## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

### INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

HELEN NATKO, Apellant

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent

No. 73048

Electronically Filed May 31 2017 09:11 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown

DOCKETING STATEMENOF Supreme Court CRIMINAL APPEALS

(Including appeals from pretrial and post-conviction rulings and other requests for post-conviction relief)

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions.

Revised December 2015

1. Judicial District Eighth	County	Clark
Judge William Kephart		ase No. C-16-313571-1
2. If the defendant was given a sentence,	District Ct. Ct	110.
(a) what is the sentence?		
Sentencing is scheduled for July	v 31. 2017.	
20110011001100 22 20110110101 2011 2011	,,	
(b) has the sentence been stayed pending ap	peal?	
Not Applicable		
(c) was defendant admitted to bail pending a	ppeal?	
Not Applicable		
3. Was counsel in the district court appointed	□ or retained	₭ ?
4. Attorney filling this docketing statemen	nt:	
Attorney Daniel T. Foley, Esq.	Telepho	ne 702-384-2070
Firm Foley & Oakes, PC		
Address:		
626 So. 8th Street, Las Vegas,	NV 89101	
Client(s) Helen Natko		
5. Is appellate counsel appointed ☐ or retain	ed 🗓 ?	
If this is a joint statement by mu	ltiple appellant	s, add the names and

If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

6. Attorney(s) representing respondent(s)	):
Attorney Jay P. Raman	Telephone 702-671-2500
Firm Clark County District Attorney	y's Office
Address: 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vega	as, NV 89155
Client(s) The State of Nevada	
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address:	
7. Nature of disposition below:  ☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Judgment after jury verdict ☐ Judgment upon guilty plea ☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss ☐ Parole/probation revocation ☐ Motion for new trial ☐ grant ☐ denial ☐ Motion to withdraw guilty plea	separate sheet if necessary)  Grant of pretrial habeas Grant of motion to suppress evidence Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch. 34)  ☐ grant ☐ denial  Other disposition (specify):
☐ grant ☐ denial  8. Does this appeal raise issues concerning	any of the following:
	juvenile offender
☐ life sentence	pretrial proceedings
9. <b>Expedited appeals:</b> The court may decide to Are you in favor of proceeding in such manner?	expedite the appellate process in this matter.
Γ Yes   ∇ No	

10. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are related to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction proceedings):

Not Applicable

11. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts that are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

Not Applicable

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Two criminal charges, for theft (NRS205.0832, NRS205.0835.4 - NOC 55991) and exploitation of a vulnerable person (NRS200.5092, NRS200.5099 - NOC 50304) were filed against Helen Natko for her withdrawal of \$195,000 from her joint bank account with Delford Mencarelli on July 5, 2013 and Helen Natko's return of the \$195,000 to her same joint account on July 31, 2013. There were no allegations that any damages were suffered by any person and there was no claim for restitution. The result in District Court was a jury verdict of guilty against Helen Natko on both criminal charges, which are both Class B Felonies.

- 13. **Issues on appeal.** State specifically all issues in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
- 1. Judge Kephart erred when he gave jury instruction #18 "A person's status as a joint account holder does not by itself provide lawful authority to use or transfer another's assets for their own benefit". Walch v. State, 112 Nev. 25, 909 P.2d 1184 (1996).
- 2. Not sufficient evidence to prove that Ms. Natko intended to permanently deprive Mr. Mencarelli of his money.
- 3. Not sufficient evidence to prove willful, knowing, felonious, conversion of Mr. Mencarelli's money.
- 14. **Constitutional issues:** If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

N/A✓ Yes✓ No

If not, explain:

15. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly
set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to
the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which
the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite
its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or
circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their
importance or significance:

The case involves the conviction of Ms. Natko of two Class B felonies. The case is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court because there are no subparagraphs under NRAP 17(b) that apply.

		or of public interest. Does this appeal present a appression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an importan	t
First impression	on:	₹ No	
Public interest	:	X No	
		on proceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district al or evidentiary hearing last?	5
7 days			
18. Oral argument?	nt. Would you	object to submission of this appeal for disposition withou	ıt
√X Yes	□ No		

# TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

19. Date district court announced decision, se	entence or order appealed from April 11, 2017
20. Date of entry of written judgment or order (a) If no written judgment or order was fi seeking appellate review:	er appealed from April 11, 2017 iled in the district court, explain the basis for
	vas tolled by a post judgment motion,
Arrest judgment	Date filed
	Date filed
(b) Date of entry of written order resolving	g motion
23. Date notice of appeal filed May 5, 201	L7
24. Specify statute or rule governing the time 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.0156  NRAP 4(b)	e limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP (2), or other

## SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

25. Specify statute, rule or other auth	nority that grants this court jurisdiction to review from:
NRS 177.015(1)(b)	NRS 34.560
NRS 177.015(1)(c)	NRS 34.575(1)
	NRS 34.560(2)
	Other (specify)
NRS 177.055	
	VERIFICATION  ovided in this docketing statement is true and ledge, information and belief.
Helen Natko	Daniel T. Foley
Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record
Date 5/30/17	Signature of counsel of record
CERT	IFICATE OF SERVICE
I certify that on the 307 day	of 20 17, I served a copy of this completed
docketing statement upon all counse	
$\Gamma$ By personally serving it upon	him/her; or
Xaddress(es):  Jay P. Raman  Attorneys for the St 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, NV 8915	
Dated this 30 <sup>Th</sup> day o	of May, 20 17 May Signature