6/13/2017 10:01 AM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT NOAS** BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 Electronically Filed WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP Jun 19 2017 03:19 p.m. 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Elizabeth A. Brown Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Clerk of Supreme Court (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) GRAHAM WILSON, ESQ (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 melias@perkinscoie.com gwilson@perkinscoie.com efrost@perkinscoie.com acallais@perkinscoie.com Attorneys for Defendants EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA DANNY TARKANIAN, Case No: A-16-746797-C XXX Plaintiff, Dept. No.: NOTICE OF APPEAL VS. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X Defendant. Pursuant to NRS 41.670(4), Defendants Jacky Rosen and Rosen for Nevada, by and

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

Docket 73274 Document 2017-20286

Electronically Filed

Case Number: A-16-746797-C

through their counsel, Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP, hereby appeal the:

1	Order Denying Defendants' Anti-SLAPP Motion to Dismiss entered on June 12, 2017.			
2	DATED this 13 th day of June, 2017.			
3		IIN, SHAPIRO,		
4	4 SCHULMAN	& RABKIN, LLP		
5	5 By: /s/ Bradl	ey Schrager		
6	BRADL	EY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. State Bar No. 10217		
7	7 DANIE	L BRAVO, ESQ.		
8	8 3556 E.	Bar No. 13078 Russell Road, Second Floor		
9		as, Nevada 89120 es for Defendants		
10				
11	11			
12	12			
13	13			
14	14			
15	15			
16	16			
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	28			

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that on this 13th day of June, 2017, a true and correct copy of NOTICE
3	OF APPEAL was served by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the
4	Odyssey eFileNV system and serving all parties with an email-address on record, pursuant
5	to Administrative Order 14-2 and Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R. and by depositing a true copy
6	of the same for mailing, postage pre-paid, in the U.S. Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, said
7	envelope addressed to:
8	Samira C. Knight, Esq.
9	TARKANIAN & KNIGHT
10	LAW GROUP, PLLC 7220 S. Cimarron Rd., Suite 110
11	Las Vegas, NV 89113
12	

By: /s/ Dannielle R. Fresquez

Dannielle R. Fresquez, an Employee of WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP

Electronically Filed 6/13/2017 10:01 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com 7 MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) GRAHAM WILSON, ESQ (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 10 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 11 melias@perkinscoie.com gwilson@perkinscoie.com 12 efrost@perkinscoie.com 13 acallais@perkinscoie.com Attorneys for Defendants 14 15 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA 16 17 DANNY TARKANIAN, Case No: A-16-746797-C 18 19 Plaintiff, Dept. No.: XXX CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 20 VS. 21 JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X 22 Defendant. 23 24 25 Pursuant to NRS 41.670(4), Defendants Jacky Rosen and Rosen for Nevada (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their counsel, Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP, 26 27 hereby appeal the Order Denying Defendants' Anti-SLAPP Motion to Dismiss Entered on June

ASTA

1

28

12, 2017.

Case Number: A-16-746797-C

1	1. Appellants filing this appeal statement: Jacky Rosen and Rosen for Nevada		
2	2. Judge issuing decision, judgment, or order appealed from: Hon. Jerry A. Weise, I		
3	3.	Appellant: Defendants Jacky Rosen and Rosen for Nevada	
4		COUNSEL OF RECORD:	
5		Bradley S. Schrager, Esq. Daniel Bravo, Esq.	
6		Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor	
7		Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 bschrager@wrslawyers.com	
8		dbravo@wrslawyers.com	
9		Marc E. Elias, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Graham Wilson, Esq (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
10		Elisabeth C. Frost, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) Amanda R. Callais, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
11		Perkins Coie LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600	
12		Washington, D.C. 20005 melias@perkinscoie.com	
		gwilson@perkinscoie.com	
13		efrost@perkinscoie.com acallais@perkinscoie.com	
14	4.	Respondent: Plaintiff Danny Tarkanian	
15		COUNSEL OF RECORD:	
16		Samira C. Knight, Esq.	
17		Jenny L. Foley, Esq. Tarkanian & Knight Law Group, PLLC	
18		7220 S. Cimarron, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89113	
19		samira@tklawgroupnv.com jenny@tklawgroupnv.com	
20	5.	Out of State Counsel for Appellants were granted permission to appear by the	
21	District Court	, Orders attached hereto as Exhibit 1 . All other counsel identified above are licensed	
22	to practice in Nevada.		
23	6.	Appellant was represented by counsel in the district court.	
24	7.	Appellant is represented by counsel on appeal.	
25	8.	No request has been made to proceed in forma pauperis.	
26	9. The Complaint in this matter was originally filed on November 17, 2016.		
27	10.	The state court proceeding is complaint for liber per se, slander per se, and	

28 intentional infliction of emotional distress. The order being appealed is the Order Denying

1	Defendants'	Anti-SLAPP Motion to Dismiss, entered on June 12, 2017.	
2	11.	The case has not been subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the	
3	Supreme Cou	ırt.	
4	12.	This appeal does not involve child custody or visitation.	
5	13.	This appeal does not involve the possibility of settlement.	
6	DATED this 13 th day of June, 2017.		
7		WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,	
8		SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP	
9		By: /s/ Bradley Schrager	
10		BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217	
11		DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ.	
12		Nevada Bar No. 13078 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor	
13		Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Attorneys for Defendants	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that on this 13th day of June, 2017, a true and correct copy of NOTICE
3	OF APPEAL was served by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the
4	Odyssey eFileNV system and serving all parties with an email-address on record, pursuant
5	to Administrative Order 14-2 and Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R. and by depositing a true copy
6	of the same for mailing, postage pre-paid, in the U.S. Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, said
7	envelope addressed to:
8	Samira C. Knight, Esq.
9	TARKANIAN & KNIGHT
10	LAW GROUP, PLLC 7220 S. Cimarron Rd., Suite 110
11	Las Vegas, NV 89113
12	

By: /s/ Dannielle R. Fresquez

Dannielle R. Fresquez, an Employee of WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

Hun S. Lehren **CLERK OF THE COURT**

ORAP BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. | Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (pro hac vice motion to be filed) GRAHAM WILSON, ESQ (pro hac vice motion to be filed) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (pro hac vice motion to be filed) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 | Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 melias@perkinscoie.com gwilson@perkinscoie.com efrost@perkinscoie.com 12 Attorneys for Defendants 13

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

16

14

15

DANNY TARKANIAN,

VS.

Case No:

A-16-746797-C

18

Plaintiff,

Dept. No.:

XXI

MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

19

20 I

JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR

NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X

and ROES ENTITIES VI-X

ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE

22

21

Defendant.

23

24

25

26

27

28

MARC ERIK ELIAS, ESQ., having filed his Motion to Associate Counsel under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42, together with a Verified Application for Association of Counsel, a Certificate of Good Standing for the District of Columbia, and the State Bar of Nevada Statement; said application having been noticed, no objection having been made and the Court being fully apprised in the premises, and good cause appearing, it is hereby:

1	ORDERED, that said application is hereby GRANTED, and MARC ERIK ELIAS, ESQ.,
2	is hereby admitted to practice in the above-entitled Court for the purposes of the above-entitled
1. A.	matter only.
4	DATED this day of February, 2017.
5	A State of the sta
б	
7	DISTRICT COURT JÜDGE 40)
8	Submitted by:
9	WOLF, RIKKIN, SHAPIKO,
10	SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ.
	Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ.
12	Nevada Bar No. 13078 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89120
14	Attorneys for Defendants
15	The state of the s
16,	Bradley S. Schrager, Esq.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Hun J. Lehren

ORAP BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. **CLERK OF THE COURT** Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (pro hac vice motion to be filed) GRAHAM WILSON, ÈSQ (pro hac vice motion to be filed) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (pro hac vice motion to be filed) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 melias@perkinscole.com 11 gwilson@perkinscoie.com efrost@perkinscoie.com 12 Attornevs for Defendants 13 EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT 14 IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA 15 16 A-16-746797-C Case No: DANNY TARKANIAN, 17 XXI Dept. No.: Plaintiff, 18 ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE 19 VS. MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL 20 | JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X 21 22 Defendant. 23 GRAHAM M. WILSON, ESQ., having filed his Motion to Associate Counsel under 24 Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42, together with a Verified Application for Association of Counsel, 25 a Certificate of Good Standing for the District of Columbia, and the State Bar of Nevada 26

Statement; said application having been noticed, no objection having been made and the Court being fully apprised in the premises, and good cause appearing, it is hereby:

2	ESQ., is hereby admitted to practice in the above-entitled Court for the purposes of the above-
3	entitled matter only.
A.	DATED this day of February, 2017.
5	A Comment of the comm
6	
7	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE KAN
8	Submitted by:
9	WOLF, RIPKIN, SHAPIRO,
10	SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ.
diameter (Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ.
12	Nevada Bar No. 13078 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor
	3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Attorneys for Defendants
14	AUDINEYS JOI DEJENGUIUS
15	
	Bradley S. Schrager, Esq.
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed

03/01/2017 03:26:26 PM How to Lane **CLERK OF THE COURT** A-16-746797-C XXI

ORAP BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (pro hac vice motion to be filed) GRAHAM WILSON, ESQ (pro hac vice motion to be filed) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (pro hac vice motion to be filed) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 11 | melias@perkinscoie.com gwilson@perkinscoie.com efrost@perkinscoie.com 12 Attorneys for Defendants 131

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

16

14

15

17

18

21

22

23

24

27

Case No: DANNY TARKANIAN,

Plaintiff, Dept. No.:

19 ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE Vŝ. MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR 20 l NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X

Defendant.

ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ., having filed her Motion to Associate Counsel under

Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42, together with a Verified Application for Association of Counsel,

Certificates of Good Standing for the District of Columbia and the state of New York, and the 26

State Bar of Nevada Statement; said application having been noticed, no objection having been

made and the Court being fully apprised in the premises, and good cause appearing, it is hereby: 28

1	ORDERED, that said application is hereby GRANTED, and ELISABETH C. FROST,
2	ESQ., is hereby admitted to practice in the above-entitled Court for the purposes of the above-
3	entitled matter only.
4	DATED this day of Echany, 2017.
5	DATED this grant day of constantly, 2017.
6	
7	DETRICT COURT JUDGE 44
8	A Mark Committee of the
9	Submitted by: WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,
10	SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ.
11	Nevada State Bar No. 10217
12	DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078
13	3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Attorneys for Defendants
15	A de de de de la companya del la companya de la com
16	
17/	Bradley S. Schrager, Esq.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed
4/21/2017 9:43 AM
Steven D. Grierson
CLERK OF THE COURT

ORAP 1 BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com 7 MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) GRAHAM WILSON, ESQ (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ. (pro hac vice pending) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 11 melias@perkinscoie.com gwilson@perkinscoie.com 12 efrost@perkinscoie.com 13 Attorneys for Defendants 14

Defendant.

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

DANNY TARKANIAN,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

ORDER GRANTING EX PARTE
MOTION TO ASSOCIATE COUNSEL

JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X

AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ., having filed his Motion to Associate Counsel under Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42, together with a Verified Application for Association of Counsel, a Certificate of Good Standing for the District of Columbia and the State of Virginia, and the State Bar of Nevada Statement; said application having been noticed, no objection having been made and the Court being fully apprised in the premises, and good cause appearing, it is hereby:

Case Number: A-16-746797-C

ORDERED, that said application is hereby GRANTED, and AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ., is hereby admitted to practice in the above-entitled Court for the purposes of the above-entitled matter only. DATED this day of April, 2017. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE Submitted by: WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Attorneys for Defendants Daniel Bravo, Esq.

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-16-746797-C

Danny Tarkanian, Plaintiff(s) vs.
Jacky Rosen, Defendant(s)

\$ Location: Department 30 \$ Judicial Officer: Wiese, Jerry A. \$ Filed on: 11/17/2016

Case Number History:

Cross-Reference Case A746797

Number:

CASE INFORMATION

§

Case Type: Other Tort

Case Flags: Appealed to Supreme Court

DATE CASE ASSIGNMENT

Current Case Assignment

Case Number A-16-746797-C
Court Department 30
Date Assigned 02/28/2017
Judicial Officer Wiese, Jerry A.

PARTY INFORMATION

Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Lead Attorneys

Knight, Samira C

Knight, Samira C, ESQ Retained 702-508-4998(W)

Defendant Rosen for Nevada Schrager, Bradley S.

Retained 702-341-5200(W)

Rosen, Jacky Schrager, Bradley S.

Retained 702-341-5200(W)

DATE **EVENTS & ORDERS OF THE COURT INDEX** 11/17/2016 Complaint Filed By: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Complaint 11/22/2016 Summons Filed by: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Summons-Civil - Jacky Rosen 12/13/2016 Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Affidavit of Service 01/25/2017 Declaration Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Declaration of Bradley Schrager in Support of Defendants Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660 01/25/2017 Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky

Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. A-16-746797-C

	CASE 110. A-10-740777-C
01/25/2017	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure
01/25/2017	Disclosure Statement Party: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Defendants' Notice of NRCP 7.1 Disclosure
01/26/2017	Exhibits Exhibits K and L to Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Moiton to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660
01/27/2017	Notice of Department Reassignment Notice of Department Reassignment
01/27/2017	Minute Order (10:57 AM) (Judicial Officer: Earley, Kerry) Minute Order Re: Recusal
02/09/2017	Motion to Associate Counsel Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel Pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 [Elisabeth C. Frost, Esq.]
02/09/2017	Motion to Associate Counsel Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel Pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 [Marc Erik Elias, Esq.]
02/09/2017	Motion to Associate Counsel Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel Pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42 [Graham Wilson, Esq.]
02/22/2017	Motion Filed By: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Motion to Continue hearing on Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under NRS 41.660
02/24/2017	Affidavit of Service Filed By: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Affidavit of Service
02/24/2017	Opposition Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Continue Hearing on Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under NRS 41660
02/27/2017	Motion to Continue (3:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Wiese, Jerry A.) 02/27/2017, 03/07/2017 Plaintiff's Motion to Continue hearing on Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under NRS 41.660
02/28/2017	Notice of Rescheduling Notice of Rescheduling of Hearing

CASE SUMMARY CASE No. A-16-746797-C

	CASE 110. A-10-740171-C
02/28/2017	Notice of Department Reassignment Notice of Department Reassignment
03/01/2017	Order Admitting to Practice Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Order Granting Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel
03/01/2017	Order Admitting to Practice Filed By: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Order Granting Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel - Elisabeth C. Frost, Esq.
03/01/2017	Order Admitting to Practice Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Order Granting Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel - Graham M. Wilson, Esq.
03/02/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Notice of Entry of Order
03/02/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Notice of Entry of Order
03/02/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Notice of Entry of Order
04/10/2017	Certificate of Service Filed by: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Certificate of Service
04/10/2017	Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Filed By: Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Opposition To Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion To Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660
04/20/2017	Reply in Support Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky; Defendant Rosen for Nevada Reply in Support of Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660
04/20/2017	Motion to Associate Counsel Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky; Defendant Rosen for Nevada Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel Pursuant to Nevada Supreme Court Rule 42
04/21/2017	Order Granting Motion Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky; Defendant Rosen for Nevada Order Granting Ex Parte Motion to Associate Counsel
04/21/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky; Defendant Rosen for Nevada Notice of Entry of Order
04/25/2017	Motion to Dismiss (9:00 AM) (Judicial Officer: Wiese, Jerry A.)

CASE SUMMARY CASE NO. A-16-746797-C

	Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660
06/12/2017	Order Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky; Defendant Rosen for Nevada Order for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660
06/12/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky; Defendant Rosen for Nevada Notice of Entry of Order
06/13/2017	Notice of Appeal Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky; Defendant Rosen for Nevada Notice of Appeal
06/13/2017	Case Appeal Statement Filed By: Defendant Rosen, Jacky Case Appeal Statement

DATE	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
	Defendant Rosen for Nevada Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 6/15/2017	30.00 30.00 0.00
	Defendant Rosen, Jacky Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 6/15/2017	247.00 247.00 0.00
	Plaintiff Tarkanian, Danny Total Charges Total Payments and Credits Balance Due as of 6/15/2017	270.00 270.00 0.00
	Defendant Rosen, Jacky Appeal Bond Balance as of 6/15/2017	500.00

DISTRICT COURT CIVIL COVER SHEET A- 16-746797- C

County, Nevada

	1111, 177, 187, 187, 187, 187, 187, 187,	County, N	levada I V		
	Case No. (Assigned by Clerk's	Officel			
I. Party Information (provide both hor		Office)			
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):	Defenda	nt(s) (name/address/phone):			
Danny Tarka	inian	Jacky Rosen, an Individual; Rosen for Nevada, a 527 Organization and Does I-X and Rose Entitles VI-X			
7220 S. Cimarron		1000 N. Green Valley Parkway #440-177			
		Henderson, NV 89074			
Las Vegas, NV 89113					
702-508-4998			702-998-5327		
Attorney (name/address/phone):			(name/address/phone):		
Samira C. Knight, Esq.					
7220 S. Cimarron Rd. #110					
Las Vegas, NV 89113					
702-508-49	998				
II. Nature of Controversy (please se	lect the one most applicable filing type	below)			
Civil Case Filing Types					
Real Property			Torts		
Landlord/Tenant	Negligenee		Other Torts		
Unlawful Detainer	Auto		Product Liability		
Other Landlord/Tenant	Premises Liability		Intentional Misconduct		
Title to Property	Other Negligence		Employment Tort		
Judicial Foreclosure	Malpraetice		Insurance 1 ort		
Other Title to Property	Medical/Dental		Other 1 ort		
Other Real Property	Legal				
Condemnation/Eminent Domain	Accounting				
Other Real Property Other Malpractice			Y Prilipring		
Probate Construction Defect & Control Probate (select case type and estate value) Construction Defect		ract	Judicial Review/Appeal Judicial Review		
Summary Administration	Chapter 40		Foreclosure Mediation Case		
General Administration	Other Construction Defect		Petition to Seal Records		
Special Administration	Contract Case		Mental Competency		
Set Aside	Uniform Commercial Code		Nevada State Agency Appeal		
Trust/Conservatorship	Building and Construction		Department of Motor Vehicle		
Other Probate	Insurance Carrier		Worker's Compensation		
Estate Value	Commercial Instrument		Other Nevada State Agency		
Over \$200,000	Collection of Accounts		Appeal Other		
Between \$100,000 and \$200,000	Employment Contract		Appeal from Lower Court		
Under \$100,000 or Unknown	Other Contract		Other Judicial Review/Appeal		
Under \$2,500					
Civi	l Writ		Other Civil Filing		
Civil Writ			Other Civil Filing		
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Writ of Prohibition		Compromise of Minor's Claim		
Writ of Mandamus	Other Civil Writ		Foreign Judgment		
Writ of Quo Warrant			Other-Civil Matters		
_	ourt filings should be filed using th	e Busines	ss Court civil covershelet.		
11/16/16		F	aus III		
Date		Sign	ature of initiating party or representative		

See other side for family-related case filings.

Electronically Filed 6/12/2017 10:53 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR** BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com 7 MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) GRAHAM WILSON, ESQ (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 melias@perkinscoie.com gwilson@perkinscoie.com efrost@perkinscoie.com 13 acallais@perkinscoie.com 14 Attorneys for Defendants 15 16

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

DANNY TARKANIAN, 18

Case No:

A-16-746797-C

19 Plaintiff, Dept. No.:

XXX

20 VS.

JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR

NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X

ORDER FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER N.R.S. 41.660

Defendant.

24

25

27

28

23

22

17

This matter having come before this Court on April 25, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., for Defendants'

Anti-SLAPP Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660, and Plaintiff's Opposition to 26

Defendants' Anti SLAPP Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660 and Plaintiff's request for

Attorney's Fees and Costs. Plaintiff, Danny Tarkanian ("Danny"), being present with counsel

Case Number: A-16-746797-C

¹ Herein, any findings of the Court that are, in truth, conclusions of law, or any conclusions of law that are, in truth, findings, shall be treated as such.

Samira C. Knight, Esq., and Jenny L. Foley, Esq. of Tarkanian & Knight Law Group, and Defendants, Jacky Rosen and Rosen for Nevada (collectively "Defendants"), not present with counsel Amanda Callais, Esq. of Perkins Coie appearing Pro Hac Vice, and Bradley Schrager of Wolf Rifkin Shapiro Schulman & Rabkin, LLP, appearing as local counsel. This Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein and having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court does hereby enter the following:

THE COURT FINDS¹ that when a party brings a Special Motion to Dismiss under NRS 41.660 (the "Anti-SLAPP Statute), the moving party has the initial burden to show, by a preponderance of evidence, that the plaintiff's claims are "based upon a good faith communication in furtherance of the right to petition or the right to free speech in direct connection with an issue of public concern." NRS 41.660(1), (3)(a). A statement is made in "good faith" under the statute if it "is truthful or is made without knowledge of its falsehood." NRS 41.637. If the defendant meets this burden, the plaintiff then has the burden of showing, by *prima facie* evidence, that it has a probability of prevailing on its claims. NRS 41.660(3)(b).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendants' Anti-SLAPP Motion was filed, and Defendants argued, among other things, that Plaintiff's Complaint was an improper restraint on political speech. At issue in the Complaint are several statements made by Defendants during Jacky Rosen's campaign for Nevada's Third Congressional District in 2016, including statements made in a video published on the website Youtube.

Relevant to this Motion, the Complaint alleges *inter alia*, that the statements are defamatory. Further, the Complaint alleges that Defendants knew or should have known that the statements were defamatory because in 2009, Plaintiff Danny Tarkanian obtained a jury verdict in Clark County against Mike Schneider for statements that the Complaint alleges are the same or substantially similar to those at issue here. *See Danny Tarkanian v. Mike Schneider*, Case No. A500379 (the "Schneider Case").

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff was a public figure for purposes of the claims made in the Complaint

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the statements in question were made in a political advertisement (the "Advertisement") that aired during the 2016 general election and are political speech, and thus constitute "communication[s] in furtherance of the right to petition or the right to free speech in direct connection with an issue of public concern" under the Anti-SLAPP Statute. NRS 41.637; see Shapiro v. Welt, 389 P.3d 262, 268 (Nev. 2017) (adopting five-factor test articulated in Piping Rock Partners, 946 F. Supp. 2d 957, 968 (N.D. Cal. 2013) in determining whether statements are in direct connection with matters of public concern under Nevada's Anti-SLAPP Statute).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the issues for this Court in the case generally will be whether the statements in the Advertisement are truthful or not, and whether there was actual malice on the part of the Defendants' and their agents in making the statements; however, for purposes of this Anti-SLAPP motion the Court need not resolve these issues now. Rather, the Court need only address the respective burdens of the parties discussed above.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made three statements that are at issue in this case.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that some of the statements made by Michael Schneider in 2004 State Senate race regarding Plaintiff that was adjudicated as defamatory in 2009 by a Clark County jury, are similar to the statements made in Defendants' Advertisement.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendants relied upon statements Ross Miller and Steven Horsford made in their advertisements regarding Plaintiff in campaigns subsequent to the statements in (the "Schneider Case"), that were never addressed in a court proceeding.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendants have not met their burden under the first prong of the Anti-SLAPP Statute, as they have not shown by a preponderance of evidence that the three statements at issue were truthful or made without knowledge of its falsehood. The Court cannot find, at this preliminary stage, that the statements at issue are true.

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff has shown prima facie evidence of a 2 probability of success on his defamation claim, and the Court will let the case go forward, in the likelihood that a jury will have to determine—as the finder of fact—whether the challenged 3 4 statements are truthful, and whether the challenged statements were made with actual malice. At the 5 very least, the Court cannot find, as a matter of law, that Plaintiff cannot make out a case for 6 defamation regarding the statements made by Defendants. 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Anti-Slapp Motion to Dismiss is hereby 8 DENIED. 9 DATED this ____ day of June, 2017. 10 11 12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE EB 13 Respectfully Submit by: 14 15 WOLF RIFKIN SHAPIRO 16 SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 17 BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 20 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 21 Tel: (702) 341-5200 Fax: (702) 341-5300 22 Email: bschrager@wrslawyers.com Email: dbravo@wrslawyers.com 23 Attorney for Defendants 24 25

26

27

Electronically Filed 6/12/2017 2:17 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	NEOJ		Dewin P.			
2	BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217					
	DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ.					
3	Nevada Bar No. 13078					
	WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,					
4	SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP					
5	3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120					
J	(702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300					
6	bschrager@wrslawyers.com					
7	dbravo@wrslawyers.com					
7	MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice	e)				
8						
	ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)					
9	AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)					
0	PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600					
ı	Washington, D.C. 20005					
1	(202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995					
10	melias@perkinscoie.com					
12	gwilson@perkinscoie.com efrost@perkinscoie.com					
13						
14						
	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT					
15	EIGHTH JUDICIA	L DISTRICT C	OURT			
l5 l6	EIGHTH JUDICIA IN AND FOR CLARK CO					
16 17	IN AND FOR CLARK CO	UNTY, STATE	OF NEVADA			
16						
16 17	IN AND FOR CLARK CO	UNTY, STATE	OF NEVADA			
16 17 18	IN AND FOR CLARK CONDANNY TARKANIAN,	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C			
16 17 18 19	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19 20	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IN AND FOR CLARK COMBANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X Defendant.	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN AND FOR CLARK COUDANNY TARKANIAN, Plaintiff, vs. JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X Defendant.	UNTY, STATE Case No: Dept. No.:	OF NEVADA A-16-746797-C XXX			

1	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an ORDER FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO					
2	DISMISS UNDER N.R.S. 41.660 was entered in the above-captioned matter on the 12th day of					
3	June, 2017. A copy of the ORDER is attached hereto.					
4	DATED this 12th day of June, 2017.					
5	WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,					
6	SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP					
7	By: /s/ Bradely S. Schrager					
8	BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ.					
9	Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ.					
10	Nevada Bar No. 13078 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor					
11	Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 Attorneys for Defendants					
12	Attorneys for Defendants					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

I	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						
I							
I	I hereby certify that on this 12th day of June, 2017, a true and correct copy of NOTIC						
	OF ENTRY OF ORDER was served by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court						
	using the Odyssey eFileNV system and serving all parties with an email-address on record,						
	pursuant to Administrative Order 14-2 and Rule 9 of the N.E.F.C.R. and by depositing a						
	true copy of the same for mailing, postage pre-paid, in the U.S. Mail at Las Vegas,						
	Nevada, said envelope addressed to:						
	Camina C. Waitalet Fam						
I	Samira C. Knight, Esq. TARKANIAN & KNIGHT						
I	LAW GROUP, PLLC						
I	7220 S. Cimarron Rd., Suite 110						
I	Las Vegas, NV 89113						
I							
	By: /s/ Dannielle R. Fresquez						
I	Dannielle R. Fresquez, an Employee of WOLF,						

Dannielle R. Fresquez, an Employee of WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP

Electronically Filed 6/12/2017 10:53 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **ORDR** BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300 bschrager@wrslawyers.com dbravo@wrslawyers.com 7 MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) GRAHAM WILSON, ESQ (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 654-6200/Fax: (202) 654-9995 melias@perkinscoie.com gwilson@perkinscoie.com efrost@perkinscoie.com 13 acallais@perkinscoie.com 14 Attorneys for Defendants 15 16

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

DANNY TARKANIAN, 18

Case No:

A-16-746797-C

19 Plaintiff, Dept. No.:

XXX

20 VS.

JACKY ROSEN, an individual; ROSEN FOR

NEVADA, a 527 Organization and DOES I-X and ROES ENTITIES VI-X

ORDER FOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER N.R.S. 41.660

Defendant.

24

25

27

28

23

22

17

This matter having come before this Court on April 25, 2017, at 9:00 a.m., for Defendants'

Anti-SLAPP Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660, and Plaintiff's Opposition to 26

Defendants' Anti SLAPP Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660 and Plaintiff's request for

Attorney's Fees and Costs. Plaintiff, Danny Tarkanian ("Danny"), being present with counsel

Case Number: A-16-746797-C

¹ Herein, any findings of the Court that are, in truth, conclusions of law, or any conclusions of law that are, in truth, findings, shall be treated as such.

Samira C. Knight, Esq., and Jenny L. Foley, Esq. of Tarkanian & Knight Law Group, and Defendants, Jacky Rosen and Rosen for Nevada (collectively "Defendants"), not present with counsel Amanda Callais, Esq. of Perkins Coie appearing Pro Hac Vice, and Bradley Schrager of Wolf Rifkin Shapiro Schulman & Rabkin, LLP, appearing as local counsel. This Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein and having heard the arguments of counsel, the Court does hereby enter the following:

THE COURT FINDS¹ that when a party brings a Special Motion to Dismiss under NRS 41.660 (the "Anti-SLAPP Statute), the moving party has the initial burden to show, by a preponderance of evidence, that the plaintiff's claims are "based upon a good faith communication in furtherance of the right to petition or the right to free speech in direct connection with an issue of public concern." NRS 41.660(1), (3)(a). A statement is made in "good faith" under the statute if it "is truthful or is made without knowledge of its falsehood." NRS 41.637. If the defendant meets this burden, the plaintiff then has the burden of showing, by *prima facie* evidence, that it has a probability of prevailing on its claims. NRS 41.660(3)(b).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendants' Anti-SLAPP Motion was filed, and Defendants argued, among other things, that Plaintiff's Complaint was an improper restraint on political speech. At issue in the Complaint are several statements made by Defendants during Jacky Rosen's campaign for Nevada's Third Congressional District in 2016, including statements made in a video published on the website Youtube.

Relevant to this Motion, the Complaint alleges *inter alia*, that the statements are defamatory. Further, the Complaint alleges that Defendants knew or should have known that the statements were defamatory because in 2009, Plaintiff Danny Tarkanian obtained a jury verdict in Clark County against Mike Schneider for statements that the Complaint alleges are the same or substantially similar to those at issue here. *See Danny Tarkanian v. Mike Schneider*, Case No. A500379 (the "Schneider Case").

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff was a public figure for purposes of the claims made in the Complaint

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the statements in question were made in a political advertisement (the "Advertisement") that aired during the 2016 general election and are political speech, and thus constitute "communication[s] in furtherance of the right to petition or the right to free speech in direct connection with an issue of public concern" under the Anti-SLAPP Statute. NRS 41.637; see Shapiro v. Welt, 389 P.3d 262, 268 (Nev. 2017) (adopting five-factor test articulated in Piping Rock Partners, 946 F. Supp. 2d 957, 968 (N.D. Cal. 2013) in determining whether statements are in direct connection with matters of public concern under Nevada's Anti-SLAPP Statute).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the issues for this Court in the case generally will be whether the statements in the Advertisement are truthful or not, and whether there was actual malice on the part of the Defendants' and their agents in making the statements; however, for purposes of this Anti-SLAPP motion the Court need not resolve these issues now. Rather, the Court need only address the respective burdens of the parties discussed above.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made three statements that are at issue in this case.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that some of the statements made by Michael Schneider in 2004 State Senate race regarding Plaintiff that was adjudicated as defamatory in 2009 by a Clark County jury, are similar to the statements made in Defendants' Advertisement.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the Defendants relied upon statements Ross Miller and Steven Horsford made in their advertisements regarding Plaintiff in campaigns subsequent to the statements in (the "Schneider Case"), that were never addressed in a court proceeding.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Defendants have not met their burden under the first prong of the Anti-SLAPP Statute, as they have not shown by a preponderance of evidence that the three statements at issue were truthful or made without knowledge of its falsehood. The Court cannot find, at this preliminary stage, that the statements at issue are true.

1 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Plaintiff has shown prima facie evidence of a 2 probability of success on his defamation claim, and the Court will let the case go forward, in the likelihood that a jury will have to determine—as the finder of fact—whether the challenged 3 4 statements are truthful, and whether the challenged statements were made with actual malice. At the 5 very least, the Court cannot find, as a matter of law, that Plaintiff cannot make out a case for 6 defamation regarding the statements made by Defendants. 7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Anti-Slapp Motion to Dismiss is hereby 8 DENIED. 9 DATED this ____ day of June, 2017. 10 11 12 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE EB 13 Respectfully Submit by: 14 15 WOLF RIFKIN SHAPIRO 16 SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 17 BRADLEY S. SCHRAGER, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 13078 20 3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120 21 Tel: (702) 341-5200 Fax: (702) 341-5300 22 Email: bschrager@wrslawyers.com Email: dbravo@wrslawyers.com 23 Attorney for Defendants 24 25

26

27

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

A-16-746797-C Danny Tarkanian, Plaintiff(s)
vs.
Jacky Rosen, Defendant(s)

January 27, 2017

Minute Order Re:
Recusal

HEARD BY: Earley, Kerry COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: April Watkins

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- As this Court is familiar with one of the parties, in accordance with Rule 2.11(a), and to avoid the appearance of impropriety and implied bias, this Court hereby disqualifies itself and ORDERS this case be REASSIGNED at random.

CLERK'S NOTE: The above minute order has been distributed to: Samira C. Knight, Esq., (Samira@TKLawGroupNV.com) and Bradley S. Schrager, Esq., (bschrager@wrslawyers.com). aw

PRINT DATE: 06/15/2017 Page 1 of 4 Minutes Date: January 27, 2017

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Other Tort	COURT MINUTES		February 27, 2017	
A-16-746797-C	Danny Tarkanian, Plaintiff(s) vs. Jacky Rosen, Defendant(s)			
February 27, 2017	3:00 AM	Motion to Continue		

COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Jill Chambers

HEARD BY: Adair, Valerie

RECORDER:

REPORTER:

PARTIES PRESENT:

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Court's spouse has past and forthcoming matters before an immediate family member of the Pltf. wherein the Court has direct financial interest Therefore, in accordance with rule 2.11 (A) and (B) and to avoid the appearance of impropriety and implied bias, Court hereby DISQUALIFIES itself and ORDERS the case to be reassigned at random.

CLERK'S NOTE: Counsel is to ensure a copy of the forgoing minute order is distributed to all interested parties; additionally, a copy of the foregoing minute order was distributed to the listed Service Recipients in the Wiznet E-Service system. jmc

PRINT DATE: 06/15/2017 Page 2 of 4 Minutes Date: January 27, 2017

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Other Tort COURT MINUTES March 07, 2017

A-16-746797-C Danny Tarkanian, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Jacky Rosen, Defendant(s)

March 07, 2017 9:00 AM Motion to Continue

HEARD BY: Wiese, Jerry A. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14A

COURT CLERK: Alice Jacobson

RECORDER:

REPORTER: Kristy Clark

PARTIES

PRESENT: Foley, Jennifer L. Attorney

Schrager, Bradley S. Attorney

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Plaintiff's Motion to Continue hearing on Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under NRS 41.660

Following conference at the bench. COURT ORDERED, motion GRANTED. The March 14, 2017, Motion to Dismiss RESCHEDULED to 4/25/17 to obtain the transcript. Court noted that the deposition of Ms. Rosen is not necessary at this time.

PRINT DATE: 06/15/2017 Page 3 of 4 Minutes Date: January 27, 2017

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Other Tort COURT MINUTES April 25, 2017

A-16-746797-C Danny Tarkanian, Plaintiff(s)

VS.

Jacky Rosen, Defendant(s)

April 25, 2017 9:00 AM Motion to Dismiss

HEARD BY: Wiese, Jerry A. **COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 14A

COURT CLERK: Alice Jacobson

RECORDER:

REPORTER: Kristy Clark

PARTIES

PRESENT: Foley, Jennifer L. Attorney

Knight, Samira C, ESQ Attorney Schrager, Bradley S. Attorney Tarkanian, Danny Plaintiff

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Defendants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660

Court advised counsel that it had reviewed the Ad in dispute. Ms. Callias argued for dismissal as Plaintiff had not met there burden to prove claims. Opposition by Ms. Knight. Argument regarding good faith standard, truthfulness, and defamation of character. Court finds genuine issues of fact remaining. COURT ORDERED, motion DENIED. Ms. Knight to prepare the order.

PRINT DATE: 06/15/2017 Page 4 of 4 Minutes Date: January 27, 2017

Certification of Copy

State of Nevada
County of Clark
SS

I, Steven D. Grierson, the Clerk of the Court of the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, State of Nevada, does hereby certify that the foregoing is a true, full and correct copy of the hereinafter stated original document(s):

NOTICE OF APPEAL; CASE APPEAL STATEMENT; DISTRICT COURT DOCKET ENTRIES; CIVIL COVER SHEET; ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER N.R.S. 41.660; NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER; DISTRICT COURT MINUTES

DANNY TARKANIAN,

Plaintiff(s),

VS.

JACKY ROSEN; ROSEN FOR NEVADA,

Defendant(s),

now on file and of record in this office.

Case No: A-16-746797-C

Dept No: XXX

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto Set my hand and Affixed the seal of the Court at my office, Las Vegas, Nevada This 15 day of June 2017.

Steven D. Grierson, Clerk of the Court

Amanda Hampton, Deputy Clerk

WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP
OPERATING ACCOUNT
3556 E. RUSSELL ROAD, 2ND FLOOR
LAS VEGAS, NV 89120
PHONE (702) 341-5200

Las Vegas Petty Cash Account 2320 E. Tropicana Avenue Las Vegas, NV 89119 16-1606/1220

5064

DATE:

06-13-2017

CHECK AMOUNT

\$250.00

TWO HUNDRED FIFTY AND 00/100 DOLLARS

TO THE CHECK HAS'A COLORED BACKGROUND AN

CLERK OF THE SUPREME COURT

PAY TO THE

ORDER OF 201 S. CARSON STREET, NO. 201 LAS VEGAS, NV 89701

GENERAL ACCOUNT

A-16-746797-C

::122016066: 362200563# 119119 50 64119