IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GARY LAMAR CHAMBERS

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

S.Ct. No. 73446

Electronically Filed Aug 09 2018 08:43 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown

Clerk of Supreme Court

D.C. No. C292987-1

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX Volume 9

JEAN J. SCHWARTZER. ESQ Nevada Bar No. 11223 Law Office of Jean J. Schwartzer 10620 Southern Highlands Pkwy Suite 110-473 Las Vegas, Nevada 89141 (702) 979-9941 Attorney for Appellant

STEVEN B. WOLFSON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 1565
Clark County District Attorney
Clark County District Attorney's Office
200 Lewis Avenue
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
(702) 671-2500
Attorney for Respondent

Chambers v. State Case No. 73446

AMENDED INDEX TO APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

Document	Page
Affidavit of CDDA Megan Thomson filed 2/27/2017	199-205
Defendant's Motion in Limine filed 1/26/2016	147-157
Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial filed 2/27/2017	192-198
Defendant's Reply to State's Opp to Motion in Limine filed 4/28/2016	166-169
Defendant's Sentencing Memorandum filed 5/22/2017	290-301
Guilty Plea Agreement filed 3/1/2017	271-280
Information filed 10/10/2013	1-4
Instructions to the Jury filed 3/1/2017	206-267
Judgment of Conviction filed 6/5/2017	302-303
Notice of Appeal filed 7/2/2017	304-306
Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal filed 2/21/2017	170-172
State's Motion to Admit Preliminary Hearing Transcript filed 2/22/2017	173-175
State's Motion to Use Audio Visual Testimony filed 2/24/2017	176-191
State's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used At Trial filed 2/27/2017	195-198
State's Opposition to Motion in Limine filed 3/2/2016	158-165
State's Sentencing Memorandum (no exhibits) filed 4/10/2017	281-289
Verdict Form filed 3/1/2017	268-270

Transcript	Page
Transcript of Hearing on Motion in Limine filed 9/13/2017	307-309
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 1 filed 10/30/2017	329-478
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 2 filed 10/31/2017	479-649
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 3 filed 10/31/2017	650-818
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 4 filed 10/31/2017	819-990
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 filed 10/31/2017	991-1106
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 5 Errata filed 8/3/2017	1216-1270
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 6 filed 10/31/2017	1107-1194
Transcript of Jury Trial Day 7 filed 10/31/2017	1195-1215
Transcript of Sentencing filed 9/13/2017	310-328

1	we're on	the same page so no one's confused. You did testify
2	at the p	reliminary hearing that you sold drugs to Gary
3	Chambers	, right?
4	А	Yeah.
5	Q	Okay. You'd agree with me that you never told that
6	to the d	etective when he interviewed you on July 9th, right?
7	А	Correct.
8	Q	You also testified at the preliminary hearing, again
9	under oa	th, that you didn't take any illegal drugs within 48
10	hours of	the shooting on July 9th. Do you remember saying
11	that?	
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And again, that was under oath, correct?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	That wasn't the truth, was it?
16	А	That was the truth.
17	Q	Didn't you tell the doctors at UMC that the last
18	time you	used meth was the day before?
19	А	No.
20	Q	You didn't say that?
21	А	I don't remember saying that.
22	Q	The truth, ma'am, is that on July 9th, on that
23	morning,	Gary Chambers came over to your house to buy
24	methamphe	etamines, right?
25	А	I don't know.

1	Q	He took out his wallet to pay you for the
2	methamphe	tamines when he walked into your trailer, right?
3	А	Not that I know of.
4	Q	He gave you money, didn't he?
5	А	No, he did not.
6	Q	It wasn't the full amount you were asking for,
7	right?	
8	А	He never had money.
9	Q	You got upset with him because he wasn't giving you
10	the full	amount. That's what the argument was about, was it
11	not, ma'a	m?
12	А	No, it was not.
13	Q	He was breaking a house rule, wasn't he?
14	А	What, coming at nine, 10 o'clock in the morning?
15	Q	No. He was breaking the house rule that says
16	nothing p	personal, just business. Any rules are broken I will
17	stab you	in the neck.
18	A	Well, that's not me saying that. That's not me
19	writing t	hat. And that was not my sign. That was Leo's sign.
20	That had	nothing to do with me.
21	Q	When you and Gary Chambers starting arguing over the
22	amount of	the money, you started accusing him of trying to
23	shortchar	ge you and trying to rob you.
24	А	Wrong.
25	Q	Gary Bly came out of the back of the trailer and

1	confronted	d him, didn't he?
2	A	He confronted him, yes.
3	Q	He chest bumped, didn't he, got in his face.
4	A	Yes, I believe so.
5	Q	And Mr. Bly's the one that had the gun.
6	A	No.
7	Q	And then a struggle ensued between Gary Chambers and
8	Gary Bly	and the gun went off. Isn't that true, ma'am?
9	A	It's true that there was a chest bump, there was a
10	struggle,	there was confrontation. But Gary had no Gary
11	Bly had no	o weapons and it had nothing to do with any money
12	because tl	nere was no money.
13	Q	Ma'am, when did you get out of the hospital after
14	July 9th?	
15	A	Three days later.
16	Q	So the 12th, approximately?
17	A	Somewhere around there.
18	Q	And you went back to your trailer, right?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	When you went back to your trailer, you found
21	something	that belonged to Gary Chambers, didn't you?
22	A	Sure did.
23	Q	Okay. You found his wallet, right?
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	Again, this is after the incident, after you had
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 153

1	been at U	MC for three days, right?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	His identification was inside of his wallet, right?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	But you didn't call the police.
6	А	No.
7	Q	You called a neighbor named Daniel Plumlee, right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	You told him about finding Gary Chambers' wallet and
10	ID inside	of your house.
11	А	Correct.
12	Q	And you gave that to Daniel Plumlee, right?
13	А	I let him pick it up and take it, yes.
14	Q	Where did you find Gary Chambers' wallet and ID?
15	A	On the coffee table.
16	Q	Let me show you Defendant's Exhibit F. Is this the
17	coffee ta	ble you're referring to?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Where on that coffee table did you find it?
20	А	I believe my purse wasn't there when I got home, and
21	it was so	mewhere around there where the purse is now.
22	Q	Can you go ahead and mark it on the screen so the
23	jury can	see?
24	A	Underneath the books, behind the purse somewhere.
25	It was li	ke right after here (indicating), up here more.

	Right ove	i here. General vicinity, real crose.
2	Q	You'd agree with me that in that picture that you
3	just saw,	and I can put it back up there, his wallet is
4	nowhere t	o be found, right?
5	А	What do you mean? Oh, on that picture?
6	Q	Correct.
7	A	Can't see it through the purse and the phone book
8	and whate	ver else is there.
9	Q	Okay. So you'd agree with me in this picture you
10	cannot se	e this wallet or ID that you claim was left there,
11	right?	
12	A	Not on this picture, no.
13		THE COURT: When was that photo take?
14		MR. YANEZ: July 9th.
15		THE COURT: That was by the investigators right
16	after the	incident?
17		MR. YANEZ: That's my understanding.
18		THE COURT: She's at the hospital already?
19		MR. YANEZ: Correct. That's what the CSA testified
20	to earlie	r.
21		THE COURT: So she went to the hospital without her
22	purse?	
23		MR. YANEZ: I don't know, Judge.
24		THE COURT: I'm just trying to understand all
25	right. I	'm trying to make sure that the jury understands the

- 1		
1	facts and	doesn't speculate as to anything. Go ahead.
2		MR. YANEZ: Thank you.
3	BY MR. YA	NEZ:
4	Q	Ma'am, do you know what the State of Nevada Victims
5	of Crime	Program is?
6	А	A little bit.
7	Q	Okay. That's a program that the State of Nevada has
8	that allo	ws people who are victims of crimes to apply for
9	financial	assistance, right?
10	А	So I've been told.
11	Q	And you actually applied for it, right?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. And that program can help with loss of
14	income, r	ight?
15	А	So they say.
16	Q	Paying rent for you to live somewhere?
17	А	It said relocating on the paperwork.
18	Q	Okay. And were you granted any money?
19	А	No.
20	Q	Do you know why you were not granted any money?
21	А	Because the case is not closed, it's pending.
22	Q	It's not because you're a drug dealer?
23	А	No, it's not because I was a drug dealer or am.
24	Q	Now, on July 9th, you had a lot of cash on your
25	person, i	n your clothes, right?

1	А	I don't know what you consider a lot of money. It
2	was half	of my rent.
3	Q	Okay. And how much was that?
4	A	Three hundred dollars.
5	Q	Okay. So you had about \$300 in your pockets?
6	А	No.
7	Q	Okay. Where was it at?
8	А	On my person.
9	Q	Okay. Where do you store your money at, ma'am? I
10	don't kno	. W
11	А	In my personal safe.
12	Q	Okay. And you're pointing to your chest.
13	А	My chest.
14	Q	Okay. So in your bra or
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And the police eventually find that money or become
17	aware of	that money, right?
18	А	After they took my clothes, yes. I'm sure they did.
19	Q	Do you remember the police taking pictures of the
20	money?	
21	А	No.
22	Q	Okay. So you had about \$300, right?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	That's the \$300 that's on you when Gary comes over
25	on July 9	th, right?
		·

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And you also had some jewelry you were wearing,
3	right?	
4	A	Correct.
5		MR. YANEZ: Court's indulgence. Judge, we have a
6	stipulat	ion as to Defendant's Exhibit G, which is a photograph
7	that I'm	going to show the witness.
8		THE COURT: That's fine. You may show her.
9		MR. YANEZ: Thank you.
10	BY MR. Y	ANEZ:
11	Q	Ma'am, showing you this exhibit. That's some of the
12	jewelry	that you had on you when Gary came over your house on
13	July 9th	, right?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	This was actually taken off of you when you went to
16	UMC, cor	rect?
17	A	Correct.
18	Q	The \$300 that you had, Gary never tried to take that
19	from you	, right?
20	A	I don't know how to answer that.
21	Q	Well, he didn't it's a yes or no answer.
22	A	He didn't get it.
23	Q	He didn't try to take it either, right?
24	A	No.
25	Q	He didn't try to take the jewelry that I just showed
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 158

1	you, right?
2	A No.
3	
4	or from your trailer on July 9th, right?
5	A It depends how you would like me to answer that.
6	Took a life, took part of me.
7	Q Ma'am, in the context that I'm talking about
8	A Monetary or materialistic, no, he didn't, that I
9	know of.
10	Q He took no personal property
11	A That I know of. Nothing that I had on me.
12	Q Let me finish my question. You've already told the
13	jury that he didn't take any personal property from you, cash,
14	jewelry, nothing, correct?
15	A Correct.
16	Q He didn't take any personal property from Gary Bly,
17	correct?
18	A Correct.
19	Q The truth of the matter is that Gary never tried to
20	rob you, did he?
21	A I don't know what he was there for. No, he didn't
22	rob me.
23	Q And when you testified at the preliminary hearing
24	under oath, you also told us back then that Gary Chambers
25	never mentioned anything about a robbery, right?

1	A No, he did it well, no, he didn't mention that.
2	MR. YANEZ: Nothing further. Thank you, Judge.
3	THE COURT: All right. Let's have redirect.
4	MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
5	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. THOMSON:
7	Q When you say that Lenny [sic] didn't try to take
8	anything from you, do you mean that he did not physically try
9	to remove items from you or that he did not demand anything
10	from you?
11	A He did not physically try to remove anything.
12	Q And that photograph you were just shown of the
13	jewelry, those rings, were they cut off your fingers?
14	A Yes, they were.
15	Q So they were not in that condition when they were on
16	you.
17	A No.
18	Q The \$264 that you had, the rent money that you had
19	on you, would you agree that those were all twenties and four
20	ones?
21	MR. YANEZ: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It
22	misstates the evidence. I believe she said \$300.
23	THE COURT: Well, what's your basis of saying 264
24	now?
25	MS. THOMSON: Because counsel said you had \$264 on

1	you; isn't that correct? And she said, yes, approximately.
2	MR. YANEZ: No. I believe she said she had 300,
3	but
4	THE COURT: Well, I'll let you answer the question
5	because I don't remember the specific number.
6	BY MS. THOMSON:
7	Q Do you remember how much you had on you?
8	A To the best of my recollection, I thought it was
9	about half of my rent. Probably was the 264, yes, because
10	that's what it took me to get my car out of impound.
11	Q Was that money that you got back from the police
12	after you were released from the hospital or back from the
13	hospital?
14	A Yes, the hospital.
15	Q Okay. So the police never took it.
16	A Correct.
17	Q And would you agree that the money that you got back
18	was all twenties and four ones?
19	A I can't remember.
20	Q Okay. Did you take your purse to the hospital with
21	you when you went to the hospital that morning?
22	A No, not that I know of. No.
23	Q Fair to say that when you left in the ambulance your
24	concern wasn't about the property in the house?
25	A Correct.

1	MR. YANEZ: I'm going to object as to leading,
2	Judge.
3	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
4	BY MS. THOMSON:
5	Q When you found the wallet that had the defendant's
6	ID in it in your home, you didn't throw it away.
7	A No.
8	Q And did you go through it before you called
9	A I didn't even touch it.
10	Q You called —
11	A Dan.
12	Q And you had him come get it.
13	A Yes.
14	Q I'm going to ask you kind of a sensitive question.
15	You don't have to give me specific details. Is it fair to say
16	that Gary Bly was pretty sick?
17	A Yeah.
18	Q And we're not talking about like mental health
19	issues.
20	A (Witness shakes head no.)
21	Q Was Leo McGowan at your home that day before you
22	were taken to the hospital in the ambulance?
23	A He wasn't there that morning when the three of us
24	were there, no.
25	Q And can you describe what Leo looked like at that
	li de la companya de

time?

A Short, blond, Irish-looking guy, five-five maybe, blond hair, maybe blue or hazel eyes. I think he — I don't know if he had like a Mohawk or kept it braided at that time. It's hard to remember.

Q Is it fair to say that he had hair, though, he wasn't bald?

A Oh, yeah, he was not bald.

Q Wasn't shaved clean?

A No.

Q You were asked a question early on about whether you had told the detectives that you believed that Money had come looking for Gary Bly, and Mr. Yanez told you that you had said that they were looking for them. Do you remember saying that? Or looking for Bly, I'm sorry.

A I don't remember saying that. He could have been looking for him. Didn't know why he was coming over.

Q Do you remember the detective asking you, and I'm on page 58, "All right. I mean, the way you're describing this to me, he didn't come there and have anything to do with Gary. He wasn't even looking for Gary." And you responded today, "No, it didn't see like it. No."

A We never got that far. I mean, I was heavily medicated. I mean --

Q So, do you remember having that interaction with the

1	detective	?
2	А	Actually saying that to the detective?
3	Q	Uh-huh.
4	А	No, I don't really remember saying that.
5	Q	Okay.
6		MS. THOMSON: Court's indulgence. I'll pass the
7	witness.	
8		THE COURT: Recross.
9		MR. YANEZ: Nothing further, Judge.
10		THE COURT: Anything from the jurors? You have one?
11	Go ahead	and write it down. Make sure you write your name and
12	your jurc	or number.
13		JUROR NO. 7: It might have already been answered.
14	I'm not s	sure.
15		THE COURT: Go ahead and write it down anyway and
16	we'll tak	te a look. You guys can approach.
17		(Bench conference transcribed as follows)
18		THE COURT: Okay. We can ask her. I'll just ask
19	her.	
20		(End of bench conference)
21		THE COURT: So I'm going to give this question. It
22	says, "Wh	nat did she say the gun looked like?" The question
23	is, what	do you recall the gun looked like?
24		THE WITNESS: Black, and in a black nylon-like
25	holster,	cloth-like holster, black.

1	THE COURT: All right.
2	MS. THOMSON: Your Honor, may I ask a follow-up
3	question?
4	THE COURT: Yes. I'll let both of you ask a follow
5	up if you want.
6	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
7	BY MS. THOMSON:
8	Q How familiar are you with firearms?
9	A Not that familiar.
10	Q Do you know the difference between a revolver and a
11	semiautomatic?
12	A Through the western movies, I believe so, yes.
13	Q Do you remember if what you saw was a revolver or a
14	semiautomatic or did you have an opportunity to really see?
15	A I can't say. I can't say for sure what I remember
16	seeing.
17	MS. THOMSON: I have no further questions.
18	THE COURT: Anything?
19	MR. YANEZ: No, Judge.
20	THE COURT: All right. I have a question. Gary
21	Bly. First of all, how do you spell Bly?
22	THE WITNESS: B-l-y.
23	THE COURT: So if I understand, you said he had
24	moved out. He had lived there some time and he wasn't living
25	there at the time of the incident. Is that what I heard you

say?

THE WITNESS: Yes. He had spent the night — he spent — that's the night before because we were going to go handle his business. So, yes, he had stayed with me. He moved out three or four days prior. Then he spent the night so we could get up and go take care of —

THE COURT: Okay. That clarifies that. So he did spend that night before.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: So you both woke up at the same place.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Very good. I just needed to clarify that. Anything else?

MS. THOMSON: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You are excused then. Thank you very much for your time. Please watch your step, ma'am.

I plan to take a break at this time. Tomorrow is Friday. Can we start at nine tomorrow, folks? We're not done yet. Nine too early? We've got to keep moving. I'm trying to get this done as soon as we can.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to excuse you. I'm going to direct that you be back here ready to go at nine in the morning. Again, you can bring in coffee or juice, water.

During this overnight recess you are admonished not to converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any

subject connected with the trial. Do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial or any person connected with the trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, or Internet. And do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with the trial until the case is finally submitted to you. And do not perform any research about this case, the facts of the case, the subject matter of the case, or the issues of the case.

With that, you are excused. Please be safe overnight and we'll see you back here tomorrow. Please leave your notes. They'll be left right where they are for you to resume tomorrow.

(Jury recessed at 4:48 p.m.)

THE COURT: Have a seat for a second. I noticed yesterday when the clerk read the charges from the information, what she read didn't include Count Six. There might have been some agreement to remove Count Six. I checked the file and I don't see an amended information yet. Is that something that you're going to do or what —

MS. THOMSON: Well, I just assume, and maybe that was my fault with Mr. Yanez, I have never done a trial with an ex-felon in possession where they asked to not have the ex-felon in possession separate because, of course, that informs the jury that he's a robber and a kidnapper.

1	THE COURT: Oh. That's why it was omitted from the
2	reading.
3	MS. THOMSON: Right.
4	THE COURT: All right. That explains it. So by
5	separate, what do you mean? Do you mean am I still going to
6	well, how are we going to sentence him on that charge?
7	MS. THOMSON: My experience, what happens is we
8	THE COURT: Or adjudicate him on that charge.
9	MS. THOMSON: We do the first five counts, jury does
10	their thing, they come back and they say this is what we have
11	decided. And then we say surprise, here's Count Six, and then
12	pretty much
13	MR. YANEZ: That's my understanding as well.
14	MS. THOMSON: the argument on Count Six is here's
15	the certified JFCs. Thank you. And then they go back and
16	they do their thing and they come back and they say here's our
17	verdict on Count Six. Then we say good news or not good news,
18	you're done.
19	THE COURT: We'll do it that way. Thank you for
20	explaining it. Thank you very much.
21	So Court is adjourned. We'll see you guys back here
22	at nine. All right?
23	(Court recessed for the evening at 4:49 p.m.)
24	
25	

Electronically Filed 10/31/2017 6:53 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

Defendant.)	
GARY LAMAR CHAMBERS,	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS	
VS.		
Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C292987) DEPT NO. II	7–1
THE STATE OF NEVADA,)	

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD SCOTTI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 4

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2017

APPEARANCES:

For the State: MEGAN S. THOMSON, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

BRYAN S. SCHWARTZ, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: ABEL M. YANEZ, ESQ.

RECORDED BY DALYNE EASLEY, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

DANIEL PLUMLEE	
Direct Examination By Ms. Thomson	7
Cross-Examination By Mr. Yanez	22
Redirect Examination By Ms. Thomson	25
BRETT BROSNAHAN	
Direct Examination By Mr. Schwartz	26
Cross-Examination By Mr. Yanez	40
Redirect Examination By Mr. Schwartz	42
CHRISTOPHER BUNTING	
Direct Examination By Ms. Thomson	46
Cross-Examination By Mr. Yanez	53
Redirect Examination By Ms. Thomson	67
Recross Examination By Mr. Yanez	71
DAVID JOHNSON	
Direct Examination By Ms. Thomson	76
JULIE MARSCHNER	
Direct Examination By Mr. Schwartz	83
FRED MERRICK	
Direct Examination By Ms. Thomson	122
KRISTIN GRAMMAS	
Direct Examination By Ms. Thomson	131
Cross-Examination By Mr. Yanez	138
Redirect Examination By Ms. Thomson	138

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE - (Continued) CYNTHIA LACEY - (Voir dire via video - OPOJ) 141 Direct Examination By Ms. Thomson 147 Cross-Examination By Mr. Yanez Redirect Examination By Ms. Thomson 151 153 Recross Examination By Mr. Yanez CYNTHIA LACEY - (IPOJ) 159 Direct Examination By Ms. Thomson Cross-Examination By Mr. Yanez 168 Redirect Examination By Ms. Thomson 168 EXHIBITS STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED: PAGE 111 2.2. 117 and 118 53 119, 120, and 121 125 98 through 103 133 DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED: PAGE

Η

63

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2017, 9:07 A.M.

٥.

(Outside the presence of the jury.)

THE COURT: -- 2987. Something to put on the record?

MS. THOMSON: Yes, Your Honor. We wanted to sort of bring it before the Court. We're not entirely prepared to file the motion to do audio visual testimony. The motion requires certain documents attached to include a document that has signature from the individual who will be testifying audio visually. That has been provided to Maricopa County. They are getting the signature from the witness and sending it back to us. We just haven't gotten it back yet today.

THE COURT: Very good.

MS. THOMSON: What happened this morning in the hearing in Maricopa County that was pursuant to the motion to compel, is she was brought before the court, she did appear. She indicated to the court she could not travel because of stress and having recently had a heart attack. The court did not order her to travel and did not place her into custody, obviously. However, did order her to testify via — they use the word Skype, but basically audio visually.

As a result of that, we have — they have ordered her that she must either testify today or Monday and they're doing their paperwork on their end. We have the motion to

provide to the Court, but it is not complete yet because we have not yet gotten that document back from them.

THE COURT: Sounds like you've been busy.

MS. THOMSON: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Appreciate you updating the Court, so I won't address the issue until it's presented to me.

MR. YANEZ: I'm just going to inform the Court, I'm going to strongly object to this type of testimony. I would refer the Court, I did a very cursory review of the case law, but I think it's —

THE COURT: If you want to provide something for me to look over at lunch or something, that would be great.

MR. YANEZ: A couple of things. Again, this is cursory, Judge. There's a Nevada Supreme Court case that speaks to face-to-face confrontation. It's Smith vs. State, and the Nevada cite is 111 Nevada 499. And the Supreme Court there talks about one of the components of the confrontation clause, both under the Nevada and U.S. Constitutions, is not only the ability to cross-examine, but the ability to have your accuser before you. The Supreme Court put it as always more difficult to tell a lie about a person to his face than behind his back.

THE COURT: You don't need to summarize it, I'm going to read it. In fact, she's going to pull it up for me

1 so I can look at it right while we're doing business today and 2. she's going to key site it also to make sure that any other 3 relevant authority is brought to my attention. MR. YANEZ: One last case, Judge, that's actually 4 5 specific to Skype-type video technology. It's a Supreme Court 6 case of New Mexico that came down less than a year ago. 7 cite on that is 376 P3rd, 184. It's State versus Thomas. 8 Although it's a New Mexico Supreme Court case, it does 9 interpret federal law, which would also be binding on Nevada 10 courts. 11 THE COURT: All right. Looks like you've been busy 12 Thank you for that guidance. I'll take a look at it and 13 be prepared to intelligently discuss the issues with you guys. 14 MR. YANEZ: Yeah. And I'll reserve all other discussion till then. Thank you, Judge. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. Very good. Can we bring the 17 jurors in? 18 MS. THOMSON: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: All right. Please proceed, Marshal. 20 (Jury reconvened at 9:11 a.m.) 21 THE COURT: Welcome back. Thank you all for being 2.2. here. Happy Friday. Let you get situated, let me know when 23 you all are ready. This is State versus Chambers, C292987. 24 The jurors are all present. Counsel, are you ready to

25

proceed?

1	MS. THOMSON: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: All right. Then, I think we were in the
3	middle of let's take a look Lisa Papoutsis. We need to
4	bring Ms. Papoutsis back to the stand; is that correct?
5	MS. THOMSON: I believe we concluded with her.
6	THE COURT: We concluded with Ms. Papoutsis?
7	MS. THOMSON: Yes, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Then we can have the State
9	call its next witness.
LO	MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor. The State
L1	calls Daniel Plumlee.
L2	THE COURT: This is State's witness number six.
L3	DANIEL PLUMLEE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
L4	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. If you
L5	could state your first and last name, spelling it for the
L6	record.
L7	THE WITNESS: Daniel Plumlee. D-a-n-i-e-l,
L8	P-l-u-m-l-e-e.
L9	MS. THOMSON: May I proceed?
20	THE COURT: You may.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. THOMSON:
23	Q Sir, you brought some papers in with you. If you
24	could turn those over. If you need to refer to them we can do
25	that, but not have them open while we're chatting.

1		So, I'm going to direct your attention back to July
2	9th of 20	13. Do you recall that date?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And at that time, were you working at the Van's
5	Trailer O	asis?
6	А	Yes, I was.
7	Q	And that's located at 3610 North Las Vegas Boulevard
8	here in C	lark County, Nevada?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	What did you do there?
11	А	I worked maintenance.
12	Q	Can you describe generally your job description at
13	that time	?
14	А	Fixing whatever needed fixed on the trailers.
15	Q	And did you work with Lance Berg, an individual who
16	went by B	amm, and Bradley Grieve?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	At approximately 10 that morning, were you doing
19	work on a	ny of the trailers that became sort of an issue later
20	that day?	
21	А	Yes, I did.
22	Q	Do you recall what trailer that was?
23	А	Trailer 45.
24	Q	And do you know who lived at trailer 45 at that
25	time?	

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And who was that?
3	А	That was Lisa.
4	Q	And is that Lisa Papoutsis?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	Showing you what's been marked as State's Exhibit 5.
7	Is this t	he trailer that you're referring to?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And that morning, what were you doing at trailer 45?
LO	А	I was fixing the front door.
L1	Q	When you were fixing the front door, was there
L2	anyone in	side the trailer?
L3	А	Yes. There was Lisa and a guy named Gary.
L4	Q	Was that Gary Bly?
L5	A	Yes.
L6	Q	While you were fixing the front door, were they
L7	talking t	o you, you talking to them?
L8	А	Yes.
L9	Q	During that conversation, did it get heated at all?
20	Was anyon	e angry?
21	А	No.
22	Q	Anyone acting sort of differently than you would
23	anticipat	e they would act?
24	А	No.
25	Q	Did you know if there was anyone else who at that

1	time was	living at trailer 45?
2	А	I don't know who else was living there. There may
3	have been	one other person named Leo, but I'm not sure.
4	Q	Do you remember what Leo looked like around that
5	time?	
6	А	He's a white guy with long, straight hair, past his
7	shoulders	. He's small.
8	Q	Did you see him that morning in the trailer?
9	А	No.
10	Q	When you were fixing the door, did you leave as soon
11	as you fi	nished or did you hang out and chat for a little bit?
12	А	I talked to them for a few minutes, and then I left.
13	Q	And you said you were fixing the front door; is that
14	correct?	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Which side of the trailer if you can show us on
17	the scree	n, if you touch it it will make a mark was it?
18	А	Right there.
19	Q	And did you leave out that door or out another door?
20	А	No, I left out the back door.
21	Q	And where is that?
22	А	That's over on this side.
23	Q	When you left the front door area, do you remember
24	if the do	or was left open or closed?
25	А	It was left open, because it was hot out that day.

1	Q	When you went out the back door, was everything
2	still son	et of the same temperament inside the trailer?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And where did you go when you left out the back
5	door?	
6	А	I walked up to the gate and
7	Q	Is that the gate that we see next to this van?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	When you left out of that gate and I'm going to
10	show you	State's Exhibit 4 can you and actually can
11	you see t	the office in this photograph or do you want me
12	А	I can see it.
13	Q	When you left out the gate from the rear door of the
14	trailer,	can you show us the path you took?
15	А	Yeah. I took the path of that tape across the way
16	there.	
17	Q	So you were headed over to the office?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	As you were walking back to the office, did you see
20	anyone ar	pproaching Lisa's trailer?
21	А	As I was leaving the gate I seen somebody go in it,
22	go in her	side of the fence.
23	Q	So you didn't see if they actually entered the
24	trailer,	but you saw them go into her yard basically?
25	А	Yes.

1	Q	And did you recognize that individual?
2	А	Yes, I did.
3	Q	Had you met him before?
4	А	Yeah, a few times. I knew him through Bamm.
5	Q	Did you know a name for him or just
6	А	I just knew him by Money.
7	Q	Okay. Is that individual present in the courtroom
8	today?	
9	А	Yeah.
LO	Q	Will you please point to the individual and describe
L1	something	they're wearing today?
L2	А	He's right there, wearing blue.
L3		MS. THOMSON: Let the record reflect the identity of
L4	the defendant.	
L5		THE COURT: It does.
L6		MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
L7	BY MS. THO	OMSON:
L8	Q	When you saw him walking through the gate to Lisa's
L9	trailer,	did you pay close attention to him?
20	А	Not really.
21	Q	Was there anything that stood out to you as he was
22	approaching?	
23	А	No.
24	Q	As you were walking to the office, was there
25	anything t	that caught your attention that caused you to not

1 complete that trip? 2. Yes, I heard two gunshots. 3 And can you explain how that happened? By the time I got about to this area I heard the 4 5 first gunshot. And then I heard the second gunshot and Lisa 6 running out the back door screaming. I came over here, back 7 over here to the -- by here where Brad was and somebody else 8 and seen Money walking out the front door. 9 Before you heard the qunshots, did you hear any 10 screaming coming from trailer 45? 11 Α No. 12 Did you hear screaming during the gunshots? 0 13 After the gunshots. Α 14 And the screaming that you heard, was that just 15 Lisa's voice? 16 Α Yes. 17 When you came back towards her trailer, can you 18 estimate how long it was between the first gunshot and the 19 time you saw Money coming out the front door? 20 It was under a minute, I'm sure. 21 When you saw him coming out the front door --Q 2.2. actually, let me clarify. Did you in fact see him exiting the 23 front door? 24 Seen him walk out the front door, yes. Α

25

0

When you saw him coming out the front door, did you

1	А	Not at that time, no.
2	Q	Fair to say, based upon that, that he got into the
3	driver's side?	
4	А	What's that?
5	Q	Is it fair to say that he got into the driver's
6	side?	
7	А	Yes, he did.
8	Q	When he got in or when he was walking from the
9	trailer t	o that vehicle, am I correct in saying he was walking
10	or did he run or	
11	А	He didn't run, he walked, casual.
12	Q	And when he got into the vehicle, what if anything
13	did you do?	
14	А	I kept saying the license plate over and over as he
15	drove out.	
16	Q	And did you follow the vehicle out to the street?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	About how far is trailer 45 from Las Vegas
19	Boulevard, if you can estimate?	
20	А	It's about probably six trailers back this way.
21	Q	When you followed the vehicle the defendant was
22	driving c	out to Las Vegas Boulevard, did you then stay on Las
23	Vegas Boulevard?	
24	А	Yes, till the police arrived.
25	Q	And did they arrive shortly thereafter?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	As the first officer arrived, did you tell him what
3	that lice	ense plate number was?
4	А	Yes, I did, and I gave him the direction of travel.
5	Q	Did you see or hear whether he broadcast that
6	license p	plate?
7	А	I don't remember.
8	Q	Between the time that you saw the defendant walking
9	into trai	ler five [sic] and the time that you saw him walking
10	out and t	to his vehicle, did you see anyone else go into
11	trailer 4	15?
12	А	No.
13	Q	Did you see anyone else come out of trailer 45?
14	А	No.
15	Q	At any time before the police arrived, did you see
16	anyone ot	ther than Lisa and the defendant come out of trailer
17	45?	
18	А	No.
19	Q	You indicated that you knew Lisa was the occupant of
20	trailer 4	15, and it sounds like you guys were chatting, you had
21	at least	a friendly relationship; is that fair?
22	А	Yeah.
23	Q	Would it be fair to say that as a maintenance worker
24	you sort	of knew what was going on in the neighborhood
25	generally	7?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And would you say that Lisa's trailer was known as a
3	place you	could go to buy drugs?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	In that neighborhood, what is your experience with
6	people's	willingness to call the police?
7	А	They don't like to.
8		MR. YANEZ: I'm going to object as speculation,
9	Judge.	
10		THE COURT: Sustained. Foundation.
11	BY MS. TH	OMSON:
12	Q	Do the police have a reputation in that community?
13		MR. YANEZ: I'm going to object again, Judge. Lack
14	of founda	tion, relevance.
15		THE COURT: There's possible relevance, but let's
16	see if he	has a basis to know what the reputation might be.
17	So you wo	uld know how to lay that, so.
18		MR. YANEZ: And, Judge, one other objection. It's
19	hearsay.	If he's basing his testimony on reputation, that's
20	hearsay.	It's what other people have told him.
21		THE COURT: Yeah, but he can testify as if proper
22	foundatio	n is laid he can testify as to his knowledge of the
23	reputatio	n, but not what other people have told him. Go
24	ahead.	
25		MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

17

1 BY MS. THOMSON: 2. You indicated that you worked maintenance in Van's 3 at that time. For how long had you worked there at that time? 4 Α Three years. 5 And did you continue to work there after this date? 0 6 Α Yes. 7 And for approximately how long after this? Q 8 Until June of last year. Α 9 In the time that you worked there as maintenance, 10 would it be fair to say that you had contact with nearly all, 11 if not all of the trailers? 12 Yes. 13 And oftentimes your contact with those trailers, 14 would that also involve contact with the residents? 15 Α Yes. 16 Would you describe yourself as being, not 17 necessarily friendly, but on good terms with most of the residents? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And does that include having conversations with them 21 about what's going on in life, things that have happened 2.2. around the neighborhood? 23 Sometimes. Α 24 When there is a big event, much like this one, is 25 there sort of chat around the neighborhood involving it after

1	the incident?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q And in your experience, have there been times that
4	people have asked you to call the police because they
5	A Yes.
6	MR. YANEZ: Objection. It's hearsay again, Judge,
7	and it's speculation.
8	THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
9	BY MS. THOMSON:
LO	Q And you said yes?
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q Would it be fair to say the police do not have a
L3	good reputation in the community?
L4	A I'm not sure. I don't have a problem with the
L5	police. My opinion is they have a good reputation.
L6	Q Maybe it's a bad question. Is there a level of fear
L7	perhaps of the police in the community?
L8	A Yes.
L9	Q After Lisa was taken to the hospital that day did
20	you see the ambulance come and take her?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did you also become aware that she came back to her
23	trailer some days later?
24	A Yes.
25	O And once she came to her trailer did you receive a

1	phone cal.	l from her?
2	А	Yes, I did.
3	Q	In as the result of that phone call, did you
4	obtain an	item from her?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And can you describe for the ladies and gentlemen of
7	the jury	what it was that you got from her?
8	А	It was a wallet with Gary's ID in it.
9	Q	Did you in fact look inside that wallet?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	When you looked inside the wallet, was there any
12	money in	the wallet?
13	А	None when I looked in it, no.
14	Q	When you got the wallet from Lisa, what if anything
15	did you d	0?
16	А	I called the police.
17	Q	And did someone come out and take it to impound it?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	When police came out to the scene on the day of the
20	incident,	did they ask you to look at some photos?
21	А	I don't remember. Probably.
22		MS. THOMSON: Court's indulgence. May I approach?
23		THE COURT: Yes.
24	BY MS. TH	OMSON:
25	Q	Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed

1	Exhibit 1	11. Is the writing on the second half of this page
2	yours?	
3	А	Yes, it is.
4	Q	And do you recall having a detective either read to
5	you this p	paragraph or reading it yourself?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And then thereafter you signed underneath?
8	A	Yes, I did.
9	Q	After you read the paragraph or it was read to you,
10	did you lo	ook at the photographs that are on page two?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	And there is a signature under the photograph in
13	spot numbe	er four. Is that your signature?
14	А	Yes, it is.
15	Q	After you put that signature under spot number four,
16	did you w	rite the words on the second half of page one?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	And is this in the same condition as when you last
19	saw it?	
20	А	Yes, it is.
21		MS. THOMSON: Move for admission of State's Proposed
22	Exhibit 1	11.
23		THE COURT: All right. Do you want to incorporate
24	by refere	nce your prior comments?
25		MR. YANEZ: Yes, Judge.

1		THE COURT: All right. It will be admitted subject
2	to defens	e counsel's prior comments.
3		MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
4		THE COURT: We'll mark that as what number is
5	that?	
6		MS. THOMSON: 111. And permission to publish?
7		THE COURT: Yes.
8		(State's Exhibit 111 admitted.)
9	BY MS. TH	IOMSON:
10	Q	Showing you page two of Exhibit 111. The individual
11	that you	signed underneath the photograph, why was that the
12	person th	at you chose?
13	А	That's the person that I seen.
14	Q	Leaving trailer 45?
15	А	Yes.
16		MS. THOMSON: Court's indulgence. I'll pass the
17	witness.	
18		THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examination.
19		MR. YANEZ: Thank you, Judge.
20		CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. YA	NEZ:
22	Q	Good morning, Mr. Plumlee.
23	А	Good morning.
24	Q	Did I hear you correctly, did you just testify that
25	you did s	see Gary going inside of trailer 45 on the morning

1	A I didn't see him going inside, I seen him go in the
2	gate in her yard.
3	Q Okay. So once he went inside the gate you lost view
4	of him?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Fair to say based on that, you didn't see him
7	walking in with a gun, correct?
8	A No, I did not.
9	Q You would also agree with me that your memory or
10	your ability to see things that morning was a bit clouded as
11	you were under the influence of drugs. Is that fair to say?
12	A If you'd like. I don't think it was, but I'd used
13	that morning, so.
14	Q Okay. And when you say you had used that morning
15	A I used methamphetamine.
16	Q You used methamphetamines that morning. Okay. This
17	incident happened the morning of July 9th, right?
18	A It did.
19	Q But you don't think that clouded your judgment.
20	A No, I don't.
21	Q You testified that you saw, when Mr. Chambers was
22	leaving the trailer, he was putting what you described as a
23	gun into his pocket.
24	A I only seen the back part of it, but it was the
25	handle of it, yes.

1	Q	Which pocket was that, sir?
2	А	I believe the right pocket.
3	Q	Did he have anything in his left hand?
4	А	I don't recall.
5	Q	Do you remember testifying at a previous hearing
6	saying th	at you don't remember him having anything in his
7	pocket, t	hat he didn't I'm sorry he didn't have anything
8	in his le	ft hand?
9	А	I don't think he did, but I don't remember what I
10	said. If	I said that in the statement, then that's what it
11	Q	Okay. And from what you were able to see, I know
12	you could	n't see the entire gun, from what you were able to
13	see you d	idn't see the gun inside of a holster or anything
14	like that	, correct?
15	А	No. Correct.
16	Q	Now, I want to talk to you a bit about this phone
17	call you	got from Lisa after the shooting. Do you remember
18	how long	after the shooting you got the phone call?
19	А	It was probably five to seven days.
20	Q	Five to seven days from July 9th?
21	А	Yes. That was when she was able to go back in her
22	trailer.	
23	Q	And you went and picked up a wallet based on that
24	conversat	ion?
25	А	Yes, I did.

1	Q	Inside that wallet was the identification of Gary
2	Chambers?	
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And you eventually called the police you said,
5	right?	
6	A	I called them right away.
7	Q	Where did you call them from?
8	А	My cell phone.
9	Q	Okay. Where were you at when you called?
LO	А	Trailer 45.
L1	Q	And where did the police respond to?
L2	A	Trailer 45.
L3	Q	And you're the one who personally handed the wallet,
L4	Lisa did	not hand that wallet over, correct?
L5	A	Yeah. Correct.
L6		MR. YANEZ: I have nothing further, Judge. Thank
L7	you.	
L8		THE COURT: Thank you, sir. State?
L9		MS. THOMSON: Just briefly.
20		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MS. THO	OMSON:
22	Q	When you called the police from trailer 45 with the
23	wallet, wa	as Lisa present?
24	А	Yes, she was.
25	Q	Did she express any objection to your calling the

1	police?	
2	А	No, she did not.
3		MR. YANEZ: Nothing further.
4		THE COURT: Anything from the jurors for Mr.
5	Plumlee?	All right. Then, sir, you are excused. Thank you
6	for your	time.
7		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
8		THE COURT: Please watch your step. We wish you
9	well. Th	ank you, sir.
10		MS. THOMSON: Your Honor, the State
11		MR. SCHWARTZ: The State's going to call Brett
12	Brosnahan.	
13		BRETT BROSNAHAN, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
14		THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. If you
15	could sta	te your first and last name, spelling them for the
16	record.	
17		THE WITNESS: First name is Brett, B-r-e-t-t. Last
18	name is B	rosnahan, B-r-o-s-n-a-h-a-n.
19		MR. SCHWARTZ: Court's indulgence.
20		THE COURT: Thank you for being here today.
21		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22		DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. SC	HWARTZ:
24	Q	How you doing, sir?
25	А	I'm well. How are you?

1	Q	Very good. Sir, how are you currently employed?
2	А	I'm a police officer with the Las Vegas Metropolitan
3	Police De	partment.
4	Q	How long have you been with Metro?
5	А	Almost eight years.
6	Q	And I want to direct your attention to July 9th,
7	2013. We:	re you working for Metro at that time?
8	А	I was.
9	Q	As a patrol officer?
10	А	Correct.
11	Q	And did you have occasion to respond to a call at
12	Van's Tra	iler Park?
13	А	I did.
14	Q	And is that here in Clark County?
15	А	It is.
16	Q	And what was the nature of that call?
17	А	It was a 415A, which is a shooting call. It was
18	broadcast	over our radio. A tone alert came out and the
19	details w	ere quickly broadcast.
20	Q	And do you recall sort of if you were n that area at
21	that time	?
22	А	I was. I was parked in the parking lot at Cheyenne
23	Sports Co	mplex at Pecos and Cheyenne.
24	Q	And approximately how far away is that?
25	А	Under two miles.

1	Q	So fair to say you responded fairly quickly?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Tell me about what happened when you arrived.
4	А	When I arrived I came to the southern entrance to
5	Van's Tra	iler Oasis and was greeted by Daniel Plumlee, a
6	resident	at the complex.
7	Q	Is that the gentleman that just left?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	I want to show you State's Exhibit 4. Would this be
10	sort of i	f we were proceeding in this direction, that would
11	have been	the direction you came from?
12	А	Correct.
13	Q	Is that your patrol vehicle?
14	А	It is not.
15	Q	But you came in this way and you're greeted by Mr.
16	Plumlee?	
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	What if anything did Mr. Plumlee say or do?
19	А	Mr. Plumlee quickly told me that the
20		MR. YANEZ: I'm going to object as to any hearsay,
21		MR. SCHWARTZ: It's for the effect on the listener,
22	Your Hond	or, not for the truth of the matter asserted.
23		THE COURT: Can you approach? I'd like to know what
24	it is tha	t he said to see if it whether it could be
25	prejudici	al.

1	(Bench conference transcribed as follows)
2	MR. SCHWARTZ: There's two reasons [inaudible] of
3	what [inaudible] right now [inaudible] it's being [inaudible]
4	to show
5	THE COURT: You're anticipating him saying anything
6	that's inconsistent with what Mr. Plumlee testified to?
7	MS. THOMSON: It's not [inaudible]
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. YANEZ: [inaudible] Mr. Plumlee memorized
LO	THE COURT: The jury has to know how they connected
L1	it to the license plate, right? The jury needs to know how he
L2	got from point A to point B. That's all he's really trying to
L3	establish.
L4	MR. YANEZ: [inaudible]
L5	MS. THOMSON: [inaudible]
L6	THE COURT: I don't see this as prejudicial. It
L7	does help to explain some kind of actions taken by the
L8	officer. I don't see how it's prejudicial. I'm going to go
L9	ahead and allow it.
20	MR. YANEZ: Okay. [inaudible]
21	THE COURT: I don't think it's it's not being
22	introduced for the truth of the matter asserted.
23	MR. YANEZ: Just making my objection.
24	THE COURT: I understand. Thank you.
25	(End of bench conference)

1 2. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

BY MR. SCHWARTZ:

- Q Sir, so again I'll ask my question again. What if anything did Mr. Plumlee say or do to you?
- A Mr. Plumlee said that there had been a shooting, that the suspect had left in a gray Saturn or Land Rover, and he gave me the license plate, which I do not recall but I quickly broadcast over the radio.
- Q When you say broadcast over the radio, what does that mean?
- A I got on my radio, my car radio, and notified dispatch of the plate that Mr. Plumlee had just given me. So that would be recorded in the event details.
 - Q Is that also known as the CAD log?
 - A Correct.
- Q And would looking at that CAD log refresh your recollection as to what the actual license plate was?
 - A It would.
 - MR. SCHWARTZ: May I approach, Your Honor?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
 - MR. SCHWARTZ: For the record, I've shown defense counsel the document I'm going to show the witness.
- 22 BY MR. SCHWARTZ:
 - Q Would you take a look at this, sir, and see if this refreshes your recollection as to what the license plate was that Mr. Plumlee provided.

1	A Yes, it's right here. It's PH96679 with a Nevada
2	plate.
3	Q Thank you.
4	THE COURT: So, jurors, I just want to give you a
5	cautionary instruction. So you just heard testimony from the
6	witness as to what Mr. Plumlee said was the license plate
7	number of the car in which the alleged suspect was leaving in.
8	That testimony is offered not for the truth of the matter
9	asserted. Namely, this witness here is testifying as to what
10	Mr. Plumlee said the license plate was, not for you to know as
11	a matter of fact what the license plate was. It's simply for
12	you to explain or for you to understand what this officer and
13	maybe others did in their investigation. All right? So it
14	just helps put in context what they did next. All right? Go
15	ahead.
16	MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	BY MR. SCHWARTZ:
18	Q Sir, what did you do after you spoke with Mr.
19	Plumlee?
20	A After I spoke to Mr. Plumlee I entered the complex
21	and went to space number 45 where I was told the shooting had
22	occurred.
23	Q Did you, upon arriving at space 45, what did you do?
24	A There was a lot of people outside and they were all
25	telling me that the person who had been shot was inside.

1 MR. YANEZ: Object again. Hearsay, Judge.

MR. SCHWARTZ: At this point, Your Honor, it is going to be offered for the effect on the listener, also as a present sense impression. He's just going in as a result of his understanding of what's being said to him.

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection. Plus, there's been no -- well, I don't need to comment on whether anyone's been shot. I'm simply going to say that the objection's overruled.

MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.

BY MR. SCHWARTZ:

2.

2.2.

O Continue.

A So then I went inside of trailer 45 using the western entrance, climbed up the stairs, and immediately upon entering found a male laying on his left side in a semi-fetal position on the floor. The male had an apparent gunshot wound to his head and there was a lot of blood pooling underneath him.

Q Showing you State's Exhibit 23. Is this what you would see as you enter the front — let me zoom out a little for you — as you entered the front west entrance of that trailer?

A Correct.

Q And can you describe for me where you saw that male with the qunshot wound?

25

Α

Yes. There was also a female present and she was

1	kneeling in between the couch and the coffee table facing the
2	loveseat and she had an apparent gunshot wound to her left
3	hand.
4	Q Could you put a third mark there just where she was?
5	A (Witness complies)
6	Q Thank you. What was her demeanor like?
7	A She was hysterical, crying, and visibly upset.
8	Q So what did you do at this point?
9	A At this point, because I wasn't sure if anyone was
10	still in the trailer other than the two I just encountered, I
11	had asked her to leave. She exited the same door that I came
12	into, and instructed her to sit outside. I waited for other
13	units to arrive so that I could clear that trailer, making
14	sure no other suspects or persons were inside.
15	Q Did you have her sit sort of outside under an
16	awning?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Showing you State's Exhibit 8. She's sitting there?
19	A Correct, in the chair that's sitting there.
20	Q Once you were able to sort of get her situated, did
21	you have a chance to speak with her at this point or did you
22	speak with her after you cleared the trailer?
23	A I spoke with her after I cleared the trailer and
24	after medical personnel had arrived.
25	Q So walk me through what you did to clear the

trailer.

2.

2.2.

A I waited for my partner to get there, Officer
Rodriguez arrived, and him and I basically split the trailer
in two. He went towards the southern end of the trailer; I
went towards the northern end. We basically search anywhere a
person could hide in the trailer; bedrooms, cabinets, things
like that. So I ended up coming through the kitchen and then
through the rear bedroom to the northern end of the trailer.

- Q And what if anything or anyone did you find at this point?
 - A I did not find any other people inside the trailer.
 - Q Did you find any animals?
 - A I did. There was two dogs inside of the trailer.
- Q Did Mr. Rodriguez, Officer Rodriguez locate anyone else in the trailer?
 - A He did not.
- Q Okay. Once you cleared the trailer, what did you do next?
- A After that, medical personnel had arrived and they started to treat the male victim that was laying on the ground. I stood by with them while they started to treat him. They made the decision to transport, so the male was taken from the trailer. As soon as he was out, I went outside and began to engage the female in conversation.
 - Q Okay. Again, is she sitting in this chair we're

1 looking at here? 2. Yes. At that time, she was also being tended to by Α 3 medical personnel. Would that be specifically to her hand? 4 5 Α Correct. 6 Again, you mentioned that her demeanor when you 7 first arrived was hysterical. Can you describe for me her 8 demeanor at this point? 9 She was still somewhat hysterical. I would describe 10 it as shock. Not really fully capable of answering all of my 11 questions. 12 What was her breathing like? 0 13 Rapid breathing. Α 14 Was she crying? Q 15 She was crying. Α 16 When you spoke with her, what if anything did she Q 17 tell you? 18 I was able to get her name and her date of birth and 19 the name of the male victim that was laying inside and his 20 date of birth. 21 Is that Mr. Gary Bly? Q 2.2. Correct. And then, she also described her Α 23 relationship with Mr. Bly, stating that he was a former 24 roommate of hers that had moved out the night previously. 25 then, I started to ask her what had occurred this day that

the trailer to make sure only essential personnel were to

25

1	marked patrol vehicle?
2	A Correct.
3	Q Are you in a traditional uniform that we would
4	typically see Metro police officers wearing?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And is that uniform somewhat distinct from, for
7	example, like a paramedic's, what they would wear?
8	A Absolutely. Yes.
9	Q Does it say Metro police on your uniform?
10	A On both of the shoulders it has the Metro police
11	patch, and then on our chest we wear the seven-point star that
12	also indicates Metropolitan Police Department.
13	MR. SCHWARTZ: Court's indulgence.
14	BY MR. SCHWARTZ:
15	Q You described this uniform for us. It's different
16	from the one you're wearing today.
17	A Yes, it is.
18	Q What is this uniform for?
19	A This is my SWAT uniform, where I'm currently
20	assigned.
21	Q Okay. Thank you.
22	A You're welcome.
23	MR. SCHWARTZ: No further questions, Your Honor, at
24	this point.
25	THE COURT: All right. The defense counsel may now

1	cross-exa	mine.
2		CROSS-EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. YA	NEZ:
4	Q	Good morning, Officer.
5	А	Good morning.
6	Q	You indicated that you and another police officer
7	cleared t	railer 45?
8	А	Correct.
9	Q	So it was just you two who did that initially?
LO	А	Initially, correct.
L1	Q	Were there other times besides when you cleared the
L2	trailer t	hat you were inside of that trailer?
L3	А	After I left to speak with her I did not go back in.
L4	Q	So it was just clearing the trailer, you came back
L5	outside,	and never went back in.
L6	А	Correct.
L7	Q	During the time that you and your partner, let's say
L8	for lack	of a better term he was a uniformed police officer
L9	too, righ	t?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	During that time you were clearing the trailer, you
22	didn't re	move any objects from that trailer?
23	А	I did not remove. I did move a stool that the two
24	dogs were	hiding behind because they were basically running
25	back and	forth through the scene. So I ended up putting them

1	in a back bedroom, the northern bedroom of the trailer.
2	Q I'm sorry. Did you say a stool?
3	A There was a stool by the kitchen.
4	Q Okay. So that is the only thing from the scene at
5	trailer 45 that you either touched, moved, did something
6	different to change the scene; is that fair?
7	A Correct.
8	Q And that's the same for your partner. You didn't
9	see him touching, removing anything from the scene when you
10	were clearing the trailer.
11	A I did not see him move anything, no.
12	Q And you described a conversation that you had with
13	the female who was shot.
14	A Yes.
15	Q And you told the jury that she said that someone
16	named Money had shot her?
17	A Yes.
18	Q She didn't tell you anything about Money left his
19	wallet in my trailer, go ahead and pick it up, it will tell
20	you who it is?
21	A Negative. She did not.
22	Q Thank you, sir.
23	A You're welcome.
24	MR. YANEZ: No more questions.
25	THE COURT: Redirect.

1	MR. SCHWARTZ: Thank you.
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. SCHWARTZ:
4	Q Sir, when you were clearing the trailer, as defense
5	counsel just talked to you about, did you observe any firearms
6	within that trailer?
7	A I did not.
8	MR. SCHWARTZ: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank
9	you.
10	MR. YANEZ: Nothing further, Judge.
11	THE COURT: Anything from the jurors? All right.
12	Officer Brosnahan, you're excused. Thank you very much.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	MR. SCHWARTZ: Your Honor, brief indulgence.
15	MS. THOMSON: Judge, I have received a text. Our
16	next witness is at the building but not quite here yet. If we
17	could take just a brief recess for them to get here?
18	THE COURT: Sure. Let's go ahead and take a
19	15-minute break.
20	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm
22	going to give you a 15-minute break. You're admonished not to
23	converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
24	connected with this trial. Do not read, watch, or listen to
25	any report of or commentary on the trial, or any person

connected with the trial by any medium of information. 1 2. form or express any opinion. Don't do any research. Please 3 leave your notes and enjoy a 15-minute recess. Thank you. 4 (Jury recessed at 9:53 a.m.) 5 THE COURT: We're outside the presence of the jury. 6 The Court's in recess. 7 (Court recessed at 9:54 a.m. until 10:15 a.m.) 8 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 9 State v. Chambers, C292987. THE COURT: 10 research on use of audio video testimony has grown to about 15 11 cases so far. I did look at the New Mexico case. The New 12 Mexico case actually -- you know, they ultimately concluded 13 that because the State failed to establish legal 14 unavailability of the witness, they decided not to determine 15 whether cross-examination over Skype was sufficient to fulfill 16 Crawford's requirements, but there are several other cases that did discuss the issue and I need to study them a little 17 18 more carefully. 19 MS. THOMSON: And so the Court is aware, we did get 20 the documentation necessary. The motion has been filed with 21 Your Honor. My understanding is Arizona is prepared to do the 2.2. testimony at 3:00, which is 2:00 here. 23 MR. SCHWARTZ: That's correct. I need to read it and then --24 THE COURT: 25 MS. THOMSON: I understand you haven't ruled on it.

1	I just wanted to kind of give the Court a background so it's
2	not like oh, I can do this over the weekend.
3	THE COURT: No, no. I'll be fully prepared to
4	decide right after lunch. Couple questions. If it does go
5	forward, where is this taking place, at a court reporter's
6	office of is it
7	MR. SCHWARTZ: At the Maricopa County Courthouse.
8	It will be in a courtroom.
9	THE COURT: Is she being detained pending
LO	resolution?
L1	MR. SCHWARTZ: She is not being physically detained.
L2	She's been ordered to be back at 1:00 or, I guess it would
L3	be 2:00 our time.
L4	THE COURT: All right. What happens if she fails to
L5	show up, does she get arrested?
L6	MR. SCHWARTZ: She's in violation of the Court I
L7	attached the Maricopa County court order that directs her to
L8	be there, so she'd be in violation of their court order.
L9	THE COURT: Why did they refuse to order that she be
20	transported here? Was it health reasons? Is that what you
21	said?
22	MR. SCHWARTZ: She recently had a heart attack.
23	THE COURT: How recent is recently?
24	MR. SCHWARTZ: My understanding is within the last
25	couple weeks, but that's just based on what I've been told. I

don't have any proof of that. 1 2. This isn't the argument. I just wanted THE COURT: 3 to get extra information. MR. YANEZ: That part is very important, because 4 5 there's no medical proof of that, it's just the witness saying 6 that. 7 THE COURT: It's the witness saying it. 8 MR. YANEZ: Yes. 9 THE COURT: I just wanted to get a little more 10 background information. 11 MR. SCHWARTZ: She could also provide an explanation 12 to Your Honor prior to actually going under oath -- prior to 13 starting her testimony she could give you sworn testimony 14 about her condition as well. 15 THE COURT: Let me read this and then I'll let 16 counsel oppose it. Have you had a chance to --you probably 17 haven't had a chance to read their motion yet. 18 MR. YANEZ: Not -- I mean, I skimmed it but I'd like 19 an opportunity to obviously read it. 20 THE COURT: All right. When do you want to do that? 21 Do you want to do that -- I'll take your argument like 15 2.2. minutes before lunch and then I'll take my lunch break and 23 consider everything? 24 MR. YANEZ: Well, I'd like -- can I review it over 25 lunch?

1	THE COURT: That would be fine too.
2	MR. YANEZ: Because I want to look at some things
3	like Your Honor's looking at some things.
4	THE COURT: Yeah. All right. Very good. Let's
5	bring the jurors in then. An important case we might want
6	to look at this White case, 116 A3rd 520. It's a Maryland
7	Court of Appeals, 116 A3rd 520. It's a June 2015 case,
8	Maryland. Also looking at the Sixth Amendment confrontation
9	right as it applies to a two-way video conference. Again, 116
10	A3rd 520.
11	(Jury reconvened at 10:19 a.m.)
12	THE COURT: All right. Jury's present. Let's
13	proceed. State may call its next witness.
14	MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor. State calls
15	Detective Bunting.
16	CHRISTOPHER BUNTING, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
17	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Please
18	state your first and last name, spelling for the record.
19	THE WITNESS: Christopher Bunting,
20	C-h-r-i-s-t-o-p-h-e-r, last name, B-u-n-t-i-n-g.
21	MS. THOMSON: May I proceed?
22	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
23	MS. THOMSON: Thank you.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MS THOMSON.

1	Q Good morning, Detective. I'm going to direct your
2	attention back to July 9th of 2013. At that time, what
3	position did you hold with Metro?
4	A I was in homicide.
5	Q And on that date, were you assigned to assist on a
6	call that had occurred at 3610 North Las Vegas Boulevard?
7	A I was.
8	Q As an assisting detective, you're basically taking
9	not orders, but direction from the lead detective; is that
10	fair?
11	A Correct. Yes, ma'am.
12	Q And that's because one person trying to do
13	everything at a scene would take days, where you can get it
14	all done in a few hours if lots of people are doing things; is
15	that fair?
16	A Yes, ma'am.
17	Q On that date, what was your role in this
18	investigation?
19	A I responded to UMC trauma and I went there to obtain
20	documentation in reference to the decedent from CSA Ross
21	Lynch, as well as the coroner investigator, Tiffany Brown, and
22	to conduct any interviews with any witnesses or other victims.
23	Q While you were at the hospital, did you in fact
24	conduct an interview with Lisa Elizabeth Papoutsis?
25	A Yes, ma'am.

1	Q	And do you recall approximately what time that
2	interview	occurred?
3	А	I believe it was around 1210 hours.
4	Q	So a little bit after noon?
5	А	Yes, ma'am.
6	Q	When you came into contact with her, do you recall
7	where she	was generally?
8	А	She was in trauma bed number six.
9	Q	So you recall specifically.
LO	А	I do.
L1	Q	And can you describe her condition when you came
L2	into conta	act with her?
L3	А	She was laying in the hospital bed and she had
L4	sustained	a gunshot wound to her hand.
L5	Q	You did a taped interview with her; is that correct?
L6	А	I did.
L7	Q	And that taped interview, can you approximate how
L8	long it to	ook?
L9	А	Approximately an hour.
20	Q	During that hour, at any time did she seem to be
21	incapable	of answering your questions, sort of answering wrong
22	or just go	oing off on tangents that made no sense?
23	A	No.
24	Q	At any time during that interview, was her demeanor
25	different	than you would anticipate; i.e., you ask her what's

1	your name and she's like you're a horrible person, screaming
2	at you, that kind of thing?
3	A No. She was consistent and obviously in a little
4	bit of pain, but no, nothing out of the ordinary other than
5	that.
6	Q During that interview, did you talk to her about
7	what had happened at the trailer that day?
8	A I did.
9	Q And for purposes of sort of foundation, you
10	confirmed the address being the same one that the incident had
11	occurred that you were involved in the investigation?
12	A Correct.
13	Q When you spoke with her about the incident at the
14	trailer, did she tell you what statements had been made by the
15	suspect when he came into her trailer?
16	A She did.
17	Q And during your conversation with her, did she ever
18	specifically tell you that that suspect had told her to give
19	the suspect her money?
20	A Yes.
21	MR. YANEZ: I'm going to object, Judge. It's
22	irrelevant, it's compound and there was two layers of hearsay
23	I think in there.
24	THE COURT: Well
25	MS. THOMSON: It wasn't compound.

1	THE COURT: prior inconsistent statement.
2	MS. THOMSON: And it was a prior inconsistent
3	statement.
4	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule that.
5	MR. YANEZ: Whose prior inconsistent statement?
6	MS. THOMSON: Lisa's.
7	THE COURT: Ms. Papoutsis' prior statement. It's
8	being offered for that purpose. But it was compound. Why
9	don't you do you still want to assert the compound
10	objection? I can have her break it up.
11	MR. YANEZ: Yes, Judge, please.
12	THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. That's sustained.
13	BY MS. THOMSON:
14	Q You talked to Lisa in the hospital.
15	A I did.
16	Q Lisa told you what statements were made by the
17	suspect.
18	A Correct.
19	Q The statement made by Lisa, that was what the
20	suspect said to her.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Did that include any specific reference to the
23	suspect telling Lisa to give Lisa's money to the suspect?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Okay. Was it phrased obviously a little bit cleaner

1 than that when she said it to you? 2. Yes, ma'am. А 3 When you were speaking with her, at any time did she 4 tell you that it was her impression that on that specific day 5 the defendant or the suspect had come to her trailer to see 6 Gary Bly? 7 Α No. Now, you said part of your goal there at the 8 9 hospital was to document or at least observe while CSA 10 documents any evidence that may exist at the hospital and talk 11 to any witnesses. Is that a fair statement? 12 Yes, ma'am. Α 13 And I believe that you said it was CSA Ross Lynch 14 that responded to the hospital? 15 Yes, ma'am. Α 16 When a CSA is documenting items via photographs, 17 when those are uploaded into OnBase, does it have any sort of 18 notation as to who's updated it or uploaded it? 19 The person that uploads it. Α 20 So it has like their P number? 0 21 Yes, I believe so, it does have their P number. Α 2.2. Q And if you can describe what OnBase is for the 23 ladies and gentlemen of the jury? 24 So OnBase is basically a computer program that 25 allows us to upload documents. So any reports that we have,

2.2.

any photographs that are taken of the scene, of the victim, of
any evidence, it's uploaded in OnBase so that people that are
involved in that case can actually view those documents or the
photographs that were taken by CSA.

- Q So then, photographs that were taken at the trailer 45 and photographs taken from the hospital and photographs taken at an autopsy would all go into this same place and be accessible under the same case number?
 - A Yes, ma'am.
- Q And we talk about a case number. Is that the event number?
 - A It is.
- Q The photographs that were taken by Megan Ross Lynch or CSA Ross Lynch, I'm sorry, those you indicated would have her P number. Were those photos also uploaded with the event number?
 - A They were.
- Q And when she's taking photographs, part of your goal is to know what's going on there so you can convey it back to the lead detective. Is that accurate?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. So you may not be present next to her as she's taking a specific photograph, but you see everything that she documents so that you are able to convey back anything important.

1	A Yes, ma'am.
2	MS. THOMSON: May I approach?
3	THE COURT: Yes.
4	BY MS. THOMSON:
5	Q Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed
6	Exhibits 117 and 118. Do you recognize these as photographs
7	taken by Ms. Ross Lynch at the hospital on that date
8	associated to the case you're here to testify about today?
9	A Yes, ma'am.
10	Q Do these accurately reflect the items obviously that
11	are depicted in them?
12	A They do.
13	MS. THOMSON: Move for admission of State's Proposed
14	Exhibits 117 and 118.
15	THE COURT: Counsel?
16	MR. YANEZ: Submitted, Judge.
17	THE COURT: All right. Then those will be admitted,
18	117 and 118.
19	(State's Exhibit 117 and 118 admitted.)
20	MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Court's
21	indulgence. I'll pass the witness.
22	THE COURT: All right. You're up, Mr. Yanez.
23	CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. YANEZ:
25	Q Good morning, Detective.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	А	Good morning, sir.
2	Q	How long have you been with Metro?
3	А	Approximately 17 years.
4	Q	Okay. So I'm assuming you've worked your way up to
5	detective	c, correct?
6	А	Correct.
7	Q	You started as a patrol officer?
8	А	Yes, sir.
9	Q	Okay. So you've gained a lot of training and
L ₀	experienc	e during those 17 years.
L1	А	Yes, sir.
L2	Q	You've dealt with a lot of different crimes?
L3	А	I have.
L4	Q	Drug-related crimes, you've dealt with those.
L5	А	Yes.
L6	Q	You'd agree with me in your training and experience
L7	in dealin	g with drug crimes people who sell drugs tend to be
L8	armed. I	n other words, they have guns or some type of weapon.
L9		MS. THOMSON: Objection, speculation.
20		MR. YANEZ: Seventeen years of training and
21	experienc	e, Judge.
22		THE COURT: Overruled. I'll let him testify to
23	that.	
24	А	Sometimes. Absolutely.
2.5	BY MR. YA	NEZ.

1	Q	The reason they do is they're trying to protect
2	their dru	gs, right?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	The money that they're making from the drugs.
5	A	Yes, sir.
6	Q	Now, when you conduct your investigation,
7	specifica	lly in this case, you're depending on the people you
8	interview	, these witnesses, to tell you the truth, right?
9	А	Yes, sir.
LO	Q	You don't want to receive bad or incorrect
L1	informati	on, do you?
L2	А	I do not want to receive bad information, no.
L3	Q	Okay. Because that could lead your investigation in
L4	the wrong	direction.
L5	А	Yes, sir.
L6	Q	Also, you want the person the person you're going
L7	to interv	iew, you want that person to be physically and
L8	mentally	able to do an interview, right?
L9	A	Yes, absolutely.
20	Q	And you determine this by interacting with the
21	witness t	hat you're interviewing.
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	The way they respond to your questions.
24	А	Consistency.
25	Q	Body language.

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And as I just want to make clear as you testified
3	a little	bit earlier, you didn't get any feeling that Lisa
4	Papoutsis	was unable to do an interview, right?
5	А	No.
6	Q	She was competent to do the interview?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	She was mentally okay to do the interview?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	And, of course, if you had any doubt as to that you
11	would hav	e questioned her about it, right?
12	А	Yes, or I wouldn't have held the interview.
13	Q	It's also true that sometimes when you do interviews
14	of witnes	ses they might be hesitant to tell you things because
15	they thin	k they're going to be in trouble for something else.
16	А	Absolutely.
17	Q	Okay. For instance, if you're investigating crime A
18	and maybe	they're involved in crime B, they might be hesitant
19	to tell y	rou that?
20	А	Yes, sir.
21	Q	In this case, though, you made it pretty clear to
22	Lisa that	you were only interested in the murder
23	investiga	tion, right?
24	А	I was interested in what took place at that
25	during th	e incident.

1	Q R	Right. And you didn't care for anything that wasn't
2	related to	that, right?
3	A W	Well
4	Q M	Maybe that was a bad question.
5	A Y	Yeah. I don't know that I understand what you mean
6	by that.	
7	Q L	et me clarify that. I apologize. When it came up
8	with your d	discussion with Lisa, the issue of drugs came up,
9	correct?	
10	A I	hey did.
11	Q C	okay. And you told her, "I have bigger fish to fry
12	than that,"	right?
13	A R	Right.
14	Q S	So you made it clear to her that the drug issue you
15	weren't con	ncerned about, you're doing the homicide
16	investigati	on and that's what you were concerned about. You
17	made that c	clear to her, right?
18	A I	That's a little out of context, I would say, in the
19	way that yo	ou're framing it. In the sense that almost
20	everybody t	that we deal with or a good majority of the people
21	that we dea	al with typically is drug-related, and sometimes
22	they're con	ncerned about giving their involvement in those type
23	of activiti	es or what have you, so they kind of skirt around
24	those issue	es. And we make it clear that in this incident that

that's not the main purpose for our investigation. So in that

1 sense, yes. 2. Let's put it in an even more detailed context. 3 this case you told Lisa you felt she was leaving something 4 out, right? 5 Yes, I did. Α 6 0 You told her that on at least two occasions during 7 the interview, right? 8 I don't recall exactly how many, but that's probably 9 consistent, yes, sir. 10 And after one of those times that you told her that 11 you thought she was leaving something out, the subject of 12 drugs came out, right? 13 Yes, it did. Α 14 Okay. And that's when you told her, look, I don't 0 15 care about that, I've got bigger fish to fry. 16 Α Yes. 17 And that is the context, right? Does that properly 18 set the context, as far as your memory? 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 Now, in your interview of Lisa, she Thank you. 21 never told you anything about the person who she believed was 2.2. the suspect in this case. She never told you that that 23 person's wallet was left behind at her trailer, right? 24 Α I don't believe so, no.

25

0

Never mentioned anything about a wallet belonging to

2.2.

A I don't know that she knew that or not.

Q That's not my question. My question is: Did she tell you anything related to a wallet belonging to Gary Chambers?

A I don't believe so.

Q Now, sir, you're familiar with something, a program that's run by the State of Nevada called the Victims of Crime Program?

A Familiar with it.

Q Okay. As part of your duties as a police officer, don't you sometimes have to fill out documentation regarding the Victims of Crime Program?

A Occasionally, but pretty rarely.

Q Okay. But that's part of your duty as a police officer, correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. And that State of Nevada Victims of Crimes Program, that's something that gives financial assistance to people who are perhaps or alleged to have been victims of crimes, right?

A Correct.

Q And there's certain requirements in order for that person to receive financial assistance, money, from the State of Nevada; is that right?

1	А	I'm not that familiar with that program. We were
2	asked to	give information to other individuals, so I don't
3	know what	those requirements are or what they consist of.
4	Q	Okay. Have you ever had to fill out paperwork in
5	regards to	o that?
6	А	I believe once or twice for victims.
7	Q	Okay. And it's a Police Report Verification Form,
8	correct?	
9	А	Once again, sir, I don't I haven't had enough
10	interaction	on to know that much about that program, so.
11	Q	You're one of the lead detectives in this case,
12	correct?	
13	А	I'm an assisting detective, I wasn't the lead in it.
14	Q	Okay. But you were involved in the investigation of
15	this case,	, right?
16	A	I assisted the primary detective, yes, sir.
17	Q	And you're aware that in this case there was a
18	Police Rep	port Verification filled out for Lisa Papoutsis?
19	A	Not until you just said that, and I remember at
20	least.	
21	Q	Okay. Did you ever speak to a Detective Raetz?
22	A	Raetz is in homicide, so, yes, I have.
23	Q	Okay. And you discussed the investigation of this
24	case with	Detective Raetz, right?
25	А	I imagine I did, yes, sir.

1	Q Okay.
2	MS. THOMSON: Can we approach?
3	(Bench conference transcribed as follows)
4	THE COURT: What's the issue?
5	MR. YANEZ: It wasn't filled out by this police
6	officer [inaudible] it's a public record [inaudible] hearsay,
7	it's relevant [inaudible] NRS [inaudible] police officer
8	conducting investigations and their findings pursuant to
9	investigations are admissible [inaudible]. So I'm seeking
10	[inaudible]
11	THE COURT: First of all, as to admissibility, it is
12	separate from whether this witness can talk about it. What's
13	your
14	MS. THOMSON: I would expect it should be required
15	to be certified.
16	THE COURT: Want to take a look?
17	MR. YANEZ: [inaudible]
18	THE COURT: Well, it's hearsay unless it comes in on
19	an exception, so she's looking at the exception
20	MR. YANEZ: I didn't know [inaudible]. I don't want
21	to
22	THE COURT: Good point. I understand. I think it
23	applies. Take a quick look.
24	MS. THOMSON: [inaudible]
25	THE COURT: That's a good point. Public official or

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 61

1 public agency. 2. MR. YANEZ: [inaudible] 3 THE COURT: I have the dot gov designation. [inaudible] statute [inaudible] 4 MS. THOMSON: 5 pursuant to the investigation [inaudible] circled back and 6 took the information but it's not part of the investigation. 7 MR. YANEZ: [inaudible] official capacity. 8 THE COURT: So it's one, two, or three. It's 9 activities of the agency, matters observed. I guess what we 10 need to make sure it doesn't have hearsay within hearsay. 11 there a statement of --12 MR. YANEZ: This form was completed by Detective 13 Raetz in his capacity. 14 THE COURT: The detective. 15 MS. THOMSON: What does it say? 16 THE COURT: Let's take a look at it. Let me take a 17 look. Well, I mean, this is two hearsays here. The first 18 exception to the hearsay document is 155. Then the internal 19 layer of hearsay, the victim's prior statement is inconsistent 20 with what she said here on the bench or on the witness stand, 21 so it's -- this is admissible as a prior inconsistent 2.2. statement. I'm going to go ahead and allow it. All right? 23 Let's go. 24 (End of bench conference) 25 MR. YANEZ: Judge, at this point, based on our

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1	discussion, I move for admission of Defense Proposed Exhibit
2	н.
3	THE COURT: It will be admitted subject to the
4	discussion on the bench conference.
5	(Defendant's Exhibit H admitted.)
6	MR. YANEZ: Thank you.
7	BY MR. YANEZ:
8	Q Detective, I want to show you this exhibit, Defense
9	Exhibit H, and see if it refreshes your memory as to some of
10	the things that a police officer will investigate and fill out
11	in the State of Nevada Victim of Crime Program. Did that make
12	sense? I know it was long-winded.
13	A Okay.
14	MR. YANEZ: Permission to approach, Judge?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	BY MR. YANEZ:
17	Q Just take a look at that, sir. Let me know when
18	you're done.
19	A Okay.
20	Q Have you had an opportunity to look at that?
21	A I have.
22	Q Okay. Did that refresh your memory on kind of some
23	of the things you as a detective or the police officers would
24	require for an alleged victim to apply for this program?
25	A Yes.

	II	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
	П	1

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

Q Okay. And one of the things, of course, and this is -- I'm picking up where we left off where you didn't remember before you saw this document, one of the things is the person must report a crime, right?

A Once again, sir, I don't know all the requirements. So just to clarify how this works is the victim advocate — I can't recall the name of the agency, but I think it's a Lynn Green typically that I've dealt with in the past a couple times. She provides paperwork for those individuals, so we don't know the requirements of all that. They ask us for information, we provide whatever information they're asking for, and those decisions are made by them, not by us. So if they ask us for info, we give them whatever they're asking for. That's about our involvement in that.

- Q I understand. And this document that I showed you, this was completed by Detective Raetz, right?
 - A Correct.
- Q And he was one of the detectives that investigated this case, correct?
 - A Correct.
- Q So my questions are geared more towards your general understanding based on now reviewing this document and it refreshing your memory. If you're telling me it doesn't refresh your memory, that's fair enough.
 - A All I'm stating is I don't know -- you're asking

what the requirements are. I don't know the requirements. 1 2. All I know is when they come and they ask for information, we 3 provide that information. And then, they're the ones who make 4 that decision. 5 Doesn't this document tell you what the required 6 information is? 7 I can look at it and list off what those Α 8 requirements are. I didn't memorize the whole form. 9 THE COURT: Do you have any independent knowledge of what the requirements are or do you need to look at the --10 11 would your knowledge be simply based upon what you see in the 12 document? 13 THE WITNESS: It would be what I see on that 14 document. So I look at the questions that are on there and I 15 would answer those questions and provide that form back. 16 memorizing all the questions and the requirements, I have no 17 I can read them off, certainly, if you'd like. 18 BY MR. YANEZ: 19 Well, let me have you re-review it, take a look at 0 it again. I'm just going to ask you general questions about 20 21 it. Okay? 2.2. Α Okay.

23

24

2.5

Q

Α

Q

Okay.

Take your time. Let me know when you're done.

So, let me just ask you some general questions.

1	That's the form that would be filled out and submitted to the
2	State of Nevada in an attempt to get money for the alleged
3	victim, right?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And that document is titled Police Verification Form
6	or something to that extent?
7	A State of Nevada Victims of Crime Program, Police
8	Report Verification.
9	Q Okay. And maybe it will be easier this way. The
10	questions that are asked for the police to fill out, go ahead
11	and start from the actually, I don't want to
12	A Skip the date and things of that nature?
13	Q Let me get this from you. If you need to refresh
14	your memory again
15	MS. THOMSON: Your Honor, at this point it's in
16	evidence. Why don't we just read it from the document.
17	MR. YANEZ: That's what I was going to do.
18	MS. THOMSON: But asking questions, it's taking it
19	back and forth and asking the detective here, you've looked at
20	this that you've seen twice in your career, I want you to
21	memorize it, I'm going to ask you why don't we just have
22	the detective read it into the record.
23	THE COURT: I agree with that.
24	MR. YANEZ: It's my cross-examination, so I'm going
25	to ask him the questions. I just I gave him another

1	opportunity to review it in case he forgot. I didn't want to	
2	be unfair.	
3	THE COURT: All right.	
4	BY MR. YANEZ:	
5	Q Sir, the questions that are asked are were charges	
6	filed or an arrest made, right?	
7	A That is correct.	
8	Q It says did the victim cooperate with police.	
9	That's one of the questions, right?	
10	A Yes.	
11	${ t Q}$ And the other question is was the victim innocent of	
12	wrongdoing, right?	
13	A Correct.	
14	MR. YANEZ: I have nothing further, Judge.	
15	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. Let's do	
16	redirect.	
17	MS. THOMSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
19	BY MS. THOMSON:	
20	Q You were asked a question there at the end if that	
21	form is and I don't remember the exact language, but	
22	basically the agency trying to get money for the victim. Is	
23	that form an instigation of a request for money for the	
24	victim?	
25	A I don't once again, I'm trying I'm not trying	

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT 67

2.2.

to be vague, but it's not my agency, it's not my role as the homicide detective to get or do or anything in relation to the victim advocate office. So what their purpose is in those forms is to provide obviously assistance to that victim. But what type of assistance and the different types each individual receives, I honestly cannot answer that question. I don't know.

- Q How long have you been a detective with Metro?
- A I've been on the department for 17 years. In that time, as a detective, at least 10.
- Q And so, of those 17 years, 10 where you're probably more likely to come into contact with that paperwork. You said you've only done two; is that fair, that you remember?
- A Well, I don't want to put a specific number on it, but I will say that I don't deal with it that often. And once again, my interaction with that paperwork is here's a form, can you answer these questions for us. I fill out those questions, which you saw is maybe six or seven, and I give it back and that's it. I don't we don't really interact in that way. So it's a completely separate entity from us.
- Q Okay. So what I'm understanding from what you're saying is that someone gives this to you and asks you to fill it out, you don't go seek it out so you can fill it out to give to somebody.
 - A No, not at all.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

So the question was correct or -- sorry, it was a badly phrased question. Do you see it out or is it given to you and requested to be returned?

It is given to me to fill out and return. We don't seek out assistance for our victims. As a homicide detective, I have nothing to do with that. That's a process that's outside of the homicide office and people come to us. don't initiate it. I don't even follow up on it. something that has nothing to do with us other than the requesting information from us.

Just for clarification. As a homicide detective you 0 will sometimes have victims associated to crimes that are not in fact deceased; is that fair?

Correct. Α

Okay. So it's not just that you're not seeking out help for people who are deceased.

Correct. А

You had indicated at the beginning of cross-examination that if you had sensed any inability of Ms. Papoutsis to answer questions or respond appropriately, sort of interact with you in an understandable way, that you would have held the interview. I just want to clarify because there's sort of two interpretations to that statement. Does that mean you still would have gone forward or you would have delayed it until she was able to respond to you appropriately?

	Τ
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	口

	A	So ther	e are	on occ	casion	times	when]	people	are r	not
able	to gi	ive us a	state	ement.	We at	tempt	to ob	tain th	ıat	
state	ement,	, but be	cause	for va	rious	reasc	ns, th	eir con	ditic	n,
pain,	medi	ication,	all t	those t	hings	, we w	ould s	top and	l come	5
back	and c	gain tha	t stat	tement	at a :	later	time.	Otherw	rise,	the
infor	rmatio	on is ki	nd of	useles	ss.					

- Q You were asked about your training and experience, if in your experience drug dealers often carry weapons. Do you recall sort of that line of questioning?
 - A I do.
- Q And in your experience what is the reason for drug dealers to carry weapons?
- A Defense, if people are going to rob them. There's a lot of what we call dope rips, so people that are involved in drugs, other people that are aware of that, they come over to steal their drugs or to rob them for their drugs and/or money.
- Q And the experience you have, I don't know if it would sort of go out this far, so if you don't know the answer, that's perfectly acceptable. But in the time that you have been with Metro, the 17 years, do you have a sense of approximately how much say five grams of meth would cost?
 - A I don't.

MS. THOMSON: Pass the witness.

THE COURT: Do you have recross?

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

1 BY MR. YANEZ: 2. Sir, I just have one last question as to Defense 3 Exhibit H, and I'm just going to read it to you. If you don't believe me or you want to double check it, I'll obviously 4 5 present it to you. Detective Raetz signed this form, right? 6 Α Yes, he did. 7 And right above his signature this statement is 8 contained, "I'm a law enforcement official familiar with the 9 facts of the crime referred to above, " right? 10 Correct. А 11 MR. YANEZ: Nothing further, Judge. Thank you, 12 Detective. 13 THE COURT: All right. Anything from the jurors? 14 Make sure you have your name and your juror number on it. 15 Very good. Marshal, we have a juror question, juror number 16 seven. Counsel, would you please approach? 17 (Bench conference transcribed as follows) 18 MR. YANEZ: [inaudible] 19 MS. THOMSON: [inaudible] 20 THE COURT: So you guys agree to give number one, not number two. Okay. Thank you. Appreciate it. 21 2.2. (End of bench conference) 23 THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to ask you one 24 of these questions here. Detective, this is from one of the 25 jurors. Please direct your answer to them. Did you make it

1 2.

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

clear the police wanted information simply for the purpose of investigation on a homicide, not to pursue her on drug charges?

If I'm understanding what you THE WITNESS: No. mean by that question, no.

THE COURT: I can't interpret it. I'll ask the parties if they want to follow up on that, you have a right to do that. State first.

BY MS. THOMSON:

So, let me try kind of rephrasing the question, see if it changes the answer. When you were talking to Lisa Papoutsis, at any point did you give her the impression that you were there to investigate whether or not she was a drug dealer, at least from your perspective, obviously?

Α The purpose of the investigation was to No. investigate the homicide. That doesn't preclude that other information coming from that isn't pertinent. Say, for instance, motivation for a robbery or the cause of the homicide itself. So all the peripheral information that comes from that is important to us because it gives us a better understanding of why somebody was there at the scene, and maybe a background as to what their motive was for committing that crime. So, everything is pertinent. There are just some things -- my point was that some things are more important than others. Even though you may -- the individual might be

concerned about getting in trouble about certain things, well, that may happen. But this is a bigger issue that we're facing right now, and that's the context of my comments to her.

Q In an investigation or specifically this investigation, would there have been a differentiation in how you treated her in terms of criminal conduct if she had made a statement like oh, I've sold a sack of weed versus yeah, I had 20 pounds of meth delivered that morning?

A Well, she's still a victim of a crime. So what happened previous to that is important to me, because once again, it tells that complete story. But it doesn't negate the fact that she's a victim of a crime. And sometimes people in those situations are hesitant to be completely honest with you or tell you the whole circumstances because they're afraid they're going to get in trouble. So it's important for us as investigators in those situations to let them know that you're still a victim of a crime. Just because you're not a perfect person doesn't negate the fact that you are a victim of a crime. So we need to know all of the truth all the way through because that tells us the complete story.

Q Let me ask sort of this way. If the criminal conduct that was relevant to being victimized was severe enough, would you potentially share that information with other —

A Absolutely. And have many times.

1	Q	Okay. So, for example, if she had said, and maybe
2	five pound	ds isn't enough, but some like, I had a semi-trailer
3	delivered	of meth, that would have been something that it
4	would be	severe enough that you might pass it on.
5	А	Right. Her being a victim of a crime does also not
6	negate the	e fact that she's committing a crime.
7	Q	Okay. That's what I was trying to ask, and I
8	apologize	
9	А	Sorry, I misunderstood.
10		THE COURT: Sometimes victims become suspects.
11		THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Frequently. Go ahead.
12		MR. YANEZ: Thank you. Counsel, page 19 to 20.
13	BY MR. YAI	NEZ:
14	Q	Detective, I just want to read to you a back and
15	forth tha	t you had with Lisa when we were talking about that
16	bigger fi	sh to fry.
17	А	Correct.
18	Q	That touches upon the question that the juror had.
19	А	Right.
20	Q	And I'm going to read it to you. If you don't
21	remember,	you doubt
22	А	Please do.
23	Q	my reading of it, I can show it to you. Okay?
24	At the bo	ttom, the second question to the last. This is you
25	talking.	"All right. All right. Look, here's the thing. I

1	mean, Money's calling you and you've seen him a couple of
2	times. I just feel like you're kind of leaving something out.
3	Like, what's the connect between you and Money?" Her answer:
4	"Drugs." Your follow-up question: "All right. Prescription
5	pills? Okay. So he provided you dope and stuff, correct?
6	Okay. I'm not here to take you to jail for because you
7	prescription meds. Okay? You know what I'm saying? But
8	we've got bigger fish to fry." Do you remember that back and
9	forth?
10	A Absolutely.
11	Q Thank you.
12	MR. YANEZ: Nothing further, Judge.
13	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, jurors. Is there
14	anything else? Think we can leave it at that then? All
15	right. Detective, thank you very much for your time. You may
16	step down. Have a good day.
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18	THE COURT: All right. What would the State like to
19	do next? Do you have a witness?
20	MS. THOMSON: I believe that David Johnson should be
21	here.
22	THE COURT: Let's go check.
23	DAVID JOHNSON, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
24	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. State
25	your first and last name, spelling it for the record.

1 THE WITNESS: My name is David Johnson. D-a-v-i-d, 2. J-o-h-n-s-o-n. 3 MS. THOMSON: May I proceed? 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MS. THOMSON: Thank you. 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. THOMSON: 8 Good morning, sir. How are you employed? 9 I am currently working as a forensic scientist II, 10 working for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department 11 Forensic Laboratory in the latent print section. 12 And what training and education did you go through 13 to achieve that position? 14 I have a bachelor's degree in biology from the 15 University of California Riverside. Upon being hired at Metro 16 or Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, I went through an 17 extensive training program that involved numerous competency 18 tests, as well as proficiency tests to get me ready for case 19 In addition to that, I've done continuing education, 20 whether at conferences or week-long classes. I'm also a 21 member of the International Association for Identification 2.2. through which I'm a certified latent print examiner. I've also published in the Encyclopedia of Forensic Science. 23 24 Can you describe the process, when you're examining 25 an unknown print, what do you do when you receive a print and

someone, a detective asks can you figure out to whom this belongs?

2.2.

A Yes. So if you look at the surface of your hands, they're kind of covered with these lines and ridges. That's what a fingerprint is, is the friction ridge skin that's on the surface of your hands. And when you touch something you can leave behind an impression of those ridges. Kind of like a stamp leaves behind an impression when you put that down on paper. And usually, if it's an unknown sample where we don't know who it belongs to where someone touches either an item of evidence or something at a crime scene, that's what's called a latent print. Generally, we can't see it. It has to be processed either by some chemical or physical means to be able to see that latent print.

Then we have known prints or known fingerprints.

And that would be when we know who the person is and we take a controlled recording of their skin. So we roll their prints, either using a live scan machine or with ink. Then we conduct a visual examination between say a latent print and a set of known prints. And we're looking for various details. We're looking for like pattern, how the ridges kind of flow, what shape they make. We're looking for individual characteristics, like where those lines start and stop. And even we'll look at things like, you know, the shape of edges or the actual angles and shapes of things, and we'll compare

those latent prints to known prints.

2.

2.2.

And if we find that there's significant agreement between say a latent print and a set of known prints, we can make an identification where we say that that latent print was made by the same person that made the set of known prints. If we can't find any agreement, we're finding things that just don't agree or there's no similarities between the two, we can say that the -- we can exclude that person as the source of the latent print.

Also, we can — if we don't have enough information one way or another, that comparison could be incomplete where it's possible that the friction ridge skin either came from a part of the palm that maybe wasn't recorded in their known prints. So then, we would just say that that comparison was incomplete, we need better exemplars in order to be able to finish. We don't know one way or another whether or not that person left that print.

Q And you indicated I think that there are times that you receive prints on lift cards, there are times that you're lifting the print yourself off of an item. Is that a correct statement?

A Yes.

Q Talking about when you're receiving prints off of lift cards, can you describe generally what it is you receive from evidence when you're asked to compare a print from a lift

card?

2.

2.2.

A Yes. Our crime scene analysts will submit latent print packets. They'll submit that to our evidence vault where it's placed in secure storage. We'll then go to that secure storage area, retrieve those latent print packets, transfer them using our electronic chain of custody to ourselves and where we will examine them. A lift card is typically a three by five piece of paper where the crime scene analyst has placed a piece of tape where they've lifted the print off of a surface. Or they can submit photographs where they've taken a picture of the latent print on a surface.

Q Showing you what's been previously marked as State's Exhibit 92, would this be an example of a piece of tape that you would receive on a lift card?

A Correct. They would remove that piece of tape from the rearview mirror in this case, place it on a piece of paper. They would label it with where that lift came from, and then submit that in a latent print packet to the forensic lab.

Q And specifically, were you asked to compare some lifts or photographs from trying to lift in a case from July 9th of 2013?

- A Yes, I was.
- Q And did that event number specifically end in 1392?
- A It did.

Q When you were asked to make those comparisons, did you have a list of possibly known fingerprints, including Gary Chambers, Gary Bly, and Lisa Papoutsis?

A Yes, I did.

Q During your examination of those prints, the lift card that you get that has that piece of tape on it, does it also have an associated sort of description of where it came from so you know what it is that you're working on?

A Yes. The crime scene analyst will label the print with the location information, as well as the event number that was mentioned, as well as like their information of their name and P number of who lifted the print.

Q And the prints that you were asked to examine in this case, were those all lifted and basically booked into evidence by CSA Nemcik?

A Yes, they were.

Q When you were asked to compare the prints that associated to lifts that were detailed as coming from a vehicle, did you find any of those lifts matching any of the three individuals I listed earlier?

A Yes, I did.

Q And can you describe who that individual was and which lifts it was that matched to that individual?

A The individual that was identified to several of the latent prints from that case was Gary Chambers. One of those

that Amy put on that lift; is that correct?

A Yes. I believe there were — there was another lift card from the hatchback or the hatch of the rear part of the car that was also identified. There were two latent prints on that card that was identified to the left middle and left ring finger of Gary Chambers. I believe there's one other lift card, if I could refer to my report.

- Q Would it help refresh your recollection?
- A It would.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

- Q And did you prepare that at or near the time of your examination of the prints?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Okay. Go ahead.
- A Yes. The other lift card that Gary Chambers was identified to was from the passenger side of the hood of the Saturn Vue.
- Q With regard to Gary Bly and Lisa Papoutsis, did you in any of the prints that you were asked to compare find any matches to them?
- A No. I was not able to identify either of those two individuals to any of the lift cards that I compared.