1	IN THE SUPREME C	OURT O	F THE STATE	E OF NEVADA
2				-
3	MELVYN SPROWSON,	)	No. 73674	Floatronically Filed
4	Appellant,	)		Electronically Filed May 02 2018 04:33 p.m
5	.,,	)		Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
6	V.	)		Olone of Supreme Source
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	)		
8	Respondent.	)		
9		)		
10	APPELLANT'S APPE	ENDIX V	<u>OLUME X PA</u>	<u>GES 2006-2255</u>
11	PHILIP J. KOHN		STEVE WOL	FSON
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street		Clark County	District Attorney venue, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		Las Vegas, No	evada 89155
14	Attorney for Appellant		ADAM LAX	
15			Attorney Gen 100 North Car Carson City	rson Street Nevada 89701-4717
16			(702) 687-353	Nevada 89701-4717 88
17			Counsel for R	espondent
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

## INDEX MELVYN SPROWSON Case No. 73674

1

2	MELVYN SPROWSON Case No. 73674
3	Affidavit of Defense's Investigator filed 03/21/17
4	Affidavit of The Honorable Stefany A. Miley filed 01/23/17
5	Affidavit to Disqualify Judge Stefany A. Miley for Bias and Misconduct filed 01/31/17
7	Affidavit to Disqualify Judge Stefany A. Miley for Bias and Misconduct filed 02/07/17
8	Affidavit to Reconsider Disqualifying Judge Stefany A. Miley for Bias and Misconduct Date of Hrg: 02/24/17
10	Affidavit to Reconsider Disqualifying Judge Stefany A. Miley for Bias and Misconduct filed 02/28/17
11	Amended Criminal Complaint filed 12/09/13
12	Application for Ex Parte Order to Extend Time in which to File the Pre-Trial Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 02/18/14
13	Bail Bond filed 05/05/14
14	Clerk's Certificate and Order Dismissing Appeal filed 12/02/15
15	Criminal Complaint filed 11/05/13
16	Decision and Order filed 08/28/15
17 18	Defendant's Motion to Continue Jury Trial Date of Hrg: 06/02/14
19	Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 09/08/14
20	Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date filed 07/06/15
21	Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg:: 06/06/16
22	Defendant's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 03/30/17 1124-1127
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>	Defendant's Second Pro Se Motion to Continue Trial Date of Hrg: 04/10/17
25	District Court Minutes from 01/15/14 through 06/26/17
26	Ex Parte Application for Court Approval of Payment of
27	Specific Categories of Ancillary Defense Costs Date of Hrg: 06/01/15
28	

1	Ex Parte Application for Expert Witness and Investigator And Funding Therefor filed 12/09/16	a-964f
2	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 05/22/14	81-383
3	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 05/22/14	84-386
4	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 09/10/14	19-520
5	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 09/10/14	21-522
6	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 11/17/14	25-526
7 8	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 11/17/14	27-528
9	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 11/17/14	29-530
10	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 11/17/14	31-532
10	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 11/17/14	33-534
12	Ex Parte Motion and Order for Release of Records filed 09/17/15	63-664
13	Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 02/27/17 1083	1-1086
14	Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 05/09/16 83	31-843
15	Information filed 01/13/14	51-254
16	Instructions to the Jury filed 03/31/17	0-1161
17	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed 07/05/17	7-1169
18	Jury List filed 03/23/17	1123
19	Jury List filed 03/30/17	1129
20	Justice Court Minutes from 11/06/13 through 01/08/14	9-20
21	Media Request & Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 11/05/13	. 21-35
22	Media Request & Order Allowing Camera	55.056
23	Access to Court Proceedings filed 01/30/14	55-256
24	Media Request & Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 02/18/14	64-266
25	Media Request & Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 02/18/14	67-269
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Media Request & Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 08/13/15	27-628
28		

1 2	Media Request & Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 10/07/15	701-702
3	Media Request & Order Allowing Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 03/21/17	1103-1104
4	Minute Order filed 05/27/15	576-577
5	Motion for Bail Reduction Date of Hrg: 11/14/13	48-54
<ul><li>6</li><li>7</li></ul>	Motion for Bail Reduction Date of Hrg: 04/14/14	322-344
8	Motion for Disclosure of Non-Public Information filed 11/05/13	36-39
9	Motion for Disclosure of Non-Public Information filed 11/08/13	40-43
10	Motion for Disclosure of Non-Public Information filed 11/14/13	44-45
11	Motion for Disclosure of Non-Public Information filed 12/06/13	59-60
12	Motion for Disclosure of Non-Public Information filed 12/09/13	92-95
13	Motion for Disclosure of Non-Public Information filed 12/23/13	96-97
14	Motion for Discovery filed 12/12/13	62-91
15	Motion for Discovery filed 08/26/14	391-420
16	Motion for Discovery filed 09/20/16	
10	Motion for Discovery filed 09/20/16	859-871
17	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16	
	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction	896-903
17 18	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16  Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis	
17 18 19 20 21	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16  Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis Date of Hrg: 10/12/15	
17 18 19 20	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16  Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis Date of Hrg: 10/12/15  Motion to Proceed Pro Se filed 08/19/15  Motion to Suppress Evidence	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16  Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis Date of Hrg: 10/12/15  Motion to Proceed Pro Se filed 08/19/15  Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 06/01/15  Motion to Suppress Evidence	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16  Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis Date of Hrg: 10/12/15  Motion to Proceed Pro Se filed 08/19/15  Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 06/01/15  Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 10/05/15  Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record filed 07/21/15  Motion to Withdraw the Original Record of Search and Seizure Warrants on File with the District Court	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Motion to Dismiss Misdemeanor Charges for Lack of Original Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16  Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis Date of Hrg: 10/12/15  Motion to Proceed Pro Se filed 08/19/15  Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 06/01/15  Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 10/05/15  Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record filed 07/21/15  Motion to Withdraw the Original Record of Search and Seizure Warrants on File with the District Court	

1	Notice of Change of Hearing filed 04/07/14	321
2	Notice of Change of Hearing filed 09/05/14	491
3	Notice of Change of Hearing filed 09/18/14	523
4	Notice of Change of Hearing filed 10/14/14	524
5	Notice of Change of Hearing filed 10/02/15	700
6	Notice of Change of Hearing filed 10/11/16	895
7	Notice of Contempt of Court and Brady Violation filed 03/21/17	1105-1117
8 9	Notice of Motion and Motion for Clarification Regarding State's Previously Filed Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Victim's Prior Sexual Abuse at Trial Date of Hrg: 10/05/15	671-699
10 11 12	Notice of Motion and Motion for Independent Psychological/Psychiatric Examination of the Complaining Witness Date of Hrg: 09/08/14	438-460
13 14	Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Victim's Prior Sexual Abuse at Trial Date of Hrg: 09/15/14	492-506
15	Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts Date of Hrg: 10/26/15	
16	Notice of Motion and Motion to Continue Date of Hrg: 11/21/13	55-58
17 18 19	Notice of Motion and Motion to Set Conditions of Defendant's Release Upon Defendant Posting Bail Date of Hrg; 05/12/14	365-380
20	Notice of Motion and State's Motion to Revoke Defendant's Bail Date of Hrg: 02/04/15	535-543
21	Notice of Request to Withdraw Original Record of Search and Seizure Warrants filed 12/09/16	909-911
22	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/08/15	703-714
23	Notice Resetting Date and Time of Hearing filed 05/19/17	1165
24	Notice Resetting Time of Hearing filed 03/27/14	320
25 26	Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Defendant's Motion for Bail Reduction Date of Hrg: 04/14/14	345-359
27 28	Opposition to State's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Victim's Prior Sexual Abuse at Trial filed 10/14/14	507-514

1	Order filed 03/13/14
2	Order filed 03/22/17
3	Order filed 06/19/17
4	Order filed 07/05/17
5	Order Denying Defendant's Third Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 04/13/16
6	Order Denying Motion to Disqualify filed 01/24/17
7 8	Order Granting State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts Date of Hrg: 12/10/15
9	Order Scheduling Status Check RE: Trial Readiness filed 11/23/16908
10 11	Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, Motion to Dismiss, and Memorandum of Points and Authorities Date of Hrg: 03/24/14
12	Receipt of Copy of Documents Pertaining to Protected Information and Records from Wells Fargo Bank filed 12/11/15
13 14	Receipt of Copy of Protected Information and Records from Montevista Hospital, Willow Springs Center, Hope Counseling, Desert Behavioral Health, Doctor Robin Donaldson, and Doctor Eugene Ronsenman filed 07/13/15
15 16	Receipt of Copy of Protected Information and Records from Montevista Hospital, Willow Springs Center, Hope Counseling, Desert Behavioral Health, Doctor Robin Donaldson, and Doctor Eugene Ronsenman filed 07/14/15
17 18	Receipt of Copy of Protected Information and Records from Montevista Hospital, Willow Springs Center, Hope Counseling, Desert Behavioral Health, Doctor Robin Donaldson, and Doctor Eugene Ronsenman (Amended) filed 07/17/15
19 20	Receipt of Copy of Protected Information and Records from Vena M. Davis, Mojave Adult, Child & Family Services filed 11/05/15
21	Remittitur filed 12/02/15
22	Reply to Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus
23	and Opposition to Motion to Dismiss Date of Hrg: 04/30/14
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Reply to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Independent Psychological/Psychiatric Examination of the Complaining Witness and Discovery Request for Her Medical/Mental Health Treatment Records filed 10/13/14
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>	Response to State's Motion to Revoke Defendant's Bail Date of Hrg: 02/04/15
28	

1 2	Response to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 11/02/16	4
3	Response to State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Withdraw the Original Record of Search and Seizure Warrants in the District Court Darte of Hrg: 01/04/17	6
5	Response to State's Opposition to Defendant's Third Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 04/13/16	b
6	Request for Rough Draft Transcript filed 09/23/15	0
7	Second Amended Criminal Complaint filed 12/19/13	8
8	Second Request for Rough Draft Transcript filed 02/26/16	0
9	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/26/15 735-74.	2
10	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 09/22/14	7
11 12	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 11/02/16	3
13 14	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Independent Psychological/Psychiatric Examination of the Complaining Witness Date of Hrg: 09/10/14	0
15 16	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Midemeanor Charges for Lack of Jurisdiction Date of Hrg: 11/28/16	7
17 18	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 07/01/15	6
19	State's Opposition to Defendant's Notice of Request to Withdraw Original Record of Search and Seizure Warrants and Defendant's Ex-Parte Application for Expert Witness and Funding Therefor	
20	Ex-Parte Application for Expert Witness and Funding Therefor Date of Hrg: 01/04/17	1
21	State's Opposition to Defendant's Second Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 10/05/15	7
22	State's Opposition to Defendant's Sixth Motion to Continue Trial	0
23 24	Date of Hrg: 05/16/16	ð
25	Date of Hrg: 03/21/17	2
26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Third Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 04/06/16828a-828	h
27	State's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 03/30/17	8
28		

1	Stipulation and Order Regarding Discovery of Child Pornographic Materials filed 09/21/15
2	Substitution of Attorneys filed 11/08/13
3	Supplemental Affidavit of The Honorable Stefany A. Miley filed 02/17/17 1070-1080
5	Supplemental Motion to Affidavit to Reconsider Disqualifying Judge Stefany A. Miley for Bias and Misconduct filed 03/06/17
6	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/19/15
7	Third Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 04/06/16
8	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 10/23/15 743-748
9	Verdict filed 03/31/17
10	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 03/14/14
11 12	Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 03/17/14308
13	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
14 15	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 1 Date of Hrg: 03/21/17
16 17	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 2 Date of Hrg: 03/22/17
18 19	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 3 Date of Hrg:03/23/17
20 21	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 4 Date of Hrg: 03/24/17
22   23	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 5 Date of Hrg: 03/27/17
24 25	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 6 Date of Hrg: 03/28/17
26	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 7
27 28	Date of Hrg: 03/29/17

1	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 8
2	Date of Hrg: 03/30/17
3	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 9
4	Date of Hrg: 03/31/17
5	Recorder's Transcript Arraignment Continued
6	Date of Hrg: 01/29/14
7	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
8	Date of Hrg: 03/19/14
9	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
10	Date of Hrg: 10/28/15
11	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
12	Date of Hrg: 03/08/17
13	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call
14	Date of Hrg: 03/15/17
15	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion for DiscoveryDefendant's Motion for Independent
16	Psychological/Psychiatric Examination of the Complaining Witness Date of Hrg: 09/10/14
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	Defendant's Motion to Continue Jury Trial Date of Hrg: 05/28/14
19	Recorder's Transcript
20	Defendant's Motion to Continue Jury Trial Date Date of Hrg: 07/20/15
21	Recorder's Transcript
22	Defendant's Proper Person Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 11/16/16
23	Recorder's Transcript
24	Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Withdraw the Original Record of Search and Seizure Warrants on File with the District Court
25	Date of Hrg: 01/04/17
26	Recorder's Transcript  Defordent's Pro So Metion for Discovery
27	Defendant's Pro Se Motion for Discovery Date of Hrg: 11/02/16
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Pro Se Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis
2	Defendant's Pro Se Motion to Suppress Evidence State's Motion for Clarification Regarding State's Previously Filed Motion in Limine to
3	Preclude Evidence of Victim's Prior Sexual Abuse at Trial Status Check Date of Hrg: 10/12/15
4	Recorder's Transcript
5	Defendant's Pro Se Motion to Proceed on Appeal in Forma Pauperis
6	Defendant's Pro Se Motion to Suppress Evidence State's Motion for Clarification Regarding State's Previously Filed Motion in Limine to
7	Preclude Evidence of Victim's Prior Sexual Abuse at Trial Status Check Date of Hrg: 10/19/151404-1427
8	Recorder's Transcript
9	Defendant's Second Pro Se Motion to Continue Trial Date of Hrg: 03/21/171613-1645
10	Recorder's Transcript
11	Evidentiary Hearing; Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence Date of Hrg: 07/01/15
12	Recorder's Transcript
13	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 01/15/14
14	Recorder's Transcript
15	Motion Date of Hrg: 04/13/16
16	Recorder's Transcript
17	Motion for Bail Reduction Date of Hrg: 04/14/141261-1263
18	Recorder's Transcript
	Motion for Bail Reduction Date of Hrg: 04/21/14
20	Recorder's Transcript Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
21	Date of Hrg: 04/30/14
22	Recorder's Transcript Petrocelli Hearing
23	State's Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Bad Acts
24	Date of Hrg: 12/10/15
25	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
26	Date of Hrg: 05/24/17
27	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
	Date of Hrg: 06/26/17
28	

1	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion in Limine to Preclude Evidence of Victim's Prior Sexual Abuse at Trial;
2	Defendant's Motion for Discovery; Defendant's Motion for Independent Psychological/Psychiatric Examination of the Complaining Witness
3	Date of Hrg: 11/05/14
4	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Revoke Defendant's Bail
5	Date of Hrg: 02/04/15
6	Recorder's Transcript State's Motion to Set Conditions of Defendant's
7	Release upon Defendant Posting Bail Date of Hrg: 05/12/14
8	Recorder's Transcript
9	State's Opposition to Defendant's Sixth Motion to Continue Trial  Date of Hrg: 05/16/16
10	Recorder's Transcript
11	Status Check: Discovery Date of Hrg: 08/31/15
12	Recorder's Transcript
13	Status Check: Discovery Date of Hrg: 09/21/15
14	Recorder's Transcript
15	Status Check: Faretta Canvass, Resetting of Trial and Defendant's Motion to Proceed Pro Se
16	Date of Hrg: 08/24/15
17	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: New Counsel/Resetting of Trial Date of Hrg: 08/19/15
18	
19	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: PSI Corrections
20	Date of Hrg: 05/31/17
21	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Resetting of Trial Date of Hrg: 07/22/15
22	
23	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Resetting of Trial
24	Status Check: Resetting of Trial Date of Hrg: 11/02/15
25	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
26	Date of Hrg: 11/28/16
27	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
28	Date of Hrg: 02/06/17

1 2	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness Status Check: Investigator
3	Defendant's Pro Per Motion to Withdraw the Original Record of Search and Seizure Warrants on File with District Court
	Date of Hrg: 01/09/17
4	Reporter's Transcript
5	Motion to Continue Preliminary Hearing Date of Hrg: 11/21/1398-107
6	Reporter's Transcript
7	Preliminary Hearing
8	Date of Hrg: 12/30/13
9	Reporter's Transcript Preliminary Hearing Volume II
10	Date of Hrg: 01/08/14
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	

Electronically Filed 1/2/2018 11:51 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

## DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA \* \* \* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) CASE NO. C295158-1
Plaintiff,	) DEPT NO. XXIII
VS.	)
MELVYN PERRY SPROWSON, JR.,	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
Defendant.	)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEFANY MILEY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

## JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

THURSDAY, MARCH 23, 2017

## **APPEARANCES:**

For the State: JAMES SWEETIN, ESQ.

JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant: MELVYN PERRY SPROWSON, Pro Se

MICHAEL R. YOHAY, ESQ.

RECORDED BY MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, THURSDAY, MARCH 23, 2017, 9:50 A.M.
2	* * * *
3	(Outside the presence of the prospective jury panel.)
4	THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Sprowson is here, the
5	State is present. And I believe we have a representative of
6	the Las Vegas Justice Court who brought the records that were
7	subpoenaed by Mr. Sprowson.
8	Mr. Sprowson, did you obtain the items that you
9	need?
10	MR. SPROWSON: It's kind of a hard question. I
11	received some material that our search warrants and it had
12	some of the information that I asked for. But because there's
13	vocabulary differences, I was asking for case names, case
14	numbers. So what I was presenting was event number and search
15	warrant numbers and event times. It does seem to be
16	pertaining to the documents. I don't have my name associated
17	with it, but there's an event number. So I would assume that
18	event numbers would be original search warrant that was filed
19	in my case.
20	THE COURT: Can we let the representative from
21	Justice Court go at this point?

22 MR. SPROWSON: Yes. I'm satisfied with the questions that I've had to ask her. 23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. And State, can we likewise let the Justice Court representative leave?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

2007

MR. SWEETIN: I have no problem with that, Judge. I would just like to make a record.

THE COURT: Ma'am, you're free to go. Thank you. Yes, Mr. Sweetin, sir.

MR. SWEETIN: I'd just like to make a record that

Joanne Patterson. I believe it's P-a-t-t-e-r-s-o-n, from the

Clark County Justice Court was present, did present the

defendant with the records he requested, which would include

the certified copies of the search warrants, which were

previously provided to him. I think he acknowledged that.

And there was also what she referred to as screenshots. That

depicted the time that those particular documents were

screened into the system.

The defendant discussed with her the specifics of the documents and the procedure in the Justice Court for about 30 minutes. And in the course of that discussion she resolved the issue in regards to exactly how certified copies are produced, exactly what those certified copies might look like as they are produced. Then, documents over this time period were actually entered into the system, how that's documented with the screenshots that she was provided. And essentially how those documents are later filed and retrieved, whether it be by case number or associated with the event number of the case. All that was described to the defendant and the defendant had no further questions to my knowledge.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Just a housekeeping matter before
2	we bring the jury in. My staff indicated you guys had kind of
3	worked out or kind of thought through a schedule that perhaps
4	we get a jury this morning, we need to give them the basic
5	admonishments, get them sworn in. And then you and the
6	defendant were going to go through the evidence; is that
7	correct?
8	MR. SWEETIN: That's correct, Judge. I have someone
9	available to bring the evidence in, and I told them I'd call
10	them, depending on how things progressed, sometime around
11	lunchtime.
12	THE COURT: Okay. And you think that will take
13	what, this afternoon, or how long do you think it will take?
14	MR. SWEETIN: I think that might take a bit. I'm
15	not sure how much the defendant's going to want to look at the
16	evidence.
17	THE COURT: Sure. So, should we start openings
18	tomorrow morning or today?
19	MS. BLUTH: The State can do whatever Your Honor
20	would like or whatever Mr. Sprowson would like. I don't
21	know
22	MR. SPROWSON: I do have one issue, if they're
23	finished. The issue I have, and I just want to verify this
24	with the State, do they plan on calling today or
25	tomorrow for testimony evidence?

1 MS. BLUTH: Yes. 2. MR. SPROWSON: Okay. And she's flying out of the 3 area or is she leaving the area or --4 MS. BLUTH: Yes. 5 MR. SPROWSON: Okay. See, the problem I have is, 6 first thing is that she's, according to what I learned 7 yesterday, she's somewhere in Reno attending college; is that 8 correct? 9 MS. BLUTH: I'm not going to talk about where she is 10 or where she isn't. 11 MR. SPROWSON: Because I'm entitled to know where 12 she is to issue a subpoena. 13 MS. BLUTH: If you'd like to issue a subpoena I will 14 serve her with it, but I'm not going to tell you where she 15 lives. 16 MR. SPROWSON: Well, Brady vs. Maryland, I'm allowed 17 to have witness information. Not only that, but here's the 18 problem that I have, Your Honor. If they present testimonial evidence and I'm allowed cross-examination, then 19 20 when their case in chief is finished and I'm ready to do my 21 direct examination of the witness, which, by my questions, not 2.2. the State's, by my question there's exculpatory evidence that 23 will be revealed. Just for the fundamental principle of 24 fairness, I want to know how I'm going to be able to resolve

that issue to have this witness, which is material, which is

25

1 my main witness besides myself in my defense, how am I going 2. to have this witness here? Because I know the statute reads that -- if it's Reno, I heard Reno. Reno I know is at least 3 4 100 miles away from this area. 5 So the statute reads according to the subpoenas that 6 that witness doesn't have to show up. I also read other 7 material that if she's a material witness out of state you can 8 invoke the Uniform Act, but she's not out of state, she's in 9 Reno. So the problem --10 MS. BLUTH: Let me cure a couple of your concerns, 11 Mr. Sprowson, before you go any further. After I have her on 12 direct examination, Mr. Sprowson's going to have the 13 opportunity to cross-examine her. So you will have the full 14 right to ask her any and all questions as soon as I finish 15 with her tomorrow. 16 Direct examination? MR. SPROWSON: 17 MS. BLUTH: I'm going to do a direct examination, 18 and then as soon as I'm done you're going to have the 19 opportunity to cross-examine her. 20 MR. SPROWSON: Cross-examination, I understand, is 21 based upon the State's questions, right? My concern is my 22 direct examination --23 THE COURT: He wants to exceed the scope of direct. 24 MS. BLUTH: Excuse me, Your Honor? 25 THE COURT: He wants to exceed the scope of direct

is what he wants.

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: As long as that's relevant information to this case, I probably don't mind him going outside the scope as long as I find it relevant. If that will help, you know, if that will — I don't want her to have to come back is my point because she's on spring break which is why we kind of were trying to do it this week. I don't want this to interfere with her scholastics. She has a 4.0, she's doing really well, and she does not want to miss any school. So I don't have a problem with him exceeding the direct examination as long as it's pertinent and relevant to this case.

MR. SPROWSON: Your Honor, I want to reserve my direct examination until I present my case in chief, because I also need time to prepare. The State has all the advantages, I have all the disadvantages.

THE COURT: Here's the problem --

MR. SPROWSON: I'm facing five life sentences.

MS. BLUTH: He didn't serve the State with a witness list.

MR. SPROWSON: I don't have an address to serve her. How can I serve her?

MS. BLUTH: No. You did not -- Mr. Sprowson did not notice any witnesses.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's another issue. If it's your witness you're responsible for the financial aspect of

getting them here.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: Say again.

THE COURT: If it's your witness you're responsible for the financial aspect of getting them here. I'm assuming since you've been in the detention center awhile you don't have the resources.

MR. SPROWSON: Right. Correct.

THE COURT: So I don't know how you're going to do it the way you want to do it. You can do it however you want since you're representing yourself. If you can exceed the scope of direct, you can go into anything that is relevant and allowable under the statutes. Obviously, there's limitations on what you can ask her on this kind of case. But you would — should be able to ask most of what you want to ask her. If you want to call her in your case in chief, just be prepared to get her back down here at your expense, sir.

Is there anything else?

MS. BLUTH: Not on behalf of the State, Your Honor.

MR. SPROWSON: Your Honor, this is still an issue for me because, you know, that's — that's a constitutional violation. I have a right — you know, I have more than a right to do a cross—examination. Cross—examination, even if they do allow me, I need time to prepare and to address certain issues in my case that would more than go out of the scope of what they're asking. But, you know, the time that

I'm going to be allowed to prepare has been, again, shortened.

I would like to put my whole case in chief together like
they've had the opportunity to do.

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: You still have to follow the rules. We went over this before. You have to subpoen her properly and you have to provide at your expense, get her here. There's not a mechanism for the opposing party to get her here.

MR. SPROWSON: Why don't I just sign a guilty plea? You guys are not allowing me anything that I'm entitled to.

MS. BLUTH: Excuse me, Mr. Sprowson. Every time Mr. Sprowson does not get his way, he discussed the fact that his rights are being violated. The one right that Mr. Sprowson has completely asked for and has been given is the right to represent himself. Under the right to represent yourself he's still held to the same evidentiary rules as I am. If he wanted to call witnesses and if he wanted to notice witnesses, that was within his right. He did not notice any witnesses. He did not notice Jaysenia Torres.

He will have a full opportunity to cross-examine her. I will allow him to exceed the scope as long as it's relevant to this case. And him saying he hasn't had time, I'm not sure what he's been doing. I mean, it's been almost four years. For him to say that he hasn't had time to prepare a direct or a cross for the main witness, I just don't understand how that could be possible. So there's decisions

that he has made and he has to stick by those decisions.

And no one is violating any constitutional rights simply because he doesn't get his way. You can't throw a fit every time you don't get your way. He's held to the same standards that I am and as Mr. Sweetin is.

THE COURT: Okay. I --

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: Your Honor, I'd just like to make a correction. I've been here not four years. I've been here under three years and I am incarcerated and I do -- I get out, the tower that I'm in, I don't have -- you know, they're assuming that I have like a full workday that they get, that I have access to computers, and I have all the access to the resources that they have.

My biggest problem, and I understand it's a disadvantage representing myself, but again, considering the factors that I have that legal right to represent myself and the fact that it's the jail that is restricting my access to certain things that I need to go ahead and — how can I say, prepare up to speed, according to their standards. I don't have the resources they do, and I'm doing the best that I can with the resources I have and limitations. We're locked up. We get out maybe one to two hours a day at most. We'll get out four hours a day.

And in that time, you know, that's when I have to get my resources. I have to wait and order resources. I get

that maybe once a week. And sometimes, just recently, I have a document and I can show you later, I don't have it with me, where I've tried to order manila envelopes and things and supplies, legal supplies, and I wasn't able to. It was restricted. So I'm trying to figure out, okay, so how am I going to do all this stuff.

2.

2.2.

Then the law library that we have, when we're doing research, after five minutes it times out. Then all that research that you're doing on there and you're trying to get into the case and read the case and get all the information that you need, by the time you start trying to copy all that stuff down, it starts all over again. And then you've got to go back in there, you've got to go back into the system, and it takes awhile.

What I'm talking about is I have been trying to prepare for this trial, and I've been trying to get things together with the resources that I have. I am very, very restricted. I understand I chose to represent myself and I take that burden, but the problem is I'm just asking for just some — how can I say — leeway on the fact that these limitations I've had to face since I've been in here. And I haven't been here four years. I was here six months, I got out nine months, and I've been back two years and one month. So it's been two years and one month. That whole time I didn't have my case in my hands. I didn't start my case

until --

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, we do need to get a jury unless — let me just make a quick record. I agree with both of you. I agree with the defendant in that there are limitations obviously to represent yourself, especially if you're in the detention center. But we've provided you with the resources you've requested as far as the investigator, et cetera.

I agree with the State also, and we went over this during your canvass, that you are held to the same standards as the lawyers in the case, notwithstanding your obvious limitations. You're held to the same evidentiary rules and everything else. The bottom line is this. You can choose to defend your case the way you'd like to defend your case. I will let you call the victim in your case in chief without — notwithstanding the fact that you didn't specify her as a witness, simply because I don't think there's a prejudice to the State because they know that she's a victim in this case and she would have pertinent information to both the State and the defense in the case.

However, that doesn't get around the other issue of it is the obligation of the person who wants to present a witness as part of their case in chief that they have to bear the financial burden of getting that witness here. If you have the ability to do that, then great. If you don't have

1	the financial resources to bring here from wherever
2	she may be living, the only suggestion I can give you would be
3	the State has stipulated to allow you to go beyond the scope
4	of direct. Which means you can basically ask her all the
5	questions you want so long as they're relevant and they're not
6	in violation of the statute in the types of cases questions
7	that can be asked of a victim in this type of case. Okay?
8	But that's where you stand now.
9	I'm going to step into my office real quick, I'll
10	come back in a minute. And we need to bring the jury in.
11	MR. SPROWSON: Can I just say something for the
12	record real quick?
13	THE COURT: They're getting increasingly frustrated.
14	MR. SPROWSON: Can I just say something for the
15	record real quick?
16	THE COURT: Very quickly, sir.
17	MR. SPROWSON: I just want to state that you've
18	provided me with an investigator, and I've only had the
19	investigator for a short period of time.
20	THE COURT: He was provided once you requested it.
21	MR. SPROWSON: Say again?
22	THE COURT: He was provided once you requested it,
23	sir.
24	MR. SPROWSON: Yes. That's part of the problem is
25	I've only had him for a short and limited time and I've asked

1 him to issue certain subpoenas and he has not. That's the 2. The first problem I'm having, and the State is 3 asking me to just hand the subpoena over to them and then 4 they'll serve it. But this is part of the problem I've been 5 having is actually getting what I've been trying to do done. 6 I know it's my requirement to issue a subpoena and if I make 7 those efforts to get that subpoena, the person, for example, 8 my investigator, I'm trusting that he would do this. 9 A lot of times some of the stuff that I'm asking him 10 to do doesn't get done or he says well, we couldn't do that. 11 I asked him to say hey, where is -- is the victim here, is the 12 victim -- where's the victim at so I can actually issue a

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

THE COURT: I acknowledge your frustration, sir.

There's nothing I can do about it. But we do need to call the jury in.

subpoena. He couldn't get that information for me so I had no

way to actually even issue a subpoena.

MR. SPROWSON: Also, the calendar was moved back and forth. So I'm trying to plan --

MS. BLUTH: We're still back to the same issue, Judge. We've been over this issue many times.

MR. SPROWSON: Just call in the jury. I just want to make a record, Your Honor. That's all.

THE COURT: I acknowledge and I recognize that you do have frustrations. And unfortunately, they're the same

1 frustrations other people representing themselves face. 2. are real, but it doesn't eliminate the need for you to follow 3 the rules. I'm going to step into my office for one minute. 4 5 will be back, we're going to bring the jury in, and we need to 6 select a jury. 7 Yes, Your Honor. Did you want to bring MS. BLUTH: 8 Ms. Teeter in? Remember, she was the one yesterday who said I 9 can't speak about this in front of everybody? What did you 10 want me to do with her? 11 THE COURT: Right. Let's bring her in right now. 12 She's badge number 643, Ms. Teeter. MS. BLUTH: 13 THE COURT: I'm glad you reminded me. Thank you so 14 much. 15 Hi, ma'am. Come on in. We don't want to single you 16 -- I kind of want to single you out, but I don't want to make 17 you feel uncomfortable in any way. The only reason I called 18 you in is yesterday when you were being asked questions there 19 was something that you started to say and then you felt that 20 you couldn't say it in front of all the people that were 21 sitting there. If it pertains to your ability to serve as a 2.2. juror, I kind of need some information, please. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 643: I was molested when I 24 was 16. [inaudible] 25 THE COURT: So is it -- so based upon your personal

1	history, is it hard to the level of would it affect your
2	ability to sit and be able to listen and not be overcome by
3	emotion in this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 643: To be honest, yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. And I appreciate you sharing
6	this. It happened when you left there?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 643: I've been thinking about
8	it all night.
9	THE COURT: What?
10	MS. BLUTH: She's been thinking about it all night.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MS. BLUTH: We'll submit it, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: If you want to go back outside, that's
14	fine. We don't want to upset you at all and we don't want to
15	embarrass you, we just need to find out what's going on to
16	make sure that you're appropriate for this particular case.
17	Thank you for sharing that with us.
18	Mr. Sprowson, is there any objection to sending her
19	back down to jury services and replacing her with another
20	juror?
21	MR. SPROWSON: No. I have no problem with that,
22	Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Okay. So why don't you quietly release
24	her down to jury services. We'll call someone else.
25	MS. BLUTH: And then, Your Honor, in regards to Mr.

1 Seward, and I apologize. We didn't have an opportunity to 2. 3 4 5 6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

talk about it in front of the jury. Mr. Seward, he's number 761, I believe yesterday he expressed the fact that he had --I can't remember if it was he had seen something about it on the news, but that he, when he heard these charges that he didn't feel like he could be fair to Mr. Sprowson and that he -- he's in seat 13. Just that he didn't feel like he could be fair to Mr. Sprowson.

So I thought about it last night and I just feel -and if Mr. Sprowson wants to try to rehabilitate him, but I think since he has voiced he didn't feel like he could be fair to Mr. Sprowson, that he probably shouldn't remain on the jury. So I'm asking to excuse him for cause.

Yeah. My notes indicate he can follow THE COURT: the law, even if he doesn't agree with it. However, it would be a struggle. He saw news footage on this case. What he saw would affect him as a juror and his judgment would be compromised is what he said.

Mr. Sprowson, do you have any objections to letting him go, or do you want to try to talk to him and see if you can rehabilitate him, sir?

MR. SPROWSON: I'd like to kind of hold onto him. What I understood was the conversation yesterday was because they had recently legalized marijuana and he was saying he had an issue with that. It wasn't so much that when marijuana was

1	unlawful, he probably said look, it's the law, don't smoke.
2	But now they're legalizing marijuana and I think his conflict
3	was with the fact of marijuana was
4	MS. BLUTH: No, it's not about the marijuana. He
5	said he couldn't give you a fair trial.
6	MR. SPROWSON: I didn't catch that part about him
7	saying
8	MS. BLUTH: Well, I caught it and the Judge just
9	read about the Judge just read that he had read about this
10	in the newspaper and he did not feel like he could give you a
11	fair trial. So if you want to keep him, that's fine.
12	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I'd like to keep him.
13	THE COURT: You're entitled to attempt to
14	rehabilitate him. So we will defer on this challenge for
15	cause.
16	MS. BLUTH: Okay.
17	THE COURT: We'll let that one lady go. We'll fill
18	her in. I've got to use the restroom real quick. I don't
19	know if Mr. Sprowson does or you guys. I'll be back in a
20	minute or less.
21	MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you. Be right back.
23	(Pause in proceedings)
24	MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, Mr. Sprowson and I were
25	discussing, and obviously I explained that you're the boss,

1	that I would finish my voir dire, he would he's going to
2	start and do his, and then we'll pick a jury. Your Honor,
3	will instruct and then this afternoon Mr. Sprowson and Mr.
4	Sweetin are going to go through the evidence and go through
5	the evidence loggings. Then I'm going to meet with the victim
6	while they're doing that. Then tomorrow morning we can start
7	with openings and go right through with the witnesses, if
8	that's okay with Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And your offer, you're going to
10	give it to us right now?
11	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I'm going to go ahead give
12	me a few minutes and I'll just go ahead and see what she says
13	about this and I'm going to go ahead and put this together. I
14	can do this while we're doing the jury thing to save time.
15	THE COURT: As long as you're focused.
16	(Prospective jury panel convened at 10:17 a.m.)
17	THE COURT: Kimberly Hightower, No. 769. Ma'am, can
18	you come up and sit in that chair next to the pregnant lady
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 646: 646.

II

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: The lady in the black dress or the black top. Hi, ma'am. Good morning. We've got to get you up to speed with the other jurors. All right.

As you heard, there may be a law enforcement official who will be called to testify in this case. Would you give more weight or credence to the testimony of a law

1	enforcement official simply because he or she was in law
2	enforcement?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
4	THE COURT: Are you in law enforcement?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
6	THE COURT: Do you have any close friends or
7	influential family members that are in law enforcement?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
10	crime?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: A burglary, yes.
12	THE COURT: When did that occur?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Probably six years ago.
14	THE COURT: Was it here in Las Vegas?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Were police called?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes, they were.
18	THE COURT: Was anyone arrested as a result of that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
20	THE COURT: Did you ever have to go to court for
21	that?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
23	THE COURT: All right. Any other times you've been
24	the victim of a crime?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.

1	THE COURT: The fact that you had that burglary,
2	does that affect your ability to be fair to both the State and
3	the defense in this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Oh, no.
5	THE COURT: Have you ever been accused of committing
6	a crime?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
8	THE COURT: Ma'am, have you ever been a juror
9	before?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
11	THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? You
12	must follow all instructions of the Court on the law, even if
13	they differ from your personal conceptions of what you think
14	the law ought to be. Can you follow that instruction?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes.
16	THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? A
17	person who's accused of committing a crime is presumed to be
18	innocent in a criminal trial. Can you follow that
19	instruction?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? The
22	defendant does not have to present any evidence in order for
23	you to return a verdict of not guilty. Can you follow that
24	instruction?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? The
2	State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a
3	reasonable doubt. Can you follow that instruction?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Ma'am, are you employed?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes and no. My husband
7	and I do a network marketing company together. But other than
8	that, I'm a full-time, stay-at-home mom.
9	THE COURT: So the yes part is you work with your
10	husband on the network marketing?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes.
12	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: This company, six years.
14	Before that, I've done other companies as well.
15	THE COURT: And how long have you been home with the
16	children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Twenty-two years.
18	THE COURT: Prior to doing the network marketing or
19	being stay-at-home mom, did you have other jobs?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: I did. I did data entry
21	and then also customer service for like a subsidiary of an
22	insurance company.
23	THE COURT: Any other jobs?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
25	THE COURT: And your husband, is his full-time job

1	the network marketing?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: He does that on the
3	side. He's also a full-time registered nurse.
4	THE COURT: Does he have any area of specialty?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Right now he's in a
6	trauma patient care unit at Sunrise Hospital.
7	THE COURT: You said you have children?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes, I have four.
9	THE COURT: How old?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: I have two 22 year olds,
11	a 19 year old, and a 14 year old.
12	THE COURT: Do you have twins?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: They're actually not
14	twins. One of them we unofficially adopted a few years ago.
15	THE COURT: Oh, okay. So tell me about each of the
16	22 year olds. Boy or girl?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Both boys. One of them
18	you're going to ask what they do for work.
19	THE COURT: I am.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: One of them works at a
21	it's called well, it's a towing company. He's like a
22	dispatcher for that. And then my other son does Uber.
23	THE COURT: I've just recently become addicted to
24	that. That's quite convenient.
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: That's how I got here

1	the first day. It was nice.
2	THE COURT: All right. The 19 year old, boy or
3	girl?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Girl. And she's at
5	school in Utah.
6	THE COURT: And the last one, the 14 year old?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: She's a girl and she's
8	at home.
9	THE COURT: She's a student?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes. We home school,
11	but yes.
12	THE COURT: How long have you been in Clark County,
13	Nevada?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Thirteen years.
15	THE COURT: All right. I'm going to turn you guys
16	over to the State.
17	MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	Good morning, everyone. As you noticed this
19	morning, you were waiting for a little bit. And just to
20	assure you guys, whenever you're waiting out there, we're not
21	having fun in here. We're actually usually working on motions
22	and evidence and things like that. So there are times when
23	you actually will be out there waiting. Unfortunately, it's
24	just a part of the process.
25	Is there anyone here that gets really, really

frustrated by that, to the point where it bothers you, like you can't deal with it, you can't concentrate? Okay. Showing no response.

2.

2.2.

Also, those of you who are lucky enough to be selected, Her Honor will read rules about, kind of like how she [indiscernible] allowed to talk to anyone about the case, you're not allowed to research it. So those rules are important. If you do hear another juror talking about it with someone else or another juror tries to come and talk to you, is there anyone here who wouldn't feel comfortable going to Jason and saying hey, jurors are talking about it? Is that something that would be kind of embarrassing or you wouldn't be able to do? Okay. Showing no response.

So I just have — I know that we had asked this already, but we had a few people come forward and say hey, I didn't raise my hand the first time because I was embarrassed or I didn't feel comfortable. So is there anyone here who has been a victim of a crime and didn't raise their hand the first time when the Judge asked? And if you want to talk about it outside the presence of everybody, we can at a later time. We'd just like to know who you are. Is there anybody else? Showing no response, Your Honor.

Okay. So I will go back to -- where's the microphone? Oh, good. We'll stick with you then. So in regards to your home being burglarized, I know you said that

1	no one was caught and nobody was prosecuted [indiscernible]
2	court. Did you feel like that was handled property by the
3	police officers that did arrive?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yes and no. I was out
5	of town, so my son was home taking care of it. I kind of
6	yes, kind of no.
7	MS. BLUTH: Did you feel like they could have done
8	more?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Yeah, I'm sure they
10	could have.
11	MS. BLUTH: Was that Henderson Police Department,
12	Metro, North Las Vegas?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: North Las Vegas.
14	MS. BLUTH: We don't have any officers coming in in
15	this trial in regards to North Las Vegas, but would you hold
16	it against officers in this case because simply you felt like
17	they didn't do what they should have done in your case?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Oh, no.
19	MS. BLUTH: In regards to the young man that you
20	adopted. Was that a situation where he was in a home that
21	maybe, I don't know what the right word would be, but that he
22	needed to be in your home for like safety reasons or things
23	like that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: No.
25	MS. BLUTH: Oh, okay. So how did it come that he

2031

was adopted by you guys?

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: He was going to high school with my son, and he was living on his own. His parents were deported back to Mexico. Just living on his own. My son felt he needed a home and a family, place to live.

MS. BLUTH: And then home schooling. What goes into the decision in regards to home schooling?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: What do you mean?

MS. BLUTH: You know, why home schooling?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: I'm not a fan of public school. There's a lot of teachers here, no offense. I've been home schooling since my oldest was two or, I mean, in second grade. Sorry. I just felt like it was for us. And then when we moved to Vegas I didn't feel like —— I didn't hear a lot of good about the school district, and it was so big. So we just decided to continue home schooling.

MS. BLUTH: Where did you move from? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Utah.

MS. BLUTH: This is kind of going to a different area. In regards to there's so much social media out there now that children have access to, you know, Facebook, Twitter, Snapchat, all of that. Do you monitor like your younger child, like the 14 year old, do you monitor his or her usage of those things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: I try to.

1	MS. BLUTH: Can you be fair to both sides?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 769: Of course.
3	MS. BLUTH: Thank you so much. Can you please pass
4	that down to Ms. Miller, 684? All the way down. You're
5	quiet, Ms. Miller. So let's talk about your three children,
6	31, 33, and 34. So when you were raising them, how did you
7	know which one was telling the truth? They get into an
8	argument, how could you tell?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: Voice pitch changes.
10	The boys are a little bit you can kind of tell when they're
11	telling a tale.
12	MS. BLUTH: The boys?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: Yeah, the boys. My
14	daughter was pretty good. She didn't get in much mischief or
15	anything. The boys, they didn't do anything other boys didn't
16	do. You know, they were just boys. They didn't get into a
17	lot of trouble or anything.
18	MS. BLUTH: Were you more protective of your
19	daughter versus your sons or no?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: Yes and no.
21	MS. BLUTH: What do you mean?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: I was pretty protective
23	of all of them. My middle child is a diabetic and he would go
24	out and his friends would want to give him candy. But then he
25	would get sick and they would drag him back to the house, you

1 know, where his sugar either went up or went down. So I was 2. pretty protective of him. My daughter, being a girl, just a mom is more protective, you know, because I'm always -- she 3 4 has brothers. They have a lot of friends. So I'm always 5 watching everybody. 6 MS. BLUTH: Was she the youngest? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: She's the youngest, yes. 8 Your children are quite close in age. MS. BLUTH: 9 So even though she was the youngest, her brothers were only 10 about two and three years older than her, but you still kind 11 of wanted to protect her? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: Yeah. 13 MS. BLUTH: Did you feel like she was more 14 vulnerable to the older boys, like the friends? 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: Well, big brothers are 16 not letting anybody talk to little sister, so it wasn't really 17 a problem. 18 MS. BLUTH: That makes sense. Okay. Is there 19 anything about these charges that brought you concern? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 684: It's just a matter of 21 hearing something and then it goes through your mind and then 2.2. you have to stop and think that okay, now I have to separate fact from fiction. You never like the circumstance to begin 23

24

25

end.

with, but you still have to be fair about the decision in the

1	MS. BLUTH: Thank you very much for that. Would you
2	mind passing the microphone to Mr. Hughes, he's badge number
3	768. Hi, Mr. Hughes.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Hi.
5	MS. BLUTH: I want to talk to you a little bit about
6	being attacked and losing your eyesight. What year was that?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: 1992.
8	MS. BLUTH: Was that a random attack or did you know
9	them?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No. I had never laid
11	eyes on those boys before.
12	MS. BLUTH: Were you just walking?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No. I was just I was
14	waiting for the bus and they came by, and particularly this
15	one boy, he said something about I had dragon breath. So I
16	just paid no attention. I thought they just kids being kids.
17	I thought they were going on about their business. Next thing
18	I knew the same boy come towards me, and then that's when the
19	alarm bell rang in my mind and I said this boy's about to hit
20	me, which he swung at me. So me and him started fighting. I
21	got the best of him. So he went back and got his friends. By
22	that time I said well, I'd better leave here because I could
23	tell these boys were up to no good.
24	So I got back to the school parking lot, but when I
25	got back there they came and they surrounded me. Then one of

them gave a signal. I remember one of them hitting me in the back of my head and then another one hit me and I fell. And then they just started stomping me in my right eye. Every time I tried to get up they would level me again. So I screamed for help and they ran.

So when I got back to the school, fortunately I saw them there. But what happened, the police officer who was a school police officer or security guard, happened to see them. When he saw them running he apprehended them and brought them back to school, asked me can I identify them. So I told him yes.

MS. BLUTH: So you hadn't seen them before, but were you working -- you were working at --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yeah, I was working at that school. But see, that was an elementary school. These kids were like high school. So I think what they were doing, they were skipping school and I just happened to be in the wrong place at the wrong time.

MS. BLUTH: How long did that last, do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: It was a long time.

Every time — when one hit me in the back, I kind of passed out. I remember every time I tried to get up they would hit me again. Oh, I'd say a couple of minutes.

MS. BLUTH: I'm so sorry. And only one of them -you said only one of them took a plea deal and they were in

juvenile detention for a like a year?

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yeah. And the reason why I wanted that guy, he was the instigator. If he would have just, you know, walked away or not bothered me, this incident wouldn't have happened. When me and him stopped fighting, he went back and got his friends and then they came and, you know, they finished it up.

MS. BLUTH: Did he ever say -- did you ever find out like what was the reason? Was it race motivated?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, no. They was the same color as I am. I think they were — later on he said he was high, they had been smoking. So I guess they was looking for some devilment or something.

MS. BLUTH: And you taught for many years and you also worked as a counselor at a children's home.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes, I did.

MS. BLUTH: What type of children were you counseling? What type of issues were they --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: They were physically disabled kids, because I've had 12 that had Down syndrome -- well, a couple of them had Down syndrome. And then I had this one -- they weren't kids, but they were teenagers and I know you've probably heard of this, but they age like rapidly. So they had to be kept in a bubble because they were easily susceptible to a type of germs and stuff. So I dealt with

them.

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: Okay. It seemed when you were talking yesterday that you always taught elementary, right, you never went to middle or high?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: No, I didn't. I was generally, especially at a couple of different schools, was a floater where if, you know, they need my assistance as far as teaching, you know, I'd be there.

MS. BLUTH: You were in the main group when I was asking the majority of the questions about following the law and those type of things. Do you have any opinions in regards to any of those where you thought oh, I have an answer for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: What you mean? Say that again.

MS. BLUTH: Just like the questions, you know we talked about do you expect a child to testify a certain way or do you think that they can testify different depending on how they are.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Well, yeah, I do, because, matter of fact, I remember when I was about 10 and my mother and my father was going through a divorce. I had to get up there and testify. It was hard. I mean because, you know, you sort of had to pick sides and stuff. I remember my father was — he was in the courtroom so the judge took us

back into his chambers and tell us about our view.

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: So, do you think since you were a child and you testified, do you expect a child to testify a certain way, or do you think it depends on the child?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yes, I do. I figure it depends on that particular child.

MS. BLUTH: Okay. Is there anything you think that either the State or Mr. Sprowson should know about you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: First of all, this is my first time doing this, but I consider myself an open-minded person, and I do believe in the process of a person is considered innocent until they're proven guilty and stuff. If I am accepted, I'll have an open mind, weigh the facts, and then make my decision.

MS. BLUTH: The last question I have for you is that we talked about, yesterday a lot about the age of accountability or the fact that certain, there are certain laws that prevent people from under 18 doing certain things. Do you think there's wisdom in that? Do you think that that law makes sense, the fact that you can't vote until you're 18 or you can't get a tattoo until you're 18?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 768: Yeah. Because I feel like, you know, there's a difference as far as age and mindset of a child opposed to an adult. I think that once a person is in adulthood they should know better, they should accept their

responsibility and deal accordingly.

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: Okay. Thank you so much. Would you mind passing that just down to Ms. Funston. She's three down, 708. Hi.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Hi.

MS. BLUTH: I wanted to talk to you about being a juror and why you were the foreman.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: At that point in time, nobody else wanted to. I was the only one that would at least ask questions and get everybody else to participate in the discussion.

MS. BLUTH: I know that that was a civil case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yes.

MS. BLUTH: So it can be a lot different. But when you guys went back there and deliberated, was it a fairly short deliberation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: We were back there about two hours. It was because the jury didn't — they kept trying to refer back to evidence and we couldn't find it in the book. Some were like I don't remember this picture, why did they say about this. So we'd go back to the book and everybody would try to give their point of view of what they thought. That was one of our suggestions was maybe we should have highlighted or somehow marked those pages so they were easier to go back to.

1	MS. BLUTH: Did you guys have notepads? Did you get
2	notepads that you were allowed to take notes in?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Yeah. We had notepads
4	and great big binders.
5	MS. BLUTH: All right. Did you find that note
6	taking helpful to you?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: It was for me. I would
8	say it was probably 50/50. Some people took notes, other
9	people was just more visual. But then when it came to
10	referring, they couldn't oh, they really said that.
11	MS. BLUTH: So they didn't keep their notes
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Right.
13	MS. BLUTH: Okay. Then, another thing I wanted to
14	ask you about. In that courtroom were you allowed to ask
15	questions? After the attorneys were done asking questions
16	were you allowed to ask questions?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: No.
18	MS. BLUTH: Okay. Do you think that would have been
19	helpful if you guys were allowed?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: I think it would have
21	been. Like I said, there were so many questions that people
22	wouldn't either they didn't understand or couldn't clarify.
23	If we had had somebody at least able to come in and say yes,
24	this is what was said or go to this page. It went so fast.
25	MS. BLUTH: In certain courtrooms after the witness

is done testifying you can actually ask questions.

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: You can in here. Yes, you have to write it down.

MS. BLUTH: So in this courtroom you are allowed to write a question. So let's say the State is done questioning, Mr. Sprowson is done questioning, and then Her Honor says do any members of the jury have any questions. You can actually ask the witness a question. Your question still has to — it still has to be relevant and it still has to be able to be asked by the Judge. There's certain rules of evidence that would have to comply with. So if you wrote a question and then we didn't ask it, basically because it didn't meet certain evidentiary standards, do you think you would think oh, they're trying to hide something from me, or would you understand that there are certain rules?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: I would understand there's certain rules, because some of the questions that were asked in that case you kind of went, okay, that doesn't seem to be necessary.

MS. BLUTH: Got it. What is your thought about the questions I've been asking [indiscernible] 18 and are there sense to those laws? Or do you think --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 708: Absolutely. There's reasons that they're there and I have young kids, so I would not expect them to have the same answers or the same things as

1	an 18 year old. Being a teenager myself, I was not an unruly
2	teenager, but I was of different sexual orientation, if you
3	will, so my parents thought I was unruly, I did not.
4	MS. BLUTH: Thank you so much. Would you mind
5	passing it to Mr. Hardison?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Hello.
7	MS. BLUTH: How are you?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Doing good.
9	MS. BLUTH: Good. I just wanted to talk to you a
10	little bit about when your car was stolen in 2014, and nobody
11	was caught, it went unsolved; is that right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Yeah.
13	MS. BLUTH: Was that responded to by Metro?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Yes.
15	MS. BLUTH: When you spoke you reported it?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Yeah.
17	MS. BLUTH: And they just weren't able to do
18	anything about that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: No. They found it like
20	a week later. It had been abandoned.
21	MS. BLUTH: Did you feel like they did everything
22	that they could or did you feel like they should have done
23	more?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: No. I mean, there's one
25	car in two million on the road. It's all they could do. They

did their job. 1 2. In general, would you say you have MS. BLUTH: 3 positive views towards law enforcement? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Yeah. 4 5 MS. BLUTH: And then, what do you think about what 6 we've been talking about in regards to decision making and the 7 difference between adults and children? What are your 8 thoughts? 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Can I talk to you later 10 about that? I've got a little private problem I'm dealing 11 with. 12 MS. BLUTH: Absolutely. We will talk about that and 13 you can bring that to my attention. Let me see if there was 14 anything else. I think that's it. 15 The last question I have for everybody is just in 16 order to be a juror you have to be a judge. The Judge is the 17 Judge of the law, and you are the judge of the facts. 18 there anybody here because of philosophical beliefs, moral 19 beliefs, religious beliefs, feel like they cannot sit in 20 judgment of another person, they don't feel comfortable doing 21 that? Is there anyone here that feels that way? And if you 2.2. do, just please raise your hand. Mr. Seward, I feel like you're thinking about that 23 24 one. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 761: Yeah, I'm putting some

thought into it.

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: Tell me what you're thinking about.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 761: Just in general. Shoe was on the other foot, just, you know, to be judged, be put in that seat. Not really comfortable with that.

MS. BLUTH: So here's the issue. Today we'll have a jury, and let's say that you're selected as one of the jurors. And then, next week sometime it pops into your head and you think I thought I could do this, and I just don't feel comfortable sitting in judgment of another person. At that point in time it's too late. Then you're kind of in and it kind of gets a little bit messy. So sitting here today, do you feel like that's something that you're capable of doing or does it make you feel too uncomfortable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 761: It still makes me feel uncomfortable. It makes me feel uncomfortable. I couldn't do it.

MS. BLUTH: Is there anything that I could say about the process that would make you feel more comfortable?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 761: Not really.

MS. BLUTH: Is there anybody else that has that same sentiment or feels the same way? Your Honor, showing no response. So that concludes my voir dire. Obviously, at some point if you wouldn't mind, we'd like the opportunity to speak with Mr. Hardison. I will pass with that exception.

THE COURT: Sure. Mr. Sprowson, do you have any questions for the jury?

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: Yeah. Good morning. Last time I spoke with you I was a little nervous. As you know, I represent myself and I am facing some serious charges. So it's an uneasy feeling to be kind of on the spot. I want to apologize. I didn't introduce myself. I'd like to rectify that and say my name is Melvin Sprowson. I am the defendant and I did choose to represent myself. I understand there's a lot of risk to that, but I decided to do that after careful thought. And again, I do want to thank you for being here.

I'm not every experienced at this. I was a schoolteacher. I know that probably does kind of create some feelings associated with those charges. I mean, even in my own mind. But again, I know what happened, but not going into that. It's not an easy thing to be up here and to have to defend yourself, and I felt it necessary.

I only have a couple questions that I want to ask. The reason I want to ask these specific questions is just because they're kind of related to the charges. It just kind of helps me gauge which individuals, when they're using their judgment in this case and they're weighing the facts, that they consider all the things involved.

My first question, and this is for everyone. If someone threatened to kill themselves, would you put yourself

1	in conflict with the law to help them? And as a follow up,
2	why or why not? I would like to ask, how many people would
3	put themselves in conflict with the law if someone threatened
4	to kill themselves to help them?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: Could you give an
6	example of what
7	MR. SPROWSON: Say, for example, if a friend of
8	yours calls you and says hey, you know what, I'm feeling
9	uneasy and I feel like killing myself. I really, really don't
10	I'm having some social problems or whatever. And you just
11	chugged down a 12 pack. You just chugged down a 12 pack and
12	you really need to go [indiscernible] and he's the only one
13	that you can rely upon. Say it's just two buddies, right? So
14	the moral decision before you would be well, obviously, I've
15	been drinking, I'd have to get in my car to go help this
16	person. Would you do it? I guess it's a good example.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 709: No, I would call $9-1-1$ .
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: I think there would be
19	other options available before
20	THE COURT: That's Mr. Ulery.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: I was going to
22	THE COURT: 627.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: I think I could call
24	somebody that was sober or something. There'd be other
25	options that I would so I don't think it would be a choice

between breaking the law and then trying to save somebody's life. Of course, if somebody was requiring assistance, yes, I'd be right there, do whatever I can. But I think there's usually other options, I think so.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: So you would probably call somebody and say hey, so and so is [indiscernible] call 9-1-1 or something, whatever the emergency number is?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: Yeah.

MR. SPROWSON: Is there anyone here that would actually — and I kind of — it's a little bit vague. I mean, when I say conflict with the law, I mean, that was kind of an extreme example. Here's another scenario and it's the same question. I'll just kind of put it at a different level. What if you weren't really aware what you were doing was illegal or not illegal? In other words, it's an intent issue. You know, your concern is the person's life and you're not sure by you helping him it would be in conflict with the law or not. Would that change the situation?

Or I should say would anybody — how can I put it to where you can answer it yes or no? If you were put in that situation and you believe that really there was no conflict with the law, but there may be, but at the time you're not really thinking about it until later on — that's probably a bad way to put it. I guess the issue here is that the bottom line is if someone threatened to kill themselves and — I'm

2.2.

trying to put the law into it because this is the way that it's kind of framed in the case a little bit, not going into details, but I'm trying to put it to where without disclosing too much, but trying to fit it to where it can be a question that I can gauge whether or not you would be prejudicial or not.

So it's kind of a hard question I'm asking. But again, so no one here, if someone threatened to kill themselves, and what if nobody else was available, that you thought that nobody else was available? I'll just direct that to you, Mr. Ulery.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: Well, yeah, if there's nobody else available. If it's like, you know, it was just me and that person that's in trouble or something. I mean, when — I don't drink, but if you're drunk then your thinking's impaired. I mean, my judgment right now, I would do whatever I could to help the person to save the person's life. I mean, try to talk to him first and then get into physical contact with him, call the police, or whatever. Do whatever I could.

MR. SPROWSON: Okay. Thank you. Does anybody have any comments on that question, on what their feelings or thoughts are? I know it's a little bit vague, but I'm trying to keep it [indiscernible].

I'll move on to the second question. This one actually has two parts. This question is has anyone ever

1 viewed a catalog or advertisement for female undergarments, 2. particularly teenage section, male or female? So, in other 3 words, have you ever been online and you've been doing some 4 shopping and you've gone to the clothing section or you got a 5 J.C. Penney magazine in the mail or you've got a newspaper. 6 You look through the newspaper and there's advertisement. 7 think for the most part has everyone seen that? 8 JURORS: Yes. 9 MR. SPROWSON: Everyone has, right? That's kind of 10 the springboard question that I wanted to start off with. 11 we've all seen that and we can all agree that we've seen those

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

right?

types of things.

My next question is — the way I'm going to frame this, if it's a yes, I'd like you to raise your hand, and I'll follow it up with a no. So here's the question. Would you consider, and I guess it would really depend on the content, but would you consider that pornography based on your understanding? If you were to look at, for example, you got a newspaper, you look at it and you see adolescent underwear and you're looking at that. If you would consider that pornography, raise your hand. How many of you wouldn't consider that pornography?

MR. SPROWSON: You've got someone in their

KARR REPORTING, INC.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: Just the underwear,

underwear, yes. An adolescent, an adolescent in their
underwear. Would you consider that pornography? There's —
and I want to say, remember, this is an advertisement. This
is coming from J.C. Penney or — most of us probably shop on
the Internet. I know I did. If I want to buy something, it's
a lot easier. You go on the Internet and you have there an
adolescent section.

2.2.

I see there's a lot of mothers in here that probably have daughters. The mothers that have daughters, you do shopping for your daughters, you buy online and you get them the clothing that they need. I mean, this is something that you would see. Yes or no? It's just something common, right? The thrust of the question is this wouldn't automatically strike you as something that is pornography, yes or no? Anybody say yes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: No, it's not pornography, but I think for some men it would be a sexual temptation, you know. Like in the Bible, Job says, "I made a covenant with my eyes not to look at a woman." So for me, like I can't take a second look. Men are visual. It's not pornography but it's somewhere that you just shouldn't go. As soon as you — you know, you've got to turn away from that as soon as you see it. What's the point of a man looking at ladies' underwear anyway?

MR. SPROWSON: In follow up on that, would it be a

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	particular type of advertisement that would would it all be
2	here's a better way to say it. Would all the pictures
3	interest you that way or only some? I mean, you're saying
4	and I know what you're quoting, Job, make a covenant with your
5	eyes. Would it be particular pictures, maybe certain poses
6	that would strike you as oh, wow, versus others that would ah?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: No. For me, personally,
8	if I see an attractive female in underwear, I'm a married man,
9	that's somewhere that I just have to turn away from that. I
10	can't look a second time because it's going to it will lead
11	to thoughts that I don't want to deal with, better avoided.
12	MR. SPROWSON: Are you a churchgoing man?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: Yes. I'm a born again
14	Evangelical Christian, yes.
15	MR. SPROWSON: So this is based upon your Biblical
16	beliefs and trying to keep yourself on the right road?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: Yes.
18	MR. SPROWSON: So something like that would be just
19	a little too much for you.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: For me personally, yeah.
21	I don't even go there.
22	MR. SPROWSON: I got ya. I know exactly where
23	you're going with that. A follow-up question, and this is for
24	everybody. And this is mostly for the mothers and the
25	females. Is there anyone here that would like to comment on

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

the advertisement, do you think — this is for the mothers here and this is for the females, do you think that when you see these advertisements that sometimes it's just a little bit gone too far? And what I mean by is just too revealing?

In other words, if you saw that advertisement and that was your daughter, you'd be like whoa, wait a minute. What are your feelings on that? And this is again addressed to the mothers and the females in here, if it was your daughter. Is there a point where you would say that's just too far? We're talking about the advertisements that we generally see every day.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 740: Definitely. Thomas,

Leslie Thomas, 740. Some ads are very simple [indiscernible]

and others are over the top and suggestive. So, yeah. I shop

online and so I would pick some things online and other things

I won't look at because I know they're going to be

inappropriate.

MR. SPROWSON: So you think that the -- this is an advertisement technique maybe to get people to buy --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 740: Definitely.

MR. SPROWSON: Do you have any other comments on something like that that you've observed besides some of it being a little bit too risqué or a little bit too revealing compared to maybe a day at the beach? Would you say that's comparable? Something that you might see maybe someone in a

day at the beach compared to advertising?

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 740: I'm not sure of the question, but I think you mean --

MR. SPROWSON: Well, I guess — let me reframe it. Say, for example, if you went to the beach or maybe even a lake, and some of the things you would see females in bikinis obviously. Would you find that to be — I guess depending on the kind of bikini, I guess would you find that type of thing a little bit more — too revealing? I mean, compared to — I'll give you an example. Compared to maybe 20 years ago and now, the way that a lot of the folks, the younger generation dresses in bikinis and such at the beach, would you say it's more revealing now or more revealing later or just depends on the individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 740: Depends on the individual, but I'd say our society is definitely going into a more revealing direction. So a day on the beach might not be my preference with the dress, but it usually isn't as offensive as some magazine poses in some ways that the women are displayed and portrayed.

MR. SPROWSON: That was very good, by the way.

Thank you. Are there any other mothers that might have any comments on this that we can add to this? I'm just curious on the thoughts of the mothers here on their daughters, the type — here's a better question. I know some of you mothers here

1	have older daughters and their choices in their undergarments.
2	How many mothers here would be shocked by what their daughters
3	wear? So most of you would be like it's not a big deal, the
4	kind of undergarments that the daughters themselves would
5	choose? As far as like today's standards. And again, we're
6	measuring by more revealing, more provocative in this
7	generation.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 734: I would be shocked at
9	what my daughter would wear because I'm from that old school.
10	I just wouldn't want to see her in something really revealing.
11	THE COURT: Johnette Adams, No. 734.
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 734: Oh, I'm sorry.
13	THE COURT: That's okay.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 734: 734, Adams.
15	MR. SPROWSON: So you would be comparing it to
16	another time period when it was a little bit more
17	conservative?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 734: Yes, I would.
19	MR. SPROWSON: Would you say that our times have
20	gotten extremely liberal?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 734: I think so. Because a
22	lot of things you see on TV, they're just too revealing, in my
23	opinion. I can't speak for anyone else. But I think that,
24	you know, coming from the '50s and '60s, from my age or my
0.5	

generation, I just can't see all of that. I can't agree with

25

it. It's okay to have a little showing, but not a whole lot. I just don't believe in it. Now, I could be wrong, and if no one can agree with me, but I am -- I guess I'm one of those strict mothers.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: Would there be times, considering the younger generation today, would you view any of the undergarments or even bikinis, let's just kind of broaden it out to not just undergarments, but bikinis. Obviously, bikinis would be something that's out in public, undergarments may or may not — around the house maybe, but as far as out in the public, things that we would see out in the public — and I'm trying to tie this to the idea of pornography.

Pornography is such a debated term and it actually has a legal definition.

So one of the things that we're going to be looking at -- as you've already seen in the paper and you've heard about my charges, it deals with the whole idea of pornography. And what I'm trying to get at with my questions is we're going to -- the task of the jury is going to be to determine whether or not something is or is not pornography. So this is a very big question for me, and this is why I'm bringing it to a head here because I need to have a jury that is going to be fair in their estimation of what pornography is. So generationally speaking, you would be very conservative while others might be a little bit more liberal. Would you agree?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 734: Yes, I would. I would agree on that.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: Does anyone have any comments or thoughts on what I just said about, what do we call it, and you may already know the term, subjective, our own thoughts on defining the whole idea of underwear, not underwear, or bikinis or such. Just clothing in general, and associating that with something that we might consider pornography. This is really a big issue in some sense in my case. I'm just curious on any thoughts or comments —

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: I think you're asking the wrong question. Jeff Ulery, 627. You're asking the wrong question. It's not a matter of defining what pornography is, it's a matter of immorality, you know. You just shouldn't, you know, premarital sex, shouldn't go there. Once you're married, you belong to your spouse. Anything aside from that, it's just, it's morally wrong.

MR. SPROWSON: So you wouldn't — the reason I'm trying to frame it that way is so if you have an advertisement on the table you already said that you wouldn't look at it, you just already consider that something that's taboo for you, while others that might be a little bit more liberal might look at it and read the news and stuff and pass it on without even — have a second thought about it. I guess what I'm trying to get at is that — let me reframe the question.

Some of the things I'm touching upon is the way that

-- I'm going to put this -- the people dress as far as

nowadays. We've already -- I've gotten from some of the

jurors that to a certain degree it's very revealing,

advertising, I should take advantage of that too. Like you're

saying, appeal to the lustful desires of people

[indiscernible] buy the product.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 627: Oh, yeah.

MR. SPROWSON: The question that I'm trying to get at again was just we're going to be looking at photographs that you're going to have to determine on whether or not that meets the definition of pornography. I understand what you're saying, but this is something that again, looking at the picture and saying look, oh, yeah, that's just — I guess the way that it's kind of framed is what they call prurient interest in sex. And you're saying that that would for you.

MS. BLUTH: Judge, I apologize. I'm going to have to object to the form of the question because it's asking a juror to make an opinion on the law, and we can't do that in voir dire.

THE COURT: She's right, sir.

MR. SPROWSON: He gave me the answer already. I'm just trying to get a little bit more of what his thoughts are on that.

I'm going to actually probably end it there. I just

1 wanted to kind of hit on those two things to kind of see what 2. people were thinking. I do appreciate the fact that you're 3 here and I thank you. I hope I made some sense of what I'm 4 trying to get at. Again, we'll go ahead and see 5 [indiscernible]. Thank you. 6 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sprowson. 7 MR. SPROWSON: I'm finished. 8 Thank you. Are there any additional THE COURT: 9 questions the State wants to ask before we address the two 10 outstanding issues? 11 MS. BLUTH: No, Your Honor. 12 We need to speak with one of the THE COURT: 13 gentlemen. No? 14 No, Your Honor, just the -- I have one MS. BLUTH: 15 additional motion to make, and then I believe Mr. Hardison 16 wants to speak with us about something. But those are all the 17 issues T have. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So ladies and gentlemen of the 19 jury, please don't go far. We're just going to take about a 20 10-minute break. Again, don't talk about the case, don't 21 research the case, don't form or express any opinion on the 2.2. case. 23 I would just ask Mr. Hardison -- there you are --24 could you just stay in here for a little bit, sir?

KARR REPORTING, INC.

25

(Prospective jury recessed at 11:07 a.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Hi, Mr. Hardison. We're not trying to embarrass you, single you out. But you said there's some stuff that you wanted to talk about later. I'm assuming outside the presence of the other jurors?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: Yes. Last week, I watch Robin Meade, Morning Express, and last week there was a schoolteacher in Tennessee, 51 years old, and he and a 15-year-old student went missing. Now, this was last week. Monday morning they revealed that they went through the computer of the teacher and he had, let's call them racy photos, of the 15-year-old child. My brother and I started talking about that and we decided that that guy was guilty as all hell, he should go to jail. And we let it go.

The very next day we get sworn in and the very first thing you bring up are the charges. They were the same charges that I had already decided that the guy was guilty of. And then you asked about grooming, something about grooming yesterday. The lady behind me asked and she said some things that I wasn't too happy about hearing, about grooming. And I was — if this has anything to do with what's going on with this case now, I've already kind of made up my mind that it might not be good for me to be on the jury.

MS. BLUTH: May I ask a question, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. BLUTH: Okay. First of all, thank you for

bringing all that up, and I appreciate your honesty. So the issue is — I'm trying to put this, I'm trying to be careful about my wording, so let me get it for one second. So what you heard on the news in regards to that other case, if you think that that teacher is wrong and that he broke the law, that is fine. And that's perfectly fine for you to have those opinions.

2.

2.2.

The issue is here is the State still has to prove to you that the defendant did those things. So you may think that what Mr. Sweetin said in that brief opening statement, you say hey, I got an issue with that. Well, the State has charged the defendant with those laws. It's okay to have an issue with that, but you still have to hold me to my burden. So if you find that that conduct is wrong, but I didn't prove to you that Mr. Sprowson did that, then you have to be able to find him not guilty.

Now, if I — and Mr. Sweetin and I prove to you that the defendant did those things that we spoke about, it's okay that you find him guilty, because we've proven to you beyond a reasonable doubt that he committed those crimes, and that they are in fact crimes. The only issue where you can't serve is that if you wouldn't hold me to my burden and you wouldn't hold Mr. Sweetin to our burden. So if you're a juror, if we don't prove to you these things beyond a reasonable doubt, and we have failed in our job, could you come in and say I'm

1	sorry, you failed, it's not guilty?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 698: No. I can honestly say
3	sorry, guy, I kind of think you're guilty.
4	MS. BLUTH: Okay. Then that's fine and I appreciate
5	your honestly. Your Honor, obviously, then we'd make a
6	motion.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, do you have any questions
8	of Mr. Hardison?
9	MR. SPROWSON: No, no, no. She covered it pretty
10	well.
11	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Hardison. If you'd like
12	to go use the restroom, whatever you'd like to do. We'll see
13	you in about five minutes.
14	MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Mr. Hardison.
15	THE COURT: The State's made a motion to remove him
16	for cause, and I'm assuming you're joining that, Mr.
17	Sprowson?
18	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah.
19	THE COURT: He said he can't be fair. So that will
20	be granted by way of the stipulation.
21	MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, you had an opportunity to
23	talk to the jury now. Do you have any further input on Mr.
24	Seward? I'll be honest with you, I agree with the State that
25	I'm a little concerned that he can be fair to you.

MR. SPROWSON: That's fine.

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: So we'll let him go by way of stipulation. So that means we have two new jurors. So all we're going to do real quick is we're going to put the two new jurors here. I'm going to get them up to speed. The State's only going to talk to the two new jurors because they've passed the panel with respect to everyone other than the two new jurors. Is that accurate?

MS. BLUTH: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And then, Mr. Sprowson, I believe you passed the panel, which basically means you've asked all the questions you want to ask and you're good with everyone that's sitting up there with the exception of the two new jurors. So we're just going to ask questions of those two new jurors and we should have a jury fast.

Did Jason explain to you how we do the jury process, the selection process?

MR. SPROWSON: No.

THE COURT: So, when you guys have both asked all your questions of the two new jurors, there's going to be a sheet of paper that's going to be passed back and forth. And on that you get nine peremptory challenges, which means each of you can get rid of nine people for whatever reason. You don't have to use one of those, but you can get rid of up to nine.

1	So what ultimate happens, when you guys get rid of
2	nine each we will have 14 jurors, which means we have 12 who
3	are our panel, plus we have two alternates. And I'll tell you
4	the way they do the alternates. Since one through 12 would be
5	our jury, our jurors number 13 and 14, whoever those are,
6	those would be our alternates. They're always the last two
7	people we have on the panel.
8	Where you'll really notice that is when we go ahead
9	and seat the jury, that chair at the very back right, it will
10	be number one one, two, three, four, and so forth. Okay?
11	And whoever's in that thirteenth and fourteenth chair is an
12	alternate.
13	MR. SPROWSON: I just have one issue
14	(Court recessed at 11:14 a.m. until 11:25 a.m.)
15	(In the presence of the prospective jury panel)
16	THE COURT: All right, ladies and gentlemen, if you
17	can just give me a little bit more of your attention. If your
18	name is called, please stand up and move to the back of the
19	room. Counsel, please make yourselves comfortable.
20	THE CLERK: Badge number 761, Allan Seward. Badge
21	number
22	THE COURT: You want to go sit in that back row,
23	please?
24	THE CLERK: 698, Joshua Hardison.
25	THE COURT: Again, in the back row, please, on the

1	side. If your name is called just the back row or the
2	second to last row, whatever you want to sit. If your name's
3	called, please stand up and move this direction.
4	THE CLERK: Badge number 770, Marcell Gilliam or
5	seat 13. Badge number 773, Clarence Taylor, seat 20.
6	THE COURT: All right. Good morning to my two new
7	individuals. All right, gentlemen. As you probably heard,
8	there's law enforcement officials who may be called to testify
9	in this case. Would you give them more weight or credence to
10	the testimony of a law enforcement official simply because he
11	or she was in law enforcement?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
13	THE COURT: And sir? There you are? No?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I am not a law
15	enforcement officer.
16	THE COURT: All right. Would you give more weight
17	to the testimony of a police officer just because he was a
18	police officer?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: No.
20	THE COURT: You're not a law enforcement. Sir, are
21	you in law enforcement?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
23	THE COURT: Do either of you gentlemen have close
24	friends or family members that are in law enforcement?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: I had some, but they're

1	long gone now.
2	THE COURT: Sir, do you have any close friends or
3	family?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I have close friends
5	that are lawyers.
6	THE COURT: Lawyers? Here in Las Vegas?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Those friends, those friendships, would
9	they affect your ability to be fair to the State and the
10	defense in this case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Absolutely not. I don't
12	like either one of them.
13	THE COURT: All right. So, gentlemen, have either
14	of you ever been the victim of a crime?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: No.
17	THE COURT: Have either one of you ever been accused
18	of committing a crime?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: No.
21	THE COURT: Can both of you gentlemen follow these
22	instructions? You must follow all instructions of the Court
23	on the law, even if they differ from your personal conceptions
24	of what you think the law ought to be. Can both of you follow
25	that instruction?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes.
3	THE COURT: You had a little hesitation, sir.
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I'd like to hear it, I
5	guess.
6	THE COURT: An easy way to explain it is, you know,
7	if you're driving down the street, say like the road behind my
8	house, it's a 35. Really, my opinion it should be a 45
9	because everyone drives 45 anyways, it should be a 45. But
10	the bottom line is, the speed limit is 35 miles an hour, so
11	that means you have to drive 35 miles an hour, even if you
12	don't agree with it. So you kind of have to follow the law,
13	even if you don't agree with it. Can you do that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes.
15	THE COURT: All right. Another can you follow
16	this instruction? A person who's accused of committing a
17	crime is presumed to be innocent in a criminal trial. Can
18	both of you follow that instruction?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And can you follow this instruction?
22	The defendant does not have to present any evidence in order
23	for you to return a verdict of not guilty. Can both of you
24	follow that instruction?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, you're hesitating a little bit.

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I didn't understand the question.

THE COURT: I think Ms. Bluth touched on it earlier. As we sit here today, the defendant is not guilty, the defendant is innocent. The burden of proof is on the State. The State has to prove their case beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you agree with all that? Basically, the defendant can just sit by and do nothing if they so desire because the burden is on the State to prove their case.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I agree with that.

THE COURT: Do you understand that — again, the defendant doesn't have to do anything because the burden's entirely on the State. The defendant can sit back, not call any witnesses, not present any evidence. And even if the defendant does nothing, the State does not fulfill their burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, you have to find him not guilty.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Okay.

THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? The State has a burden of proving — I think I just went over that. The State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. And both of you gentlemen can agree with that instruction, right?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes.
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Have either of you gentlemen ever been a
4	juror before?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: No.
7	THE COURT: Let's start with Mr. Gilliam, Marcell,
8	No. 770. Mr. Gilliam, are you employed, sir?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes.
10	THE COURT: What do you do, sir?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Driver for public
12	services.
13	THE COURT: How long have you done that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Thirteen years, going on
15	14.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Gilliam, prior to being a driver,
17	what did you do for a living?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Worked at U.S. Foods.
19	Prior to that, Coca-Cola. Prior to that was UPS.
20	THE COURT: Were you a driver with all those
21	different companies or did you do other things?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Warehouse.
23	THE COURT: All right. Any other jobs? We have you
24	back to UPS. Anything prior to UPS?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes. Prior to that I

worked at hotels as a receiver. And prior to that was I
was stationed at Nellis Air Force Base.
THE COURT: So you were in the Air Force?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes.
THE COURT: How long were you in the Air Force, sir?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Four and one-half years.
THE COURT: All right. And prior to the Air Force,
any other jobs, sir?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
THE COURT: Are you married, sir?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
THE COURT: Do you have children, sir?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Two boys.
THE COURT: How old are they?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Twenty-three and 19.
THE COURT: All right. So what does the 23 year old
do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: He's a waiter.
THE COURT: And the 19 year old?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: He's still a student.
THE COURT: How long have you been in Clark County,
Nevada?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Thirty years.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Thirty years.  THE COURT: Thank you very much, sir. Mr. Taylor,

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I am retired.
2	THE COURT: What did you retire from?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Junior glaziers here in
4	Las Vegas.
5	THE COURT: Were you union?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes.
7	THE COURT: How long did you do that for a living?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: From I was a union
9	glazier back in Michigan, Florida. I followed the work. I've
10	been doing it for like 20 years.
11	THE COURT: And prior to doing that line of work,
12	what did you do?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I owned CW Transport
14	Services, Inc. in Michigan.
15	THE COURT: What did you do there?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: We pulled liquids and
17	dry freight for various companies around there.
18	THE COURT: Prior to that job, sir?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I was owner operator.
20	THE COURT: Of what type of business?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: A trucking business.
22	THE COURT: Okay. For how long?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Sixteen years.
24	THE COURT: Are we getting back to the early years
25	yet?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Right. Prior to that
2	was after high school I went to work for Wells Pet Food in
3	Monmouth, Illinois. And I worked for Butler Manufacturing
4	Company in Galesburg, Illinois for 10 years.
5	THE COURT: Anything else?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I can't remember that.
7	THE COURT: Are you married?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes, ma'am.
9	THE COURT: Does your wife work?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes, ma'am.
11	THE COURT: What does she do, sir?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: She's a payroll
13	consultant for Barrick Gold and Copper.
14	THE COURT: Okay. I say that because I am familiar
15	with them through different cases I've had in court. And your
16	children?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I do have four children.
18	THE COURT: You said four?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes.
20	THE COURT: How old are they, sir?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Forty-nine, 44, 34, and
22	33.
23	THE COURT: Let's start with the 49 year old. Boy
24	or girl?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: It's a boy.

1	THE COURT: And what does he do for a living?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: He's a
3	superintendent/job project manager for one of the architect
4	firms in town.
5	THE COURT: And the 44 year old, male or female?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Female.
7	THE COURT: What does she do?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: She's a stay-at-home
9	mom.
10	THE COURT: So, do you have a few grandchildren?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I have a few
12	grandchildren, yes, ma'am.
13	THE COURT: And the 34 year old?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: He is a professional
15	baseball [indiscernible].
16	THE COURT: And lastly, the is it 33 year old?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: The 33 year old is in
18	Michigan. He has his own company that does basically small
19	construction.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, sir, how long have you been
21	in Clark County, Nevada?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Came here in 2005.
23	THE COURT: Thank you so much. Sir, we're going to
24	turn you two gentlemen over to the State for a few questions.
25	MS. BLUTH: Do you still have the mic, Mr. Taylor?

1	Would you go ahead and pass it to Mr. Gilliam? Good morning.
2	So you've been hearing you've heard a lot of questions that
3	we've asked. Did you was there a question that you heard
4	that you had an opinion to but you couldn't answer because you
5	were over there?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Probably quite a few.
7	MS. BLUTH: Okay. Let's go through them. Well,
8	actually, why don't you tell me.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: I can't remember
10	MS. BLUTH: Do you remember them?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.
12	MS. BLUTH: So in regards to whatever I think
13	Judge already asked you if you've ever been a victim of a
14	crime and you said no, right?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yes.
16	MS. BLUTH: And then the next question I had asked
17	was about criminal justice system, do you think it works, do
18	you think there are flaws? What are your thoughts on that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: I think there's flaws.
20	MS. BLUTH: Can you tell me about those? What do
21	you think they are?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Withholding evidence,
23	not sharing the complete package.
24	MS. BLUTH: Do you think that's the police, the
25	prosecutors?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yeah, prosecutors and
2	maybe the defendant.
3	MS. BLUTH: Okay. So you think that
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: That's what makes it
5	flawed.
6	MS. BLUTH: What would be another flaw?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: You have to ask me a
8	question.
9	MS. BLUTH: What?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: You have to ask me a
11	question so I can
12	MS. BLUTH: Is that the only fault that you see or
13	are there more faults?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: I can't say right off
15	the top of my head right now. We'll have to bump into that.
16	MS. BLUTH: So in regards to the courts here in Las
17	Vegas we have what's called a discovery system where both
18	sides have to share evidence with each side and let each side
19	see their complete file. I'm not sure that that's in every
20	state, but here in Las Vegas and in Nevada, that's the rule.
21	And Her Honor is the one who orders that. Does that alleviate
22	any concerns in regards to either the State not sharing their
23	evidence with Mr. Sprowson or Mr. Sprowson not sharing his
24	evidence?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: It should yeah, I

1	think so.
2	MS. BLUTH: What are your thoughts about local law
3	enforcement?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: I like local law
5	enforcement.
6	MS. BLUTH: What did you do in the Air Force?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: I was a aircraft weapons
8	guys, put it that way. I was [indiscernible] to the 462,
9	aircraft armament systems specialist.
10	MS. BLUTH: Thank you for your service. So you
11	hesitated a little bit when Her Honor was talking about
12	following the laws. And you said well, I kind of have to hear
13	them first. Was that your response?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Well, she made it clear
15	with that example.
16	MS. BLUTH: Okay. So yesterday I used the example
17	about marijuana and how usually people are on one side of the
18	fence with marijuana. Do you remember us talking about that?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Yeah.
20	MS. BLUTH: So let's I don't know your personal
21	views about marijuana, but let's say that you are pro
22	marijuana, that people should be able to use marijuana. In
23	the city that you live in you cannot, you can't smoke it, you
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	can't do this, you can't do that. So you're called to be on a
25	jury where a person who had marijuana in their home is being

prosecuted that you really feel like that should be the law. Could you still sit on that jury knowing that that was the law and that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No.

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: So even if that was the law, you can't -- you wouldn't be willing to follow it because it was against what you believed.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: Against what I believe and what I see, personally, say it's against the law. You see everybody's doing it, so, I mean, who am I to [indiscernible].

MS. BLUTH: You heard a little bit earlier someone talking about sitting in judgment of another person. So when you just said who am I, it made me think of that. Are you a person who feels comfortable sitting in judgment of another human being?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No, not exactly.

MS. BLUTH: As a juror, I think that most of us as children are taught we shouldn't judge others, we should get to know other human beings and we shouldn't judge someone, you should never judge a book by its cover. But when you're a juror you're almost tasked with doing that. I don't think it's — it's not you're judging him or her as a human being, but you're judgment whether or not their actions are criminal. There are some people that can do that, and then there are some people who for philosophical, moral, religious reasons

1	that can't. Where are you at in that spectrum?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: More so in that moral,
3	philosophical range.
4	MS. BLUTH: So you're not someone who feels like you
5	can do that, not comfortable doing that.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: No, not exactly.
7	MS. BLUTH: Is there anything that I could tell you
8	about the process that would make you feel more comfortable
9	about it, or is that just simply how you feel?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 770: That's how I feel.
11	MS. BLUTH: I appreciate your honestly. Thank you
12	so much. Would you mind passing it to Mr. Taylor? Thank you,
13	Mr. Gilliam.
14	Okay, Mr. Taylor. I have a question for you. When
15	you said when Judge was asking you questions about your
16	friends that are lawyers and you said it wouldn't affect you
17	because you don't like either of them, were you talking about
18	your friends or us and Mr. Sprowson?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I'm talking about my
20	friends. My friends the lawyers.
21	MS. BLUTH: So you were just teasing.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I see them every week
23	and I kid them about being lawyers because I'm not one. I can
24	do that.
25	MS. BLUTH: Are they civil attorneys or do they do

1	criminal law?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I really don't know and
3	never cared. They're just nice people.
4	MS. BLUTH: But do you talk about their work or no?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Very seldom. Well, one
6	of them does, but he writes a lot of papers for other lawyers
7	and he rides around the countryside and works for two hours
8	and plays for the rest.
9	MS. BLUTH: I like his job.
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: He's a pretty cool guy.
11	MS. BLUTH: We talked a lot about yesterday in
12	regards to teenagers. And you have several children, so you
13	had teenagers.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yeah.
15	MS. BLUTH: Were they all well-behaved or were they
16	slick?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I had two that were very
18	slick. The youngest and my daughter had a little bout with
19	slipping out the backdoor or the bedroom window.
20	MS. BLUTH: Where was she going?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: To skate.
22	MS. BLUTH: To skate?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yes. Roller skate on
24	MS. BLUTH: I thought you were going to say
25	something super naughty.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Well, she turned out to
2	be the State champion roller skate kid, yeah, because she just
3	couldn't skate.
4	MS. BLUTH: So how old was she when she was doing
5	that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Twelve, 13, 14.
7	MS. BLUTH: Did you guys try to ground her when she
8	would do that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: No. I sneak on them and
10	see if I can see what they're doing and I'd just kind of fade
11	back and go
12	MS. BLUTH: Okay. So once you knew she was safe you
13	went back home.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Yeah. I knew who they
15	were associating with, our next-door-neighbor, the people by
16	the racquetball court. I knew them all, you know, in the
17	neighborhood.
18	MS. BLUTH: So you felt like she wasn't in danger.
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: We kind of looked out
20	for each other.
21	MS. BLUTH: When I've been asking the questions
22	about laws and the purpose behind laws in regards to 18, you
23	know, the age of 18 being an age where people can start making
24	some decisions on their own. Do you believe that there's
25	wisdom in that law being 18, or do you think it should be

1	later, or do you think it should be earlier? What do you
2	think about that age and those rights?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I really have no opinion
4	on that. It's just like with anything else. You can have
5	different levels of maturity. You can have some 13 year olds
6	that can give dissertations on many subjects and a 22 year old
7	is still can't find his wallet in the morning.
8	MS. BLUTH: But that 13 year old
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: It's arbitrary.
10	MS. BLUTH: That 13 year old who is smart and can do
11	dissertations and things like that, can he or she make
12	decisions, more mature decisions about other things in life
13	that adults can't?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: They would have the
15	capacity to and may not be because of their upbringing to make
16	choices that were borderline or maybe not exactly what they
17	should be doing at that time in their life.
18	MS. BLUTH: So that 13 year old who the smart 13
19	year old, let's say it's a he, should he be accountable for
20	his actions the same way an adult should be held accountable?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: No.
22	MS. BLUTH: Explain that to me.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: Because of maturity and
24	the law. The law says whatever it is, 18, 19, 21, I don't
25	think a 13 year old should be subjected to that responsibility

1	yet. They may be able to do it, but not by law they can't.
2	MS. BLUTH: And what about you heard me speaking
3	earlier to Mr. Gilliam about following the law. Even if you
4	don't necessarily believe it sometimes, do you have an issue
5	with that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 773: I have no issue with it.
7	MS. BLUTH: Okay. Thank you so much.
8	THE COURT: Pass for cause?
9	MS. BLUTH: Not for Mr. Gilliam.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sprowson, do you have any
11	questions, sir?
12	MR. SPROWSON: I have a question. Not for the two
13	new individuals, but I have one more question that I'd like to
14	pose to the entire jury. Is that possible? Just one
15	question, just a follow up.
16	THE COURT: Just one question, please. Really,
17	we're not supposed to go backwards.
18	MR. SPROWSON: I had wait until we get involved with
19	other things. I just she kind of sparked an idea
20	THE COURT: We have the jury now, so if you have one
21	question, please go ahead.
22	MR. SPROWSON: That's fine. I can pass on it.
23	THE COURT: Are you sure?
24	MR. SPROWSON: She sparked an idea I wanted to
25	expand upon something.

1	THE COURT: Do you have any questions of Mr. Gilliam
2	or Mr. Taylor?
3	MR. SPROWSON: No, I don't.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson and Ms. Bluth, can you come
5	up here please so I can speak with you?
6	MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
7	(Bench conference transcribed as follows)
8	MS. BLUTH: Is the white noise on?
9	THE COURT: It is the white noise. I think Ms.
10	Bluth has an issue with Mr. Gilliam.
11	MS. BLUTH: [inaudible]
12	THE COURT: No. Well, we'll talk about it, but it's
13	not going to pick it up because my voice is really bad.
14	MS. BLUTH: [inaudible]
15	THE COURT: Yeah, he did say that. Some religions
16	don't allow you to sit in judgment.
17	MR. SPROWSON: [inaudible]
18	THE COURT: We have [inaudible] decision one way or
19	the other [inaudible].
20	MR. SPROWSON: [inaudible]
21	THE COURT: Do you mean ask him some questions about
22	that, like Jehovah's Witnesses? I will not do it.
23	MR. SPROWSON: [inaudible]
24	THE COURT: You did, but that was just the first one
25	[indiscernible] those are the ones usually [indiscernible]

judgment.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: [inaudible]

MS. BLUTH: [inaudible]

THE COURT: Let me just get this one juror taken care of.

(End of bench conference)

(In the presence of the prospective jury panel)

THE COURT: Mr. Gilliam, thank you for your time, sir. I'm going to ask you to please stand up and move to the back of the room. We need to call someone else.

Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to have to ask you guys — I'm so glad all of you earlier in response to Ms.

Bluth's question said you don't mind waiting a little bit. I need your indulgence a little bit. I've got a bunch of cases that are trying to go to trial all at the same time and obviously, I can only be in one at a time. I have a case that I have to address something immediately. It's supposed to go to trial next week in front of another judge because I'll be in this trial. So we need to get this other individual in the seat, we need to ask him his questions. But I do need your patience. I need 10 minutes to talk to about four attorneys in this other case and I promise you we will have a jury I would bet you before 12:30. Okay? But again, I do appreciate your patience.

Why don't we call the new person for Mr. Gilliam's

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	seat.
2	THE CLERK: Badge number 776, Gary Lawson, for seat
3	13.
4	THE COURT: Good morning. I need to get you up to
5	speed. I know you've been listening to these questions for
6	three days, so you probably know what I'm going to ask you.
7	Sir, as you heard, there may be some law enforcement
8	officials who are called to testify. Would you give more
9	weight or credence to the testimony of a law enforcement
10	official simply because he or she is in law enforcement?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
12	THE COURT: Are you in law enforcement, sir?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
14	THE COURT: Close friends or family members in law
15	enforcement?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
17	THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a
18	crime?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
20	THE COURT: Have you ever been accused of committing
21	a crime?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
23	THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
25	THE COURT: When?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: About three, two or
2	three years ago.
3	THE COURT: Was it here in Las Vegas?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yeah, here in this up
5	here.
6	THE COURT: Civil or criminal?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Criminal.
8	THE COURT: Don't tell me what the verdict was, but
9	was your jury able to reach a verdict?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
13	THE COURT: Sir, can you follow these instructions?
14	You must follow all instructions of the Court on the law, even
15	if they differ from your personal conceptions of what you
16	think the law is. Can you follow that instruction?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? A
19	person who's accused of committing a crime is presumed to be
20	innocent in a criminal trial. Can you follow that
21	instruction?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
23	THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? The
24	defendant does not have to present any evidence in order for
25	you to return a verdict of not guilty. Can you follow that

1	instruction?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Can you follow this instruction? The
4	State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a
5	reasonable doubt. Can you follow that instruction?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Sir, are you employed?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
9	THE COURT: What do you do, Mr. Lawson?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Casino dealer for 21
11	years. And prior to that a newspaper delivery in Kansas City,
12	Missouri for about 10 years. Prior to that, during my teenage
13	years, I had a lawn care business. That's basically it.
14	THE COURT: Are you married?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: I'm sorry?
16	THE COURT: Are you married?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
18	THE COURT: Children?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No.
20	THE COURT: How long have you lived in Clark County,
21	Nevada?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Seventeen years.
23	THE COURT: Thank you. By the State.
24	MS. BLUTH: May I just stay right here, Your Honor?
25	THE COURT: Sure.

[	
1	MS. BLUTH: Hi. Oh, no, it's you still. When you
2	were a juror, like Judge said, can't tell me the verdict, but
3	what type of criminal case was it? Like, what were the
4	charges?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Theft of alcohol from
6	Caesar's Palace.
7	MS. BLUTH: Okay. Did you deliberate for a long
8	time on that?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No, an hour or two. Not
10	too long.
11	MS. BLUTH: When you went back there, did you feel
12	comfortable sitting back there and voicing your opinions?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Not really, but, I mean,
14	I did it. I had no problems, but not real comfortable with
15	it.
16	MS. BLUTH: Is it because you don't like speaking or
17	what made it uncomfortable?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yeah, I'm a little shy
19	in big groups of people I guess, and a little uncomfortable.
20	MS. BLUTH: That makes sense. When you came in here
21	and you heard the charges, these charges are obviously a lot
22	different than the previous case you sat in. Did you have any
23	reactions either way?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: I read the Las Vegas
25	Review Journal every day and I believe I saw something in the

1	past about I don't remember all the details. It's been
2	awhile back, but I do read the paper every day and remember
3	seeing something about the case.
4	MS. BLUTH: Is there anything about that that stays
5	with you or that creates something in your mind that makes you
6	think you wouldn't be able to be fair to either side?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: I think I could be fair.
8	MS. BLUTH: In regards to following the law, you
9	know now that you were a previous juror, you were given that
10	stack of instructions on what the law is. Even if you
11	disagree with what the law is, can you still agree to abide by
12	it and be a juror, or is that something you could struggle
13	with?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yeah, I can agree with
15	that.
16	MS. BLUTH: You've heard us talk about the age of 18
17	and certain laws happen to those of us that are over 18. Do
18	you understand not understand do you agree with it? Do
19	you agree with that?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes.
21	MS. BLUTH: Why do you think that the age of 18 was
22	chosen versus 14 or 20?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: They should be more
24	mature by age 18 and it's the legal age.
25	MS. BLUTH: Do you think that for instance, Mr.

1	Taylor was talking about if there's a 13 year old, they're
2	very mature and they're very smart, let's take that scenario a
3	step further. Do you think that that smart 13 year old should
4	be held to the same level of accountability as an adult under
5	the law?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: No. Even though some
7	13, 15 year olds are much more intelligent than a lot of
8	adults, still there's rules, you know, for kids that age.
9	MS. BLUTH: Do you feel comfortable sitting as a
10	juror, sitting in judgment in front of another person?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: I'm a little
12	uncomfortable with the charges and, you know, potential
13	evidence that might be presented. I think I could be fair,
14	but a little uncomfortable with it.
15	MS. BLUTH: I understand what you're saying in
16	regards to the pictures is what you're referring to; is that
17	right?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yeah.
19	MS. BLUTH: But what about the charges in specific
20	makes you feel uncomfortable?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Well, like most people,
22	I'm, you know, strongly against pedophiles, child abusers, pet
23	abusers, animal abusers, things like that. Just not
24	comfortable with it.

MS. BLUTH:

25

I understand. And I completely

1 2. 3 4 5 be a juror. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: 7 8 pass for cause, Your Honor. 9 10 Lawson? 11 MR. SPROWSON: Yeah. 12 13 14 15 16 17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

understand that and respect that. The only issue that comes in to play is if you wouldn't be able to give the defendant a fair trial because of those beliefs. But if you can hold the State to their burden, then the law says it's okay for you to

Yeah.

MS. BLUTH: All right. Thank you so much. We'll

THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, any questions of Mr.

I would like to ask Mr. Lawson a question. Good afternoon, Mr. Lawson. Melvin Sprowson, I am the defendant. There's been a lot of discussion about laws established and should you follow the law. I find it interesting. The question I have for you is say, for example, there was something that you liked to do, that's not saying that you do, but say it's drinking. In one state the age is 18 you can drink, and the other state is 21. Now -- and you wanted to drink something and you're actually 19. And you live in the state where it's 21. Would you travel to the state that allows the drinking to be 18?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yeah. Probably, yeah.

MR. SPROWSON: So, would you say then that sometimes the law is a matter of geography? What I mean by that is depending on where you are it might be legal in one place --

and if you think, a good example would be marijuana --

2.

2.2.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Right. I was just going to say that. Marijuana, it's legal here in Nevada and California, but most states it's not. It's not legal federally, but geographically, you're right.

MR. SPROWSON: Right. And the same thing with drinking. There's a lot of states that have different ages for drinking. And not only that, there are 29 states that sexual consent is 16. So — and then there are other states where it has to be 18. So I guess really what I'm getting at is — and you've answered it, so it really, it was your desire to do whatever was described by the law in one state is legal versus the other, you would go to the legal state and actually do what you want to do. Say, for example, the example was drinking, and you said yes, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yeah.

MR. SPROWSON: All right. That's all I really wanted to say. Thank you.

THE COURT: I need to interject. I just need clarification. We talked about this a little bit when we went through can you follow these instructions. If you're selected as a juror in this case, at the very end of the case, whoever is selected will receive what's called jury instructions. And the jury instructions are the law that you'll apply in deciding the case. What I need to make sure, though, is you

1 use the law as given, you don't come up with a different 2. version of what you think the law to be. Will you use the law 3 as given, even if you disagree with it, sir? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 776: Yes. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. 6 Sprowson. Pass for cause, Mr. Sprowson? 7 MR. SPROWSON: Yes. 8 THE COURT: And the State passed for cause. 9 and gentlemen, and please, it's on me, I need your indulgence. 10 Like I said, I have another case that's supposed to go 11 tomorrow and I have to get -- I'm sorry, it's supposed to go 12 Monday but I can obviously only be in one place at a time. So 13 there are a couple issues I have to resolve in that case, and 14 I have two inmates waiting on that case. So can you just 15 indulge me? Again, I apologize. I appreciate all the time

you spend, but I can't be two places at once. I need to
address this one matter. I just need until about 20 after.

So if you want to get a soda, water, whatever you need.

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

Please don't go far and then come back in. We'll get a jury picked and the rest of you will go about and hopefully have a wonderful day.

So, as always, don't talk about the case, don't research the case, don't form or express any opinion about the case.

(Court recessed at 12:03 p.m. until 12:33 p.m.)

88

1	(In the presence of the prospective jury panel)
2	THE COURT: Thank you again. Again, ladies and
3	gentlemen, thank you for your patience. So what's going to
4	happen over the next several minutes is you'll see the State
5	and the defendant passing a sheet of paper back and forth.
6	And on that sheet of paper they're writing names of
7	individuals who are going to be excused as jurors in this
8	case. The process should take 10 minutes, 10, 15 minutes at
9	most. Just make yourself comfortable. You can talk to your
LO	neighbor, you can move around your seat. Just don't leave,
L1	because sometimes they need to see you to put a face to a
L2	name. Once they've done that we'll have a jury and everyone
L3	else will be dismissed.
L4	(Off the record - peremptory challenge)
L5	THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the
L6	jury, if your name is called, please stand up and move to the
L7	back of the room. Don't leave the courtroom yet.
L8	(Clerk calls names)
L9	THE COURT: Counsel for the State and Mr. Sprowson,
20	please look at the jury. Is this the jury you selected?
21	MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson?
23	MR. SPROWSON: Yes.
24	THE COURT: All right. Everyone else, you're going
25	to be excused as jurors at this point. Do they have to go

down to Jury Services, Jason?

2.

2.2.

THE MARSHAL: No, Judge, they just go right on home.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you so much for your time. I appreciate your patience over the last several days. Enjoy the remainder of your day. Have a wonderful weekend as well.

Ladies and gentlemen, as you've probably figured out by now, you've been selected as the jury in this case. What I need for you to do is stand up and please raise your right hand and be sworn in as jurors.

(Clerk administers oath to jurors)

THE COURT: Please sit down and make yourself comfortable. So I just kind of want to run something by you guys. What's going to happen the remainder of the day, today's going to be a short day. So basically what I need to do is there's some preliminary instructions that I need to give you guys. It's just basic instructions on how to kind of look at the evidence as it's presented during the course of the case. And at that point, you guys will be released for the day.

Now, I can do two things. I understand it's 1:00 and I know you might be really hungry. I don't know if you brought snacks, ma'am. It will take me like 20 minutes to go through these instructions. What I would prefer to do is just power through for the next 20 minutes and let you guys go for

the day versus sending you out for lunch and bringing you back in an hour or so. What would you rather do?

JURORS: Power through.

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: Power through. Let's power through.

And then, when we come back tomorrow we're going to come back at 9:00 in the morning. What's going to happen, the State will present its opening and Mr. Sprowson, if he desires, will have a chance to do opening. Thereafter, we're going to jump right into the witnesses. Jason will kind of give you guys a tentative schedule for next week so you can plan with your jobs, your kids, or whatever else is going on.

We've sworn you in as jurors now. Ladies and gentlemen, you've been selected as the jury in this case. I'm going to take a few minutes to talk to you about what to expect. My comments are intended to serve as an introduction to the trial. At the end of the trial I'll give you more detailed instructions in writing, and these instructions will control your deliberations.

As you're aware, this is a criminal case brought by the State of Nevada against the defendant. The case is based on information. The clerk is going to stand up and read the information and state the plea of the defendant.

(Information read - not transcribed)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the information just read to you is simply a description of the

charge made by the State against the defendant. It is not evidence, and it does not prove anything. Therefore, the defendant starts out with a clean slate. The defendant has pled not guilty and is presumed innocent.

2.

2.2.

This is a criminal trial and there's two basic rules you have to keep in mind. First, the defendant is presumed innocent unless and until proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. The defendant is not required to present any evidence or to prove his innocence. The law never imposes upon a defendant in a criminal case the burden of calling any witnesses or introducing any evidence.

Second, in order to convict, the State must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the crime was committed and the defendant is the person who committed the crime. It is going to be your duty to decide from the evidence to be presented whether the defendant is guilty or not guilty. You're the sole judges of the facts. You will decide what the facts are from the evidence which will be presented.

The evidence will consist of testimony of witnesses and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits. You must apply the facts of the law which I shall give you, and in that way you will reach your verdict. It is important you form your duty of determining the facts diligently and conscientiously for ordinarily, there's no way of correcting an erroneous determination of the facts by the

jury.

2.

2.2.

You should not take anything I may say or do during the trial as indicating my opinion as to how you should decide the case or to influence you in any way in your determination of the facts. At times I may even ask questions of witnesses. If I do, it is for the purpose of bringing out matters which should be brought out and not in any way to indicate my opinion about the facts or to indicate the weight or value you should give to the testimony of a witness.

In deciding the facts of this case you may have to decide which witnesses to believe and which witnesses not to believe. You may believe everything a witness says or only part of it or none of it at all. In considering the weight or value of the testimony of any witness, you may consider the appearance, attitude and behavior of the witness when testifying and a number of other things, including the witness's ability to see or hear or know the things the witness testifies to, the quality of the witness's memory, the inclination of the witness to speak truthfully, whether or not the witness has any interest in the outcome of the case or any motive, bias, or prejudice, whether the witness is contradicted by anything the witness said or wrote before the trial, and how reasonable is the witness's testimony when considered with other evidence which you do believe.

In deciding whether or not to believe a witness,

keep in mind people sometimes forget things. You need to 2. consider whether a contradiction is an innocent lapse of memory or an intentional falsehood. That may depend on whether it has to do with an important fact or only a small detail. The weight or value of evidence does not necessarily depend on the number of witnesses testifying for one side. You must consider all the evidence and you may decide that the testimony of a smaller number of witnesses on one side has more weight or value than that presented by the larger number of witnesses on the other side.

2.2.

There's two types of evidence, direct and circumstantial. Direct evidence is testimony about what the witness personally saw, heard, or did. Circumstantial evidence is indirect evidence. It is proof of one or more facts from which you can find another fact. For example, if you wake up in the morning and see the ground, the sidewalks, and all the streets are wet and water is running down the gutters, you may find from those facts that it rained during the night. It is proof of one or more facts in which you can find another fact.

In contrast, if you're awake during the night and actually saw the rain fall, that's direct evidence, because it's something you personally saw. You may consider both direct and circumstantial evidence in deciding the case. The law permits you to give equal weight or value to both, but it

is for you to decide how much consideration to give to any evidence.

2.

2.2.

Certain things are not evidence and you must not consider them as evidence in deciding the facts of this case. Statements and arguments by the attorneys, questions and objections of the attorneys, testimony I instruct you to disregard and anything you may see or hear when the Court is not in session, even if what you see or hear is done or said by one of the parties or by one of the witnesses. Remember, evidence is sworn testimony by a witness while Court is in session and documents and other things received into evidence as exhibits.

There are rules of law which control what can be received into evidence. When a lawyer asks a question or offers an exhibit into evidence and the lawyer on the other side or the defendant on the other side thinks that it's not permitted by the rules, that lawyer or that defendant may object. If I overrule the objection the question may be answered or the exhibit received. If I sustain the objection, the question cannot be answered and the exhibit cannot be received.

Whenever I sustain an objection to a question, ignore the question and do not guess what the answer might have been. Sometimes I may order evidence stricken from the record and tell you to disregard or ignore such evidence.

This means when you're deciding the case you must not consider the evidence which I've told you to disregard.

2.

It is the duty of the lawyer or the defendant to object to evidence which the lawyer or the defendant believes may not be permitted under the rules. You should not be prejudiced in any way against the lawyer or the defendant who makes objections on behalf of the party the lawyer represents or the defendant representing himself. Also, I may find it necessary to admonish a lawyer or the defendant. If I do so you should not be prejudiced toward the lawyer or the defendant because I found it necessary to admonish that individual.

Until the case is submitted to you, do not talk to each other about it or about anyone or about anyone who has anything to do with it until the end of the case and you go to the jury room to decide upon your verdict. Do not talk with anyone else about this case or anyone who has anything to do with it until the trial has ended and you've been discharged as jurors. Anyone else includes members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you're a juror in a criminal case, but don't tell them anything else about it until after you've been discharged.

Do not let anyone talk to you about the case or about anyone who has anything to do with it. If someone should try to talk to you, please report that to me

immediately by letting Jason, the Marshal, know. Do not read
any news stories, listen to any radio broadcasts, or watch any
television reports about the case or about anyone who has
anything to do with it. That includes, obviously, going on
the Internet or any type of social media. And this is very,
very important. You guys need to lay off social media during
the course of the trial.

2.2.

Again, you can say basically you're a juror in a criminal case. You can't say anything else about it. That includes Facebook, Snapchat, Instagram, anything that I'm not thinking of. You just cannot go onto social media during the course of the trial and discuss anything about this case.

Another thing that's really important, we're all so used to this digital age where information is at our fingertips. You cannot do any research or make any investigation about the case on your own. I know it may sound very innocent if you hear something during the trial and you want to just Google it real quick. Please don't do so. The mere act of doing that could taint this entire trial. So please, stay off the Internet with respect to anything related to this trial.

Also, there's going to be different scenes that are discussed, different locations that are discussed during the course of the trial. Do not go near those scenes during the course of the trial. I'm not asking you to loop totally

around the valley, but please go — just make an effort not to go by different scenes which may be mentioned or locations which may be mentioned during the course of the trial. And the reason for this is it's not that the parties don't want you to know something, it's just quite simply over the last several years in the valley everything is changing. So just because something looks one way today doesn't mean it looked that way several years ago when this case occurred.

2.

2.2.

At the end of the trial you'll have to make your decision based on what you recall of the evidence. You'll not have a written transcript to consult, and it's difficult and time consuming for the recorder to read back lengthy testimony. I urge you to pay close attention to the testimony as it's given.

As I indicated during jury selection, this department does allow jury questions. If you have a question what you need to do is write it down, put your name on it, and also put your juror identification number. Either get my attention or Jason's attention before that witness leaves the stand. I will show it to the attorneys and Mr. Sprowson. If it's a question that can be asked, taking into consideration the rules of the evidence, then we'll go ahead and ask that question. But again, sometimes remember there's things that we just — you may want to know but we really can't bring up during the course of the trial because it's just not allowed

by the rules.

2.

2.2.

Again, you can take notes during the trial to help you remember what the witnesses said. If you do take notes, please keep them to yourself until you and your fellow jurors go to the jury room to decide the case. Don't let note taking distract you so that you don't hear all the testimony that's given. You need to rely on your own memory of what was said and not be overly influenced by the notes of the other jurors.

Do not make up your mind about what the verdict should be until after you've gone to the jury room to decide the case and you and your fellow jurors have discussed the evidence. Always keep an open mind.

A juror may not declare to a fellow juror any fact relating to this case of which the juror has knowledge. If a juror discovers during the trial or after the jury has retired that the juror or any other juror has personal knowledge of any fact in controversy in the case, you need to disclose the situation to me immediately. You do so by letting Jason know and he will get that information to me. This means if you learn during the course of the trial you have personal knowledge of any fact which is not presented by the evidence in the case, again, you have to let me know through the Marshal.

Sometimes things that come up is, you know, like when we ask if you know certain witnesses. I'm terrible with

names. So if you ask me if I know Joe Smith. I may say no. But then I see the person sitting on the stand, I think hey, that guy lives down the street from me. Happens to everyone. It's not a big deal. But what is important is that once you recognize that individual you need to let us know immediately. All right?

2.

2.2.

Remember, during the trial the attorneys for both sides, as well as the defendant and the court personnel, other than Jason, they're not permitted to talk to you. These individuals are not being anti-social, they're bound by ethics and the law not to talk to you because doing so could contaminate your verdict.

The trial's going to proceed in the following manner. The deputy district attorney will make an opening statement, which is an outline to help you understand what the State expect to prove. Next, the defendant may, but does not have to, make an opening statement. Openings statements are an introduction to the evidence which the party making the statement intends to prove. The State will then present its evidence and the defendant may choose to cross-examine the witnesses. Following the State's case, the defendant may present his own evidence and then the district attorney may cross-examine the defendant's witnesses. However, as I said, the defendant is not obligated to present any evidence or any witnesses at all.

2.2.

instruct you on the law. After the instructions on the law have been read to you, each side has the opportunity to present oral argument. What is said in closing argument is not evidence. The arguments are designed to summarize and interpret the evidence. Since the State has the burden of proving the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, the State has the right to open and close the arguments. After the arguments have been completed, you'll retire to deliberate upon your verdict.

After all the evidence has been presented, I'll

All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to let you go at this time. I'd like to see you tomorrow at 9:00.

Jason will give you instructions about where to park. This is going to be important. You're going to learn this admonishment because you're going to hear it every time you leave the courtroom.

You're admonished not to converse amongst yourselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the trial. Do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of information including, without limitation, television, newspaper, radio, Internet, social media, et cetera. And do not form or express an opinion on this case until it's submitted to you for deliberation.

Look and see where you're seated because you'll be in the exact same seats until this trial is completed. Have a

1	lovely day. We'll see you tomorrow at nine. Jason, I think
2	on Tuesday of next week we're going to try to start at 10:30,
3	so we can get a little extra time.
4	(Jury recessed at 1:21 p.m.)
5	THE COURT: So we're going to go off the record and
6	I'm going to go back in my office and do work. I don't know,
7	you need to go down and eat because you probably need your
8	insulin too.
9	MR. SPROWSON: I just have one question for the
10	State.
11	THE COURT: Yeah.
12	MR. SPROWSON: I'm sorry about being a pest. Can
13	you give me an assessment on when I'll be able to get chain of
14	custody for my computer and my phone, the one I kind of
15	referred to?
16	MS. BLUTH: Sure. It will be, when we bring in the
17	evidence, it will be here too, but let me see if they emailed
18	it to me. I don't check my email in here very often.
19	THE COURT: Officer, how long until you have Mr.
20	Sprowson back up here?
21	OFFICER: How long?
22	THE COURT: Yeah. He needs his medicine and he
23	needs to eat.
24	OFFICER: We'll take him downstairs, call the nurse,
25	have the nurse come over and give him his medicine. Whatever

KARR REPORTING, INC.

1	you give us.
2	THE COURT: I just want him to have time. And then
3	Mr. Sweetin probably needs to go get a bite real quick.
4	MS. BLUTH: I don't see it yet, Mr. Sprowson, but
5	I'll make a phone call.
6	THE COURT: When should Mr. Sweetin be back? 2:15?
7	Is that enough time? You want to get something to eat?
8	MR. SWEETIN: Maybe 2:30 would be safer.
9	THE COURT: 2:30 is fine. All right. If you guys
10	don't need me, we're going to go off the record.
11	(Court recessed for the evening at 1:23 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

KARR REPORTING, INC. 103

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of Nevada Rules of Appellate

Procedure, this is a rough draft transcript expeditiously prepared,

not proofread, corrected or certified to be an accurate transcript.

KIMBERLY LAWSON TRANSCRIBER

Electronically Filed 1/2/2018 11:51 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

# DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA \* \* \* \* \*

Defendant.	)
MELVYN PERRY SPROWSON, JR.,	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
VS.	)
Plaintiff,	) DEPT NO. XXIII
THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) CASE NO. C295158-1

BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEFANY MILEY, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

#### JURY TRIAL - DAY 4

FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 2017

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For the State: JAMES SWEETIN, ESQ.

JACQUELINE M. BLUTH, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorneys

For the Defendant: MELVYN PERRY SPROWSON, Pro Se

MICHAEL R. YOHAY, ESQ.

RECORDED BY MARIA GARIBAY, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR Reporting, Inc.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

INDEX	
OPENING STATEMENTS:	
By Ms. Bluth	33
By Mr. Sprowson	63
WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:	
JAYSENIA TORRES	
Direct Examination By Ms. Bluth	96
Cross-Examination By Mr. Sprowson	206
Redirect Examination By Ms. Bluth	233
Recross Examination By Mr. Sprowson	235
Further Redirect Examination By Ms. Bluth	324
Further Recross Examination By Mr. Sprowson	330
EXHIBITS	
STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED: PAGE	
22 and 23	105
24–28	113
24–28 17	113 123
17	123
17 20	123 136
17 20 3A	123 136 163
17 20 3A 3B	123 136 163 166
17 20 3A 3B 3C	123 136 163 166 167
17 20 3A 3B 3C 3D	123 136 163 166 167 168

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

## LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 2017, 9:18 A.M.

\* \* \* \* \*

(Outside the presence of the jury)

THE COURT: All right. So, again, Kathy was giving

me the rundown that you guys had the opportunity to go through the evidence yesterday.

MR. SWEETIN: That's correct, Judge. Just for the record, we had the detectives from the Henderson -- or, rather, Clark County School District Police Department bring in all the evidence that had been booked in this case, and the defendant was given the opportunity to review the evidence to review the chain of custody detail on each piece of evidence. Some of those items of evidence that were marked that the State was putting into their case, the defendant was given the opportunity to mark whatever evidence he wanted to put in his case. He decided that there wasn't any remaining evidence he wanted to mark and put into his case.

There were some questions that he had for that detectives in regards to the chain of custody that was not detailed on the evidence exactly what the evidence was. Those questions were answered. His standby counsel was with him at the time he did this review. And as I understand, all his questions were answered at that time.

THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, sir.

MR. SPROWSON: Well, I have a few issues in regards

to that. There was some documentation that was under seal that the State had an opportunity to review. I do not. I don't know how, Your Honor. I would like --

THE COURT: Specifically what?

MR. SPROWSON: — to address that. For the most part the State is correct. I had an opportunity to view all of the evidence, and we went through it. We spent a great deal of time. I did present two possible exhibits to Ms. — Kruger?

THE COURT: Streuber.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: Streuber. Streuber. Sorry.

Streuber, Ms. Streuber. There also was an issue of — if I can discuss with you. I know today, if I understand correctly, we're supposed to do the opening statements, and the State is going to present I'm not sure how many witnesses. But I remember last time I brought up the issue of the direct examination of the alleged victim.

I wanted to ask Your Honor how she would propose that we do that. Because I know that the State is going to have their direct examination; I'm going to have an opportunity to my cross-examination. But I want to make a distinction between my cross and my direct, and I'd like to possibly just defer my opening statement to after my cross so that it's distinctive that I'm doing a direct examination, and I just want to ask Your Honor how she would like to deal with

that.

2.

2.2.

opening at two different times. You can either do it immediately after the State, or technically you can do it at the opening of — the beginning of your presentation of the evidence. And then, really, the choice is up to you. The way people ordinarily do it when — it is not uncommon, I will tell you that, I'll make that representation, when we bring someone in, if one side wants to call them in case in chief and the other one wants to call in their case in chief, usually witnesses are just brought in once. Not always, but that's not unusual, I'll tell you that. It's usually for financial reasons.

And usually what happens is, obviously, as a general rule, as you're aware, you can only ask questions within the scope of the direct examination when you're on cross-examination, okay. And where that comes into play is on direct examination you can ask open-ended questions. So tell me what you did today, things like that. On cross-examination you can ask leading questions, okay.

So when you have the issue of doing your direct examination, your cross-examination at the same time, what I'm getting to, where it really affects things is, number one, you can exceed what the State brings up in their direct examination, but it also modifies the way in which you can ask

a question. So if you're asking a question that is beyond 1 2. what the State asks in their direct, so if it's on your direct 3 examination, you can't ask it in a leading format. You have 4 to ask in an open-ended format just like the State is doing. 5 Follow? 6 MR. SPROWSON: Okay. So if I understand correctly, 7 the way that it would be distinguished is the leading question would -- would indicate that it is following their scope and 8 9 have a cross-examination. And then --10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 12 13 infer that I'm into my direct examination? 14 15 16 17

MR. SPROWSON: -- when I go beyond that, when I kind of -- it's more of an open-ended question, it would kind of

THE COURT: Well, I don't know. I'll be honest with I don't know that the jury makes the distinction one way or the other. I think the jury just hears the evidence regardless.

MR. SPROWSON: Yeah.

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

THE COURT: But as far as my listening to it and responding to objections, it makes a difference on whether you're on direct or cross and how the question is presented.

MR. SPROWSON: Right, and that's why I brought this to your attention.

THE COURT: But the jury just hears it. I'll be honest with you.

MR. SPROWSON: Right, right. And that's why I
brought it to your attention because, you know, I don't want
to — every time I try to introduce some evidence or try to —
to ask the alleged victim a question that the State object,
object, object, object, you know, and I don't want to have to
keep getting shut down because I do want to have a fair
opportunity to present my side.

THE COURT: Sure.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: The jury definitely should hear all of the evidence, not just what the State is going to present. And also --

THE COURT: Well, a suggestion. This is what we do. Like I said, this is not uncommon. It happens in civil cases, it happens — well, it happens a lot in civil cases, actually. And sometimes we just let the — you know, we can always — in my opinion, and the State knows this because they've tried cases with me, I keep the jury informed. I think it's better to keep them informed of what's going on.

And so a lot of times what we'll say is, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, the State has called this witness in their case in chief and the defense is going to call this witness in their case in chief for sake of, you know, we have the witness here, they're just all going to ask their questions at this time while the witness is on the stand.

That is not uncommon, and we can let the jury know, you know, that way they know that she's your witness, as well.

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: And, Your Honor, just for clarification purposes for Mr. Sprowson, it doesn't matter if she's, you know, my witness on direct or his witness on direct, my objections are going to be the same. So as long as it's relevant and as long as there aren't any evidentiary rules that keep it from coming in, I'm not going to object. But it doesn't make a difference if I'm on direct or he's on direct, the objections are still going to be the same.

And then also, Ms. Torres is actually leaving to go back to college, and so I — I mean, it's his choice if he'd like to serve her with a subpoena and fly her back, but after today she is gone. So I would — unless he has the means to fly her back, then I would make sure that he asks all the questions he can today.

MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, and that's why I brought this up, Your Honor, because I — we had this discussion already that she was —

THE COURT: You know --

MR. SPROWSON: -- going to go back.

THE COURT: -- we can make it easy. And like I said, explaining things to the jury doesn't really hurt either one of you guys. If you want, okay, I've seen it done a multitude of different ways, is if it's easier for you guys,

1	well, easier for you, Mr. Sprowson, the State can do their
2	their direct, you can do your cross. And then if we want we
3	can tell the jury because she has to go back to school, Mr.
4	Sprowson is now going to present his direct examination of the
5	witness.
6	And I don't think it harms you guys to let the jury
7	know what's going on, and that may make it easier as far as
8	organizing your questions. If you want to do that, Mr.
9	Sprowson, I don't care. Whatever you'd like to do.
10	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I'd like to do that, and I'd
11	like to defer your opening to right after the
12	THE COURT: Why don't you do this. When you're done
13	with your cross-examination, kind of get my attention and say,
14	Judge, I'd like to start on my direct. And then I'll just
15	make a little announcement to the jury explaining them
16	explaining to them procedurally what's going to happen, okay?
17	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. And then $$ and I'd like to do
18	my opening at that time so it kind of leads into my direct.
19	MS. BLUTH: Yeah, he can't he can't do that.
20	THE COURT: Well, you can only your opening
21	most people do their opening immediately after the first side
22	does it, but technically you can do it at the presentation of

MR. SPROWSON: So because theirs is still open it

23

24

25

closed their case.

your case, which means you can't do your opening until they've

kind of --

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: Yep.

MR. SPROWSON: Okay. So I --

THE COURT: It's either in the beginning --

MR. SPROWSON: -- pretty much would have to --

THE COURT: -- or when you start your case, but it cannot be in the middle of their case.

MR. SPROWSON: Okay. And is there any way that I can get a breakdown of how the day is going to go so that I can kind of, just for planning purposes, and what the State intends on doing and the Court. I know it's going to be a full day; correct?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SPROWSON: Okay. So — and I know that JT is going to be called. I know we have opening statements. So is there any way the Court can provide me with somewhat of a gist of how it's going to go today so I can have an idea so I can give a better judgment on when I can present my stuff?

THE COURT: The State is going to do their opening. After the do their opening, I'm going to ask whether or not you want to present your opening at that time or whether you want to defer until your case in chief. Depending on what you say, you'll either do the opening or the State will call the first witness.

I believe the first witness to be Jaysenia Torres;

1	is that correct?
2	MS. BLUTH: That's correct.
3	THE COURT: All right. And then I have a feeling
4	she might be on the stand a bit since you're both calling her
5	in your case in chief, and the State will call their next
6	witness.
7	Who is lined up next?
8	MS. BLUTH: Her mother, and that I'm sure that
9	that will take the majority of the day.
10	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. So those will be the two
11	today?
12	MS. BLUTH: I mean, if we get done with Kathy we can
13	probably line some other people up, but for right now that's
14	who we're planning on calling.
15	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. Sounds good.
16	THE COURT: Yeah, we'll just play it by ear as the
17	day goes on.
18	MR. SPROWSON: Okay.
19	THE COURT: And then the jury will, obviously, get
20	breaks for the bathroom, and they'll get about an hour break
21	for lunch.
22	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. And I just want to bring up
23	one other topic. You know, this morning and I understand
24	I'm incarcerated and all this other stuff.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

25

1 | 2 | 07 | 3 | to 4 | an 5 | wa 6 | I | 7 | pa 8 | k:

2.2.

opening statement this morning and I asked for an opportunity to do that. Now, I'm not trying to indicate anything — anybody did anything wrong on the officer side, but I just want to make a record that I did ask for an opportunity while I was in the holding tank to — to work on my paperwork. My paperwork was kept from me and I was not allowed to do any kind of preparation during that time. And I'm just doing this for — for record sake.

MR. SPROWSON: I -- I was trying to work on my

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. SPROWSON: I'm not trying to indicate that anybody did anything wrong. I'm sure they probably were following policy. But I just want to let this Court know that I was not afforded that opportunity, and this is one of the reasons why I'm bringing up deferring the opening statement to a later time so that I have more opportunity to work on it since I wasn't afforded that time.

THE COURT: Just remember, if you're going to do your opening statement after the State presents their case, again, you can't argue the evidence. That's closing. You can only —

MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I know.

THE COURT: -- just indicate what the evidence will show, which just means what your presentation of the -- the evidence will show. Okay?

MR. SPROWSON: Right. Well, and I know. I'm just trying to give a roadmap. But, you know, I want to do -- I want to do something that is correct and lawful and meaningful to the jury. And that's why, you know, it's -- I'm entitled to a fair trial and I just want to have a fair opportunity.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, you have during their opening and let me know. Like I said, I'll ask you, you tell me what you want to do, and then we'll proceed accordingly, sir.

MR. SPROWSON: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.

2.2.

MR. SWEETIN: And, Judge, just to make a complete record, defense counsel — or defendant previously brought up the issue in regards to looking at some records that were here that he wasn't able to fully examine. As it turns out, there were two records that were opened from previous proceedings within this Court of records that had been filed by this Court at some point that were marked sealed inadvertently. One of those we were able to discern was a — it appeared to be bank records relating to Jaysenia, another one related to the mental health records related to Jaysenia.

Once we — once I looked at the mental health records, I — I — where did these come from, and I looked and I saw that it was sealed. And at that point we put it back in the envelope and we didn't look at it any further. The

defendant wanted to look at that item further. I said that -that he could make that -- ask the Court that today and the
Court would make -- make a decision.

2.

2.2.

I know that in this case there has been litigation in regards to a psychological evaluation of the victim, which was denied, and some other things. So I'm guessing, or I suppose that — that those particular items were probably marked based upon an in camera review of those items, because I haven't seen them in any discovery that I have.

THE COURT: Were they marked as a court exhibit? In think they were. I think that was -- and Ms. Bluth can refresh my recollection -- I thought that was way back when John Momot was still on the case.

MS. BLUTH: Right. So Mr. Momot made a motion to psych the victim, and then also made a motion regarding the previous therapy records. And so I received those and Your Honor did an in camera review. And then after you did an in camera review, you gave each of us a copy of the medical records, and then those were given to Mr. Momot, those were given to me. And then when Mr. Yohay took the case over, I had to make a complete copy of those, as well as, you know, all the other medical records because she's seen so many therapists. So —

THE COURT: Okay. So all those were, as it would be based upon my standard procedure, it would be -- those would

1	be the original I received, they're always made a court
2	exhibit.
3	MR. SPROWSON: And I just want to make a correction.
4	Mr. Yohay did not take the case over. I am pro se. I took
5	the case over. Mr. Yohay is my standby counsel.
6	THE COURT: I understand. Because of the nature of
7	some of the records, they went through Mr. Yohay because we
8	couldn't leave them at the jail.
9	MR. SPROWSON: I just want to make a record, Your
LO	Honor. That's all.
L1	THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. Is there anything
L2	else? I forgot one thing in my office which will
L3	MS. BLUTH: There is one.
L4	THE COURT: take me 30 seconds.
L5	MS. BLUTH: There is another thing before opening.
L6	THE COURT: Of course.
L7	MR. SWEETIN: And, Judge, just in regards to the
L8	parameters under which the defendant is going to be asking
L9	questions, I'm concerned in regards to exhibits that he
20	submitted to the Court and and indicated that he was going
21	to be seeking to admit them.
22	One of them is essentially a medical record of
23	Jaysenia when she was at the mental health facility in which
24	it makes reference to the fact that she was attempting to call

25

another boy when her mother essentially kept her from jumping

over the — the balcony. The other is a newspaper article in which the Henderson Police, I guess, are not quoted, but it's mentioned that upon talking to the Henderson Police that they indicated that Jaysenia was not being held at the house against her will.

2.

2.2.

Now, these — these would normally not — not come into evidence, obviously. Issues in regards to Jaysenia and her contact or any — any issues in regards to her and potentially other boys, that shouldn't come into evidence. And the mindset of police officers in the course of their investigation also should not come in. So the State is just concerned in regards to where the defendant might be going.

MR. SPROWSON: The article that he's referring to, the newspaper article is in regards to Sergeant Maciszak. And I do — if I pronounce his name correctly. He is going to be testifying. So, you know, this is a statement that he has made to the press —

MS. BLUTH: He's not testifying, Your Honor, just so we're clear.

MR. SPROWSON: It's still a statement that this individual made to the press. That's why -- you know, I understood that it was potential exhibits, so that's why, you know, just for caution sake I submitted. The other one, the Montevista medical record, when we were in the lower court, the State asked for a continuance. Mr. Momot, who was my

attorney at the time, wanted some justification on why there was a continuance.

2.

2.2.

The State had argued she was in a mental hospital and — and so on and so forth. Momot is like, hey, we need to justify the continuance. So the State provided — this is a State provided document to the defense. It was included as one of the exhibits already in our writ of habeas corpus that's already been presented to this Court, as well, in the writ of habeas corpus that Momot argued.

And the reason why I put it as an exhibit, because it's not just in reference to other boys. I'm being charged with child abuse with an enhancement of mental — substantial mental harm. The State is going to attempt to lay everything at my doorstep. And I think — I'm not going to get into any sexual history. I'm not because I'm going to respect that.

But the fact of the matter is I am not the first person that she has dated. I am not the first older person that she has dated. And matter of fact, she has a history of running away, and this record reveals that. And it's not just a medical analysis. It reveals details that are pertinent and material to my case. And that's why I — like I said, at the time, if I introduce it, it's a possibility at this point. I submitted it, just in case, at some point in my case. If I didn't submit it, then, hey, I'm at a loss. But it will be up to Your Honor's decision at that point. I just ask that you

```
defer your decision until then.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. So --
 3
               MS. BLUTH:
                           Your Honor, I --
 4
               THE COURT:
                           Hold on, please.
 5
               MS. BLUTH:
                           Okay.
6
               THE COURT: So how do you foresee this information
7
    coming in?
                Because --
8
               MR. SPROWSON: Well, I'd have to --
9
               THE COURT: -- as it stands, it's hearsay.
10
               MR. SPROWSON: Say again?
11
               THE COURT: As it stands, it's hearsay, which means
12
    that it's -- it's an out of court statement offered to prove
13
     the truth of the matter asserted. Are you thinking --
14
               MR. SPROWSON: Well, here's --
15
               THE COURT: -- in terms of cross-examining the
16
    victim?
17
               MR. SPROWSON: Well, see, Your Honor, because I
18
    don't -- this is my first time, and so I don't know how things
19
    are going to go. I am just trying to prepare and be cautious.
20
     I submitted it to the Court just in case -- and I would use it
21
    for impeachment purposes if I need it to be. Whether it is JT
2.2.
    or whether it is one of the officers or whatever it is, I
23
     still -- I'd need a copy and kind of just preview the
24
    information that is in there.
25
               But I do not plan on using it in an inappropriate or
```

unlawful or in a, I should say, something that would violate
the Court's procedures. And, again, it's always before Your

Honor. I just want — I just — out of caution because this
is my first time. I'm inexperienced. Obviously, the State is
in here every day. They — they have — they're professionals
at this. I'm not. So exercising just a side of caution, I
submitted it.

2.2.

Ultimately, it will be up to you, Your Honor, to whether or not I could. But if there is an opportunity and I say, hey, look, you know what, here's my opportunity to lay a foundation, you know, impeachment purposes or whatever, if there's something in there that I could actually use, I don't want to cut myself short on that. And out of caution, I did submit it as an exhibit.

And I'm not trying to do it to — to hurt their case, though it would be in my best interest. I'm just doing it out of a side of caution because I'm an inexperienced person, I'm representing myself, and I'm just trying to do the best I can.

THE COURT: How are you anticipating using the news article?

MR. SPROWSON: Well, the news article, well I was ——
like I said, I don't know. They've given me an exhaustive
list of witnesses. His name is on there, so I was assuming
that he was going to testify. Obviously, since the statement

is in direct reference to him, I was going to use that in regards to him as far as like a statement that he made to the press.

And, again, that would be either for impeachment purposes or just trying to — you know, if I present, hey, you know, did you say this and he says no, well, it says it right here. You know what I'm saying? Impeachment purposes.

THE COURT: State?

2.

2.2.

MS. BLUTH: Thank you. This — this issue — I'm not talking about that article. The previous conduct with the victim has already been litigated. I did a motion in limine and to just ask for the parameters of what would be in. And I was a little bit unclear with the Court's first decision, so then I filed a second motion just for clarification for the Court's ruling on my motion in limine. And I actually had the minutes here like two days ago, but I believe it's like January 2016 where the Court does a — in the Court minutes it's explained.

But what the Court stated was that the defendant could not get into the victim's other relationships, but that the defendant could get into the fact that she had sought therapy before and that we would be able to — you know, he, Mr. Sprowson, would have the ability to say, well, you know, you weren't completely healed from your previous therapy before this happened, so then how can we lay the causation and

-- you know, and then we could speak to her, well, you did have therapy before, did you feel like those issues were worked out.

2.

2.2.

So I don't think that there's anything precluding him from talking about the fact that, you know, she had to receive therapy beforehand and she's in therapy again. But the Court's ruling was that any prior relationships, any prior sexual contact, and anything having to do with the David case was inadmissible. And so yesterday when Mr. Sprowson was going through those exhibits, we realized that maybe that — perhaps that wasn't clear, and we can't unring the bell. So that's why we wanted to talk about this before opening statements and before Jaysenia takes the stand.

THE COURT: Do you have a copy -- does he have a copy of that order?

MS. BLUTH: Let me see.

MR. SPROWSON: Well, the article mentions — it doesn't mention any names, Your Honor. All it says is that she's dated 30s, 40s, individuals in different age ranges.

All I want to establish in my case is that I'm not the first because, you know, obviously they're going to try to lay everything at my doorstep and that's totally unfair to do that. You know, I mean, there has been some prior experiences with other individuals. You know, I'm already at a disadvantage because I can't mention David Shloman (phonetic)

as far as the sexual aspect, but to preclude that she's never had any relationship with anybody else and then I'm --

THE COURT: Okay. Well --

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: -- the first person is --

THE COURT: -- we have to -- let's go back to the argument of relevance. In most cases that information would not come in, the mental health stuff. But the reason the mental health stuff is coming in is because basically the State has put her mental health condition at issue, which means they have to basically show that based upon your actions she has these mental health issues.

So it is absolutely relevant in this Court's opinion that whether or not she had the same issues previously.

That's why I'm allowing you to go into that. But there has to be, as far as her prior sexual relationships, there's got to be some nexus between that and how that relates to this offense. The fact that she may have a thing for older guys, that alone does not have any relevance to what you're being charged with.

Now, it may come up again, depending on what she testifies to on the stand because I — you never know what they're going to say, but there's — it's got to be something. You can't basically say she's a slut and — do you understand what I'm saying?

MR. SPROWSON: Your Honor --

1 THE COURT: You can't basically say she's a slut 2. because she likes older men and she likes me, too. I mean, 3 there has to be some nexus to what the charges are against 4 Do you follow me? 5 MR. SPROWSON: May I clarify, Your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Yeah. 7 I don't think of her that way. MR. SPROWSON: 8 THE COURT: And that was a poor --9 MR. SPROWSON: And I just want to --10 THE COURT: -- probably a poor choice of words. 11 MR. SPROWSON: I just want to clarify. This is 12 somebody --13 THE COURT: But I'm saying you can't use her prior 14 sexual history in this case unless there's some kind of nexus. 15 MR. SPROWSON: I understand. If I may, Your Honor. 16 All I want to show, and I'm not talking about sexual conduct, I don't even need to get into sexual conduct, all I want to 17 18 show is that there is a pattern. There is a pattern of 19 running away. There is a pattern of seeking out older men. 20 And what I'm trying to show is that there is a pattern in this 21 -- in this whole scenario. And all I want to establish, 2.2. because I don't want it perceived by the jury that I am the 23 first individual. I'm all right with -- with the limitations. 24 I don't plan on getting -- as a matter of fact, I prefer not

to get into her sexual conduct.

25

THE COURT: Okay.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: This is a person that I absolutely care for. I am not here to — you know, I don't care what any defense attorney says, you've got to attack this person and make them out to be bad, I'm sorry, I'm not going to do that.

THE COURT: Show me where you're -- tell me where you're going with this because I'm not --

MR. SPROWSON: All I want to show --

THE COURT: -- seeing how it's relevant that she went out with men who were older than her prior to you.

MR. SPROWSON: All I want to do is just show a pattern that she has ran away and that she has sought out older men before. That's all. Because here's the thing, what's at issue is the Craigslist article. I published a Craigslist article. I did not seek her out, okay. I did not seek her out. I published the article. It was — and we'll get into more details on why that happened during the case.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. SPROWSON: But I published that article. She answered the article, okay. I didn't seek her out. So the important point, how this is connected, is that there is a pattern of individual seeking — this particular individual has previously sought out older men. The case — I'm sure that the State is going to argue that I enticed her. They're going to argue that I sought her out —

THE COURT: Okay. 1 2. MR. SPROWSON: -- you know. 3 But I want you to understand something, 4 sir, that basically your crime stands alone, which means that 5 basically just because she did something before, it does not 6 negate the wrongfulness of what -- it does not negate the 7 alleged wrongfulness of what you did. Which means even if 8 she's had relationships with other men, that doesn't mean the 9 fact that you had a relationship with her in the scenario set 10 forth by the State, that doesn't mean that that would make it 11 okay. Each crime stands alone. Do you understand that? 12 MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, and I understand that, Your 13 Honor. And like I said, I'm only bringing this up because 14 this is just potential stuff, you know. And like I said, I'm 15 trying to exercise the side of caution. And, again, the 16 reason why I bring those things up, because, you know, right 17 now I'm playing it off the cuff, I'm an inexperienced person 18 and I have all the disadvantages, so --19 THE COURT: And you keep saying that. We've 20 already --21 MR. SPROWSON: Right. Right. 2.2. THE COURT: -- established. 23 MR. SPROWSON: And I know that. 24 THE COURT: We can move on. 25 MR. SPROWSON: But anyways, just to move it along.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: What was I going to say now? I kind of lost my train of thought.

THE COURT: What I'm saying is basically just because some other men may have engaged, and I'm just saying allegedly may have engaged in wrongful conduct with her, that doesn't make your conduct with her okay.

MR. SPROWSON: I know. But I don't want everything laid at my doorstep is all I'm arguing. Stockholm Syndrome, I'm sure, is going to come up in this. Stockholm Syndrome, and I'm sure they're going to have their — their experts testify.

THE COURT: Why don't we want and see what comes up because I can't speculate --

MR. SPROWSON: Right.

THE COURT: -- what's going to come up.

MR. SPROWSON: Well, that's why I just — that's why I put it there, Your Honor. I don't know when it will come into play. I'm just exercising a side of caution.

MS. BLUTH: And that's what the State's doing, as well. So I just want Mr. Sprowson to make sure he understands the Court's ruling because I can't unring the bell if he opens and he brings these things up. So I don't know if we need to, after I'm done with my direct, he needs to ask questions of what he can and can't get into. But I believe that the

1 Court's ruling is very clear, but I don't know if Mr. Sprowson 2. understands it. And we can't unring the bell, so that's why 3 we asked this pre --The only reason, again, and I'm going to 4 THE COURT: 5 reiterate this one last time, is the only reason the mental 6 health stuff is coming in is because it's an element of what 7 the State has to prove. And in the Court's opinion, you 8 should be able to attack. It's one of the elements of their 9 case. And, again, I think you understand now that your case 10 stands alone. It doesn't matter that other men may or may not 11 have been engaged in wrongful conduct with her. It doesn't 12 matter. It doesn't negate any of your actions. Your actions 13 stand alone. Do you understand? 14 MR. SPROWSON: Yeah. In relation to the Stockholm 15 Syndrome, that is a mental state, a mental issue. 16 Well, and I don't know how that's going THE COURT: 17 to -- I don't -- I haven't seen the evidence, sir. 18 don't have all the discovery and everything else. 19 MR. SPROWSON: Well, the only reason I mentioned 20 the --21 THE COURT: Let's wait and see what comes up. 2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: Right. That's what I'm saying. Well, it mentions with a pattern of Stockholm Syndrome usually starts with the first incident and then it -- and then it kind of builds up. So the reason is if it starts with me, then

23

24

25

I mean, I

1	they've somehow got a case. But if there's been some previous
2	incidences of other men and I'm not trying to say, well,
3	she did this, she did this.
4	THE COURT: Why don't we
5	MR. SPROWSON: All I'm saying is that
6	THE COURT: instead of speculating what's going
7	to come into evidence, why don't we wait and see what comes
8	up.
9	MR. SPROWSON: That's what I was
10	THE COURT: It is not unusual for things to come up
11	that we didn't anticipate and have to address them at that
12	time. If you're unsure, please ask me, though, because we
13	can't unring the bell.
14	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I understand. And like I said,
15	I don't plan on violating any of the things. I think I
16	understand for the most part. There may be some stuff I might
17	be unclear about, so
18	THE COURT: That's fine, that's fine, that's fine.
19	I just have forgot one thing in my office.
20	MS. BLUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: I'll be back in 30 seconds.
22	(Pause in the proceedings)
23	THE COURT: How is your blood sugar? Are you
24	feeling okay?
25	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I'm good today. I did talk to

1	the officers, you know. What time do we anticipate the lunch
2	break?
3	THE COURT: I don't know. When do you need to take
4	your insulin again?
5	MR. SPROWSON: Well, I mean, I'm good until at least
6	mid-noon, like 12:00, 1:00, something like that.
7	THE COURT: If you need to take your insulin at
8	noon
9	THE MARSHAL: 12:15 lunch, maybe?
10	THE COURT: Yeah. Are you okay that long?
11	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah. I just have to go down there,
12	see the nurse, get my medication.
13	THE COURT: It'll be an hour. I mean, I've got to
14	give them an hour to go up and down the elevator.
15	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah.
16	THE COURT: So we'll plan on noon so that you don't
17	have any slumps in your medication, okay?
18	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Bluth, is that where you want to
20	stand for openings?
21	MS. BLUTH: If that's okay, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: I don't care.
23	MS. BLUTH: I mean, I'll probably move around, but
24	I'll keep my voice up so the instructions I mean, so my
25	voice travels to the mics.

1	THE COURT: Oh, and there's one more thing I wanted
2	to make a record on. In this courtroom I never turn off the
3	recordings. However, on bench conferences I have a lot of
4	trouble with my sound system so it's usually of insufficient
5	quality to ever be able to transcribe.
6	So, Mr. Sprowson, it's important to listen to this.
7	If something comes up here in a bench conference, you need to
8	make sure if it's important that you make a record of it at
9	the break. You know when you come up here and there's the
10	white noise?
11	MR. SPROWSON: Uh-huh.
12	THE COURT: It's still recording, it's just my my
13	sound system is not very good, so it's of insufficient quality
14	to ever be able to transcribe. So should you need a
15	transcript of it, you won't be able to get it. It'll just say
16	inaudible. So what you do is at a break, make a note of it,
17	and I'll try to make a note of it. At the break just make a
18	record.
19	MR. SPROWSON: Okay.
20	THE COURT: Okay. When the jury is outside the
21	room.
22	MR. SPROWSON: All right.
23	(Pause in the proceedings)
24	THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, are you ready?
25	MR. SPROWSON: Can I have like one more minute, Your

1	Honor? I'm sorry. I'm just, you know, I'm a little slow and
2	just one more minute.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Just don't explain. Just do it.
4	(Pause in the proceedings)
5	THE COURT: And Mr. Sprowson, the only thing I was
6	asking Ms. Bluth was just kind of on timing issues, if
7	possible we would like to have some longer days since we got a
8	slow start on the trial.
9	MR. SPROWSON: Say again? I
LO	THE COURT: The only thing I was asking Ms. Bluth
L1	right now was the ability to stay a little later if need be.
L2	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. That's fine. As long as my
L3	medication and stuff is taken care of, you know.
L4	THE COURT: Yeah, and I
L5	MR. SPROWSON: I'm incarcerated, so I'm not going
L6	anywhere.
L7	THE COURT: Yeah, and I just have some you know,
L8	I've got to make sure the whole family is covered. But if I
L9	can stay late, let's do it so we can get the trial done.
20	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah. About 30 more seconds, Your
21	Honor, and I'll be set.
22	THE COURT: Sure.
23	(Pause in the proceedings)
24	THE COURT: All right. You're ready?
25	MR. SPROWSON: Yes. Thank you.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Sorry. I stepped out to see what
2	I needed to handle in my office.
3	MS. BLUTH: Did you say we're going to do an early
4	start on Tuesday, Judge?
5	THE COURT: 10:30.
6	MS. BLUTH: Perfect. And Wednesday is still 1:00;
7	right? Okay.
8	MR. SPROWSON: In regards to that, Your Honor, can I
9	get a breakdown or at least a copy of a paper or something of
10	what the Court's schedule is so I can you know, I've got to
11	prepare while I'm I've got to work around the, you know
12	THE COURT: Yeah.
13	MR. SPROWSON: facility's schedule and
14	THE COURT: I've got to yes. The answer is yes.
15	MR. SPROWSON: Okay.
16	THE COURT: We'll jot it down before we leave today,
17	okay?
18	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you.
19	THE COURT: No worries.
20	Jason, everyone is ready currently.
21	THE MARSHAL: Okay.
22	THE COURT: So go get the jury real quick.
23	(Inside the presence of the jury)
24	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ladies and
25	gentlemen. There is a method to our madness with respect to

the chairs. Well, good morning, everybody. We're here on the State of Nevada versus Melvyn Sprowson, Case C295158. When we left off yesterday I gave you guys kind of an overview of how this trial is going to run. What's going to happen next is the State is going to present their openings. And all of you guys are situated.

2.2.

And the one thing I want to apologize for, as I know Ms. Bluth touched on this when we were doing jury selection, if there's ever delays in the trial, please don't hold them against the attorneys. The reason is, you know, we have everyone come at a certain time. Then, unfortunately, things come up that we didn't otherwise anticipate and sometimes it delays us getting you guys into the courtroom. But we do respect your time and we really appreciate that you're here, so please don't take any offense.

With that being said. Ms. Bluth, if you want to present.

MS. BLUTH: Thank you, Your Honor.

## STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT

MS. BLUTH: On August 1, 2013, the defendant, Melvyn Sprowson, posted an ad on Craigslist in the meet people section. And the line that he posted was lonely millionaire, 34 years old. 16 year old Jaysenia Torres saw that ad. Jaysenia was 16. She had turned 16 on so about six weeks beforehand.

A conversation ensued between the two of them where Jaysenia wrote, hey there — with a smiley face — sure you're a millionaire, I'm Jay, nice to meet you. The defendant responded, if you like, maybe we can see what's up, what are you looking for, do you live in Las Vegas? Jaysenia responds,

I don't really know, I'm 16, with a smiley face.

And so the conversation ensues between the two of them and they continue talking, and multiple things come up in that conversation. And one of the things that comes up in that conversation is the defendant asks Jaysenia if she's a virgin, and if she's not a virgin, whether or not she likes sex. The two, like I said, consider — or, excuse me, continue their conversation, and then the defendant asks Jaysenia if she has any photos. And Jaysenia sends a photo like you see in this photograph.

And the two continue to communicate for a period of days via email. And for those of you who have never used Craigslist, you don't necessarily — the person that you're speaking with, they don't have your direct email. You have an email through Craigslist. So you email, and then it goes through Craigslist, and then Craigslist sends it to the other person.

So after communicating via Craigslist for some time, Jaysenia makes clear that they should move their conversation to an app called Kik, K-I-K. And Kik is a text message system

where text messages, you're not charged for the text messages. They don't necessarily show up in your phone records. And so a lot of teenagers usually use that so their parents don't know what's going on.

2.

2.2.

So Jaysenia explains to the defendant that she has a very protective mother, her mother would not be okay with this type of conversation or this type of relationship and so that they need to move the messaging to this Kik program, and so they do. And so from then on, the two start communicating through Kik.

Now, shortly thereafter, the defendant asked

Jaysenia to be his girlfriend and Jaysenia says yes. And this
is before the two ever meet. And there were plans between the
two of them of how they were going to communicate because

Jaysenia had made clear that her mother would not condone this
type of behavior or relationship. And so they developed ways
in which they could speak to make sure that Jaysenia's mom, a
woman you'll hear from today, Kathryn — excuse me — Kathryn
smith.

And so what they would do is Jaysenia would email the defendant or somehow communicate to him like, okay, I'll call you in a second or you can call me now, but they always made sure to take precautions so that Ms. Smith did not find out what was going on.

Now, Ms. Smith will tell you that she started

1 | n 2 | w 3 | t 4 | g 5 | s 6 | s 7 | s

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

noticing that Jaysenia was in her room a lot more, that whenever her mom came into the room the computer would shut or the phone would go down. And she started thinking like what's going on here, I don't feel like she's acting the way she — she used to be acting, I feel like she's being more secretive, she's in her room more, she's on her computer more. And so she started noticing these things, so she started keeping an eye on it.

At some point the defendant asked Jaysenia to send him some sexy photos. And Jaysenia, being 16 years old, sends some photos like the ones that you see here. And though Jaysenia thought that those were sexy and cute, the defendant asked for more explicit photos and explained to her the type of poses that he wanted her to use.

Now, I'm not going to show all of these pictures this morning. I'm just going to show a few. But when defendant asked for more racy photos, he explained to Jaysenia what he wanted. In this photo he asked her for a butt picture, and in this photo he asked her to spread her legs and take a picture of her crotch. At one point he also asked for another butt photo, and he asked her to pose in the way that you're seeing on the computer.

Now, like I said, the — they had never met person to person. Their communication was via photos, email, Kik, text messages, things of the such. And Jaysenia let the

defendant know that she was working at the Omelet House. Her and her grandma both worked at the Omelet House. And one day after work Jaysenia and the defendant were speaking, and he said, hey, I went by your work today.

2.

2.2.

And Jaysenia said, oh, okay, well, why didn't you say hi? And he said, oh, I just came, you know, I saw what you looked like, and Jaysenia said, well, how — I don't believe you were really there, how do you know? And the defendant explained, well, you were wearing a really cute teal like a bow in your hair and, you know, I just watched you from afar. Then the two decide that they should actually meet in person.

And so Jaysenia was going to a roller skating rink with one of her friends, and that was something that Jaysenia liked to do. And so she told the defendant, hey, I'm going with my friend to the roller skating rink, and the defendant said, okay, maybe I'll stop by. So while Jaysenia is there with one of her friends, the defendant walks in. And he doesn't have roller skates or anything, and so Jaysenia says to her friend, oh, one of my old teachers is here, I'm just going to go stop and I'm just going to say hi.

And so Jaysenia leaves her friend and she sits down with the defendant and, you know, this is the first time seeing each other face to face and they keep it very short, very quick, because they don't want her friend to catch on and

Jaysenia had just said, oh, he's just an old teacher. So they, you know, kind of introduce themselves, get familiar with each other in the way of, you know, just looking at one another. And then he leaves quickly after that, but they were able to spend, you know, some time together.

2.

2.2.

The defendant also wired Jaysenia \$150. These are Jaysenia's bank documents. And you'll see that on August 22nd he puts in \$150 to Jaysenia so she can go and get some school clothes because she'll be starting school pretty soon at the end of the month. Now, shortly after that Jaysenia is shopping with a friend by the name of Jessica. And Jaysenia asks her mother if she can spend the night at Jessica's home. And Ms. Smith says absolutely, I don't see any problem with that. Jaysenia told her friend Jessica that she needed to go home.

And so Jaysenia's mother thought that she was at Jessica's, and Jessica thought that Jaysenia was going home. And what really happened was the defendant picked up Jaysenia and took her to his home where they spent the night together, and the two of them had sex. That sex was unprotected. And the defendant told Jaysenia that they didn't need to use a condom because he could not have babies. That was their first night together.

Their second night together was right after that because Jaysenia called her mom and said, hey, I'm having so

much fun at Jessica's house, may I stay another night? And Jaysenia's mother said, yeah, absolutely. And so they spent a second night together. At the end of that second night the defendant surprised Jaysenia and gives her this diamond ring that you see. And he gives it to her and she wears it on a necklace around her neck and tells her that that's a promise ring, that they are going to get married, you know, that he loves her and she loves him.

2.

2.2.

Well, Jaysenia goes home wearing that diamond ring, and that's the first thing that Kathryn Smith sees. And when she asks her mother — or when she asks her daughter about it, Jaysenia tells her mother, oh, I just found it laying on the floor at Target. And Kathy is a little smarter than that and says you didn't find that ring laying on the ground at Target, Jaysenia. And Jaysenia says, oh, yeah, you're right, my friend Josh, who is a friend at school, oh, he gave it to me.

And Kathy knows now at this point there is something not right here. Things aren't making sense, and she starts to really question what's going on to the point where she takes Jaysenia's phone away. When she takes Jaysenia's phone away, she sees that there is a number that she doesn't recognize, a number from California, which turns out to be the defendant's number. And she can tell that these two have been talking all the time for long periods of time. And so she starts to really kind of grill into Jaysenia what's going on.

2.2.

Jaysenia will tell you that on the bus that day riding to school she remembers calling the defendant. She believes it was with a friend's phone and saying, hey, you know, my mom is onto us, she knows what's going on, I'm pretty sure she's going to ground me, we've got to figure out something to do. And so that night when Jaysenia gets home, her mother has really gone through everything now. She's had time to think about it.

She puts things together, the ring, the phone call, and so she grounds Jaysenia. And she tells Jaysenia, I don't know who it is that you're seeing, I don't know who it is that you're talking to, but we've got big issues here. So she takes Jaysenia's phone away and she takes her laptop away. And Jaysenia, you know, throws a fit, she's an angry teenager. And later that night she tells her mom, mom, I really need my laptop back because I have to do a project, a school project, and I need it just to write something up.

And so Jaysenia goes and instead of doing her homework she emails the defendant and she tells him my mom is onto us, she's grounded me, I'm never going to be able to see you again, you know, please, what are we going to do, come get me, we have to be together. And the defendant, you know, responds, okay, make sure you grab your social security card and your birth certificate.

And so that night when her parents go to -- when her

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

mother goes to bed, Jaysenia sneeks into her mother's room. She grabs her birth certificate, she grabs her social security number, and she grabs her electronics, her cell phone and her laptop underneath her mom's bed. The defendant picks her up in the early morning hours. And when he does so, he drives up in this vehicle that you see here, and he picks her up a little bit down the street.

And when he gets there, like I explained, he tells her, you know, bring your social security card and your birth certificate. He tells her to immediately turn off her cell phone and her laptop so that they can't be tracked in any way. And then as soon as they get to the house, he changes his phone number because he knows that Ms. Smith also has his phone number. And then when they get to the home, they set into place kind of a further plan and rules.

And this is where the defendant lives, at 4195 East Russell Road. And just to give you an idea of the direction, it was in -- within five miles of the Torres home to where the defendant lived. And so like I stated, when they get to the defendant's home, some rules are put in place, and Jaysenia will tell you about those rules this morning.

Some of those rules are there's no going outside during the day because if someone sees you then they'll know that you're here and they'll come and get you and take you back to your mother. There's no other males allowed in the

house, and do not go into his closet. Jaysenia was not allowed to go into his closet or touch anything in his closet.

2.

2.2.

And then there was a plan devised. And the plan was that if Jaysenia was ever found, she would just keep coming back. No matter how many times they found her, she would just keep coming back. She wouldn't go to school. Jaysenia will tell you that school was very important to her. She had gotten into a magnet school called Atech and that she was a straight A student.

But the two of them discussed the fact that if she went to school, then people would obviously know where she was and take her back. So the plan was to keep Jaysenia at the defendant's home until she turned about 17 and a half or 18, and then she would either go back to high school or she would get a GED.

If they were caught, Jaysenia would tell the authorities that all the defendant needed was a roommate, and that she signed up to be his roommate and that there was absolutely no sexual relationship between the two, and that she was the initiator of the contact and that she, Jaysenia, was to take all of the blame because since she was a minor, nothing could really happen to her seriously, but he could get into a lot of trouble. And so these were what — these mechanisms were what was put in place in case the two got caught.

7

14

15

16

17

8

9

18 19

21 2.2.

20

23

24 25

So when Kathryn Smith wakes up that morning, she wakes up at 5:00. She has three daughters and she has to get everybody ready. And when she wakes up, obviously she finds Jaysenia not in bed. And like any mother, she panics. what she does is she contacts the Henderson Police Department. She goes to Henderson and she files what's called a missing person's report.

Now, Henderson Police Department puts a missing person alert into what's called an NCIC. It's a national database so that when someone goes missing you put their name and their descriptors in there, put it all out to other law enforcement agencies to let anybody know if you come in contact with this individual, she's missing, she's a minor. So that's what Henderson Police Department does.

Now, Henderson doesn't necessarily have a missing They have a volunteer. And the volunteer persons section. that you will hear about and hear from is an elderly gentleman who is actually retired, and he's a volunteer that works at Henderson. And he only works part-time about two days a week, and so he was the person that was assigned to figure out what was going on with Jaysenia.

And so even though that there's a volunteer working, Kathryn still keeps trying to find her daughter on her own, working all day every day trying to figure out where Jaysenia You can imagine as a mother she's worried sick and she

doesn't feel like a volunteer two days a week is really bringing her the solace that she needs.

2.

2.2.

Jaysenia will talk to you a little bit about life at the defendant's home. The defendant was a kindergarten teacher and Wengert Elementary School. And so when she would be home all day, he would be at school teaching. And so at home there wasn't a lot for her to do and she became very isolated. She never left the house. She wasn't allowed contact with anyone, and she actually left the home very few times.

And when she left the home, the defendant would have her dress like a boy. He would — they would put her hair up into a baseball cap. They would put big glasses on her and baggy clothes and they would just go for a drive once or twice. And sometimes when she missed her family, they would drive by her family's house just so she could see it. But other than that, Jaysenia did not leave the home, even to go in the backyard. She was very isolated.

During the day she will tell you that she would watch video, workout videos on YouTube. The defendant bought her a coloring book and so she would color during the day, and she would eat like frozen food and things of that like out of — excuse me, things like that out of the freezer. She began to miss her family, she began to miss her mother and her grandma who also lived with her, but she really began to miss

her little sisters.

2.

2.2.

And so she would talk about that to the defendant, and she would ask could I call them. And he would just tell her, you know, you can, but if you do things like that, we're going to get caught and they're going to make you go home. And so then she would say, okay, you're right. And she began to feel isolated and she began to develop low self-esteem. And I'll get into the specifics about that in a moment.

Now, like I said, during the day, the defendant had recently moved into this apartment—type condo, so there really wasn't any furniture in the home, especially in the bedrooms. And there was not a whole lot of light in the house, and so Jaysenia would spend most of her time in this room right here. And most of the time she would spend — the defendant bought her a crayon set with some coloring books, and then brought her this book, City of Bones. But there was no scholastic books, you know, there wasn't any like homeschool—type situation or any books. So these are the things that she would do all day.

Now, after she had been there, the defendant brought home this piece of paper, this missing piece of paper. And Jaysenia realized, you know, hey, my family is looking for me. And it had multiple pictures of her with her age and the last time she was seen. Jaysenia will also tell you that her and the defendant checked social media.

And on Facebook and Twitter, things like that, her family was posting pictures of her and it was being shared amongst people of the community, like, please, help me find my daughter. Her aunt was posting things, please, help us find our niece. And they would look at those things together and they knew that her mother and her grandmother and her aunt were out there looking for her.

2.2.

Jaysenia will tell you about ways that she feels — she felt that she was manipulated. And the defendant would tell her specifically in regards to her mother that her mother wasn't looking for her, that she didn't want her back, that her mother wasn't a good person and she had too many rules and that she was too controlling. He also told Jaysenia that he went to the Omelet House where her grandma worked and where Jaysenia worked to kind of get the vibe, to see if, hey, people were talking about it or anyone — there were missing photos. And he came back and said, no, there's nothing, no one is looking for you.

The defendant would get very jealous over anytime he thought she might be communicating with someone. So, for instance, there was a specific day when Jaysenia was on her computer and the defendant couldn't see exactly what she was looking at. And he freaked out thinking that she was perhaps speaking to other males and, you know, kind of got at her and yelled at her about speaking to other males, which she wasn't

because she wasn't allowed to communicate with anybody.

2.

2.2.

And so the defendant said, well, fine, you're going to go, just go and pack up your stuff, pack up your stuff and I'm taking you home. And so she went upstairs, she packed up her stuff, she came down, and she found him crying. And you don't love me, you want to go home, you — this is all about you, I've done so much for you. And so then Jaysenia would feel bad, she didn't want to disappoint him, and so she would say never mind, I'll — I'll stay.

That happened another time when Jaysenia was talking about missing her family. Fine, if you miss your family so much, pack up your stuff, let's go, pack up your stuff, upstairs, it's time to go. She packs up her stuff, she comes down, he's crying. Why are you crying? Well, you don't love me, I've done all of this for you, I've made these sacrifices for you, and now you're just going to leave me. So she'd unpack her stuff and stay.

And so it was things like this that made it hard on Jaysenia. She's 16 years old. She loves him, she loves her family, and she really felt torn. She wanted to stay with him and she wanted to see her family, too.

Now, during this whole time period now, we're talking Jaysenia was gone for a little over two months. And so in that two-month period, Ms. Smith is racking her brain trying to find her daughter, and she doesn't feel like she's

getting the help that she needs from Henderson. And so what she does is she has the ring. She still has the ring that the defendant gave Jaysenia.

2.

2.2.

And so she has one of — she has two thoughts, and she thought one or both of them might work. She thought if I pawn this ring, because it had a specific — I think they're called IGT numbers. The diamond actually has a number associated with it on the ring. And she thought if I pawn this ring, hopefully he has reported it stolen by now and the police will come looking for me and I'll say it's not stolen, it was given to my daughter, and whoever is reporting this stolen, they have my daughter.

So she pawns the ring. Well, that didn't happen.

No one came looking for the ring. No one reported it stolen.

So when she pawns that ring, she takes the money and she hires a private investigator. And she has already done a lot of the private investigating by herself. She's ordered the phone records, she has the Wells Fargo account.

And like I showed you earlier, it actually says the name in the account. It says Melvyn Sprowson, sender, on the date of August 22nd, and then \$150. So she has a name. She ordered Jaysenia's phone records, so she has the number. So the — Kathryn Smith gives all this information to the private investigator, and so now they have a name, a number, and Ms. Smith Googled the name Melvyn Sprowson.

2.2.

And when she Googled the name Melvyn Sprowson, she saw that there was something on the Internet that said Clark County School District welcomes the new teachers for the year of 2013, and she saw the defendant's name and she saw the school that he worked with. So the private investigator and Ms. Smith gather up all this information and they go to the Henderson Police Department and they give Volunteer Gates all of this updated information.

Volunteer Gates sees a detective that works in the special victims unit and says, hey, this is the information we have on the missing juvenile, can you do some follow up? And that detective is a detective by the name of Detective Logiudice. So the detective goes to the elementary school where the defendant works and begins to ask him questions.

The defendant says that when he first moved to town he placed an add on Craigslist looking for new friends because he didn't know anybody in town and that he spoke to many females on there, one of which he believes to be Jaysenia, her age never came up in the conversation, and that she had complained about issues with her family and had asked him for a loan, which he had given her. And he also had changed his number when — after he had spoken to her, but simply because he wanted a local number and didn't want to have an out of state number.

So Detective Logiudice -- oh, excuse me. And he

also mentions that he never saw her, never had any person to person contact with Jaysenia, and there was no further contact after the money he had loaned. And Detective Logiudice made clear, hey, this — this little girl is missing, her family is worried about her, they don't know where she is, and the defendant provides this information.

2.

2.2.

So Kathy Smith, she is on a rampage. Like she is going to find her daughter if it's the last thing she does. And she went to her younger daughter's school and just had spoken to the teachers saying, hey, you know, my younger daughters are struggling, they're having a difficult time because their sister is missing, and if they're behaving differently, please just let me know because I want to make sure that I get them the help that they need while we're trying to help their sister.

Well, there's a retired police officer from New York who is — now lives in Las Vegas and works for the Clark County School District and he is Clark County School District Police, and his name is Gary Abbott. And he would often see Kathy Smith off at the school dropping off her daughters. And he was aware that Jaysenia was missing, and so he — you know, he tried to stay updated with Kathy. And Kathy tells him about the information that has been recovered and that has been handed over to the Henderson Police Department.

And so Officer Abbott calls Henderson and says would

you mind if I go to and talk to Mr. Sprowson? And they said, no, any — any help, we'll take it. And so the officer goes and he has the defendant's name, his place of employment, his phone number, and he knows that he was the last person to communicate with Jaysenia via Craigslist and the telephone.

2.

2.2.

So on October 31st Jaysenia has now been missing over two months. She went missing on August 28th. And so on October 31st of 2013, he makes contact with the defendant at the school. The defendant says he was contacted by Jaysenia around June or July through Craigslist, not sure if age ever came up in the conversation, and that she had asked him for a loan, and that he had never met her in person. Officer Abbott, again, she's missing, her family is — wants to come in contact with her, if you have any information, please help.

Now, Officer Abbott will tell you when he left he didn't have a good feeling. He thought there's something going on here and I need to get to the bottom of it. And so Officer Abbott, on November 1st of 2013, the following day, goes to the defendant's apartment complex and he makes contact with a woman by the name of Kathy Harris who is the apartment manager.

And he asks Kathy, hey, is there an individual by Melvyn Sprowson that lives here, have you seen him, have you seen any minor females with him? And Kathy says, no, we've never seen anyone, a private investigator came a few months

ago asking the same questions, but we've never seen anyone, any juvenile around his home.

2.

2.2.

Ms. Harris then says but, you know, there is a smoke alarm going off in one of two of the apartments. It's either Mr. Sprowson's apartment or the apartment next door, and we can't figure out what is going on in those apartments, and I'm going to send my maintenance man, an individual by the name of Gilbert Lindsey, over there.

So Gilbert Lindsey goes into the multiple apartments to check, and when he opens the door of defendant's apartment, he sees Jaysenia Torres. And when he sees Jaysenia, he says, you know, who are you? And she says, oh, I'm 18, you know, I'm 18, I live here. And so Mr. Lindsey realizes that, you know, this is what's going on and he goes downstairs and he tells Officer Abbott. Officer Abbott realizes that he's found Jaysenia Torres in the defendant's apartment. She's now been missing from August 28th to November 1st. Her family is immediately contacted.

And you'll hear from Jaysenia as soon as we're done here this morning with opening statements, and Jaysenia will tell you she sticks to that plan. She lies and she lies and she lies. Oh, I wanted to, I wanted a roommate, he was looking for a roommate and I didn't want to live with my mom anymore, my mom is controlling, it's a father and daughter relationship, you don't understand the depth of this

relationship and what this man has done for me, and she keeps it going. And not only does she lie to the police officer, she then goes into what's called a forensic interview.

2.

2.2.

Forensic interviewers work at the Southern Nevada Children's Advocacy Center. And they work with children who have been in these types of situations or children who have been abused and sexually abused because certain types of techniques are more appropriate when interviewing children. And so she goes for what's referred to as a forensic interview at the center. And she lies in a little bit of it, and then she starts weaving in truth like I love him, I want to be with him, I never want to go back to my mom and things like that.

Well, they then take — while they are interviewing Jaysenia, they go back now to the defendant's school. And you'll hear from a detective by the name of Detective Caldwell, and they have contact with the defendant. Again, he says I haven't had any contact with Jaysenia in the last 24 hours, my relationship with her is only through phone and email and I loaned her \$150. And then Detective Caldwell says, listen, we were just at your house, we know she was there, we know you've had her for the past two months.

When Jaysenia gets home, her family, as you can imagine, is elated to see her. And her sisters are crying, her mom is crying, and Jaysenia is angry. She's angry. She doesn't want to be there, she — her mother has ruined her

life, her mother doesn't understand, they're going to get married, she doesn't need to go to school, they know what's going on.

2.

2.2.

And Jaysenia said, I'll do anything to go back to him, you'll never prevent me, we are going to be together, to the point where Jaysenia had to sleep at Jaysenia's door to keep her from leaving the house. And when that wasn't enough, Kathy would put Jaysenia in a car and just drive around all night so she knew that her daughter could not leave and that she knew the defendant could not come and get her daughter.

After, you know, realizing that Jaysenia is just not okay, that she's behaving crazy, that her mom does not know what's going on, she takes her to Montevista, which is a mental hospital for the youth here in our community. And Jaysenia stays for, I believe, about 24 hours. Jaysenia then goes home. She's still behaving crazy. She says I — you know, I'm going to kill myself if you won't let me be with him, and she attempts to jump off the balcony in their home.

Kathy calls 911, an ambulance comes, and Jaysenia is taken to Montevista again, and this time for an extensive period of treatment. While at Montevista, Jaysenia finds out that she has contracted chlamydia and that makes her very upset and very angry with the defendant. And now she is in this internal struggle. She doesn't know who to love, who loves her, who to believe.

And Jaysenia goes to a place in Reno which is an in-patient treatment center for six months called Willow Springs. And you will hear from some therapists and you will hear from Jaysenia about the type of treatment that she needed for multiple reasons, but one of them just being reintegrated into society after being — not having any contact with anyone for over two months.

2.2.

During — so for those of you that, you know, are in the legal system, before we get to trial we have a hearing called a preliminary hearing. And in this case, before we have a preliminary hearing, while Jaysenia is at Willow Springs, she tells her counselors and her mom, mom, he asked me to take pictures and I sent him pictures and he told me how to pose and I did so and those — he has those photos and those photos are on my phone.

A search warrant was then conducted on defendant's home on December 5, 2013, and you will see picture of that search warrant and you will see items that show Jaysenia to be living there. This is a pink bear that the defendant had bought Jaysenia. You'll see, you know, girl sponges, razors, her retainers. The defendant also had bought her some games, gameboards for her to play. And then you'll see a letter that Jaysenia wrote about her and the defendant's relationship and how her mother is an evil stepmother but that he saved her and that they were going to live together forever.

2.2.

You will also learn that when they did that search warrant they got the defendant's iPad, as well as his iPhone, and they also took this computer. A forensic analysis, it's called — the computer forensic lab then can do what's called a phone dump where they can go into your phones and your laptops and do what's a complete image and see what type of text messages, phone calls, emails, pictures you have on there. Now, it's not 100 percent. They can't get every picture you've ever taken, every text message you've ever deleted, but we'll talk about some of the things that they received off of these.

Jaysenia, while she was at Willow Springs, she had only been there about a week, had to come down and testify before the defendant and the State at the justice court level in what's referred to as a preliminary hearing. And during direct examination when I was asking Jaysenia questions, she did fine, she answered them, she didn't seem distracted, she kept her eyes on me. And we took a water break after she was done with my direct examination.

And Jaysenia will tell you that while she was sitting there, the defendant passed her and whispered some things to her, told her that he loved her, was mouthing things to her when the defense attorney started doing his questioning and holding his heart. And Jaysenia will tell you that she flipped. She flipped on me and she turned on me during the

preliminary hearing and she changed her testimony and she made it again, this is me, this is all about me, this is my fault, he loved me, he had to do these things because I would have killed myself if he didn't. After the preliminary hearing is over, Jaysenia goes back to Willow Springs. She goes to her therapist, and shortly after that she writes a letter to the court.

2.

2.2.

Dear Court, although I was honest in my statement, I did withhold information I felt I needed to protect Melvyn, which I now see was wrong. While I was in the courtroom, Melvyn did whisper to me over and over it's okay until the guard man told him to stop. But after that, while he walked to the bathroom, he winked while passing me. And when he came back, he sat and put his hand to his heart. I understand I should not have looked at him, but I also know he shouldn't have done that. I only want this whole thing to be fair. I feel I was personally taking sides and not just answering questions. I'm very sorry for not doing it right the first time, and now I'm doing what is — at least I'm doing what is right now. Sincerely, Jaysenia Torres.

Jaysenia then goes back to Willow Springs and she stays about — about five months, a little shy of six months, where she goes through lots of behavioral therapies and they would do certain exercises like reintegrating her into society. For instance, her and her therapist would go to like

a gas station and she would pick out a candy bar. And the therapist would stay over by the candy bar, and she would go to the convenience store and she would have to interact with the clerk.

2.

2.2.

And those probably seem like minimal things and things that we do every day, but that wasn't. That was a big deal to her, especially if the clerk was an older male. So those types of things she worked on, and she worked very hard.

Sometimes when court cases are going on, there's things referred to as no contact orders, and there was a no contact order in this case. And the defendant was told you are not to have any contact via Twitter, text message, voice, you know, conversations on the phone, in person, Skype. No contact order. He's to have no contact.

When Jaysenia got out of Willow Springs, the defendant was not in jail. He was out of custody. But her mother, to make Jaysenia feel safe, had told Jaysenia that he was in custody. And so Jaysenia was home one day and she was on Instagram. And out of nowhere she gets a message.

And before I explain these messages, when they had talked about getting married, the name they were going to name their first daughter was going to be Audrey. And so they had decided we're going to get married, we're going to have a child, it's going to be a little girl, and we're going to name her Audrey.

So when Jaysenia is on Instagram that day, she gests

-- she sees that someone has asked to be her friend by the

name of Audrey\_\_\_\_\_\_ So it's the name, and then this is

Jaysenia's birthday. So Jaysenia says, it's so weird, your

name is the name I wanted to name my daughter and my birthday,

weird; right? Audrey responds, is it, or do we know someone

in common? Jaysenia says, Mel? And then a smiley face from

Audrey.

2.

2.2.

Jaysenia, seriously, who is this, it's not funny, it's not a cute joke. Audrey says, it's me. Jaysenia says, me who? Audrey, it's me, Mel, I'm living in Oklahoma, but I'll get in trouble if they find out I'm talking to you, please don't say anything to anyone, hello? Jaysenia, hey, why would you risk that, you lied to me, you lied. Jaysenia says, that's not possible — meaning it's not Mel. And he writes 11/19/68, which is the defendant's birthdate.

Jaysenia, he's in jail and hates me. Audrey, no, they lied to you. Jaysenia, explain yourself now. Jaysenia, is that it, are you just going to say that and leave, what now, why Oklahoma, you lied about California and you gave me an STD, hello? Audrey, I'm here, I didn't give you an STD and I didn't lie, you don't understand the limitations on my freedom and ability. Jaysenia, well, explain, and, okay, STFU, I gave myself an STD, please.

Audrey, how do I know this is Jay and not her

mother? Jaysenia says, I sent you — a sent a video. Audrey, where are you? Jaysenia, bedroom, watching Judge Judy. Jaysenia, your turn. Audrey, you are really beautiful. Jaysenia, you are stalling. Audrey says no. Audrey, honestly, I didn't give you an STD, I was checked and I didn't have anything, plus I don't sleep around, either, so I don't know what happened. Jaysenia, can you send me a video or something, do you work?

2.

2.2.

Audrey, I'm scared, are you going to tell your mom, I don't mean any harm, just want you to be happy. Jaysenia, you're scared, I'm scared, why Oklahoma, do you have a job, you're going to jail, huh? Jaysenia, how do I know this is Melvyn? Jaysenia says she works, send me a video, then I'll know it's you. Audrey, do you hate me? Jaysenia, why do you keep asking that, what are your limitations? Audrey, have you looked me up on the Internet? Jaysenia, yes, only things about me coming up.

Audrey, I will always love you. Jaysenia, well, are you going to stop talking to me? Audrey, is it you?

Jaysenia, of course it's me, you contacted me. Audrey, please don't say anything to anyone, and I'm glad you are okay, are you working, yes, I work. Jaysenia, I'm not 16 anymore, I'm not a freaking idiot, everything you may think of me is wrong, I don't sleep around, and I damn straight didn't have an STD before I met you. Jaysenia, how do you even have Internet

freedom? Audrey, okay, I'll go away, please don't hate me.

Jaysenia, no, what do we do now? Audrey, keep calm.

Jaysenia, how do I know it's even Melvyn and not his dick attorney?

2.

2.2.

And then Audrey keeps changing the screen name. So Audrey now becomes LisaThomasO4. Lisa, do you have a phone? Jaysenia, I'm sorry, you should have never contacted me, you know I suck with secrets, I can't not show, I'm different in some way, you should have just never contacted me, Mel. Lisa, why? Lisa, did you tell your mom? Lisa, please don't say that. Jaysenia, I didn't tell anyone, but you can't ask me to keep this a secret, I'll have to kill myself to keep it.

Lisa, no, I'll go back to jail. Jaysenia, it's okay, no one will know. Lisa, please, if you can't, I'll have to stop talking. Jaysenia, I'll just be with God sooner than I thought, this world sucks anyway, ha ha. Lisa, please be calm and mature, I need you, do you take meds, are you okay? Jaysenia, goodbye, Mel. Lisa, are we breaking up? Jaysenia, pray to God he will show you what you did was wrong because he knows you have sinned. Lisa, okay, I will thank you and God bless.

So Jaysenia freaks out and calls her mom and she is screaming to her mom, he found me, he found me. Because Jaysenia thinks that the defendant is in jail, and so now Kathy has to explain, okay, he's not in jail, but he wasn't

supposed to contact you. They immediately call the Henderson Police Department, and the Henderson Police Department takes screenshots of all of these.

2.

2.2.

And while the detective is there, while the officer from Henderson is there, they can see that the screen name keeps changing. It started at Nowaydude555, then Audrey, and then Lisa. And the pictures keep changing and the screen names keep changing.

So then you will hear from Detective Matthew Caldwell. So what Detective Matthew Caldwell does, he also works for the Clark County School District Police Department. And what Matt Caldwell does is he takes Jaysenia's phone — or, excuse me, her iPod. She had like iPod 5 Touch, and they — he takes that and he takes it to the computer forensic lab and he does a dump.

So he takes an entire image of that iPod. He then sees that there is the names, the Nowaydude555 and those screen names. He subpoenas and does search warrants for Instagram and finds an email account associated with those. He then sees that that email account is attached to the defendant. I think his email is like Sequence580@hotmail.com. He then researches that email account and sees that it was using an IP address in Oklahoma at a hotel.

He then contacts a detective in Oklahoma to go to that hotel. And when they go to that hotel, they see that the

1	defendant, Melvyn Sprowson, with an address in Oklahoma, with
2	the same vehicle, 669KWC is his license plate, mustang, is
3	staying there during that time period and show that it
4	actually was the defendant violating the no contact order and
5	contacting Jaysenia after knowing that Jaysenia had been in a
6	six-month treatment program and under medication and under
7	psychiatric therapy, and he still contacted her.
8	Ladies and gentlemen, at the end of the trial, after
9	you have heard the testimony from Jaysenia and her mother and
10	multiple other witnesses, and after you see the photos and
11	evidence, the State is going to ask you and the State will
12	prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt to find the defendant
13	guilty of the crimes that the State has charged him with.

II

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, do you wish to present your opening at this time?

MR. SPROWSON: Yes, I will, Your Honor.

## DEFENDANT'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. SPROWSON: Good morning. Good morning, jury. That was quite extensive and it was mixed with a little bit of truth and a lot of misleading. I'm going to admit to you that a lot of those things did happen, but, you know, as with a lot of things, the State has to kind of skew it so that they can --

MS. BLUTH: Judge, I'm going to object. This is

argument. It's just what the evidence will show. We can only talk about what the evidence will show, not argument.

THE COURT: He's -- she's right.

MR. SPROWSON: Well, pretty much --

THE COURT: Just --

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: — again, getting into what the State had presented, so let me just start with their statement that I placed an ad in Craigslist. That was correct. The ad did not say lonely millionaire, 34. The ad said lonely millionaire seeks gold-digging slut. That's what the ad had said.

Now, I had placed that ad not -- not in attempt to seek anybody -- or seek anybody out, but that was actually put on Craigslist because I happened to be bored. It was meant to be a joke. And so, again, like I said, there's a little bit of truth mixed in with a little bit of falsity.

As I placed that in there, I got a lot of responses. And, like I said, it was a joke, you know. I would get some bantering going back with a few people. There was a lot of different emails that I talked with different people. And as — as time progressed, I did happen to get a response from JT. I'll call her JT, Jaysenia. And we did start a conversation, but one of the things that came up, she did mention something about that she was 16. She did mention she was 16.

And so immediately when she mentioned that, I told

her, I said, well, you know, is it okay with your parents, you know, that I talk to you? I said, you know what, we can talk if it's okay with your parents. I did ask her that. Now, whether or not that's going to be revealed, that is what I'm going to testify to today. Not today, but in my testimony. And when I cross-examine Jaysenia, I'm going to ask her about those things, as well.

2.

2.2.

And in the course of time in that conversation, I was cautious because I am from California, and California the law is 18 years old, you know. And being a teacher, I was extremely cautious. Because I'm here today, I got caught up in this, I'm here today over — over a lot of things that had happened, and in this conversation I wanted to make clear that I was not violating any kind of law, okay.

So what I'm going to argue in the course of time in my presentation is just I'm going to explain to you, I'm going to probably when I give my testimony, I'm going to tell you my side of the story. And then as I'm giving you little tidbits, I'm just going to respond to some of the things that they said. So, you know, like I said, I'm new at this.

And one of the things that I wanted to draw out was that it's not like I'm seeking her out. I was cautious about it. I said, hey, you know, is it okay with your parents? You know, we talked about it a little bit. And one of the things that she started, that she brought into the conversation, was

that in Nevada 16 is the age of legal consent for sex. Okay. So I'm like why are you telling me this? So we got into further conversation about that, and that's how the whole conversation started getting in. And we did develop an online relationship talking back and forth. So I'm going to stop there on that topic.

2.

2.2.

As far as the pictures, when we were having a conversation, because the pictures didn't come along until later on in our conversations, and on one of the occasions JT, or Jaysenia, asked me if I wanted a breast picture. I said, wow, a breast picture? And keep in mind, you know, I'm like, you know, like you took a breast picture? And she had indicated to me that, and I don't know if I'm allowed to say this, but she had previously taken it and I'm not going to get into the reason why, okay. But she had previously taken this picture. Not at my request. Not at my request. She had this already, okay. But she asked me if I wanted this picture.

Okay. Again, the State is trying to present that I am the one that is enticing her, that I am the one that is the leading her, that I am the one that is trying to get her to come to me. And, again, being the adult, whether or not you agree with my moral decision to have a conversation with her, you know, repeatedly, repeatedly, she's the one that finally asked me, hey, I want to see you face to face.

So she invites me to her job, which is about a half

a mile from where I live. So I said, sure, you know what, and it's the Omelet House. You know what, I like catfish, they have catfish there. So I said, okay, you know what, I want to get some dinner, I want to get some catfish, I'll come by, I'll see you, I'll get the catfish. That's what happened there.

2.

2.2.

Okay. Now, in the course of time being a teacher, you know, I realized that kids — school was getting ready to start. I had just came here to start a job, and, you know, she's telling me, hey, you know, my mom and I are going to go school clothes shopping and, you know, she has to buy clothes for my — my sisters. She has to buy clothes for my sisters. You know, mom is not — not a rich person, she's — she doesn't have a lot of money.

Now, keep in mind, I'm a teacher, I have a little bit of extra money. So you know what, I am developing a relationship with her, you know. You know, we were starting to talk, we were starting to like each other. Now, keep in mind, it was never, ever, ever my intention for her to ever come live with me or to engage in a relationship beyond this at that point.

So anyway, how does the \$150 come into play? Well, being a teacher and realizing, hey, you know what, your mom doesn't have a lot of money, why don't I give you \$150 so that you can spend money on school clothes and so your mom can

spend the rest of her money on your sisters? Hey, that's a great idea. That's what happened there. Okay. And I did wire her the money.

2.

2.2.

Now, think about this, if I was intending to kidnap her or do all these other things, why would I send her \$150 knowing full well that my name would be on there? Knowing full well that my name would be on that? I did this out of an act of charity, out of an act of kindness, not only to Jaysenia, but her mother, as well. Understanding and being a teacher and what it's like to have to buy clothes for kids for school.

The other thing, in this whole thing when everything started coming to a head — well, I just want to address a couple other things first that they brought up. There were several other meetings. The meeting at the skating rink, again, each time that we had a meeting there was a conversation. She wanted me to come see her. She wanted to see me.

Now, I know the State is going to present I'm the one enticing her, I'm the one that's asking to do this, I happened to go by there. I was reluctant, I said, no, you know what, I want to keep this at a distance. And I said, look, you know, if we develop this relationship, I don't want anything to happen until you're 18 years old. I don't want anything to happen until you're 18 years old because I know,

and being a fool, look what happened, I'm in this situation now because — and we'll get into details and how it all came about. I wanted to wait, and she will testify, hopefully truthfully, that that was the case.

2.

2.2.

My intention was never, ever, ever to have anything beyond a relationship on the telephone, on the computer, talking, and then I wanted to, out of — out of caution, to wait and see if she would — you know, teenagers are fickle. And, yeah, you might say it's not good judgment to get into a relationship with someone that's younger, but keep in mind, I wasn't planning on getting into a relationship with her at 16. I was going to wait until she was 18. Two years would pass by. If she still was interested, then we would have a relationship.

Unfortunately, because she's impetuous, insistent, and wanted me to push the relationship further — you know, and every time I was the one that was reluctant. But I gave in because I loved her, I cared about her, and I was developing a relationship with her. And, yes, when she turned 18, if things were still good, I was planning on to continue the relationship, get married, and do a lot of those things. The right thing to do.

Now, as things came to a head, the issue of the laptop. I wasn't at her home. I don't know how things -- she did say, look, you know what, my mom -- well, let me back up a

little bit. There was three occasions — well, we had one with the skating rink, the Omelet House, and both of those were at her insistence. I told you about the catfish. I went there to get the catfish. She saw me, hey, you know.

2.

2.2.

So we continued the relationship, I got the catfish, she saw me. The skating rink, she was like one time we were talking on the phone, hey, I'm at the skating rink, why don't you come and, you know, I want to see you, I want to see you, I want to see you, I want to see you. Okay. After being reluctant, reluctant, she's insistent, I go. Okay. Those are the two occasions that I remember.

Now, when things came to a head, I think the State was mentioning something about her mom had found out, oh, hey, you know, my mom found out we were in a — oh, I left one out. The Boca Park, I believe. Okay. Boca Park comes up. Hey, I got an idea, I'm going to have my friend say that I'm spending the night at her house, and I want you to come pick me up and I want to spend the night at your house. I'm like, you know, I told you I don't want to push this too early, I don't want to get into a relationship too soon. You know, because I understand what the law is.

Now, again, unfortunately, when I say I understand what the law is, again, based upon wanting to be careful and not have anything happen that would ruin the relationship, okay. So she's insistent, she's insistent, she's insistent.

So she has me pick her up at Boca Park, which is a mall.

2.

2.2.

So, okay, yes, I go, I pick her up, you know. And she says, well, you know, hey, my mom — I'm just going to lie to my mom and say that, hey, I'm at someone else's house again. You know, it may have been a bad choice. Yes, she did spend the night with me, and, of course, she did — I did take her back home, okay.

Now, continuing on, there was a few other things that the State had mentioned. Let me just try to -- I just want to counter -- kind of counter some of the things that the State had said. Eventually, at some point she came to live with me. Again, we're going to get into, I guess, when the State presents their case in chief, I do my cross-examination, we're going to get into a discussion how that came about. But one of the charges that I'm being faced with is kidnapping.

And, again, I'm not going to want to get into a whole — whole too much until I present my case in chief and I actually do a cross and a direct examination of — of the alleged victim. But there was a point where she came to live with me and the State had mentioned that I said don't go into my closet and don't go into a certain area.

Now, again, this is a person that was staying with me. This is a person that, you know, I'm going and leaving my house every day going to work, mind you, with the door unlocked, she has access to the TV, she has access to the

Internet, she has groceries that I had bought for her. I came home every night. I don't do this. I came home every night and cooked for her. She made a statement he took better care of me than my own mother.

2.

2.2.

Now, I don't want to downgrade Kathryn Smith. I'm sure she's one of the greatest mothers there is out there.

And, unfortunately, I got caught up in this between the mother and the daughter. And I wish I would have never got into the middle of this, but, unfortunately, that's the case and that's why I'm here facing these criminal charges, okay.

Now, I told her not to go through my property. Any person, if you had somebody staying with you, would you want them to go through your property? Probably not. That's what I told her, please don't go through my property, you know. It's not that, hey, I'm hiding something. Just please don't go through my property. The other thing I said, hey, look, don't have any other men at my house. That would be disrespectful. I'm letting you stay here. Please, do not let — have any other men at my house. That's disrespectful to me, okay.

Now, the State is trying to depict me as a kidnapper. The State is trying to depict me as a child abuser. The State is trying to depict me as someone directing child pornography. Oh my god. This is how the State is trying to characterize me. And they have to. They absolutely

have to. But the bottom line is I'm an older male who got into a relationship with someone younger. Was it -- is it the best choice? Probably not.

2.

2.2.

In hindsight, you know, it was probably not the best choice. Probably was — probably was one of the worst choices that I've ever made in my life. Did I commit a crime, though? No. Was it my intention to kidnap her? No. Was it my intention to abuse her? No. Was it my intention to have her take pictures of herself? Again, pictures that she asked me if I wanted. And I'm talking about the initial picture. I'm not going to get into a discussion about the rest of it, but the initial picture she asked me if I wanted it. I never, ever initiated that conversation.

Okay. Now, there's also this whole thing about there was a plan. And, again, keep in mind, because there's a lot of truth mixed in with some falsity. So what I'm going to try to do in my defense is try to sift through that and try to show you that some of the things that the State is saying and I will admit to is the truth. Absolutely. But there's a lot of things that they're saying that are false, okay. There's a lot of things that they are saying that are false. And so whether or not that I'm going to be able to — to clear that out and to sift that through, I don't know. I'm going to give it my best shot.

But there is an issue of a plan came up. And there

was discussions about a plan. Now, keep in mind, initially when we had a conversation, she was telling me that she wanted to get away from her mother. She didn't know how to get away from her mother. Now, this was not a plan. I was humoring her and I had a conversation with her and I said, yeah, you know what, this is what some people do. You know, there is a thing if you want to be emancipated. And we had talked about this.

2.

2.2.

I don't know who initiated the emancipation conversation, it may have been her, it may have been me, I don't know. But we were — you know, one of the things when we have a conversation, she's a very intellectual person. She talks like an adult. And I think maybe that's one of the reasons why I didn't — I wasn't more reluctant than I already was to — to continue the conversation, okay. So we're having this conversation and I'll say, you know, well, this is what people do when they — they want — you know, they want to become emancipated. One of the things you've got to do is you've got to get a job and you've got to show a judge, you've got to show a judge that you're able to support yourself.

I don't know if it somehow clicked in her mind, well, hey, I'm a teacher, I live by myself, I have my own place. I never suggested that she come live with me. I never suggested that that was a plan. I don't even know how that came into. And I'll get a — kind of introduce that just a

little bit later, but at this point I was humoring her.

2.

2.2.

And as someone who was older, I said, you know, this is what I've heard on what people do, you know. They first — first they've got to establish that they can live somewhere on their own, have a job, and support themselves. No judge is going to let you be emancipated if you can't even support yourself or feed yourself. What judge in their right mind would ever grand you emancipation? This is what I told her. This was not a plan for she and I to do. This was something that I said, look, this is what people do and what you would have to do if you want to be emancipated. I'm just giving her advice at this point.

Okay. Let me — let me kind of move on. The fact that they're trying to say she was isolated, people of the jury, I went to work every single day. I worked hard every single day. The door was wide open every single day. She had access to the entire house every day. She could have left. She lived 1.7 miles.

I drove her to her house every day. If you want to go home, this is how you get home. You want to go home? This is how you get home. Let me show you how you get home. Every day. Every day I would ask her, and she will tell you, do you want me to take you home? Do you want me to take you home? Her response? No, I am home. Do you want me to take me home?

I understood that she felt lonely. She's wanting to

stay away from her mother. I said, look, you know, I'm not the one keeping here there, okay. As I said, the door was wide open every single day. I went to work every single day. She had a computer, she had access to the Internet, she had her cell phone with her. She could have communicated with anybody she wanted.

2.

2.2.

I said, you know what, I understand you're here by yourself. I have to go to work. I can't just leave my job. I have responsibilities. I have to go to work. I'll tell you what I'll do, when I get home, let's go on drives. I took her out of the house, we'd go on drives. We would go on drives. I took her out. Anywhere she wanted to go. Took her by the lakes, took her here.

We went and bought fast food in plain sight of everybody. If she was in danger she could have said, hey, I'm in danger. She was right next to me. We went and we bought food. I spoiled her. I took care of her. Was it the right decision? In some cases, no, but my number one priority was to take care of this young lady. That was my number one priority. Child abuse? I don't think so. Substantial mental harm?

MS. BLUTH: Judge, objection.

MR. SPROWSON: I don't think so.

MS. BLUTH: This is argument.

THE COURT: Sustained.

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

MR. SPROWSON: Okay.

2.

2.2.

THE COURT: Just focus on what the evidence will show, sir, please.

MR. SPROWSON: Okay. I'll continue on. Forgive me. As far as the pawning of the ring, yes, I did give her a ring. I had bought this ring previously. I had it in my closet. It's a female ring. I'm not doing anything with it. You know, it seems like this relationship is developing more and more, faster than I really even wanted it to. Like I said, I wanted to wait until this young lady was 18. She's impetuous, she's insistent, she's intelligent, and she's pushing and she's pushing and she's pushing.

Now, I'm going to tell you, by the way, did I want to be in a relationship with her? Yes, I did. I'm not going to lie to you. I absolutely find her attractive. She's a beautiful young lady. Was I in love with her? I couldn't tell you what love is. I still don't know what love is. But did I care for her? Yes.

Okay. Let me continue. The ring thing, I honestly don't know what happened with the ring thing. I gave her a ring. I had it sitting in my closet. I wasn't going to do anything with it. We were developing a relationship. You know what, here, why don't you take the ring, take the ring, it's a beautiful ring. I don't know if they showed you pictures. It was a beautiful ring. I thought it was a nice

-- a nice gesture. Eventually, this ring was pawned to pay for an investigator.

2.

2.2.

Okay. So just to kind of move things up, there's a lot of things that happened in my case, and she was with me for two months. And, you know, like I stated, I'm facing kidnapping, I'm facing child abuse with substantial mental harm, and I'm facing the unlawful use of a minor in the direction of child pornography. Very serious and harsh charges. Did those things happen? The State is going to try to prove they did, but I — I absolutely did not do these things.

Let me continue. I want to remind this jury, and maybe this is not a wise thing to say. When I — when all this came to a head, November 1, 2013, I was arrested at work. I was taken straight to Clark County Detention Center, and I spent six months in that — in the Detention Center. I finally took my retirement money that I had saved and I had to pay for an attorney and I eventually bailed out. Judge Miley was kind enough to grant me a bail reduction. I had an extremely high bail. She was kind enough to grant me a bail reduction, and I bailed out.

My brother is in the military. He has a house in Oklahoma. I went to go live with him. I'm freaking out because I'm like, hey, I put myself in extreme risk to help somebody, somebody that, yes, I was developing a relationship

with. But bottom line, my intention was not to harm anybody, but to help this person. I moved to Oklahoma after spending six months in jail for the very first time. I have no criminal history. I've never done anything wrong in my entire life.

2.

2.2.

So I'm talking to my brother, he's in the military, you know, and I'm trying to figure out what to do. And, yes, there came — there came a point where there — a situation arose where she was eventually — oh, let me just — I wanted to say this. So I bailed out; I went to Oklahoma. Mind you, when I bailed out, there were no — absolutely no conditions set on my bail. This is fact. When I bailed out there were no conditions on my bail. Immediately, when I bailed out, I got out of the state and I went to Oklahoma. I needed to get away from here and I needed to think about, hey, what's going on in my life? And my brother was the best place to go.

Momot, John Momot, was my attorney at this time.

And about a week into it, I get a phone call. Hey, State

filed a motion, now you've got — you can't do this, you can't

do that, you can't do this. I said, wait a minute, you're

putting all these conditions on something that I've already

bailed out. And you're putting conditions on my bail already

after I bailed out?

So one of the conditions was you can't be around minors. My brother is divorced. He has minors. Every

weekend or every other weekend those minors visit. So every other weekend, you know what I did? I either went to a motel to obey those conditions, or I slept in my car for the entire weekend at a park to obey those conditions.

2.

2.2.

Was anybody monitoring me? No. You know who was monitoring me? My own conscience. I wanted to do the right thing even though I had no one monitoring me. My brother, if he was here, he's in Oklahoma, it's kind of hard for him to get here, he would testify to that fact. I put myself in extreme, extreme misery to obey the State's requirements.

Now, there came a situation where essentially Jaysenia was contacted. I'm not going to get into that. I'm not going to talk about that. The bottom line is a lot of things happened. And the witness that I have — again, I am very limited, limited in finances, I'm limited in a lot of things. The witness that I would have had be here to help me with that is not going to be here, so I can't really discuss that at this point. I'll have to figure out another way to defend it.

Okay. Let me continue. All right. In my cross-examination of JT, Jaysenia, and in my direct examination, we're going to — I'm going to do both today. The State is going to do their direct examination, I'm going to cross, and then I'm going to do my direct examination. The reason why I'm doing that is because Jaysenia is in school

```
right now. She's in college and I hear she's doing very well.
I don't want to interrupt that. She's got to fly back to --
I'm assuming she's in school in Reno. This is what the State
has informed me.
         MS. BLUTH: No, Judge. Objection. The State has
never informed him anywhere she is.
         MR. SPROWSON: Well, I heard -- I heard she's in
Reno --
          THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
         MR. SPROWSON: -- in conversation.
         THE COURT: We -- she's flying in. We'll just say
that.
         MR. SPROWSON: She's flying in. I heard Reno from
somewhere. I'll just put it that way. I don't know where it
came from. Somebody said she's in Reno. Anyway, long story
short, she's quite a distance away and I don't have the
finances to fly her in later on in my case in chief. So
bottom line, we're going to do the direct examination today.
I'm going to do the best I can. I'm not fully prepared for
that.
         MS. BLUTH: Judge, objection. This is not what the
evidence will show.
         MR. SPROWSON:
                        Sorry.
          THE COURT:
                     Sustained.
         MR. SPROWSON: Again, this is what -- I'm going to
```

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

81

2190

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

get into that right now. Anyway, I just kind of wanted to lay a little background on that. Okay. I'm going to show that — that I had no criminal intent to keep, imprison, or confine JT from her mother. I'm going to show that I could not have kidnapped JT because there was never any criminal intent to keep, imprison, or confine JT, Jaysenia, from anyone.

2.

2.2.

I'm going to show that JT testified that I was extremely cautious and reluctant to get her, that it was Jaysenia's intent to leave Kathryn Smith's residence and not return. I'm going to show that there was no confinement or imprisonment. I've said that already. That it could not — I'm going to show that JT could not have returned to Kathryn Smith's home at any time because she did not want to be there.

I'm going to show that JT had Internet access to email anyone for assistance if she wanted to, that it was in possession of her cell phone the entire time. I'm going to show that the reality is that JT was keeping herself from Kathryn Smith, not me. I'm going to show that I did not have any criminal intent to hold JT to unlawful service or to perpetrate upon her an unlawful act. I'm going to show that it was the alleged victim who purposefully and explicitly tried to remain concealed. You cannot kidnap someone who is trying to run away from the very person that she's alleged to be kidnapped from.

I'm going to show that the State is pushing its

theory of kidnapping beyond a common sense application of the statute. And according to the State's theory, I'm not going to get into an argument, but any person who takes care of and provides food and shelter to a runaway youth is guilty of first degree kidnapping. And that cannot and is likely not the conduct the legislature intended to punish pursuant to NRS 200.310(1).

2.2.

I'm going to show that the State did not and cannot establish that Mr. — that me, Mr. Sprowson, directly caused JT any harm or injury that constitutes substantial mental or bodily harm. I'm going to show that quite simply the State cannot even establish the base offense of abuse or neglect pursuant to NRS 200.508(4)(a). That I not cause any physical or mental injury of a non-accidental nature to Jaysenia.

I did not sexually abuse or sexually exploit

Jaysenia. Jaysenia was not negligently treated or mistreated.

She was well cared for, provided with shelter, food, books,

games, coloring materials, and generally treated with

kindness. I'm going to show that. More importantly, the

authorities reported that JT was found in good health and

unharmed. I'm going to show that there is not even a hint of

me using unlawful force or violence in this case.

There is not a hint of physical injury to Jaysenia, much less substantial bodily harm. That there was no evidence that I caused injury to the intellectual or psychological

capacity or
cobservable a
function with
func

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

23

24

25

capacity or emotional condition of JT as evidenced by observable and substantial impairment of JT's ability to function within her normal range of performance or behavior.

I'm going to show that there is no injury or JT's —
to JT's, or Jaysenia's, intellectual ability. That there has
— that there was also no substantial impairment to her
psychological capacity. Again, I'm repeating that. I'm going
to repeat again that the State has not established the basic
offensive abuse or neglect by it's definition, much less the
enhancement to substantial bodily harm or substantial mental
harm.

I'm going to show that I did not unlawfully use JT in the production of child pornography. Because the images that the State charged me with do not depict sexual conduct.

MS. BLUTH: Judge, I'm going to object. This is argument, not what the evidence will show.

THE COURT: If you could rephrase.

MR. SPROWSON: Well, the evidence will show that there's no sexual conduct, obviously.

THE COURT: That's fine. Just what the evidence will show.

MR. SPROWSON: Okay. Will not show any sexual conduct. The evidence will show that there's no sexual conduct in there at all, and that is tied to sexual portrayal. A sexual portrayal would imply some kind of sexual conduct.

1	Keep that in mind. A picture, just because it looks a certain
2	way, it has to have certain things in order to qualify as
3	pornography. That's what I'm getting at.
4	Let me see. Okay. Again, contrary to NRS
5	200.700(3) which defines sexual conduct, none of the images
6	charged depict JT engaged in sexual intercourse, number one.
7	None of them show a lewd exhibition of her genitals.
8	MS. BLUTH: Judge, this is argument. This is also
9	the law that they have to be
10	MR. SPROWSON: Well, this is the pictures
11	themselves.
12	MS. BLUTH: Excuse me, Mr. Sprowson.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson.
14	MR. SPROWSON: They don't show that.
15	THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Sprowson.
16	MS. BLUTH: Excuse me. I have to lay an objection.
17	THE COURT: Don't argue, please, with the State.
18	MS. BLUTH: So the reading of the law in an opening
19	statement is improper because only the Court can instruct on
20	the law. So I would just
21	THE COURT: I agree with you.
22	MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
23	THE COURT: And, Mr. Sprowson, at the very end of
24	the case, we will present the law
25	MR. SPROWSON: Okay.

THE COURT: -- to the jury.

2.

2.2.

MR. SPROWSON: That's fine. I agree, Your Honor.

Okay. All right. Let me just continue, then. Excuse me,

Your Honor. I mean, Your Honor and the State --

MS. BLUTH: That's okay.

MR. SPROWSON: -- and the jury. This is new to me.

I'm doing the best I can. Forgive me, please.

I'm going to show that I cannot be criminally liable for knowingly using, encouraging, enticing, coercing, or permitting JT to be the subject of a sexual portrayal in performance. I'm going to show that standing alone it is impossible to know what is meant by sexual portrayal or how it differs from sexual conduct. I'm going to show that based on the sexual portrayal of a minor cannot survive a vagueness charge. Well, I'm not going to argue the law. I'll save that until later.

I'm going to show that as such it is extremely difficult in this case to determine how it would be impermissible and criminal for Jaysenia to be depicted in a manner which appeals to my prurient interest in sex, and we're going to get into that. I'm going to show that I cannot be criminally liable for knowingly using, encouraging, enticing, coercing, or permitting Jaysenia to be the subject of a sexual portrayal in performance. The statute — well, I'm not going to get into the law again on this.

And I think I'm going to close with just a few more 2. words. You know, there's a lot of things that the State is going to bring up. There's going to be a lot of truth, there's going to be a lot of falseness. And hopefully, in my defense, I'm going to be able to sift that out for you. There's two people that are going to testify in my -- my defense. I'm going to -- like I stated earlier, I'm going to do the best I can at cross-examination when the State does their direct. And then I'm going to try and do a direct examination the best I can.

And ultimately the sole witness in my case is me.

Because, really, only two people were there, the alleged

victim and myself. And when I say that, I don't mean that we

were just always alone. There were times, there were many

times, where we went out into the public. There were many

times when she was seen by people out in the public.

2.2.

The State talked a lot about rules. Most of you that are parents here, you always have rules for your kids. These kids weren't met for her as a kid. These were rules that I said, look — and I didn't enforce them. I said be respectful to me. This is my home. If you're a homeowner, if you rent, if you live anyplace, you don't want people going through your stuff. You don't want people bringing people over your house. These are common sense things that everybody would ask someone who was staying with them to honor. Not

enforce. To honor, okay.

2.

2.2.

I wasn't there. I went to work every day. I came home. Yeah, in fact, I'd go out for an hour. It took — I mean, I was exhausted all day at work. I'd go home, go shopping for an hour. I'd ask her what do you want, what do you want, what do you want, what do you want? Buy me some vegetables, buy me some lunch meat, Skittles, I love Skittles. I bought a bunch of Skittles. Went shopping. You can ask her.

You know, it's funny, I never cooked steak in my entire life until I met this young lady. Cooked steak, potatoes, vegetables. I poured it on. I cooked almost every night. And when I didn't cook after I worked, I would take her out, we'd go get some fast food, El Pollo, different restaurants. I asked her what do you like? I would take her there. And we didn't go into the restaurant. I'm not going to lie to you about that. We went through the drive—thru and then we'd come back home and we'd eat it and watch TV. We'd have conversations.

Now, I'm not going to get — I'm not going to argue my case. Like I said, I'm not allowed to argue my case in this. I'm just presenting what I'm going to try to defend and what I'm going to try to present. What I am going to present to you is the day to day operations of what happened. Most of the time I wasn't there. Most of the time I was at work.

Keep in mind she had total control of my house.

Total control of my house when I wasn't there. She could have done anything she wanted when I wasn't there. I didn't tell her she couldn't leave. She may have perceived that. I just said, hey, you left your mother's house, okay, it's not a good idea if you want to stay away from your mother. Now, whether that was bad advice or good advice, I'm not trying to keep her from her mother. I'm not trying to keep her from anybody. As you can clearly see, I was not there during the day every day.

2.

2.2.

Anyway, I'm going to also show you that as far as, again, the child abuse, you know, this charge of child abuse, this young lady had absolutely no physical abuse at all.

Mental abuse, you know, I really don't know how that's going to come out. But like I said, I never had any intention to abuse anybody mentally. I don't even know how you define some of the things that the State is probably going to bring up.

You know, I did the best I could to take care of her while she was in my care. I did the best to provide for her while she was in my care. I did the best to help her while she was in my care. I did the best to advise her. Now, keep in mind, I'm not a counselor. I'm a teacher. My area of expertise is in — is in teaching kids.

Now, mind you, I was teaching kindergarten, 1st grade, I've taught 5th grade, I've taught 4th grade. These are all ages that range from kindergarten all the way up to 5th grade, which is probably around — you're looking at 5, 6,

all the way to probably 10, 11. This is the age range that I dealt with, okay. So when I'm thinking about mental stuff and with these kids, you know, I'm being the best I can.

2.

2.2.

And keep in mind, I want to say one other thing.

I'm going to show you that she was not my student. She was not my student, okay. This is a young lady I met on a

Craigslist ad that I — I put up because I was bored. Again, as I stated, lonely millionaire seeks gold-digging slut. Not implying that she is one. Never would I ever do that, but that's what the ad stated.

I'm not a lonely millionaire. I allowed that earlier on because, out of respect for the young lady, I didn't want people thinking that she was a gold-digging slut. I honor this young lady. Never, never, ever do I want any harm to her, even now, even if I have to go to jail or prison for the rest of my life. I hope she does well. Because do I care for her? Yes. As you can see, I have gone through so much already because I care for this young lady.

You know, I don't know what else to say to you, but I'm going to have to save the rest of it. Before I close this out, let me think if anything before — because this is going to be my opening statement. I just want to counter some of the stuff that the State has kind of skewed. Like I said, there's a lot of truth to what they mentioned. I'm not going to deny that.

1	But, you know, you've got to I think there's a
2	quote that maybe I just this is not argumentative. This is
3	just a statement that I'd like to quote and put into my
4	opening statement. He represents a case seems right until
5	they are cross-examined. I think most of you may know that
6	one. And I'm going to end it at that note. And I'm putting
7	my life in your hands.
8	MS. BLUTH: Judge, objection. That's not true. His
9	life is not in their hands. They don't even handle
10	sentencing. That's completely improper.
11	MR. SPROWSON: Well
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. SPROWSON: Okay. Your life is not in my hands.
14	I perceive it that way. Put it that way. I perceive that
15	your life is I'll skip it.
16	THE COURT: And I think
17	MR. SPROWSON: I'll skip it.
18	THE COURT: Hold on.
19	MR. SPROWSON: Sorry.
20	THE COURT: I think the objection is
21	MR. SPROWSON: Anyway, without going on any
22	THE COURT: Hold on just a second. I just hold
23	on, please. You're going to be given this instruction later
24	on. You guys are not the ones who are going to pronounce

25

sentence, and I think that's the State's objection. That is

left up to the Judge. Rather, at the end of this case, once everything has been presented to all of you, you'll just make the determination whether Mr. Sprowson is guilty or not guilty of the crimes charged.

2.

2.2.

And, Mr. Sprowson, if you'd like to continue.

MR. SPROWSON: Yeah. I'm sorry. It's just my misunderstanding. You're not doing the sentencing. You're right. But when I say your life is in my hands — I mean, my life is in your hands, what I'm saying is that you're the jury, you're the ones who are going to decide the case, and I want you to do it according to the law, okay. And if that means I go to prison, that means I go to prison. I want you to do that according to the law, and I want you to honor the State's presentation. And if they meet their burden, then please do as they ask and find me guilty. But that's what I mean by my life is in your hands. I just wanted to clarify that, okay.

And like I said, I try to be as honest as I can. I don't always do the best in my life decisions. Okay. And I'm going to end it there. Thank you very much for your patience. You know, I probably missed a lot of things that the State has said and tried to counter. You know, it's been several — at least it's three years ago. A lot of things that have happened, you know, have kind of been clouded over the time, but the pertinent things are always here. And I just want to

1 again thank you for your time. 2. Thank you, Mr. Sprowson. THE COURT: 3 MR. SPROWSON: And that's [inaudible] opening 4 statement. 5 THE COURT: Why don't I give the jury just a 6 five-minute break to stand, stretch, use the restroom, 7 whatever they need to do before you bring in the first 8 witness, all right. And we do recognize that lunch time is 9 coming up, but I'd like to get the first witness on and get 10 that testimony started. 11 Mr. Sprowson, if you'd like to sit down, sir, 12 please. 13 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, again, you'll hear 14 this every time. You're admonished not to converse amongst 15 yourselves or with anyone on any subject connected with the 16 trial. Do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial, and do not form or express an 17 18 opinion. 19 Please come back in five minutes. And, again, 20 please sit in the same seats. Remember, you can't talk to 21 anyone. 2.2. (Jury recessed at 11:33 a.m.) 23 THE COURT: Okay. The jury is out. 24 Mr. Sprowson, how long do we have until you need to 25 take your medicine?

1	MR. SPROWSON: Oh, wow, is it already that late?
2	What time does the Court plan on taking lunch?
3	THE COURT: I'd like to get at least 30 minutes in,
4	if not 12:15. He's got to take his insulin.
5	MR. SPROWSON: So are you going to start with your
6	first witness or
7	MS. BLUTH: Yeah, it's whatever you guy want.
8	MR. SPROWSON: Well, I just need can I take I
9	just need to take a bathroom break and I'm good.
10	THE COURT: That's fine. Are you going to be
11	okay
12	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah.
13	THE COURT: as long as we if we stop like at
14	12:15?
15	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah. Well, we're going to stop at
16	12:15 and then go to lunch; right?
17	THE COURT: Yeah, I just want to make sure
18	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I just need to use the
19	restroom. That's all.
20	THE COURT: I don't care about that. Okay.
21	(Court recessed at 11:35 a.m., until 11:47 a.m.)
22	(Inside the presence of the jury)
23	THE COURT: Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen of
24	the jury.
25	Counsel, if you'd like to make yourself comfortable

1	with the exception of whoever is going to bring in the first
2	witness.
3	MS. BLUTH: May I call? Is that
4	THE COURT: That's what I meant to say.
5	MS. BLUTH: Sorry.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Sprowson, do you want to sit down.
7	Thank you.
8	MS. BLUTH: Jaysenia Torres, please.
9	JAYSENIA TORRES, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
10	THE CLERK: Please be seated. Would you please
11	state and spell your first and last name for the record.
12	THE WITNESS: Jaysenia Torres. Do you want me to
13	spell both?
14	THE CLERK: Yes, please.
15	THE WITNESS: J-A-Y-S-E-N-I-A T-O-R-R-E-S.
16	MS. BLUTH: May I proceed, Your Honor?
17	THE COURT: Can you come here for a second?
18	MS. BLUTH: Of course.
19	(Bench conference)
20	THE COURT: Now an adult, are they going to film her
21	face?
22	MS. BLUTH: Oh, no. So I put was going to put on
23	the record, I already explained everything to them. No,
24	because she was a minor at the time of the crime, they can't
25	publish her face or her name, but they all know that.

1	THE COURT: Okay. I thought
2	MS. BLUTH: I've already talked to
3	THE COURT: [inaudible].
4	MS. BLUTH: all of them.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I just wanted to make sure you
6	knew, too, okay.
7	MR. SPROWSON: That's fine.
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	(End of bench conference)
10	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Bluth, if you'd like
11	to continue. I'm sorry.
12	MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. BLUTH:
15	Q Hi Jaysenia. Just because I know that you talk so
16	very quietly
17	A Yeah.
18	Q I'm sure that this is hard, but that little thing
19	in front of you is actually a microphone. So you don't need
20	to bend over to talk to it, but you just have to keep your
21	voice up a little bit so that the microphone can actually
22	catch it. Does that make sense?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q Okay. And I'll try to remind you throughout, but if
25	you wouldn't mind just trying to keep your voice up.

1	А	Thank you.
2	Q	There you go. Comfortable?
3	А	Yeah.
4	Q	Okay. All right. So how old are you?
5	А	Now?
6	Q	Yes.
7	А	19.
8	Q	And when is your birthday?
9	А	
10		MS. BLUTH: Can everybody hear her?
11	BY MS. BLUTH:	
12	Q	Just a little bit louder.
13	А	Okay.
14		JUROR: Yeah, a little louder.
15	BY MS. BLUTH:	
16	Q	Okay. That's okay. I know it's hard to get used to
17	because w	re're not used to it. But even though we're kind of
18	close, se	e how loud I'm talking?
19	А	Yeah.
20	Q	If you could it's okay. You're doing
21	А	Sorry.
22	Q	No, you're doing fine. You're doing fine. There's
23	nothing y	rou've done wrong, okay?
24	А	Okay.
25	Q	Okay. So I think I asked you when is your birthday.
		INICEDETATED DOLLOW DDATE

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

1 Α Yeah. 2 And did you -- I'm sorry. If you -- if you said it, Q 3 I didn't hear it. What was it? 4 Α 5 Okay. And how old does that make you now? Q 6 Α 19. 7 Do you go to college? Q 8 Α Yes. 9 What are you studying? Q 10 Elementary education. Α And I know that it probably sounds like a dumb 11 Q 12 question because you said elementary education, but what do 13 you want to be when you, quote, unquote, grow up? 14 I want to be a 2nd grade teacher. Α 15 Why -- why do you want to be a 2nd grade teacher? 0 16 Just because that was my favorite teacher in Α 17 elementary school, so --18 Okay. That makes sense. Are you a little nervous? Q 19 Yeah. Α 20 It's okay. Have you brought a few things with you 21 to kind of help with that? 2.2. Yeah. Α 23 Q Can you show me what they are? 24 I just have like Play-Doh, and then like a little Α 25 shield.

- Q Okay. The Play-Doh, what's up with the Play-Doh?
- A It's just like soothing to like play with.
- Q Okay. All right. That's fine. I just could tell that there were some things in your hand, so I just wanted to make a record of it. Now, I'd like to ask you some questions and turn your attention to summer of 2013. So in June of that year did you turn 16? Do I have the math right?
  - A Yes.
    - Q Okay. And who are you living with them?
- 10 A My mom.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2.

- Q And what's your mom's name for the record?
- 12 A Like full name? Kathryn Smith.
- Q Okay. And who else lived with you and your mom at your home?
  - A My two sisters and my grandmother.
    - Q And if you were 16, how old were your sisters?
- 17 A Like 10 and like 9.
  - Q Okay. So they were a little bit -- a little bit younger than you?
    - A Yeah.
  - Q All right. And you said your grandma lived with you, as well? Is that a yes?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And you all and I don't want to ask you
  for your specific address, but you lived here in Clark County,

1	Las Vegas, Nevada?		
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	Now, during that time period, would you have been	
4	going into your sophomore year or your freshman year of high		
5	school?		
6	A	My sophomore year.	
7	Q	Now, were you a pretty good student?	
8	A	Yeah.	
9	Q	And because of your grades did you go to a specific	
10	type of s	chool?	
11	А	Yeah.	
12	Q	And what type of school was that?	
13	А	A magnet school.	
14	Q	And in your freshman year did you get like straight	
15	As?		
16	А	Yeah, I did really good.	
17	Q	Okay. During this time period when you were 15, 16,	
18	were you	having issues getting along with your mom?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	Can you explain to me kind of like the type of	
21	issues th	at they were?	
22	A	Like just like chores and like keeping my room clean	
23	and not s	taying out late. Like normal issues.	
24	Q	Like normal issues?	
25	А	Yeah.	

1	Q Okay. But then in like your 15, 16 year old mind,	
2	did those	
3	A Those were like huge problems.	
4	Q Okay.	
5	A Yeah.	
6	Q So would you would you fight with your mom about	
7	things like that?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q So I wanted to talk to you about some some	
10	questions in regards to Craigslist. In the summer around	
11	August of 2013, before you started school, did you start	
12	talking to somebody on Craigslist?	
13	A Yes.	
14	Q Can you explain how it is that that conversation	
15	started?	
16	A Can you like rephrase it a little?	
17	Q Sure. So my first question was is you started	
18	talking to someone via like through Craigslist.	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q How did that start? Like did you was there an	
21	ad, did you post?	
22	A Yeah, there as an ad	
23	Q Okay. And what	
24	A on Craigslist.	
25	Q And what did the ad say?	

1 Α Like lonely millionaire. 2 Lonely millionaire? 0 3 Yeah. Α And did it have an age associated with it? 4 0 5 Yeah, like 34. Α 6 Q And so when it said, you know, lonely millionaire, 7 age 34, what did you do? How did you respond? 8 What do you mean? 9 Did you write the person, or did you -- did you guys 10 start talking? 11 Α Yeah. Yeah. 12 And what did you say once you, you know, clicked on 13 a link or started talking to the person? 14 I was just -- I just said hi and like are you --15 yeah. 16 Okay. When you got -- so did the person start 17 talking to you back? 18 Α Yeah. 19 When you talk through Craigslist, is like -- like 20 you can text each other? Can you --21 It's email, but it's like not your real email. Α They 2.2. use a different email. 23 Q Okay. 24 Α Like a Craigslist email. 25 0 So let me -- let me --

1	A So they don't have your personal email.	
2	Q Okay. So like if you and I are communicating	
3	through Craigslist, like you won't have my email; right?	
4	A No.	
5	THE RECORDER: Someone's phone is ringing.	
6	MS. BLUTH: Oh. Is that I hear it, but I don't	
7	oh.	
8	JUROR NO. 12: I'm sorry.	
9	MS. BLUTH: It's okay. Don't worry about it.	
10	JUROR NO. 12: I didn't even know it was on.	
11	MS. BLUTH: Don't worry about it.	
12	And I'm going to proceed, okay, Judge?	
13	THE COURT: Yeah, I think we're ready.	
14	MS. BLUTH: All right. No worries.	
15	BY MS. BLUTH:	
16	Q Okay. So I guess just what I was trying to	
17	understand is when you and I, if we're communicating through	
18	Craigslist, we don't have each other's emails. Craigslist	
19	kind of creates a pathway	
20	A Like a fake email.	
21	Q A fake email. Okay. Perfect. So did you when	
22	you responded, at any point in that conversation did you tell	
23	the person you were speaking with your age?	
24	A Yes.	
25	Q And what did you say?	

1	А	I said I'm 16.
2	Q	And did the two of you kind of continue
3	communica	ating through that Craigslist?
4	А	Yeah.
5	Q	During the conversation, does this person, the
6	lonely mi	Illionaire, do they ever ask you if you are a virgin?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	And do they ask you if you you know, if you're
9	not a vir	rgin, if you like sex, like things like that?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Do you guys exchange photos, you and this person?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And when I say photos, I'm going to show you
14		MS. BLUTH: May I approach, Your Honor?
15		THE COURT: You may.
16		MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
17	BY MS. BLUTH:	
18	Q	Jaysenia, I'm approaching you with what's marked for
19	purposes	of identification as State's Proposed 22 and 23. Do
20	you recog	gnize these photos?
21	А	Yeah.
22	Q	And are these photos that you took around that time
23	period?	
24	А	Yes.
25	Q	And do these fairly and accurately depict, are they

```
copies of the photos?
1
 2
               What, like --
          Α
 3
               Yeah, so like the -- it's just kind of a legal term
 4
     that we have to say, but it means are these exact copies of
5
     the photos?
6
          Α
               Like the original photos?
 7
               Yes.
          0
8
               Yeah.
          Α
9
               Okay.
          Q
10
          Α
               Yes.
11
               MS. BLUTH: And, Your Honor, at this time I'd move
12
     to admit into evidence State's Proposed 22 and 23.
13
               THE COURT: Any objections?
14
               MR. SPROWSON: No.
15
                           They'll be admitted.
               THE COURT:
16
                  (State's Exhibit 22 and 23 admitted.)
17
               MS. BLUTH: And permission to publish?
18
               THE COURT:
                           You may.
    BY MS. BLUTH:
19
20
               Okay. So I'm going to put in over on the Elmo --
21
     this is called the Elmo. Is that TV working in front of you,
2.2.
     Jaysenia?
23
               It's white.
          Α
24
               MS. BLUTH: Okay. Am I doing something wrong?
25
               THE RECORDER: No, hold on.
```

105

```
1
               MS. BLUTH: Oh, okay.
 2
               THE WITNESS: Okay. Yeah, I can see now.
3
    BY MS. BLUTH:
               All right. Let me zoom out for you. Okay.
 4
5
     the photos you exchanged, is this 23, is that what you looked
6
     like?
7
          Α
               Yeah.
8
               And then 22 -- or, yeah, 22. Are these the photos
9
     that you exchanged?
10
          Α
               Yeah.
11
                     Now, were you the only one to exchange
12
    photos, or did the person send you a photo?
13
               I don't remember.
          Α
14
               I'm sorry?
          Q
15
               I don't remember.
          Α
16
               That's okay. If anything I ask you don't remember,
17
     that's totally fine. Did I explain to you the only rule in
18
     court?
               Don't lie.
19
          Α
20
               To tell the truth; right?
          0
21
               Yeah.
          Α
2.2.
               So if you don't know, it's okay to say you don't
          Q
23
    know, okay?
24
          Α
               Okay.
25
          Q
               Okay. All right. So when you had this
                      UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT
```

conversation, ultimately, and we'll talk about how we get		
there, but ult:	imately you end up meeting this person and	
seeing this per	rson; correct?	
A Yes.		
Q And d	do you know the name of that person?	
A Melvy	yn.	
Q Okay	. Do you see Melvyn in the courtroom?	
A Yeah	•	
Q Okay	. Can you just point to him and describe an	
article of clot	thing that he's wearing?	
A Ash	irt.	
Q Do yo	ou know the can you see the color of his	
shoes?		
A Black	ζ.	
MS. I	BLUTH: Your Honor, can the can you please	
notate for the	record that the witness has identified the	
defendant?		
THE (	COURT: I will.	
MS. I	BLUTH: Thank you.	
BY MS. BLUTH:		
Q Do yo	ou need some water?	
A No.		
Q You	want to keep going?	
A Yeah	-	
Q Okay	. Now, so instead of me having to keep	
II		

referring to him as the lonely millionaire, I'm just going to			
ask you s	ome questions without the defendant, okay?		
А	Okay.		
Q	All right. So you when you were speaking with		
him, did	you make it clear that, you know, your mother or your		
family co	uld not know about this?		
А	Yes.		
Q	Did you guys continue talking even after that		
initial f	irst day?		
А	Yeah.		
Q	And at some point you said you you know, you said		
you were	communicating through the Craigslist email, but at		
some poin	t did you move to a different method of		
communica	tion?		
А	Yeah, Kik.		
Q	Kik. Okay. Can you explain to us old people what		
Kik is?			
А	It's like a messenger app so you don't have to use		
your actual phone.			
Q	Okay. Now, do you get charged for those?		
А	No.		
Q	And if, let's say, your mom went through your		
phone			
А	I could delete the app.		
Q	You delete the app?		

А	Yeah.
Q	Okay. So how often would you guys communicate
through K	ik?
А	Every day.
Q	Is it like once or twice a day, all day?
А	No, like all day.
Q	Why did you change from like the Craigslist email
system to	Kik?
А	It was just harder to check your email and
Q	So why didn't you just move to texting each other
versus Kil	ς?
А	Because my parents couldn't know and my mom checks
my phone.	Well, at the time she did.
Q	Did you did you communicate to the defendant
those thin	ngs and why you needed to use Kik?
А	Yes.
Q	Now, after you guys had been communicating via
Craigslist	and via Kik, did your relationship turn into more,
instead of	f being friends, like a boyfriend-girlfriend type
relations	nip?
А	Yes.
Q	And how like how did it change? Was there a
conversati	ion?
А	Like yeah, it was just like he had just asked.
Q	And how did he ask?
	through K: A Q A Q system to A Q versus Kill A my phone. Q those thir A Q Craigslist instead or relationsh A Q conversat: A

1 Α I don't -- it was just like a text message, like --2 Asking like if you'd be his girlfriend? 0 3 Yeah. Α 4 0 Okay. 5 It was like right away. Α 6 Q And did you agree to be his girlfriend? 7 Yes. Α 8 After you guys started dating one another, did the 9 defendant ever ask you of -- of other photos, like if he could 10 have other photos of you? 11 Α Yes. 12 And can you explain to me how -- how that topic came 13 up and what happened? 14 Just for like -- like it was random, I'm pretty 15 sure. It was just like for sexy pictures and stuff like that. 16 He asked you for sexy pictures? Q 17 Α Yeah. 18 Okay. And so when the defendant asked you for sexy Q 19 pictures, did you -- did you take photos of yourself? 20 Α Yes. 21 And did you send them to him? Q 2.2. Α Yes. 23 And when you sent them to him, did he think that 24 those were like sexy enough? 25 Α No.

1	Q	And then how so how did he express that to you?
2	А	Like just gave me different positions and stuff to
3	do for th	e pictures.
4	Q	And did this happen on one day or multiple different
5	days?	
6	А	Multiple different days.
7	Q	Okay. Okay, Jaysenia.
8		MS. BLUTH: May I approach, Your Honor?
9		THE COURT: You may. You don't need to ask again.
10		MS. BLUTH: Okay. Thank you.
11	BY MS. BL	UTH:
12	Q	Okay. So I am going to show you some photos, and I
13	just want	you to you okay?
14	А	Yeah.
15	Q	Okay. I just want you to thumb through these first
16	and just	let me know if you recognize them, and then I'll have
17	a few que	stions for you, okay?
18	А	Okay. Just all the pictures?
19	Q	Yeah. Just look at them and then I'll have I'll
20	have some	questions for you.
21	А	Do I have to look at all of them? Yeah.
22	Q	Did you look through those?
23	А	Yeah.
24	Q	Okay.
25		MS. BLUTH: And I'm going to put the numbers on the

1	record in one second, Your Honor, but I just want to ask her
2	some foundational questions.
3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	BY MS. BLUTH:
5	Q In regards to the questions that or in regards to
6	the photos that I've shown you, are these the photos that you
7	sent to the defendant after he requested that you take photos
8	and send them to him?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. And are these fair and accurate copies of
11	those pictures?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay.
14	MS. BLUTH: Judge, at this time I'd ask to move into
15	evidence let me get the numbers correct.
16	THE WITNESS: Are you going to show all these
17	pictures?
18	MS. BLUTH: Just one second. Okay. So I'd ask to
19	move into evidence, Your Honor, State's Proposed 25 through
20	excuse me, 24 through 29.
21	THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Sprowson? Do you
22	want to see which photographs
23	MR. SPROWSON: I haven't seen the
24	THE COURT: she's referencing?
25	MR. SPROWSON: pictures, so excuse me?

1	THE COURT: Can you show them to him, please?	
2	MR. SPROWSON: Yeah, I'd like to see them before I	
3	object or not object. Are these all in order? Let's see.	
4	MS. BLUTH: And, Your Honor, for the record, I	
5	apologize. I said 29. I meant 28. So it's 24 through 28. I	
6	apologize.	
7	THE COURT: Thank you.	
8	MR. SPROWSON: No objection, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: All right. They'll be admitted.	
10	(State's Exhibit 24-28 admitted.)	
11	MS. BLUTH: Thank you.	
12	BY MS. BLUTH:	
13	Q So, Jaysenia, I'm going to ask you a few questions,	
14	okay?	
15	A Okay.	
16	Q All right. So and I'm going to put a few of	
17	these on the overhead in a second. But when the defendant	
18	first asked you to take some photos, and he said, you know,	
19	sexy pictures, did you did you really were you	
20	comfortable or know what to do?	
21	A No.	
22	Q Okay. And so I'm showing you right now what's now	
23	in evidence as State's 25. Are these the photos that you	
24	A Yeah.	
25	Q originally sent thinking that they were sexy?	

1 Α Yeah. 2 Okay. And when you sent these, did the defendant 3 communicate to you that -- that he didn't like -- not that he 4 didn't like them, but that they weren't sexy? 5 He wanted more, yeah. 6 Okay. Now, I'm showing you State's 26, which is --7 looks to be -- is that your bathroom? 8 Α Yeah. 9 Okay. Now, are these a different day than what I 10 just showed you in 25? 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. Now, I'm showing you State's 27. Q 13 That's a different day. Α 14 Is this a different day as the other two --Q 15 Yeah. Α 16 -- that I've shown you? Okay. And now showing you 17 State's 28, which is a whole bunch of photos, and you're in --18 All the same thing. Α 19 -- you're in the same like underwear bra set? 20 Α Yeah. 21 Are these -- are these five pictures the -- are they 2.2. all on the same day? 23 Α Yes. 24 But are they on a different day than each of the 25 ones --

1	A Yes.
2	Q I've just shown you? Okay. And then I'm going
3	to show you State's 24. Is this a photo of you?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And is this a different day than all the other days
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. So I'm I'm going to try to go through
8	these as fast as possible because I know that it's not the
9	easiest thing, okay. So I'm just going to ask some real quick
10	questions and we don't we won't even go through all of
11	them, okay?
12	A Okay.
13	Q So now I'm going to put on the overhead State's
14	State's 25. And are these the ones that you had originally
15	sent like thinking that they were sexy?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And then there's a second page in that, which
18	is a shot of your chest; is that right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. So these are the ones that you originally
21	sent?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Now, I'm going to move to State's 28. Showing you
24	the first page of 28. Now, this is a different day than the
25	ones I just showed you; correct?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And did you take these on your own, or did the
3	defendant	request them?
4	A	Well, he requested like sexy pictures, but that
5	picture I	did on my own.
6	Q	Okay. So he
7	A	Like my own way.
8	Q	Got you. So he requested sexy pictures from you,
9	and then t	the first page of Exhibit 28, this was your idea of
10	like sexy	?
11	А	Yeah.
12	Q	Okay. And then I'm going to show you the second
13	page. And	d whose idea was this?
14	А	I think that was mine, too.
15	Q	Okay. And then I'm going to show you the third
16	page. You	u start taking pictures of your bottom?
17	А	Yeah, he wanted butt pictures.
18	Q	He asked for butt pictures?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. And so did he explain like how did he let
21	you know (	exactly what to do or what he wanted?
22	А	He just said like, yeah, butt pictures.
23	Q	Okay. Just try to talk a little bit louder, okay?
24	А	Yeah.
25	Q	I know it's hard. And then I'm showing you now the

1 fourth page. What was going on here? 2. Like what do you mean? I don't --3 Was this -- because some you say that you -- it was your idea of how --4 5 Α Yeah. 6 -- to pose, and then some were his and he was 7 explaining to you how to pose. So I'm just asking on the 8 fourth page of that exhibit, how was that -- this done? I posed like that, but I think taking clothes off 9 10 was him. Like I didn't think about taking my clothes off at 11 first. 12 Okay. So the first time in -- like in regards to 13 the first picture that I showed you, you kept --14 Like my shirt on. Α 15 -- your shirt on? 0 16 Α Yeah. 17 And then whose idea was it to take the clothes off? Q 18 I don't remember. Α 19 That's okay. Knowing yourself and knowing --0 20 I didn't think -- like I -- the first one was my Α 21 idea, so I assume that we talked about me taking the shirt 2.2. off. 23 Q Okay. Would you -- would you have taken the shirt 24 off like on your volition?

25

Α

No.

```
1
               Okay. And now I'm going to show you the last page
 2
     of Exhibit -- excuse me, the second to last page of Exhibit
3
     25. Excuse me, Exhibit 28. I apologize. And is this you?
               Yeah.
 4
          Α
5
               Okay. And why did you do this pose?
          Q
6
          Α
               That was his idea.
 7
               Okay. And now the last page is -- of Exhibit 28 is
          0
8
    this.
               That was his idea.
9
          Α
10
               Okay. And he -- I mean, what words did he use that
          Q
11
     let you know --
12
               A crotch --
          Α
13
               -- he wanted you to --
          Q
14
          Α
               -- photo.
15
               A crotch photo?
          0
16
          Α
               Yeah.
17
               Okay. Now, I had asked you some questions about
          Q
18
     State's Proposed -- or excuse me, now in evidence as State's
19
     27.
         Do you see --
20
          Α
               Yeah.
21
               -- that picture? Is that you?
          Q
2.2.
          Α
               Yeah.
23
          Q
               Okay. And is that -- where are you at?
24
          Α
               My bathroom.
25
               Okay. Are all of these photos at your home?
          Q
```

118

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	The home that we talked about earlier
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	that you lived with your mother and grandma?
5	А	Uh-huh.
6	Q	Is that a yes?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And this picture, why did you take this
9	picture?	
10	A	He wanted another butt picture.
11	Q	A butt picture?
12	A	Yeah.
13	Q	Okay. And now and was that this butt picture,
14	was that a	a different date
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	than the other two days we've talked about?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Now showing you what's in evidence as State's 26.
19	The second	d page is you, it looks like laying down in your bed;
20	is that r	ight?
21	А	Yeah.
22	Q	And whose idea was it to take that photo?
23	A	His.
24	Q	Okay. And did he say I want breast photos or butt
25	photos or	how did you know?
	-	

1	A Just like naked or like part naked.
2	Q Part naked? Okay.
3	A Not clothed.
4	Q And then showing you the first page of that same
5	exhibit, which is Exhibit 26. You're in the bathroom in that
6	same underwear, so are these taken on the same day?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And, again, is that your bathroom at your home?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And why did you go move into the bathroom and do
11	topless?
12	A What do you
13	Q In the first picture we saw we can see you like
14	laying on a bed.
15	A Yeah.
16	Q And then it looks like you move into your bathroom
17	and you're standing. So why did you move from room to room?
18	A I don't know.
19	Q Okay. Was this on the same day that he requested
20	half nude photos?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay. And then lastly I'm showing you State's 24.
23	Is this your bed?
24	A Yeah.
25	Q And is that your body?

1	А	Yeah.
2	Q	Okay. And was this on a different day than each of
3	the other	photos I've shown you?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	And you're in that same pose that I had we had
6	talked ab	out earlier. You said it was kind of like a photo of
7	your crot	ch?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	Why did you take this photo, which is Exhibit 24?
10	A	Because he wanted another crotch one. Like he like
11	said like	spread your legs and stuff like that.
12	Q	He asked you to spread your legs?
13	А	Yeah.
14	Q	Okay.
15		MS. BLUTH: Your Honor, I apologize. I didn't know
16	at what t	ime you wanted me to stop.
17		THE COURT: Can we go a little bit longer, please?
18		MS. BLUTH: Sure, yeah. Just you
19		THE COURT: Maybe until
20		MS. BLUTH: tell me when.
21		THE COURT: about 12:30.
22		Are you guys good? Okay.
23		MS. BLUTH: Okay.
24	BY MS. BL	UTH:
25	Q	And so I know this seems like not a smart question,
		UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

but obviously your mother had no idea at this point that you 1 2 were even communicating with the defendant; is that right? 3 Yeah, she had no idea. Α She had --4 0 5 No -- yeah. Like she had no idea. Α 6 Q Okay. And then, obviously, she wouldn't have any 7 idea that you were sending these types of photos? 8 Α Yeah. 9 Okay. Now, where were you working at the time? 0 10 The Omelet House. Α 11 And who worked at the Omelet House with you? 0 12 My grandmother. Α 13 I'm going to show you a picture. It's -- I'm Q 14 showing you State's Proposed Exhibit 17. Do you recognize 15 this photo? 16 Yeah. Α 17 And who is this? 0 18 Α Me. 19 And is that your Omelet House uniform? 0 20 Α Yeah. 21 Okay. Is this a fair and accurate depiction of this Q 2.2. selfie that you took? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Okay. 25 MS. BLUTH: And, Your Honor, I'd move to admit into

UNCERTIFIED ROUGH DRAFT

122

1	evidence	State's Proposed 17.
2		THE COURT: Did you show it to the defendant?
3		MS. BLUTH: I did.
4		MR. SPROWSON: Yes.
5		THE COURT: Any objections?
6		MR. SPROWSON: No objections, Your Honor.
7		THE COURT: It'll be admitted.
8		(State's Exhibit 17 admitted.)
9		MS. BLUTH: And permission to publish, please.
10		THE COURT: Yes.
11	BY MS. BL	JUTH:
12	Q	Okay. And so this is you in the Omelet House shirt.
13	And who d	lid you send this picture to?
14	А	Melvyn.
15	Q	The defendant?
16	А	Yeah.
17	Q	Okay. And just like before or after work or
18	something	7?
19	А	Yeah.
20	Q	Now, did he know that you were working at the Omelet
21	House?	
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And did there come a day when he came to your work
24	and you d	lidn't even know
25	А	Yeah.

1	Q that he was there?
2	A Yeah.
3	Q Okay. Can you explain that? How did you find out?
4	A Like he had come in and then afterwards he messaged
5	me that he had saw me at work.
6	Q And did he express to you like what you were
7	wearing, or how did you know he
8	A Yeah, like he named one of the bows because I wear
9	bows to work, well, when I worked there.
10	Q Okay. And so he explained to you what you were
11	wearing?
12	A Yeah.
13	Q Had you asked him to come see you at the Omelet
14	House, or was that his idea?
15	A That was his idea.
16	Q Did you and you had no idea he was there?
17	A No, not until after he texted me that he had come
18	in.
19	Q You said that you guys became boyfriend and
20	girlfriend pretty quickly. And in a moment we're going to
21	talk about August 28th when you and the defendant when the
22	defendant comes and picks you up at your house, okay? So I'm
23	trying to kind of figure out a timeline. You said you became
24	boyfriend and girlfriend pretty quickly
25	A Yes.

1	Q	after you initially started messaging each other?
2	А	Yeah.
3	Q	And at some point did you guys actually meet each
4	other fac	ce to face for the first time?
5	А	Yes.
6	Q	And where was that at?
7	А	A roller skating rink.
8	Q	And were you there alone, or were you there with
9	other fri	ends?
10	А	I was there with a friend.
11	Q	Did you tell the defendant that you would be there?
12	А	Yeah.
13	Q	And did you know he was coming to the roller skating
14	rink?	
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. And what was the plan with him coming?
17	А	To just say hi and like meet me.
18	Q	When did you get there first, or did he get there
19	first?	
20	А	We were there first.
21	Q	You and your friend?
22	А	Yeah.
23	Q	And after being there for a certain period of time,
24	did you s	see the defendant come into the roller skating rink?
25	А	Yeah.

1	Q	Now, did he have skates or anything like that?
2	А	No.
3	Q	So what did you tell your friend about who the
4	defendant	was?
5	А	Like one of my old teachers.
6	Q	Why did you lie to her?
7	А	Because it I don't know. Like
8	Q	You have to talk just a little bit louder. I know
9	it's hard	•
10	А	I don't know. Just because it's like not good.
11	Q	Okay.
12	А	Like he was a lot older than me.
13	Q	So you didn't feel comfortable
14	А	Yeah.
15	Q	telling her the truth?
16	А	Yeah.
17	Q	You said he was a lot older. At some point did you
18	realize th	nat he wasn't 34?
19	А	Yeah.
20	Q	How old was he?
21	А	44.
22	Q	44?
23	А	Yeah.
24	Q	Okay. By the time you meet him in person at the
25	roller ska	ating rink, do you know his real age at that point?

1	А	No. Because I remember him telling me his age when
2	I slept c	over.
3	Q	Okay. So then at the roller skating rink when you
4	were w	hen he comes in, do you leave your friend and go
5	speak to	him?
6	А	Yeah.
7	Q	Did you guys talk for a lot of time, a little time?
8	А	Not really, just like a small like real quick.
9	Q	Okay. And what was the point of you guys getting
10	together	that day?
11	А	Just to say hi and like meet each other because I
12	had never	met him. Even though he had seen me before, I had
13	never see	en him before.
14	Q	Okay. So you guys just have a short meeting?
15	А	Yeah.
16	Q	And then do you go back to roller skating and he
17	leaves?	
18	А	Yeah. He came pretty really far at the end.
19	Q	Okay.
20	А	Because like the roller skating rink, like you can
21	come in w	rithout skates, like without you can come in
22	without b	ouying anything if you come at a certain time.
23	Q	Okay.
24	А	Like really late when they're about to close or
25	whatever.	

1	Q	Okay.
2	А	So he came really late. So I think he left, and
3	then we l	Left like really closely afterwards.
4	Q	Okay. Now, did your mom have any idea at that point
5	that you	were communicating with him or talking to him in any
6	way?	
7	А	No.
8	Q	Did you continue to explain to him that your mom and
9	your fami	-ly
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	would have issues?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And because of that did you guys take certain
14	precautio	ons so your mom wouldn't catch you?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Can you explain to me what some of those precautions
17	are?	
18	А	Like he wouldn't call me. Like if we were going to
19	talk on t	the phone, I would call him first.
20	Q	Did he know not to call you?
21	А	Yes, we talked about it.
22	Q	And did you tell him why?
23	А	Yeah.
24	Q	How often were you guys communicating at this point,
25	do you th	nink?

1 Α Like every day still. 2. And is it --0 3 We talked and text like every day up until like that Α 4 day. 5 Okay. Before August 28th, did the defendant ever 6 give you money? 7 Α Yes. And how was it that he gave you the money? 8 0 9 Like he transferred it to my bank account. Α 10 How did that come up? Like did you ask him for Q 11 money? 12 No, I don't -- he just like -- I don't know. 13 never asked for it. He was just like kind of like, here, this 14 is for you kind of thing. 15 Were you going to be starting school pretty soon, 16 like in a few weeks? 17 Α Yeah. 18 Okay. Did you have an idea of what you were Q 19 supposed to use the money for? 20 He said I could use it for whatever I wanted to. 21 Okay. Now, I want to talk about you said that you 2.2. didn't find out his age until you spent the night over at his 23 house, so I want to talk to you about that, okay? How was it 24 that you guys met up before you spent the night? Like how did 25 you initially meet that day? Where were you?

А	Target.
Q	And were you with a friend?
А	Yeah, the same friend from the roller skating rink.
Q	Okay. And had you asked your mother permission to
stay the	night at that friend's house?
А	Yes.
Q	And did your mom give you permission?
А	Yes.
Q	And so did you end up spending the night at your
friend's	house?
А	No.
Q	So what did you tell your friend?
А	That I was going home.
Q	And did you really go home?
А	No.
Q	What happened?
А	I ended up getting picked up by the defendant.
Q	Okay. Just talk a little bit louder. I'm sorry. I
don't mea	n to be annoying.
А	It's okay. I just he picked me up.
Q	The defendant picked you up?
А	Yeah.
Q	Okay. And what type what color was his car, do
you remem	ber?
А	Red.
	Q A Q stay the A Q A Q friend's A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q A Q you remem

	Q	And when he picks you up, where does he pick you up
from?		
	А	The Target.
	Q	Now, was your did your friend see him pick you
up?		
	А	She left first. Her mom picked her up before he
pick	ed me	up.
	Q	Okay. And how did the two of you make that plan,
like	I'm	going to tell my friend that I'm spending the night
and,	you	know?
	А	It was like it was pretty last minute because I
had	origi	nally thought about sleeping over my friend's house.
Like	I re	ally was planning on sleeping at her place. And then
we t	alked	about it and it was like an opportunity for me to
spen	d the	night at his place instead.
	Q	Okay.
	A	Because my mom already gave me the okay.
	Q	Got it. So you guys are communicating. And then is
this	thro	ugh Kik?
	А	Yes, and like phone calls because I would call him.
	Q	Got it. So he picks you up from the Target. And
then	wher	e does he take you?
	A	To his place.
	Q	Had you ever been to his place before?
	А	No.

1	Q	And so when you guys get there, is it daytime, night
2	time?	
3	А	It's like late afternoon. Because I remember we
4	were at l	like that shopping area for like until like 4:00.
5	Like the	sun was setting, but it wasn't night time.
6	Q	Okay. And so when you guys get to his house, what
7	do you gu	ıys do?
8	А	Like we hung out and like he showed me around and
9	stuff.	
10	Q	All right. Were the two of you intimate?
11	А	That night, yeah.
12	Q	Okay. And when I say intimate, meaning the two of
13	you had -	
14	А	Yeah, we had sex.
15	Q	You had sex.
16	А	Yeah.
17	Q	Now, when you wake up in the morning, your mom still
18	thinks th	nat you're at your friend's house.
19	А	Yeah.
20	Q	Do you need a break?
21	А	No.
22	Q	Okay. When you wake up do you call your mom and ask
23	her to	-
24	А	I'm sorry.
25	Q	No, it's totally okay. It's totally okay. You want
		INICEDETITED DOLICII DONEE

```
it just right here?
1
 2
          Α
               Yeah.
 3
          0
               Okay.
                      Good?
               Yeah.
 4
          Α
5
               Okay. So when you wake up your mom still thinks
6
     you're at your friend's house.
 7
          Α
               Yeah.
8
               So when you call her, what do you say?
          Q
9
               Well, I just ask her if I can sleep over again.
          Α
10
               What did your mom say?
          Q
11
          Α
               Yeah.
12
               So when you get the permission from your mother to
13
     stay another night, do you do so?
14
          Α
               Yeah.
15
               And this is at the defendant's house?
          0
16
          Α
               Yes.
17
               And this is also here in Clark County in Las Vegas;
          Q
18
     right?
19
          Α
               Yes.
20
               Was it very far from where you lived?
          0
21
               No.
          Α
2.2.
               On the second night, did you -- were you and the
          Q
23
     defendant intimate again?
24
               I don't know.
          Α
25
               Okay. And when you say you don't know, is there a
          Q
```

133

reason why you don't know, like you can't remember? 1 2 I'm pretty sure that night like we drank. Α 3 You drank alcohol? 0 4 Α Yeah. 5 Okay. Where did you get the alcohol? Q 6 Α The defendant. 7 Okay. And so you're not quite sure if you had --Q 8 Yeah, no, I'm not sure. Α 9 Okay. And that's perfectly fine. If you don't 0 10 know, just say you don't know, okay? 11 Α Yeah. 12 So when you wake up -- you know what, All right. 13 and I apologize, Jaysenia, because I forgot to ask you 14 something about the first night that you were there. 15 first night you were there and you and the defendant were 16 intimate, did he use a condom? 17 Α No. 18 And did he tell you why you didn't need --Q 19 He said he couldn't have kids, so --Α 20 Now, the -- so now let's move to the you wake up the second morning. Did the defendant give you any type of gift 21 2.2. that day? 23 Α Yes. 24 What type of gift did he give you? Q 25 Α A ring.

1 A ring? Can you tell me what it looked like? Q 2. It was like a diamond, like a wedding ring kind of, Α 3 or like a promise -- it was a promise ring. 4 And what did he say to you when he gave it to you? 5 Like there was a promise that we were going to be Α 6 together, we were going to get married. 7 Okay. Did you believe -- did you think you were Q 8 going to be with him? 9 Α Yeah. 10 Did you think you'd get married? Q 11 Α Yeah. 12 Did you -- did you think you loved him? Q 13 Α Yeah. 14 Okay. And when he gave you that ring, did -- did he Q 15 act like it was a special ring to him, or it was just a ring 16 laying around the house? 17 It was special. Like he was pretty clear I could 18 not lose it, like that it was important that I didn't lose the 19 ring because it wasn't cheap. 20 Okay. And I'm showing you what's been marked as 21 State's Proposed Exhibit 20. Do you recognize this photo? 2.2. Yeah. Α 23 Q Is this a photo that you took? 24 Α Yeah. 25 Q Okay. And --

1	А	And to clarify.
2	Q	Yeah.
3	А	He said this wasn't cheap. We were going to replace
4	this.	
5	Q	Okay.
6	А	So just in case like
7	Q	Okay. All right. We'll make that clear.
8	А	Okay.
9	Q	Thank you for thank you for clarifying. So in
10	regards t	to this, though, is this a fair and accurate depiction
11	of the ph	noto that you took?
12	А	Yeah.
13		MS. BLUTH: And, Your Honor, I'd move to admit into
14	evidence	State's Proposed 20.
15		THE COURT: Objections?
16		MR. SPROWSON: No.
17		THE COURT: That'll be admitted.
18		(State's Exhibit 20 admitted.)
19		MS. BLUTH: And permission to publish, please.
20		THE COURT: You may.
21		MS. BLUTH: Thank you.
22	BY MS. BI	JUTH:
23	Q	So now this pink these pink walls and these pink
24	pillows,	is this your house?
25	А	That's my room, yeah.

1	Q	In your mom's house?
2	А	Yeah.
3	Q	Okay. And this ring right here, is this the ring
4	that he g	rave you?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And this was the promise ring?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And had you two had like a discussion after that
9	ring about getting married and things like that?	
10	А	Yeah, like we were going to have a daughter and
11	stuff lik	e that.
12	Q	Okay. Did you have a name for your daughter?
13	А	Audrey.
14	Q	Now, when you when I got up there and I
15	approache	d you with the ring you said that he had told you
16	that one part of it was expensive and the other part you wer	
17	going to replace?	
18	А	Yeah, it's the diamond he said that wasn't cheap,
19	like that	wasn't like don't lose it, don't
20	Q	Got you. Okay. So the center stone right here?
21	А	Yeah.
22	Q	And then it looks like sorry, there's kind of a
23	glare, so	metimes it's hard, but so the actual ring part, the
24	setting p	art, that was the part you guys were going to
25	replace?	

1	А	Yeah.	
2	Q	Q Now, it looks like here it's on a necklace.	
3	А	A Yeah, I just put it on one of my necklaces so that	
4	my mom wouldn't see it.		
5	Q	Q So that your mom wouldn't see it?	
6	А	A Yeah.	
7	Q	So when you do get home that day, how is that you	
8	get home?		
9	А	He dropped me off.	
10	Q	At your house?	
11	А	I'm pretty sure. I don't really remember that.	
12	Q	That's okay. When you get home, though, does your	
13	mom recognize that you're wearing a necklace?		
14	А	Not right away, but eventually she noticed that	
15	that the	ring is like she notices the ring.	
16	Q	And does she ask you questions about it?	
17	А	Yeah.	
18	Q	And do you	
19	А	Like where I got it, and I lied.	
20	Q	You lied?	
21	А	Yeah.	
22	Q	Did you like make up multiple lies?	
23	А	Yeah.	
24	Q	Did she believe any of them?	
25	А	No.	
	I		

1	Q After you lie to her, what does she do?		
2	A She took my phone and everything away.		
3	Q Did she take the ring away?		
4	A Yeah.		
5	Q	Q Now, after she takes your phone away, does she is	
6	she able to look through your phone and see phone calls		
7	А	Yeah.	
8	Q	Q from a number she doesn't recognize?	
9	A Yeah.		
10	Q	And does she start to ask you questions in regards	
11	to what's going on?		
12	А	Yes.	
13	Q And did you lie to her?		
14	А	Yes.	
15	Q	Do you do you remember having a conversation with	
16	the defendant where you talk to him maybe like on the way to		
17	school and say to him, hey, my mom is kind of catching on to		
18	some of this stuff?		
19	А	Yes.	
20	Q	And what is his response?	
21	А	What do you mean?	
22	Q	Like is he concerned, does he tell you, oh, it's	
23	okay, like		
24	А	He's a little concerned, too, because we won't be	
25	able to t	alk. Like she took she was taking everything,	

1	like my laptop.	
2	Q	Now, had the two of you ever spoken about a term
3	referred it's called emancipation?	
4	А	Yeah.
5	Q	And how tell me about that. Who brought it up?
6	Did you kr	now what it meant?
7	А	I don't know who brought it up. We were talking
8	about it.	I ended up looking it up, like looking up what you
9	had to do	to get emancipated.
10	Q	Okay. And you wanted to be emancipated because why?
11	А	So we could be together.
12	Q	Did your mom ever like did she ever hurt you?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Did she feed you?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	Did she clothe you?
17	А	Yes.
18	Q	Was she a good mom?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Okay.
21	А	She was definitely a great mom, but
22	Q	But you were 16; right?
23	А	Yeah.
24	Q	Did the defendant ever talk to you about maybe
25	getting a	lawyer?

1	A Yeah, and that was his idea.		
2	Q	To get a lawyer?	
3	А	Yeah.	
4	Q	When you get home from school that day, does your	
5	mom still	take does your mom still take away your phone?	
6	А	Yeah.	
7	Q	Did she also take away your laptop?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	Without your phone or your laptop, did you have a	
10	way to com	ntact Melvyn?	
11	A	No.	
12	Q	And at that point are you grounded? Like is she	
13	А	Yes.	
14	Q	pretty upset with you?	
15	А	Yeah.	
16	Q	And are you able we're now talking about August	
17	28th. Are you able to somehow get your laptop back?		
18	А	Yeah, I told her I was working on a school	
19	assignment.		
20	Q	And when you tell your mom, hey, I'm working on a	
21	school assignment, I need my laptop, does she allow you to		
22	have it back?		
23	А	Yes.	
24	Q	And what do you do with it?	
25	А	I contact the defendant.	

1	Q	Okay. And what do you say?	
2	А	That I'm not going to be able to talk to him for	
3	awhile and that we had to figure something out because, yeah,		
4	if we don	't now, then we're not going to be able to talk for a	
5	really lo	ng time.	
6	Q	Okay. And so do the two of you kind of devise a	
7	plan abou	t what's going to happen?	
8	А	Yes.	
9	Q	And what's that?	
10	А	He was going to pick me up.	
11	Q	Is he going to pick you up like	
12	А	That night, like late at night, like really like	
13	early in	the morning, late at night.	
14	Q	Why was he going to pick you up late at night?	
15	А	So that they didn't know.	
16	Q	Your family?	
17	А	Yeah.	
18	Q	So does he instruct you to bring any any pieces	
19	of any	items with you?	
20	А	Yeah.	
21	Q	What did he instruct you?	
22	А	Like my social security card and like my birth	
23	certifica	te and stuff.	
24	Q	Did you know where those were?	
25	А	Yeah.	

Q Sc	before he comes and gets you, are you able to get		
those things	3?		
A Ye	es.		
Q Ar	nd are you able to get back your cell phone?		
A Ye	es, and my laptop.		
Q Ho	ow did explain to me how you get those.		
A Be	ecause I got my birth certificate and my social		
security car	re a little bit earlier like while my mom was still		
awake becaus	se she knew where they were and I actually didn't		
know where t	they were until I like kind of ask her, but like I		
tried to mak	e it like nonchalant kind of ask her.		
Q Ok	cay.		
A Ar	nd my laptop and my phone were under her bed, so I		
like snuck in when she was sleeping and I just grabbed them			
like right b	pefore I left. Like that was the last thing I		
grabbed.			
Q Ok	ay. When you grab those things and you leave, do		
you leave th	arough the front door, through a window, how did		
you leave?			
A Th	ne front door.		
Q Ar	nd when you left, was the defendant when you		
left your ho	ome, was the defendant waiting for you?		
A Ye	es.		
Q Li	ke right in front of the house, down the house,		
where?			

	A	Like right like not in front of the house, but
like	the s	street right across, like like I just had to cross
right there to get to his car.		
	Q	When you get to him, he's in that red car you talked
about	:?	
	А	Yes.
	Q	Do you guys have a conversation when you get in the
car?		
1	А	I told him he I gave him like another chance.
Like	I sa:	id he didn't have to take me and like I would
under	rstand	d.
	Q	Okay. And what did he say?
	A	He said we're leaving. Like
	Q	He said you guys
	A	Yeah.
	Q	were leaving?
	A	Yeah.
	Q	Did he ever ask you if you got everything, like you
got -		
	A	Yeah.
	Q	the items?
	A	Yeah. I first thing he had me turn my phone off,
like	make	sure my phone was off.
	Q	Did he have you turn your phone off as soon as you
got i	in tha	at car or

А	Yeah, it was as soon as I got in the car.
Q And why did he want you to turn your phone off?	
А	Just so they couldn't track it or anything.
Q	Meaning your family?
А	Yeah.
Q	Did you do so?
А	Yeah.
Q	Okay. Where do you guys go after you get in his
car?	
А	His place.
Q	And when you get to his place, what do you guys do?
А	Well, he changes his phone number.
Q	The defendant changes
А	Yeah.
Q	his phone number? Now, why did he change his
number?	
А	Because my mom had seen that I talked to someone
that she	didn't know on my phone, and he didn't want them to
be my	family to be able to trace back his number.
Q	Okay. So did he change his number that night?
А	Yes, like right when we got back.
Q	Okay. Now, you still had, you know, your items. So
you had y	our laptop and you had the cell phone. When you get
there, do	you make sure those things are off?
A	Yes.
	Q A Q A Q A Q car? A Q A Q number? A that she be my Q A Q you had y there, do

1	Q And where do you put them?
2	A Underneath like he had like a TV, and like under
3	his TV he had like a little opening.
4	Q Okay.
5	A So under there.
6	Q All right. Now, when you after you guys kind of
7	get home and get settled, do you make like a plan of how this
8	is going to work that night, or does that happen later?
9	A Later.
10	Q And the first night you stay there, the two of you
11	sleep together again?
12	A Yeah.
13	Q And by that, I mean being intimate together.
14	A Yeah.
15	Q And the next day, like at that point in time did you
16	know he was a kindergarten teacher?
17	A I found out when I was with him. I don't remember
18	him telling me beforehand, like before I ran away. I remember
19	finding out after I stayed with him that he was a teacher.
20	Q Okay. So the next day like when he, you know, gets
21	up and goes to work, do you know he's a kindergarten teacher
22	then?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q And so what's the plan since he's going to go to
25	work? Because you were in school at that point; right?

1 2	IN THE SUPREME COUR	RT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA	
3			
4	MELVYN SPROWSON,	No. 73674	
5	Appellant,		
6	v.	)	
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,	) )	
8 9	Respondent.	) )	
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDI	X VOLUME X PAGES 2006-2255	
11	PHILIP J. KOHN	STEVE WOLFSON	
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor	
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
14 15	Attorney for Appellant	ADAM LAXALT Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717	
16		(702) 687-3538	
17	<u>CERTIFIC</u>	Counsel for Respondent  ATE OF SERVICE	
18	I hereby certify that this do	cument was filed electronically with the Nevada	
19	Supreme Court on the 2 day of May, 201	8. Electronic Service of the foregoing document	
20	shall be made in accordance with the Mas	ter Service List as follows:	
21	ADAM LAXALT	DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK	
22	STEVEN S. OWENS  I further certify that I served	HOWARD S. BROOKS I a copy of this document by mailing a true and	
23	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:		
24	MELVYN SPROWSON, #118074	0	
25 26	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISON P.O. BOX 650		
27	INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070		
28		<u>'s/ Carrie M. Connolly</u> ee. Clark County Public Defender's Office	