IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

* * *

In the Matter of

THE W.N. CONNELL and MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST, dated May 18, 1972.

Case No. 73837 Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

APPEAL

from the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County
The Honorable GLORIA STURMAN, District Court Judge
District Court Case No. P-09-066425-T

APPELLANT'S APPENDIX

VOLUME 4: AAPP 376 through AAPP 500

ALEXANDER G. LEVEQUE (#11183)

SOLOMON DWIGGINS & FREER, LTD.

9060 West Cheyenne Avenue

Las Vegas, Nevada 89129

Telephone: (702) 853-5483

Facsimile: (702) 853-5485

Email: <u>aleveque@sdfnvlaw.com</u>

Attorney for Appellant

Supreme Court Case No. 73837

In the Matter of THE W.N. CONNELL and MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST, dated May 18, 1972.

INDEX

VOLUME	PAGE NUMBER(S)
1	1-17
1	18-21
1	22-38
1	39-43
1	44-45
1	46-94
1	95-96
1, 2, 3	97-312
3, 4	313-408
4	409-413
4	414-417
4	418-421
4	422-424
4	425-426
4	427-449
4	450-451
4	452-463
4	464
4, 5, 6	465-667
6	668-729
6	730-731
6	732-747
6, 7	748-771
7	772-784
7	785-797
7	798-799
7, 8	800-936
8	937-941
8	942-943

ALPHABETICAL INDEX

VOLUME	DATE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
			NUMBER(S)
4	02/01/2017	Accounting and Report of Trust	452-463
		Activity from 2014 to 2015	
4	12/01/2016	Amended Order Re: Eleanor	418-421
		Ahern's Deposition	
1	08/21/2017	Appeal to the Notice of Entry of	18-21
		Order Regarding Motion for	
		Assessment of Damages Against	
		Eleanor Ahern	
1	08/05/2015	Court Minutes	95-96
4	02/08/2017	Court Minutes	464
8	11/09/2016	Court Minutes, Status Check:	942-943
		Settlement	
6, 7	06/03/2015	Motion for Assessment of Damages	748-771
		Against Eleanor Ahern;	
		Enforcement of No-Contest Clause;	
		and Surcharge of Eleanor's Trust	
		Income	
1	08/09/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding	1-17
		Motion for Assessment of Damages	
		Against Eleanor Ahern	
4	12/13/2016	Oder Granting Motion to Withdraw	450-451
		as Counsel	
7	06/29/2015	Opposition to Motion for	772-784
		Assessment of Damages Against	
		Eleanor Ahern, Enforcement of No-	
		Contest Clause, And Surcharge of	
		Eleanor's Trust Income	
1	04/01/2015	Order Appointing New Temporary	44-45
		Trustee	
8	01/06/2014	Order Denying Motion to Refer	937-941
		Contested Probate Matter to	
		Master-Probate Commissioner Per	
		EDCR 4.16; Directing Payment of	
		All Oil, Gas, Mineral and Interest	
		Royalties and Rent to Eleanor C.	

		Houtman Alaa Vnarra aa Elaar -	
		Hartman, Also Known as Eleanor	
		C. Ahern, as Trustee of Trust No. 2	
		of the W. N. Connell and Marjorie	
		T. Connell Living Trust dated May	
		18, 1972; and Setting Calendar Call	
		and Hearing	
6	08/02/2017	Order Denying Request for	730-731
		Attorney's Fees and Granting	
		Trustee's Request to Appoint	
		Guardian Ad Litem	
7	09/14/2015	Order Granting Motion to Dismiss	798-799
		Contempt Proceedings	
4	11/03/2016	Order Re: Eleanor Ahern's	414-417
		Deposition	
6	08/08/2017	Order Regarding Motion for	732-747
		Assessment of Damages Against	
		Eleanor Ahern	
4	09/19/2016	Order Regarding Motion for	409-413
		Assessment of Damages;	
		Enforcement of No Contest Clause;	
		and Surcharge of Trust Income	
1	04/20/2015	Order Regarding the Accounting,	39-43
		Breach of Fiduciary Duty and	
		Claims and Award of Attorney Fees	
7, 8	09/27/2013	Petition for Declaratory Judgment	800-936
		regarding Limited Interest of Trust	
		Assets Pursuant to NRS 30.040,	
		NRS 153.031(1)(E), and NRS	
		164.033(1)(A)	
6	03/13/2017	Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary	668-729
		Hearing from February 10, 2017	
4, 5, 6	03/10/2017	Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary	465-667
,		Hearing from February 9, 2017	
4	12/06/2016	Recorder's Transcript of	427-449
		Proceeding: Motion Marquis	
		Aurbach Coffin's Motion to	
		Adjudicate Attorney's Line	
		Motion: Motion to Withdraw as	
		Counsel on Order Shortening Time	
		The state of the s	

4	11/23/2016	Response to Motion to Withdraw	425-426
4	11/07/2016	Second Notice of Taking Video	422-424
		Deposition of Eleanor Ahern	
1	04/16/2015	Summary Judgment	22-38
1	07/31/2015	Supplement to Motion for	46-94
		Assessment of Damages Against	
		Eleanor Ahern; Enforcement of No	
		contest Clause; and Surcharge of	
		Eleanor's Trust Income	
3, 4	03/03/2016	Transcript of Proceedings, All	313-408
		Pending Motions; Evidentiary	
		Hearing; Status Check	
1, 2, 3	03/29/2016	Transcript of Proceedings,	97-312
		Evidentiary Hearing: Enforcement	
		of No Contest Clause	
7	08/25/2015	Transcript of Proceedings, Hearing	785-797
		on Eleanor Ahern's Motion to	
		Dismiss Contempt Proceedings	

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

VOLUME	DATE	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
VOLUME	DITTL	DESCRIPTION	NUMBER(S)
7, 8	09/27/2013	Petition for Declaratory Judgment	800-936
7,0	09/21/2013	regarding Limited Interest of Trust	000 750
		Assets Pursuant to NRS 30.040,	
		NRS 153.031(1)(E), and NRS	
		164.033(1)(A)	
8	01/06/2014	Order Denying Motion to Refer	937-941
0	01/00/2014	Contested Probate Matter to	737-741
		Master-Probate Commissioner Per	
		EDCR 4.16; Directing Payment of	
		All Oil, Gas, Mineral and Interest	
		Royalties and Rent to Eleanor C.	
		Hartman, Also Known as Eleanor	
		C. Ahern, as Trustee of Trust No. 2	
		1	
		of the W. N. Connell and Marjorie	
		T. Connell Living Trust dated May	
		18, 1972; and Setting Calendar Call	
1	04/01/2015	and Hearing	44-45
1	04/01/2013	Order Appointing New Temporary Trustee	44-43
1	04/16/2015	1115 1 5	22.29
1	04/10/2013	Summary Judgment Order Recording the Accounting	22-38
1	04/20/2013	Order Regarding the Accounting,	39-43
		Breach of Fiduciary Duty and	
6.7	06/02/2015	Claims and Award of Attorney Fees	740 771
6, 7	06/03/2015	Motion for Assessment of Damages	748-771
		Against Eleanor Ahern;	
		Enforcement of No-Contest Clause;	
		and Surcharge of Eleanor's Trust	
7	06/20/2015	Income	770 704
7	06/29/2015	Opposition to Motion for	772-784
		Assessment of Damages Against	
		Eleanor Ahern, Enforcement of No-	
		Contest Clause, And Surcharge of	
	0=/04/201=	Eleanor's Trust Income	4.5.0.1
1	07/31/2015	Supplement to Motion for	46-94
		Assessment of Damages Against	

		Eleanor Ahern; Enforcement of No	
		contest Clause; and Surcharge of	
		Eleanor's Trust Income	
1	08/05/2015	Court Minutes	95-96
7	08/25/2015	Transcript of Proceedings, Hearing	785-797
		on Eleanor Ahern's Motion to	
		Dismiss Contempt Proceedings	
7	09/14/2015	Order Granting Motion to Dismiss	798-799
		Contempt Proceedings	
3, 4	03/03/2016	Transcript of Proceedings, All	313-408
		Pending Motions; Evidentiary	
		Hearing; Status Check	
1, 2, 3	03/29/2016	Transcript of Proceedings,	97-312
		Evidentiary Hearing: Enforcement	
		of No Contest Clause	
4	09/19/2016	Order Regarding Motion for	409-413
		Assessment of Damages;	
		Enforcement of No Contest Clause;	
		and Surcharge of Trust Income	
4	11/03/2016	Order Re: Eleanor Ahern's	414-417
		Deposition	
4	11/07/2016	Second Notice of Taking Video	422-424
		Deposition of Eleanor Ahern	
8	11/09/2016	Court Minutes, Status Check:	942-943
		Settlement	
4	11/23/2016	Response to Motion to Withdraw	425-426
4	12/01/2016	Amended Order Re: Eleanor	418-421
		Ahern's Deposition	
4	12/06/2016	Recorder's Transcript of	427-449
		Proceeding: Motion Marquis	
		Aurbach Coffin's Motion to	
		Adjudicate Attorney's Line	
		Motion: Motion to Withdraw as	
		Counsel on Order Shortening Time	
4	12/13/2016	Oder Granting Motion to Withdraw	450-451
		as Counsel	
4	02/01/2017	Accounting and Report of Trust	452-463
		Activity from 2014 to 2015	
4	02/08/2017	Court Minutes	464

4, 5, 6	03/10/2017	Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary	465-667
		Hearing from February 9, 2017	
6	03/13/2017	Recorder's Transcript Evidentiary	668-729
		Hearing from February 10, 2017	
6	08/02/2017	Order Denying Request for	730-731
		Attorney's Fees and Granting	
		Trustee's Request to Appoint	
		Guardian Ad Litem	
6	08/08/2017	Order Regarding Motion for	732-747
		Assessment of Damages Against	
		Eleanor Ahern	
1	08/09/2017	Notice of Entry of Order Regarding	1-17
		Motion for Assessment of Damages	
		Against Eleanor Ahern	
1	08/21/2017	Appeal to the Notice of Entry of	18-21
		Order Regarding Motion for	
		Assessment of Damages Against	
		Eleanor Ahern	

SUBMITTED TO THE COURT FOR IN CAMERA REVIEW

Electronically Filed 09/19/2016 02:58:06 PM

ORDR

Ì

2

3.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

DISTRICT COURT

CLERK OF THE COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO.: P-09-066425-T

DEPT. NO.: XXVI

In the Matter of THE W.N. CONNELL AND MARJORIE T, CONNELL LIVING TRUST DATED May 18, 1972, An Inter Vivos Irrevocable Trust

ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES: ENFORCEMENT OF NO CONTEST CLAUSE: AND SURCHARGE OF TRUST INCOME

Date of Hearing: February 22, 2016 Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

On June 3, 2015, Jacqueline M. Montoya and Kathryn A. Bouvier ("Moyants" or "Ms. Montoya and Ms. Bouvier"), through counsel, filed a Motion for Assessment of Damages Against Eleanor Ahern; Enforcement of No Contest Clause; and Surcharge of Eleanor's Trust Income (the "Motion"), and on July 31, 2015, filed a Supplement to the Motion.

On June 29, 2015, Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern ("Ms. Ahern"), through counsel, filed an opposition to the Motion and, on August 3, 2015, filed a Motion to Strike Supplement to Motion for Assessment of Damages Against Eleanor Ahern; Enforcement of No Contest Clause; and Surcharge of Eleanor's Trust Income ("Motion to Strike"). The Court has not yet ruled on the Motion to Strike.

The Court held an evidentiary hearing on February 22, 2016, which continued on March 3, 2016 (the "Hearings"). On February 22, 2016, legal arguments were presented by all parties, and the testimony of two witnesses, Fredrick Waid and Jacqueline Montoya, was offered. On March 3, 2016, the parties made closing arguments.

//

28 GLORIA I. STURBIAN DISTRICT JUDGE DEPI NXVI LAS VECAS, NV 89155

Li

GLORIA I. STURMAN DISTRICT HIDGE DEPT XXVI CAS VEGAS, NV 89153 At the Hearings, Ms. Montoya and Ms. Bouvier were represented jointly by attorneys Layne T. Rushforth, Esq., Joseph J. Powell, Esq., and Daniel P. Kiefer, Esq., of The Rushforth Firm, Ltd; Ms. Ahern was represented by Tamara Beatty Peterson, Esq., and Kirk B. Lenhard, Esq., of Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP; and Fredrick P. Waid, in his capacity as the acting trustee of The W.N. Connell and Marjorie T. Connell Living Trust, dated May 18, 1972 (the "Trust"), was represented by Todd L. Moody, Esq., and Russel J. Geist, Esq., of Hutchison & Steffen, LLC.

After consideration of the evidence and arguments presented by the parties and their counsel at the Hearings, and the papers and pleadings on file herein, the Court finds as follows:

- 1. Ms. Ahern, as Trustee, did not comply with the Court order to protect the 65% share of the Trust that was to be segregated under the terms of the Trust for the Movants, Ms. Montoya and Ms. Bouvier.
- 2. Ms. Ahern's failure to properly apply her duties as a Trustee does not warrant imposition of the harsh remedy of imposition of the no-contest clause, specifically her failure to seek Court approval before ceasing payments to the Movants. Therefore, the Court will not enforce the no-contest clause as against Ms. Ahern as beneficiary.
- 3. Ms. Ahern's failure to comply with the Court's Order to protect the Movants' 65% share, however, resulted in a misapplication of the Trust income, which deprived the Movants of funds owed to them under the terms of the Trust. Ms. Ahern's misapplication of Trust funds warrants a surcharge against Ms. Ahern's 35% share of the Trust, to be paid to Movants, in a total amount to be determined at a future hearing to be set by this Court.
- 4. Additional briefing and argument is needed on the issues of punitive and treble damages. It is expected that the additional briefing on such damages, and the hearing on the total amount owed to Movants, will be scheduled after the Successor Trustee, Fredrick P. Waid ("Mr. Waid") finalizes his accounting for the Court.

- 5. Until such time as the Court decides the total amounts owed by Ms. Ahern, it is necessary to withhold all distributions to Ms. Ahern, other than those amounts previously approved as advancements by the Court's Order Instructing Trustee to Advance Funds dated December 29, 2015, which was entered on January 5, 2016. The suspension of Ms. Ahern's share under this order will be effective thirty (30) days after a Notice of Entry of Order is filed with respect to this Order. This ruling does not supersede or modify the Court's Order on Petition for Instructions Regarding Allocations of Eleanor Ahern's 2015 Trust Unpaid Distributions.
- 6. In further violation of this Court's Orders, Ms. Ahern removed some funds from Trust accounts before turning those accounts over to the Successor Trustee, Mr. Waid. Some funds have since been turned over to the Successor Trustee, however, until such time as Mr. Waid can provide an Accounting the Court cannot rule on Ms. Ahern potential liablity. The exact amount of any damages resulting from these serious breaches of fiduciary duty will be determined at a later evidentiary hearing.
- 7. NRS Chapter 165 imposes a fiduciary duty on Ms. Ahern, as Trustee, had to account for all assets and income received by her and for all distributions made by her. Although Ms. Ahern has been removed or suspended from her role as Trustee, she has not be discharged from her fiduciary duties pending her compliance, and the Court's approval of the accounting to be filed by the successor Trustee, Mr. Waid. The Court found that the account Ms. Ahern filed, under penalty of perjury on March 13, 2015, titled "Brief Regarding Accounting Fiduciary Duties, and Trust Administration", was incomplete and intentionally inaccurate. Ms. Ahern, therefore, remains statutorily obligated to cooperate with the successor Trustee, Mr. Waid, in furtherance of Mr. Waid's accounting, until such time as the Court enters a full and complete discharge of Ms. Ahern.
- 8. Movant's seek punitive damages, which requires a finding of willful and malicious conduct. In the alternative, Movants seek treble damages for breach of fiduciary duty. Ms. Ahern's conduct was shocking and needs to be dealt with in a serious fashion,

but the final decision on whether punitive and/or treble damages should be awarded in addition to restitution will be made at the evidentiary hearing to be scheduled after Mr. Waid concludes discovery and prepares his report and accounting to the Court.

 After viewing an independent medical evaluation in camera, the Court finds that Ms. Ahern is competent to sit for a deposition in this matter.

ORDER

Upon the Court's consideration of the evidence and arguments presented by the parties and their counsel at the hearing on the Motion, and good cause appearing therefore:

- IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Movants' request to enforce the no-contest clause against Ms. Ahern is denied.
- 2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Trust distributions to Ms. Ahern are suspended upon the following conditions:
- a. The suspension shall take effect thirty (30) days after notice of entry of this Order is filed;
- b. The suspension shall continue until Movants have been paid in an amount to be determined at a hearing set by this Court following the completion of an accounting of the Trust assets by the interim Trustee, Mr. Waid.
- 3. IT IS FURTHER ORDER ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Mr. Waid shall prepare a report and a trustee's account, and upon completion, a hearing on the amounts owed by Ms. Ahern, including any punitive or treble damages, shall be conducted, unless the parties stipulate otherwise.

DATED this _____of September, 2016.

DISTRICT COURT YUDGE

Goria e sturman

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on or about the date signed, a copy of this Order was electronically served and/or placed in the attorney's folders maintained by the Clerk of the Court and/or transmitted via facsimile and/or mailed, postage prepaid, by United States mail to the proper parties as follows:

Kirk Lenhard, Esq. BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614

Layne Rushforth, Esq.
Joseph Powell, Esq.
State Bar No. 1004
THE RUSHFORTH FIRM, LTD.
P. O. Box 371655
Las Vegas, NV 89137-1655

Todd Moody, Esq. Russel Geist, Esq. HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145

Linda Denman, Judicial Executive Assistant

PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL FARK COBO WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS. NY 89145

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC 3 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 385-2500 4 (702) 385-2086 FAX 5 tmoody@hutchlegal.com rgeist@hutchlegal.com 6 Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid, 7 Court-appointed Trustee 8 9 10 In the matter of

Todd L. Moody (5430)

Russel J. Geist (9030)

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDR

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

THE W.N. CONNELL AND MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST DATED May

18, 1972, an Inter Vivos Irrevocable Trust.

Case No.: P-09-066425-T Dept. 26

ORDER RE: ELEANOR AHERN'S DEPOSITION

Date of Hearing: 10/05/16 Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

A hearing was held on October 5, 2016 on the PETITION FOR ORDER DIRECTING TRANSFER OF TENANCY IN COMMON INTEREST IN UPTON COUNTY, TEXAS PROPERTY TO THE MTC LIVING TRUST. Fredrick, P. Waid, acting Successor Trustee ("Trustee") of The W.N. Connell and Marjorie T. Connell Living Trust, dated May 18, 1972 ("Trust") scheduled the deposition of Eleanor Ahern to occur immediately following the hearing. The Trustee was represented by Todd L. Moody and Russel J. Geist of Hutchison & Steffen, LLC; Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern ("Eleanor Ahern") was represented by Kirk Lenhard of Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck, LLP; Terry A. Coffing appeared on behalf of Marquis Aurbach Coffing; and Jacqueline M. Montoya and Kathryn A. Bouvier were represented by Joseph J. Powell and Daniel Kiefer of The Rushforth Firm. Eleanor Ahern through her counsel represented to the Court that she was unable to appear for the scheduled

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL P. 0080 WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUIT LAS VEGAS, NV 89145

deposition due to medical reasons. Mr. Lenhard presented a copy of a letter received from a physician regarding Eleanor Ahern's condition preventing her from appearing for the deposition. The Court reviewed the physician's letter and heard statements from counsel on Eleanor Ahern's absence and the physician's letter.

THE COURT FINDS that it is necessary for Eleanor Ahern to sit for a deposition in order for her to fulfil her fiduciary duties as former trustee of the Trust and provide relevant information to the Trustee regarding administration of the Trust when she was serving as trustee so the Trustee may complete his report on the Trust for the Court and the parties.

Having considered the same and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Eleanor Ahern is ordered to produce the physician's letter which purports to excuse Eleanor Ahern from the deposition scheduled on October 5, 2016 to counsel for the Trustee.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Eleanor Ahern must submit to a deposition to occur by November 4, 2016.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Eleanor Ahern does not submit to a deposition to occur by November 4, 2016, then the Court will issue sanctions against Eleanor Ahern based on a Motion for Sanctions brought on order shortening time by the Trustee.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Eleanor Ahern does not submit to a deposition to occur by November 4, 2016, then the Trustee is authorized and permitted to complete his report with the information and knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the administration of the Trust as he has at the time.

111

111

111 24

26

25

27 28

- 2 -

28

		II.	
		1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Trustee shall issue his final report by November
		2	30, 2016.
		3	Dated this day of October, 2016.
		4	
		5	Control of the Contro
		6	District Court Judge Submitted by:
		7	HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC
		8	
		9	Todd L. Moody (5430)
		10	Russel J. Geist (9030) 10080 W. Alta Dr., Ste 200
EN		11	Las Vegas, NV 89145 tmoody@hutchlegal.com
FF]	-	12	rgeist@hutchlegal.com
TE	200 200 200		Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid,
S	L PAF UITE	13	Court-appointed Trustee
3	SIONAL ESSIONA DRIVE, S	14	Approved as to form and content:
$^{\circ}$	A PROFESSIONA PECCOLE PROFESSION OOBO WEST ALTA DRIVE, LAS VEGAS, NV BG	15	BROWNSTEIN HYATIT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
SII	A PR	16	1204//
CH	<u> </u>	17	Kirk B. Lenhard (1437)
UT		18	Kirk B. Lenhard (1437) 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614
H		19	klenhard@bhfs.com tpeterson@bhfs.com
		20	
		21	Attorneys for Eleanor Ahern
		22	THE RUSHFORTH FIRM, LTD.
		23	
		24	Joseph J. Powell (8875) P.O. Box 371655
		25	Las Vegas, NV 89137-1655 probate@rushforthfirm.com
		26	Attorneys for Jacqueline M. Montoya and
		27	Kathryn A. Bouvier
		28	

Todd L. Moody (5430) Russel J. Geist (9030) **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC** 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 385-2500 (702) 385-2086 FAX tmoody@hutchlegal.com rgeist@hutchlegal.com Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid, Court-appointed Trustee

AMOR

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the matter of THE W.N. CONNELL AND MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST DATED May

18, 1972, an Inter Vivos Irrevocable Trust.

Case No.: P-09-066425-T Dept. 26

AMENDED ORDER RE: ELEANOR AHERN'S DEPOSITION

Date of Hearing: 10/05/16 Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

A hearing was held on October 5, 2016 on the PETITION FOR ORDER DIRECTING TRANSFER OF TENANCY IN COMMON INTEREST IN UPTON COUNTY, TEXAS PROPERTY TO THE MTC LIVING TRUST. Fredrick, P. Waid, acting Successor Trustee ("Trustee") of The W.N. Connell and Marjorie T. Connell Living Trust, dated May 18, 1972 ("Trust") scheduled the deposition of Eleanor Ahern to occur immediately following the hearing. The Trustee was represented by Todd L. Moody and Russel J. Geist of Hutchison & Steffen, LLC; Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern ("Eleanor Ahern") was represented by Kirk Lenhard of Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck, LLP; Terry A. Coffing appeared on behalf of Marquis Aurbach Coffing; and Jacqueline M. Montoya and Kathryn A. Bouvier were represented by Joseph J. Powell and Daniel Kiefer of The Rushforth Firm.

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Eleanor Ahern through her counsel represented to the Court that she was unable to appear for the scheduled deposition due to medical reasons. Mr. Lenhard presented a copy of a letter received from a physician regarding Eleanor Ahern's condition preventing her from appearing at the hearing and for the deposition. The Court reviewed the physician's letter and heard statements from counsel on Eleanor Ahern's absence and the physician's letter. Since April of 2015, the Court has entered multiple orders and repeatedly admonished Ms. Ahern to appear for her deposition to assist the Successor Trustee in completing a final and accurate accounting. To date, Ms. Ahern has not complied with the Court's orders or admonitions in this regard.

In its most recent Order, the Court ordered that Eleanor Ahern submit to a deposition to occur by November 4, 2016. However, before the deposition could be set, the parties were engaged in settlement discussions, an agreement in principle was reached by all parties, and Ms. Ahern's counsel requested the Successor Trustee to request an immediate Status Check hearing with the Court so the settlement could be read into the record, which would have resolved all remaining issues. On Monday November 7, 2016, Mr. Lenhard, counsel for Ms. Ahern. communicated that she had withdrawn her agreement to the pending settlement. The discussions concluded without an agreement, and the deadline for Eleanor Ahern to submit to a deposition must be reset immediately to allow the Successor to complete tax and other filings before the end of 2016.

THE COURT FINDS that it is necessary for Eleanor Ahern to sit for a deposition in order for her to fulfil her fiduciary duties as former trustee of the Trust and provide relevant information to the Trustee regarding administration of the Trust when she was serving as trustee so the Trustee may complete his report on the Trust for the Court and the parties.

Having considered the same and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Eleanor Ahern is ordered to produce the physician's letter which purports to excuse Eleanor Ahern from the deposition scheduled on October 5, 2016 to counsel for the Trustee.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL OOSO WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUI LAS VEGAS, NY 89145

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED Eleanor Ahern must submit to a deposition to occur by November 29, 2016.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Eleanor Ahern does not submit to a deposition to occur by November 29, 2016, then the Court will issue sanctions against Eleanor Ahern including contempt not committed in the immediate view and presence of the court pursuant to NRS 22.030(2), and will entertain a motion for sanctions and/or for an order to show cause on an order shortening time.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Eleanor Ahern does not submit to a deposition to occur by November 29, 2016, then the Trustee is authorized and permitted to complete his report with the information and knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the administration of the Trust as he has at the time.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Trustee shall issue his final report thereafter upon determining the final tax liability and/or refund due to the Trust from amended tax returns.

Dated this day of November, 2016.

Submitted by:

HUTCHISON.& STEFFEN. LLC

Approved as to form and content: BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP

Todd L. Moody (5430) Russel J. Geist (9030) 1008 W. Alta Dr., Ste 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145

tmoody@hutchlegal.com rgeist@hutchlegal.com

Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid, Court-appointed Trustee

Kirk B. Lenhard (1437) 100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614 klenhard@bhfs.com tpeterson@bhfs.com

Attorneys for Eleanor Ahern

Submitted in open court.

- 3 -

Approved as to form and content:

THE RUSHFORTH FIRM, LTD.

Joseph J. Powell (8875) P.O. Box 371655 Las Vegas, NV 89137-1655 probate@rushforthfirm.com

Attorneys for Jacqueline M. Montoya and Kathryn A. Bouvier

-4-

CLERK OF THE COURT

NTTD Todd L. Moody (5430) Russel J. Geist (9030) HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 385-2500 (702) 385-2086 FAX tmoody@hutchlegal.com rgeist@hutchlegal.com

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid Court-Appointed Acting Successor Trustee

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the matter of THE W.N. CONNELL AND MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST DATED May 18, 1972, an Inter Vivos Irrevocable Trust.

Case No.: P-09-066425-T Dept. 26

SECOND NOTICE OF TAKING VIDEO DEPOSITION ELEANOR AHERN

TO: ELEANOR AHERN;

KATHRYN A. BOUVIER and JACQUELINE M. MONTOYA; and TO:

TO: THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that at 11:00 o'clock a.m. on November 29, 2016 at the law office of Hutchison & Steffen, LLC, 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89145, Fredrick P. Waid, Court-Appointed Acting Successor Trustee, by and through his attorneys, will take the sound-and-visual deposition of **ELEANOR AHERN** by oral examination, pursuant to Rule 30 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure (NRCP) and the Order re: Eleanor Ahern's Deposition, filed November 3, 2016. The deposition will be conducted before an officer appointed or designated under NRCP 28. The witness will be placed under oath and testimony will be recorded.

111 111

UTCHISON

The deposition is limited to 1 day of 7 hours. The deponent's failure to attend or participate may be subject to the sanctions set forth in NRCP 37.

DATED November _______, 2016.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC

Todd L. Moody (5430) Russel J. Geist (9030) 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid Court-appointed Trustee

PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL PARK 10080 WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NV 89145 HUTCHISON

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, LLC, and on this Thirday of November, 2016, I caused the above and foregoing document entitled SECOND NOTICE OF TAKING VIDEO DEPOSITION OF ELEANOR AHERN to be served as follows: by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada; and/or to be served via facsimile; and/or pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a) and 8.05(f), to be electronically served through the X Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system, with the date and time of the electronic service submitted for the date and place of deposit in the mail; and/or to be hand-delivered; to the attorneys and/or parties listed below at the address and/or facsimile number indicated below: Joseph J. Powell, Esq. Kirk B. Lenhard, Esq. The Rushforth Firm Brownstein, Hyatt, Farber & Schreck, LLP 1707 Village Center Circle, Ste. 150 100 N. City Parkway, #1600 Las Vegas, NV 89106 Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorneys for Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern Attorneys for Jacqueline M. Montoya and Kathryn A. Bouvier employee of Hutchison & Steffen, LLC

Electronically Filed 11/23/2016 11:02:15 AM

	•
DISTRICT COURT	JONI
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
In the Matter of:	
LIVING TRUST DATED MAY 18, 1972, An Inter	
7 Vivos Irrevocable Trust) DEPT. XXVI	
3	
}	
D	
RESPONSE TO MOTION TO WITHDRAW	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
23	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 20 21 22 23	CLERK OF THE CO

25

Via facsimile. This one

1 message

Eleanor@connelltrust.lawyer < Eleanor@connelltrust.lawyer>
To: ChecksnMail@gmail.com

Wed, Nov 23, 2016 at 9:32 AM

Via facsimile

For immediate delivery

Please deliver to Judge Gloria Sturman for the

9:30 am Hearing Sturman court

November 23, 2015

In the matter of: The William N, Connell and Marjorie T. Connell Living Trust Dated May 18, 1972

P 009 66425 T

Good morning your honor

Today as you consider Kirk Lenhards motion to withdraw. Please do not leave me without counsel.

I am asking that you order the temporary trustee, Fred Weld, to pay for new counsel for me in a timely fashion as we move forward from today, I can not be without counsel. I have need of counsel.

Thank you your honor

Please read the attached / following pages, it's a quick read

Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahem

PS.

If you grant the lien please protect me and

- 1) ensure I get a complete copy of my entire file inclusive of all the correspondence between any and all parties from Brownstein
- 2) Please make the brownstein lien subject to my review. I do not have the supporting detail of the requested amount in the "final bill" I would like the opportunity to see all the detail and discuss it with Kirk. It was not given to me with enough time to review
- 3) put this lien behind paying new counsel.

Your honor, Thank you,



Electronically Filed 12/06/2016 02:06:20 PM

RTRAN CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of the Trust of:

The W.N. Connell and Marjorie T.

Connell Living Trust, dtd May 18,
1972

CASE NO. P-066425

DEPT. XXVI

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GLORIA STURMAN, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 23, 2016

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING:
MOTION: MARQUIS AURBACH COFFING'S MOTION TO ADJUDICATE
ATTORNEY'S LIEN... MOTION: MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL ON
ORDER SHORTENING TIME

18 APPEARANCES:

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

For the Petitioner: EMILY ELLIS, ESQ.

For Other: TERRY COFFING, ESQ.

For Trustee Waid: RUSSELL GEIST, ESQ.

23 For Others: JOSEPH POWELL, ESQ.

RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA, COURT RECORDER

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

23

24

25

MR. SEMENZA: And my name is Lawrence Semenza --

Ms. Ahern. Starting with:

1

MR. SEMENZA: -- 789 Your Honor, and I'm not making a special appearance and so, I don't think we have special appearances anymore but -THE COURT: Right. MR. SEMENZA: -- I am concerned about Ms. Ahern who has contacted me --THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. SEMENZA: -- with respect to possible representation in this matter, Your Honor. THE COURT: Great. Okay. We did just receive --[Colloquy between Court and Law Clerk] THE COURT: We're going to need more copies. Something, she sent something. All right. So run a couple – we got a couple here. I got – Ron you want to take this over there? THE MARSHAL: Yes, Judge. [Marshal takes document from Court and distributes to Counselors]. MR. SEMENZA: I'm not sure of this, that's why I'm sitting over here instead of over there. [Document being reviewed by Counselors] MR. GEIST: Your Honor, I'm assuming that was faxed to your office? THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Lee, if you can distribute these for us, please. COURT MARSHAL: Yes, Judge. [Marshal hands out document from Bench to Counselors] THE COURT: We'll go on the record. All right. So this is case 66425-T. This is correspondence faxed to Court chambers this morning, apparently from

"Good morning, Your Honor, today as you consider Kirk Lenhard's Motion to Withdraw, please do not leave me without counsel. I'm asking that you order the Temporary Trustee, Fred Waid, to pay for new counsel for me in a timely fashion as we move forward from today. I cannot be without counsel. I have need of counsel. Thank you, please read the attached following pages, it's a quick read."

My concern is that just having scanned what she's attached here, there's a lot about settlement discussions and also attorney-client communication which is, you know, not proper for me to be aware of. I appreciate Ms. Ahern's concern that she needs counsel. She does – she desperately needs counsel.

For example, just looking at the very first paragraph, I'm - she's - it's pretty clear that - third page rather. It's pretty clear that she still does not understand what's happened here. The - she seems to think that I have said that I would not throw her in jail, and I think she misunderstands my intentions. I have said I don't want to throw her in jail. She is in contempt of this Court.

I understand that there was a contempt hearing which did not find that. I still – I still continue to believe that she is in contempt of my orders. And I – she is in desperate need of somebody who she will listen to to give her advice. And it is unfortunate that it appears she doesn't listen to any attorney. So while I appreciate Mr. Semenza's willingness to take on the challenge of Ms. Ahern who has desperate need of his services. I just want you to know that Mr. Semenza, this is – this has been a very challenging case for everybody due to what appears to be the influence of non-parties on Mrs. Ahern, and it's – it's

very unfortunate.

Ms. Ahern asks for funds and for counsel to be paid. She doesn't understand that her fate is in her own hands, and that it is through her own actions that she has left the Court with no alternative but to take the action that's been taken to attempt to recover the funds that were wrongfully diverted from the Trust, in my opinion. That's what she has left me with.

And while I appreciate her request that she needs to be — to have an attorney and to be able to pay that attorney, if she had cooperated with her Attorney and with her Trustee, we wouldn't be in this situation. She would have had the money. So, you know, this is what it is. We'll left side file it so it's in the — in the record as having been received and reviewed by the Court. As said, I didn't read every page as she had asked me to do. Once I realized she had settlement negotiations and attorney-client communications throughout this document.

So we'll file it, and I just leave it to Counsel to determine if they think there's any portion that needs to be sealed given the nature of what she discloses. So moving onto –

MS. ELLIS: Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- what we have before us. We have --

MS. ELLIS: Your Honor, my apologies for interrupting. When will it be filed? I just wanted to give a – be able to look through it and see if there's anything that we need to seal for attorney-client purposes? Is there a time period in which we have to do that?

THE COURT: Well, it depends. I mean, if we – if we left side file it we – it just means it's in our correspondence, essentially.

 MS. ELLIS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If we file it as a pleading received from a person who is apparently going to be a pro se. If we were to send it to the Clerk's Office and say: Please file it, then it would have to be sealed.

MS. ELLIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So that's why I – for now, I'm just going to left side file it and you can advise me if you think – because I looked at it and I got nervous. I felt I shouldn't read any further, because I though there were settlement negotiations and attorney-client communications in here. So I'm not going to read it. We'll just left-side file it and if you – if you say, "No, this needs – if Mr. Semenza decides to take on this case and he wants it filed as his client's position, then that's fine, he can ask us to file it.

But for right now we're – if everybody else says no, don't file it it really – it should be – in fact, it should be sealed because we don't want any of this information even in the record. It's – it's available, for example, if the Supreme Court gets a copy of the file on an appeal they can see it, but it's not available for the public to see it. So I just don't know what to do with it.

For now we're going — we'll put it in the file so that it's available to the Court to — if we get a request to file it, great, if we get a request to seal it, great, but it'll be in our file because we're paperless. So it — we have to do something with it and that's — my suggestion is we left-side file it, and you can advise us if you have a request that we did seal it.

MS. ELLIS: Thank, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Because I - I do think there's settlement negotiations, at least, just even starting to read it as I did I just - I - I can't go - I can't read

25

1

any further. I'm not supposed to know this stuff so.

MS. ELLIS: [Laughs]. And we appreciate that, thank you, Your Honor.

MR. SEMENZA: Thanks.

THE COURT: But her cover page is, is -- her request is that that we make funds available to pay counsel so that she can move forward from today. Fine. The first Motion is to Withdraw as Counsel on an Order Shortening Time. There's no opposition, as far as I know, to Mr. Lenhard's Motion to Withdraw. Is Mr. Lenhard going to be submitting a lien?

MR. SEMENZA: Excuse me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: Go ahead with your question, pardon me.

THE COURT: I – yeah, is Mr. Lenhard going to be submitting a lien?

MS. ELLIS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, thanks.

MS. ELLIS: We -

MR. SEMENZA: Already have.

THE COURT: So this is just to Withdraw as Counsel? Okay, so -

MS. ELLIS: Yes, and we – and we served the notice of the lien on all parties including Ms. Ahern, and once – we just received an email back that she has actually received the notice of the lien --

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ELLIS: -- so then we can move forward with any motion practice.

THE COURT: Okay. Fine. Well, the Motion to Withdraw then is granted if send over an order.

MR. SEMENZA: Excuse me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. MR. SEMENZA: Before you enter that. There is one problem that -THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. SEMENZA: -- confronts me if I were to assume the responsibility of representing Ms. Ahern. THE COURT: Correct. MR. SEMENZA: And that's that, there is a deposition scheduled for Tuesday --THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. SEMENZA: -- I believe, by the Trustee. THE COURT: Next. MR. SEMENZA: This coming Tuesday. MR. WAID: This coming Tuesday. MR. SEMENZA: A week from yesterday, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yeah, uh-huh. Right. Uh-huh. MR. SEMENZA: And I am exceedingly hesitant to want to jump into a case where I am unfamiliar with the facts and circumstances --THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. SEMENZA: -- and I have not had the opportunity to speak to Mr. Lenhard. And the Trustee was gracious enough this morning to introduce himself, thinking that I might be here on this matter --THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. SEMENZA: -- but that's an impediment to any - to my entering into representation of her.

THE COURT: What does that have to do with Mr. Lenhard withdrawing?

Nothing.

MR. SEMENZA: Well -

THE COURT: So Mr. Lenhard's motion's granted.

MR. SEMENZA: Excuse me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No, it's, it's granted.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MS. ELLIS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. And I'm sure if Ms. Ahern does obtain counsel, you can deal with them about whether you have a retaining lien that you're going to be asserting, on her file, or if you're going to turn the file over --

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- because that's been a matter of much litigation with other counsel throughout this litigation, so I'm just giving you a warning, Mr. Semenza. The other motion that we have on then is Mr. Coffing's Motion to Perfect the Lien, Mr. Coffing.

MR. COFFING: Your Honor, I think the opposition to our motion was primarily based upon the issue of redacted versus unredacted bills --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. COFFING: -- and I think that issue may - has - be somewhat resolved itself at this point in time. So I'd like to provide that information to Mr. Waid to the extent that he still wants it, and then perhaps you can status check this for a couple of weeks so that we may bring you, hopefully, some resolution of that.

THE COURT: Is there any need to get this resolved before the end of the - other than, I'm sure Mr. Coffing would like to know that his - his lien's on file

MR. COFFING: You know what, in -

THE COURT: -- before the end of the year for accounting purposes.

MR. COFFING: No, I don't – not for my accounting purposes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. COFFING: I don't know about the trust.

MR. WAID: I don't believe so, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WAID: I appreciate his offer to provide that and it will assist at the evidentiary hearing —

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WAID: -- in February.

THE COURT: All right. So we're – rather than rule on the motion to adjudicate Marquis Coffings lien today, you want it continued to –

MR. COFFING: Well, Your Honor, if – and if we could adjudicate the lien at least as it relates to Ms. Ahern, subject to the review of Mr. Waid, if any of the charges he believes are properly attributable to the Trust, then that would reduce the lien as it relates to Ms. Ahern, and at least puts me on par with everyone else.

MR. WAID: And I'm fine with that, Your Honor, it's reasonable.

THE COURT: Okay so.

MR. COFFING: So I'm asking you to adjudicate our lien in the full amount, subject to a status check in which that amount may — as it relates to

||Ms. Ahern -

THE COURT: Will be.

MR. COFFING: -- subject to that being reduced, based upon Mr. Waid's review of the bills.

THE COURT: And certainly the bills may be, in fact, attributable to Trust or –

MR. COFFING: Correct.

THE COURT: -- and properly paid by the Trust.

MR. COFFING: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. So the – the only thing the status check would do would be to adjust it down with respect to personal responsibility?

MR. COFFING: That is correct.

THE COURT: And that's all we're going to do is make – parse that out as to what's the responsibility of the Trust versus Ms. Ahern. Okay. Mr. Powell. Your client's – what's their position on –

MR. POWELL: Well, if I'm understanding what we're talking about is that the last time this – that motion was on calendar, Your Honor, was very clear, based on our objection and Mr. Waid's response to which he was saying is. We can't siphon off what is – what you are claiming is trust related versus what you're claiming is her personal obligation.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. POWELL: So you had – you had indicated is – without seeing that and a supplement being filed in by Mr. Coffing, you could make a ruling on that because, again, no – no one had the information. So what I'm understanding is that that will now be forthcoming to Mr. Waid, it'll be Mr. Waid's determination

as to what he determines is trust related expense versus what representation was solely Ms. Ahern's. That's acceptable and that's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. Great.

MR. POWELL: As long as, again, we're just not making a determination that anything as of right now is coming from –

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. POWELL: -- the Trust itself. So -

THE COURT: Correct. Okay.

MR. POWELL: -- with that as a parameter -

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. POWELL: -- I'm fine with that.

THE COURT: All right. So Mr. Semenza, just to bring you up to speed, Marquis Coffing – Marquis Aurbach Coffing who preceded Mr. Lenhard, have been attempting to perfect their lien for some time now, and that was one of the issues was that, because of the time Ms. Ahern was still the Trustee of the Trust, there may be certain things in their bill that were actually done, not on behalf of the Trust, that are probably paid by the Trust and not accountable on her personal representation. So, trying to figure out some way to go through that with redacted billing and confidential information.

It sounds like Counsel for the Trustee and Mr. Coffing have worked out an agreeable solution that allows the Trustee to go through and make a determination as to what, if anything, should be paid by the Trust.

MR. SEMENZA: And I think that Mr. Lenhard's firm has been representing Ms. Ahern in that matter.

MR. COFFING: No, Mr. Lenhard didn't come in until after Ms. Ahern was

discharged as Trustee.

THE COURT: Correct. Yeah. He began representing her after she was removed.

MR. SEMENZA: But the issue with respect to the appropriateness of the billings.

THE COURT: Correct. I don't believe Mr. Lenhard took a position on it.

MR. COFFING: Well, he did take a position to the extent that he wanted to maintain the claim of privilege as to certain items but --

THE COURT: Right. And that's why we had the problem -

MR. COFFING: Exactly.

THE COURT: -- with redacted billing.

MR. COFFING: And that's why I think that that may have resolved itself.

THE COURT: Yeah. So, as far as contesting the – the bill, we were still waiting to find out what it was was going to be apportioned to the Trust versus what it was going to be apportioned to Ms. Ahern.

MR. SEMENZA: So that'll obvious go to Ms. Ahern's new attorney prior to your status hearing.

THE COURT: What is?

MR. SEMENZA: To see whether or not they agree.

THE COURT: So I guess that's – I guess that's a different determination Mister – Mr. Waid. What was your anticipation as to when you would have – are you going to do a report saying, "having reviewed these bills we think that there's, you know, 10, 20, 30 percent is – was – worked for the Trust and –

MR. WAID: Well, that is certainly a subject, as outlined in our deposition, questions for her --

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. WAID: -- we anticipate asking her on Tuesday, would be a review of prior counsel and the allocations of funds that she made to those other firms. And so, I'm - I'm at - it's premature to really make a determination at this point. And I - I guess my - my concern is, if new counsel's going to be coming in and, as he said, we don't have special appearances, are we in or we out?

I'm inclined to ask the Court, given that I still have fiduciary duties to Ms. Ahern –

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. WAID: -- because she still is a beneficiary even though her interest has been suspended, and she's in contempt of this Court as the Court's indicated. I'm concerned, briefly looking at the letter today, that perhaps an additional course would be to have a guardian ad litem appointed. As this Court's well aware, we've had issues where Adult Protective Services have been called in. They do a physical inspection and they close their case, and that's happened historically.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. WAID: I think, given the fact this would be counsel number six, she needs guardian ad litem to really inform this Court of what is truly in her best interest.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. WAID: I've thought about that.

THE COURT: Well, I think that — I think that approach needs — probably needs to be put in writing and —

MR. WAID: Okay.

THE COURT: -- she probably does need counsel, and she probably does need to be advised because this is -- this is a ongoing problem for us.

MR. WAID: I think the record should be very clear and for new counsel's benefit, at the previous hearing two hearings ago, Mr. Lenhard indicated that he had communicated with Ms. Ahern that the settlement had been accepted.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. WAID: We were eager -

THE COURT: Right.

MR. WAID: -- and anxious to get before the Court. We had the Court accommodate and expedite its schedule to do so, and now we have another change. That's why I think a guardian ad litem, to benefit all parties, the Court, me as the Trustee, the other beneficiaries in light of the litigation. I think a guardian ad litem who can meet with her –

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. WAID: -- assess her circumstances and report to the Court on what they feel is in her best interest would be extremely helpful.

MR. GEIST: I believe we'll prepare a Motion for a Guardian Ad Litem, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ah, yes, I think that's appropriate. So at this point in time, the issue is with respect to Marquis Aurbach's lien. That the Court will adjudicate the lien subject to – and do you want to do that after the first of the year then? A report from the – from the Trustee that – on apportionment. On – is there a percentage of this that is properly apportioned to the Trust? What is that percentage, and then what would – what would be just representation of

24

25

Ms. Ahern?

MR. COFFING: Correct.

MR. WAID: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay, so - we'll get that report after the first of the year?

MR. COFFING: And I'll circulate it in appropriate order amongst Counsel.

THE COURT: Okay. And you know that — that's the point Mr. Semenza's making, is that in the event he accepts representation of Ms. — Ms. Ahern's terms and he accepts the representation of her, that we're going to be able to proceed. I think that his request was that he be advised of what that is.

So that's what I'm saying is: Is there going to be some sort of a pleading filed by Mr. Geist or Mr. Moody that says the Trustee's reviewed it, whatever the percentage is, is representation of the Trust. So – so he'll know what that is.

MR. COFFING: Understood.

THE COURT: He or whoever represents her. Then you'll send over an appropriate order Mister –

MR. COFFING: Correct.

THE COURT: -- Coffing? Okay. With the exception that it's going to be

MR. COFFING: Understood.

THE COURT: -- apportioned and we'll get a date for you in couple – first couple weeks of January?

COURT CLERK: January 18th.

MR. SEMENZA: Excuse me, January 18th?

COURT CLERK: Yes.

1 | a | a | 4 | L | 5 | r | 6 | n | 7 | a | 8 | v

THE COURT: Okay. All right. January 18th. So moving on then, although as I said, you know, it may be possible to file the first page of Ms. Ahern's fax because that is her specific request is that, in consideration of Mr. Lenhard's Motion to Withdraw to not leave her without counsel, so that's a request she has made. At this point in time she's asked that we – and that may be the best thing to do is just separate the pleading and just attach to file as a document, her ex parte request that we not leave her without counsel and we order the Trustee to pay for new counsel in a timely fashion.

The rest of it has the reattachment, the other – like it's like I think 13 pages total, has all the additional, you know, the communications, the attorney-client and the information about settlement. So, I mean, that may be the best way to handle it. If that's agreeable maybe that's what – maybe that's what we should do then, because I, I do think we do need to make some sort of a record that she did make this request.

MR. GEIST: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: So that's I – that's what we'll do.

MR. GEIST: I agree, you're right about it.

THE COURT: I think that -- that just occurred to me. I think that's the way we can make it. We will attach - we'll detach the first page, file that as her ex parte request that she - she's really opposed Mr. Lenhard's withdrawing, but that she not be left without counsel, and there be an order that her new counsel be paid. And then she's got the attached 11 or 12 pages that have information that we're going to left-side file, because I think it's - a lot of its confidential in one way or another. So that's what we'll do with this - with this document.

So there is a request then, Mr. Semenza, in the record that she has made this request that she not be left without counsel. That she be – her Trustee be directed to pay for new counsel in a timely fashion and –

MR. SEMENZA: I take it, Your Honor, that there was not a Notice of Motion filed with it also?

THE COURT: No, this is all she filed.

MR. SEMENZA: All right. Thank, Your Honor.

THE COURT: This is what she faxed.

MR. SEMENZA: I thought perhaps she had set it for hearing.

THE COURT: No, no, it was - this was just filed - fax sent in today for today's hearing. And I think if we separate it that way we can make sure that it's in the record that she made this request. But the rest of it I just - I'm uncomfortable making it part of the record because I think it's largely confidential so.

MR. COFFING: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. ELLIS: Thank you very --

THE COURT: So her request is that she not be without counsel. We had very limited budget for counsel in the past. Upon request of counsel, I'm not inclined at this point in time to change that. We had issued certain limited amounts. I mean, she is facing a very large — a request for a very large surcharge. And, you know, until we have some accounting and we know what the surcharge is, it's difficult for the Court to make that determination.

But you know, Mr. Semenza, if you wish to enter into an agreement with her and want to file something saying you need some sort of a budget, you know, we'll take a look at advancing some funds again, because we've

done it in the past but it's upon a request.

So, right now I-I am aware of her request. I understand and I agree with her she does need counsel, but at this point in time, as you said, suppose up notice for a hearing. I-we would need something that would tell us, yes, you're going to represent her and you're going to need some sort of retainer. It's -- we've - technically it's not been, it's not been huge, but we have issued, in the past, some advances for her fees.

MR. SEMENZA: I'm sure that would be a concern of whomever --

THE COURT: Whomever may -

MR. SEMENZA: -- would enter an appearance on her behalf, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Absolutely. So that - so that -

MR. SEMENZA: I -

THE COURT: At this point in time when we don't know who she's going to have as counsel or who they will be –

MR. SEMENZA: Correct.

THE COURT: -- I don't feel like I could order Mr. Waid to pay anything to anybody, because we don't have an actual appearance. So if there's an appearance of some counsel for her that person can ask. I'm here, I'm requesting this. I'm going to be appearing. I'll take a look at it then. As of right now, I don't know who she would be retaining. I don't know who Mr. Waid would be directed to pay.

It's - I can't do it based on what she's got, but I'm conscious of her request and would consider her request in the future if we know who the attorney is and can come to some sort of understanding as to what that advance would be.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GEIST: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay, so that's - that's it.

MR. GEIST: For – along those lines, for the record, and for Mr. Semenza's benefit.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. GEIST: The deposition that's scheduled on Tuesday, we have no intention of continuing that.

THE COURT: I'm not going to -

MR. GEIST: We expect her to be there. It was properly noticed.

THE COURT: I'm not going to interfere with it, yeah.

MR. GEIST: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean it's - it's noticed.

MR. GEIST: Thank you.

THE COURT: And I believe that she was given advanced notice of what the questions were –

MR. GEIST: Yes.

THE COURT: -- by I - essentially -

MR. GEIST: Through prior counsel.

THE COURT: -- it wasn't really a deposition on - on questions, but she was given the information about the questions.

MR. GEIST: Correct. Through prior counsel.

THE COURT: All right. Great. So then we'll go on ahead and proceed in the ordinary course.

MR. GEIST: Okay.

THE COURT: And if somebody wishes to enter an appearance and file a

2

3

motion asking for some sort of accommodation, that's something an attorney representing her can do. But right now I don't have anything in writing. So, you know, at this point I'm just – I can't – I just can't take any other action.

MR. SEMENZA: Well, I -- and Your Honor, that's why I'm not making an appearance today and --

THE COURT: I understand that, Mr. Semenza.

MR. SEMENZA: -- and -- please, I don't want to end up like the Lenhard firm with a 311,000 plus -

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. SEMENZA: -- attorney's lien -

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. SEMENZA: -- and like the Marquis and Aurbach with a hundred and something thousand.

THE COURT: Understood.

MR. SEMENZA: Eventually when there's no money left then there won't be any case so –

THE COURT: Yes, exactly. That's the concern and that's why we thought we were going to resolve it for everybody's benefit so. But certainly, if somebody appears for her and makes the proper motions in writing we can do that. I agree, I understand she needs counsel, but we need to know who that counsel is. I can't order Mr. Waid to pay a fictitious person.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you very much, Your Honor, for your time today.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you all.

MS. ELLIS: Thank Your Honor.

MR. GEIST: Thank you, Your Honor.

ORDG
KIRK B. LENHARD, ESQ., Bar No. 1437
klenhard@bhfs.com
BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER SCHRECK, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite 1600
Las Vegas, NV 89106-4614
Telephone: 702.382.2101
Facsimile: 702.382.8135

CLERK OF THE COURT

Attorneys for Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the Matter of
THE W.N. CONNELL AND
MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING
TRUST DATED May 18, 1972, An
Inter Vivos Irrevocable Trust

CASE NO.: P-09-066425-T

DEPT. NO.: XXVI

ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

Date of Hearing: November 23, 2016 Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m.

Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP's Motion to Withdraw as Counsel for Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern ("Motion to Withdraw") came on for hearing November 23, 2016. Emily A. Ellis, Esq., appearing on behalf of Eleanor Connell Hartman Ahern; Joseph J. Powell, Esq., appearing on behalf of Ms. Montoya and Ms. Bouvier; Russel J. Geist, Esq., appearing on behalf of Fredrick P. Waid, in his capacity as the acting trustee of The W.N. Connell and Marjorie T. Connell Living Trust, dated May 18, 1972 (the "Trust"); and upon the Court's consideration of the pleadings and papers on file herein, noting no opposition was filed, and good cause appearing therefore,

25 ...

26 .

23

24

27 ...

28

018177\0001\15274010.2

018177\0001\15274010.2

7 8

9

10

6

11 12

13 14

PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL PARK 080 WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NY 89145 15 16 0080 17

> 18 19

> > 20 21

22

23 24

26 27

28

25

ACCT Todd L. Moody (5430) Russel J. Geist (9030) HUTCHISON & STÉFFEN, LLC 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 385-2500 (702) 385-2086 FAX tmoody@hutchlegal.com rgeist@hutchlegal.com

CLERK OF THE COURT

Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid Court-appointed Trustee

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

In the matter of

THE W.N. CONNELL AND MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST DATED May 18. 1972, an Inter Vivos Irrevocable Trust.

Case No.: P-09-066425-T Dept. 26

ACCOUNTING AND REPORT OF TRUST ACTIVITY FROM 2013 TO 2015

Fredrick P. Waid, Successor Trustee of the W.N. Connell and Marjorie T. Connell Living Trust, dated May 18, 1972, (the "Trust"), hereby files this Accounting and Report of Trust Activity from 2013 to 2015 ("Report"). During most of this time period, Eleanor Ahern served as the sole trustee of the Trust and had exclusive access and control of all Trust checking, savings and other accounts. The Successor Trustee filed an Interim Report under seal on July 6, 2015.

The Court is well aware of the litigation history in this case and a narrative recital is not warranted in this Report. Since the Appointment of the Successor Trustee in April 2015, this Court has issued numerous orders requiring Ms. Ahern to produce records, comply with deposition notices and cooperate with the Successor Trustee's efforts to prepare an accounting for time periods when she served as Trustee. In response to the Court's orders Ms. Ahern has produced, through her various counsel, only limited records primarily consisting of forwarded mail. She did not appear for any scheduled or ordered depositions notwithstanding the findings

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the Court regarding fraud and other misconduct pursuant to hearings on the Motion to Enforce the Trust's No Contest Clause.

Due to her failure to appear and cooperate as ordered, a significant portion of the transactional history that occurred during Ms. Ahern's tenure as trustee cannot be reconciled or explained. As such, and pursuant to Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), the Successor Trustee is unable to this provide the Court with definitive information or explain as to the greatly expanded Trust expenditures, either in dollars spent or to whom those dollars were paid, during the accounting period and Ms. Ahern's tenure as Trustee.

For clarification, in years prior to June 2013, the Trust expenditures were generally limited to three categories, including (1) property taxes, (2) liability insurance premiums and (3) limited professional fees for legal or accounting services. All other income/royalties were distributed to the Trust beneficiaries who were individually responsible for any associated tax liabilities. The Trust was designed to eliminate any taxable income at the Trust level with all income passing to the respective 65% beneficiary, the MTC Trust ("MTC"), and the 35% beneficiary, Eleanor Ahern as a lifetime beneficiary of income only.

During Ms. Ahern's tenure approximately thirty (30) other companies and/or individuals were paid with Trust funds. (A list of payees and amounts is attached as Exhibit A.) Again, due to Ms. Ahern's failure to answer questions under oath, the rationale and basis for the expenditures remain unanswered and unclear. What is clear is that MTC did not receive a single distribution of royalty income from the Trust between June 2013 and April 2015. Only after Ms. Ahern was removed as Trustee by the Court did MTC once again begin receiving its share of distributions. A significant number of expenses that were authorized by Ms. Ahern appear to have provided no benefit to the Trust and cannot be deemed appropriate, deductible business expenses as defined and permitted by the Internal Revenue Code.

After reviewing available records from the Internal Revenue Service, various banks, oil and gas producers, common royalty recipients (i.e., the Miller family, which shares an equivalent 25% royalty interest as the Trust) and partial reconciliations completed by the accounting firm PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL PARK OOBO WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUITE 20 LAS VEGAS, NV 89145

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

of Gammet & King CPAs, the Successor Trustee prepared the chart attached as Exhibit B, which sets forth the best available basis for calculation of royalties not paid to the MTC Trust, as required by the terms of the Trust and as determined by this Court's previous findings and orders.

MTC should have received royalty payments of \$481,010 for 2013, \$2,028,134 for 2014 and \$1,447,406 for 2015, totaling \$3,956,550. MTC received for the three (3) year period a total of \$2,214,497, with \$1,914,622 of the amount being paid after Ms. Ahern was removed as Trustee. The total undistributed royalties for the period is \$1,742,053.

The Successor Trustee takes no position as to the Court's imposition of damages relating to the conduct of Ms. Ahern. The Trustee has ongoing fiduciary duties to Ms. Ahern, notwithstanding her interest being temporarily suspended. Additionally, the Successor Trustee continues his efforts to recover certain tax payments made to the U.S. Treasury as a result of Ms. Ahern's failure to comply with and administer the Trust according to its terms and intent. It is anticipated that amended tax returns and refunds will be resolved and closed by mid-2017. As of the filing of this Report, Ms. Ahern has not identified or confirmed any successor counsel to represent her in this matter.

On Saturday, January 21, 2017, the Successor Trustee coordinated and participated with the Mesquite Police Department in a "well check" of Ms. Ahern. After inspecting her residence and interviewing her, the responding officer determined that Ms. Ahern had sufficient food, clothing and shelter and appeared to be in good health based on his observations and Ms. Ahern's declaration to the officer. It should be noted that the last mailing address provided by Ms. Ahern's former counsel is not the address where Ms. Ahern currently resides. She currently

24 111 25

111

111

26

27 28

STEFFEN PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL LLC PECCOLE PROFESSIONAL PARK 10080 WEST ALTA DRIVE, SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NY 89145 HUTCHISON

resides at 111 Paradise Pkwy., Mesquite, Nevada, 89027. day of January, 2017. Dated this (

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

Todd L. Moody (5430) Russel J. Geist (9030) 10080 W. Alta Dr., Ste 200 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Phone: (702) 385-2500 tmoody@hutchlegal.com rgeist@hutchlegal.com Attorneys for Fredrick P. Waid

Court-appointed Trustee

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN and that on this 1st day of February, 2017, I caused a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing ACCOUNTING AND REPORT OF TRUST ACTIVITY FROM 2013 TO 2015 to be served

as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- [X] by placing same to be deposited for mailing in the United States Mail, in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was prepaid in Las Vegas, Nevada; and/or
- [X] pursuant to EDCR 8.05(a) and 8.05(f), to be electronically served through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system, with the date and time of the electronic service substituted for the date and place of deposit in the mail; and/or
- Pursuant to EDCR 7.26, to be sent via facsimile; and/or
- [] to be hand-delivered;

to the persons listed below at the address and/or facsimile number indicated below:

Via U.S. Mail Only:

Eleanor Ahern 111 Paradise Pkwy. Mesquite, NV 89027

Via Wiznet Only:

Joseph J. Powell, Esq.

The Rushforth Firm 1707 Village Center Circle, Ste. 150 Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorneys for Kathryn A. Bouvier and Jacqueline M. Montoya

Via Wiznet Only:

Daley A. Hayes, Esq. Liane K. Wakayama, Esq. Candice E. Rinka, Esq. Marquis Aurbach Coffing 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, NV 89145

Via U.S. Mail Only:

Eleanor Ahern 400 Paradise Pkwy., Unit 111 Mesquite, NV 89027

Via Wiznet Only:

Kirk Lenhard, Esq.
Tamara Beatty Peterson, Esq.
Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP
100 North City Parkway, Suite #1600
Las Vegas, NV 89106

An Employee of Hutchison & Steffen, LLC

- 5 -

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK EXHIBIT PAGE ONLY

EXHIBIT A



11:57 AM 02/01/17

WN CONNELL AND MARJORIE T CONNELL 1972 TRUST CONNELL TRUST ACCTS #1254900135/8737649197/1985753274

January 2013 through December 2015

Type	Date	Memo	Account	Split	Amount
MERICAN EXPRESS	· newford death of the second		44-2-4-4-4	Propriedad y makes Afrik Professional Propries value afrika Professional Professional Afrika Professional Pro	na verticente l'apprehiente reducir de la Principa de la lac
Check	04/07/2014	CSH CK #291	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-5,000.00
Check	07/03/2014	CSH CK #674	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-10,000.00
Check	12/26/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-10,000.00
MERICAN PATROLS				_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Check	03/05/2014	RE: AIRAERI	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-1,000.00
ANK OF AMERICA	00.00,0			Citimothi Engrani	1,7-5.5.
Check	03/05/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-2,000.00
ARBARA SHORTZ	05/05/2014	OOT OICHETT	001111222 111001 111000100214	dinnown Expe	2,000.01
	04/07/2014	CSH CK #291	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Courseline Ev	2 204 40
Check	04/07/2014		CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Counseling Ex	-2,301.4
Check	10/17/2014	CSH CK #241		Counseling Ex	-3,000.0
Check	10/17/2014	CASH / CSH	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Counseling Ex	-3,000.0
Check	12/19/2014	REF #9166	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Counseling Ex	-8,600.0
REATH OF LIFE SYSTE			CONTRACT PROLICE BLOCK CONTRACT		
Check	12/19/2014	REF #9167	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-5,198.4
RENNAN LEGAL COUN	SEL				
Check	05/13/2013	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-2,000.0
URKS SECURITY					
Check	12/26/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Security Expen	-30,400.0
ENTURY LINK					
Check	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Telephone Exp	-1,000,0
IRRUS AVIATION SERV		COLL OLL TEXT	33 11001 π 1000100214	relightions Exhir	-1,500.0
			CONNEL TRUCT #4005752974	Adding Francis	02.460.6
Check	03/17/2014	- 011 011 110774	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Airline Expense	-23,160.6
Check	07/21/2014	CSH CK #674	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Airline Expense	-7,709.9
ITY OF LAS VEGAS SE	WER SERVICES				
Check	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Utilities Expense	-6.12.0
LARK COUNTY ASSES	SOR				
Check	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Taxes - Property	-7,000.0
ONNELL FAMILY FOUN	IDATION				
Check	05/08/2013	(NOT USED	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-27,449.5
OX COMMUNICATIONS		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\		- . - . - . -	
	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Cable Expense	-800.0
Check	(2/3//2014	USH UN #Z41	OOMALLE 111001 #1000100214	Odbie Experise	500.0
URT BAGGETT	0.01001004.5	0011 01/ 47/5	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Habaaya Evena	-1,000.0
Check	08/20/2013	CSH CK #745		Unknown Expe	
Check	02/14/2014	RE: W/M CO	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-7,000.0
AREL BURKS					
Check	10/17/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Security Expen	-3,000.0
Check	12/19/2014	REF #9164	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Security Expen	-2,000,0
AVID MANN					
Check	11/14/2014	RE: REIMBU	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-10,000.0
CP MIDSTREAM	11/1//2011	, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			
Deposit	08/07/2013	CK #818622	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Gas/Oil Leases	62.5
DEE DETAILING CLEAN		ON HO TOOLL	001111222 711001 11010101010101	Oud, on Loudes	ÿ <u></u> .
		CSH CK #24	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Janitorial Expe	-550.0
Check	12/31/2014	CON UN #24	COMMELL 11/001 #1803133214	Janitonal Expe	-550.
OUG EDWARDS		0011 017 110 14	CONNELL TELICT #400F7F0074	I and Property	-10,000.
Check	01/26/2015	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-10,000.
LEANOR M AHERN					
Check	03/06/2013	CSH CK #745,	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	ELEANOR M A	-17,548,
Check	03/06/2013	LESS 3899.5	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	(UNKNOWN)	-17,548.
Check	09/11/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	AHERN/POD	-2,000.
Check	09/11/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	(UNKNOWN) #	-5,000.
Check	09/11/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	ELEANOR M.A	-5,000.
Check	09/11/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	AHERN/POD J	-5,000
		CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	(UNKNOWN)	-5,000.
Check	09/11/2013				
Check	09/11/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	AHERN/POD	-5,073.
Check	09/11/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	AHERN/POD	-7,000
Check	09/11/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	AHERN/POD	-11,000.
Check	03/23/2015	CSH CK #084	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-100,000.
XPERT JET CHARTER					
Check	09/06/2014	CSH CK #678	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Airline Expense	-53,003
ORENSIC SPECIALIST					
Check	10/27/2014	REF #8699	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-9,613.
SAMMETT AND KING C				- 	
Check	02/24/2014	RE: W/M CO	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Accounting Ex	-5,000.
	UZ1Z41ZU 14	FALL, MYTINI CO	33.111LLL 111331 #1900/302/4	/ wooding LA	-0,000.
HANDWRITING LLC Check	12/31/2014	RE: CURT BA	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-5,477.

11:57 AM 02/01/17

WN CONNELL AND MARJORIE T CONNELL 1972 TRUST CONNELL TRUST ACCTS #1254900135/8737649197/1985753274

January 2013 through December 2015

the state of the property of the state of th	and the second second second second				
Туре	Date	Memo	Account	Split	Amount
Check JAMES MANN	12/29/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Income Taxes	-55,000.00
Check	10/24/2014	REF #3765	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-125,000.00
JASON COLLINS					
Check	04/07/2014	CSH CK #291	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-2,200:00
	07/03/2014	CSH CK #674	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-2,200.00
Check			CONNELL TRUST #8737649197		-2,200.00
Check	07/11/2014	OVERPD BY		Unknown Expe	
Check	08/04/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-2,200.00
Check	10/17/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-22,000.00
Check	12/19/2014	REF #9165	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-9,250.00
Check	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-4,400.00
Check	01/31/2015	CSH CK #718	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-7,600.00
Check	02/18/2015	REF #1144	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-6,600.00
		1421 1111111	00///1242 ///00/ // // // // // //	Other and	- 1,
JEFFREY BURR LAW FI		0011 01/ #010	DONNELL TELLOT #0707040407	Land Évanna	-50,000.00
Check	05/08/2014	CSH CK #649	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	
Check	06/03/2014	REF #5032	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-60,000.00
Check	10/17/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-125,000.00
JEFFREY JOHNSTON &	ASSOCIATES				
Check	06/10/2013	RE: LEGAL F	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-7,000.00
		The beautiful to	==:,;====:,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 # = = = = = =
JET PARTNERS WORLD		CCH CK #244	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Airline Expense	-25,800.00
Check	11/06/2014	CSH CK #241	OOMMETE 11/001 #1800100514	Cutation Exhibition	-20,000.00
JOHNSTON & ASSOCIA	TES				= 00b 00
Check	06/05/2013	CSH CK #649	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-5,000.00
Check	08/07/2013	CONNELL TR	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-20,000 .00
Check	08/15/2013	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-50,000.00
KINGDOM TITLE SOLUT				•	
Check	12/29/2014	WIRE	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe,	-75,000.00
	12/20/2017	7 4 (1 500		4	•
LARRY PRUCKA	0.4107/004.4	CPA / CSH C	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Accounting Ex	-4,000.00
Check	04/07/2014				-2,000.00
Check	07/03/2014	GSH CK #674	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Accounting Ex	٠٤,٥٥٥,٥٥
LAURIE HOELTZEL					
Check	07/11/2014	CSH CK #674	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-740.00
LISA JOHNSON					
Check	06/10/2013	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-2,000.00
LYNCH, CHAPPELL & A					
Check	01/10/2013	RE: Legal Fe	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-4,000.00
		RE: HARPER	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-5,000.00
Check	05/13/2013	LIE' LIMIYE FIV'''	COMMERCE MOOF POTOTO-STOT	Logal Expolled	-,
MARGARET WURDELLA			CONNELL TRUCT #0707640407	Halimania Firma	-3,500.00
Check	05/08/2014	CSH CK #649	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-5,500.00
MARGARET WURDELL	A LIVING TRUST				4.750.00
Check	02/27/2015	CSH CK #848	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-1,750.00
MARQUIS AURBACH CO	OFFING				
Check	11/24/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-15,000.00
Check	12/26/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-21,782.28
Check	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-21,000.00
		CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-30,394.22
Check	01/26/2015		CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-75,000.00
Check	02/20/2015	WIRE	OUNITEE 11001 #1800/002/4	Logai Expense	, 0,000,00
MCNAIR & ASSOCIATE		0011.044.0715	CONNELL TOMOT MOTOTO 40207	A	4 000 00
Che ck	05/13/2013	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Accounting Ex	-1,200.00
Check	08/07/2013	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Accounting Ex	-900:00
Check	07/03/2014	RE: CPA / CS	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Accounting Ex	-2,144.18
MTC LIVING TRUST			•		
	02/15/2013	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-47,603.71
Check			CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-44,477,73
Check	05/09/2013	RE: LESS W		Unknown Expe	-37,000.00
Check	06/11/2013	RE: GIFT 201	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Onknown Expe	-00,0000,16-
NEVADA POWER			COLUMN TO LICE MACCONS) here = ====	4 000 00
Check	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Utilities Expense	-1,000.00
OMNI HOTEL AND RES	ORTS				
Check	07/03/2014	REF: FORT	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Hotel Expense	-7,000:00
Check	07/11/2014	CSH CK #674	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Hotel Expense	-6,500.00
PROPERTY SERVICES	V., 1120 117	5 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 11 - 		•	
	10/27/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Rent Expense	-8,600.00
Check		CSH CK #241		Rent Expense	-6,000.00
Check	12/31/2014	0011 OR #24 L.	JUNITED 11100) 81000/002/4	. tone Emperior	4,200,40
PRUDENTIAL AMERICA		RE: CONNEL	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Rent Expense	-2,250.00°
Check	03/05/2014	IVE. COMMER	OCHACE 11/001 #1900100514	TOTAL EXPOSION	2,22,00
REAL ESTATE SERVIC		DOM DIV #344	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-10,000.00
Check	03/05/2014	CSH CK #241	GOMPLEE 1100 #1303/332/4	Olimborn, LAPS	15,000,00

Page 2

11:57 AM 02/01/17

WN CONNELL AND MARJORIE T CONNELL 1972 TRUST CONNELL TRUST ACCTS #1254900135/8737649197/1985753274

January 2013 through December 2015

Туре	Date	Memo	Account	Split	Amount
Type			to proof the second control of the second co	ohur.	Amount
Check	04/23/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-90,000.00
Check	05/08/2014	CSH CK #649	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-50,000.00
Check	07/21/2014	CSH CK #574	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-150,000.00
REPUBLIC SERVICES					
Check	12/31/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Trash Expense	-420.00°
ROBINSON REALTY			•		
Check	04/07/2014	CSH CK #291	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-2,500.00
RYAN SCHARAR					
Check	08/20/2013	REF #2561	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-10,0 00 .00
Check	08/20/2013	RE: ELEANO	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Legal Expense	-20,000.00
SHAUNA BRENNAN					
Check	01/10/2013	RE: Legal Fe	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-5,000.00
SHERRY DOBER					
Check	06/10/2013	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-1,000:00
SHORTZ CONSULTING			00111511 771107 84007		
Check	08/15/2013	REF #5534	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	AHERN/POD	-35,000.00
Check	12/26/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Counseling Ex	-31,200,00
STAN CRAWFORD ESQ			CONNELL MEDITOR REPORTS OF A		~ ~~~ ~~
Check	05/08/2014	CSH CK #649	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Legal Expense	-2,000.00
STERLING CLARK		**********	CONDITION OF MACAGERS	11.1. #5	#A A A A
Check	11/24/2014	CSH CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-500:00
TMOBILE			CONNELL TOUGH HARDSTERRY	O. H. Jan Frances	4 000 00
Check	12/31/2014	CSH-CK #241	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Cellular Expense	-1,0 0 0.00
TONY DAVE & ASSOCIA		DE CONCHI	CONNELL TOUCH #4054000425	University France	-5,000.00
Check	10/27/2014	RE: CONSUL	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-3,000.00
UPTON COUNTY APPRA			CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Taxes - Property	-3,199.06
Check	02/25/2014	RE: 2013 TA RE: 2014 TA	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Taxes - Property	-65,000.00
Check	12/26/2014		CONNELL TRUST #1985/532/4 CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Taxes - Property	25,799,78
Deposit	02/28/2015	Overpayment	CONNECT 17031 #1204900100	raxes - Property	20,100,10
W/M CONNELL TRUST	04/09/2013	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-45,336.10
Check	•	CSH CK #745	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-1,287,580,85
Check	02/18/2015 03/23/2015	CSH CK #084	CONNELL TRUST #1254900135	Unknown Expe	-500,000,00
Check WATTS BUSINESS SER		USFI UN #004	COMMELE 11/001 #1204300100	OURHOWH EXPO	-00,000,00
Check	08/07/2013	CSH CK:#745	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-500.00
WELLS FARGO	00/0//2013	COH OICH/140	VOINTEEL 111001 110101010101	Children Expos.	5,55,00
Check	09/11/2013	MASTERCAR	CONNELL TRUST #1985753274	Unknown Expe	-25,000,00
Check	04/07/2014	RE: MASTER	CONNELL TRUST #8737649197	Unknown Expe	-10,000.00
Check	J-1101120 14	Little tall the Little	55 <u>EEE 11.651 11.0101040101</u>	+ thateaut - what	.5,55

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK EXHIBIT PAGE ONLY

EXHIBIT B



W.N. CONNELL AND MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST

Royalty Calculations	2013	2014	2015 TO	
Connell Trust royalty income	\$740,015	\$3,120,206	\$2,226,779	\$6,087,000
Miller royalty income	-\$1,319,276	-\$2,585,096	-\$2,090,389	-\$5,994,761
differential	-\$579,261	\$535,110	\$136,390	\$92,239
65% of Connell income	\$481,010	\$2,028,134	\$1,447,406	\$3,956,550
Royalties received by MTC	\$299,875	\$0	\$1,914,622	\$2,214,497
Unpaid royalites due MTC	\$181,135	\$2,028,134	-\$467,216	\$1,742,053

DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Probate -

COURT MINUTES

February 08, 2017

Trust/Conservatorships

P-09-066425-T In the Matter of the Trust of:

The W.N. Connell and Marjorie T. Connell Living Trust, dtd May 18, 1972

February 08, 2017

3:10 PM

Minute Order

HEARD BY: Sturman, Gloria

COURTROOM: Chambers

COURT CLERK: Tena Jolley

PARTIES: None. Minute Order Only - no hearing held.

JOURNAL ENTRIES

- Pursuant to Eleanor Ahern's ex parte request for an order releasing funds, the Court hereby grants the request and orders that the Trustee, Fred Waid, shall release funds from Ms. Ahern's share of the Trust directly to attorney Lawrence Semenza, Esq., for his reasonable attorney's fees incurred for his representation of Ms. Ahern at the February 9th and 10th evidentiary hearing.

г					
- 1	PRINT DATE:	02/08/2017	D 4 64	136 4 75 4	February 08, 2017
ŀ	DIVINIT LIATE !	! N27/N87/2017	Page Of	N/Imitae Jata	Hohrijary (IX /III /
- 1	ININI DATE.	1 02/00/201/	Page 1 of 1	Minutes Date:	1 CD1 uu1

Notice: Journal entries are prepared by the courtroom clerk and are not the official record of the Court.

RTRAN

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK CCUNTY, NEVADA

In re the Matter of:

CASE NO. P-066425

THE W.N. CONNELL AND MARJORIE T. CONNELL LIVING TRUST MAY 18, 1972 DEPT. XX

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GLORIA STURMAN, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2017

RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT EVIDENTIARY HEARING

APPEARANCES:

For Jacqueline Montoya JOSEPH PCWELL

& Kathryn Bouvier: DANIEL P. KIEFER

The Rushforth Firm, PLLC

For the Trustee:

TODD L. MOODY

FREDRICK P. WAID

Hutchison & Steffen, LLC

RECORDED BY: KERRY ESPARZA



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

Trustee's Witnesses:

Fredrick Waid

20



EXHIBITS

Page

Trustee's:

Exhibit 1 through 39

32



THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2017 AT 10:17 A.M.

1.9

2.0

THE COURT: Will everybody make appearances and we'll discuss what we're going to be doing today.

MR. POWELL: Good morning, Your Honor. Joey Powell and Daniel Kiefer appearing on behalf of Jacqueline Montoya and Kathryn Bouvier who are present in the courtroom today.

MR. MCODY: Good morning, Your Honor. Todd Moody, Bar Number 5430 for the Court-Appointed Trustee Fred Waid who is present.

THE COURT: All right. So pursuant to some communication the Court received from Ms. Ahern, we have made an effort to have her be able to join us by a video link. I think that she has also requested and was authorized a disbursement of funds for Counsel. I don't know if that was done. I see Mr. Semenza is here. I don't know if he's prepared to make an appearance or not.

Counsel, you --

MR. WAID: We spoke, Your Honor, but I think Mr. Semenza would like to address the Court on that.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I can see Ms. Connell on this little screen but not on the big screen, so what's going on?

THE COURT RECORDER: When we're brought in, Judge --

25 THE COURT: Okay.



1 THE COURT RECORDER: She can -2 THE COURT: Okay. THE COURT RECORDER: -- see her, but she cannot hear us. THE COURT: All right. Great. 4 5 So, Mr. Semenza? MR. SEMENZA: Good morning, Your Honor. Last evening I 6 received a copy of the Court's Minute Order that indicated that, "Pursuant to Eleanor Ahern's ex-parte request for an 8 order releasing funds" --10 THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. SEMENZA: -- "the Court hereby grants the request and 11 orders that the Trustee, Mr. Waid, shall release funds from 12 13 Ms. Ahern's share of the Trust directly to Attorney Lawrence 14 J. Semenza, Esquire" -- excuse me, I added the J, which isn't 15 in your order. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. SEMENZA: -- "for his reasonable attorneys fees incurred for his representation of Ms. Ahern at the February 18 19 9th and 10th evidentiary hearing. 20 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SEMENZA: I think the last time I appeared before 21 you, Your Honor, I did not enter an appearance --22 THE COURT: You did not --23 24 MR. SEMENZA: -- on Ms. Ahern's behalf. And, in fact, I 25 think we discussed the fact that we no longer have special

appearances.

2 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SEMENZA: And -

THE COURT: You have not made any appearance.

MR. SEMENZA: I have not made an appearance, and I certainly cannot make an appearance under the terms that have been specified in the Court's order.

Ms. Ahern, as you indicated last time, Your Honor, needs counsel, and apparently she does not have sufficient funds with which to engage counsel, whether it's me or someone else. And to me, for me to enter an appearance today and for tomorrow, assuming that the hearing were to continue that — and then I don't know whether I would have to move the Court to withdraw since I — in for a pound, in for a penny, whatever. I cannot do that. It would be malpractice for me to step into this for a hearing this morning, Your Honor, and I cannot do so.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're respectfully declining to appear.

MR. SEMENZA: I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. That's understandable. Have you communicated with Ms. Ahern that you're not going to be able to appear for her?

MR. SEMENZA: I have not, Your Honor, because I didn't receive the Court's order until this morning.



THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, so she can't hear 1 this yet? 3 THE COURT RECORDER: No. THE COURT: 4 Okay. 5 THE COURT RECORDER: I was told she can't, Judge. 6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I think that we probably at some point need to get her on the link, advise her that she's going to need to go forward, unrepresented by counsel, because even -- it's not just a question of paying your fees, it's that, you know, she didn't request this until 10 - I don't know, was it --11 12 MR. MOODY: Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: -- Tuesday or something? Yeah. 14 MR. MOODY: I hate to interrupt. I just want to point 15 something out. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. 17 Immediately after receiving the Court's order MR. MCODY: 18 yesterday, which came in, I don't know, around 3:40 -19 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 20 MR. MCODY: -- yesterday. Mr. Waid and I called Mr. 21 Semenza to let him know about the Court's order. So I 22 understand that while he may not have seen the court order 23 either until last night or maybe even this morning, he was 24 fully aware, and we did that purposely so that this would not 25 be a surprise to him, and so that -

THE COURT: Right. Yeah.

MR. MOODY: -- he would have sufficient time --

THE COURT: And he is here.

MR. MOODY: -- to speak with Ms. Ahern.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. MCODY: I just wanted to clarify.

THE COURT: And, and so we probably do need to advise her of that. You know, we're going forward. So, you know, she did ask for counsel. We tried to do what we could to accommodate her. I, you know, I did not know if she had talked to you or not talked to you, in advance, so that you would know what she was asking the Court to do. So I had no way of knowing if you were prepared to do what she requested. You know, we accommodated the specific things she requested, which was to release funds. So --

MR. SEMENZA: Well, I, I understand that, Your Honor, but I don't believe that was what she had requested. That I — that the Court release funds for today's hearing and tomorrow's hearing. I don't believe that was her request, Your Honor. I believe that she requested funds to be expended to engage a lawyer, whether it was me or someone else, not just for this hearing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think it was that. I think that was. I don't recall her letter specifically. So -- she -- I don't know if she copied you in the letter that she sent, but she

did send a letter. So, I think at this point in time we probably need to advise her that you are not able to, under the circumstances, and in good conscience, appear for her So, you know, we tried to accommodate the specific request she made, got her here on the video link per her request. So we can proceed. And --MR. SEMENZA: May I sit in the audience, Your Honor? 7 THE COURT: Certainly. And we will advise -8 MR. SEMENZA: Thank you. 10 THE COURT: -- Ms. Ahern that you have come to court to advise the Court that you cannot -- let's see. Ron, can you 11 ask Linda for, if she's got it, Ms. Ahern's letter? 12 MR. SEMENZA: Excuse me, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Semenza. We appreciate your presence, 14 15 Mr. Semenza. 16 MR. SEMENZA: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 MR. MOODY: Judge, can I raise one issue --18 THE COURT: Yes. MR. MCODY: -- in light of the colloquy that we just had 19 with Mr. Semenza. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 Judge, I expect that some of the testimony 22 23 that will come out today will not only involve sensitive 24 financial information, but some of it may have to do with at



least two documents that have previously been filed under

seal. So Mr. Waid does have some concerns about Mr. Semenza remaining in the courtroom if he has not entered an appearance for --THE COURT: Understood. 5 MR. MOODY: -- Eleanor Ahern. Just want to bring that to the Court's attention. 7 THE COURT: And so, to the extent that there's ever a question of confidential information that has not been disclosed to non-parties, then I'm sure Mr. Semenza would understand if he was --10 THE MARSHAL: I just went to the clerk's office to see if 11 it's in the basket. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Never mind. Yeah. Okay. 14All right. 15 MR. KIEFER: And then I guess I would just -- I would 16 piggyback on that same objection, Your Honor --THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. KIEFER: -- and just put it on the record. 18 THE COURT: Great. 19 Well --20 MR. KIEFER: I guess I'm confused as well, though, is -is he going to ask for compensation for listening in today but 21 not representing her? 22 THE COURT: It's not a problem for me right now. 23 24 So I think at this point in time we need to get Ms. Ahern's line opened up so she knows what's going on here, so

we can discuss the schedule.

MS. AHERN: Hi.

2

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I know that she has indicated she may need to take frequent breaks, so that's okay. I have something I have to do. I have to be over at the federal building, and under the circumstances today, it's going to take a little longer to get in. This is the first day of the Bundy trial. So we're going to be taking a break from 11:30 to 1:30, a longer lunch break than we normally would to accommodate going over there for that thing at noon, so just an FYI. So we need to get her on the line so she knows what she -- what we're doing.

THE COURT: You can open that up.

MR. SEMENZA: Your Honor, if I could be excused? I would appreciate it.

THE COURT: Okay. If you give us just one minute we're going to get Ms. Ahern on the line and advise her that you, you came.

Good morning. This is Judge Sturman. [Echo heard]. Can you hear me, Ms. Ahern?

MS. AHERN: Yes, I can.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Ahern, in leading up to today, the Court did receive a letter from you indicating that you wanted counsel today and requesting that the Court authorize attorney's fees for -- the attorney you mentioned was Mr. Semenza. And that also indicating that you were not

going to be able to travel down here from Mesquite for health and financial reasons. So we've accommodated your request to appear by video conference. And, additionally, the Trustee authorized the release of funds for Mr. Semenza.

1.0

You should know that Mr. Semenza is here in court. He has indicated to the Court that, as a matter of, I guess, legal ethics, he does not believe that he has had an opportunity to prepare to represent you in this hearing and cannot take on your representation. He has never appeared in this case as counsel for you, and he has respectfully declined to do so for the purposes of this hearing today. He's -- are you aware of that?

MS. AHERN: I just now heard you talk about it.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Mr. Semenza has asked to be excused. He does not intend to remain. The -- this particular hearing does involve a lot of confidential financial data that is sealed and not open to the public. So as a -- as he has not appeared in the case, he would not be able to say for certain aspects of the trial that are -- deal with confidential financial information, not to be shared with the public. So he was going to have to leave anyway, so he has asked that he be excused now.

So given the fact that he has stated his regrets that he is unable to prepare for and be here today since he didn't find out until yesterday afternoon that, you know, fees

would be released per your request, he just can't do it, and certainly I cannot force him to appear for you. So we're ready to proceed. We'll excuse Mr. Semenza and appreciate the fact that he came to court to explain why, despite the funds being released, he's not able to take on this representation on such short notice, with no file and no time for preparation.

MR. SEMENZA: Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So Mr. Semenza is excused, and we're otherwise ready to start the hearing today. As we discussed, you had requested that you be given opportunities to take breaks. I see you've raised your hand. So, yes, Ms. Ahern.

MS. AHERN: Since I am not represented by counsel, I will not be speaking.

THE COURT: Okay. That's understood. So and I know that you have indicated that you may need to take frequent breaks, and I see you've raised your hand again.

MS. AHERN: I would like a continuance.

THE COURT: That's denied. You have indicated to my staff that you may need to take frequent breaks. Please feel free to let us -- when you need to take -- know when you need to take a break. We are going to take a lunch break that's a little longer than normal today as I have something to do over the lunch hour, and so, in order to get to the federal courthouse, which has a lot of security today, I will need a

longer lunch break than normal. We'll be taking a break from 1 11:30 to 1:30 just so you can plan for that. But if you need 2 a break prior to that, just let us know. 4 I'll let the people who are present in court 5 identify themselves so you know who's here in the courtroom. MR. POWELL: Joey Powell. 6 MR. KIEFER: And Daniel Kiefer. MR. MCODY: Todd Moody for Fred Waid. 8 9 Judge, can I also ask that Ms. Ahern identify anyone else that may be on her end either by attorneys or otherwise? 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 12 So, Ms. Ahern, the parties who are present, as you may have heard, are counsel for the moving parties. That's 13 Ms. Montoya and Ms. Bouvier. They're present in court, their 14

Because, as I mentioned earlier, we have confidential information being discussed today, that the agreement was it would only be disclosed to people who have signed a Confidentiality Agreement. That counsel have asked, who is physically present with you at your end of the video link?

attorneys are present in court. The Successor Trustee Mr.

Waid's present, along with his Attorney Mr. Moody.

23 MS. AHERN: No one.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's just yourself. All right.

25 MS. AHERN: Yes.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22



THE COURT: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: All right. We appreciate that. Thank you.

One of the issues that was pending, the hearing today, and
that I have just finished the decision and order on, is a
request of Marquis, Aurbach and Coffing to adjudicate their
attorney lien. I have a decision ready to go that'll be filed
today.

The Trustee had objected to this for two reasons:

"One was, while nobody has disputed the amount of work done by Marquis, Aurbach or their fees, or their qualifications, or any of those issues, the Trustee did raise two issues with the Court. The first of those was -- well, three. The first of those was that the lien could not be perfected because there was nothing for it to attach to.

The second was that the lien should attach only to Eleanor's beneficial interest as opposed to the Trust as a whole, because the efforts were not done for the Trust but rather for Ms. Ahern's benefit.

And finally, that the spendthrift provisions of the Trust would be violated if the lien attached to Ms. Ahern's beneficial interest."

I'll tell you what I've ruled, it's a long decision.

The --

"I find that the attorney's fee lien was



properly perfected. The issue that the lien cannot attach to proceeds because none were quote, 'recovered', I found that under the Argentina Consolidated Mining v. Jolley Urga case, which says you have to have something it attaches to. You can't just have a defense verdict and have — and then seek an attorney — a attorney lien, because it doesn't attach to anything.

1.0

I don't think that's the situation here. Ms.

Ahern has submitted herself to the jurisdiction of
the Court as a party. We also have jurisdiction
over the law firm having appeared as counsel in this
action.

The charging lien does not attach where the party did not file an affirmative claim. Rather, it attaches to the tangible fruits of the attorney services. And here, I believe there were tangible fruits of the attorney's services that it could attach to.

Ms. Ahern did file counter-petitions seeking to confirm not only her claim to the disputed 65 percent, but also enforcement of the no-contest clause against Jacqueline and Kathryn. Further, she has defended against the charges -- the movant's claim that the no-contest clause should be enforced



against her disinheriting her.

by Marquis Aurbach arise in their defense of these claims and therefore -- and since they were successful in defeating a Settlement Agreement that might have affected and saved us all this litigation, there is something for her -- their lien to attach to. The charging lien only has priority over other liens."

I'm not going to take your question right now, Ms. Ahern. You have to wait for me to finish.

"A charging lien may have priority over other liens if notice is perfected before a settlement or judgment. Golightly versus Vannah at - Golightly & Vannah versus TJ_Allen, 372 P.3d 103, 216.

Attorneys may not perfect an attorney's lien after settlement is reached, but before -- may perfect their attorney's fee lien after a settlement is reached, but before the funds are received.

And here, again, Marquis Aurbach successfully defeated enforcement of a Settlement Agreement disputed by Eleanor, and the litigation has continued endlessly, and the amounts -- as to the amounts to be charged for Breach of Fiduciary Duty.

So this lien may attach to the sums



affirmatively defending and/or claiming, but I don't think it has priority because it would -- they did not perfect it at the time of their withdrawal.

They have waited until now to perfect it. So I think their priority would be that it would come after everything else.

Then, again, the successor objects to the lien attaching to the beneficial interest as in violation of the spendthrift provision of the Trust. The unique nature of an attorney's charging lien allows a judgment to be entered against the affirmative recovery sought by a party in litigation.

No distinction is made in the cases interpreting NRS 18.015, which would suggest that a party who is affirmatively defending the right to continue to receive trust funds would lose their defense or would have a defense to paying attorneys simply by virtue of the fact that the Trust contained a spendthrift clause. I don't think -- I think that's bad public policy.

To read such a defense into NRS 18.015 would place attorneys who take on trust litigation at a disadvantage, an outcome which we cannot believe the Legislature intended. So I don't think we are violating the spendthrift clause.



The Court has considered their lien, all the 1 factors are present, and the Brunzell. They have 2 some problems with documenting their costs, which I 3 reference here because I think there are some costs 4 not properly documented. 5 So I'm going to grant their Motion for 6 attorney's lien. Fees are awarded in their 7 entirety. Costs are awarded in accordance with 8 Cadle v. Woods Erickson. The lien is a judgment 9 against Eleanor's beneficial interest in the trust 10 only. So I'll sign that order. That'll be entered 11 today." 12 Yes, Ms. Ahern. 13 MS. AHERN: I would request the DVD because I cannot hear 14 15 you. You speak too fast --THE COURT: Okay. No problem. 16 -- and your words all run together. 17 MS. AHERN: All right. We will -- we'll make sure that THE COURT: 18 that gets done. You'll get a copy of the DVD. Kerry will 19 20 send it to you. 21 MS. AHERN: For no cost. Okay. All right. We'll send that to you. 22 THE COURT: 23 Kerry, are -- do we have a in forma pauperis order on this one? 24

THE COURT RECORDER: I'll double-check, Judge, I can do

that in one second.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. AHERN: I have to go to the bathroom.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll take a brief recess. And Counsel, we'll take a recess for just a couple of minutes, we'll resume.

[Recess at 10:37 a.m.]

[Resumed at 10:41 a.m.]

THE COURT: We're going to go back on the record. All right. Thanks, Counsel and Ms. Ahern. We're ready to back on the record here.

The -- in the interim, since the last time we had a hearing we -- the Court is in receipt of the decision filed by the Nevada Supreme Court on January 26, 2017, in this case, in which the decision -- the underlying decision about the right to the 65 per has been affirmed. So, is there anything about the Court's decision that any party wishes to discuss? Any issues with the 65 percent, 35 percent -

MR. POWELL: No, Your Honor --

THE COURT: -- decision from the Supreme Court?

MR. POWELL: -- not on our end.

THE COURT: Essentially the court was affirmed and the appeal was dismissed. So we're done.

We've got the summary judgment concerning a Breach of Fiduciary Duty and Attorney's Fees was affirmed. So we're



ready to just proceed on the remaining issues? MR. POWELL: Yes, correct, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So, all right. Yeah, 3 Counsel if you want to spread out. 5 MR. POWELL: Okay. THE COURT: Since Mr. Semenza's not going to be 6 participating you can each take a table and we'll proceed then with the testimony. MR. MOODY: So, Your Honor, if it's okay, I think I'll begin --10 11 THE COURT: Okay. MR. MOODY: -- and just go over some background, maybe 12 spend thirty minutes with Mr. Waid depending on how long he 13 chooses to speak. But that might get us up close to our first 14break this morning. So I'll ask Mr. Waid to take the stand if 15 16 that's okay. 17 THE COURT: Okav. MR. KIEFER: And Mr. Waid is our one and only witness for 18 19 the movements -- Movants, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Fine, thank you. THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand. 21 FREDRICK WAID, TRUSTEE WITNESS, SWORN 22 THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record and 23 24 spell it. THE WITNESS: Fredrick, F-R-E-D-R-I-C-K, Waid, W-A-I-D. 25

1 MR. MOODY: Your Honor, would it be okay if I remain seated while I ask --2 3 THE COURT: Certainly. MR. MOODY: -- some questions? DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. MOODY: 6 7 Good morning, Mr. Waid. Good morning. 8 9 And what is your role in this case? 10 I am the Court Appointed Successor Trustee. 11 Approximately when were you appointed as the Successor Trustee? 12 There were a number of hearings in late March of 13 2015. I received the order approximately the 30th of March 14and met with counsel the day after and began that assignment. 15 16 Q What is your understanding -- and I know there's a 17 court order to this effect, but I just want to get your 18 understanding of what Eleanor Ahern's ongoing responsibilities 19 were once you were appointed as Trustee. My understandings, Mr. Moody, is that, consistent 20 Α with Nevada law and practice, the courts here generally do not 21 release a trustee who has been suspended or removed, for 22 cause, until a final accounting is completed. So while one 23 24 does not have direct daily or other operational administrative duties as a trustee, they are, nonetheless, still under the 25

jurisdiction of the court and have all the duties and responsibilities to assist from an accounting, records aspect, a marshaling of assets where needed.

Q And can you tell the Court how cooperative Ms. Ahern has been with you in your role as Successor Trustee in light of her ongoing responsibilities?

A I think I'd prefer, rather than render my own opinion on the matter, that we refer to the Court's record. This Court has entered numerous orders, at my request, or my petition or plea, or motion, seeking court intervention to require Ms. Ahern's cooperation.

The record is clear that this matter went up for a contempt proceeding before Judge Gonzalez. For some technical reasons it was sent back down, and to this date, Ms. Ahern has not sat for her deposition, which is my primary wish and desire as a Successor Trustee. So that simply has not occurred.

- Q It's fair to say, "She has not been cooperative with you?"
 - A That is correct.

- Q Can you tell the Court what you did following your appointment, specifically in the days and weeks following that appointment?
- A I met with or interviewed or discussed, at length,

 with her then-counsel at Marquis and Aurbach to understand and



locate where the assets were, how they were held. I met with Mr. Powell and his firm. I spoke with the MTC beneficiaries, Ms. Montoya and Ms. Bouvier, and at the time, Mr. Warnick as well. I was seeking any and all information. I also spoke with Mr. Johnston, he was Texas counsel, I believe in Midland-Odessa, in an effort to marshal the assets and find where the trust funds were located.

- Q And what did you find?
- A Initially, as I reported, that, based on the court's calculations, there was an anticipation that there would be well in excess of a million nine or even close to \$2 million held in trust accounts. I found less than \$10,000.
- 13 Approximately nine thousand and some change.
 - Q Were you able to find and restore any money?
- 15 A Yes. In --

10

11

12

14

19

21

- Q Can you tell the Court what you found and approximately how much you were able to bring back into the Trust?
 - A I'll refer -- I don't have my notes in the prior pleadings, but the interim report indicated over the next two months or so, I recovered approximately a million and six in funds at various institutions or other places.
- MR. MOODY: This will probably be a good time for me to put the Trustee's exhibit list in front of the witness.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay.



```
THE WITNESS: Your Honor, Ms. Ahern has a question.
1
2
        THE COURT: Okay.
        THE WITNESS: Ms. Ahern's raising her hand again.
3
4
        THE COURT:
                   Okay. I'm sorry, thank you. Ms. Ahern, hi.
                    I cannot hear.
5
        MS. AHERN:
        THE COURT:
                    Okay.
6
                    And of course it's very foggy, but I cannot
7
        MS. AHERN:
8
   hear.
        THE COURT: All Right.
 9
                    So if somebody will say something, please say
        MS. AHERN:
10
   it slowly.
11
        THE COURT: All right. So we'll try to remember to speak
12
   loudly and into our respective microphones.
13
        MR. MOODY: Judge, can I approach the witness?
14
        MS. AHERN: Distinctly is what I need. Slowly and
15
   distinctly, please.
16
         THE COURT: Okav. All right.
                                        We'll work on that.
17
        MR. MOODY: Can I approach the witness?
18
19
         THE COURT:
                    Yes.
   BY MR. MOODY:
20
              Mr. Waid, we have marked as Trustee's Exhibit 42,
21
    the Interim Trustee Report. And I want to point out that this
22
23
    was filed, under seal, pursuant to court order dated February
   11, 2015. So although I'm going to have you refer to this, I
24
   am not seeking to have this admitted.
```

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0585

THE COURT: Understood. 1 BY MR. MOODY: 2 Mr. Waid, is this the report that you were discussing? Α Yes, sir. And does that confirm the amount that you were able 6 to restore to the Trust? Yes. As of -- on page 10, as of June 30, 2015, 8 approximately two months -- three months after my appointment, \$1,827,902 was recovered. 10 MS. AHERN: Please repeat. 11 12 THE WITNESS: \$1,827,902.08 was recovered. 13 BY MR. MOODY: 14 Mr. Waid, at that point, did you know what money 15 remained missing? 16 No, I did not. Can you tell the Court what you did to determine 17 what amount was missing? 18 19 Marquis and Aurbach had limited records that were available for my review. I was able to review what was filed 20 in Ms. Ahern's accounting that she produced to the Court in 21 March. I then commenced a series of actions, including 22 23 subpoenas --I'm sorry. I have to go to the bathroom. 24 MS. AHERN: Okay. We'll take a break. We'll go off the 25 THE COURT:

8829

```
record.
1
                          [Recess at 10:51 a.m.]
2
                          [Resumed at 10:55 a.m.]
3
        MS. AHERN: Have a question.
 5
        THE COURT:
                    Sure.
                    I would like a transcript because such
        MS. AHERN:
 7
   detail, may I please have a transcript?
 8
        THE COURT: Well --
        MS. AHERN: Also provide a CD?
 9
        THE COURT: Okay. In addition to the CD and a
10
   transcript, there is a cost associated with that. So if
11
   you're not going to be able to pay that, you're going to need
12
   to file a request for in forma pauperis, specifically to
13
14
   request --
        MS. AHERN: I'm sorry, I don't -- I need to write down
15
    what that thing you said.
16
17
         THE COURT: Linda --
        MS. AHERN: File what?
18
         MR. WAID: I'll help her with that.
19
         THE COURT: Linda will send you the -- Linda and Mr. Waid
20
    -- my assistant will work with Mr. Waid to get you the
21
    document that you need to file. It's called in forma --
22
23
         MS. AHERN:
                    I-N --
         THE COURT: -- F-O-R-M-A, in forma, and the third word is
24
    pauperis, P-A-U-P-E-R-I-S. And it's a special application you
25
```

have to make in order to be relieved of court costs. Waid and my assistant will make sure you get the right kind of a form. MR. WAID: Your Honor, I'll pay for it. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 Mr. Waid said he'll pay for the transcript. 6 But, do you want to have her go ahead and -- we can 7 have her go ahead and fill out an in forma pauperis. MR. WAID: Let's just move along. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Waid said it's no problem. He'll make 10 sure that the cost of the transcript and the DVD are paid. So 11 since he's agreed to -- that the Trust will pay those bills, 12 we're going to go -- we're going to get that ordered then. 13 Kerry knows and she'll get to work on that for you. Okay. 14 MS. AHERN: Please, Mr. Waid, thank you very much for 15 being my Trustee. That is so generous. 16 THE COURT: Uh-huh. All right. Well, we'll get to move 17 on -- we'll get moving then. Okay. 18 So I think, Mr. Moody, you were asking about the -19 20 MR. MOODY: Yeah. -- what he did to -- in his search? THE COURT: 21 MR. MOODY: Correct. Thank you, Your Honor. 22 BY MR. MOODY: 23 Mr. Waid, we left off, you had just mentioned that 24 one of the starting places you went to was the Brief filed by 25

1 Ms. Ahern, and you said it was March. If you'll turn to 2 Exhibit 41 in the binder in front of you?

THE COURT: Mr. Moody, this is unfiled. Do you know if this document --

MR. MOODY: This was filed under seal.

THE COURT: Oh, it was filed -- also filed under seal.

MR. MOODY: Yes. Yes. And for the same reason, I won't be asking for this to be admitted.

THE COURT: Thank you. So noted.

10 BY MR. MOODY:

Q So, Mr. Waid, just for clarification, March of what year was that Brief submitted by Ms. Ahern?

A The copy that I have is not file-stamped, but it indicates the date of the hearing as March 20th. Let me see if I can find --

Q Of what year?

A Of 2015.

Q Okay. Thanks. That's all I need. So, I'd like you to continue. You said that you started with that Brief and the question, before we broke was, what did you do to figure out how much money was still unrecovered from the Trust?

A There were representations in that Brief relating to a Wells Fargo account. I contacted Wells Fargo Account Services. I provided them with a copy of the Court's Order, and at that point the bank informed me that there was



approximately \$9,000 on deposit.

- Q All right. You actually ended up subpoenaing records from Wells Fargo, is that true?
 - A Yes, sir.

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q And can you tell the Court about that production?
- A That was a rather lengthy production, I believe three or four separate subpoenas. There were numerous requests from Wells Fargo Bank to extend the period of time in which to answer. I dealt with, first, the private bank division. I then was referred, or the matter was transferred to the Legal Production Department in Phoenix.

The matter was then referred to the Fraud

Department. Given the complexity of the records and the

expansive nature of the accounts and transactional histories

and things that the bank determined on their own, I then was

transferred, ultimately, to the senior legal department

officer in charge of the subpoenas that were requested of the

bank.

- Q And can you tell the Court, approximately, how many pages of documents we ended up receiving from Wells Fargo?
- 21 A I think they would fill some of the banker's boxes.
 22 It's voluminous.
- Q I'd like you to look at what have been marked as Exhibits 1 through 39, please. Are these some of those documents that you received from Wells Fargo?



A Yes.

Q And were you able to identify some people and entities?

THE COURT: Ms. Ahern, you had a question?

MS. AHERN: Yes, I would like to receive all exhibits that he is now speaking about.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, this is the issue. Since you requested not to be required to appear in person, the process that the Nevada Supreme Court allows for remote appearance of a party who's -- of a witness who's going to testify, does require that the witness have the records with them. However, given the late request, we were unable to notify Counsel in time that you had requested this accommodation of appearing remotely.

So it's by virtue of the fact that you had failed to notify us, in advance, pursuant to Part IX of the Supreme Court rules governing appearance by audio/visual transmission equipment. Technically, parties to litigation are not allowed to appear remotely. However, we wanted to accommodate your special needs under the ADA as well as your request for this accommodation for financial reasons.

So the Court did grant your accommodation and set up the video link for you, but it -- the side effect of that is, you do not have the exhibits before you. So to that extent, to the extent that any exhibit is admitted, we will make sure,



Mr. Moody, you'll send a copy of any exhibit that is admitted, correct?

MR. MOODY: I will, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So any exhibits that are admitted by the Court will be mailed to you. And as mentioned previously, Mr. Waid's going to see that you get a transcript and we'll send you the DVD as well.

THE WITNESS: If I could point out one item? In my efforts to cooperate with Ms. Ahern's then-Counsel, Mr. Lenhard and Ms. Peterson, they received all of the subpoenaed production documents, and it is my understanding having worked with their firm, they regularly deliver those to their clients. So I'm fairly confident that that process did occur.

THE COURT: Okay. But just to the extent that for her to know what exhibit is what document there is -- in Mr. Moody's exhibit book, there is a list of exhibits, and she can use that list of exhibits to find documents that Mr. Lenhard may have provided to her. And if any exhibit is admitted, we'll also send her a copy of any admitted exhibits. Thank you.

All right. Ms. Ahern, you've got your hand up again.

MS. AHERN: I did not receive any documents from Brownstein regarding the subject matter that we are discussing. So I thank you very much for giving all exhibits mentioned. Thank you.



THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to make very clear what I'm directing Mr. Moody to do. Any exhibits that are admitted will be sent. Admitted exhibits.

MR. MCODY: Correct.

1.6

THE COURT: And only those that are admitted. Some of these, as we have referenced, are documents in the Court's records that are understand seal.

MR. MOODY: I may be able to help Ms. Ahern with one point, and that is, prior to her scheduled deposition she requested written questions and copies of any exhibits we planned to ask questions about. 1 through 39 are exactly those documents that, that reference the questions and were the backup information.

So I don't -- I can't say whether she received those or not from Lenhard, but she did make that request. We fulfilled it, so she may have those.

Ms. Ahern's request, Mr. Lenhard was provided with written questions and the corresponding Exhibits 1 through 39 in the packet today. So whether Mr. Lenhard has forwarded that to Ms. Ahern or not, I don't know. But they were at least provided and that's a reference for her to go find them.

Okay. Great. Thank you. You can proceed.

MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I believe I've laid the foundation for where most, if not all these exhibits came

1	from.
2	BY MR. MOODY:
3	Q Did you also subpoena documents from McNair &
4	Associates?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Did you also subpoena documents from the Secretary
7	of State?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And
10	A For Nevada.
11	Q Of Nevada, that's correct. And are these at least a
12	portion of those documents that were subpoenaed?
13	A That is correct.
14	MR. MOODY: Your Honor, I'd offer Exhibits 1 through 39.
15	THE COURT: Exhibits 1 through 39 are admitted.
16	[Trustee's Exhibits 1 through 39 Admitted]
17	THE COURT: And that means that a copy will be sent to
18	Ms. Ahern after the hearing.
19	MR. MOODY: Okay. Very good. Thank you.
20	THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that.
21	BY MR. MOODY:
22	Q Okay. Now, in addition to the Wells Fargo documents
23	you subpoenaed, Secretary of State, McNair & Associates and
24	by the way, who is McNair & Associates?
25	A They're an accounting firm here in Las Vegas.

1	Q You tried to work with Texas counsel as well as
2	subpoena documents in Texas, is that correct?
3	A That's correct. The Texas firms listed on Ms.
4	Ahern's accounting that she filed in March of 2015, we
5	subpoenaed their records.
6	Q Can you tell the Court how successful you were in
7	obtaining records in the State of Texas?
8	A Again, I think I'll refer to the Court's record on
9	that matter. We sought cooperation with Counsel. We sought
10	waiver agreements. I instigated legal proceedings in Texas to
11	determine the extent of trust funds in trust accounts of
12	various counsels. We sought records in the subpoena with
13	respect to trust instructions and directions that were, we
14	believed, provided to Ms. Ahern as to charitable donations, as
15	to restructuring or reforming the Trust as a means to
16	determine where these funds may have ended up.
17	Q You did get some Texas documents, true?
18	A Very limited.
19	Q All right. For the most part, you were not
20	successful getting the documents that you wanted in the State
21	of Texas.
22	A That is correct.
23	Q All right. Can you tell the Court about your
24	involvement with what I will refer to as the Mann litigation



in front of Judge Johnson here in the 8th Judicial District

Court?

A Given that I was not provided with the records that were represented to this Court, existed, and through limited disclosures from Mr. Mann, we knew he had, at one point, exhaustive records on the Trust, we sought intervention, if you will, in that case to obtain records. That matter was vigorously opposed by Mr. Shapiro who appeared on behalf of Ms. Ahern, and I believe Ms. Nounna. They were both parties in that case, and that matter is still pending with Judge Johnson. He has not issued his final orders on the release of those records.

Q Okay. I want to fast-forward now to what has transpired since Mr. Lenhard withdrew, Mr. Lenhard and his firm, withdrew from the case. Can you tell the Court, this Court, what your interactions with Ms. Ahern have been?

A They were somewhat -- have been somewhat limited. I conferred with Mr. Lenhard at the end of the year because he actually withdrew from this case at the District Court level, but he has not withdrawn at -- with respect to the Supreme Court case. And so, I knew he was still somewhat involved in the matter.

I contacted him to inquire if he had heard from Eleanor, what her medical needs were, what other needs she may have. I still have ongoing fiduciary responsibilities to her, and so I have been in contact with him in November, December,



and in January.

1.0

- Q Did you perform a well-check on Ms. Ahern?
- A Yes. After speaking with Mr. Lenhard, he thought it would be advisable that I go to Mesquite. I went to Mesquite. I knocked on Ms. Ahern's residence, the same residence I had been to before with Mr. Lenhard. She did not answer the door. I checked with neighbors. They said she was there. Neither Mr. Lenhard -- and for that matter, I had spoken with Mr.

So I proceeded to call Metro, excuse me, the Mesquite Police Department to come with me to perform a well check and that was accomplished.

Semenza earlier and he had not heard from her.

Q Mr. Lenhard provided an address, a mailing address, for Ms. Ahern when he withdrew from this case. Can you tell the Court whether service on that address has been successful with our firm?

A I believe all of the certificates of service that were addressed to the 400 street address were returned as undeliverable. I've since learned that that is -- well, I knew that was not the actual physical residence where Ms.

Ahern resided. She resides at 111. Mail was being delivered to 400 and it has subsequently been returned. Ms. Ahern has now provided the Court and our office, my office, with a new address. I believe it's a postal service mailbox facility there in Mesquite.

