IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THOMAS WILLIAM RANDOLPH, Appellant, Electronically Filed Apr 19 2019 09:18 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

v.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

CASE NO: 73825

MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME SECOND REQUEST

Comes Now the State of Nevada, by Steven B. Wolfson, Clark County District Attorney, through his Chief Deputy, CHARLES THOMAN, and moves this Court for an enlargement of time within which to file Respondent's Answering Brief. This motion is based on the following memorandum, declaration of counsel and all papers and pleadings on file herein.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ Charles Thoman CHARLES THOMAN Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar # 012649 Office of the Clark County District Attorney

MEMORANDUM

I, Charles Thoman, am a duly licensed attorney in the State of Nevada and am employed by the Clark County District Attorney's Office. I am the supervising attorney in the above-captioned case. Respondent's Answering Brief is currently due on Friday, April 19, 2019. The State is requesting a 60-day extension of time in which to file its Answering Brief. SCR250(7)(d) and NRAP 31(b)(3)(D) provide that this Court may enlarge the time upon showing of good cause.

This is the State's second request for an enlargement of time. If granted, the new filing date for Respondent's Answering Brief would be due on or before Tuesday, June 18, 2019. The State contacted Appellant's attorney, Sandra L. Stewart, on April 18, 2019. She indicated that neither she nor Appellant would have any objection to the State filing the instant request.

Appellant filed his Opening Brief on December 18, 2018. As the State emphasized in its initial request, Appellant's Opening Brief raises eight issues and contains a forty-volume appendix. One of those issues involves a challenge to Nevada's Method of Execution. Two weeks ago, on April 1, 2019, the United States Supreme Court issued an opinion which addressed challenges to a state's method of execution in <u>Bucklew v. Precythe</u>, 139 S. Ct. 1112 (2019). The slip opinion is thirtyone pages long, and it addresses a test which "anyone bringing a method of execution claim alleging the infliction of unconstitutionally cruel pain must meet." <u>Id.</u> at 1129. The State will likely rely heavily on the Court's analysis in that two-week-old case in its answering brief. The State requests more time to adequately address <u>Bucklew</u>.

Furthermore, an increase in appeals and post-conviction petitions for writ of habeas corpus have occupied the time which undersigned counsel otherwise could have devoted to adequately addressing Appellant's many claims.

Due to the above-described circumstances, Respondent respectfully requests the Court's permission for an extension of time of 60 days to file its Opening Brief, making the State's response due to be filed on or before June 18, 2019. This is Respondent's second Motion for Enlargement of Time, and this motion is made in good faith and not for purposes of undue delay.

Dated this 19th day of April, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565

BY /s/ Charles Thoman

CHARLES THOMAN Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #012649 Office of the Clark County District Attorney Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue P.O. Box 552212 Las Vegas, NV 552212 (702) 671-2500

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on April 19, 2019. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

> AARON D. FORD Nevada Attorney General

SANDRA L. STEWART, ESQ. Counsel for Appellant

CHARLES THOMAN Chief Deputy District Attorney

BY /s/ E. Davis

Employee, Clark County District Attorney's Office

CT/Joshua Prince/Yu Meng/ed