#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

**GARY LAMAR CHAMBERS** 

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

S.Ct. No. 73446

Electronically Filed Aug 09 2018 08:42 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court

D.C. No. C292987-1

### APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume 5

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER. ESQ Nevada Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 10620 Southern Highlands Pkwy Suite 110-473 Las Vegas, Nevada 89141 (702) 979-9941 Attorney for Appellant

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 1565
Clark County District Attorney
Clark County District Attorney's Office
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 671-2500
Attorney for Respondent

# Chambers v. State Case No. 73446

## **AMENDED INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX**

| Document   | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| Affidavit of CDDA Megan Thomson filed 2/27/2017                            | 199-205     |
| Defendant's Motion in Limine filed 1/26/2016                               | 147-157     |
| Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial filed 2/27/2017   | 192-198     |
| Defendant's Reply to State's Opp to Motion in Limine filed 4/28/2016       | 166-169     |
| Defendant's Sentencing Memorandum filed 5/22/2017                          | 290-301     |
| Guilty Plea Agreement filed 3/1/2017                                       | 271-280     |
| Information filed 10/10/2013   | 1-4         |
| Instructions to the Jury filed 3/1/2017                                    | 206-267     |
| Judgment of Conviction filed 6/5/2017                                      | 302-303     |
| Notice of Appeal filed 7/2/2017  | 304-306     |
| Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal filed 2/21/2017 | 170-172     |
| State's Motion to Admit Preliminary Hearing Transcript filed 2/22/2017     | 173-175     |
| State's Motion to Use Audio Visual Testimony filed 2/24/2017               | 176-191     |
| State's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial filed 2/27/2017       | 195-198     |
| State's Opposition to Motion in Limine filed 3/2/2016                      | 158-165     |
| State's Sentencing Memorandum (no exhibits) filed 4/10/2017                | 281-289     |
| Verdict Form filed 3/1/2017  | 268-270     |

| Transcript  | Page      |
|---|-----------|
| Transcript of Hearing on Motion in Limine filed 9/13/2017 | 307-309   |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 filed 10/30/2017           | 329-478   |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 filed 10/31/2017           | 479-649   |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 filed 10/31/2017           | 650-818   |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 filed 10/31/2017           | 819-990   |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 filed 10/31/2017           | 991-1106  |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 Errata filed 8/3/2017      | 1216-1270 |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 filed 10/31/2017           | 1107-1194 |
| Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 filed 10/31/2017           | 1195-1215 |
| Transcript of Sentencing filed 9/13/2017                  | 310-328   |

| 1  | justice.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: Adjutant's General                  |
| 3  | Office, but I was an adjutant general.                         |
| 4  | THE COURT: All right. So do you think that that                |
| 5  | experience will pose any impediment to your serving as a juror |
| 6  | here?  |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: None whatsoever.                    |
| 8  | THE COURT: You need to follow the State criminal               |
| 9  | laws that will be instructed to you.                           |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: The last decision I had             |
| 11 | to make was in 1970, so that was a long time ago.              |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. Very good. All right. Do you                  |
| 13 | think you could be a fair and impartial juror?                 |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: Yes, sir.                           |
| 15 | THE COURT: Thank you very much for being here. Mr.             |
| 16 | Brown.   |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Nelson Brown, badge 275.            |
| 18 | High school. Laborer's union. Not married, two kids, grown.    |
| 19 | Never served.  |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. So what type of jobs do you              |
| 21 | work for the laborer's union?                                  |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Demo man.                           |
| 23 | THE COURT: Pardon me?  |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Demo.                               |
| 25 | THE COURT: Demo?   |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Yes.                              |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: Demolition?                                       |
| 3  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Yes.                              |
| 4  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. I                      |
| 5  | appreciate it.   |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Carrie Lee, badge 289.            |
| 7  | Some college. Retired from Las Vegas Valley Water District   |
| 8  | and now a project manager for a consulting company. Not      |
| 9  | married, no kids. Served on a jury about 25 years ago and it |
| 10 | was criminal.  |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. Were you a foreperson?                 |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: No.                               |
| 13 | THE COURT: Did they reach a decision?                        |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Yes.                              |
| 15 | THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience           |
| 16 | that causes you some difficulty in serving today?            |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: No.                               |
| 18 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Pass the                    |
| 19 | microphone.  |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: I'm John Rougeaux, badge          |
| 21 | number 296. Graduated from a trade school after high school. |
| 22 | I'm a full-time taxidermist. I'm married, no kids. I've      |
| 23 | never served on a jury.                                      |
| 24 | THE COURT: What's the most common thing people get           |
| 25 | taxidermied?   |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: Mule deer.                        |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: What is it?                                       |
| 3  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: Mule deer.                        |
| 4  | THE COURT: Mule deer.  |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: Yep.                              |
| 6  | THE COURT: Oh, okay. Interesting. Thank you.                 |
| 7  | Next person.   |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: The name's Brandon                |
| 9  | Lando, badge number 301. High school, currently in college.  |
| 10 | Job position, assistant manager. Not married, no children,   |
| 11 | and never served on a jury.                                  |
| 12 | THE COURT: Job system's manager.                             |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: Assistant manager.                |
| 14 | THE COURT: Assistant manager. You're pretty young.           |
| 15 | How is that you're 19?                                       |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: Yeah.                             |
| 17 | THE COURT: You're probably the youngest person               |
| 18 | here. If you're picked to serve on the jury, are you able to |
| 19 | do you think you will have enough confidence to express      |
| 20 | your opinions to others?                                     |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: Yeah, I will.                     |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you, sir.             |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 309: Oki James, badge number           |
| 24 | 309. Associate degree and I own a business. I'm a vendor.    |
| 25 | I'm married, I have three children. They are grown and gone. |

| 1  | And I served on jury in Texas about 15 years ago. It was a     |
|----|--|
| 2  | civil case.  |
| 3  | THE COURT: Civil? All right. What did you think                |
| 4  | of that experience?  |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 309: You know, I have a short            |
| 6  | memory. I've forgotten. I am so sorry.                         |
| 7  | THE COURT: That's fine. Can you be fair and                    |
| 8  | impartial in this case?  |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 309: I think so.                         |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you.                    |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 310: Daxa Bhakta, badge                  |
| 12 | number 310. High school completed. New home sales counselor.   |
| 13 | I am married, have three children, and never served on a jury. |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Bhakta.                   |
| 15 | Boucher?   |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Anna Boucher, badge                 |
| 17 | number 332. High school educated, cosmetology trade school.    |
| 18 | As of current, I'm a salon owner. I'm married with three       |
| 19 | children, and never served a jury.                             |
| 20 | THE COURT: How old are your children?                          |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: They're all grown.                  |
| 22 | THE COURT: All grown. Okay. Thank you. So I need               |
| 23 | to ask. Is there anybody here, some general questions. If      |
| 24 | this applies to you, raise your hand and then I'll get         |
| 25 | information from you. Is there anybody here that has been the  |

| 1  | victim of a violent crime? I just need to see a show of hands |
|----|---|
| 2  | and we'll talk to you about that. Victim of any violent       |
| 3  | crime. No hands. All right.                                   |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR: How violent?                               |
| 5  | THE COURT: Well, any kind of assault or battery,              |
| 6  | any kind of anything where you were in fear of being          |
| 7  | injured. Go ahead and let's talk to and if you're not sure    |
| 8  | err on the side of telling us about it.                       |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'm really not sure, but           |
| 10 | I was   |
| 11 | THE COURT: What is your badge number?                         |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: 176.                               |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.                               |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I was assaulted back in            |
| 15 | high school, took about five stitches in my lip. I don't know |
| 16 | if that's important or not.                                   |
| 17 | THE COURT: How long ago was that?                             |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: About 50 years ago.                |
| 19 | THE COURT: Okay. This is just some background                 |
| 20 | information to help the attorneys formulate follow-up         |
| 21 | questions for you. All right. Anybody else? We've got some    |
| 22 | hands down here, Marshal.                                     |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, I was held up by             |
| 24 | gunpoint when I worked at a 7-Eleven.                         |
|    |   |

THE COURT: I need your badge number.

25

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 252.                                |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: 252. So you worked at 7-Eleven and you              |
| 3  | were held up at gunpoint?                                      |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah, at gunpoint. It               |
| 5  | was scary. I was also a guy ran up behind me, oh, this was     |
| 6  | years ago, and knocked me to the ground and stole my purse and |
| 7  | ran off. I think they're both violent.                         |
| 8  | THE COURT: How long ago did these two incidents                |
| 9  | happen?  |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, the robbery at the            |
| 11 | 7-Eleven was in 1977. And the purse snatching incident was     |
| 12 | sometime in the mid-1980s. I don't remember the exact year.    |
| 13 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for providing that                  |
| 14 | information. Who else? Yes, ma'am. Badge number?               |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Badge number 268. Not               |
| 16 | sure of the relevance, but I was present for a mass school     |
| 17 | shooting in high school in 2001.                               |
| 18 | THE COURT: Oh, wow. I'm sorry you had to                       |
| 19 | experience that. Was anybody injured in that shooting?         |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yeah. There were 15                 |
| 21 | victims in total. I would say that I probably knew about a     |
| 22 | dozen of them, and I knew the shooter as well.                 |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. Did any of the victims die?              |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.                                |
| 25 | THE COURT: Do you think you're able to set aside               |

78

your feelings about that horrible incident so you can look at all the evidence in this case fairly and objectively?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: I believe I do. It was kind of a unique scenario that I happened to be friends with the shooter and with the victims. Because I was under the age of 18 I was issued a subpoena on behalf of the shooter, but my mother declined to let me participate in it because it was — I was like 17. So it was something that probably wasn't good. So I kind of had to see things from both sides.

THE COURT: So in this case I told you some of the — a couple of the charges against the defendant who's sitting here. You understand that just because he's been charged with a crime, that's no evidence whatsoever that he did the crime, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.

THE COURT: And the defendant is presumed innocent, presumed not guilty as he's sitting here today. Do you understand that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.

THE COURT: And you're willing to accept that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there anyone else who's been a victim of any violent crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: When I worked at the water district and ran the branch office we were robbed at

| 1  | gunpoint.   |
|----|---|
| 2  | THE COURT: Robbed at gunpoint. Was a gun pointed              |
| 3  | at you?   |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Yeah.                              |
| 5  | THE COURT: How long ago was that?                             |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: About 30 years ago.                |
| 7  | THE COURT: Thirty years ago. Okay. Sorry you had              |
| 8  | to experience that. Do you believe you can serve as a fair    |
|    |   |
| 9  | and impartial juror here?                                     |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Yes.                               |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anybody else?                |
| 12 | Is there anybody here who's testified as a witness in a       |
| 13 | criminal lawsuit? That's all I need to know. All right.       |
| 14 | We've got two hands in the back. Let's have badge numbers.    |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: John Blythe, badge                 |
| 16 | number 188.   |
| 17 | THE COURT: Tell us about that.                                |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Okay. Actually, the                |
| 19 | assault wasn't against me. A fellow tried to sexually assault |
| 20 | my wife. He was unsuccessful. So anyway, I was asked to give  |
| 21 | testimony of the event in front of the grand jury. This was   |
| 22 | in Arizona.   |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. And remind us when that                 |
| 24 | happened.   |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: The late '70s.                     |

| Т  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
|    |

2.5

THE COURT: Okay. So, sir, you're going to get some preliminary instructions if you're chosen to serve on the jury about how to judge a person's credibility on the stand. Are you — do you think you can serve in that capacity? Listen to a person on the stand, studying their manner, studying their internal consistency in what they're saying, compare what they're saying with the evidence, and look — I'm going to give you some other factors. Do you think you can study a person on the stand to determine their credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Absolutely. Actually, that's what I did for four years as a hearing officer.

THE COURT: Very good. I appreciate that, sir. All right. Let's find out, let's talk to the next person.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Elissa Newby, badge number 187. I had my wallet stolen from me in 2013 and I was actually able to catch the people that did it through my police report. It ended up being a case much, much larger than that of husband and wife that were going around the entire city and stealing from people. It actually involved some cops and there was some like underground drug trade going on with all of this. I was asked to take a polygraph test to prove my innocence in this because they tried to say that I owed them drug money and stuff. Yes, I had to testify —

THE COURT: Where did that happen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Here in Las Vegas.

| 1  | THE COURT: So, were you being investigated by                |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes.                              |
| 3  | THE COURT: the State authorities, county                     |
| 4  | authorities or federal authorities, if you know?             |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: I don't know.                     |
| 6  | THE COURT: Was the Clark County District Attorney's          |
| 7  | Office involved at all, do you know?                         |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes.                              |
| 9  | THE COURT: So how do you feel about that? Well,              |
| 10 | let me ask you a better question. Does that experience where |
| 11 | you were basically being questioned, does that make it       |
| 12 | difficult for you to do you have any hard feelings against   |
| 13 | the Clark County District Attorney's Office, I guess is the  |
| 14 | right question?  |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: No. They were very nice           |
| 16 | to me, but I did feel a little nervous and like, not strong  |
| 17 | enough at times. Even though I was innocent, it was very     |
| 18 | draining. Who I was testifying against, he made some direct  |
| 19 | threats towards me and my family. So there was a lot of fear |
| 20 | in testifying against him and being back here doing that     |
| 21 | again.   |
| 22 | THE COURT: Do you believe you could treat both               |
| 23 | sides fairly and objectively in this case, despite that      |
| 24 | experience?  |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: I honestly don't know.            |

THE COURT: Okay.

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Growing up in a military family and my father's a police officer, I've kind of grown up one-sided.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being honest. We need to know that. I'll let the attorneys here ask you some follow-up questions about that. Thank you for explaining that.

Anybody else who served as a witness in a criminal lawsuit?

Is there anybody here, other than those who've already explained, anybody here who has any connection with law enforcement or the courts? If so, first, let me see a show of hands how many people that might apply to. All right. Let's talk to some of those people. Marshal, why don't you just start in the back row then. This is connection to law enforcement or the justice system.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Badge 188, John Blythe. When I was going to school at the University of Oklahoma my wife worked for the Norman Police Department for two years. And we had the opportunity to get to know a lot of the officers and the ins and outs of police work. So the young lady mentioned, you tend to all of a sudden, you know, think they have a tough job and so, that's the connection with law enforcement.

| 1  | THE COURT: All right. So, do you understand that               |
|----|--|
| 2  | if police officers take the stand here that you have to judge  |
| 3  | their credibility just like every other witness?               |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Absolutely.                         |
| 5  | THE COURT: So, do you agree to do that?                        |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Absolutely.                         |
| 7  | THE COURT: Are you going to assume, start off by               |
| 8  | assuming anything regarding whether the police officer's going |
| 9  | to tell the truth before you even hear from them?              |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: No, sir.                            |
| 11 | THE COURT: All right. So you'll judge the police               |
| 12 | officers just like any other way.                              |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Yes, sir.                           |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Pass the                 |
| 15 | microphone.  |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Elissa Newby, badge                 |
| 17 | number 187. My father is a fatal detective here in Las Vegas   |
| 18 | for Metro, has been for I believe 22 years.                    |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. Having grown up in law                   |
| 20 | enforcement, you understand that just because a person is      |
| 21 | accused of a crime doesn't mean they're guilty, right?         |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes.                                |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. Do you understand the system             |
| 24 | where the State has to prove that the crime was committed, and |
| 25 | each element of the crime are satisfied by a standard called   |

| 1  | beyond a reasonable doubt? You're familiar with that?          |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes, I'm familiar.                  |
| 3  | THE COURT: All right. Will you acknowledge that                |
| 4  | under the American system of justice that the defendant is     |
| 5  | presumed innocent until and unless the State can prove         |
| 6  | otherwise? You agree with that?                                |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes, I understand that.             |
| 8  | THE COURT: I understanding that growing up in a law            |
| 9  | enforcement household you probably have a predisposition to be |
| LO | very pro law enforcement and anti-crime, right?                |
| L1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes.                                |
| L2 | THE COURT: All right. Is that going to interfere               |
| L3 | with your ability to fairly judge this defendant here and      |
| L4 | interfere with your requirement to hold the State to their     |
| L5 | burden of proof?   |
| L6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: I don't know. I don't               |
| L7 | want to say no, but I don't want to say yes.                   |
| L8 | THE COURT: That's fair. I'll let you guys talk to              |
| L9 | her some more and see if you have any concerns. Thank you      |
| 20 | very much for you're being honest. I appreciate that.          |
| 21 | Who's next?  |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: Richard Casselman, badge            |
| 23 | number 186.  |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right.  |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: My stepson is a                     |

Henderson police officer.

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: How long has he served?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: He graduated from the academy January this year. He's new.

THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about that fact that makes you have some pause about your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: No, it does not.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Anybody else in that back row? Why don't you hand the microphone up to the gentleman.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Doug Lautner, badge number 198. I interact with law enforcement on a regular basis in the course of our duties. Typically, at accident sites and things like that, albeit at the federal level rather than the local level, although from time to time I interact with Nevada Highway Patrol and Nye County Sheriff's Office from time to time. I've been in the fire service for 38 years, most of it here in Las Vegas. So, similar cultures with the police department. We interact regularly at social events like charity events, golf tournaments, things like that.

THE COURT: All right. Is that experience going to give you any predisposition or predilection to be on the State's side or the prosecution side before you've even heard

any evidence in this case?

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: You know, I can't say for sure. While I don't have close friends that are police officers, I have a lot of acquaintances that I've interacted with through the years. My experience has been that they're capable, competent, fair. They do their due diligence. That's always been my experience. In fact, typically what you hear in the media here lately over the last couple years, I have not seen that. I find them to be very fair and honest. That's just a personal — I don't know if that's a bias or not. I'm not sure that it is, it's just my personal experience.

THE COURT: All right. So you have a feeling that police are generally competent and fair. Do you understand in this particular case if police officers take the stand, that you need to be presented with some evidence before you can form any opinion as to number one, whether the officer is credible; number two, whether he did his job properly, and number three, whether there's any evidence against the defendant. You've got to wait and hear the evidence before you form any opinions. Do you agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yes, I agree with that. I understand that.

THE COURT: Do you believe you could be fair and impartial?

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I don't see why I                  |
|----|---|
| 2  | wouldn't be.  |
| 3  | THE COURT: All right. Are you willing to hold the             |
| 4  | State to their burden of proof, meaning you will not will     |
| 5  | you pledge to me that you will not find the defendant guilty  |
| 6  | if the State fails to meet its burden of proving the elements |
| 7  | of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt?                       |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I think I can do that.             |
| 9  | THE COURT: All right. That's all I ask at this                |
| 10 | point. Thank you, sir. Anybody else who has a law             |
| 11 | enforcement connection? Go ahead.                             |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: Badge number 206. I                |
| 13 | have a cousin who is a California Highway Patrol and an uncle |
| 14 | with the Ontario Police in Canada.                            |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. How frequently do you talk              |
| 16 | to your cousin or your uncle?                                 |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: Oh, my uncle, very                 |
| 18 | seldom because he's in Canada. But my cousin, maybe he        |
| 19 | comes to Vegas for the police golf tournament here every year |
| 20 | and I text message him whenever there's a family reunion here |
| 21 | So maybe like four times a year.                              |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. And when your cousin comes              |
| 23 | here, do you ever talk to him about his job as a California   |
| 24 | Highway Patrolman?  |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: No. We don't talk abou             |

| 1  | work, because he says I'm on vacation. So, no work.           |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anybody in the               |  |
| 3  | first row that has law enforcement connection? Up here? All   |  |
| 4  | right. Let's talk to you folks.                               |  |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: Danielle Opperman, badge           |  |
| 6  | 265. I have family who work at the courts, retired Judge      |  |
| 7  | Bixler, and then my cousin, his wife. I do have a brother in  |  |
| 8  | law enforcement in Indiana. I have a cousin who's a cop here. |  |
| 9  | And I have numerous friends who are cops as well.             |  |
| LO | THE COURT: All right. Do you think any of that                |  |
| L1 | impacts your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this |  |
| L2 | case?   |  |
| L3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: No.                                |  |
| L4 | THE COURT: So what's your relationship with Judge             |  |
| L5 | Bixler?   |  |
| L6 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: Cousins.                           |  |
| L7 | THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you. Let's                  |  |
| L8 | pass it down.   |  |
| L9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: Pandora Kono, badge 232.           |  |
| 20 | My ex is a police officer, but this is all back in Hawaii. So |  |
| 21 | a lot of my friends are in the police force.                  |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: When was the last time you talked to               |  |
| 23 | your ex?  |  |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: We don't talk a lot.               |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. So you don't talk with him              |  |

| 1  | anymore about his job.                                       |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: In fact, none of my               |
| 3  | friends we talk about work.                                  |
| 4  | THE COURT: Do you think you can be fair and                  |
| 5  | objective here?  |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: Yeah. Sure.                       |
| 7  | THE COURT: Very good. That's all we need to know.            |
| 8  | Thank you. Anybody else?                                     |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: Martin Burch, 209. I do           |
| LO | have an uncle who used to be a police officer in Kentucky.   |
| L1 | THE COURT: When was the last time you ever talked            |
| L2 | to him about his job?  |
| L3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: I don't think I ever              |
| L4 | have. I just remembered.                                     |
| L5 | THE COURT: Very good. Thank you for volunteering             |
| L6 | that information. So Marshal, can we bring the microphone    |
| L7 | over to the folks sitting in the back? Is there anybody back |
| L8 | there that has a connection to law enforcement that you      |
| L9 | haven't discussed already?                                   |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: My brother overseas.              |
| 21 | THE COURT: Your badge number, please.                        |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: 332.                              |
| 23 | THE COURT: Brother?  |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Correct.                          |
| 25 | THE COURT: In what country?                                  |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Czechoslovakia. He's                |
|----|--|
| 2  | retired for 10 years, so it's been awhile.                     |
| 3  | THE COURT: Thank you. I know you've told us                    |
| 4  | already that you have some concerns about your salon and being |
| 5  | here. But setting that aside for a moment, do you think you    |
| 6  | could be a fair juror?   |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I hope so.                          |
| 8  | THE COURT: All right. If you didn't have your work             |
| 9  | issues, how would you feel about being here as a juror?        |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I would do my best. I               |
| 11 | mean, this is my first time ever and I just hope I can be I    |
| 12 | can do the right thing.  |
| 13 | THE COURT: Of course. It's an important                        |
| 14 | responsibility.  |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Yes. I just worry that              |
| 16 | I'm going to do something wrong.                               |
| 17 | THE COURT: Well, the most important part is to pay             |
| 18 | attention, right, and just exercise your common sense and      |
| 19 | follow the law. If you're chosen, do you think you could do    |
| 20 | those three things?  |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I hope so.                          |
| 22 | THE COURT: Thank you. Anybody else in that back                |
| 23 | row? All right. So, is there anybody here who has ever been    |
| 24 | arrested for a crime? I would need to know that. Marshal, I    |
| 25 | need to talk to those people to see generally what the         |

| 1   | circumstances are. That would include unfortunately, I       |
|-----|--|
| 2   | need to ask if anyone was ever arrested for a DUI. Usually   |
| 3   | there's a couple people. Yes, sir.                           |
| 4   | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: Kiley Georgia, badge              |
| 5   | number 185. Long time ago, shoplifting, was a kid, and 20    |
| 6   | years ago was DUI.   |
| 7   | THE COURT: All right. Did you have to serve any              |
| 8   | time for any of those?                                       |
| 9   | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: For not paying the                |
| LO  | fines, yeah, I had to do that. Twenty days in Orange County. |
| L1  | THE COURT: That was the DUI or the shoplifting?              |
| L2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: The DUI. I couldn't pay           |
| L3  | the fines so they made me do time.                           |
| L4  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being honest.            |
| L5  | Appreciate it. Who else in that back row? Anybody else? All  |
| L6  | the way down here on the left.                               |
| L7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: 176. MIP. Does that               |
| L8  | count?   |
| L9  | THE COURT: MIP?  |
| 20  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Minor in possession.              |
| 21  | THE COURT: Oh, okay. How old were you?                       |
| 22  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I was probably 19.                |
| 23  | THE COURT: That was quite a while ago, right?                |
| 24  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Fifty years ago.                  |
| 2.5 | THE COURT: That's okay. We won't worry much about            |

| 1  | that.   |
|----|---|
| 2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: Badge 193. DUI in 1992             |
| 3  | THE COURT: A DUI. Okay. Thank you, sir. Anybody               |
| 4  | else? The gentleman up here in the front.                     |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: I was arrested in New              |
| 6  | Orleans for attempted murder and kidnap.                      |
| 7  | THE COURT: Remind me of your badge number.                    |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: 224.                               |
| 9  | THE COURT: Arrested. So, can you tell us what                 |
| 10 | happened?   |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: They turned me loose               |
| 12 | because what they considered a false arrest. The person who   |
| 13 | accused me was a nine-year-old girl coming home from school.  |
| 14 | THE COURT: Mistaken identity?                                 |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: No. Since she was late             |
| 16 | coming home from school she said she was kidnapped and I shot |
| 17 | at her or something. I was in the Marine Corps at the time.   |
| 18 | She just seen somebody in uniform.                            |
| 19 | THE COURT: She just made up a story?                          |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Made up a story.                   |
| 21 | THE COURT: I mean allegedly or                                |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Yes. But it's still on             |
| 23 | my record.  |
| 24 | THE COURT: I know she made up the story just                  |
| 25 | trying to find the right word here.                           |

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: She told a lie to her mother, her mother called the police. The came by my house after I had got off work from the Marine Corps, and they just snatched me up.

THE COURT: Sorry you had to go through that. How long did it take for the police to figure out that this was all made up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Well, I arrested. I stayed about 24 hours. They gave me a lie detector test, passed that, and then they released me. And they said well, we found evidence that this never took place because nobody in the neighborhood reported any gunshots and the little girl was just late coming home from school.

THE COURT: Hopefully, they apologized to you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: The little girl didn't apologize, and her mother, she believed her daughter. About 15 years later her mother, before she passed away, called me, kept up with where I was staying, my mother—in—law called her and then called me and apologized. But it's still on my record. I never had it expunged.

THE COURT: I'm sorry you had to go through that. Thank you for being honest with us, sir. What else do we have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Badge number 247. In 2007 I was arrested for attempt theft.

| 1  | THE COURT: How did that come about?                            |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: It came about, a                    |
| 3  | misdemeanor, and then I served time, community service.        |
| 4  | THE COURT: Were you wrongly accused?                           |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Kind of, yeah. It was               |
| 6  | I don't know. It was a long time.                              |
| 7  | THE COURT: Back in 2007. How does that make you                |
| 8  | feel now? Do you think law enforcement did their job or        |
| 9  | didn't do their job?   |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: They did their job.                 |
| 11 | THE COURT: They did do their job?                              |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Yeah. I'm totally fine              |
| 13 | with it.   |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. Do you hold any grudge                   |
| 15 | against law enforcement?                                       |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: No.                                 |
| 17 | THE COURT: Hold any grudge against the prosecutors?            |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: No.                                 |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right. And on the other side of the             |
| 20 | coin, do you think you could be fair and impartial to both     |
| 21 | sides here?  |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Of course.                          |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. And are you willing to find              |
| 24 | the defendant not guilty if the State fails to meet its burden |
| 25 | of proof in this case?   |

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Of course. 2. THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you. 3 Anybody else? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Badge number 268. I got 4 5 a DUI about five years ago. 6 THE COURT: Do you think you were treated fairly by law enforcement? 7 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yeah, I was drunk. 9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That's all I 10 Thank you very much. Anybody in that back row need to know. 11 back there that's ever been arrested for a crime? No? All 12 right. 13 Just a couple things here. Folks, I just need to 14 confirm. So under our system of justice there's certain basic 15 principles of law that apply in every criminal trial. 16 mentioned this, but I need to mention it again. 17 principles are number one, that the defendant is presumed 18 innocent. And number two, that the State must prove that the 19 defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The burden is 20 on the State. Does anybody not believe in these basic 21 principles of American justice? I don't see any hands. 2.2. One more thing I need to mention to everybody as a group. Under the law, if you're selected to serve as a juror, 23 24 you will be required to decide the facts of the case.

making your decision, however, you must be guided by the law

25

2.2.

as it applies to the facts. It will be my duty, after conferring with counsel, to instruct you on what the law is. Is there anybody here who will not be able to follow the law if you believe the law should be something different than what I tell you it is? Anybody who can't follow the law as I instruct you? All right. I don't see any hands.

At this point in time, what I'm going to do is take a 15-minute recess. I need to confer momentarily with the attorneys, and then I'm going to bring you back in. I'm going to allow the State to begin asking some questions of you. All right? So, Marshal, excuse them after I read the admonishment.

Do not converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or Internet. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. Don't perform any research about the case or the facts of the case, the subject matter of the case, or the issues in the case.

Also, I have instructed the attorneys not to have any communication with you. The attorneys use the same restrooms sometimes that you might be using. If you happen to

see the attorneys, please avoid any contact with them. If they happen to try to avoid you, overt their eyes, please don't hold it against them. They're not trying to be antisocial, they're simply complying with my instruction to avoid contact with you. All right?

So with that, please enjoy a 15-minute recess. We'll see you back shortly.

(Prospective jury panel recessed at 3:44 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the jury. Please be seated. So, two things. I have some concerns about Ms. Newby, but I'm going to let you guys question her. I'm not sure where to go with her yet. That's the only person I really have some concerns with so far.

Having heard some of their comments, how many jurors do we think we need the Jury Commissioner to bring in tomorrow? How many more people do you think we need to bring in?

MS. THOMSON: I wouldn't know where to estimate.

MR. YANEZ: Yeah, it's a tough one.

THE COURT: I mean, there's not a lot of information to help you know at this point who you might challenge for cause. You've got a little bit of sense for these people. We have 14 to spare. You think I should bring in maybe 10 more people tomorrow —

MS. THOMSON: Well, my concern --

| 1  | THE COURT: to be safe?  |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | MS. THOMSON: Unless my math is all wonky, we got              |  |
| 3  | rid of like 20 people on the 60 just early on.                |  |
| 4  | THE COURT: I thought you said we had 44 left.                 |  |
| 5  | Someone told me we had 44.                                    |  |
| 6  | MS. THOMSON: That was what I counted                          |  |
| 7  | THE COURT: Did you count what about the first                 |  |
| 8  | five, did you subtract those also? So we have 44 left. If we  |  |
| 9  | had 60 and got rid of 20, we should have about 40 left. I     |  |
| 10 | need to let Mariah know by 4:00.                              |  |
| 11 | MS. THOMSON: I just think 10 is probably too                  |  |
| 12 | conservative based on the potential for reasons they can't    |  |
| 13 | serve. Looking at the number we excused today, based upon     |  |
| 14 | that, I think 10 we'd end up with like three people, which    |  |
| 15 | maybe four people.  |  |
| 16 | THE COURT: If we have 14 extra and we add 10 more,            |  |
| 17 | that means we have 24 extra. If we had 44, minutes 32, that's |  |
| 18 | 12 extra.   |  |
| 19 | MS. THOMSON: So what if we do like 20, because                |  |
| 20 | worst case scenario we take some people's day instead of      |  |
| 21 | taking 20 people's day because we have to go into Wednesday   |  |
| 22 | for another set of people.                                    |  |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. So you think I'll tell                  |  |
| 24 | Mariah 20 more?   |  |
| 25 | MR. YANEZ: I agree.   |  |

| 1  | THE COURT: All right. That's what we'll do. We'll           |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | tell her 20 more. Thank you. I just needed some guidance on |  |
| 3  | that.   |  |
| 4  | MS. THOMSON: Thank you.                                     |  |
| 5  | THE COURT: All right. So take your recess and               |  |
| 6  | we'll see you back here a few minutes before four.          |  |
| 7  | MS. THOMSON: Before you pass the jury to us, will           |  |
| 8  | you ask them if they're all citizens? Just because that's   |  |
| 9  | kind of a weird question for me to have to ask.             |  |
| LO | THE COURT: Okay.  |  |
| L1 | MS. THOMSON: I've only once had someone be like no,         |  |
| L2 | but it was not I don't want to be that person.              |  |
| L3 | THE COURT: I will ask that. Anything else?                  |  |
| L4 | MS. THOMSON: No.  |  |
| L5 | THE COURT: Anything else sensitive that you don't           |  |
| L6 | want to be the one asking?                                  |  |
| L7 | MS. THOMSON: No.  |  |
| L8 | THE COURT: All right. Because you have to be a              |  |
| L9 | citizen to sit on the jury.                                 |  |
| 20 | MS. THOMSON: That's why we're asking.                       |  |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right.                                       |  |
| 22 | MS. THOMSON: You don't necessarily have to be a             |  |
| 23 | citizen to be pulled. It's happened.                        |  |
| 24 | THE COURT: Thank you. I'll ask them. Thank you.             |  |
| 25 | Enjoy your recess.  |  |

(Court recessed at 3:48 p.m. until 4:09 p.m.)

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: Marshal, will you go check on the juror in the back or outside? So, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to excuse some of you. If I say your name and you hear your name being called, please gather your belongings and you're excused and free to go with the thanks of the Court.

If I don't excuse you, that doesn't mean you're going to end up on the jury. It just means you need to -- we might need to ask you some more questions, get more information or you just need to go further in the process.

All right?

So, the following people are going to be excused.

Badge number 178, Marek Grabowski, you're excused. Badge
number 179, Christopher Ward, you're excused. Badge number
182, Cynthia Schaff, you're excused. Badge number 202, Yoko
Ito, you're excused. Badge number 216, Jacqueline
Setlak-Deleon, you're excused. Badge number 238, Angelo
Montana, you are excused. Badge number 297, Caitlin Wilson,
you're excused. Badge number 298, Joseph Pascua, you're
excused. Badge number 406, Darren Michels, you're excused.

Those are the only ones who are excused at this point. We need to get more information from the rest of you. Marshal, how's the prospective juror out there doing? Still emotional? All right. I need to see what that's all about before I go forward. All right, ladies and gentlemen, I need

|   | 1 |
|---|---|
|   | 2 |
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |

to give you a 10-minute break. While you're on recess please don't talk about the case, don't do any research, don't form any opinions, and don't express any opinions to anybody else. Don't read, watch, or listen to any reports of or commentary on the trial. I'll see you back here in 10 minutes. Please gather your belongings. When you come back in you're going to sit where you're sitting now. Just remember where you're sitting. Thank you.

(Prospective jury panel recessed at 2:27 p.m.)

THE COURT: Please be at ease. I just need to have my Marshal bring in Ms. Teresa Martinat. I definitely need more people, I'm just trying to decide how many more. Give me a moment.

### (Off-record colloquy)

THE COURT: Marshal, please bring her in. We need to qualify 30 for eight, eight, and two alternates. All right.

Please come forward up here to the jury stand,
ma'am. Thank you for expressing yourself. We need to know
whether you can serve. Please help her, Marshal. So your
name is Teresa Martinat.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Yes.

THE COURT: Ma'am, I do need to administer an oath because we have to make sure you recognize the responsibility to tell the truth. We'll get you some Kleenexes. Will

someone please help with some Kleenexes? Marshal, can you help.

2.

2.2.

TERESA MARTINAT, PROSPECTIVE JUROR, SWORN

THE CLERK: Please be seated. And if you could speak into the microphone and state your name for the record, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Teresa Martinat.

THE COURT: So this is difficult for people to serve on a jury sometimes for various reasons. What would you like to tell us? It just seems hard for you. Please talk to me. You don't have to worry about anybody else here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: I'm a manic depressive.

I have a severe anxiety disorder. Just through my life, I'm under a doctor's care. But it's just gotten so worse, and I'm sorry. I am a wreck. I am mentally incapable of doing anything. I can't even take care of my mother anymore who needs to be taken care of. When I came in here I just thought I could, you know, tell you that I have no memory. I can see, but it's blurry. Basically, I have no control over myself, over my emotions. The biggest problem I think is because I just had my insurance, Medicare shot me through to a new therapist and after so many years of being with one and then I'm not getting, you know — I don't know if you understand depression and all this.

THE COURT: Sure. You're under a doctor's care.

| Τ  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Yes. They're new. I               |  |
|----|--|--|
| 2  | tried to get a note from them and even tried with my pain    |  |
| 3  | management because I have spinal stenosis, whole rigmarole.  |  |
| 4  | But that I could deal with. I thought I could deal with this |  |
| 5  | and I just I just lost it. Yeah, I am under it's just        |  |
| 6  | they're new people. They wouldn't call me back to help me    |  |
| 7  | out, either to   |  |
| 8  | THE COURT: Can I ask you some questions? Would               |  |
| 9  | that be okay?  |  |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Well, sure, it would be           |  |
| 11 | okay. Do I have to answer them?                              |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: Well, let's see what the questions are            |  |
| 13 | first.   |  |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Okay.                             |  |
| 15 | THE COURT: Is it particularly this situation? Is             |  |
| 16 | it intimidating because                                      |  |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: No.                               |  |
| 18 | THE COURT: It's not intimidating?                            |  |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: No, I mean, it's not              |  |
| 20 | just this situation. I'm like this                           |  |
| 21 | THE COURT: You're like this all the time?                    |  |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Pretty much.                      |  |
| 23 | THE COURT: Okay. Are you under some medication?              |  |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Yeah.                             |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: And a doctor's care.                              |  |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Oh, yeah.                         |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: So this isn't something where like a              |
| 3  | glass of water and a break you're going to feel better.      |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Oh, no.                           |
| 5  | THE COURT: Just wanted to make sure. All right.              |
| 6  | I'm sorry you're going through this. All right.              |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: I am sorry. I really              |
| 8  | thought I was going to be okay.                              |
| 9  | THE COURT: Do you have someone who takes care of             |
| 10 | you or helps you?  |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: No. We're working on              |
| 12 | that now because we realize I've been taking care of my      |
| 13 | mom, but it's just   |
| 14 | THE COURT: Do you have a job?                                |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: No, I'm on disability.            |
| 16 | THE COURT: I can obviously tell you're an emotional          |
| 17 | wreck. I'm sorry to say that.                                |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: It's all right.                   |
| 19 | THE COURT: I'm going to excuse you. Okay? I don't            |
| 20 | think you'll be able to focus and serve on the jury with     |
| 21 | competence given your situation. But you're brave for being  |
| 22 | honest with us. Thank you. I think that bravery to be honest |
| 23 | with us  |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: I wanted to just say it           |
| 25 | and T knew you'd understand but T just                       |

| 1  | THE COURT: That's fine. I'll go ahead and excuse               |
|----|--|
| 2  | you. You can gather your belongings and leave. All right?      |
| 3  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Thank you very much.                |
| 4  | I'm really sorry.  |
| 5  | THE COURT: It's okay. It happens. Juror 256 is                 |
| 6  | excused.   |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 256: Thank you.                          |
| 8  | THE COURT: So let's go ahead and I'll let the                  |
| 9  | attorneys and staff and everybody take a restroom break, if we |
| 10 | need to do that. We'll come back in about six minutes. All     |
| 11 | right?   |
| 12 | (Court recessed at 2:34 p.m. until 2:44 p.m.)                  |
| 13 | (Outside the presence of the prospective jury)                 |
| 14 | THE COURT: All right. Let's just figure out my                 |
| 15 | clerk just said I thought we need to qualify 32. We've got 12  |
| 16 | on the jury, eight perempts, eight perempts, and then you each |
| 17 | get one for an alternate. That's 20. That's a total of 30.     |
| 18 | I'm not sure how you're getting 32. Eighteen plus 12 is 30.    |
| 19 | MS. THOMSON: But then there's no alternates, right?            |
| 20 | MR. SCHWARTZ: We need two alternates, so that's the            |
| 21 | 32 I think. Twelve plus 20.                                    |
| 22 | THE COURT: Oh, 12 plus I forget the alternates.                |
| 23 | I'm doing the alternate challenges, but not the alternates     |
| 24 | themselves. You were right, 32. My math, you know. I'm good    |
| 25 | at algebra, but not just straight math.                        |

Are you guys okay with two alternates that are going to be in the last two positions, but undisclosed to the jurors? You guys okay with that?

MR. YANEZ: Yeah.

MS. THOMSON: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. In terms of exercising your peremptory challenges, by statute you're required to exercise your eight as to the individuals who end up as regular jurors and one as to a person that would end being an alternate. A lot of times the attorneys stipulate to just use the nine to whoever's been prequalified, so you don't need to spend a lot of time trying to figure out who might end up in the alternate seat. So do you want to just strictly follow the rules and just use your nine peremptory challenges to anybody that's deemed in the box, which would be 32 people.

MR. YANEZ: I'm fine with that.

MS. THOMSON: We so stipulate.

THE COURT: All right. So the parties jointly stipulate to waive the rule to allow the nine to be used, nine challenges to be used to whoever's been prequalified and in the box out of the 32. All right.

Marshal, let's bring in the jurors.

MR. YANEZ: Judge, one question. How many -- so we're going to go 20 -- how many?

THE COURT: A total of 30 that basically fill the

| 1  | box and then you exercise your well, you conduct voir dire     |
|----|--|
| 2  | as to those. I'll do some voir dire, you do voir dire. We      |
| 3  | only have enough seats for 23 and then we're going to have     |
| 4  | seven more back there.   |
| 5  | MR. YANEZ: Okay.   |
| 6  | THE COURT: But the seven that are going to be                  |
| 7  | sitting behind counsel's table will be deemed in the box for a |
| 8  | total of 30.   |
| 9  | MR. YANEZ: Okay.   |
| 10 | THE COURT: You'll be allowed to ask your voir dire             |
| 11 | as to all 30. That's the only way to work it.                  |
| 12 | THE MARSHAL: Thirty or 32, Judge?                              |
| 13 | THE COURT: Thirty-two.   |
| 14 | THE MARSHAL: Okay. There's 24 here.                            |
| 15 | THE COURT: In case I say 30, I mean 32 from here               |
| 16 | forward. I'll probably say 30 again, but I mean 32.            |
| 17 | (Prospective jury panel reconvened at 2:48 p.m.)               |
| 18 | THE COURT: Marshal, everybody accounted for?                   |
| 19 | THE MARSHAL: The jury's accounted for.                         |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Please be seated everybody.              |
| 21 | All right. We're now going to proceed with the next phase of   |
| 22 | the jury selection process. Will the Court clerk please call   |
| 23 | the first group of 32 people in order who will take the jury   |
| 24 | box or the seats in front of the jury box and we will focus    |
| 25 | our next phase of questioning on that group of 32. If you      |

2.

4

hear your name called, please gather your belongings and you're going to come sit up here. Unfortunately, we only have 16 of the comfortable chairs, so then the other 16 of you are going to have to sit in the group up front here or the first row behind counsel's table. All right, Madam Clerk.

Again, when you hear your name, please gather your belongings and you're going to sit up here and you're going to sit in this order. The first person called is seat number one, the back left. And the back row goes one through eight. And then the middle row here is nine through 16. And then up here in the front row in front of the jury box is 17 through 24. And then after that it's the row back behind counsel table. All right. Please proceed.

(CLERK CALLS JURORS TO JURY BOX)

THE COURT: If your name wasn't called I need you to stay here, you're still under subpoena. You need to be available to be called up to take the place of one of these folks because invariably, during the questioning, we excuse a few of these people, so we need you as reserve. We'll continue with the jury selection process.

Ladies and gentlemen, you should have received a sheet which has some categories of some additional information that we need from each of you. I'll help guide you through it. It's pretty easy, we just need a little bit of information and then I'll have some follow-up questions for

| 1  | you. So we'll start off with the person in seat number one.   |
|----|---|
| 2  | Is that Mr. Peterson? Mr. Peterson, please go ahead and state |
| 3  | your name, please?  |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Steve Peterson.                    |
| 5  | THE COURT: Badge number?                                      |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: 176.                               |
| 7  | THE COURT: What level of education did you                    |
| 8  | complete?   |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Post-college graduate.             |
| 10 | THE COURT: What is your present position?                     |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'm realtor here, but              |
| 12 | retired from my day job about 10 years ago.                   |
| 13 | THE COURT: What did your day job used to consist              |
| 14 | of?   |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I was an airline pilot.            |
| 16 | THE COURT: All right. Very good, sir. What is                 |
| 17 | your marital status?  |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'm married.                       |
| 19 | THE COURT: Do you have any children?                          |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Two boys.                          |
| 21 | THE COURT: And how old are they?                              |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Thirty-three and 35.               |
| 23 | THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?             |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I've never been on a               |
| 25 | jury but I was involved in a case that had a jury             |

| 1  | THE COURT: All right. The attorneys will probably            |
|----|--|
| 2  | ask you some questions about that. Very good. Pass the       |
| 3  | microphone. Thank you, sir.                                  |
| 4  | So this is Mr. Eastham?                                      |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, Tommy Eastham.               |
| 6  | THE COURT: Why don't you provide us the same                 |
| 7  | information, just starting with the first category, name and |
| 8  | badge number.  |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Tommy Eastham, badge              |
| 10 | number 181.  |
| 11 | THE COURT: What is your education level?                     |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: High school.                      |
| 13 | THE COURT: And your present job?                             |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Point of sale manager.            |
| 15 | THE COURT: You told us that. At the Hard Rock.               |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, sir.                         |
| 17 | THE COURT: You're married?                                   |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes.                              |
| 19 | THE COURT: Do you have any children?                         |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: I have one daughter and           |
| 21 | one stepson.   |
| 22 | THE COURT: How old are they?                                 |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: The stepson is 46 and             |
| 24 | our daughter is 38.  |
| 25 | THE COURT: Have you ever served on a jury before?            |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: No.                              |
|----|---|
| 2  | THE COURT: Thank you. You can pass the microphone.          |
| 3  | Go ahead. Just provide us the info just like that.          |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 183: My name is Vicki Smith.          |
| 5  | My badge number is 183. I have an MBA. I'm a temp with      |
| 6  | Anthem. I'm an R.N. I am married. I have two grown          |
| 7  | children, 45 and 49. And I have never served on a jury.     |
| 8  | THE COURT: Thank you. Pass the microphone.                  |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: Hi. My name is Kathy             |
| 10 | Hussey, badge number 184. I have a bachelor of science      |
| 11 | degree. I am a business owner, I own Las Vegas Fruits and   |
| 12 | Nuts. I'm married. My husband is a retired attorney for the |
| 13 | school district. I have no children. I've never served on a |
| 14 | jury.   |
| 15 | THE COURT: All right. Did your husband always               |
| 16 | practice in civil law or did he ever do criminal law?       |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: He was the chief                 |
| 18 | prosecuting attorney maybe 50 years ago. Long time ago.     |
| 19 | THE COURT: Long time ago. Okay. Great. Thank you            |
| 20 | for that information.                                       |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: My name is Kiley Georgi,         |
| 22 | badge number 185. I have an associate's degree. Current job |
| 23 | is conductor, B&SF Railway. Married, one child. And never   |
| 24 | been on a jury before.                                      |
| 25 | THE COURT: How old is the child?                            |

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: Seven. 2. THE COURT: All right. Never served on a jury. 3 Thank you. Pass the microphone. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: I'm Richard Casselman. 4 5 My badge number is 186. I have a two-year diesel certificate. 6 I'm a fleet technician for Amerit Fleet Solutions here in 7 town. Married and two boys. One boy is 30, the other one is 8 I've never been on a jury. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: You're welcome. 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: My name is Elissa Newby. 12 My badge number is 187. I have some college and trade school. 13 I'm a registered medical assistant and a medical aesthetician. 14 I am not married. I do not have any children. And I have 15 never served on a jury, but I have been involved in a case 16 with a jury. 17 THE COURT: All right. We'll hear more about that 18 shortly. Thank you. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: My name is John Blythe, 20 badge number 188. I have a Master's degree. I'm an 21 environmental health and safety specialist for a power company 2.2. in Henderson. I am married. I have three grown children. 23 I've served on two juries, one a civil case in Arizona, 24 another one was a criminal case in California. And I believe

it's probably relevant at this time to -- I also serve Clark

25

1 County as a hearing officer for the air district. 2 THE COURT: All right. A hearing officer. 3 capacity, sir? What type of cases? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Air quality. All of the 4 5 air quality cases that came before NVL, things like this came 6 before the hearing officer. So I guess you could say an 7 administrative law judge. 8 Right. So you determine if employers or THE COURT: 9 individuals are in noncompliance with administrative 10 regulations? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: All air quality 12 regulations. 13 THE COURT: All air quality regulations. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Yes. You would hold 15 basically a court or a trial where they'd bring in their 16 attorneys. And then Kathryn Jorgensen with the DA's Office 17 would present the evidence against the companies. Most of 18 them were company's developers. And then you'd hear all the 19 evidence, weigh the evidence, and determine what type of 20 monetary fines. 21 THE COURT: How long have you served as a hearing 2.2. officer? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Four years. 24 THE COURT: Four years? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188:

|   | 1 |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | 2 |  |
|   | 3 |  |
|   | 4 |  |
|   | 5 |  |
|   | 6 |  |
|   | 7 |  |
|   | 8 |  |
|   | 9 |  |
| L | 0 |  |
| L | 1 |  |
| L | 2 |  |
| L | 3 |  |
| L | 4 |  |
| L | 5 |  |
| L | 6 |  |
| L | 7 |  |
| L | 8 |  |
| L | 9 |  |
| 2 | 0 |  |
|   | 1 |  |
|   | 2 |  |
|   | 3 |  |
|   | 4 |  |
| 2 | 5 |  |

|            | THE COURT:  | All right.    | Thank you for    | informing us |   |
|------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|--------------|---|
| of that.   | We'll have  | more question | ons about that.  | Thank you    |   |
| very much. | Marshal,    | let's pass t  | the microphone d | lown to seat |   |
| number nir | ne, please. | Sorry about   | t the uncomforta | able chairs. | Ι |
| know, I've | e been told | they're not   | too comfortable  | <b>2</b> •   |   |

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: My name is Johnny Brown, Jr., badge number 189. Education, completed some college degree. Job position, I'm a quality assurance specialist.

Married. I have two kids. My youngest is three months old; my oldest is six years old. I haven't been in a jury.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Brown.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: My name is James Morgan. My badge number is 193. I have two years of college. My job position is a senior freight supervisor. I'm married, I have one adult stepson who's 37. I've never been on a jury.

THE COURT: So tell us what a senior trade supervisor does.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: I work for a large general contractor in the trade show and convention industry here locally, so I supervise freight operations.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. I appreciate that. Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: My name is Gerhard Duester. My badge number is 194. I am retired, live in a domestic partnership. I have two children. A daughter in

| 1  | Germany who is 45, and a son in Seattle who is 42. I'm a     |
|----|--|
| 2  | software engineer, retired.                                  |
| 3  | THE COURT: What company was your last employer?              |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 194: I've never been employed          |
| 5  | here. I was self-employed. I worked for years for HP in the  |
| 6  | Bay area.  |
| 7  | THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you, sir.             |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 195: Stephanie Ocampo, badge           |
| 9  | number 195. I have a Master's in finance. I'm a department   |
| LO | manager for collections with Wyndham Consumer Finance. I'm   |
| L1 | married. I have a 19-year-old autistic son. And I've never   |
| L2 | served on a jury.  |
| L3 | THE COURT: Thank you.  |
| L4 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: David Debenon, badge              |
| L5 | number 196. High school education. I'm an estimator, project |
| L6 | manager for Las Vegas Paving. Married. I have two daughters, |
| L7 | one is 28 and one is 17. I did serve on a jury.              |
| L8 | THE COURT: Was that a civil or a criminal case?              |
| L9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: It was an assault case.           |
| 20 | THE COURT: So that would be criminal. How long               |
| 21 | ago?   |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: I believe it was about            |
| 23 | 2004.  |
| 24 | THE COURT: Was that here in Clark County?                    |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yes, sir.                         |

| 1  | THE COURT: And without telling us the result, did             |
|----|---|
| 2  | the jury reach a verdict?                                     |
| 3  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: Yes, they did.                     |
| 4  | THE COURT: Did you happen to be the foreperson?               |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: No, sir.                           |
| 6  | THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience            |
| 7  | as a juror that would make it difficult for you to serve as a |
| 8  | juror again in this case?                                     |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 196: I don't believe so, sir.           |
| 10 | THE COURT: Thank you very much. You can pass the              |
| 11 | microphone.   |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: Jacob Uecker, badge                |
| 13 | number 197. Master's degree. I'm a chief network architect.   |
| 14 | Married, and three children.                                  |
| 15 | THE COURT: How old are your children, sir?                    |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: Six, four, and 18                  |
| 17 | months.   |
| 18 | THE COURT: Ever serve on a jury before?                       |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: Oh, excuse me. No.                 |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You can pass the             |
| 21 | microphone.   |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Douglas Lautner, badge             |
| 23 | number 198. Associate's degree. Current position is           |
| 24 | assistant fire chief. I'm married, two children, 37, 35.      |
| 25 | I've never served on a jury.                                  |

1 Thank you, sir. Pass the microphone. 2. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: I'm Analiza Saguisag. 3 My badge number is 206. I finished college. I'm currently a 4 table games dealer. I'm married. I've got one kid, nine year 5 old. And I've never served in a jury. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. Why don't you pass it to the 7 front road and then pass it all the way down. I want to start 8 with Mr. Burch. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: Hi. My name is Martin 10 Burch. My badge number is 209. I have a bachelor of science 11 degree. I'm a software engineer. I'm not married and have no 12 children and I've never been on a jury before. 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Burch. 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 222: Hi. My name is Anne Alipon, badge number 222. I have a Master's degree. I'm an 15 16 accountant. I'm not married, I have no children. I've never 17 served on a jury. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: My name is Melvin Brown, 20 badge number 224. Completed high school. Retired Master 21 Sergeant Marine Corps. Currently CDL driver. Divorced, two 2.2. kids, grown and gone. Thank God. I never served on a jury 23 before. 24 THE COURT: Thank you for your Service, sir. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: Pandora Kono, badge

| 1  | number 232. High school education. Payroll and accounting    |
|----|--|
| 2  | clerk. Not married. Two boys, 26 and 32. And served on a     |
| 3  | jury over 17 years ago.                                      |
| 4  | THE COURT: All right. Was that a civil or a                  |
| 5  | criminal case?   |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: Criminal.                         |
| 7  | THE COURT: Did you happen to serve as a foreperson?          |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: No.                               |
| 9  | THE COURT: All right. Are you able to be a fair              |
| 10 | and impartial juror in this case?                            |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: Sure.                             |
| 12 | THE COURT: Thank you.  |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: My name is Ferroel                |
| 14 | Carmona. My badge number is 247. I have an associate's       |
| 15 | degree. I am dangerous haz agent. I'm married.               |
| 16 | THE COURT: What type of agent?                               |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Dangerous haz for FedEx.          |
| 18 | I'm married. I have two kids, an 11-year-old son and a       |
| 19 | nine-year-old daughter. And I've never served on a jury.     |
| 20 | THE COURT: Thank you.  |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: I'm Karen Tackett, badge          |
| 22 | number 252. I have three years of college. I am retired.     |
| 23 | I'm not married, I have no children. And yes, I've served on |
| 24 | a jury.  |
| 25 | THE COURT: Tell us about that.                               |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, it was a civil               |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | case about three years ago.                                   |  |
| 3  | THE COURT: Here in Clark County?                              |  |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes.                               |  |
| 5  | THE COURT: Did the reach a decision?                          |  |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yes.                               |  |
| 7  | THE COURT: Did you happen to serve as a foreperson?           |  |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: No.                                |  |
| 9  | THE COURT: So you'll be instructed about the burden           |  |
| 10 | of proof. It's different in a criminal case. The civil case   |  |
| 11 | you were probably told that it's preponderance of the         |  |
| 12 | evidence.   |  |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Right.                             |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: And here it's different. You'll be                 |  |
| 15 | instructed that the State has the burden of proving each of   |  |
| 16 | the elements of the crimes charged beyond a reasonable doubt. |  |
| 17 | You understand there's a difference between the two burdens?  |  |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Oh, yes, I do.                     |  |
| 19 | THE COURT: Very good. Thank you, ma'am. Pass the              |  |
| 20 | microphone.   |  |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: Danielle Opperman, badge           |  |
| 22 | 265. Some college. I work for the City of Henderson in their  |  |
| 23 | sports department. I am not married, no children, and never   |  |
| 24 | served on a jury.   |  |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.                    |  |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Crystal Teen, badge                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | number 268. I have some college. I'm a bartender. I am         |
| 3  | married. I have a two-year-old daughter and I've never served  |
| 4  | on a jury.   |
| 5  | THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Teen. Let's go to Mr.                |
| 6  | Carter.  |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: Thomas Carter, badge                |
| 8  | number 270. Completed West Point Academy. I'm retired.         |
| 9  | Married, no children. I was the sitting chair for two years    |
| LO | at Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri on all military suits.          |
| L1 | THE COURT: Okay. Can you explain that for me?                  |
| L2 | What were your responsibilities?                               |
| L3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: I was in charge of five             |
| L4 | other officers to determine in a court martial where there was |
| L5 | a general if the person was guilty or not and what we were     |
| L6 | going to do with him, like Leavenworth.                        |
| L7 | THE COURT: Okay.   |
| L8 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: Anything from AWOL to               |
| L9 | murder.  |
| 20 | THE COURT: So you were under the code of military              |
| 21 | justice.   |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: Adjutant's General                  |
| 23 | Office, but I was an adjutant general.                         |
| 24 | THE COURT: All right. So do you think that that                |
| 25 | experience will pose any impediment to your serving as a juror |

| 1   | here?   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: None whatsoever.                 |
| 3   | THE COURT: You need to follow the State criminal            |
| 4   | laws that will be instructed to you.                        |
| 5   | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: The last decision I had          |
| 6   | to make was in 1970, so that was a long time ago.           |
| 7   | THE COURT: Okay. Very good. All right. Do you               |
| 8   | think you could be a fair and impartial juror?              |
| 9   | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 270: Yes, sir.                        |
| 10  | THE COURT: Thank you very much for being here. Mr.          |
| 11  | Brown.  |
| 12  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Nelson Brown, badge 275.         |
| 13  | High school. Laborer's union. Not married, two kids, grown. |
| 14  | Never served.   |
| 15  | THE COURT: All right. So what type of jobs do you           |
| 16  | work for the laborer's union?                               |
| 17  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Demo man.                        |
| 18  | THE COURT: Pardon me?                                       |
| 19  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Demo.                            |
| 20  | THE COURT: Demo?  |
| 21  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Yes.                             |
| 22  | THE COURT: Demolition?                                      |
| 23  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 275: Yes.                             |
| 24  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. I                     |
| 2.5 | appreciate it.  |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Carrie Lee, badge 289.            |
|----|--|
| 2  | Some college. Retired from Las Vegas Valley Water District   |
| 3  | and now a project manager for a consulting company. Not      |
| 4  | married, no kids. Served on a jury about 25 years ago and it |
| 5  | was criminal.  |
| 6  | THE COURT: All right. Were you a foreperson?                 |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: No.                               |
| 8  | THE COURT: Did they reach a decision?                        |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Yes.                              |
| 10 | THE COURT: Is there anything about that experience           |
| 11 | that causes you some difficulty in serving today?            |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: No.                               |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Pass the                    |
| 14 | microphone.  |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: I'm John Rougeaux, badge          |
| 16 | number 296. Graduated from a trade school after high school. |
| 17 | I'm a full-time taxidermist. I'm married, no kids. I've      |
| 18 | never served on a jury.                                      |
| 19 | THE COURT: What's the most common thing people get           |
| 20 | taxidermied?   |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: Mule deer.                        |
| 22 | THE COURT: What is it?                                       |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: Mule deer.                        |
| 24 | THE COURT: Mule deer.  |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 296: Yep.                              |

| 1  | THE COURT: Oh, okay. Interesting. Thank you.                 |
|----|--|
| 2  | Next person.   |
| 3  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: The name's Brandon                |
| 4  | Lando, badge number 301. High school, currently in college.  |
| 5  | Job position, assistant manager. Not married, no children,   |
| 6  | and never served on a jury.                                  |
| 7  | THE COURT: Job system's manager.                             |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: Assistant manager.                |
| 9  | THE COURT: Assistant manager. You're pretty young.           |
| 10 | How is that you're 19?                                       |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: Yeah.                             |
| 12 | THE COURT: You're probably the youngest person               |
| 13 | here. If you're picked to serve on the jury, are you able to |
| 14 | do you think you will have enough confidence to express      |
| 15 | your opinions to others?                                     |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 301: Yeah, I will.                     |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you, sir.             |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 309: Oki James, badge number           |
| 19 | 309. Associate degree and I own a business. I'm a vendor.    |
| 20 | I'm married, I have three children. They are grown and gone. |
| 21 | And I served on jury in Texas about 15 years ago. It was a   |
| 22 | civil case.  |
| 23 | THE COURT: Civil? All right. What did you think              |
| 24 | of that experience?  |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 309: You know, I have a short          |

| 1  | memory. I've forgotten. I am so sorry.                         |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: That's fine. Can you be fair and                    |
| 3  | impartial in this case?  |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 309: I think so.                         |
| 5  | THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you.                    |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 310: Daxa Bhakta, badge                  |
| 7  | number 310. High school completed. New home sales counselor.   |
| 8  | I am married, have three children, and never served on a jury. |
| 9  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Bhakta.                   |
| 10 | Boucher?   |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Anna Boucher, badge                 |
| 12 | number 332. High school educated, cosmetology trade school.    |
| 13 | As of current, I'm a salon owner. I'm married with three       |
| 14 | children, and never served a jury.                             |
| 15 | THE COURT: How old are your children?                          |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: They're all grown.                  |
| 17 | THE COURT: All grown. Okay. Thank you. So I need               |
| 18 | to ask. Is there anybody here, some                            |
| 19 | general questions. If this applies to you, raise               |
| 20 | your hand and then I'll get information from you. Is there     |
| 21 | anybody here that has been the victim of a violent crime? I    |
| 22 | just need to see a show of hands and we'll talk to you about   |
| 23 | that. Victim of any violent crime. No hands. All right.        |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR: How violent?                                |
| 25 | THE COURT: Well, any kind of assault or battery,               |

| 1  | any kind of anything where you were in fear of being          |
|----|---|
| 2  | injured. Go ahead and let's talk to and if you're not sure    |
| 3  | err on the side of telling us about it.                       |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'm really not sure, but           |
| 5  | I was   |
| 6  | THE COURT: What is your badge number?                         |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: 176.                               |
| 8  | THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.                               |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I was assaulted back in            |
| 10 | high school, took about five stitches in my lip. I don't know |
| 11 | if that's important or not.                                   |
| 12 | THE COURT: How long ago was that?                             |
| 13 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: About 50 years ago.                |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. This is just some background                 |
| 15 | information to help the attorneys formulate follow-up         |
| 16 | questions for you. All right. Anybody else? We've got some    |
| 17 | hands down here, Marshal.                                     |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, I was held up by             |
| 19 | gunpoint when I worked at a 7-Eleven.                         |
| 20 | THE COURT: I need your badge number.                          |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: 252.                               |
| 22 | THE COURT: 252. So you worked at 7-Eleven and you             |
| 23 | were held up at gunpoint?                                     |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Yeah, at gunpoint. It              |
| 25 | was scary. I was also a guy ran up behind me, oh, this was    |

| 1  | years ago, and knocked me to the ground and stole my purse and |
|----|--|
| 2  | ran off. I think they're both violent.                         |
| 3  | THE COURT: How long ago did these two incidents                |
| 4  | happen?  |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 252: Well, the robbery at the            |
| 6  | 7-Eleven was in 1977. And the purse snatching incident was     |
| 7  | sometime in the mid-1980s. I don't remember the exact year.    |
| 8  | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for providing that                  |
| 9  | information. Who else? Yes, ma'am. Badge number?               |
| LO | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Badge number 268. Not               |
| L1 | sure of the relevance, but I was present for a mass school     |
| L2 | shooting in high school in 2001.                               |
| L3 | THE COURT: Oh, wow. I'm sorry you had to                       |
| L4 | experience that. Was anybody injured in that shooting?         |
| L5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yeah. There were 15                 |
| L6 | victims in total. I would say that I probably knew about a     |
| L7 | dozen of them, and I knew the shooter as well.                 |
| L8 | THE COURT: All right. Did any of the victims die?              |
| L9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.                                |
| 20 | THE COURT: Do you think you're able to set aside               |
| 21 | your feelings about that horrible incident so you can look at  |
| 22 | all the evidence in this case fairly and objectively?          |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: I believe I do. It was              |
| 24 | kind of a unique scenario that I happened to be friends with   |
| 25 | the shooter and with the victims. Because I was under the age  |

| 1  | of 18 I was issued a subpoena on behalf of the shooter, but my |
|----|--|
| 2  | mother declined to let me participate in it because it was     |
| 3  | I was like 17. So it was something that probably wasn't good.  |
| 4  | So I kind of had to see things from both sides.                |
| 5  | THE COURT: So in this case I told you some of the              |
| 6  | a couple of the charges against the defendant who's sitting    |
| 7  | here. You understand that just because he's been charged with  |
| 8  | a crime, that's no evidence whatsoever that he did the crime,  |
| 9  | right?   |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.                                |
| 11 | THE COURT: And the defendant is presumed innocent,             |
| 12 | presumed not guilty as he's sitting here today. Do you         |
| 13 | understand that?   |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.                                |
| 15 | THE COURT: And you're willing to accept that?                  |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.                                |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there anyone               |
| 18 | else who's been a victim of any violent crime?                 |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: When I worked at the                |
| 20 | water district and ran the branch office we were robbed at     |
| 21 | gunpoint.  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Robbed at gunpoint. Was a gun pointed               |
| 23 | at you?  |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Yeah.                               |
| 25 | THE COURT: How long ago was that?                              |

1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: About 30 years ago. 2. Thirty years ago. Okay. Sorry you had THE COURT: 3 to experience that. Do you believe you can serve as a fair 4 and impartial juror here? 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Yes. 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anybody else? 7 Is there anybody here who's testified as a witness in a 8 criminal lawsuit? That's all I need to know. All right. 9 We've got two hands in the back. Let's have badge numbers. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: John Blythe, badge 11 number 188. 12 THE COURT: Tell us about that. 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Okay. Actually, the 14 assault wasn't against me. A fellow tried to sexually assault 15 my wife. He was unsuccessful. So anyway, I was asked to give 16 testimony of the event in front of the grand jury. This was in Arizona. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. And remind us when that 19 happened. 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: The late '70s. 21 THE COURT: Okay. So, sir, you're going to get some 2.2. preliminary instructions if you're chosen to serve on the jury 23 about how to judge a person's credibility on the stand. Are 24 you -- do you think you can serve in that capacity? Listen to

25

a person on the stand, studying their manner, studying their

| 1  | internal consistency in what they're saying, compare what      |
|----|--|
| 2  | they're saying with the evidence, and look I'm going to        |
| 3  | give you some other factors. Do you think you can study a      |
| 4  | person on the stand to determine their credibility?            |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Absolutely. Actually,               |
| 6  | that's what I did for four years as a hearing officer.         |
| 7  | THE COURT: Very good. I appreciate that, sir. All              |
| 8  | right. Let's find out, let's talk to the next person.          |
| 9  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Elissa Newby, badge                 |
| 10 | number 187. I had my wallet stolen from me in 2013 and I was   |
| 11 | actually able to catch the people that did it through my       |
| 12 | police report. It ended up being a case much, much larger      |
| 13 | than that of husband and wife that were going around the       |
| 14 | entire city and stealing from people. It actually involved     |
| 15 | some cops and there was some like underground drug trade going |
| 16 | on with all of this. I was asked to take a polygraph test to   |
| 17 | prove my innocence in this because they tried to say that I    |
| 18 | owed them drug money and stuff. Yes, I had to testify          |
| 19 | THE COURT: Where did that happen?                              |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Here in Las Vegas.                  |
| 21 | THE COURT: So, were you being investigated by                  |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes.                                |
| 23 | THE COURT: the State authorities, county                       |
| 24 | authorities or federal authorities, if you know?               |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: I don't know.                       |

THE COURT: Was the Clark County District Attorney's 1 2. Office involved at all, do you know? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes. So how do you feel about that? Well, 4 THE COURT: 5 let me ask you a better question. Does that experience where 6 you were basically being questioned, does that make it 7 difficult for you to -- do you have any hard feelings against the Clark County District Attorney's Office, I guess is the 8 9 right question? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: No. They were very nice 11 to me, but I did feel a little nervous and like, not strong 12 enough at times. Even though I was innocent, it was very 13 draining. Who I was testifying against, he made some direct 14 threats towards me and my family. So there was a lot of fear 15 in testifying against him and being back here doing that 16 again. 17 THE COURT: Do you believe you could treat both 18 sides fairly and objectively in this case, despite that 19 experience? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: I honestly don't know. 21 THE COURT: Okay. 2.2. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Growing up in a military 23 family and my father's a police officer, I've kind of grown up 24 one-sided. 25

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being honest.

We need to know that. I'll let the attorneys here ask you some follow-up questions about that. Thank you for explaining that.

Anybody else who served as a witness in a criminal lawsuit?

Is there anybody here, other than those who've already explained, anybody here who has any connection with law enforcement or the courts? If so, first, let me see a show of hands how many people that might apply to. All right. Let's talk to some of those people. Marshal, why don't you just start in the back row then. This is connection to law enforcement or the justice system.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Badge 188, John Blythe. When I was going to school at the University of Oklahoma my wife worked for the Norman Police Department for two years. And we had the opportunity to get to know a lot of the officers and the ins and outs of police work. So the young lady mentioned, you tend to all of a sudden, you know, think they have a tough job and so, that's the connection with law enforcement.

THE COURT: All right. So, do you understand that if police officers take the stand here that you have to judge their credibility just like every other witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Absolutely.

THE COURT: So, do you agree to do that?

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Absolutely.                         |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: Are you going to assume, start off by               |
| 3  | assuming anything regarding whether the police officer's going |
| 4  | to tell the truth before you even hear from them?              |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: No, sir.                            |
| 6  | THE COURT: All right. So you'll judge the police               |
| 7  | officers just like any other way.                              |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 188: Yes, sir.                           |
| 9  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Pass the                 |
| LO | microphone.  |
| L1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Elissa Newby, badge                 |
| L2 | number 187. My father is a fatal detective here in Las Vegas   |
| L3 | for Metro, has been for I believe 22 years.                    |
| L4 | THE COURT: All right. Having grown up in law                   |
| L5 | enforcement, you understand that just because a person is      |
| L6 | accused of a crime doesn't mean they're guilty, right?         |
| L7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes.                                |
| L8 | THE COURT: All right. Do you understand the system             |
| L9 | where the State has to prove that the crime was committed, and |
| 20 | each element of the crime are satisfied by a standard called   |
| 21 | beyond a reasonable doubt? You're familiar with that?          |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes, I'm familiar.                  |
| 23 | THE COURT: All right. Will you acknowledge that                |
| 24 | under the American system of justice that the defendant is     |
| 5  | nresumed innocent until and unless the State can prove         |

| 1  | otherwise? You agree with that?                                |
|----|--|
| 2  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes, I understand that.             |
| 3  | THE COURT: I understanding that growing up in a law            |
| 4  | enforcement household you probably have a predisposition to be |
| 5  | very pro law enforcement and anti-crime, right?                |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: Yes.                                |
| 7  | THE COURT: All right. Is that going to interfere               |
| 8  | with your ability to fairly judge this defendant here and      |
| 9  | interfere with your requirement to hold the State to their     |
| 10 | burden of proof?   |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 187: I don't know. I don't               |
| 12 | want to say no, but I don't want to say yes.                   |
| 13 | THE COURT: That's fair. I'll let you guys talk to              |
| 14 | her some more and see if you have any concerns. Thank you      |
| 15 | very much for you're being honest. I appreciate that.          |
| 16 | Who's next?  |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: Richard Casselman, badge            |
| 18 | number 186.  |
| 19 | THE COURT: All right.  |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: My stepson is a                     |
| 21 | Henderson police officer.                                      |
| 22 | THE COURT: How long has he served?                             |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: He graduated from the               |
| 24 | academy January this year. He's new.                           |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. Is there anything about that             |

2.2.

fact that makes you have some pause about your ability to be fair and impartial in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 186: No, it does not.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Anybody else in that back row? Why don't you hand the microphone up to the gentleman.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Doug Lautner, badge number 198. I interact with law enforcement on a regular basis in the course of our duties. Typically, at accident sites and things like that, albeit at the federal level rather than the local level, although from time to time I interact with Nevada Highway Patrol and Nye County Sheriff's Office from time to time. I've been in the fire service for 38 years, most of it here in Las Vegas. So, similar cultures with the police department. We interact regularly at social events like charity events, golf tournaments, things like that.

THE COURT: All right. Is that experience going to give you any predisposition or predilection to be on the State's side or the prosecution side before you've even heard any evidence in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: You know, I can't say for sure. While I don't have close friends that are police officers, I have a lot of acquaintances that I've interacted with through the years. My experience has been that they're

1 capable, competent, fair. They do their due diligence. 2. That's always been my experience. In fact, typically what you 3 hear in the media here lately over the last couple years, I 4 have not seen that. I find them to be very fair and honest. 5 That's just a personal -- I don't know if that's a bias or 6 not. I'm not sure that it is, it's just my personal 7 experience. 8 THE COURT: All right. So you have a feeling that 9 police are generally competent and fair. Do you understand in 10 this particular case if police officers take the stand, that 11 you need to be presented with some evidence before you can 12 form any opinion as to number one, whether the officer is 13 credible; number two, whether he did his job properly, and 14 number three, whether there's any evidence against the 15 defendant. You've got to wait and hear the evidence before 16 you form any opinions. Do you agree with that? 17 18 I understand that. 19 THE COURT: Do you believe you could be fair and

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yes, I agree with that.

impartial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I don't see why I wouldn't be.

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Are you willing to hold the State to their burden of proof, meaning you will not -- will you pledge to me that you will not find the defendant quilty

| 1  | if the State fails to meet its burden of proving the elements  |
|----|--|
| 2  | of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt?                        |
| 3  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I think I can do that.              |
| 4  | THE COURT: All right. That's all I ask at this                 |
| 5  | point. Thank you, sir. Anybody else who has a law              |
| 6  | enforcement connection? Go ahead.                              |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: Badge number 206. I                 |
| 8  | have a cousin who is a California Highway Patrol and an uncle  |
| 9  | with the Ontario Police in Canada.                             |
| 10 | THE COURT: All right. How frequently do you talk               |
| 11 | to your cousin or your uncle?                                  |
| 12 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: Oh, my uncle, very                  |
| 13 | seldom because he's in Canada. But my cousin, maybe he         |
| 14 | comes to Vegas for the police golf tournament here every year, |
| 15 | and I text message him whenever there's a family reunion here. |
| 16 | So maybe like four times a year.                               |
| 17 | THE COURT: All right. And when your cousin comes               |
| 18 | here, do you ever talk to him about his job as a California    |
| 19 | Highway Patrolman?   |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: No. We don't talk about             |
| 21 | work, because he says I'm on vacation. So, no work.            |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anybody in the                |
| 23 | first row that has law enforcement connection? Up here? All    |
| 24 | right. Let's talk to you folks.                                |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: Danielle Opperman, badge            |

| 1  | 265. I have family who work at the courts, retired Judge      |
|----|---|
| 2  | Bixler, and then my cousin, his wife. I do have a brother in  |
| 3  | law enforcement in Indiana. I have a cousin who's a cop here. |
| 4  | And I have numerous friends who are cops as well.             |
| 5  | THE COURT: All right. Do you think any of that                |
| 6  | impacts your ability to be a fair and impartial juror in this |
| 7  | case?   |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: No.                                |
| 9  | THE COURT: So what's your relationship with Judge             |
| 10 | Bixler?   |
| 11 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 265: Cousins.                           |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Thank you. Let's                  |
| 13 | pass it down.   |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: Pandora Kono, badge 232.           |
| 15 | My ex is a police officer, but this is all back in Hawaii. So |
| 16 | a lot of my friends are in the police force.                  |
| 17 | THE COURT: When was the last time you talked to               |
| 18 | your ex?  |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: We don't talk a lot.               |
| 20 | THE COURT: All right. So you don't talk with him              |
| 21 | anymore about his job.  |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: In fact, none of my                |
| 23 | friends we talk about work.                                   |
| 24 | THE COURT: Do you think you can be fair and                   |
| 25 | objective here?   |

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 232: Yeah. Sure.                         |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: Very good. That's all we need to know.              |
| 3  | Thank you. Anybody else?                                       |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: Martin Burch, 209. I do             |
| 5  | have an uncle who used to be a police officer in Kentucky.     |
| 6  | THE COURT: When was the last time you ever talked              |
| 7  | to him about his job?  |
| 8  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: I don't think I ever                |
| 9  | have. I just remembered.                                       |
| 10 | THE COURT: Very good. Thank you for volunteering               |
| 11 | that information. So Marshal, can we bring the microphone      |
| 12 | over to the folks sitting in the back? Is there anybody back   |
| 13 | there that has a connection to law enforcement that you        |
| 14 | haven't discussed already?                                     |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: My brother overseas.                |
| 16 | THE COURT: Your badge number, please.                          |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: 332.                                |
| 18 | THE COURT: Brother?  |
| 19 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Correct.                            |
| 20 | THE COURT: In what country?                                    |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Czechoslovakia. He's                |
| 22 | retired for 10 years, so it's been awhile.                     |
| 23 | THE COURT: Thank you. I know you've told us                    |
| 24 | already that you have some concerns about your salon and being |
| 25 | here. But setting that aside for a moment, do you think you    |

could be a fair juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I hope so.

2.2.

THE COURT: All right. If you didn't have your work issues, how would you feel about being here as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I would do my best. I mean, this is my first time ever and I just hope I can be -- I can do the right thing.

THE COURT: Of course. It's an important responsibility.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: Yes. I just worry that I'm going to do something wrong.

THE COURT: Well, the most important part is to pay attention, right, and just exercise your common sense and follow the law. If you're chosen, do you think you could do those three things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 332: I hope so.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anybody else in that back row? All right. So, is there anybody here who has ever been arrested for a crime? I would need to know that. Marshal, I need to talk to those people to see generally what the circumstances are. That would include — unfortunately, I need to ask if anyone was ever arrested for a DUI. Usually there's a couple people. Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: Kiley Georgia, badge number 185. Long time ago, shoplifting, was a kid, and 20

| 1  | years ago was DUI.   |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: All right. Did you have to serve any              |
| 3  | time for any of those?                                       |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: For not paying the                |
| 5  | fines, yeah, I had to do that. Twenty days in Orange County. |
| 6  | THE COURT: That was the DUI or the shoplifting?              |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 185: The DUI. I couldn't pay           |
| 8  | the fines so they made me do time.                           |
| 9  | THE COURT: All right. Thank you for being honest.            |
| LO | Appreciate it. Who else in that back row? Anybody else? All  |
| L1 | the way down here on the left.                               |
| L2 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: 176. MIP. Does that               |
| L3 | count?   |
| L4 | THE COURT: MIP?  |
| L5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Minor in possession.              |
| L6 | THE COURT: Oh, okay. How old were you?                       |
| L7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I was probably 19.                |
| L8 | THE COURT: That was quite a while ago, right?                |
| L9 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Fifty years ago.                  |
| 20 | THE COURT: That's okay. We won't worry much about            |
| 21 | that.  |
| 22 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 193: Badge 193. DUI in 1992.           |
| 23 | THE COURT: A DUI. Okay. Thank you, sir. Anybody              |
| 24 | else? The gentleman up here in the front.                    |
| 25 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO 224. I was arrested in New              |

| 1  | Orleans for attempted murder and kidnap.                      |
|----|---|
| 2  | THE COURT: Remind me of your badge number.                    |
| 3  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: 224.                               |
| 4  | THE COURT: Arrested. So, can you tell us what                 |
| 5  | happened?   |
| 6  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: They turned me loose               |
| 7  | because what they considered a false arrest. The person who   |
| 8  | accused me was a nine-year-old girl coming home from school.  |
| 9  | THE COURT: Mistaken identity?                                 |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: No. Since she was late             |
| 11 | coming home from school she said she was kidnapped and I shot |
| 12 | at her or something. I was in the Marine Corps at the time.   |
| 13 | She just seen somebody in uniform.                            |
| 14 | THE COURT: She just made up a story?                          |
| 15 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Made up a story.                   |
| 16 | THE COURT: I mean allegedly or                                |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Yes. But it's still on             |
| 18 | my record.  |
| 19 | THE COURT: I know she made up the story just                  |
| 20 | trying to find the right word here.                           |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: She told a lie to her              |
| 22 | mother, her mother called the police. The came by my house    |
| 23 | after I had got off work from the Marine Corps, and they just |
| 24 | snatched me up.   |
| 25 | THE COURT: Sorry you had to go through that. How              |

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

| 1   | long did it take for the police to figure out that this was    |
|-----|--|
| 2   | all made up?   |
| 3   | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: Well, I arrested. I                 |
| 4   | stayed about 24 hours. They gave me a lie detector test,       |
| 5   | passed that, and then they released me. And they said well,    |
| 6   | we found evidence that this never took place because nobody in |
| 7   | the neighborhood reported any gunshots and the little girl was |
| 8   | just late coming home from school.                             |
| 9   | THE COURT: Hopefully, they apologized to you.                  |
| 10  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 224: The little girl didn't              |
| 11  | apologize, and her mother, she believed her daughter. About    |
| 12  | 15 years later her mother, before she passed away, called me,  |
| 13  | kept up with where I was staying, my mother-in-law called her  |
| 14  | and then called me and apologized. But it's still on my        |
| 15  | record. I never had it expunged.                               |
| 16  | THE COURT: I'm sorry you had to go through that.               |
| 17  | Thank you for being honest with us, sir. What else do we       |
| 18  | have?  |
| 19  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Badge number 247. In                |
| 20  | 2007 I was arrested for attempt theft.                         |
| 21  | THE COURT: How did that come about?                            |
| 22  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: It came about, a                    |
| 23  | misdemeanor, and then I served time, community service.        |
| 24  | THE COURT: Were you wrongly accused?                           |
| 2.5 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Kind of veah. It was                |

| 1  | I don't know. It was a long time.                              |
|----|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: Back in 2007. How does that make you                |
| 3  | feel now? Do you think law enforcement did their job or        |
| 4  | didn't do their job?   |
| 5  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: They did their job.                 |
| 6  | THE COURT: They did do their job?                              |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Yeah. I'm totally fine              |
| 8  | with it.   |
| 9  | THE COURT: All right. Do you hold any grudge                   |
| LO | against law enforcement?                                       |
| L1 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: No.                                 |
| L2 | THE COURT: Hold any grudge against the prosecutors?            |
| L3 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: No.                                 |
| L4 | THE COURT: All right. And on the other side of the             |
| L5 | coin, do you think you could be fair and impartial to both     |
| L6 | sides here?  |
| L7 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Of course.                          |
| L8 | THE COURT: All right. And are you willing to find              |
| L9 | the defendant not guilty if the State fails to meet its burden |
| 20 | of proof in this case?   |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Of course.                          |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you.                    |
| 23 | Anybody else?  |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Badge number 268. I got             |
| 25 | a DUI about five years ago.                                    |

1 THE COURT: Do you think you were treated fairly by 2 law enforcement?

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yeah, I was drunk.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That's all I need to know. Thank you very much. Anybody in that back row back there that's ever been arrested for a crime? No? All right.

Just a couple things here. Folks, I just need to confirm. So under our system of justice there's certain basic principles of law that apply in every criminal trial. I mentioned this, but I need to mention it again. These principles are number one, that the defendant is presumed innocent. And number two, that the State must prove that the defendant is guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The burden is on the State. Does anybody not believe in these basic principles of American justice? I don't see any hands.

One more thing I need to mention to everybody as a group. Under the law, if you're selected to serve as a juror, you will be required to decide the facts of the case. In making your decision, however, you must be guided by the law as it applies to the facts. It will be my duty, after conferring with counsel, to instruct you on what the law is. Is there anybody here who will not be able to follow the law if you believe the law should be something different than what I tell you it is? Anybody who can't follow the law as I

instruct you? All right. I don't see any hands.

2.

2.2.

At this point in time, what I'm going to do is take a 15-minute recess. I need to confer momentarily with the attorneys, and then I'm going to bring you back in. I'm going to allow the State to begin asking some questions of you. All right? So, Marshal, excuse them after I read the admonishment.

Do not converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject connected with the trial. Do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or Internet. Do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. Don't perform any research about the case or the facts of the case, the subject matter of the case, or the issues in the case.

Also, I have instructed the attorneys not to have any communication with you. The attorneys use the same restrooms sometimes that you might be using. If you happen to see the attorneys, please avoid any contact with them. If they happen to try to avoid you, overt their eyes, please don't hold it against them. They're not trying to be antisocial, they're simply complying with my instruction to avoid contact with you. All right?

| 1  | So with that, please enjoy a 15-minute recess.               |
|----|--|
| 2  | We'll see you back shortly.                                  |
| 3  | (Prospective jury panel recessed at 3:44 p.m.)               |
| 4  | THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence             |
| 5  | of the jury. Please be seated. So, two things. I have some   |
| 6  | concerns about Ms. Newby, but I'm going to let you guys      |
| 7  | question her. I'm not sure where to go with her yet. That's  |
| 8  | the only person I really have some concerns with so far.     |
| 9  | Having heard some of their comments, how many jurors         |
| LO | do we think we need the Jury Commissioner to bring in        |
| L1 | tomorrow? How many more people do you think we need to bring |
| L2 | in?  |
| L3 | MS. THOMSON: I wouldn't know where to estimate.              |
| L4 | MR. YANEZ: Yeah, it's a tough one.                           |
| L5 | THE COURT: I mean, there's not a lot of information          |
| L6 | to help you know at this point who you might challenge for   |
| L7 | cause. You've got a little bit of sense for these people. We |
| L8 | have 14 to spare. You think I should bring in maybe 10 more  |
| L9 | people tomorrow  |
| 20 | MS. THOMSON: Well, my concern                                |
| 21 | THE COURT: to be safe?                                       |
| 22 | MS. THOMSON: Unless my math is all wonky, we got             |
| 23 | rid of like 20 people on the 60 just early on.               |
| 24 | THE COURT: I thought you said we had 44 left.                |
| 25 | Someone told me we had 44.                                   |

| 1  | MS. THOMSON: That was what I counted                          |
|----|---|
| 2  | THE COURT: Did you count what about the first                 |
| 3  | five, did you subtract those also? So we have 44 left. If we  |
| 4  | had 60 and got rid of 20, we should have about 40 left. I     |
| 5  | need to let Mariah know by 4:00.                              |
| 6  | MS. THOMSON: I just think 10 is probably too                  |
| 7  | conservative based on the potential for reasons they can't    |
| 8  | serve. Looking at the number we excused today, based upon     |
| 9  | that, I think 10 we'd end up with like three people, which    |
| 10 | maybe four people.  |
| 11 | THE COURT: If we have 14 extra and we add 10 more,            |
| 12 | that means we have 24 extra. If we had 44, minutes 32, that's |
| 13 | 12 extra.   |
| 14 | MS. THOMSON: So what if we do like 20, because                |
| 15 | worst case scenario we take some people's day instead of      |
| 16 | taking 20 people's day because we have to go into Wednesday   |
| 17 | for another set of people.                                    |
| 18 | THE COURT: All right. So you think I'll tell                  |
| 19 | Mariah 20 more?   |
| 20 | MR. YANEZ: I agree.   |
| 21 | THE COURT: All right. That's what we'll do. We'll             |
| 22 | tell her 20 more. Thank you. I just needed some guidance on   |
| 23 | that.   |
| 24 | MS. THOMSON: Thank you.                                       |
| 25 | THE COURT: All right. So take your recess and                 |

| Τ  | we'll see you back here a few minutes before four.        |
|----|---|
| 2  | MS. THOMSON: Before you pass the jury to us, will         |
| 3  | you ask them if they're all citizens? Just because that's |
| 4  | kind of a weird question for me to have to ask.           |
| 5  | THE COURT: Okay.  |
| 6  | MS. THOMSON: I've only once had someone be like no        |
| 7  | but it was not I don't want to be that person.            |
| 8  | THE COURT: I will ask that. Anything else?                |
| 9  | MS. THOMSON: No.  |
| 10 | THE COURT: Anything else sensitive that you don't         |
| 11 | want to be the one asking?                                |
| 12 | MS. THOMSON: No.  |
| 13 | THE COURT: All right. Because you have to be a            |
| 14 | citizen to sit on the jury.                               |
| 15 | MS. THOMSON: That's why we're asking.                     |
| 16 | THE COURT: All right.                                     |
| 17 | MS. THOMSON: You don't necessarily have to be a           |
| 18 | citizen to be pulled. It's happened.                      |
| 19 | THE COURT: Thank you. I'll ask them. Thank you.           |
| 20 | Enjoy your recess.  |
| 21 | (Court recessed at 3:48 p.m. until 4:09 p.m.)             |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of Nevada Rules of Appellate

Procedure, this is a rough draft transcript expeditiously prepared,

not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

KIMBERLY LAWSON TRANSCRIBER

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

Electronically Filed 10/31/2017 6:49 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA \* \* \* \* \*

| Defendant.           | )                                  |      |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|------|
| GARY LAMAR CHAMBERS, | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS          |      |
| VS.                  | )                                  |      |
| Plaintiff,           | ) CASE NO. C29298<br>) DEPT NO. II | 37–1 |
| THE STATE OF NEVADA, | )                                  |      |

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD SCOTTI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

#### JURY TRIAL - DAY 2

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2017

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For the State: MEGAN S. THOMSON, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

BRYAN S. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: ABEL M. YANEZ, ESQ.

RECORDED BY DALYNE EASLEY, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

**AA479** 

# LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2017 10:26 A.M. \* \* \* \* \*

(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)

THE COURT: Good morning, counsel.

2.

2.2.

MS. THOMSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

MR. YANEZ: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: State versus Chambers, C292987. You may be seated. Are we ready to proceed?

MS. THOMSON: Your Honor, we have a few issues before we get started.

THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Thomson.

MS. THOMSON: Thank you. First of all, we filed with your Court in open court this morning the — a notice of motion to use preliminary hearing transcript. That I don't think is something that has to be decided right this minute. It's with regard to Bridgett Graham. We had had an oral promise to appear from her. Last week we were unable to contact her. She did not appear for her subpoena yesterday morning, which is when she was subpoenaed to be present. I had contact with her on Monday night. She indicated she was in Texas for a funeral. I told her I would contact her again yesterday evening. When I did that, she indicated her lack of willingness to cooperated.

And I included within either that or the warrant that I provided to your Court this morning. I apologize, I

1 don't remember. It was in the warrant her exact language, but 2. it was less than flowery. The request is to use the 3 preliminary hearing transcript if we are not able to arrest 4 her prior to the State's resting. I did provide a copy of a material witness warrant to the Court. 5 6 being filed. My belief, which is not based on anything but 7 experience, is that she's not actually in Texas, but that she 8 is in Las Vegas and avoiding us.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

Additionally --

THE COURT: You're talking about Bridgett Graham? MS. THOMSON: That's correct.

That is currently

THE COURT: Does the defense want to say anything about the State's argument about the unavailability of Bridgett Graham? I would have to declare her unavailable before I can admit the preliminary hearing transcript. Do you want to be heard on that?

MR. YANEZ: Yes, I do. I don't think -- the statute is NRS 51.055. There's basically a list, 1A through 1D, of when a witness is unavailable. The only one that I could see possibly applying under these circumstances as alleged by the State is subsection B, persistent refusing to testify despite an order of the judge to do so, which we don't have here. don't think there's been -- I know there's an oral promise to appear, but there wasn't an actual subpoena. And I don't know -- actually, I don't think one time refusing to testify

qualifies as persistent in refusing to testify despite an order of the judge to do so.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

So, we might get to that point down the road. I don't think unavailability is an option at this point. There might be other options for the State, but I don't think --

THE COURT: I'll decide it tomorrow. I'll decide the issue tomorrow. Thank you.

MS. THOMSON: The second issue. Just want to put the Court sort of on notice. We have not quite gotten to where we're prepared to make any kind of decisions about what we're requesting of the Court. We were able to locate another witness last night. However, we located her in Phoenix. started the process, as the Court knows, of a compelling order. That is on calendar on Friday in Phoenix. There are people sort of working in the background while we're here, but in the event that we are able to contact her and she is not able to travel for this week or we are not able to get her contacted on that compelling order now that we know where she is, in combination with the fact that there's no assurances that we will have Bridgett Graham, who we believed that we had going into this. We may be seeking a continuance from the Court, but we need more to happen sort of while we're here before we can make that determination.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for keeping me informed. Let's bring the jury in. I think the Marshal's

1 lining them up. 2. Judge, one question. Your bench MR. YANEZ: 3 conferences are recorded; is that correct? They are recorded, yes. But I've had 4 THE COURT: 5 jurors tell me in the past that sometimes if we're too loud they can hear us. So, just want to caution you guys to speak 6 7 quietly. 8 Ms. Thomson, you're going to voir dire Henry and 9 Warwick, right? 10 MS. THOMSON: Yes. 11 THE COURT: And then we'll move on. 12 MS. THOMSON: Thank you. 13 (Prospective jury panel reconvened at 10:32 a.m.) 14 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. 15 morning, ladies and gentlemen. Thank you for being back. I 16 know that you are required to be here, but on behalf of the 17 District Court we thank you anyway for your time. 18 We're going to proceed with the jury selection Ms. Thomson, you have the floor to examine Mr. 19 process. 20 Henry and Ms. Warwick. 21 MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll start 2.2. with an easy question. Were each of you listening yesterday 23 as I asked tons and tons of questions? Okay. Seeing yes from 24 both of you. Do either of you have anything to add to any of

25

those questions? Anything that you thought I would respond to

that? I'm seeing a not from Mr. -- I believe it was Henry.

And from Ms. Warwick, did you have anything that you would have responded to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 348: No.

2.2.

MS. THOMSON: Okay. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that was easy. All right. Mr. Yanez. You have the floor, sir.

MR. YANEZ: Thank you. Good morning, everybody.

Just as a reminder, my name is Abel Yanez and I am

representing Gary Chambers, who's sitting over there at the

table with me. I'm going to ask some general questions to

start out with, and then I might get into some individual

questioning. But just a couple things I kind of wanted to

remind you of so perhaps you don't misinterpret my questions.

Sometimes I might get a little nosy or pry. Number one, I'm

not looking for a right or a wrong answer. I'm just looking

for your opinions and how strongly you feel about those

opinions. I'm not trying to figure out if you're a good

person or if you're a good juror, just whether you'd be a good

juror for the facts and the nature of this case. Does that

make sense?

As I said, sometimes I might get a little nosy.

Some of these questions sometimes are personal. So if you prefer to have that discussion based on whatever issue might come up outside the presence of everybody else with the Judge

| 1  | and the prosecutor and myself, I'm sure the Judge would make   |
|----|--|
| 2  | that available and he's done so in this case. So anything      |
| 3  | that you don't feel comfortable with but you think is          |
| 4  | important that I should know or the prosecution should know,   |
| 5  | please feel free to ask that we have that conversation         |
| 6  | privately. Okay?   |
| 7  | My question to the entire panel, and it might seem             |
| 8  | like a trick question, but it's not a trick question. As you   |
| 9  | sit here right now, if the Judge were to ask you what your     |
| 10 | verdict is in this case, as we sit here right now this moment, |
| 11 | what would your verdict be? I see someone shaking their head.  |
| 12 | I'm going to try to get everyone's name and badge number.      |
| 13 | You're 289, correct? Can we have your name for the record?     |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Carrie Lee.                         |
| 15 | MR. YANEZ: Go ahead. Tell me what you were                     |
| 16 | thinking.  |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: I don't have any                    |
| 18 | opinion.   |
| 19 | MR. YANEZ: Okay. Have you heard any evidence?                  |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: No.                                 |
| 21 | MR. YANEZ: Okay. So you don't think you could come             |
| 22 | with a verdict either way?                                     |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Oh, no, I could once I              |
| 24 | hear it, but I haven't heard anything yet.                     |
| 25 | MR. YANEZ: I appreciate that. In the front, juror              |

**AA485** 

1 number 197. 2. 3 4 He's innocent until proven quilty. 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 MR. YANEZ: 12 13 14 15 opinion as well? 16 17 18 19 20 21 make sense? 2.2. 23 MR. YANEZ: 24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: 197, yes, sir. State hasn't met any burden of proof, so I would say innocent.

MR. YANEZ: Okay. Anyone disagree or agree with that? Does that make sense or -- sir, you had your hand up in the back. If we could pass the microphone? Thank you, sir. I'm sorry to call you by your numbers, but just so we're accurate if you could tell me your name as well.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Steve Petersen.

Thank you, Mr. Petersen.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I would agree with that. Innocent to me until they prove the case.

MR. YANEZ: And 181 right next door. Is that your

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 181: Yes, it is.

MR. YANEZ: And then, let me go back to 289. There's no right or wrong answers, remember. I know it was maybe a bit of a tricky question. You heard what the other jurors said. Is that -- what do you think of that. Does that

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Yes.

So maybe it was a little tricky, but I guess the reason I ask that question is to stress how our criminal justice system works and what your responsibility is 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

2.2.

23

24

25

to maintain that presumption of innocence all the way until you get to the deliberation room and then you make your decision. Does that make sense, ma'am?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: Oh, yeah.

MR. YANEZ: Does anyone -- everyone, I'm sure, understands that is how our system works. And it seems to be -- well, let me put it this way. Both sides are supposed to get a fair and impartial jury. But if you look at the way our presumption of innocence works, we kind of have, the defense kind of has a little bit of a head start because it's the prosecution that has to prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. And I know everyone understands that. anyone perhaps disagree with that? Does someone think maybe our system should be changed, that that's not fair, that perhaps we should start on an even plane or maybe the burden should be on the defense? Does anyone think -- have that opinion? No one wants to be a rebel. No? Okay. everyone's in agreement that's our system and we all think it's a fair system and that's the way it should be? Is that fair to say? Okay.

And I'm going to stick with you, 289. Sorry. follow up. As we were just discussing, the prosecution has the burden in this case to present the evidence and to prove to the jurors beyond a reasonable doubt of the guilt of the defendant. How would you feel in this case if you sat as a

| 1  |
|----|
| 2  |
| 3  |
| 4  |
| 5  |
| 6  |
| 7  |
| 8  |
| 9  |
| 10 |
| 11 |
| 12 |
| 13 |
| 14 |
| 15 |
| 16 |
| 17 |
| 18 |
| 19 |
| 20 |
| 21 |
| 22 |
| 23 |
| 24 |
|    |

25

juror and my side, the defense, I didn't question any witnesses, I didn't present any evidence whatsoever, and you felt that the prosecution hadn't proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt? Would you have a problem coming back with a verdict of not guilty on those facts?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: [inaudible]

MR. YANEZ: Okay. Are you expecting the defense or -- well, not expecting. Are you requiring the defense to present some evidence in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 289: [inaudible]

MR. YANEZ: Anybody else? Anyone else think, you know, the defense better present some evidence, even if the State doesn't prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt, I want to hear some evidence from the defense? Anybody think that way? Anyone have that belief? Sir? Badge number, please?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: 197. I think I would feel like although I didn't feel like the State met reasonable doubt, I would still say not guilty. But I would have a hard time not feeling like he didn't get a fair trial if there was no defense. I just don't — it's an emotional reaction, not a logical one, but it just feels like he ought to say something.

MR. YANEZ: Would you have an issue or maybe some doubts to be able to come back with a verdict of not guilty under those facts where we haven't presented any evidence and

you don't feel the State's proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: I guess maybe the way I would put it is it would be harder to say is this doubt reasonable because there was no -- I mean, unless the prosecution's case was so clearly bad that you didn't need a defense. It just seems like there should be something. It's just -- you know, I would still require reasonable doubt, but it just seems like there ought to be some kind of defense.

MR. YANEZ: Maybe I missed your answer. Maybe you're not sure, which is a fine answer as well. Under those facts, do you think you'd be able to come back with a verdict of not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: Yeah.

MR. YANEZ: If you don't feel the State hasn't proven their case --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 197: If the State hasn't proven their case, then sure. I just think practically speaking, that seems kind of crazy.

MR. YANEZ: Okay. I appreciate that. Anyone think the same or anyone think differently under the facts where you don't think the State's proven their case beyond a reasonable doubt and on behalf of Gary I haven't presented any evidence, made any questions. Does anyone have any problems with that or disagreements with that? All right. Seeing no hands.

|   | 1 |
|---|---|
|   | 2 |
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| L | 0 |
| L | 1 |
| L | 2 |
| L | 3 |
| L | 4 |
| L | 5 |
| L | 6 |
| L | 7 |
| L | 8 |
| L | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |

25

Let me ask everyone this question. We touched upon a bit yesterday when the prosecutor was talking to you. How many people feel that if the State, the prosecutor — when I say the State of Nevada I mean the prosecutors — the fact that they have actually brought a case against Gary, just the fact that they have done that, bringing someone to trial, does that make you think or feel that Gary must be guilty if the State's going through all this effort to bring him to trial? Ma'am, you're shaking your head. If we can pass the mic. 268, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: Yes.

MR. YANEZ: You were shaking your head. Tell me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 268: I think that there's probably been many instances in history where there's been an innocent man on trial for something he didn't do. I think that, as we were discussing yesterday, that police officers can be flawed and court systems can be flawed as well. I think it would be a terrible thing to be part of a group of people that found someone guilty of something they didn't do just because they were presented in front of us as if they did.

MR. YANEZ: Okay. I appreciate that. If we can pass the mic back. 198, correct, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Yeah, Doug Lautner. If you have the prosecution sitting here and obviously, the

|   | 2 |
|---|---|
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |

24

25

1

police would have done an investigation and presented that investigation to the prosecution, I would think that, you know, they did so in an objective manner and there would be — well, I guess they would go through their due diligence to do that, and they would give that to the district attorneys. They would review the evidence and they wouldn't be sitting here if they didn't think they had a pretty strong case. I guess I view it in terms of there isn't an occupation in America that isn't under more scrutiny than law enforcement, at the moment anyway.

So it would be difficult for me to believe that a law enforcement officer would come in here to testify, raise his right hand and solemnly swear, put his reputation, his career on the line, and then embellish the facts or things of — you know, whatever went through the investigation.

So I don't know if that helps, but typically, if we've gotten to this point, my assumption is there's a lot of evidence there.

MR. YANEZ: And, of course, the Court would instruct you that as Gary sits there right now he is innocent.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: That's correct.

MR. YANEZ: And you have to maintain that belief until you deliberate and then you make your decision based on the evidence you've heard. Based on your opinions that you just expressed, would you be able to do that or would it be

difficult to do that?

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: I don't know that it would be difficult because we would be sitting here listening to the testimony from an officer. So I guess that would depend on his demeanor, how he presented himself, how he answered the questions. I mean, typically, if you have somebody that's up there and they're — they appear to be under duress, that might lead me to believe that they didn't do their due diligence or things of that nature. But if they were up there and they presented themselves in a professional demeanor and they were objective and answered the questions, I would be inclined to believe them.

MR. YANEZ: Let me ask you this. It was a question I was going to ask down the road, but you've kind of touched upon it a bit. When we talk about police officers coming here and testifying, I think as a community, as a society in general we have positive views of police officers. Let me ask you this, sir, since you have the mic and then we can get everyone else to chime in. Assuming a police officer's not an actual witness to a crime, would you agree with me that a police officer's investigation is only as good as the information they receive from witnesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 198: Well, I think partly. I mean, they would, you know, they would do witness interviews
I'm assuming. And then, they would go ahead and gather

2.2.

physical evidence. I think it's a culmination of everything that they do, and that's a part of it. I'm assuming. That's the part that I'm familiar with where they interview witnesses and things like that.

MR. YANEZ: Okay. What about everyone else? What do you guys think? The question is police officers in general, our community, society believes that they're truthful to come in here and testify truthfully. My example or scenario is where a police officer's not an eyewitness to the actual crime, but receives information from witnesses, whether his investigation is only as good as the information he receives. Or perhaps the police officer can go above and beyond what a witness tells him and be able to figure it out on his own. What are your guys thoughts on that? Ma'am, if we could pass the — if no one participates I've got to call on some people. You're 268, correct? Oh, 206, I'm sorry. What do you think of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 206: I understand what you're trying to say that whatever the witness says is only what the police officer would report and put in a statement or something. That is true because they're not physically there. However, they will also do some deeper investigation on the case and just try to put the puzzle together, the pieces of the puzzle together. That's where the evidence comes in.

MR. YANEZ: Do you guys think a police officer, a

1 2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2. 23

24

25

detective should just take what the witness says and keep it at face value or should they go beyond that and do a little of their own investigation based on what the witness says? you could pass that? Thank you, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: That's their job, to investigate, to bring more proof for us to see to prove whether he's guilty or not guilty. So we just can't go by his witness. He needs to do his job correctly to make sure he's getting a fair trial.

MR. YANEZ: So, do you think that when a police officer or detective interviews the witness he should take that information and try to either prove that what the witness said is true or prove that it's false or do some type of investigation based on that statement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: Right. They have to for [indiscernible] because we don't know if that person's telling the truth or not. So we have to make sure he's getting the correct fact before he brings it to prosecutor.

MR. YANEZ: What about everyone else in regards to police officers interviewing witnesses and doing follow-up investigation? Anyone disagree with what was just said? Anyone think the police officer should just take the statements and then leave the rest for court where we are here today? I see everyone shaking their head I think. Everyone's in agreement the police officers should go a little bit beyond

1 just interviewing witnesses and trying to double check perhaps 2. what's been told to them by witnesses? Is that fair? Okay. 3 So I'm going to ask you guys for your true and honest gut reactions when you heard that this was a murder 4 5 case. What were your thoughts that went through your head 6 when you heard this was a murder case? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: A raised eyebrow because 8 it was something new. I mean, I never -- this is my first 9 time even being this far as jury duty. So, yeah, when you say 10 murder case, I'm like, oh. 11 MR. YANEZ: Anything else? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 247: It was just interesting. 13 It was like oh, okay. I thought it was something else, like a 14 different kind of smaller crime. I didn't know it was going 15 to go to murder at all. 16 Thank you. Anyone else? MR. YANEZ: Okay. 17 were your thoughts, your gut feeling when you heard that this 18 was a murder case? Why don't we go to 209? That's where I 19 would hang out at school, on the side, so the teacher wouldn't 20 You're 209, correct, sir? see me. 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: 2.2. MR. YANEZ: Your last name? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: Burch, B-u-r-c-h. 24 MR. YANEZ: How did you feel when you heard that 25 this was a murder case?

| 1  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: It felt weird because               |
|----|--|
| 2  | this is a very antiseptic setting right now and to just hear   |
| 3  | murder next to, you know, courtroom and kind of formal. It's   |
| 4  | like a weird feeling. It seems surreal almost.                 |
| 5  | MR. YANEZ: Did the fact that it was a murder charge            |
| 6  | make you feel one way or the other if perhaps Gary's guilty or |
| 7  | not guilty? Did that give you any emotion? Oh, this is a       |
| 8  | murder case, he's probably guilty. Or, this is a murder case,  |
| 9  | he's not guilty.   |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 209: No. I mean, just stakes             |
| 11 | are higher. That seems surreal, that part. There's higher      |
| 12 | stakes as to what would happen.                                |
| 13 | MR. YANEZ: Who else? What else were people's                   |
| 14 | feelings when they heard this was a murder case? If you can    |
| 15 | just pass the mic one back? 189, correct, sir?                 |
| 16 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: Yes.                                |
| 17 | MR. YANEZ: And your last name, sir?                            |
| 18 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: Brown.                              |
| 19 | MR. YANEZ: Mr. Brown, how did you feel when you                |
| 20 | heard this was a murder case?                                  |
| 21 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: It's safety is a                    |
| 22 | concern.   |
| 23 | MR. YANEZ: Your personal safety?                               |
| 24 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: For everybody, yeah.                |
| 25 | MR. YANEZ: Did you have any feelings about guilt or            |

1 not quilt based on the fact that it's a murder case? 2. pop in your head at all? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: No. It's always based 4 on the, you know, whatever is the -- what do you call it -- we 5 have to follow, you know, steps in order to get a verdict. 6 So, yeah, that's my opinion. 7 MR. YANEZ: Okay. Who else? Can we pass it up 8 front? 222, correct? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 222: Yes. 9 10 Alipon. 11 MR. YANEZ: Thank you. 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 222: I just find it like 13 weird because the first thing I heard that it's about a murder 14 case I did not feel anything but just thought okay, I'll be, 15 if I get selected for jury duty I'll be here awhile. Put it 16 out there. 17 I appreciate that. And you didn't have MR. YANEZ: 18 any thoughts about whether he's probably quilty, not quilty 19 just based on the charge itself? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 222: Not really because I do 21 audits a lot of times so I'm very objective and very 2.2. analytical. And I don't listen much to news. I don't watch 23 news. I don't really care about a lot of things going on 24 outside of my world. So when I heard it I just -- I'm

25

thinking about my day-to-day basis that I'll be here awhile if

I get selected for jury duty, longer than I expected.

2.

2.2.

MR. YANEZ: Right. What about the previous question I had asked about police officers and their investigation? Do you agree that a police officer's investigation is only as good as the information they receive?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 222: Yes. And I even, as an auditor, I would also look at something. I don't take it at face value, I tend to dig deeper. But at the end of the day whatever I got is all I can present. So I may — I cannot swore on whatever I found, but I can present it as this is what I found, this is the witness, for example, and this is all that it can attest to. But then, other than that, it's as good as what you have, what you can present.

MR. YANEZ: Okay. I appreciate that. And I appreciate everyone's comments. Part of that question, and I guess my concern, and I think it's fair for you as jurors to have maybe a gasp of air when you hear this is a murder case. I know it kind of changes the kind of ball game when you're thinking maybe this is a shoplift or something like that. I guess my question now is based on the fact that it's a murder case, anyone have any reservations of being able to sit in judgment on a murder case that, for whatever reason, just based on the fact that it's a murder case? No concerns. No problems. Okay. We have one in the back. Thank you.

1 about what you're feeling about this case. 184, correct? 2. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: 184, Kathy Hussey. My 3 concern is, and I want to be perfectly honest, I really would 4 like to be here, but my thought is I own a Taco Factory, I 5 have a brand-new customer with a big, huge opening order, and 6 that's what's on my mind. I feel like I new to go to work. 7 need to be with my employees. That's my problem. 8 MR. YANEZ: And I appreciate that. Do you think you 9 might be -- if you're picked as a juror, that you might be 10 distracted in listening to the evidence, in listening to the 11 witnesses testify? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: 13 And that's because of your concerns over MR. YANEZ: 14 your -- you're the owner, correct, of the company? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: I'm the owner, yes. 16 MR. YANEZ: Okay. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: And I do everything. 18 do everything. 19 I appreciate that. I did have another MR. YANEZ: 20 specific question I remember for you. Since you have the 21 microphone I'm going to ask you. Perhaps you said this 2.2. yesterday, but I wasn't sure. I know you indicated your 23 husband had been an attorney with the Clark County School 24 District, correct? 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184:

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

| Τ  | MR. YANEZ: And you also indicated before, it's been        |
|----|--|
| 2  | awhile back, I think you described him as the chief        |
| 3  | prosecuting attorney.                                      |
| 4  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: Yes.                            |
| 5  | MR. YANEZ: Okay. Was that he was the district              |
| 6  | attorney for Clark County or                               |
| 7  | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: Yes, Clark County.              |
| 8  | MR. YANEZ: Okay. How long ago was that? I'm                |
| 9  | sorry, ma'am.  |
| 10 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: It was in the 1980s I           |
| 11 | think. I'm not sure. His license plate is 12, so he's been |
| 12 | here a long time.  |
| 13 | MR. YANEZ: His Bar license?                                |
| 14 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: Yeah.                           |
| 15 | MR. YANEZ: How long did he serve as district               |
| 16 | attorney?  |
| 17 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: I don't remember.               |
| 18 | MR. YANEZ: Okay. So we're on the same page, you're         |
| 19 | saying he was the district attorney, correct?              |
| 20 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: Yes.                            |
| 21 | MR. YANEZ: When he was district attorney, would he         |
| 22 | discuss his cases with you?                                |
| 23 | PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 184: I did not know him then.        |
| 24 | MR. YANEZ: Oh, I see. It was before you guys had           |
| 25 | met.   |