In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

JACKY ROSEN, AN INDIVIDUAL; AND ROSEN FOR NEVADA, A 527 ORGANIZATION, Electronically Filed Jul 11 2018 10:08 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Appellants,

Case No. 73274

vs.

DANNY TARKANIAN,

Respondent.

District Court Case No.: A746797

Appellants' Motion to Direct District Court Clerk to Transmit Original Video Exhibits

BRADLEY SCHRAGER, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 10217 DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ., Nevada Bar No. 13078 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP 3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89120-2234

> MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (Pro Hac) ELISABETH C. FROST, ESQ. (Pro Hac) AMANDA R. CALLAIS, ESQ. (Pro Hac) PERKINS COIE LLP

700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT PURSUANT TO N.R.A.P. 26.1

The undersigned counsel of record certifies that there are no persons or entities as described in N.R.A.P. 26.1(a) that must be disclosed.

The following law firms have appeared and/or are expected to appear in this Court on behalf of Appellants.

- 1. WOLF RIFKIN SCHULMAN SHAPIRO & RABKIN LLP; and
- 2. PERKINS COIE LLP.

Dated: July 11, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bradley Schrager

Bradley Schrager, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 10217
Daniel Bravo, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 13078
WOLF RIFKIN SCHULMAN
SHAPIRO & RABKIN LLP
3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Marc E. Elias, Esq. (Pro Hac) Elisabeth C. Frost, Esq. (Pro Hac) Amanda R. Callais, Esq. (Pro Hac) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

Appellants' Motion to Direct District Court Clerk to Transmit Original Video Exhibits

Pursuant to N.R.A.P. 10(b)(2) and 30(d), Appellants seek an order directing the district court clerk to transmit original video exhibits that are incapable of being reproduced in the appendix. The video exhibits were attached to Appellants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion to Dismiss Under N.R.S. 41.660 that was filed with and considered by the district court. I AA 20-40. The video exhibits are ads from Respondent's campaign responding to the political advertisements at issue from Appellants' campaign. The content of the full-length videos are relevant to the issues raised on appeal and pertinent to Appellants' Anti-Slapp Special Motion, which was denied by the district court. *Id.* A full review of the record, including the video exhibits, is necessary for a determination by this Court of the issues on appeal.

///

///

///

///

///

Accordingly, in the interests of judicial fairness and efficiency, and for a complete record of the underlying action, Appellants move the Court for an order directing the district court clerk to transmit original video exhibits.

Dated: July 11, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bradley Schrager

Bradley Schrager, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 10217
Daniel Bravo, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 13078
WOLF RIFKIN SCHULMAN
SHAPIRO & RABKIN LLP
3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Marc E. Elias, Esq. (Pro Hac) Elisabeth C. Frost, Esq. (Pro Hac) Amanda R. Callais, Esq. (Pro Hac) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

CERTIFICATION OF ATTORNEY

I hereby certify that this motion complies with the formatting requirements of NRAP 32(a)(4), the typeface requirements of 32(a)(5), and the type style requirements of NRAP 32(a)(6), because this motion has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word in a 14-point Century Schoolbook font.

I further certify that this motion complies with the type-volume limitation of NRAP 32(a)(7) because, excluding the parts of the motion exempted by NRAP 32(a)(7)(C), it is proportionally spaced, has a typeface of 14-point or more, and contains 189 words.

Pursuant to NRAP 28.2, I hereby certify that I have read this motion, and to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, it is not frivolous or interposed for any improper purpose.

///

///

///

///

///

I understand that I may be subject to sanctions in the event that the accompanying motion is not in conformity with the requirements of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Dated: July 11, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bradley Schrager

Bradley Schrager, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 10217
Daniel Bravo, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 13078
WOLF RIFKIN SCHULMAN
SHAPIRO & RABKIN LLP
3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Marc E. Elias, Esq. (Pro Hac) Elisabeth C. Frost, Esq. (Pro Hac) Amanda R. Callais, Esq. (Pro Hac) PERKINS COIE LLP 700 13th Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 11th day of July, 2018, a true and

correct copy of the foregoing Motion of Appellants for Leave to

Submit Video Exhibits with Appellants' Appendix was served

upon all counsel of record by electronically filing the document using

the Nevada Supreme Court's electronic filing system and by depositing

a true copy of the same for mailing, postage pre-paid, in the U.S. Mail

at Las Vegas, Nevada, said envelope addressed to:

Jenny L. Foley, Ph.D., Esq.

HKM EMPLOYMENT

ATTORNEYS, LLP

1785 E. Sahara Ave., Suite325

Las Vegas, Nevada 89104

By: /s/ Dannielle Fresquez

Dannielle Fresquez, an Employee of

WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,

SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP

5