


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

LAS VEGAS REVIEW-JOURNAL,
Appellant,
vs.
CITY OF HENDERSON,
Respondent.

No. 73287

FILED


MAY 30 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until July 23, 2018, to file and serve the reply brief. Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: McLetchie Shell LLC
Henderson City Attorney
Bailey Kennedy