IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * * * * * * * * *

WILLIAM WITTER,	Electronically Filed Aug 01 2017 04:00 p.m Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
Defendant/Appellant,	
vs.	Supreme Court No. 73444
STATE OF NEVADA,	District Court Case No. C117513
Plaintiff/Appellees.	DOCKETING STATEMENT CRIMINAL APPEALS
	(Death Penalty Case)

GENERAL INFORMATION

1.	Judicial District: Eighth	County: Clark
	Judge: <u>Hon. Stefany Miley</u>	District Ct. Case No. <u>C117513</u>
2.		,
3.	Was counsel in the district	court appointed X or retained ?

4.	Attorney filing this docketing statement:
	Attorney David Anthony Telephone (702) 388-6577 Firm Federal Public Defender District of Nevada Address 411 East Bonneville Avenue, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
	Client(s) <u>William Witter</u>
5.	Is appellate counsel appointedX or retained?
	If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.
6.	Attorney(s) representing respondent(s):
	Attorney Steven Owens Phone (702) 671-2794 Firm District Attorney, Eighth Judicial District, Clark County Nevada Address Regional Justice Center, 200 E. Lewis Ave., Las Vegas,
	Nevada Client(s) State of Nevada
7.	Nature of disposition below:
	☐ Judgment after bench trial ☐ Grant of pretrial habeas
	X Judgment after jury verdict Grant of motion to suppress Evidence
	☐ Judgment upon guilty plea ☐ Post-conviction habeas (NRS ch.34)
	☐ Grant of pretrial motion to dismiss

		Parole/Probation revocation			
		Motion for new trial			
		□ grant □ denialMotion to withdraw guilty plea□ grant □ denial			
8.	Does	s this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following:			
	X	$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{death sentence} & \square & \text{juvenile offender} \\ \text{life sentence} & \square & \text{pretrial proceedings} \end{array}$			
9.	proc	edited appeals: The court may decide to expedite the appellate ess in this matter. Are you in favor of proceeding in such a mer?			
	Yes	NoX			
10.	Pending and prior proceedings in this court. List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously before this court which are related to this appeal (e.g., separate appeals by co-defendants, appeal after post-conviction proceedings):				
	Witter v. State, 112 Nev. 908 (1996) (direct appeal)				
	Witter v. State, No. 36927 (2000) (post-conviction)				
	Witt	cer v. State, No. 50447 (2007) (post-conviction)			
	Witt	ter v. State, No. 52964 (2008) (post-conviction)			
11.	num	ding and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, aber and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other ets which are related to this appeal (e.g., habeas corpus			

proceedings in state or federal court, bifurcated proceedings against co-defendants):

Witter v. State, 112 Nev. 908 (Nev. 1996) (direct appeal)

Witter v. State, No. C117513, Eighth Judicial District Court, petition for writ of habeas corpus filed October 27, 1997

Witter v. McDaniel, Case No. 2:01-cv-01034-RCJ-CWH (D. Nev. Sept. 4, 2001)

Witter v. State, No. C117513, Eighth Judicial District Court, petition for writ of habeas corpus filed February 14, 2007

Witter v. State, No. C117513, Eighth Judicial District Court, petition for writ of habeas corpus filed April 28, 2008

Witter v. Baker, Case Nos. 14-99009, 14-99010 (9th Cir.)

12. Nature of action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:

Witter is appealing from the Eighth Judicial District Court's Third [sic] Amended Judgment of Conviction filed July 12, 2017.

- 13. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issues(s) in this appeal:
 - A. Whether the trial court erred in instructing the jury in a manner that erased the statutory element of deliberation and rendered the offense of first-degree murder unconstitutionally vague
 - B. Whether the State's exercise of peremptory challenges was done in a discriminatory manner

\mathbf{C}	Whether the trial	court erred in	precluding	defense	counsel f	rom
	life qualifying the	jurors				

- D. Trial court error due to statements made by the trial court during voir dire
- E. Trial court error in removing jurors who were qualified to serve
- F. Trial court error in the admission of victim impact testimony
- G. Trial court error in the admission of juvenile offenses at sentencing
- H. Prosecutorial misconduct at sentencing denied Witter his right to a fair and reliable sentencing
- I. Trial court error in instructing the jury at sentencing
- J. Improper admission of evidence from Witter's mental health evaluation
- K. The death sentence is invalid due to the invalidity of three of the four aggravating circumstances found by the jury
- 14. Constitutional issues. If the State is not a party and if this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute or municipal ordinance, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

N/A	X	${ m Yes}$	No	
If not	, expla	ain		

15. Issues of first-impression or of public interest. Does this appeal present a substantial legal issue of first-impression in this jurisdiction or one affecting an important public interest?

First-impression:	Yes	 No	X
Public interest:	Yes	 No _	_X

16. Length of trial. If this action proceeded to trial or evidentiary hearing in the district court, how many days did the trial or evidentiary hearing last?

The guilt phase lasted seven days, from June 19, 1995 to June 28, 1995. The penalty phase lasted three days, from July 10, 1995 to July 13, 1995.

17. Oral argument. Would you object to submission of this appeal for disposition without oral argument?

Yes	X	No	
100	4.1	A 10	

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

- 18. Date district court announced decision, sentence or order appealed from July 6, 2017.
- 19. Date of entry of written judgment or order appeal from July 12, 2017.
 - (a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for seeking appellate review:

N/A

20. If this appeal is from an order granting or denying a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, indicate the date written notice of entry of judgment or order was served

Not applicable. This is a direct appeal from a Third [sic] Amended Judgment of Conviction.

	(a)	Was service by deliver	ryby mail _Σ	X_ by e-service (spe	cify).
21.		ne time for filing the ignent motion,	notice of appea	l was tolled by a	post-
	(a)	Specify the type of mo motion: N/A Arrest judgment New trial (newly discovered evid New trial (other grounds)	Date filed Date filed lence)	<u> </u>	
	(b)	Date of entry of writte	n order resolvir	ng motionN/A	
22.	Date	e notice of appeal filed J	uly 10, 2017.		
23.	Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, e.g., NRAP 4(b), NRS 34.560, NRS 34.575, NRS 177.015(2), or other				
	NRA	AP 4(b).			
		SUBSTANTIV	E APPEALABI	LITY	
24.	_	cify statute, rule or ot sdiction to review the ju	=	_	court
	NRS NRS	S 177.015(1)(b) S 177.015(1)(c) S 177.015(2) S 177.015(3)X S 177.055	NRS 34.560 NRS 34.575(1) NRS 34.575(2) Other (specify)	X _NRAP 17(a)(1,2)	

VERIFICATION

I certify that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

DATED this 1st day of August, 2017.

Respectfully submitted Rene L. Valladares Federal Public Defender

/s/David Anthony

David Anthony Assistant Federal Public Defender

/s/ Tiffany Nocon

Tiffany Nocon Assistant Federal Public Defender

Counsel for William Witter

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 1st day of August, 2017, electronic service of the foregoing DOCKETING STATEMENT CRIMINAL APPEALS shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

Steven S. Owens Chief Deputy District Attorney steven.owens@clarkcountyda.com

/s/ Stephanie Young

An Employee of the Federal Public Defender, District of Nevada