EXHIBIT 1

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ELIZABETH C. HOWARD,

SHAUGHAN L. HUGHES,

Appellant,

Respondent.

an individual,

V.

Case No. 72965 and 72685

OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL

On August 31, 2017, Appellant filed a Motion to Extend Time to Respond to Order to Show Cause. Appellant filed the Motion before the deadline to Respond to the Order. On September 5, 2017, Appellant filed the requisite Response. Any delay was *de minimis* and non-prejudicial. Respondent Shaughan Hughes, citing no legal authority whatsoever, requests dismissal of the appeal in its entirety.

This Court has a sound policy preference for deciding cases on the merit.

Huckabay Props. v. NC Auto Parts, 322 P.3d 429 (Nev. 2014). Respondent has made no attempt to tie the de minimis delay to the merits of the appeal.

Appellant Elizabeth Howard was attentive to the deadline and timely filed a Motion to Extend Time in lieu of a Response. Further, Appellant filed the Response within one week. No further extension of time is necessary.

///

The Order to Show Cause is chiefly a matter between the Court and Appellant. Respondent's Request to Dismiss attempts to "piggyback" resolution of the merits of the case upon the tangential Order to Show Cause. Respondent has no dog in this fight. The Order and Response do not speak to the underlying merits of this case. Accordingly, Respondent's Request for Dismissal should be DENIED.

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030: The undersigned does hereby affirm that the within document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 15th day of September 2017.

By:/s/ Charles R. Kozak, Esq.
CHARLES R. KOZAK, ESQ. (SBN No. 11179)
chuck@kozaklusianilaw.com
KOZAK LAW, LLC
3100 Mill St., Suite 115
Reno, NV 89502
Phone: (775) 322-1239

Facsimile: (775) 800-1767

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP Rule 5(b), I hereby certify I am an employee of Kozak
Lusiani Law, LLC and that on September 15, 2017, I electronically filed the

APPELLANT'S OPPOSITION TO REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL with the

Clerk of the Court by using the electronic filing system which will send a notice
of electronic filing to the following:

Allison & MacKenzie, LTD. / Justin M. Townsend, Esq.

DATED September 15, 2017.

/s/ Dedra L. Sonne Dedra L. Sonne Employee of Kozak Lusiani Law, LLC

	IN SUI REVIE COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
2		
3	ELIZABETH C. HOWARD, an	Supreme Court No.: 72685
4	individual,	Electronically Filed
5	Appellant,	Sep 15 2017 03:25 p.r Elizabeth A. Brown
6		Clerk of Supreme Cou
7	VS.	
8	SHAUGHNAN L. HUGHES,	
9	Respondent.	
10		_/
L1 L2	ELIZABETH C. HOWARD, an	Supreme Court No.: 72965
.3		•
4	individual,	
L5	Appellant,	
.6	VS.	
.7	CHALICHNANT INICHEC	
.8	SHAUGHNAN L. HUGHES,	
.9	Respondent.	
20		_/
21	APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION	
2	TO REQUEST	FOR DISMISSAL
:3	COMES NOW, Appellant, and moves this Court for an Order to extend	
24	time to respond to its Respondent's Request for Dismissal. This Motion is based	
25		
26	on the papers on file herein along with the following points and authorities.	

NRAP 26(b)(1)(A) provides: "For good cause, the court may extend the

28

1

4

3

5

7

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

25

2627

28

time prescribed by these Rules or by its order to perform any act, or may permit an act to be done after that time expires."

On July 26, 2017, this Court entered an Order to Show Cause, giving Appellant to and including August 25, 2017 in which to respond. However, counsel's office was unaware of the Order entered as it was not sent to the primary calendaring email of dedra@kozaklusianilaw.com. On August 31, 2017, Respondent filed his Request for Dismissal predicated on counsel's failure to respond timely to the Court's Order to Show Cause. Immediately upon learning of the Order to Show Cause on August 31, 2017, Appellant filed her Motion to Extend Time to File Response to Order to Show Cause. On September 1, 2017, Respondent filed his Opposition to Appellant's Motion to Extend Time to File Response to Order to Show Cause. On September 5, 2017, Appellant filed her Response to the Order to Show Cause (which remains pending). On September 11, 2017 Appellant filed her Reply in Support of Motion to Extend Time in Docket No. 72965. Out of an abundance of caution on September 15, 2017, Appellant submitted her Opposition to Request for Dismissal. The Opposition was rejected by the Clerk as untimely with the suggestion that Appellant file an Motion to Extend Time to file Opposition, consequently Appellant hereby moves for an Extension of Time to file Opposition. The Court has yet to rule on Appellant's August 31, 2017 Motion

1	to Extend Time to File Response to Order to Show Cause in Docket No. 72965;		
2	to Extend Time to The Response to Order to Show Cause in Docket No. 72903,		
3	therefore, an Opposition to Respondents Request for Dismissal is actually		
4	premature.		
5	According to the Clerk's instruction, the Opposition to the Request for		
7	Dismissal is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.		
8	DATED September 15, 2017.		
9	/s/ Charles R. Kozak		
10	CHARLES R. KOZAK, ESQ. (SBN 11179)		
11	chuck@kozaklusianilaw.com		
12	R. CRAIG LUSIANI, ESQ. (SBN 552)		
13	craig@kozaklusianilaw.com KOZAK LUSIANI LAW, LLC		
14	3100 Mill Street, Suite 115		
15	Reno, Nevada 89502		
16	Telephone (775) 322-1239 Facsimile (775) 800-1767		
	Attorney for Appellant		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
Τ	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6

27

28

Pursuant to NRCP Rule 5(b), I hereby certify I am an employee of Kozak

Lusiani Law, LLC and that on September 15, 2017, I electronically filed the

APPELLANT'S MOTION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION

TO REQUEST TO DISMISS with the Clerk of the Court by using the

electronic filing system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following:

Allison & MacKenzie, LTD. / Justin M. Townsend, Esq.

DATED September 15, 2017.

/s/ Dedra L. Sonne Dedra L. Sonne Employee of Kozak Lusiani Law, LLC