

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; AND JOHN
ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF THE
LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.

MARJORIE B. BOULDEN, TRUSTEE
OF THE MARJORIE B. BOULDEN
TRUST; LINDA LAMOTHE; JACQUES
LAMOTHE, TRUSTEES OF THE
JACQUES & LINDA LAMOTHE
LIVING TRUST; ROBERT Z. DISMAN;
AND YVONNE A. DISMAN,

Respondents.

No. 73039

FILED


APR 24 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the reply brief is treated and granted as a joint motion for a second extension of time. *See* NRAP 31(b)(2). Appellants shall have until April 27, 2018, to file and serve the reply brief. No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Gibbs Giden Locher Turner Senet & Wittbrodt LLP/Las Vegas
Fidelity National Law Group
Foley & Oakes, PC
Christensen James & Martin