Jordyn.

MS. KIERNY: And if I could respond to that. I believe, the State opened the door to this exact subject by asking if they're still together, if they have plans for the future together. So that's how they started it, and then we just followed up with, you are still a family.

So I don't believe that we opened the door. And the State then straightened out the custody issue, obviously, as you saw, and Jasmin did admit she did not have custody of Chance.

I don't think that there is anything probative of the issue to the charges that we're here with of accident versus intentional burns whether CPS told Jasmin that she was not to talk to Donovine and she still did. Obviously, she isn't on trial for being a bad mom. He's on trial for whether these burns are accidental or intentional.

THE COURT: Well, I think the State's bringing it in to impeach her testimony.

MS. JOBE: And --

2.5

MS. KIERNY: Which -- and what I'm getting -- when I've listened to these jarl calls, I don't think that there's anything that impeaches. She was never asked, did you talk to Donovine? She was never asked were you told by CPS not to talk Donovine and you still did it? I think ultimately there is an extreme prejudice to Mr. Mathews of the --

THE COURT: She testified in here that she was told not to have contact with him and she did anyways.

MS. KIERNY: Right. And so she's answered --

THE COURT: Okay?

MS. KIERNY: -- the question. There is nothing left to impeach her with. She said yes, I did.

THE COURT: Well, first she said she didn't have contact with him.

MS. KIERNY: And but she has said I was -- I still did. So the exact thing that the State is trying to introduce through these jail calls has already been admitted to by Jasmin. So I don't think that there's any purpose for them coming in. There's an extreme prejudice to him by the jury learning that he was in custody months after the incident.

I understand that people understand -- that juries understand that someone's arrested immediately, but, you know, two, three months down the road that has other

format that they're going to be introduced in.

So if Detective DePalma is just going to kind of pick and choose what parts of these fairly long phone calls to highlight, I don't — it's hard to put them into context obviously. This jury won't have the complete story. Also, these jail calls, they're somewhat lengthy. It's hard for me to identify exactly what the State wanted to get out of them.

Donovine is a very low speaker. I couldn't understand a majority of what he said. These jail calls aren't the greatest quality so it's hard to see. We don't have any transcripts of them. So if he's just testifying, I'm not going to be able to correct, you know, if he's mischaracterizing what is being said here because I don't have a transcript. I didn't have time, obviously, to get

implications. If the -- and then I have a concern with the

1.0

1.5

2.5

Finally, my understanding is that the State is introducing all eight of these calls just to show that Jasmin continued to talk --

THE COURT: Not introducing the calls.

MS. KIERNY: Oh, is --

them transcribed from Friday.

THE COURT: They want to get into the --

MS. KIERNY: -- talking about these --

THE COURT: -- substance of the calls.

MS. KIERNY: -- jail calls and the substance of

these jail calls to indicate that Jasmin continued to talk to Donovine after being told by CPS not to.

First of all, like I said, I think Jasmin has already admitted that she did this, so this is unnecessary. And second of all, I believe that the calls — this amount of calls being — or this amount of calls being spoken about is cumulative and doesn't add anything additional.

THE COURT: Okay.

1.0

1.8

24.

2.5

MS. JOBE: To be fair, the State intends on limiting or narrowing its questions to make this part as brief as possible, but the fact of the matter remains, when Jasmin was on the stand, she specifically stated she maintained contact with the defendant through his family and these calls directly rebut or impeach that testimony.

She was also specifically asked if she'd ever -because after Ms. Holiday went into Donovine's treatment and
relationship with Jordyn at length and how great he was and
how fantastic he was and how he never said anything mean to
her or did anything bad, Mr. Burton specifically asked Jasmin
if the defendant had ever yelled at Jordyn, ever become
frustrated with her or ever said anything to Jasmin about
Jordyn. In one of the jail calls she -- which we provided to
counsel, and was included in the e-mails last night, you can
hear Jordyn in the background crying, screaming. One of the
callers identifies that it is, in fact, Jordyn they're

```
speaking about. And the defendant says something to the
 1
    effect of, "Shut her ass up," referring to Jordyn.
 2
    directly impeaches Jasmin's testimony.
 3
              MS. KIERNY: But it's impeachment on a collateral
 4
   matter. We're not allowed to bring extrinsic evidence of
 5
    that in.
 б
              THE COURT: It's a prior inconsistent statement,
 7
    right?
 8
              MS. KIERNY: Right, but it has nothing to do with
 ġ
    these charges.
10
              THE COURT: She was confronted with the statement.
11
    She -- it goes to impeachment. She denied making the
12
13
    statement.
              MS. KIERNY: It's not a statement. She never --
14
   they didn't confront her with an actual statement and say,
15
   did you make this statement. They asked if she continued to
16
    talk to Donovine, knowing that CPS would -- or are we
17
    discussing the --
1.8
              MS. JOBE: I apologize.
19
              THE COURT: Here's the deal.
20
              MS. JOBE: I lost track.
21
              THE COURT: It's the defendant's statement.
22
   you're upset because they're going to talk about the
23
   defendant's statement, "Shut her ass up?"
24
              MS. KIERNY: Well, I mean, I think they're going to
2.5
```

-- with that specific call, I think they're going to lose a 1 lot of the context in hearing that Jordyn's upset and that he 2 -- him instructing, you know, to take care of the child. 3 THE COURT: The District Attorney has told me that 4 your client's statement was, "Tell her to shut her ass up. 5 And Jasmin was specifically asked if defendant had ever said -6 that, she said, no. 7 MS. KIERNY: But he didn't say that to Jordyn. 8 THE COURT: I understand that. 9 MS. KIERNY: He said that to Jasmin. So it's not 10 directed at Jordyn. 11 MS. JOBE: And the -- I'm sorry. 12 MS. KIERNY: So it's not like Jordyn would have 13 been the recipient of hearing this language. He didn't 14 15 actually --THE COURT: Okay. 16 MS. KIERNY: -- speak harshly to Jordyn. 17 I understand that, but what's THE COURT: Okay. 18 the objection. 19 MS. KIERNY: The objection is that it's -- it's not 20 relevant. It's a collateral matter, and it's not actually 21 22 impeachment. 23 THE COURT: Okay. MS. JOBE: It's relevant because of the testimony 24 elicited by the defense about how Donovine is with Jordyn and 25

other children. It is impeachment to Jasmin to the extent she was specifically asked quotes about that conversation and what was going on, and she denied on the stand. And it's the defendant's statement, period, and the State can bring in the defendant's statement to the extent he said that, and in the same call he told Jasmin she needs to testify for him.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?

MS. KIERNY: I think our -- overall, this is -- I've made my record on that.

THE COURT: Okay.

11.

2.5

MS. KIERNY: I think this is a discovery violation that we got these Friday. That they should be excluded for that reason alone, but then there's a myriad of other reasons that they should be excluded.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection's noted. The State's going -- they're not going to be able to -- they're not going to put the phone calls in the record, but apparently, the detective's going to be questioned upon the substance.

MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KIERNY: So if he misstates them, I don't know how I'm allowed to correct that without a transcript.

MS. JOBE: Then you listen to the jail call and you ask for a break and you play the recording for the detective.

MS. KIERNY: I don't remember them all in my head.

I'm expected to remember 88 minutes of jail calls in my head exactly what he said? Do you want me to be listening to them at the same time? If I had a transcript, I can go over and make sure he's not mischaracterizing it. But without a transcript, I cannot go into my memory bank and remember 88 minutes of phone calls.

-3

5.

б

1.8

1.9

THE COURT: Okay. So you're saying you don't have a transcript, therefore, you can't make the appropriate objections?

MS. KIERNY: Well, if they're going -THE COURT: Because I suggest to you if he
misrepresents a call, object.

MS. KIERNY: How am I going to know that? I don't have a transcript to follow along with, Judge. I'm not trying to be difficult. It's just that there's --

THE COURT: So the objection is you don't have a transcript of the phone calls?

MS. KIERNY: I'm saying, if he is going to introduce it in this manner, I understand that they're -- I understand if they're playing the phone calls back, then I would know that that's an accurate representation of them. But if they're just -- if he's just going to paraphrase them or summarize them or say what they say, if I don't have a transcript, I don't know if he's saying something accurate.

```
THE COURT: Okay. I don't know what to say that.
 1
              MS. JOBE: For the record, State doesn't have a
 2
    transcript either.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. I think we can bring the jury
 4
    back in now.
 5
                       (Pause in the proceedings)
 6
              THE MARSHAL: All rise for the entering jury,
 7
 8
    please.
                     (In the presence of the jury)
 9
              THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the
10
   presence of the jury panel?
11
              MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
12
              THE COURT: The defense?
13
              MS. HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
1.4
              THE COURT: Okay.
1.5
              THE MARSHAL: Thank you, everyone. Please be
16
17
   seated.
              THE COURT: At this time, we're going to bring the
1.8
    detective back in, and the State will continue with their
19
20
   examination.
          DETECTIVE PHILIP DePALMA, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
21
              THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
22
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
              THE CLERK: Could you please state and spell your
24
   name for the record.
25
```

THE WITNESS: Philip DePalma, P-h-i-l-i-p 1 D-e-P-a-1-m-a. 2 THE CLERK: Thank you. 3 THE WITNESS: You're well. 4 You may proceed. 5 THE COURT: MS. JOBE: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED) 7 BY MS. JOBE: 8 I don't know what time it is Good afternoon. 9 Q. exactly. Detective DePalma, we ended yesterday's testimony 10 talking about -- a little bit about the reenactment video and 11 some things you observed in the apartment. And you had 1.2 indicated you did additional investigation after the 13 reenactment video by consulting Dr. Cetl, Peltier and et 14 cetera; is that correct? 15 Α. Correct. 1.6 I'm going to go backwards just a second. When you 1.7 were at Sunrise Hospital -- actually, strike that. As far as 1.8 your investigation, did you also have the opportunity to 19 listen to recorded calls between the defendant and Jasmin? 20 Α. Yes, I have. 21 And are you familiar with the defendant's voice? 22 Q. Α. Yes, I am. 23

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

the defendant as the individual who was speaking?

24

25

And in the calls you listened to, did you recognize

Yes, I did. Α. 1 Were you also familiar with Jasmin's voice? 2 Q. 3 Yes, I was. Α. Fair to say during your investigation as you 4 discussed yesterday, you had opportunities to meet with her -5 and talk to her; is that correct? 6 7 Α. Yes. And in the calls that you listened to, were you able 8 to identify Jasmin's voice as well? 9 Yes, I was. Α. 10 I just have a few specific questions, Detective. 11 During any of those calls, did -- were those calls, as best 12 you could tell, directly between the defendant and Jasmin? 13 Yes, they were. 14 Α. And were these calls after your investigation? 15 O . Yes, it was. 16. Α. And did Jasmin make any statements in those calls 17 18 about any concerns or any possible consequences of her having 1.9 direct contact with the defendant? 20 Α. Yes, she did. And what were those concerns? 21 Q. 22. Concerns of losing her -- or her children to CPS if Α.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

calls, did she continue to maintain direct contact with

Okay. And as far as your listening to the recorded

she kept contact with Donovine.

23

2.4

2:5

Donovine?

1

2

3.

4

5

6

 $\overline{2}$

8

9

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. Yes, she did.
- Q. All right. Now, during the calls did you also listen to a call where there was an exchange regarding a baby between the defendant and Jasmin?
- A. Oftentimes I heard children in the background on the calls, yes.
- Q. Was there one in particular where there were statements made about a baby crying or something like that?
- 10 A. Yes, there was.
- Q. And on that call did you recognize the defendant's voice?
- 13 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And did you also recognize Jasmin's voice?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. And could you -- what could you hear in the background?
 - A. I heard a baby pretty close to the -- to the phone. Sometimes you can -- you can tell when there's background noise and then when it gets closer. This one was like the child was right there on mom's lap or near the phone receiver.
- 23 Q. And did anyone on the call identify what baby or 24 what child that was?
- A. Yes, Donovine asked Jasmin if it was Jordyn.

1	Q. And did Jasmin confirm it was, in fact, Jordyn?
2	A. She did not. She Donovine asked and made a
3	statement.
4	Q. Oh, so what statement did Donovine make when he
5	asked if that was Jordyn?
6	A. He he was irritated and he said, "Is that Jordyn?
7	Tell her to shut her ass up, fuck." That's exactly
8	quote/unquote, or the words that I heard.
9	Q. And that was Donovine?
10	A. Yes, it was.
11	MS. JOBE: Pass the witness.
12	THE COURT: Cross-examination.
13	MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence. Thank you.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION
15	BY MS. KIERNY:
16	Q. Detective DePalma, obviously, it was you who
17	interviewed Donovine here?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. And he indicated to you that he wasn't in the room
20	when Chance was burned?
21	A. Correct.
22	Q. And he heard a yell or a cry and went in to the
23	room?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. And then he assumed that Chance had pulled the mug

on himself? 1 2 Α. Correct. Okay. And he couldn't ever explain to you how 3 Q. Chance was burned? 4 5 Α. That's correct. Because he -- and he indicated he wasn't there? б Q:.. That's what he said, yes. 7 Α. All right. And so was it you that spoke with 8 O., Dr. Cetl and gave that account to her? 9 I know I spoke with Dr. Cetl. I'm not sure if any 10 of my other detectives have or not, but she was given an 11 explanation, yes. 12 All right. And the explanation that I just 1.3 summarized, is that the explanation given to her? 14 It's possible that it was told that way, yes. 15 Α. Okay. And you didn't necessarily give her a copy of 16 0.. Donovine's statement? 17 18 Α. No. That wouldn't be typical in a case like this? 19 Q., Normally, not. More of a reenactment would. 20 All right. Did you give her a copy of the 21 0. 22 reenactment? I can't recall if I -- if she actually saw the 23 reenactment or not. I believe she did. 2.4

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

25

Q.

Okay.

But I'm not for sure. 1 Okay. So, you believe that she was told by you or 2 maybe a fellow detective that Donovine was out of the room 3 and Chance pulled a mug on himself? 4 5 Α. Yes. Okay. And it was also you who decided to consult 6 Dr. -- or sorry, Mr. Peltier? 7 Correct. Α. 8 And you sent him some materials? 9 0. A. Photos. .10 And was that through e-mail or --0. 11 Yes, it was. A . 12 -- mail? 13 Q. Yes. 14 Ά. And the first thing you sent him was the 15. Q. photographs? That's what he wanted? 16 17 Α. Correct. And then he asked for additional photographs from 18 19 you? Yes, he wanted -- I believe he asked for Yeah. 20 Α. photographs that were in the healing phase. 21 Okay. Did you send those photographs to him? 22 I believe, I did. Α. 23

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC 303-798-0890

you know, e-mail back or tell you that he got them?

24

25

Q.

Yes? Do you know if he received them? Did he ever,

- He didn't -- I don't believe he confirmed that he got him, but it may -- I would have to look back in my e-mails to see if there was some correspondence that I --3. that I typed back that he actually received those photos. But I know that he responded back to me with his conclusion, yes.
 - Okay. Do you have those e-mails with you? Q.
 - I don't. Α.

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3.

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

-24

25

- Okay. And you don't have anything with you today 0. that -- to review at that would refresh your recollection whether he knew -- confirmed he got --
 - Α. No, I don't.
- And you sent Dr. Peltier Donovine's statement or did Q. you summarize it to him?
- I summarized his -- his explanation of what happened.
- Okay. And that would be that he was out of the room and Chance brought the mug -- or pulled the mug down on himself?
- Α. Yes. 20
 - Okay. At some point, you initially asked Jasmin to Q. do the recreation, correct?
 - I asked her if she -- well, I wanted Donovine to do the reenactment, but I asked Jasmin to go back to the apartment with us, since it was her apartment.

All right. And so you weren't going to have her 1 show you anything become there? 2 No, because she allegedly was not in the apartment 3 Α. when this -- when Chance got burned. 4. When you say allegedly, that's what she told you? 5 Α. Correct. 6 And Jasmin didn't want to leave Chance at the 7 hospital? 8 Correct. Α. 9 But Donovine did agree to do the reenactment? Ο. 10 Yes, he did. 11 Α. Okay. And he went to the apartment with you 12 Ö٠ 13: willingly? Yes. Α. 14 And he had -- but at that point, your information 15 was he told you he wasn't in the room when it happened? 16. Yes. 17 Α. So some of the things that he says in the 18 reenactment include, "I guess", or "probably", correct? 19 Α. Yes. 20 And during that recreation and I'm sorry, actually 21. you testified you did the -- you took pictures before you do 22 the recreation, correct? 23 Correct. Α. 24 And you were there for when the CSAs were taking the 25 Ο.

```
pictures?
 1
         Α.
              Yes.
 2
              And then you were there during the recreation?
         Ο.
 3
              Yes.
         Α.
 4
             And then did you stay in the apartment much longer
 5
         Q.
    after the recreation?
 6
              A little after that, yes.
 7
              Okay. At any point, did you go through the
         0.
 8
    cupboards of food to look for certain items?
 9
         Α
              Yes.
10
              You did?
11
         Q.
12
         Α.
              Yes.
             Okay. And you also looked for that broken handle of
13
         0.
14
    muq?
15
         Α.
              Yes.
              And isn't it true that Dopovine had told you that
16
    the mug maybe had broken sometime ago?
17
              He didn't remember.
18
19
             Okay.
         Q.
         Α.
              At all.
2.0
             Did he ever tell you that it -- that he -- he
21
    thought it was a long -- it was a -- it was a few days ago?
22
              I don't remember him saying anything about days or a
23
    long time ago. He just said that he doesn't remember.
24
    thought it was broken that day in particular.
2.5
```

But nothing he told you would indicate that the mug 1 handle -- he didn't tell you that mug handle would be in the 2 3 garbage? Correct, he didn't say that. 4 Α. He didn't tell you, you know, where the mug handle 5 would be? 6 7 Α. Correct. He just didn't know? 8 9 Α., Correct. Okay. That reenactment video we saw ended at about 10 11 5:08 p.m.? A 12 Yes. And you were aware that Chance and Jasmin and 13 14 Donovine and Jordyn had arrived at the hospital around 9:53 15 a.m.? 16 Α. Yes. 17 So that reenactment video took place about seven to 18 eight hours later? 19. Α. Yes. 20 And they had been at the hospital that entire time? Q., 21 Α. Correct. 22 Regarding the calls that you just testified to, 23 these were called that Donovine placed to Jasmin, correct? 24 Α, Yes. 25 And in several of the calls it's -- Donovine 0. Yes,

was frustrated that she wasn't talking to him, that Jasmin wasn't talking to him more? 3. Α. Yes. Is that fair? Is that a fair characterization? Q. That's fair. Α. 5 Okay. And Jasmin would explain that she wasn't 6 talking to him because she didn't want to mess things up with 7 her kid through CPS? 8. There were conversations about that initially, yes. Okay. And that call regarding the baby, because we didn't hear it, I'm just going to ask you a couple questions. 11 -- or because the jury didn't get to hear it, I'm just going 12 to ask you a couple of questions. .13 So when you listened to that call, the baby was, you assumed in Jasmin's lap? 1.5 Close to the receiver. Α. 16 Okay. Close to the receiver. So it was --17 0. Yes. 18 Α. -- a very loud cry? Q. 19

1

2

4

9

10

14

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

were sporadic --

Q.

Yes.

Okay.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

It was fading in and out the -- or the -- the baby's cries

Hard to hear what Jasmin was saying?

It -- it didn't appear that it was hard to hear her.

-- so to speak. Α. 1 They were fairly loud cries? 0. 2 At -- at a couple points they were loud. 3 Α. And he didn't say -- at no point did he say to 0 4 Jordyn shut your ass up? 5 Oh, no. 6 Α. That was to Jasmin to shut the baby up? 7 O. To tell her to shut her ass up, yes. 8 Α. Okay. I just wanted to make sure I got that 9 Ó. characterized correctly. 10 MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence. 11 12 BY MS. KIERNY: So when you were looking through the cupboards when 13 you were at the apartment on, I believe, that would be the 14 15 5th. Α. Yes. 16 You looked at the cupboards but you didn't move any 17 items around? 18 I -- I moved some items around. I just didn't 19 20 remove any items. I just --Q. You didn't remove any items? 21 22 Α. Correct. Okay. So you moved items around but didn't pick 23 Ο. anything up (inaudible)? 24 25 Α. Right.

1	Ω.	And there were other there were two other
2	detective	es there and a sergeant; is that accurate?
3	Α.,	Yes.
4	Q.	Do you know if any of them went through the
5	cupboard,	moved items?
б	Α.	I couldn't recall for sure.
7	Q.	Okay.
18	Α.	You'd have to ask them.
.9	Q.,	Okay: Well, you were aware of what they were doing?
10	Α.	I was the lead detective in in that case. I was
11	closest t	o the counters. They were more in the background.
12	Q.	Right.
13	Α.	So if I had turned my back or went into another
14	room, if	they looked, that would be their testimony to
15	Q.	But you don't have any information from them that
16	they did	that?
17	A.,	Correct.
18	Q.	Thank you.
19		MS. JOBE: Just a couple of questions, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: Are you done?
21		MS. KIERNY: Oh, yes.
22		THE COURT: Okay.
23		MS. KIERNY: Thank you.
24		THE COURT: Any redirect?
25.		MS. JOBE: Just a couple, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. JOBE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7.

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. Detective DePalma, in you going through the cupboards or any of your fellow detectives or your sergeant going through the cupboards, did anyone say they had found any coffee?
 - A. No, they did not.
- Q. And as far as those calls that you listened to, Counsel had asked you; there were times in those calls where Donovine was frustrated because Jasmin wasn't talking as much as he wanted to her, and your testimony was that was because she had some concerns about CPS; is that correct?
- A. At -- at some times there were some concerns, but more or less in the beginning of the phone calls, yes.
- Q. Okay. Was there ever a time where the in those calls that you listened to where the defendant gave directions to Jasmin about what she needed to do or not do as far as court proceedings are concerned?
 - A. Yes.
 - O. And what did he say to Jasmin?
- A. There were -- as far as there were conversations about letters, sending letters, and --
- Q. I'm sorry, Detective, the question was just specifically did --
 - A. About --

```
-- Donovine say anything specifically to Jasmin
 1
    about what she needed to do or not do with respect to court
 2
    proceedings?
 3
             I don't recall.
         Α.
 4
             Okay.
 5
         Q.
              MS. JOBE: No further questions.
 б
              THE COURT: Any recross?
 7
              MS. KIERNY: No, Your Honor.
 8
              THE COURT: Detective, thank you very much for your
 9
    testimony here today.
10
              THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
11
              THE COURT: You may step down. You are excused
12
    from your subpoena.
13
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14
              THE COURT: We have to break for lunch, right?
15
              MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right. All right. At this time,
17
    we're going to recess for lunch. During this recess, you're
18
    admonished not to talk or converse amongst yourselves or with
19
    anyone else on any subject connected with this trial or read,
20
    watch or listen to any report over commentary on the trial or
21
    any person connected with this trial by any medium of
22
    information, including, without limitation, newspapers,
23
    television, the Internet or radio or form or express any
24
    opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the
25
```

```
case is finally submitted to you.
 1
              We'll be in recess until 1:30. Thank you.
 2
              THE MARSHAL: Thank you. All rise for the exiting
 3
 4
    jury, please.
                   (Outside the presence of the jury)
 5
              THE COURT: Anything outside the presence?
 6
              MS. JOBE: I believe, we might, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: Okay. The record will reflect that
 8
    this hearing is taking place outside the presence of the jury
 9
    panel.
10
              THE MARSHAL: I have to go get his name (inaudible)
11
    name and badge number on it (inaudible).
12
                     (Pause in the proceedings)
13
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MS. HOLIDAY: I believe, that was Kevin --
15
              MS. JOBE: It was, I believe Mr. Terry.
1.6
              MS. HOLIDAY: Kevin Terry.
17
1.8
              MS. JOBE:
                         There we go.
              THE COURT: I guess, I'm assuming he must be --
ij9
    this must be a question for the detective, but --
20
21
              THE MARSHAL: That was Juror No. 6. He's right
    outside.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. So, it's Juror No. 6.
                                                        It will
23
2.4
    be marked as court's exhibit next in line, which is --
25
              THE CLERK: Seven.
```

THE COURT: It says, "Can we see the coffee cup?" 1 I'm assuming that was a question for the detective, but I 2 don't think we have to bring the detective back to ask the 3 question. 4 I would just note that there are MS. JOBE: 5 multiple pictures in the exhibits of the coffee cup and it's 6 also in the reenactment video. MS. KIERNY: Was it impounded? .8 They didn't take any items. MS. JOBE: No. 9 I don't know if Jasmin still has that MS KIERNY: 10 11 cup. THE COURT: I mean, I don't know if either side 1.2 wants to ask a witness about it and the fact that it was not 13. impounded. That's fine. But I don't think I need to bring 1415 the detective back to --MS. KIERNY: No. 16 THE COURT: -- ask this question. So the juror can 17 go to lunch. 18 THE MARSHAL: Yes, ma'am. 19 MS. HOLIDAY: If we're able to get ahold of the 20 cup, would Your Honor entertain letting the jury see the cup? 21 MS. JOBE: No. Your Honor, State would object .22 simply because so much time has passed and the fact of the 23 matter is the --24 THE COURT: But how would you get past chain of 25

custody and all of that. 1 MS. JOBE: Right. 2 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know, I'll consider 3 whatever. If you bring it, I'll consider it, but you know, you'd have to establish a chain of custody, right? -5 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 6: MS. JOBE: For the record, Your Honor, we've 7 received defense's proposed instructions. We're going to 8 review them over lunch. They have ours. MS. KIERNY: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Do I have any of them? 11 MS. JOBE: They've all been sent to Pam. 12 THE COURT: Oh, okay. Okay. 13 MS. HOLIDAY: Do you want to settle -- settle after 14 15 Cetl? MS. JOBE: Oh, Cetl's going to be here at 1:30. 16 THE COURT: Is she the last witness? 17 MS. JOBE: She's the last witness for the State, 18 Your Honor. We'll need to be patient with her and might need 19 to make some accommodations while she's testifying, but I'll 2.0 talk to counsel about that off the record. 21 THE COURT: Sure. 22 MS. KIERNY: And then I think Ms. -- just really 23 briefly. I'm sorry, I know we don't want to do this before 24 the jurors. Ms. Holiday wanted to use a demonstrative --2,5

```
explain this.
1
             MS. HOLIDAY: That's correct, Your Honor.
2
   was going to ask before Dr. Cetl testifies, I brought over, I
3
   guess, a big, huge, white notepad that you would write on and
 4
   àn --
 5
              THE COURT: Sure.
 6
             MS. HOLIDAY: -- easel. And so I was just
 7
   wondering if during Dr. Cetl's testimony I could write a few
8
   things down?
 9
              THE COURT: Sure.
10
              MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.
11
              THE COURT: No problem.
12
              MS. HOLIDAY: Great. Thank you, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: No problem.
14
              THE MARSHAL: Thank you, Court will be in recess.
15
           (Court recessed at 12:20 p.m. until 1:32 p.m.)
16
                     (In the presence of the jury)
17
              THE MARSHAL: Thank you, everyone. Please be
18
    seated.
19
                     (Pause in the proceedings)
20
              THE MARSHAL: Please come to order. Court is now
21
    back in session.
22
              THE COURT: Does the State stipulate to the
23
    presence of the jury panel?
24
              MS. JOBE: Yes, Your Honor.
25:
```

	<u> </u>
1	THE COURT: The defense?
.2	MS, HOLIDAY: Yes, Your Honor.
.3	THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Cetl, you can take the stand
4	again. And if you'll please remain standing and raise your
5	right hand so you can be sworm.
6	DR. SANDRA CETL, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
7	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Could
-8	you please state and spell your name for the record.
.9	THE WITNESS: Yes. Dr. Sandra, S-a-n-d-r-a, Cetl,
10	C-e-t-1.
11	THE CLERK: Thank you.
12	THE COURT: And cross-examination.
13	MS. HOLIDAY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Um-h'm.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
1.6	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
17	Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Cetl.
18	A. Good afternoon,
1.9	Q. Yesterday, you explained that your job is to
20	evaluate children's injuries, correct?
21	A. Yes
22	Q. Okay. Yesterday you also explained that you like to
-23	have as much information as you can have when coming to a
24	conclusion?
25	A. Or as necessary to make a conclusion.

Okay. You were present near Chance's hospital room 1 after the accident, right? 2 I believe, I was working in the office that day. 3 When you say, in the office, what do you mean? 0. 4 I have an office in -- in the hospital on labor and 5 delivery. 6 Okay. Is labor and delivery on the same floor as 7 0. the Emergency Department? 8 Yes. ġ Α. How close is it to the Emergency Department? 10 It's a three-and-a-half minute walk. I don't know Α. 11 exactly. 12 Do you mean being present outside of Chance's room 13 in the Emergency Department on the day of the accident? 14 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, I'm going to 15 object as to the term "accident". Assumes facts not in 16 17 evidence. THE COURT: Why don't you just ask if she was there 18 on the date of the incident. 19 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 201 On the date of the incident. 21 Ο.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

concern of abuse, and I kind of poked my head into the room,

but he was already wrapped up, and so I wasn't able to do an

from, I think, nursing staff that there may have been a

I believe that I was. I think I -- I had heard

22

23

24

25

1 actual examination.

2

4

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

- Q. Okay. So you did poke your head into the room?
- 3 A. I looked --
 - Q. Chance's room?
 - A. I just -- I looked from the hallway to see if he'd be able to be examined, and he wasn't, unfortunately, by that time.
 - O. Okay. So you did not examine him?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Doctor, you went through an analysis to come to your conclusions in this case, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. I'd like it talk to you a little bit about your method of analysis. "Analysis" is a word we use a lot. We kind of talk about it all the time. Wouldn't you say that an analysis is just the process of breaking a topic down into smaller parts so that you can examine it to learn more about the topic?
 - A. That's one definition, sure.
- Q. When you're analyzing something, you are using the information that you already know from your training and experience, right?
 - A. For an evaluation, yes.
- Q. For an evaluation. Any kind of analysis you do, really? You use the information you already have in your

mind, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19.

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Well, I definitely use that. That's a part of it, yeah.
 - Q. As a basis or a background of your analysis?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Okay. And specifically, as a medical doctor, you're going to use your training and expertise in medicine as part of your analysis?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You specifically analyze injuries to the human body?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Fair to say these are injuries you did not personally observe happen usually?
- A. Correct, because I'm in a medical facility where people bring the injured.
- Q. Absolutely. So when you're making an analysis about an injury that occurred to the human body, is it fair to say that you have to kind of picture what could have happened to create the injury in your mind?
 - A. That's a part of it, yes.
- Q. And you're creating that picture in your mind using the information that you have about the injury?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the specific knowledge and expertise that you already have through your training and experience?

A. That's fair.

]

5.

8:

- Q. You're a scientist, right?
- A. In a matter of speaking.
- Q. Okay. Do you use the scientific method in your analysis?
- A. Because we're not researching a topic with a null hypothesis and to go through methods and whatnot to produce some type of literature, I wouldn't say that. I use more of a method of differential diagnoses and a medical evaluation type of structure.
- Q. How is a medical evaluation different than this scientific method, for example?
- A. So the way we go about things is pretty conservative in terms of we look at there's a subjective, kind of an objective, an assessment and a plan. Whereas, when -- and yes, the scientific method is a part of that accessing literature, looking at, well, okay, there is certain things that this might be and kind of going through that process.

The scientific method itself is more, I think, adaptable when we're talking about the medical field to looking at peer research type of assessments.

- Q. Okay. So backing you up a little bit. You said you start with an objective and a --
- A. No, we call it kind of a SOAP. That's kind of just in general how we organize it as medical, you know,

practitioners. Subjective, kind of understanding, okay, why did someone come in, what's their history, and then objective, so what are the findings, physical exam, if they're, you know, photo documentation, vital signs, whatever, labs. Next would be kind of an assessment of, you know, what are we looking at and then a plan dependent on what role we play in the management of that child or patient.

- Q. So fair to say the subjective would be the specific facts that you have on the child or the person that you're looking at? The case that you're looking at?
- A. I wouldn't call them facts because it is subjective. I would say that that is a history that is provided either by a caregiver or even, you know, like a social history, medical history, whatnot, that, you know, for intents and purposes I would take as truth, but in and of itself, it's not a fact because I'm not either looking at a lab value, for instance, something that is objective.
- Q. Okay. So it's information that you have regarding the specific case?
 - A. Yes.

.4

2:1.

- Q. Okay. And then you said you assess -- you do an assessment with that information?
 - A. Yes. So it's all of the information put together.
- Q. Okay. Can you walk me through the process of your assessment? Is that -- does that relate to the scientific

method in any way? 1 MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. At this time, 2. 3 relevance. THE COURT: Yeah, I'm kind of wondering what the 4 5 relevance is. MS. HOLIDAY: Well, she's providing medical 6 testimony. She did an analysis to reach a conclusion in this 7 8 case. THE COURT: Sure. 9 MS. HOLIDAY: And I think we should explore the 10 analysis and the information, the process by which she came 11 to that conclusion so we can understand it. 12 THE COURT: I think you can do that, but I'm not 13 sure that this question does that. The objection's 14 1.5 sustained. BY MS. HOLIDAY: 1.6 When you're analyzing a case, fair to say, you have 17 Q. 1.8 information or data that you start with? In general, yes. 19 Α. Okay. Would you then start imagining scenarios in 2:0 Ó. your mind that could have happened to create the injury 21 22 you're looking at? I don't know if that's where I start. 23 oftentimes I'll get a history. Okay, there's a patient 24

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

presented with such and such chief complaint, here are the

25

physical exam findings, so a photo documentation or exams or videos or whatever it is. Here is the history of how this is reported to have occurred. And then go through kind of, okay, you know, is this the way that this could occur? Well, how could this occur and, you know, what do I know about these types of injuries that could offer support to the mechanism.

- Q. Absolutely. So how could this occur? So that with be a question you would start with?
- A. Again, I don't -- I don't -- I start. I don't know, it's a part of the overall evaluation.
- Q. That's a question that you would ask as part of your overall evaluation? How could this --
 - A. Fair.
 - Q. -- have happened?
 - A. Fair.
- Q. And in answering that question, you use the information that you have available about the case?
- A. Yes.

1.51

Q. And in answering that question, how could this have happened, you have to start with a guess in your mind or an assumption or maybe a hypothesis?

MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

25 BY MS. HOLIDAY:

Q. When trying to answer the question how could this have happened, is the answer usually immediately apparent to you or do you have to think about it, break it into different parts in your mind to determine the conclusion that you ultimately want to reach?

1.5

1.9

MR. BURTON: Your Honor, may we approach? THE COURT: Sure.

(Bench conference begins)

THE COURT: I don't know what you're doing. You got her here on cross-examination. Cross-examine her.

MS. HOLIDAY: So, Judge, obviously, we don't have a scientific expert testifying. We've offered one, we don't have one. Sciencey and stuff is hard, and I would like to try to present my best challenge to her medical and scientific testimony that I can present. In order to do that —

THE COURT: You've been doing that.

MS. HOLIDAY: -- I think I would like to ask her questions about her analysis. Obviously, I want to ask her questions about her analysis of this case in particular.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. HOLIDAY: That would certainly be my goal. To understand how she analyzed this case in particular, I need to understand her process or method of analysis that she goes through. If we can follow the process or method of analysis,

then we can find out how she used that, implemented that in 1 this case. 2 THE COURT: Well, that would be nice if that's what 3 you were doing. I mean, I'll allow you to do that. But I'm 4 not going to allow you to pretend like you have Dr. Johnson 5 up on the stand. I mean, because that's what it appears that б you're trying to do, is get her to testify that she can 7 create some sort of scenario in which this is --8 MS. HOLIDAY: I don't -- I don't think that's --9 I'm certainly not saying that she created a scenario. 10 saying to -- I'm actually not saying anything because I -- I 11 don't know her process of analysis and I think her process of 12 analysis --13 THE COURT: Well, she's told you. 14 MS. HOLIDAY: -- is important, right? 15 THE COURT: Okay. I -- everything I -- you're 16 saying, I think you can do, I just don't think you're doing 17 that through your questioning. 18 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. 19 THE COURT: So the objection's sustained, but 20 everything you said, I think you're permitted to do. You're 21 permitted to challenge her, but she's here, not somebody 22

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

2:3

24

25

else.

MS. HOLIDAY:

```
MR. BURTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
 1
                      (Bench conference concluded).
 2
 3:
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
               Doctor, in this case, in particular --
 4
 5
          Α.
              Um-h m.
              -- you started off with some information?
 6
          Q.
 7
              Yes.
          Α.
              Some of facts that you had were that the child's
 8
          0.
    mother's boyfriend told police a statement about what
    happened?
10
11
         Α.
              Yes.
              Your understanding of that statement is that he put
12
    a mug full of hot water on the countertop, right?
13
14
         A_{\cdot \cdot}
              Yes.
              And then he left the room?
15
         Q.
16
         Α.
              Yes.
              When he came back into the room, the mug full of
17
    water was now on the floor?
18
19
         Α.
              I believe so, yes.
              Another fact you knew in this case was the child's
2.0
21
    age?
2.2
         \mathbf{A}_{\cdot \cdot}
              Yes.
              Did you know the child's height?
23
         Q.
              I don't know if that's in the beginning or if it was
24
         Α.
    overall. I did eventually know the child's height, yes.
25
```

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

- Q. Did you know the countertop height?
- A. Again, eventually. I always ask for as much measurement, especially when dealing with access and developmental milestones, you know, in toddlers.
 - O. You asked for more measurements?
- A. Yeah, I don't remember if in this particular, if I already had that because, you know, I -- it's kind of known that I'm going to want measurements and what, you know, what everything looked like and does it -- you know, is it consistent with the mechanism that is given or, you know, the development of the child and whatnot. So I did know at some point in my evaluation, both the countertop height as well as the child's height.
 - Q. Did you know the child's wing span or arm reach?
 - A. Specifically, I don't think I did, no.
 - Q. Did you know the size of the child's hands?
- A. No, I don't think that was measured out. Well, yes, I did, actually. That's not true, it was measured out because we had the scale with the burn images, so yes, about the size of the child's hands, I did -- I did have an understanding of that.
- Q. Would the child's arm length or wing span be present in the medical records?
 - A. No.

2.

б

Q. That's not something you usually measure?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

No, not in the ER. No. 1 Α . Did you know how far specifically Chance could reach 0. 2 up onto a countertop? 3 That was more of a supposition being fairly No. 4 average size, two, two-and-a-half-year-old. 5. Okay. A supposition, can you explain that? 6 0. Based on his height and about an average size, you 7 know, what a hand might be able to -- to reach. And what we 8 see just day-to-day what toddlers can do within their 9 10. development. Did you ever create a model or anything where you 11. had a model of what the child's height would be compared to 12 what the countertop would be, compared to how far the child 13 could reach under the countertop? 14 MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. 15 THE COURT: I'll allow her to answer this. 16 THE WITNESS: No, did I not create a physical 17 model. 18 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 19 So when you're talking about a -- you said a 20 supposition? 21 Α. Yes. 22

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

Same idea? Okay. So your assumption of the child's

Is that an assumption?

Sure, yes.

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

0.

reach on to the countertop is not something you tested out through a model, but something you kind of imagined or kind of tested in your mind?

- A. Based on medical literature, my training, experience, it wasn't just a, you know, a guess.
- Q. Right. Right. Like we talked about, when you are making an analysis, you use the expertise that you already have?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3.

4

5

6.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

201

21

22

23

24

2.5

- Q. Okay. Do you -- did you know in this case exactly where the mug was placed on the countertop?
- A. No, I was told through images as well as a history about where the caregiver thought that the mug was.
 - Q. What image did you rely on for that information?
- A. There was images of the counter and there was also an image of the mug in the sink itself. So it was just kind of saying set up this is about where he explained that the mug would be. So it would be photo documentation from, I believe, Metro's ID team.
- Q. Was it an image of Mr. Mathews specifically pointing at a spot on the countertop or was it a detective pointing at a spot on the countertop or how --
 - A. I believe, it was the detective kind of pointing --
 - Q. A detective pointing --
 - A. -- that -- yeah.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1	Q. Okay. Did you know in this case how the mug spilled
2	or tipped or fell off the countertop?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did you know in this case the angle that the mug
5	would have fallen or tipped or spilled?
6	A. The mug was in the sink so it wasn't even on the
7	floor in any of the scene pictures that I looked at so I have
8.	no idea, no.
9	Q. Do you know as part of your background or training
10	and experience the force that it requires to get a mug to
11	spill or tip?
12	A. More of a generalization or estimation. Physics,
1.3	you know, is a part of kind of mechanisms of of how
14	injuries happen. But a specific number, no, I don't.
15	Q. Okay. You probably took a physics class as part of
16	your medical training, your general education and medical
17	training?
1.8	MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Sustained.
.20	MS. HOLIDAY: Your Honor, she testified that she
21	was using
22	THE COURT: We're not going to
.23	MS. HOLIDAY: knowledge of physics.
24	THE COURT: ask her what classes she took.
25	BY MS. HOLIDAY:

Where does your knowledge of physics come from? Ο., 1 MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Sustained. 3 BY MS, HOLIDAY: 4 So you used the knowledge much physics that you Q. 5. have --6 7 Α. Yes. -- to estimate or assume the amount of force that 8 0. would be required to tip or spill the mug or for it to fall off the countertop? .10Generally speaking, yes. 11 Α. Okay. Do you know how much -- do you know if there 12: was water spilled on the floor or on the countertop? 13 My understanding from a report of the scene is that 14 Α. there was no water on the countertop or the floor or anywhere 15 that was maybe cleaner than other areas that would indicate 16 that. So I don't know how much or where water was. 1.7 Okay. Did somebody tell you specifically that there 18 Q. was no water on the countertop? 19 Yes, I believe it was law enforcement and child 20 protective service staffing that we had, from one or the 21 other. I don't recall who told me that. 22 Do you know in this case what the flow -- the 2.3 direction of the flow of the water was? 24 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, objection.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

This is outside of her field of expertise. 1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 3 Were you provided information in this case about the 4 direction the water had spilled? 5 There was no water so the assumption would be that 6 no, that information was not provided. 7 Were you provided information in this case about 8 what Chance was trying to do? 9 MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. 10 THE COURT: Yeah, why don't you approach for just a 11 12 moment. (Bench conference begins) 13 THE COURT: She was provided information about what 1.4 he said. She was not provided information that this -- she's 15 -- I mean, so if you want to ask her based upon what she --16 your client never provided information that the cup fell off 17 the counter, that the child was reaching on the counter, none 18 19 of that. MS. HOLIDAY: Correct. So she doesn't have any of 20 that information. So --2:1 THE COURT: Because she doesn't think that's what 22 23 happened. MS. HOLIDAY: Right. So --24 THE COURT: So what are you getting at? 25

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC € 303-798-0890

MS. HOLIDAY: So what I'm getting at is all the 1 information that she doesn't have, right? The question that 2 we were just talking about was did anybody tell --3 THE COURT: You don't have to have information 4: about stuff that's not relevant. You can ask her if that 5 would be relevant to her analysis, but for her -- you're asking her to basically to presume that something happened a 7 8 certain way. MS. HOLIDAY: No, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: You already asked her what direction 10 the water was flowing from when the cup fell over. 11 MS. HOLIDAY: I asked her if she -- I asked her if 12 she knew what direction the water was flowing. She doesn't. 13 THE COURT: Then --14 MS. HOLIDAY: And that's the point I'm trying to 15 16 get at. THE COURT: -- you're asking her to assume that 17 that happened. 18 MS. HOLIDAY: No, of course not. I'm asking her if 19 20 she --THE COURT: Then it's not relevant. So that's 21. 22 easy. 23: MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. So is Your Honor saying that I can ask her if knowledge of what Chance was trying to do 24 2:5 would have influenced her analysis?

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

1 2

:3

11,

THE COURT: So in other words, if she had been there and saw it? I mean, because your own client says he didn't see what happened.

MS. HOLIDAY: And I think that's the important —
THE COURT: I mean, and maybe you're not
understanding the difference. You wanted to bring in an
expert to put together a scenario, a complete scenario, based
on nothing, based on no facts, except that your client left
the room and this is what could have happened.

Where you have experts that are saying these are the burn patterns and they're of a non-accidental nature. They had to have been done intentionally and they wouldn't have been done this way because that's not how a burn pattern would be.

MS. HOLIDAY: And they testified on direct examination, Dr. Cetl, specifically, that she based part of her analysis on the facts that she was given --

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. HOLIDAY: -- and that -- you know, the story that a mug was placed on the countertop and why it wouldn't be possible for the water to have spilled on Chance only creating burns to the back of his hands.

THE COURT: Um-h'm.

MS. HOLIDAY: And so she was given certain information, right? Like I just said, a mug on a countertop,

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC • 303-798-0890

mug eventually ends up on the floor. 1 THE COURT: Um-h'm. 2 MS. HOLIDAY: I think what's also important to her 3 analysis is information she didn't have. Nobody could tell 4 her what Chance's objective was or what Chance was trying to 5 do. You're right, because nobody saw it. So obviously, that 6 didn't go into her analysis. I think the information that 7 didn't go into her analysis is just as important as the 8 information that did go into her analysis. If she didn't know what Chance was going for, I'm 10 asking her if she did. She didn't, probably, so I'm pointing 11 12 that out. MR. BURTON: Your Honor, this all goes towards 13 14 argument. 15 THE COURT: Right. I mean --MR. BURTON: This witness has clearly stated what 16 information and facts she knew and what information she had. 1.7 If she wants to stand up in front of the jury and say well, 18 look at all the stuff she didn't have, that's fine. 19 THE COURT: I think that's fine, too. I just don't 20 think it's appropriate on cross-examination. MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. 22 THE COURT: You need to cross-examine her on her -23

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ♦ 303-798-0890

MS. HOLIDAY: One thing that we heard in the

testimony, not based on somebody that's not here.

24

```
recorded statement, Donovine's recorded statement, is that he
 1
   said I don't know, maybe he was thirsty or something to that
 2
   effect. He was thirsty. That was -- that was a guess,
 3
   obviously. But point being, nobody told her that, right?
 4
 5
    Or --
              THE COURT: What, that the child was thirsty?
 6
              MS. HOLIDAY: Yeah.
 7
              MR. BURTON: Again, that's argument to be made to
 8
   the jury no closing.
 9
              MS. HOLIDAY: It's not.
10
              MR. BURTON: It's not relevant to cross-
11
   examination.
1.2
              THE COURT: What does that have to do with the burn
13
   patterns on this child, whether he was thirsty?
14
             MS. HOLIDAY: Because it matters what information
15
   she put into her analysis. If she was thinking about, you
16
   know, that the child was thirsty, that might have changed her
17
    analysis. If she was thinking that the child was reaching
18
   for a cup --
19
              MR. BURTON: You can ask her that. You can ask
20
21
   her --
              MS. HOLIDAY: Okay.
22
              MR. BURTON: -- if you would have known the child
23
   was thirsty --
24
              THE COURT: I guess if you want to ask her that.
25
   The objection at this point is sustained. I just ask that
```

you cross-examine her -- cross-examine her based on what she 1 has testified to. 2 MS. HOLIDAY: But to get at what she knows, it's 3 also important to understand what she doesn't know. Am I 4 5 allowed to her questions -б THE COURT: Okav. You cannot ask --7 MS. HOLIDAY: -- about what she doesn't know. 8 THE COURT: -- her what direction was the water flowing from the cup. You're asking her to assume that there was water in the cup and that the water tipped over, right? 10 11 MS. HOLTDAY: Well, she analyzed --12 THE COURT: Because do you understand how that 13 doesn't make sense? 14 MS. HOLIDAY: She analyzed -- she started with a 15 preposition, right, that Donovine's statement was that there was water in the cup and it tipped over. And she analyzed 16 17 that. She was wondering or --18 THE COURT: Well, you can ask her that. 19 MS. HOLIDAY: -- explaining --THE COURT: That if she assumed that. If she 20 21 assumed that was all true, you can ask her that. I just --22 MS. HOLIDAY: I don't --23 THE COURT: You can't ask her what direction was 24 the water flowing. 25 MS. HOLIDAY: Well, I -- to be fair, Judge, 26 respectfully, I didn't ask her what direction was the water

flowing. I know she doesn't know that. What I said was --1 THE COURT: Yeah, you did. 2 MS. HOLIDAY: -- nobody -- you know, you don't 3 know, think is what I said, or did you know what direction 4 the water -- is that information that you have? The point 5 is, did she know what direction the water's flowing? She did 6 not have that information. Nobody told her what direction 7 the water was flowing. That's not a fact that she has is the 8 point. MS. JOBE: Because no one -- because no one 1.0 supposedly observed this. 1.1 MS. HOLIDAY: Absolutely. That's part of my point. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Then that's something you can 13 arque in closing argument. 14 MS. HOLIDAY: Okay. 15 (Bench conference concluded) . 1.6 THE COURT: Go ahead. 17 1.8 BY MS. HOLIDAY: Doctor, would it make a difference to your analysis 19 Ο. and your opinion if you had information that Chance was 20 thirsty? 21 Not necessarily, no. 22 Α. Would it make a difference to your analysis and 2.3. opinion if you had information that Chance wanted to grab a 24 2.5 muq? I quess, in a matter of speaking, because when kids 26 Α.

grab mugs off of counters and spill them on themselves and they have these accidental burns that are very stereotypical in face, torso. So I mean, if that's what, you know, his intention was, then I guess, it makes sense, you know, to further my assessment and evaluation in this case.

Q. So what Chance's objective was or what Chance was reaching for would be important to your analysis?

MR. BURTON: Objection. Misstates the testimony, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. HOLIDAY:

5.

2:1

- Q. Why would it be important for you to know if Chance was reaching for the mug?
- A. I mean, I don't think it's something that needs to be known. Again, two-year-olds are, again, very kind of stereotyped conservative in development and be especially if someone has normal development. So if he was reaching for a mug, which is the classic scenario for an accidental type of burn, then I think that's important to kind of understand that just to solidify that he has -- he's a normal toddler like every other two-year-old.

But being a fact that I would need to have in order to make this assessment, I -- I don't think so.

- Q. It would be helpful to your opinion analysis, though?
 - A. It's something I would use, sure.

- Q. Okay. Another fact that you had in this case were the pictures of the injury?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q, And medical records?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1:0

14

15

16

17

18

19:

20

21

22

23

- Q. You reviewed the medical records from Sunrise Hospital?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You reviewed the description of the injuries that Dr. Olson had provided?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. You reviewed the description that there were burns
 13 to the palm and fingertip of Chance's hands?
 - A. I did see that he documented that, yes.
 - Q. Okay. So part of your analysis, a fact that you knew, was that Dr. Olson had observed a burn to the palm of Chance's hand and the fingertip of Chance's hand?
 - A. What I know is that the scribe that he worked with did document that in the documentation. Upon later talking to him, he indicated to me it was still the dorsum of the hands so I -- I was a bit confused about that. So I'm not sure that I would say that that was a fact, but that was information that I looked at.
- Q. So Dr. Olson indicated to you that there were burns to the backs of the hands?
 - A. Um-h'm.

Did he specifically state there were not burns to 1 the palm of the hand or the fingertip of the hands? 2. MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. At this point, 3 4. hearsay. THE COURT: Can you rephrase it? 5 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 6 You testified that you're not sure the burn -- the 7 note about the burn to the palm and fingertip was a fact 8 because of a conversation that you had with Dr. Olson? Correct. 10 Α. And in that conversation with Dr. Olson, he said 11 that there were burns to the back of the hands? 12 Yes. 13: But he did not say that there were burns to the palm 14 and fingertip of the hands? 15 I don't recall that he did because in our discussion 16 we talked about the features of why this would be an abusive 17 injury and that I agreed with his overall assessment in this 18 19 case. Did you agree with his assessment that this was an 2.0 emersion burn? 21 I guess, I -- I don't know how he's using that 22 terminology. An emersion would be more to be put inside 23 something versus a liquid burn. So I'm not sure how he means 24 that. I would agree that it's a liquid burn, but I don't 25

know that I'd agree with the usage of that word.

- You testified that you helped to develop the SCAN 1 form that doctors use in a situation like this? 2 3 Α. Yes. Is it true that on the SCAN form there are bubbles 4 0. and descriptions of burns or burn patterns and you can mark .5 the type of burn pattern that you see, for example, emersion 6 is one of them? 7 Ä. 8 Yes. Okay. What does that description of emersion on g Q. 10 that form mean to you? To me it means what we would look with the burn 71 literature both, you know, from abusive or accidental 12 mechanisms happening where something gets completely dipped 13 inside of a liquid or a hot source. 1.4 Okay, Doctor, so going back to the information that 15 o. you did have about a mug on a countertop. 16 17 Α. Yep. You knew that there was a mug on the countertop? 18 ø. I was told that there was a mug at some point on the 19 20 countertop. And in forming your analysis and opinion, you 21 determined whether it was reasonable for the mug falling off 22 the countertop onto Chance to cause the type of injuries that 23

And you determined that wasn't a reasonable

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

Chance had?

À.

Q.

Yes.

24

25

possibility?

б

1.3

22:

- A. Correct.
- Q. Because if the mug fell off the countertop towards Chance, it would create more injuries?
- A. Not necessarily more injuries, but the important of a mug higher on a counters falling anywhere around the child towards or otherwise, that water would create the accidental type of patterns that we see, not the bilateral, symmetrical, well demarcated type that he had.
- Q. And those types of patterns you're talking about you would say would be like a splash pattern, for example?
 - A. That's one component.
 - O. What else? What other components would you see?
- A. So asymmetry. So not symmetrical, not on, you know, meaning both sides of the same part of the body. You would typically not see a fairly smooth pattern. You would see more jagged edges. You would see gravity's effect of cooling. You have hot at the first place that it contacts, cooler, cooler. And then also, as gravity brings any kind of liquid down, it usually forms these kind of, almost an upside down triangle or upside down arrow type of look to it.

So all of that together, including the areas that were injured, you know, not being an exploratory area, these — these are the things that we would see on a accidental type of spill.

So one component would be an upside down triangle? 1 Q. 2 Α. Yes. So one of the conclusions you reached was that if 3 the mug of hot water would have fallen off the countertop 4 towards Chance, there would be some sort of upside down 5 triangle pattern, whether on his hands, or on some part of 6 his body or on his body overall? 7 It depends on how the liquid lands or if there's 8 Α. clothing and what's in the way. But that is a representative accidental type of burn. So, I wouldn't necessarily expect 10 that there had to be a triangle or an inverted triangle, but 11 12: that's something that, again, of the 35,000 patients I've 13 seen just in the last six years for, you know, general medicine and abuse and whatnot, yes, an upside down kind of 14 inverted triangle would be a typical accidental spill. 15 I believe that on direct examination the District 16 Attorney asked you if you had considered the possibility of 1.7 the mug falling different directions, correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 So if we have a mug on a countertop, the basic idea is that it could fall in -- it could fall towards the 22 person --23 Α. Sure. -- it could fall away from the person, it could fall 2.4 Q. from left to right or from right to left? 25

1	A. Yeah, possibly.
25	Q. Or any combination of those?
3	A. Correct.
4	Q. A great variety of ways the cup could fall, correct?
5	A. Sure, yes.
6	Q. Okay. And possibly, the direction the cup would
7	fall would depend on the force that was applied to the cup?
8	A. A direction of the force that was applied to the
9	cup.
10	Q. A direction of the force and maybe the amount of
1,1	force, correct?
12	MR. BURTON: At this point, Your Honor, objection.
13	Outside of her scope of expertise.
14	THE COURT: Can you answer these questions?
15	THE WITNESS: I guess, in a general sense, I could.
16	THE COURT: I'm going to allow her to answer.
17	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
1/8	Q. In fact, on direct examination, the District
19	Attorney asked you your opinion on the possibility of a mug
20	falling in different directions
21	A. Um-h'm,
22	Q right? So that's something you analyzed?
23	A. Again, you know, people who write literature for us
24	to look at and practice medicine, that would be an analysis.
25	We as a physician would do an assessment or an evaluation.

Slightly different type of approach. So I'm not sure exactly how you mean by analysis.

- Q. So assessment is what you would call it?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.1

12

13

14

1.5

.16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

- Q. You would do an assessment? Okay. So the District Attorney asked you your opinion on a mug falling in different directions?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you did an assessment on what would happen if a 10 mug fell (inaudible), right?
 - A. Yeah, we talked about my opinion on, you know, kind of what would we expect in that situation.
 - Q. Okay. And so as you're assessing that type of situation, you have to take into account the type of force, the amount of force and the direction of the force that might have been applied to the mug, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And so we can agree that the way the mug is going to fall depends on the type of force and the direction of the force?
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. If a mug is placed on the countertop and it falls from left to right, or from right to left, are you familiar with the type of patterns that the water would make?

 MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor.

Verbatim Digital Reporting, LLC ◆ 303-798-0890

THE COURT: Where? I mean, it -- the question 1 doesn't make sense. 2 3 BY MS. HOLIDAY: Q. And if this is not within the scope of your 4 expertise, that's okay, Doctor. 5 Α, Um-h'm. 6 But if you can answer, are you familiar with the 7 pattern on the countertop that water would make if it spilled 8 from a cup on to the countertop? 9 Not necessarily. As a liquid spills, I don't --10 that's not what I'm looking at. I'm looking at the effect, I 11 guess, of hot water on a body, not so much on the countertop. 12 Q. Okay. So then let's go with that -- or that 13 14 assessment. 15 Um-h'm. Α. Would you be familiar with the pattern that the 16 Q. water would make on the human body if it spilled from left to 17 right over the human body instead of the countertop? 18

- A. I mean, I'd have an expectation of -- of what it might look like and, I guess, I'm trying to think of scenarios where if something has spilled towards somebody and they receive something, so yeah, I would be familiar with in general, how that might look like.
 - Q. What would you expect that to look like?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. You know, more often than not, something splash

marks, you know, again, whatever body part is closer to that hottest water, that hottest part of the water to have a worst burn, things that are further as cooled off so it will have lesser burns, potentially, same kind of gravitational, the pooling, depending on how that body part is positioned and, you know, is it water, is it something greasy, I guess, you know, are we expecting droplets or a line where the water is, I don't know.

- Q. You talked about an inverted triangle earlier.
 Would it still create an inverted triangle type pattern?
- A. So, what body -- I mean, are we specifically talking about hands on a countertop?
 - Q. Sure. Absolutely.
- A. You know, potentially if one obeys the basic pathophysiology of your body, your reflexes, and they move away from something, because you know, even someone, trained masters would have a very difficult time keeping hands as their flesh is burning at second, you know, third degree burns and keeping them there solid and letting them stay there so that the water settles. So I would anticipate movement and having potentially that triangular pattern.
- Q. Okay. A triangular pattern maybe.
 - A. Potentially.
 - Q. But signs of movement certainly?
- A. Yes.

-5

1.8

2:2:

Q. Okay.

2.5

- A. For the most part, yeah.
- Q. When you analyze the burns to the back of Chance's hands, do you see signs of movement?
- A. There is well, it's hard because there is one area that looks like a little bit of a splash mark. Is that the water itself moving or is that him moving? So I see very little signs of him actually moving, but potentially that one little splash mark.

MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.

BY MS. HOLIDAY:

- Q. Do you think it looks like, according to the burn pattern, that there was -- the hands had freedom of movement?
 - A. No. I don't -- I don't think so.
- Q. Does the burn pattern indicate to you that the hands were perfectly still?
- A. For the most part. They I mean, they appear that the edges of the burns were fairly smooth. They stop at kind of similar places. There's sparing in some key areas. And so they would be more consistent with lack of movement.
- Q. Let's talk about sparing for a minute, Doctor. You said you noticed sparing on both of the palms of the hands in this case, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. And again, you said that that could be a cause -- or

```
as a result of hands either being placed on a surface or of
 1
    hands being curled into a fist?
 2
 3
              Potentially.
         Α.
 4
         0.
              Okay.
 5
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
 6
              Doctor --
         Q.
               THE COURT: Mr. Cheng, do you need a break? Do you
 8
    need a break? Are you okay? I just want to make sure you're
    okay. If you need a break, I'm happy to take auto break.
10
    You're okay? All right.
11
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
12
              You testified that you think the burn depth is
13
    consistent throughout the injuries?
14
15
              The majority of it is consistent. Could there be
    some areas that are little lighter burn? Yes, but overall
16
    they appear fairly uniform.
17
              So that would be the burn depth (inaudible)?
1.8
         Q.
19
         Α.
              Yes.
20
             And the degree of the burn?
         0.
         Α
              Same thing.
21
              Okay. If I could ask you about a couple of the
22
         Q.
23
    pictures.
24
         Α.
             Sure.
              I'm going to refer specifically to State's Exhibit
25.
         Q.
```

1 22 first. 2 Α. Okay. Now, this is the spot where you indicated it looks 3 Q. like there might be a little splash mark, correct? 4 Correct. 5 Α. Does it look to you, Doctor, like there was not much 6 0. water on the very right side of Chance's right hand? I don't think I understand what you mean. 8 À. So the area that looks not burned --Ö. 9 Okay. A. 10 -- you believe that's because there was no water in 11 0. that area? 12 13 Α. Correct. And so fair to say this is Chance's right hand, 14 0. correct? 15 16 Α. Yes. The area that's not burned looks to be the very 17 Q. right most side of his hand? Yes. Towards his thumb. 19 Α. Does it look like the edge of his pinky is burned 20 from what you can tell in this picture? 21 From this -- it doesn't appear to be, no. It seems 22 just more the surface, that dorsal surface. 23 Okay. In looking at State's Exhibit 21, this is 24

still the right hand?

Α. Yes. 1 And does it look to you from this pattern like 2 Chance was burned by water on the left most part of his right 4 hand? By left do you mean pinky, because it's not a 5 medical reference of location, so I'm not really sure where 6 you mean. Towards the thumb or towards the pinky? 7 Towards the thumb. So if you're looking at his 8 hand --9 Okay. 10 Α. -- it would be like the left side of the picture. 11 Q. Okay, of the picture. 12 Α. Or left of his hand towards the thumb. 13. Q. And what was the question? 14 Α. It looks like that's where the water burned him, 15 0. 16 correct? Wherever there's burns, that ace where water 17 Α. 18 contacted. Okay. From these two pictures, the one that's up 1.9 Q. there now of his right hand --20 Α. Uh-huh. 21 -- towards his thumb area and his left hand towards 22 his -- I mean, his right hand towards his --23 24 Α. Okay.

25

Q.

-- pinky area, is it fair to say that there was more

water towards the thumb area of his right hand than there was towards the pinky area of his right hand?

- A. I think -- and, no. I think what's the problem here is that his hand's curled like this and so it gives a little bit of different kind of spatial awareness of where the burns are. Flattening it out, it appears to be kind of on that same plane. So, I mean, I -- and I couldn't really speak to how much water was what area. It was still hot water, fairly uniform over that area or hot liquid.
- Q. So do you think if his hand wasn't in this position, we might see more burns on that outer side of his pinky finger or does it appear to you --
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16.

17:

- Q. -- that there's a line on his pinky finger of where the burn starts and stops?
 - A. Right. There's a line of demarcation on both sides.
 - Q. Okay. And this is Chance's left hand, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. How much does it appear to you that the burn extends?
- 21 A. That appears to extend almost to the -- the border 22 of the palm.
- 23 MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
- 24 BY MS. HOLIDAY:
 - Q. When making your assessments to come to your

conclusions and opinions in this case --1 2 Α. Um-h'm. -- were there certain assumptions you had to make? Q. 3 About what? Α. 4 First, the assumption that mug -- or that the 5 0. statement was that the mug fell towards Chance. б No, that wasn't an assumption that was made. only facts that -- or, I guess, history that I was given was that there was a mug with hot water and that it was on the floor and nobody had actually witnessed what had happened. 10 So I don't think towards Chance or away from him was an 11. 12 assumption. But your assessment was that there would be a 13 Ö. different injury --14 1.5 Α. Right. -- the water fell towards Chance? 16 Fair enough. Common things being common, and 17 A. clinically relevant scenarios, such as this, yes, I guess, it 18 would be towards him. 19 Okay. Are you familiar with the concept of 20 confirmation bias? 21 Α. 22 Yes.

peripherally have done with multi-center groups. But again,

Again, when someone's conducting research, I

Can you explain what that means?

23

24

25

Ö.

this is not clinical research. This is more like in a lab 1 with rats and, you know, things like that or -- or, you know, even with clinical, it's kind of circular reasoning, my 3 understanding of confirmation bias where you're looking for 4 something so that's what you choose, and then that confirms .5 that you found what you're looking for. 6 So you're given an idea or a suggestion, you might 7 Q. be more inclined to agree with that idea or suggestion? 8 MR. BURTON: Your Honor, at this time, objection. 9 Relevance. We're talking about labs. 10 THE COURT: What's the relevance? 11 12 MS. HOLIDAY: I think we can --THE COURT: This isn't --13 MS. HOLIDAY: -- cross-examine the witness on bias. 14 THE COURT: But there are --15 MR. BURTON: She testified --16 We don't have that situation here. 17 THE COURT: MR. BURTON: Right. It's a lab. This is a 18 clinical situation. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 21 It's fair to say, Doctor, that the concept of 22 confirmation bias is that someone is given an idea or a 23 suggestion, whether it be in a lab or in an everyday setting, 24 and the idea is that our brains are a little bit more 25

inclined to agree with that idea or suggestion? 1 I believe in poorly conducted analysis, assessments 2 and evaluation, a non-objective observer may fall into that 3 definition of confirmation bias. 4 Because you know about confirmation bias, you can 5 take steps to try to avoid it, right? 6 If one day I conduct clinical research, absolutely. 7 Α. So are you saying that confirmation bias only 8 applies in the field of research? -9 I mean, I guess, in layman's terms, like a 10 self-fulfilling prophecy would be just kind of the general 11 talks. So no, I mean, there are -- unfortunately, there are 12 people who would subjective in these types of evaluations, so 13 no, that's not just existing in clinical are much. 14 There would be people who are susceptible --15 Q. Α. Um-h'm. 16 -- to this kind of confirmation bias? 17 Q. Α. Absolutely. 18 But not everybody is susceptible to confirmation 19 20 bias? I don't believe so, no. Α. 21 Why would some people be susceptible to confirmation 22 Q. bias and not other people? 23 MR, BURTON: Objection. Relevance. 24 THE COURT: Sustained.

1	MR. BURTON: Speculation.
2	MS. HOLIDAY: I'll move on.
.3	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
4	Q. When you make your assessment, if you rely on
5	incorrect information, you will come to the wrong conclusion,
6	correct?
Ż	A. Are you I guess, what are you referring to with
8	incorrect information?
9.	Q. In general, if you use incorrect facts to come to a
1.0	conclusion, there's a possibility that that conclusion will
11	therefore be incorrect, too?
12	MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. Vague.
13	THE COURT: Can you answer this? Do you understand
14	the question?
15	THE WITNESS: Not not in the context of how I
16	would conduct an evaluation.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to rephrase the
18	question?
1.9	BY MS. HOLIDAY:
20	Q. When you conduct an actual situation
21	A. Um-h'm.
22	Q like kind of what you talked about earlier, you
23	start with facts or information that you're given?
24.	A. Information, subjective. Maybe facts, maybe not.
25	Q. Okay. Information. Could be true, could be not

1 true? 2 Α. Fair. Okay. You then make an assessment and reach a 3 Q. conclusion? 4 With objective data in there and that kind of global 5 Α. 6 assessment of everything, yes. You're going to have objective data? 7 Q. Α. Yes. 8 That you know to be correct? 9 0. Correct. 10 Α. And you're going to have subjective data that may or 11 12 may not be correct? 13 Α. Correct. And if you have some incorrect subjective data, the 14 conclusion that you ultimately reach might be flawed, right? 15 16 17 I understand what you're trying to ask. So in the Α. event of a child abuse assessment, for instance, there's a 1.8 differential. There's an accident, there's a medical 19 condition, there's a abuse, something in between or a 20 21 combination of them. Okay? So I come into that assessment and I'm given a 22 history, which may or may not be correct. That wouldn't lead 23 me necessarily to say these injuries are abusive. It would 24

be a component of that. Objectively, the injuries say, okay,

this fracture, yeah, this needs a history. If it doesn't have a history, I potentially am concerned or this history doesn't match.

So I think it's kind of a chicken and egg of how that subjective data is used to support what an objective mechanism or how that injury actually looks, I guess, would be more how I would explain that.

- Q. Sometimes when you're evaluating injuries, you run reconstructions or experiments with water; is that correct?
 - A. Um, sometimes, yeah.
 - Q. Okay. Can you explain how you would do that?

 MR. BURTON: Objection. Relevance.

THE COURT: Sustained. If you want to narrow it.

BY MS. HOLIDAY:

1

2

3.

4

5

6.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Specifically, if you're evaluating a child burn injury in the past or sometimes you run experiments or recreations with water to give you an idea of how the burn may have occurred?
 - A. Yeah, sometimes.
- Q. Okay. Did you do that in this case?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Did anybody ask you to do that in this case?
- A. No, I believe -- no.
- Q. How do you see where that water in those experiments ends up? Do you use like blue dye or anything like that.

```
MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. Outside the
 1
 2
    realm.
              THE COURT: Can you answer that?
 3
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can answer that.
 4
              THE COURT: I'm going to allow her to answer.
 5
              THE WITNESS: Yeah, so Mr. Peltier who is that blue
 6
    dye guy, he is -- is class that I took on burn analysis, and
    I thought he had a pretty ingenious way of demonstrating
 8
    burns without burning myself or anybody else. And so I tend
 .9
    to use a -- a clothing dye. I don't use blue necessarily,
10
    but that is one way that I've kind of just kind of looked at
11
    patterns to see how unusual burns may have occurred.
12
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
13
             Would running one of those recreations have helped
14
         Q.
    you in forming an opinion or conclusion in this case?
15
16
         À.
             No:
              MS. HOLIDAY: Court's indulgence.
17
    BY MS. HOLIDAY:
1.8
             Dr. Cetl, in the pictures or medical records that
19
    you examined --
2.0
             Úm−h'm.
21
         Α.
             -- were there any signs of bruises --
22
         Q.
23
         Α.
             No.
24
         Q.
             -- on Chance?
2.5
             No.
         Α.
```

- Q. Or any other physical signs that force would have been applied to his hands or any other part of his body?
- A. I don't necessarily always expect to have bruises with force. I was held down in a dental chair for three hours today, and I don't have anything but not that's neither here nor there, but you know, I think that it depends if somebody has blunt force trauma applied to them, they may have some bruising. And if somebody is being held down, that force is just to keep them stable and not necessarily cause capillaries to burst and bruises and whatnot. So no, I didn't see, but I didn't really expect to.
- Q. In your opinion, do you think the pattern of burns in this case is consistent with Chance's hands being held under running water?
 - A. More than likely, yes.

б

1.2

1.8

- Q. And can you tell me why the pattern of burns in this case leads you to that conclusion?
- A. Because we talked about with emersion burns, it did not appear that you would have a amount of water or body of water where his hands were placed in there. It would be a very awkward and unusual way to do that. So more than likely there it appeared to be more of a pour or running of water in a very specific kind of area.
- Q. Can you tell from the pattern of burns or do you have an opinion whether the water would have come from

further away or closer to Chance's hands? 1 I mean, I guess, what do you mean by further or 2 closer? 3 For example, would you expect to see splash marks if 0. 4 the water was poured from higher above? 5 Α. Potentially, yes. 6 Okay. Do you think that the burn pattern injury on 7 Q. Chance's hands is consistent with the water being poured 8 closer to his hands, not from further away? Potentially, sure. 10 Α. From the pictures that you examined and the medical 11 records, can you tell what direction the water was would have 12 been poured from? 13 MR. BURTON: Objection, Your Honor. We talked 14 about this. Relevance. 15 THE COURT: Sustained. 16 BY MS. HOLIDAY: 17 Do you have -- from looking at -- let's take this 18 picture, for example. You're an expert in burn patterns, 19 correct? 20. Expert in child abuse, burn patterns being a part of Ä. 21 22 that. Does this burn pattern indicate to you what 23 0. direction the water flow would have been coming from? 24

Not necessarily, no.

Does this burn pattern indicate to you what No. 1 0. direction the water flow would have been coming from? 2 No, not necessarily. 3 Does this burn pattern indicate to you what 4 direction the water flow would have been coming from? 5 Other than from up above, no. I don't know, I mean, 6 Α. left, right, that kind of thing, no. 7 Certainly, from above his hands? 8 Yes. 9 À. But you can't tell if it would have been a flow from 10 Q., left to right or right to left? 11 12 Α. No. From these burn patterns, can you tell what angle 13 0. Chance's hands would have had to have been at to create this 14 kind of burn pattern? 15 More than likely, because of the sparing we have on 16 our palms, you know, if they were on -- on something colder, 17 they would have been more in a horizontal or a near 18 horizontal way because there's sparing there. But if his 19 hands were, you know, in fists as well, also horizontal 20 because again, you know, if they were up above, we would 21 expect them, you know, some flow of the water. If they were 22 on any other direction, you would see burns and a little bit 23 different. 24 But specifically exactly what angle, no. 25

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	DONOVINE MATHEWS,) No. 72701
4	Appellant,)
5	vi.)
6)
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
8	Respondent.)
9	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME VI PAGES 1251-1500
10	PHILIP J. KOHN STEVE WOLFSON
11	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
14	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
15	(702) 687-3538 Counsel for Respondent
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
18	Supreme Court on the day of, 2017. Electronic Service of the
19	foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
20	ADAM LAXALT DEBORAH WESTBROOK STEVEN S. OWENS HOWARD S. BROOKS
21	I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
22 23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
24	DONOVINE MATHEWS
25	NDOC# 1161064 c/o High Desert State Prison
26	PO Box 650 Indian Springs, NV 89070
27	
28	BY
	Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office