#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER ROBERT KELLER,
Appellant,

V.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed Apr 13 2018 02:51 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

Case No. 73871

## RESPONDENT'S APPENDIX Volume 4

KENNETH G. FRIZZELL, IIII, ESQ. Nevada Bar #006303 Law Offices of Kenneth G. Frizzell, III 619 S. Sixth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 366-1230 STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Post Office Box 552212 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 State of Nevada

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General Nevada Bar # 012426 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717 (775) 684-1265

Counsel for Appellant

Counsel for Respondent

# **INDEX**

<u>Document</u>	Page No.
Defendant Keller's Substitution of Attorney and Amy A. Feliciano, E of Appearance as Counsel of Record, filed 04/29/17	Esq's Notice 4 RA 599-601
District Court Minutes of 02/18/16 (Initial Arraignment)	1 RA 1
District Court Minutes of 03/16/16 (Pre Trial Conference)	1 RA 2
District Court Minutes of 04/13/16 (Calendar Call)	1 RA 5-6
District Court Minutes of 04/20/16 (Calendar Call)	1 RA 7
District Court Minutes of 05/04/16 (Confirmation of Counsel)	1 RA 8
Defendant's Motion to Suppress, filed 06/10/16	1 RA 9-28
District Court Minutes of 06/20/16 (All Pending Motions)	1 RA 32-33
District Court Minutes of 07/21/16 (All Pending Motions)	1 RA 36-37
District Court Minutes of 09/14/16 (Calendar Call)	1 RA 38
District Court Minutes of 05/08/17 (Sentencing)	4 RA 602
District Court Minutes of 06/05/17 (Sentencing)	4 RA 603
District Court Minutes of 06/19/17 (Sentencing)	4 RA 604
District Court Minutes of 06/24/17 (Sentencing)	4 RA 605
District Court Minutes of 07/31/17 (Sentencing)	4 RA 606
Motion to Dismiss Counsel and Appoint Alternate Counsel, filed 06/13/16	1 RA 29-31
Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal, filed 03/24	/161 RA 3-4
Notice of Intent to Seek Punishment as a Habitual Criminal, filed 07/18/16	1 RA 34-35
Recorder's Transcript of 03/06/17 (Jury Trial-Day1), filed 11/13/17	1 RA 39-60
Recorder's Transcript of 03/07/17 (Jury Trial-Day 2), filed 11/13/17	1 RA 61-127
Recorder's Transcripts of 03/08/17 (Jury Trial-Day 3), filed 11/13/17	2 RA 128-357
Recorder's Transcripts of 03/09/17 (Jury Trial-Day 4), filed 11/13/17	.3 RA 358-570
Recorder's Transcripts of 03/10/17 (Jury Trial-Day 5), filed 11/13/17	.4 RA 571-598
Recorder's Transcripts of 08/07/17 (Sentencing), filed 10/05/17	4 RA 607-621

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify and affirm that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on 13<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:

ADAM PAUL LAXALT Nevada Attorney General

KENNETH G. FRIZZELL, IIII, ESQ. Counsel for Appellant

CHARLES W. THOMAN Deputy District Attorney

BY /s/j. garcia

Employee, Clark County District Attorney's Office

CWT/Melanie Marland/jg

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA \* \* \* \* \*

THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE NO. C-16-312717-1

> Plaintiff, DEPT. NO. XIX

TRANSCRIPT OF vs.

PROCEEDINGS

CHRISTOPHER ROBERT KELLER,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM D. KEPHART, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL - DAY 5

FRIDAY, MARCH 10, 2017

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: MATTHEW T. BUNNETT, ESQ.

MICHAEL DICKERSON, ESQ. Deputy District Attorneys

FOR THE DEFENDANT: KENNETH G. FRIZZELL, III., ESQ.

RECORDED BY: CHRISTINE ERICKSON, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: VERBATIM DIGITAL REPORTING, LLC

#### LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, FRIDAY, MARCH 10, 2017, 10:33 A.M. 1 2 (Outside the presence of the jury) THE COURT: All right. We're back on the record in 3 4 the case of State of Nevada versus Christopher Robert Keller in C-312717. I've been told that we have a verdict so you 5 want to bring the jury in. I'd ask that you bring the 6 7 alternates in as well, okay? THE MARSHAL: Yes, sir. All rise for the jury. 8 9 (Jury reconvened at 10:35 A.M.) THE COURT: Go ahead and have a seat, everybody. 10 All right. This is the continuation of jury trial in 11 the case of State of Nevada versus Christopher Robert Keller 12 in C-312717. I'd like the record to reflect the presence of 13 14 the defendant, his counsel as well as State and their counsel and all members of the jury. Will the parties stipulate to 15 16 the presence of the jury? 17 MR. DICKERSON: State stipulates. MR. FRIZZELL: Defense stipulates. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. I've been informed that the jury has been able to reach a verdict; is that correct? 20 21 JUROR NO. 1: Yes. THE COURT: Have you selected a foreperson? 22 JUROR NO. 1: 23 Yes. 24 THE COURT: And who is the foreperson?

#### ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT

JUROR NO. 1: Jordan Foster.

25

THE COURT: Excuse me?

JUROR NO. 1: Jordan Foster.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Foster, could you hand the verdict form to my Marshal. Okay. At this point, I'm going to go ahead and have the Clerk read the verdict out loud.

#### **VERDICT**

THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County of Nevada, the State of Nevada, plaintiff, versus Christopher Robert Keller, defendant. Case No. C-312717, Department 19, verdict.

We, the jury in the above-entitled case, find the defendant, Christopher Robert Keller as follows:

Count 1, trafficking in controlled substance; Guilty of trafficking in controlled substance.

Count 2, trafficking in controlled substance; Guilty of trafficking in controlled substance.

Count 3, possession of controlled substance, marijuana greater than one ounce; Guilty of possession of controlled substance, marijuana greater than one ounce.

Count 4, possession of controlled substance with intent to sell methamphetamine; Guilty of possession with -- possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

Count 5, possession of controlled substance with intent to sell heroin; Guilty of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

Count 6, possession of controlled substance with intent to sell cocaine; guilty of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

Count 7, possession of controlled substance with intent to sell marijuana; guilty of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

Dated the 10th day of March, 2017. Signed, foreperson, Mr. Foster. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, are these your verdicts as read? So say you one, so say you all.

THE JURY: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

THE COURT: Okay. Would either party like to have the jury polled for this purpose?

MR. FRIZZELL: Yes, Your Honor, the defense would like to have the jury polled.

16 THE COURT: All right.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 1, are these your verdicts as read?

19 JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 2, are these your verdicts as read?

JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror No. 3, are these your verdicts as read?

JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

```
5
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 4, are these your verdicts as
 1
 2
   read?
 3
              JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
 4
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 5, are these your verdicts as
   read?
 5
              JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
 6
 7
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 6, are these your verdicts as
 8
   read?
 9
              JUROR NO. 6: Yes.
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 7, are these your verdicts as
10
11
   read?
              JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
12
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 8, are these your verdicts as
13
14
   read?
15
              JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 9, are these your verdicts as
16
17
   read?
              JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
18
19
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 10, are these your verdicts
20
    as read?
21
              JUROR NO. 10: Yes.
22
              THE CLERK: Juror No. 11, are these your verdicts
23
   as read?
24
              JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
25
              THE CLERK: And Juror No. 12, are these your
```

verdicts as read?

JUROR NO. 12: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, the reason why I had the case -- I had to have the alternates come back to this is because there's a second portion of this trial. You were not informed of that, and as soon as you hear the additional counts and the arguments by the parties, you'll understand why.

And so at this point in time, what I'm going to do is ask the State if -- once again, I'm going to ask the Clerk to read to the jury the -- there's two additional counts that entail in this case, and I'm going to ask the Clerk to read that to the jury.

(Pause in the proceedings)

(CLERK READS THIRD AMENDED INFORMATION TO THE JURY)

THE COURT: At this point in time, does the State have any -- wish to make an opening statement with respect to --

STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT RE: THIRD AMENDED INFORMATION

MR. DICKERSON: Just briefly. Ladies and gentlemen, you're going to hear now that the defendant has been convicted of at least five felonies as being conspiracy to violate the Uniform Controlled Substances Act in 2013, and attempt possession of a firearm by a ex-felon in 2013, possession of a firearm by an ex-felon in 2009, burglary in

2003, possession of a credit card without cardholder's consent in 2003.

The State's going to be admitting for you to see certified judgments of conviction which have come down from the courts showing those convictions. It's with that that we'll ask you to go and deliberate once again with the information that you were provided previously upon the defendant's possession of those two firearms, the Ruger and the Beretta. The Ruger being the 9 millimeter charged in count 9. The Beretta being the .22 that was found in the car charged in count 8. Thank you.

THE COURT: Did you wish to make an opening statement?

MR. FRIZZELL: Just briefly also, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

#### DEFENDANT'S OPENING STATEMENT

MR. FRIZZELL: Ladies and gentlemen, I know that this wasn't a part of the proceedings that you anticipated, but as the judge said, you can understand now that we couldn't -- that the State couldn't tell you about any prior felonies or whatnot during the main portion of the trial.

And so only after your verdict can these things come in at this point. However, we're still back to the same -- we're back to the same situation in that you still have to find that he was in possession of these weapons or they were

under his custody or control just like what was read to you before you can find him guilty on these counts 8 and 9.

And so without belaboring the point, and I'm sure that you recall all of the argument that I made yesterday afternoon regarding possession versus mere presence that I will just ask you to consider that when you're looking at whether or not he was actually in possession of these two firearms, the Ruger and the Beretta that you had access to look at this morning. And so with that I'll submit it to the group.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Frizzell. State, did you have any witnesses?

MR. BUNNETT: No additional witnesses, Your Honor; however --

THE COURT: Are you presenting any evidence then?

MR. BUNNETT: We do have some exhibits that have been marked.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUNNETT: And Your Honor, I have in my hand what's been marked as State's Proposed 1. It looks like a Certified Judgment of Conviction that was filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court on June 29th, 2004. Seal's affixed on the second page. And that case is C-189805-B. I'm showing it to Mr. Frizzell.

(Pause in the proceedings)

MR. BUNNETT: I have a second one in Case C-192923.

Appears to be a Certified Judgment of Conviction filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court on June 21st, 2004. That was State's Proposed 2.

State's Proposed 3, I have another copy of a Certified Judgment of Conviction in Case C-252394 filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court on February 12th, 2010.

(Pause in the proceedings)

MR. BUNNETT: And Your Honor, I also have State's Proposed 4. It appears to be a Certified Judgment of Conviction filed in the Eighth Judicial District Court on November 7th, 2013 in Case C-287724.

And finally, I have State's Proposed 5, which appears to be a Certified Judgment of Conviction filed in Case C-279904 on November 13th, 2013, and that is certified as well. State would move for admission of State's Proposed 1 through 5.

THE COURT: Any objections?

MR. FRIZZELL: No, Your Honor, and the State had showed me all of these yesterday afternoon so I have no objection.

THE COURT: As they all being certified, they'll be admitted. Okay.

(State's Exhibits 1 through 5 admitted)

THE COURT: Let me see them. Okay. Anything

1 further, Mr. Bunnett? 2 MR. BUNNETT: No, Your Honor. State rests. THE COURT: State has rested, Mr. Frizzell. 3 4 Mr. Frizzell, do you intend to present any witnesses or evidence at this time? 5 MR. FRIZZELL: I do not, Your Honor. The defense 6 7 rests. THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, you're 8 9 going to be handed another copy of jury instructions. You 10 have those now or I think -- okay. The instructions that are from the previous section of this trial are also applicable. 11 12 If there are any of them that are duplicated, it's not intended to put any emphasis on them. It's just a matter of 13 14 that they were duplicated. 15 I believe, the first two may be duplicated and then 16 the last one. So do you have -- let me know when ya'll have them, okay? Okay. Does everybody have one? 17 UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Yes. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. (COURT READS SECOND SET OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY) 20 21 THE COURT: Once again with regards to that 22 instruction, I'm going to provide you with a copy of the 23 original instructions, okay? (COURT READS SECOND SET OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY) 24

## **ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT**

25

MR. FRIZZELL: Your Honor, before we continue, and

```
I don't normally interrupt --
1
 2
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. FRIZZELL: -- but the State had made me aware
 3
 4
    of a typo in the Information, which was then copied to
 5
    instruction 3.
              THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
              MR. FRIZZELL: In the conviction --
 8
              THE COURT: Let me see it.
 9
              MR. FRIZZELL: -- in 2004, I believe, was
10
    ultimately -- it was actually in 2003, it was a companion
    case with the other 2003 case.
11
              THE COURT: So it should read and/or having in
12
    2003?
13
14
              MR. DICKERSON:
                              Been convicted of burglary.
15
              THE COURT: Hold on, let me see the convictions.
16
              MR. DICKERSON:
                              That's correct, Your Honor.
    date of the conviction signed by the judge was June 21st,
17
    2004. Okay?
18
19
              MR. FRIZZELL: What I was informed -- I apologize,
20
    Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: Let me look at the 805.
22
              MR. DICKERSON: If we may approach?
23
              THE COURT: 805, that one was on the 25th day of
    2004.
24
25
              MR. FRIZZELL: Okay, so the --
```

1 THE COURT: It's the last one. MR. FRIZZELL: -- 2003 should actually be 2004, 2 3 then? 4 THE COURT: 2004. MR. FRIZZELL: Okay. 5 MR. DICKERSON: May we approach real quickly, Your 6 7 Honor? THE COURT: 8 Sure. 9 (Off-record bench conference) THE COURT: Okay. All right, so instruction number 10 four. 11 (COURT READS SECOND SET OF JURY INSTRUCTIONS TO JURY) 12 13 THE COURT: Mr. Bunnett, did you want to address 14 the jury in closing? 15 MR. BUNNETT: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT 17 18 MR. BUNNETT: Members of the jury, we've proven 19 counts 8 and 9 beyond a reasonable doubt. You heard the 20 testimony previously about where the guns were recovered. 21 The Beretta .22 caliber gun was recovered in that secret 22 compartment in the defendant's car. We've established that 23 that was the defendant's car. The Ruger 9 millimeter, well, 24 that's recovered from the defendant's house. Ammunition for the .22 caliber is found in the house -- or in the shed of 25

the house that is immediately adjacent to the defendant's apartment. And ammunition for the Ruger was found inside the house.

And a couple things I just want to touch on with you. Those same principles of possession that we previously talked about, those all apply now. Actual or constructive possession, we can prove either. We have to prove possession (inaudible), but we can look to the circumstances of the crime and how everything happened to determine whether or not possession was knowing.

Possession can be sole or possession can be joined. So all those principles apply now, and as you consider counts 8 and 9, you need to use those principles as you consider your verdict. Now, there is an instruction, and that's instruction, I believe, 5 that instructs you about what a firearm is. You can take the gun, the can examine the gun, you can determine for yourself whether there's a firearm.

There's no reasonable question that these are firearms. Stephanie Thi explained to you how the firearms are operated. She told you that in the Beretta .22 caliber there were metal projectiles inside. It's clear from the evidence that both of these weapons were designed to expel metal projectiles and that they are, according to the definition, firearms.

Now, the one last thing I want to touch on -- well,

before I do, you've heard the instruction that defendant's not allowed to own firearms because of these felony judgments of conviction. You'll have those in the back. You can look at them. You'll see they're authentic copies of the judgments of convictions and that defendant is, in fact, a convicted felon.

Now, the one last thing I want to touch on is the firearm in the bedroom. That's that Ruger 9 millimeter. It's in defendant's bedroom. It's a one bedroom apartment. We've heard some testimony about women's clothes being found in the apartment. But there's really no suggestion that any other man is living in the house. And Rubino, she told that when she profiled that gun, there was a male profile on the DNA -- or male DNA profile on the gun. She was able to determine that. She couldn't conclude else, but it was at least two people, one of them a male.

Based on all this, members of the jury, this is an easy question. Defendant's guilty of count 8. That's the Beretta in the secret compartment of the car. And count 9, the Ruger in the house. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bunnett. Mr. Frizzell, did you want to address the jury any further?

MR. FRIZZELL: Yeah, just briefly, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. FRIZZELL: Ladies and gentlemen, as I said a few minutes ago, you still have to make the same findings regarding possession versus that these weapons were merely present. The Beretta, the smaller gun, the .22, that was found, as you heard, with the drugs that were in the hole and -- in the hole in the car there in that little compartment.

But you need to remember now when we're talking about DNA is that just because it's a male, again, it doesn't say that it was Mr. Keller. It could have been any male. We don't know the history of those guns. There was no testimony about the history of those weapons at all. And so when we're talking also about the Ruger 9 millimeter, it was in the closet that I showed you the picture of the women's clothes, and it was found in an area that was not near any of the scales or the drugs or in the fridge or anything like that. It was in a closet that also contained girl's clothes.

And again, the DNA just showed that it was -- at least one the samples was a male. But again, it doesn't who it was. And like I say, we don't know the history of these guns. And so we can't say -- there was no evidence, no testimony that we can say for sure that it was Mr. Keller's DNA that was on those weapons.

And so with that being said, then have you to go back once again to, I believe, was instruction 16 from yesterday on the mere presence. Were those -- were those

weapons merely present? And if they were just merely present
-- because there was no testimony even of ownership, who
actually owned those weapons, as you'll recall. And so
you're going to have to weigh out those facts and see and
determine whether or not those weapons actually -- were
actually in the actual or constructive possession of
Mr. Keller.

And if you can't, then you must come back with a not guilty verdict on what is now counts 8 and 9. And with that thank you so much.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Frizzell.

12 Mr. Dickerson.

#### STATE'S REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT

MR. DICKERSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. With all this, once again, like we talked about previously, tools of the trade, guns. For a drug dealer tools of the trade. That's what we have here.

Mr. Keller has guns with his drugs because you need to protect yourself and protect your drugs when this is the business you're in.

So we know that because one's in his car and one's in his bedroom. The stuff Mr. Frizzell said about the clothing being in the same closet, I believe that's inaccurate. You can take a look at the photos, if you feel the need to. I think that was the other closet that those

shirts were in. Nonetheless, that gun still came back with the male DNA. Only male that we have any evidence on in this case is Mr. Keller himself.

Also remember for the .22 caliber firearm that was found in the vehicle, it contained Remington ammunition, the same exact kind of ammunition that was found in the defendant's storage shed in his house. So there's a link to that, too. It goes right back to the home. Both guns were loaded. They had bullets with them in full magazines. Shows they're ready to go. It's something to consider just in how they're stored. It isn't something that's just sitting there and somebody doesn't know about. These are guns that are there for a purpose. You have bullets in a gun. You know where that gun's going to be. You have to.

All right, ladies and gentlemen, thank you very much. Please find the defendant guilty of both counts 8 and 9 based on his actual and constructive possession of those two firearms.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dickerson. Okay, once again, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to ask that you return to the jury room for purposes of deliberating on counts 8 and 9. At this point in time, I'm going to allow Ms. Johnson and Ms. Cruz, I'm going to allow you to be excused to go home.

We'll contact you once we get a verdict or if we need you. But you are not released from your service here

1 because in the event that I need you, I might need to bring you back. But I'm going to allow you to go ahead and go 3 So just leave your information that you can provide to home. 4 us so we can contact you immediately, okay? All right. And Ed, here, give these two Ms. Johnson and Ms. 5 6 Cruz, okay? All right. So we'll be at ease while the jury 7 exits the room. (Jury retired to deliberate at 11:05 A.M.) 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the jury, as they're deliberating on the second portion of 10 11 this. Is there anything that needs to be put on the record by either State or defense at this point? 12 MR. FRIZZELL: No, Your Honor, not by the --13 14 MR. DICKERSON: We'll just --15 THE COURT: All right, defense. MR. DICKERSON: -- save our record for afterwards, 16 Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay, so don't take 19 them very far, officers, all right? 20 THE MARSHAL: We'll just take him downstairs. 21 THE COURT: Okay. All right. We'll let you know 22 if we --23 CORRECTIONS OFFICER: You've got the number 24 downstairs, right? Okay. We're off the record. 25 THE COURT:

(Court recessed at 11:07 a.m. until 11:21 a.m.) 1 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 2 THE COURT: Go ahead and bring the jury in. 3 4 MR. FRIZZELL: And Your Honor, not that I need it 5 right now, but for some reason I misplaced or I didn't receive the second set of verdict -- the verdict form for 6 7 this. THE COURT: Oh. 8 9 MR. FRIZZELL: I know I saw it, but I don't know if --10 THE CLERK: (Inaudible). 11 (Pause in the proceedings) 12 MR. FRIZZELL: Thanks. 13 14 THE CLERK: Uh-huh. 15 THE COURT: Okay. We're back on the record in the case of State of Nevada versus Christopher Keller. Go ahead 16 and have a seat, everyone. This is Case No. C-312717. I'd 17 like the record to reflect the presence of the defendant, his 18 19 counsel, State and their counsel, all members of the jury. 20 Will the parties stipulate to the presence of the jury? 21 MR. DICKERSON: State stimulate, Your Honor. 22 MR. FRIZZELL: Defense stipulates, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. We're here with the exception of 24 the alternates. This is the second phase. Mr. Forester (sic), are you still the foreman? 25

JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And have you -- were you able to reach a verdict as to the second phase?

JUROR NO. 1: Yes.

THE COURT: Could you provide that verdict form to my Marshal, then? All right. I'd ask the Clerk, then, to read the verdict.

VERDICT RE: THIRD AMENDED INFORMATION

THE CLERK: District Court, Clark County of Nevada, State of Nevada, plaintiff versus Christopher Robert Keller, defendant. Case No. C-312717, Department 19, verdict.

We the jury in the above-entitled case find the defendant, Christopher Robert Keller, as follows:

Count 8, ownership or possession of firearm by prohibited person; guilty of ownership or possession of firearm by prohibited person.

Count 9, ownership or possess of firearm by prohibited person; guilty of ownership or possession of firearm by prohibited person.

Dated the 10th day of March, 2017. Signed foreperson, Jordan Forester (sic). Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is this your verdicts as read? So say you one, so say you all.

THE JURY: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you like to have the --

1 read? JUROR NO. 8: Yes. 2 THE CLERK: Juror No. 9, are these your verdicts as 3 4 read? JUROR NO. 9: Yes. 5 THE CLERK: Juror No. 10: Are these your verdicts 6 7 as read? JUROR NO. 10: Yes. 8 9 THE CLERK: Juror No. 11, are these your verdicts as read? 10 JUROR NO. 11: Yes. 11 THE CLERK: And Juror No. 12, are these your 12 13 verdicts as read? 14 JUROR NO. 12: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. I'll go ahead and have the Clerk record the verdict and the minutes of the court. 16 17 Ladies and gentlemen, when we first started the 18 jury selection, I believe I represented to you that the I 19 believe that the jury system is probably one of our most important fundamental rights that we have in our system and 20 21 our constitutional guarantees. 22 I firmly believe in this right. For those of you 23 that have not served as a juror before, I'm hoping that this 24 was a good experience for you to understand exactly what

## **ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT**

truly happens in the courthouse down here. You know,

25

unfortunately, there are certain people, and you probably saw them, and you may have been one of these individuals that have done what they could to try to shirk this responsibility, and I believe it is a responsibility to everybody that lives in our community to take part in the Civic duties and Civic responsibilities here in the community.

You know, for that reason I want to tell you I truly appreciate that your time and consideration. I want to apologize to you, though, because it went a little longer than I had anticipated. Some things come up in the trial. I also want you to know that while you're not in this courtroom, things are going on with this case in the courtroom.

On behalf of counsel and on the parties in the Eighth Judicial District Court here in the County of Clark, I want to thank you for your careful deliberate in this matter. You may also at this point have a question as to whether or not you can discuss this case with anyone. You can. I'm going to release you from your obligation any further.

So anyone that questions you about what you were doing, what the case was about, you have a right to do so and you could, if you want to, you can discuss it. If you do not want to, you also do not have to discuss it.

The parties oftentimes will wait around because

they want to talk to you to see what it is that you think maybe could have helped them, could have bolstered their case, could have presented something to present them -- or prevent them from worsening their case or just seeing what it is that you liked about the case and how it was presented or how it was defended.

You do not have to talk to parties, if you do not wish to. However, if you do not wish to and they continue to press you, contact my Marshal and I'll put a stop to that. I'm going to have you go back into the jury room because I come back and have a discussion with you one on one to thank you personally. But at this point in time, I want to thank you as well. Could you please well, exit out the back into the courtroom, and I'll see you real shortly, okay? I'll be right there.

(Jury excused at 11:28 A.M.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're outside the presence of the jury. At this point in time, I remand the defendant in custody without bail and set a sentencing date, refer him to the Department of Parole & Probation for preparation of presentence investigation report. Give us the date.

THE CLERK: (Inaudible) 8th at 8:30 for sentencing.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. DICKERSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: You wanted --

1 MR. DICKERSON: We just --THE COURT: -- to put something on the record. 2 MR. DICKERSON: We just want to make it -- a brief 3 4 record about the steps that Your Honor took to accommodate 5 defense counsel yesterday in trying to get their defense together and accommodate witnesses coming in. Defense 6 counsel, though they didn't notice any witnesses, was 7 8 permitted to call every witness that they asked to call, 9 including bringing Officer Henry in to testify as well as 10 Mary Silva (phonetic) that they had made representations they were going to call. 11 12 Mary Silva ultimately was not outside the courtroom 13 when defense went to call her, and they made steps to call 14 her throughout the day. Your Honor even gave them an 15 additional hour after we were supposed to start trial to 16 accommodate calling her in or bringing her back to court. Despite representations she may have made to defense counsel, 17 she never showed back up. 18 19 But I just wanted to make a record of all those 20 steps that were taken on Your Honor's part. THE COURT: 21 Mr. Frizzell, did you want to add 22 anything to that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: (Inaudible) leave.

#### **ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT**

I'm sorry?

THE COURT: Mr. Frizzell?

MR. FRIZZELL:

24

25

1 THE COURT: Did you want to add anything to the 2 State's? MR. FRIZZELL: I just wanted to say that yes, I 3 4 followed up, I followed up with his mother, who was likewise here, as well as Ms. Silva never actually got back to me. 5 So --6 7 THE COURT: You represented that you called her and 8 told her and she said she could be here in 45 minutes, but 9 then you called her back and she never got back to you; is that right? 10 MR. FRIZZELL: Well, I texted her back because --11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 -- she said I think I can be there 13 MR. FRIZZELL: 14 in 20 minutes. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. FRIZZELL: And so that was my last communication with either her or Mr. Keller's mother, and 17 otherwise, I'd like to thank Your Honor for even allowing us 18 19 to have the opportunity to call those witnesses at such a 20 late date. As you know, there was definitely some 21 cooperation issues prior to this trial starting so. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right, thank you. 23 MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 MR. FRIZZELL: Thank you very much, Your Honor. THE COURT: We're off the record, yep. 25 26 (Court adjourned at 11:30 a.m.)

INDEX				
VERDICT	•	•	•	3
RE: THIRD AMENDED INFORMATION				
STATE'S OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. DICKERSON	•	•	•	6
DEFENDANT'S OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. FRIZZEL		•	•	7
STATE'S CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. MR. BUNNETT				12
DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. FRIZZELL				15
STATE'S REBUTTAL CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. DICKERSON.		•		16
VERDICT RE: THIRD AMENDED INFORMATION		•		20
EVILLDIEG				
<u>EXHIBITS</u>				
STATE'S EXHIBITS:				
Exhibits 1 through 5				9

\* \* \* \* \*

ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3C(d) of the Nevada Rules of
Appellate Procedure, I acknowledge that this is a rough draft
transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected,
or certified to be an accurate transcript.

Julie Hond

JULIE LORD, INDEPENDENT TRANSCRIBER

1	SUBST	
2	AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ. FELICIANO LAW OFFICE, LLC	Y
2	Nevada Bar No. 9596	Electronically Filed
3	2421 Tech Center Ct, #100	04/29/2017 08:06:30 PM
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128	
4	Tel: (702) 8484869 Fax: (702) 977-8262	Alma D. Chum
5	Email: amy@felicianolawoffice.com	They be to
	Counselfor Defendant Christopher Keller	CLERK OF THE COURT
6		\$
7	DISTRIC	ΓCOURT
8	CLARK COUN	ITY, NEVADA
9		
	STATEOFNEVADA,	
10	This was store.	CACENTO CATABIET
111	Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: C-16-312717-1
	· VS.	DEPT. NO.: XIX
12		
10.	CHRISTOPHER KELLER,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	DEFENDANT KELLER'S SUBSTITU	TION OF ATTODNEY AND AMY A
16	FELICIANO, ESQ.'S NOTICE OF APPI	
17	COMES NOW, Defendant CHRISTOPHER KI	ELLER through his attorney Amy A. Feliciano,
18	Esq. and hereby substitutes AMY A. FELICIANO	N ESO Dan# 0506 Ediciona Law Offica LLC
19	· -	
20	2421 Tech Center Ct, #100, Las V	Vegas, Nevada 89128, (702) 848-4869,
21	amy@felicianolawoffice.com, as attorney of reco	ord in place and stead of court-appointed counsel
22	KENNETH FRIZZELL, ESQ. in the instant matt	ter.
22		1_11v.tt
23	DATED:	
24		(Defendant's Signature)
25		
26	े । अ	NT NAME: (L) SISTUPHER KELLER
27		
28		
filliciano lawoffice,		
LLC 2421 Tech C4InII(GL., •IIIO Las Veoes, Ntvad, 99128 (702)841-4809	10	f2

1	I, KENNETH FRIZZELL, ESQ., am in receipt of Defendant Keller's Substitution of Attorney. I
2	hereby acknowledge that I no longer represent Defendant Keller in the instant matter. I also affirm
3	that I have given Keller's entire criminal file to his new counsel, AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ.
4	
5	
ნ 7	DATED: 4-18-17 BY: (Signature) (Signature)
8	(Digitating)
9	NOTICE OF ABBRADISTOR AS ACCUSED.
10	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE AS COUNSEL OF RECORD
	COMES NOW AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ. and provides Notice of Appearance as Counsel of
11	Record for Defendant Christopher Keller in the instant matter. Counsel consents to electronic
13	service. Counsel's contact information is as follows:
14	AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ.
15	Bar No. 9596 Feliciano Law Office, LLC
16	2421 Tech Center Ct., #100
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (702) 848-4869 (office); (702) 977-8262 (facsimile)
17	amy@felicianolawoffice.com www.felicianolawoffice.com
18	
19	FELICIANO AN OFFICE, LLC
20	
21    22	DATED: 419 BY: /
23	(Signature)
24	AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ.
25	
6	
7	
8	

FELICIANO LAW OFFICE, LLC 2421 Tech Center Ct., MIGO Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (792) 848-4869

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that service of the above and foregoing was made via United States Postal

Service this <u>24th</u> day of April 2017, to:

4 CLARK COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
5 200 Lewis Avenue, Second Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

26

27

28

Kenneth G. Frizzell, Esq.
 Law Offices of Kenneth G. Frizzell, III
 619 South Sixth Street
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

/s/ Amy A. Feliciano, Esq. AMY A. FELICIANO, ESQ. FELICIANO LAW OFFICE. LLC

21
22
23
24
25

FELICIANO LAW OFFICE, LLC 2421 Tech Center Ct., #180 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 (702) 848-4869

# DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

**COURT MINUTES** 

May 08, 2017

C-16-312717-1

State of Nevada

Christopher Keller

May 08, 2017

8:30 AM

Sentencing

**HEARD BY:** Kephart, William D.

**COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03E

**COURT CLERK:** Tia Everett

RECORDER:

Christine Erickson

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT:

Bunnett, Matthew T. Deputy District Attorney Dickerson, Michael **Deputy District Attorney** Attorney for Defendant Feliciano, Amy A.

Keller, Christopher Robert

Defendant

## **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Ms. Feliciano advised she was recently retained as counsel and requested matter be continued in order to prepare for sentencing and prepare a sentencing memorandum for the Court. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

**CUSTODY** 

CONTINUED TO: 6/05/2017 8:30 AM

PRINT DATE: 05/09/2017 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date: May 08, 2017

## DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

**COURT MINUTES** 

June 05, 2017

C-16-312717-1

State of Nevada

Christopher Keller

June 05, 2017

8:30 AM

Sentencing

**HEARD BY:** Kephart, William D.

**COURTROOM:** RJC Courtroom 03E

**COURT CLERK:** Tia Everett

RECORDER:

Christine Erickson

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT:

Almase, Caesar V. Attorney for Defendant

Dickerson, Michael

**Deputy District Attorney** 

Keller, Christopher Robert

Defendant

## **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Court noted Ms. Feliciano previously requested matter be continued in order to submit a sentencing memorandum; however, the Court received notice this morning Ms. Feliciano is requesting matter be continued two (2) weeks. Mr. Almase advised Ms. Feliciano is requesting to continue due to a family emergency. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED.

**CUSTODY** 

CONTINUED TO: 6/19/2017 8:30 AM

PRINT DATE: 06/06/2017 Page 1 of 1 June 05, 2017 Minutes Date:

## **DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

**COURT MINUTES** 

June 19, 2017

C-16-312717-1

State of Nevada

Christopher Keller

June 19, 2017

8:30 AM

Sentencing

**HEARD BY:** Kephart, William D.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03E

**COURT CLERK:** Tia Everett

RECORDER:

Christine Erickson

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT:

Almase, Caesar V. Attorney for Defendant Clowers, Shanon **Deputy District Attorney** 

Keller, Christopher Robert

Defendant

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Mr. Almase advised Ms. Feliciano is requesting matter be continued one week and the sentencing memorandum will be filed today. COURT ORDERED, matter CONTINUED one week and sentencing will go forward on the next date.

CUSTODY

CONTINUED TO: 7/24/2017 8:30 AM

CLERK'S NOTE: following sentencing parties stipulated to continue matter for 6/26/2017 to 7/24/2017 as counsel will be out of the jurisdiction. te

PRINT DATE: 06/22/2017 June 19, 2017 Page 1 of 1 Minutes Date:

### DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

**COURT MINUTES** 

July 24, 2017

C-16-312717-1

State of Nevada

Christopher Keller

July 24, 2017

8:30 AM

Sentencing

**HEARD BY:** Kephart, William D. COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03E

COURT CLERK: Tia Everett/te

Haly Pannullo

**RECORDER:** 

Christine Erickson

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT: Baharav, Colleen

Deputy District Attorney Attorney for Defendant

Hart, Martin W

Defendant

Keller, Christopher Robert

#### **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Ms. Baharav advised Mr. Dickerson was present for the State; however, he was not certain if Ms. Feliciano would be present. Mr. Hart advised Ms. Feliciano is requesting to continue matter. Defendant advised he has not spoken or met with counsel and she has done nothing on the case. CONFERENCE AT THE BENCH. Court noted matter has been continued a number of times for retained counsel to address the Court, COURT ORDERED, Defendant's request to dismiss counsel GRANTED: Kenneth Frizzell APPOINTED and matter CONTINUED.

**CUSTODY** 

CONTINUED TO: 7/31/2017 8:30 AM

CLERK'S NOTE: following hearing Mr. Frizzell advised of appointment via e-mail. te

PRINT DATE: Page 1 of 1 07/26/2017 Minutes Date: July 24, 2017

### DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

Felony/Gross Misdemeanor

**COURT MINUTES** 

July 31, 2017

C-16-312717-1

State of Nevada

Christopher Keller

July 31, 2017

8:30 AM

Sentencing

**HEARD BY:** Kephart, William D.

COURTROOM: RJC Courtroom 03E

**COURT CLERK:** Tia Everett

**RECORDER:** 

Christine Erickson

**PARTIES** 

PRESENT:

Demonte, Noreen C. Deputy District Attorney Frizzell, Kenneth G. Attorney for Defendant

Keller, Christopher Robert

Defendant

# **JOURNAL ENTRIES**

- Mr. Frizzell advised he has a meeting with Ms. Feliciano today to obtain the file and requested matter be continued one week. COURT SO ORDERED.

**CUSTODY** 

CONTINUED TO: 8/07/2017 8:30 AM

PRINT DATE: 07/31/2017 Page 1 of 1 July 31, 2017 Minutes Date:

Electronically Filed 10/5/2017 1:28 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

# 1 **RTRAN** 2 3 4 5 DISTRICT COURT 6 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 7 8 THE STATE OF NEVADA, CASE#: C-16-312717-1 Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 DEPT. XIX CHRISTOPHER ROBERT KELLER, 12 Defendant. 13 BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM D. KEPHART, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 14 MONDAY, AUGUST 7, 2017 15 RECORDER'S ROUGH DRAFT TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING 16 **SENTENCING** 17 APPEARANCES: 18 MICHAEL R. DICKERSON, ESQ. For the State: 19 **Deputy District Attorney** 20 For the Defendant: KENNETH G. FRIZZELL, ESQ. 21 22 23 24 25 RECORDED BY: PATTI SLATTERY, COURT RECORDER

Rough Draft - 1

#### MONDAY, AUGUST 7, 2017, AT 9:46 A.M.

 THE COURT: Page seven, State of Nevada versus Christopher Robert Keller in C312717. This is the time set for sentencing. The Defendant is present in custody. He's represented by Mr. Frizzell. Is there any legal reason why we can't go forward with sentencing today, Mr. Frizzell?

MR. FRIZZELL: No, Your Honor. I would like to put just briefly on the record that while I've spoken with Ms. Feliciano, she was unable to get me back the file I gave to her, but I printed off duplicates of what I had given her that I actually needed back so I'm fine with going forward.

THE COURT: Mr. Frizzell -- Mr. Keller, I received a number of letters from family and friends of yours. I have -- let me just for the record count, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, roughly ten letters. Have you looked at those as well?

THE DEFENDANT: I know what they contain, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So, do you want the Court to consider those?

THE DEFENDANT: Please, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I know there's a note here saying that a copy was sent to the DA and a copy was sent to Mr. Frizzell.

MR. FRIZZELL: And I got my copy, as well as the originals and the --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FRIZZELL: -- State just confirmed to me that they got their copy as well.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DICKERSON: I have. I've reviewed them all, Your Honor. Mike Dickerson on behalf of the State.

1

THE COURT: Thank you, Mike. All right. So, is there anything other than what you just told me, Mr. Frizzell, that we can't go forward with this?

MR. FRIZZELL: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Keller, I received a copy of the Presentence Investigation Report dated April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2017. Did you receive that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you take a look at it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there anything in the report that you think is incorrect?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

MR. FRIZZELL: I think he had a couple things he wanted to add, Your Honor, that he saw that weren't in there that he wanted to --

THE COURT: Okay. He can --

MR. FRIZZELL: -- add, but I'll wait for that.

THE COURT: All right. So, I'll hear from the State and then I'll hear from you and your attorney, all right, Mr. Keller?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dickerson.

MR. DICKERSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, you heard all the evidence against Mr. Keller in the jury trial showing that without a doubt Mr. Keller's in fact a drug -- he's a drug dealer not a drug addict. What he's addicted to is money; you heard it from his own mouth in those jail calls that were played during trial. Though maybe at some point in time he did start off with a drug problem which eventually led him to crime which eventually led him into the Court system, he's come a long way since then and we see that with his criminal record. That's why

today the State is seeking habitual criminal treatment. I have five Judgements of Conviction here in my hand. If I may approach your clerk, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. FRIZZELL: And I've already seen them, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DICKERSON: I've numbered them in correlation to my notice of habitual criminal. Now, what we see in the Defendant's history is five prior felonies, five prior prison sentences, and three prior probation revocations. That's not to say that he hasn't had the opportunity for treatment; it's far from that. And in fact, the sentencing that he's gotten has been quite light, especially given the fact that he's a five time felon. What we see in his history is directly opposite of what we see in all those letters talking about all the help he needs and he needs another chance, that he's just a drug addict.

What we see in his history and the facts of this case is that he's had opportunity after opportunity for help starting when he was convicted in 2003 of possession of credit card without card holder's consent. He was initially sentenced to drug court. He had three probation violations. He's ultimately then sent to boot camp where he has another violation. He's terminated from both boot camp and drug court. That goes in correlation with his 2003 burglary conviction where he had drug court concurrently and ultimately, boot camp concurrently with that conviction. So, he's picked up two felony convictions. He's had the opportunity in both of those for drug court. When he fails that, he then has the opportunity for boot camp; as yet he fails that.

I think it's incredibly telling the institutional adjustment that you see in his PSI. Rarely do we see the institutional adjustments being this long and having

this much to them. It just goes to show that Mr. Keller has had every opportunity that we could give him to try to get things right. And I submit to you it's likely due to the fact that, I mean, Mr. Keller comes from a good family. He's had opportunity; yet he's rebuked it.

And that continues to take us on to after he paroles and he gets out, he later commits another crime, possession of firearm by an ex-felon. In 2009, he's convicted of that. It's his first firearm offense as a felon. He's again sentenced to drug court. Again, he fails to complete it. He's had another opportunity for help. When do we see that, Your Honor, how often do we see somebody after getting two opportunities at drug court and then boot camp, who'd get back into drug court after two prior felonies; yet Mr. Keller had that opportunity.

Once again, he paroles and a few years later he has yet another firearms offense as a felon. He's convicted in 2013 of attempt possession of a firearm by an ex-felon; he's sent to prison on that case. Also, in 2013, he's convicted of conspiracy to violate The Uniform Controlled Substances Act, an offense which shows that he's a drug dealer back then. He's running from the police. He has trafficking amounts of controlled substance on him. As you can see, he was originally charged with trafficking in that case and so he's sent to prison there.

Here in this case, he had an exorbitant amount of drugs on him. Not personal use by any means, 351.4 grams of methamphetamine. Doing the math, Your Honor, a dose of methamphetamine is approximately 30 mg; that's 11,713 doses of methamphetamine. What's I think is even more personally offensive given what we see in society today and that there's finally some attention coming to the opioid epidemic, is the fact that he has 36.4 grams of heroin. Heroin being

approximately 20 mg per dose, that's 1,820 doses of heroin.

Mr. Keller may have got here by being a drug addict, but now he's creating drug addicts. Mr. Keller's a problem. Mr. Keller needs to be sentenced to at least ten to life on each of those counts. And I'm submitting to you that the meth and the heroin need to run consecutive to each other because one or the other is bad enough and now you have both.

In addition to that, he had cocaine and marijuana. He had two handguns, two more firearms that he's had in his possession as a felon; one in his car, one in his bedroom. I would remind you that most of this stuff was found in a secret cubby hole inside his glove box specifically used for his dealings as a drug dealer. And then on his person, \$2,187.00 in cash which as you also saw and you heard, he was not employed to that capacity where he should be carrying around that much money. In fact, I think that his -- if I recall correctly, his paystubs showed about \$400.00 year-to-date.

So, in addition to that, he then goes to the police station and decides that he has a couple more grams of methamphetamine taped to his scrotum. He pulls that out and decides to try to dispose of it into his nose before he goes to jail. It shows it just a complete lack of respect for the system, a complete lack of respect for every opportunity he's had, a complete lack of respect for his family who's obviously trying to help him and wants to see the best thing for him.

For that reason, Your Honor, I'm asking that he be sentenced to ten to life on Count 1 and 2, have those run consecutive; to 12 to 48 on Count 3, concurrent to Count 1; to large habitual treatment on the rest of the counts 4 through 9, that being ten to life on all of them. But the two firearms, I'm asking for those also to be consecutive. So, Count 8 to be concurrent to Count 1, but Count 9 to be

consecutive to Count 8, that being ten to life on both for an aggregate sentence of 20 to life, Your Honor. I'm showing that he has 558 days credit for time served. I'll submit it with that.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Mr. Frizzell.

MR. FRIZZELL: Well, Your Honor, I think contrary to what the State has kind of brought out to you and just reading in his background of his substance abuse history, quite the opposite, he has had a problem his entire life. And we also know that just because someone is given an opportunity, if they're not ready in their own head to do it, then they're just going to back to it. But as you can see, by his own statements, his life of illegal substances started when he was 12 years old.

Now, his mom has been here almost every hearing that we've had, at least since I've been involved in the case, and it's very evident she loves her son. She was instrumental in getting a lot of these reference letters -- these character letters that Your Honor has in front of you.

And while I understand the sentencing guidelines, I also understand that essentially what's going to be happening is -- what we're saying is that a 33-year-old man really at this age is unredeemable. And I don't know that at this stage I'm willing to concede that he's unredeemable and we just need to warehouse him for however long the aggregate ends up to be.

Now, I understand that what he's charged with carries some mandatory -- at least minimum sentencing requirements, and I think, Your Honor -- and obviously, sitting here listening today, in going into what I would consider maybe a bit more creative sentencing, I think that you can achieve a habitual level given what he's charged with, without calling him a habitual criminal.

I think that over the time that I've been involved in his case -- and

candidly, we have butted heads a few times, but the bottom line is, is I'm back on his case and, Your Honor, he has -- we know for sure he's been drug free for 558 days, and that is the credit he has on the case.

But what I'm asking, Your Honor, is if you are inclined to adjudicate him as a habitual, I'd ask that you adjudicate him as a small habitual. But if we can get around the habitual and just go with trafficking, running all of that -- running the trafficking the minimum requirement that you have to give him and running everything concurrent to each other, I think that when Mr. Keller finally ultimately is able to hit the streets again and come back home and be in street clothes -- I think that he's learned his lesson and certainly his head is clear, and he's had an opportunity for his head to be clear.

And as you'll recall, when we had our motion to suppress hearing several months ago, we were basically three minutes away from this case not even being remotely close to where we're at now. And, Your Honor, I would just ask that you not sentence him in such a way that we would be sending him a message that at 33 years old he's unredeemable.

All of his offenses are drug-related but, you know, possession of weapons, he's not -- he's never used any of the weapons in any of his crimes from what I understand, but he has been in possession of them.

And, Your Honor, I would just like to -- I would like to see Mr. Keller have an opportunity to do some of the things that he said in his work history where he was working at the meat market, he worked as a bagger, he worked at other jobs until the drugs just got the better of him. And yes, Your Honor, he was using, but I think that to say that what he was doing was not being done to drive his drug habit would, I think, be a mischaracterization given the fact that we actually saw video in

the trial of while he's in custody awaiting being booked, that he does a dose of methamphetamine. He's absolutely got a problem. And if -- and the fact that he's now sat here for 559 days and been able to clean up is probably the only reason he's not dead right now.

And so, Your Honor, I still think there's something redeeming about him and I'd ask that you sentence him accordingly and do it in such a way that we can avoid a habitual label. And I'll submit it.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Frizzell. Mr. Keller, did you have anything you wanted to say before we impose sentence here?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. Well, I'll start by saying I do have a drug problem. And first, that I have a relationship with God, and I have a close relationship with him to where every time I back slide and stuff, that it just -- he gives me a bigger and bigger wake-up call.

And then when I get high I just -- I end up in a big spiraled out of control situation and I'm not -- I've never -- I want to say that I've never committed a crime in my life when I was sober, Your Honor, never was.

And that when I got out last time it was the first time that I ever got out, just didn't go get high. I was actually trying this time. I was working clean and sober for a year and a half which was a big step for 'cause I had never gone more than a day without going back in the same situations. And from my hard work I was supervisor at a car wash and I bought a -- that condo and my car from work, from a \$9.00 an hour plus tips job I -- not from any type of illegal activity.

And I -- Your Honor, I never had any responsibilities till now. Your Honor, I just want another -- I want a chance to be out hopefully before -- while my parents are still alive. Since I've been here, my stepdad has been -- he's been -- he

has cancer, Your Honor, and just -- I need to be there for my mom and for him, like she -- he's always taking care of her. I've never had nothing to worry about. I've never had to worry about nothing in life and now I do, you know, like, 'cause he's always tooken good care of my mother. And my mom's the most important thing to me; I have nothing else except for my mom. And I don't know -- I've been tearful since I've been in here because I don't know what's going to happen to my mom if something happened to my stepdad, you know.

And I know it seems like I keep messing up and stuff, but I just want to say this is the first time that I tried, you know, this is the first time I got out and I was sober, you know, and I was doing good and I was involved in NA, AA, all that stuff.

And besides that, I just wanted to say that as far as my charges -- like you were saying, the first time -- my first two charges I was convicted of when I was 18, that was the first time I ever did methamphetamine, and somehow I ended up charged with a bunch of car burglaries from that, like over a three day period, that's what my conviction of my first felony was for. I got too high my first time using and I just got a bunch of burglaries, you know, 'cause I was too high. That's just what happens when I get high, you know. And I knew that this time, that's why I stayed sober, you know, and I knew if I get high I was going to end up going to prison again.

And I just, you know, I had some bad situations with a girlfriend, and abortion, and all this stuff and then it just -- I ended up going back to that, you know, and it just -- and I wasn't even telling people. They're like, oh, why don't you get high anymore? 'Cause I know if I get high I'm going back to prison. And I'm not like, you know, some people that they're out there and they're just always out there getting high. And they're like, well, why don't you get high anymore? And I --

'cause I can't. I know I can't get high without going to prison, that's not possible 'cause it just spirals out of control.

You know, I probably used more meth than the average person. When I do it that's -- with my charge -- with my -- the one -- the only other one where the violate controlled substance act, I had ten grams of methamphetamine which was -- they consider that like trafficking, but that's like less than three days-worth, that's like three days of meth that I was using. You know, that's not -- I mean, I understand that it's not nothing to have, but it's not -- I wasn't trafficking it or selling it or anything.

And then on my other two felonies, there -- it was a gun in someone else's truck. And I was the only felon there and no one took responsibility and I ended up taking the deal. And then another one was a moving truck in my name. I was helping my co-defendant move and there was a gun in there.

So, it's like there -- I mean, I know that I'm around some stuff that is just retarded, Your Honor. You know that like when I get high I just go around people that sell drugs or whatever so I don't have to pay for it and then I just go off with other people that are around them, and then, you know, weird stuff just happens, you know, that I would never in life be around. I didn't even talk to none of these people the whole year and a half in my sobriety.

And I just want to, you know, I just want a chance to be there for my mother, you know, like, I'm worried about her and I'm worried about my stepdad. I never had any responsibilities before this and now I do.

And I mean, I've proven I could be a man. I was a supervisor at a car wash. Then I wanted to get a career, you know. Then I went and I was going to be a butcher and I was trained for that, and I was going to go from Mario's Market

where they were training me and then I was going to go over to work at Smith's.

And I had -- and I was working all of it. I had just injured my hand cutting it from a -- and then it was like a month before I [indiscernible] and it was just like, that month of, you know, that month of not working, that's all it took for the situation to happen.

I just wanted to say that this is not something that I want to be involved with. I don't talk to anyone no more, like, I could get -- I could put phone time and I could still talk to those girls and stuff like that, and I don't talk to none of those people and I don't want to, Your Honor. And that's all I have to say, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FRIZZELL: And, Your, Honor, I just wanted to add also, his stepdad is right here in the white shirt in the front row next to his mother.

THE COURT: I received a letter from him as well, I believe, as well as from his mom.

Okay. Mr. Keller, in accordance with your -- the jury verdict in this matter of March 10<sup>th</sup>, 2017, I'm adjudicating you guilty Counts 1, Counts 2, trafficking a controlled substance. In addition to a \$25.00 administrative assessment, \$60.00 drug analysis fee. I'm going to waive the genetic testing that was ordered previously, a \$3.00 DNA administrative assessment fee.

On Count 1, I'm sentencing you here today under the charge of trafficking a controlled substance, to life in prison with the possibility of parole after ten years being served. I'm also going to order you to pay a fine of \$5,000.00.

On Count 2, I'm sentencing you to life in prison with the possibility of parole after ten years, with a fine of \$5,000.00. That will run concurrent with Count 1.

I'm adjudicating you guilty of Count 3, possession of controlled

substance, marijuana, less than an -- greater than an ounce. I'm sentencing you under the sentence of 12 months minimum, 48 months maximum, Nevada Department of Corrections. That will run concurrent with Count 2.

As to Count 4, I'm adjudicating you guilty of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

Count 5, I'm adjudicating you guilty of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

Count 6, I'm adjudicating you guilty of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

Count 7, I'm adjudicating you guilty of possession of controlled substance with intent to sell.

As to Count 4, I'm sentencing you under the sentence of 12 months to 48 months in Nevada department of prisons that will run concurrent with Count 3.

Count 5, 12 months minimum, 48 months maximum, Nevada Department of Corrections, concurrent with Count 4.

Count 6, 12 months minimum, 48 months maximum, Nevada

Department of Corrections run concurrent with Counts 5.

Count 7, 12 months minimum, 48 months maximum that will run concurrent with Count 6.

As to Count 8, for the record, I've been provided copies of -- certified copies of Judgements of Conviction in this matter. I have one in C189805B out of the State of Nevada from June of 2004, and it is for the charge of possession of credit card without owner's consent, a felony. I've been provided with a copy of a Judgement of Conviction in C192923 from June of 2004, for the charge of burglary, a felony. I've been provided a copy of a Judgement of Conviction out of the State of

1	MR. DICKERSON: No, Your Honor, thank you.
2	MR. FRIZZELL: No, Your Honor.
3	
4	[Proceeding concluded at 10:12 a.m.]
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: Pursuant to Rule 3(c)(d) of the Nevada Rules of Appellate Procedure, I
22	acknowledge that this is a rough draft transcript, expeditiously prepared, not proofread, corrected, or certified to be an accurate transcript.
23	The Corrier
24	Trisha Garcia
25	Court Transcriber