

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JENNIFER V. ABRAMS; AND THE  
ABRAMS & MAYO LAW FIRM;

Appellants,

vs.

STEVE W. SANSON; AND VETERANS  
IN POLITICS INTERNATIONAL, INC.,

Respondents.

No. 73838

JENNIFER V. ABRAMS; AND THE  
ABRAMS & MAYO LAW FIRM,

Appellants,

vs.

LOUIS C. SCHNEIDER; AND LAW  
OFFICES OF LOUIS C. SCHNEIDER,  
LLC,

Respondents.

No. 75834

**FILED**

DEC 06 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY   
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER REGARDING MOTIONS*

Appellants have filed a motion for leave to file an opening brief in excess of the type-volume limitation. *See* NRAP 32(a)(7)(A)(ii). The proposed brief contains 16,686 words. Respondents oppose the motion and request that these appeals be dismissed due to appellants' alleged failure to timely submit the opening brief and alleged deficiencies in the motion to exceed the type-volume limitation. Appellants have filed a reply. Having considered the parties' filings, we grant the motion to exceed the type-volume limitation and deny the motion to dismiss. NRAP 32(a)(7)(D). We remind respondents that a document is timely filed if it is electronically transmitted to the court's electronic filing system on or before the last day for filing. NRAP 25(a)(2)(B). The clerk of this court shall file the opening brief received on October 15, 2018. Respondents shall have 30 days from

the date of this order to file and serve the answering brief. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

Appellants have also filed a motion to file the portion of the appendix containing a transcript of a closed hearing in a related family court case under seal. Respondents in Docket No. 73838 oppose the motion.<sup>1</sup> Having considered the motion, opposition, and reply, we grant the motion. *Cf.* SRCR 3(4)(h). The clerk shall file volume IV of the appendix, received on October 19, 2018, under seal. This denial is without prejudice to this court's ability to reconsider the matter at the time of disposition of these appeals.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Bailey Kennedy  
The Abrams & Mayo Law Firm  
Willick Law Group  
McLetchie Law

---

<sup>1</sup>Respondents' contention that the motion is untimely lacks merit.