IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THOMAS WILLIAM RANDOLPH, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

No. 73825	
MAY 0 2 2019	K
CLERK OF SPACE COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK	ľ

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, respondent's motion requesting a second extension of time to file the answering brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Respondent shall have until June 18, 2019, to file and serve the answering brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. NRAP 31(b)(3)(D); SCR 250(6)(e). Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

cc: Sandra L. Stewart Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney

19.19314

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐗