

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

TRUDI LEE LYTLE; AND JOHN
ALLEN LYTLE, AS TRUSTEES OF THE
LYTLE TRUST,

Appellants,

vs.


MARJORIE B. BOULDEN, TRUSTEE
OF THE MARJORIE B. BOULDEN
TRUST; LINDA LAMOTHE; JACQUES
LAMOTHE, TRUSTEES OF THE
JACQUES & LINDA LAMOTHE
LIVING TRUST; ROBERT Z. DISMAN;
AND YVONNE A. DISMAN,

Respondents.

No. 73039

FILED

JUL 23 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Briefing of this appeal was completed on April 27, 2018, when appellants filed their reply brief. Appellants have now filed a motion for leave to file a brief in response to the brief of amicus curiae filed on March 19, 2018. Certain respondents have filed an opposition.

Appellants assert amicus curiae raise unique arguments that appellants were unable to address in their reply brief because doing so would have (1) caused the reply brief to exceed the page limitation and (2) "muddied the proverbial waters." Appellants do not explain why they waited several weeks after the filing of the amicus brief and the reply brief to seek leave to file a response. And we are not convinced that either of the explanations proffered by appellants constitute good cause to warrant the filing of an additional brief at this stage of the proceedings. Accordingly,

the motion is denied. The clerk shall detach the proposed brief from appellants' June 19, 2018, motion and return it unfiled.

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Gibbs Giden Locher Turner Senet & Wittbrodt LLP/Las Vegas
Fidelity National Law Group
Foley & Oakes, PC
Christensen James & Martin