

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THOMAS WILLIAM RANDOLPH,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 73825

FILED

APR 05 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, appellant's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the opening brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Appellant shall have until July 23, 2018, to file and serve the opening brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the opening brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Special Public Defender
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney



18-12949