

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CENTURY SURETY COMPANY,
Appellant,

vs.

DANA ANDREW, AS LEGAL
GUARDIAN ON BEHALF OF RYAN T.
PRETNER; AND RYAN T. PRETNER,
Respondents.

No. 73756

FILED

NOV 20 2017

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

The parties have filed a stipulation for an extension of time to file the answering brief. However, a stipulation is not appropriate here because respondents seek an extension of time in excess of 30 days. See NRAP 31(b)(2). Moreover, the stipulation is not signed on behalf of appellant by a Nevada attorney. See NRAP 25(a)(5) and 46(a)(3). Accordingly, we treat the stipulation as a motion, and cause appearing, grant it. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Respondents shall have until January 5, 2018, to file and serve the answering brief.¹ Given the length of this initial extension request, no further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. Cf. *Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file

¹In light of this order, we take no action on the extension motion filed on October 25, 2017.

17-39983

the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions. See NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

Cherry, C.J.

cc: Gass Weber Mullins, LLC
Cozen O'Connor
Christian, Kravitz, Dichter, Johnson & Sluga
Eglet Prince
Lipson Neilson Cole Seltzer & Garin, P.C.
Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas
Laura Anne Foggan