

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JAVIER RIGHETTI,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 73015

FILED

AUG 16 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Respondent shall have until September 5, 2018, to file and serve the answering brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Clark County Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney