SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Telephone (775) 684-1600

OFFICE OF THE CLERK ELIZABETH A. BROWN, CLERK 201 SOUTH CARSON STREET, SUITE 201

CARSON CITY, NEVADA 89701-4702

September 20, 2017

Wilbert R. Holmes 10550 Patrington Ct. Las Vegas NV 89183

Re: Holmes vs. Holmes, Docket No. 73291

Dear Mr. Holmes,

This is in response to the Docketing Statement received in our office on September 20, 2017. The due date for the Docketing Statement was July 11, 2017. The Docketing Statement was post marked by the post office on September 18, 2017. The Docketing Statement was not accompanied by a motion for extension of time. Therefore, we are returning the document, unfiled.

Sincerely,

Rory Wunsch **Deputy Clerk**

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

CAPUCINE Y HOLMES	No.	73291	
vs WILBERT R HOLMES		DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL APPEALS	
		RETURNED UNFILED	
	Michigan Granding Control	SEP 2 0 2017	
		ELIZABETH A. BROWN CLERK OF SUPREME COURT	

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.



DEPUTY CLERK

1. Judicial Dist	riceTH JUDI	CIAL FAMILY COURT	[[] Departr	mentj
County_CLA	ARK		Judge _	RENA HUGHES
District Ct. (Case No	D-15-523582-D		
2. Attorney fili	ing this do	ocketing stateme	ent:	
Attorney	PRO	SE	Tel	ephone
Firm				
Address	~			
Client(s)				
the names of their filing of this statem	clients on an ient.	ultiple appellants, ad additional sheet acco	mpanied by a	and addresses of other counsel and certification that they concur in the
			•	ephone
Titi				
Address				
† * *				
Client(s)				
\$				
Attorney			7P_1	
				ephone
Address				
TIMMICOD				
Client(s)				
Onenu(s)				

4.37 / 0.11				
4. Nature of disposition below (check	k all that apply):			
☐ Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:			
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction			
Summary judgment	mmary judgment			
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute			
☐ Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	Other (specify):			
☐ Grant/Denial of injunction	☑ Divorce Decree:			
☐ Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	☐ Original ☐ Modification			
☐ Review of agency determination	Other disposition (specify):			
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	erning any of the following? NO			
☐ Child Custody				
☐ Venue				
☐ Termination of parental rights				
6. Pending and prior proceedings in of all appeals or original proceedings presare related to this appeal:	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which			
CAPUCINE Y HOLMES				
WILBERT R HOLMES				
DOCKET NO. 73291				

7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below
MY APPEAL OF A GROSSLY MISCALCULATED AND ILLEGAL PROPERTY APPRAISAL ACCEPTED BY JUDGE RENA HUGHES. THAT I PRAY BE DISMISSED.
THIS ACTION HAS RESULTED IN A UNDUE IMPROPER PROPERTY DISTRIBUTION DECISION.

9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):

see the attached sheets A

10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:



SINCE THE DIVORCE FILING MANY UNJUST, BIASED ACTIONS HAVEBEEN PERPETUATED BY RENA HUGHES. SHE HAD REFUSED TO ISSUE THE FINAL DIVORCE DECREE AS EXPECTED AND REQUIRED ALL HEARINGS AND DETAIL HAD BEEN COMPLETED AND SUBMITTED FOR MONTHS. HER EXCUSES FOR NOT PROVIDING A DECISION WERE, SICKNESS TO BEING ON VACATION. YET SHE ACTED ON OTHER MATTERS THAT ARE NEGATIVE TO ME. WHICH WERE A CONTESTED TPO, AND OTHERS.

AVOIDING AN ORDER "SHE GAVE" TO HAVE THE OPPOSITION TO REIMBURSE ME\$1600. FOR THE COST OF AN APPRAISALTHAT SHE "ORDERED ME TO PAY FOR". THEN GET REIMBURSED HALF FROM THE OPPOSITION.

NOT ACCEPTING ANY OF MY STATEMENTS OR TESTIMONY, EVERYTHING I SAID WAS IRRELEVANT'. SCOLDING INSULTING AND EMBARRASSING ME IN HER COURTROOM TELLING ME TO "SHUT UP" EVEN WHEN SHE ASK ME A QUESTION DENYING ALL OF MY TESTIMONY, SHE DETERMINED AS "IRRELEVANT"

GREETING THE OPPOSITION WITH "SMILES AND. PLEASANTRIES" WHILE FROWNING AND SAYING "HARSH THINGS" TO ME UPON MEETING HER IN THE COURTROOM

THESE ARE A FEW IMPROPRIETIES • THAT RENA HUGHES HAS IMPENDED UPON ME "SINCE THE DIVORCE FILING ON 11/5/2015".

THIS DIVORCE HAS BEEN A LONG TIME IN PROCESS FOR A PROPERTY ISSUE THAT IS CLEARLY DEFINED IN A PRENUPTIAL AGREEMENT.

SINCE THE FILING AND NON DECISION BY RENA HUGHES MANY OTHER NEGATIVE CIRCUMSTANCES HAVE RISEN INCLUDING ME BEING ENCARCERATED ON FALSE' TPO CHARGES 3 TIMES (DISMISSED) I HAVE NEVER BEEN JAILED IN MY LIFE (70 YEARS OLD) FOR ANYTHING I HAVE GONE WITHOUT A CRIMINAL RECORD UNTIL THESE FALSE CHARGES.

ALSO LIVING UNDER CONSTANT ASSAULT AND BODILY THREAT FROM THE PLAINTIFFS' COHABITATING "MATE" ERNEST H. MILLER. HE HAS PHYSICALLY ASSUALTED ME ON 3 OCCASIONS WHERE RENA HUGHES REFUSES TO GRANT ME A TPO AGAINST HIM OR HER. THE PLAINTIFF CAPUCINE Y HOLMES HAS "TWO" DOMESTIC VIOLENCE "CONVICTIONS" ASSUALT AND BATTTERY AGAINST ME. YET RENA HUGHES WONT APPROVE MY TPO REQUEST. WHILE APPROVING AND EXTENDING A TPO FOR THE PLAINTIFF.

PLEASE RECOGNIZE AND CONSIDER MY PLIGHT IN THIS CASE WHERE RENA HUGHES HAS CAUSED CONSIDERABLE UNDUE MENTAL AND PHYSICAL HARM STRESS AND STRAIN TO MYSELF, A 70 YEAR OLD A LEGAL MENTALLY AND PHYSICALLY HANDICAPPED VETERAN BLACK MAN.YES I SEE RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS COMING FROM RENA HUGHES IN THIS DIVORCE. CASE. NOTE: THERE IS A PETTITION CIRCULATING TO IMPEACH RENA HUGHES FOR HER IMPROPER CONDUCT IONS (ALMOST COMPLETE).......I DID NOT START THE PETITION

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a stat the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this a have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with and NRS 30.130?	appeal,
□ N/A	
∑X Yes	
□ No	
If not, explain:	
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues? NO	
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues? NO Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))	
Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))	
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s)) ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions	
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s)) ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions ☐ A substantial issue of first impression	is
 ☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s)) ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions ☐ A substantial issue of first impression ☐ An issue of public policy ☐ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of the 	is
 ☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s)) ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions ☐ A substantial issue of first impression ☐ An issue of public policy ☐ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of the court's decisions 	is
 ☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s)) ☐ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions ☐ A substantial issue of first impression ☐ An issue of public policy ☐ An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of the court's decisions ☐ A ballot question 	is

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:

14. Trial. If this action proceede	d to trial, how many days did the trial last?	2 YEARS
Was it a bench or jury trial?	BENCH	

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

YES

RENA HUGHES

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

		vritten judgment or order appealed from <u>JUNE 27, 2017</u>
	If no written judgn seeking appellate r	nent or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for review:
	· · .	·
17.	Date written not	tice of entry of judgment or order was served 6/1/2017
	Was service by:	
	☐ Delivery	
	□xMail/electronic	
18 (N	.If the time for fi RCP 50(b), 52(b),	ling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion or 59)
	(a) Specify the the date of f	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
	□ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
	☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
	□ NRCP 59	Date of filing
ì	NOTE: Motions made time for filing P.3d 1190 (201	pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the anotice of appeal. See AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245 0).
		ry of written order resolving tolling motion
	(c) Date writte	en notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
	Was service	e by:
	☐ Delivery	
	☐ Mail	

19. Date notice of appe	al filed	6/8/2017
If more than one par notice of appeal was	ty has app filed and i	ealed from the judgment or order, list the date each dentify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
,		
4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		·
20. Specify statute or r	ule gover	ning the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,
e.g., NRAP 4(a) or othe	r	
	SUBST	ANTIVE APPEALABILITY
21. Specify the statute the judgment or order	or other	authority granting this court jurisdiction to review
(a)	appearea	. 11 022
▼ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	NI NI	RS 38.205
▼ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	X NI	RS 233B.150
▼ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	⊠ NI	RS 703.376
Other (specify)	PRO SE	
(b) Explain how each au	thority pro	ovides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:
:		

.

22.	List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:
	WILBERT R HOLMES
	CAPUCINE Y HOLMES
	(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other:
CO	Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, unterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal sposition of each claim.
	WILBERT R HOLMES RELEASE REMOVE MY LAST NAME FROM PLAINTIFF
be	Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged low and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated tions below?
	☐ Yes ☑ No
25.	If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following: (a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:
	WILBERT R HOLMES

RELEASE REMOVE MY LAST NAME FROM PLAINTIFF

	o) Specify the parties remaining below:
	Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment ursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
	X Yes
	□ No
	Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that ere is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
	Yes
	□ No
	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):
	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking
арр	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:
арр	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents: • The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
арр	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents: • The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims • Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s) • Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross-
арр	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents: • The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims • Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s) • Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below,
арр	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents: • The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims • Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s) • Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
арр	f you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents: • The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims • Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s) • Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below,

SEE ATTACHED

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

WILE	BERT R HOLMES	5				
Name o	f appellant			_	Name o	of counsel of record
and the second s						
9/1:	5/2017					
Date				_	Signati	ure of counsel of record
NEVA	DA, CLARK COUN	TY		_		
State a	nd county wher	e si gne d		-		
en Comment						
And the Application of the Control o		CI	ERTIFI	CATE OF	SERV	ICE
I certify	that on the	15	day of	September		, 2017 , I served a copy of this
			_			, I served a copy of this
complet	ed docketing st	atement	upon an	counsel of	recora:	
	By personally s	erving it	upon hi	m/her; or		
	By mailing it by address(es): (No below and attack	OTE: If a	ll names	s and a <mark>ddre</mark>	sses cam	age prepaid to the following not fit below, please list names es.)
16						
		CAPUCINE 637 TWILIC				
		NORTH LS				
Dated t	this 15		day of _	SEPTEMBE	R	, 2017
•						