IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

Electronically Filed Jan 10 2019 04:03 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

MICHAEL A. TRICARICHI,

Appellant,

Case Number: 73175

v.

District Court Case Number: A-16-735910-B

COÖPERATIEVE RABOBANK U.A., UTRECHT-AMERICA FINANCE CO. and SEYFARTH SHAW LLP,

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES

Respondents.

MORRIS LAW GROUP Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543 Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 Ryan M. Lower, Bar No. 9108 411 E. Bonneville Ave, Ste. 360 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone No.: (702) 474-9400

Attorneys for Respondent Seyfarth Shaw LLP

Respondent Seyfarth Shaw LLP ("Seyfarth") hereby gives notice under NRAP 31(e) of the following supplemental authorities, which were decided after Seyfarth filed its answering brief:

- 1. *Morrill v. Scott Fin. Corp.*, 873 F.3d 1136 (9th Cir. 2017). *Morrill* held that specific personal jurisdiction requires (1) a "tort [that] must involve the forum state itself, and not just have some effect on a party who resides there," and (2) a "prima facie showing" that a defendant's "alleged actions were directed at" the forum state, and not just the plaintiff. 873 F.3d at 1145, 1148-49. This case supplements the authorities cited on pages 16, 20, 21, and 22 of Seyfarth's Answering Brief.
- 2. Old. Rep. Nat. Title Ins. Co. v. Bell, 549 S.W.3d 550 (Tex. 2018). The Bell court held that the "mere existence or allegation of a conspiracy directed at Texas is not sufficient to confer [personal] jurisdiction"; nor is it enough that a defendant knew that the 'brunt' of the alleged harm would be felt there. Bell, 549 S.W.3d at 560, 565. Rather, the "'effects' of the alleged tort must connect the defendant to the forum state itself, not just to a plaintiff who lives there." Id. at 564. This case supplements the authorities cited on pages 16, 22, 26, 29 and 30 of Seyfarth's Answering Brief.

- 3. Wescott v. Reisner, 2018 WL 2463614 (N.D. Cal. Jun. 1, 2018). Wescott held that "where conspiracy is alleged, an exercise of personal jurisdiction must be based on forum-related acts that were personally committed by each nonresident defendant, and acts of an alleged co-conspirator [] cannot be imputed to establish jurisdiction over the third party defendant." Id. at *4 (internal quotation marks, alterations, and citations omitted) (emphasis in the original). This case supplements the authorities cited on pages 29 and 30 of Seyfarth's Answering Brief.
- 4. *David L. v. Super. Ct.*, 240 Cal. Rptr. 3d 462 (Cal. App. 2018). *David L.* holds, *inter alia*, that under *Walden v. Fiore*, 134 S. Ct. 1115 (2014), specific jurisdiction must rest on a defendant's contacts with the forum, "not merely a plaintiff who lives here," and those forum contacts must be "suit-related," and not be for unrelated business or personal purposes. *David L.*, 240 Cal. Rptr. 3d at 465, 474. This case supplements the authorities cited on pages 16 and 20 of Seyfarth's Answering Brief.
- 5. *Yagman v. Kelly*, 2018 WL 2138461 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 20, 2018). The court in *Yagman* declined to adopt the conspiracy theory of jurisdiction, observing that there was not a "single opinion from any California court, the Ninth Circuit, or any district court within the Ninth

Circuit that endorses 'a conspiracy theory of jurisdiction'. . . ." *Yagman*, 2018 WL 2138461, at *8. This case supplements the case law cited on page 28 of Seyfarth's Answering Brief.

Finally, the Tax Court opinion on which Seyfarth relies on page 8 of its Answering Brief to support its legal arguments was affirmed by the 9th Circuit on November 13, 2018. *See Tricarichi v. Comm'r of Internal Revenue*, No. 16-73418, 2018 WL 5921226 (9th Cir. Nov. 13, 2018).

Respectfully submitted,

MORRIS LAW GROUP

By: <u>/s/STEVE MORRIS</u>
Steve Morris, Bar No. 1543

Akke Levin, Bar No. 9102 Ryan M. Lower, Bar No. 9108 411 E. Bonneville Ave., Ste. 360

Las Vegas, NV 89101

Attorneys for Respondent Seyfarth Shaw LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Nev. R. App. P. 25(b) and NEFR 9(f), I hereby certify that I am an employee of Morris Law Group; that on this date I electronically filed the following document: SEYFARTH SHAW LLP'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES with the Clerk of the Court for the Nevada Supreme Court by using the Nevada Supreme Court's E-Filing system (Eflex). Participants in the case who are registered with Eflex as users will be served by the Eflex system as follows:

Mark A. Hutchison Michael K. Wall Todd W. Prall HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Scott F. Hessell (*Pro Hac Vice*) Thomas D. Brooks (*Pro Hac Vice*) SPERLING & SLATER, P.C. 55 West Monroe, Suite 3200 Chicago, IL 60603

Attorneys for Appellant

Dan R. Waite LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Attorneys for Respondents Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A. and Utrecht-America Finance Co. Pursuant to Nev. R. App. P. 25, I certify that I am an employee of MORRIS LAW GROUP; that, in accordance therewith, I caused a copy of SEYFARTH SHAW LLP'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITIES to be delivered, in a sealed envelope, on the date and to the addressee(s) shown below (as indicated below):

Chris Paparella (*Pro Hac Vice*) HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP One Battery Park Plaza New York, NY 10004-1482

Attorneys for Respondents Coöperatieve Rabobank U.A. and Utrecht-America Finance Co.

DATED this 10th day of January, 2019.

By: <u>/s/ PATRICIA QUINN</u>