


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

HOWARD SHAPIRO; AND JENNA SHAPIRO,
Appellants,
vs.
GLEN WELT; RHODA WELT; LYNN WELT;
AND MICHELLE WELT, INDIVIDUALS,
Respondents.

Supreme Court No. 73943
District Court Case No. A706566

FILED

FEB 12 2019

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY 
DEPUTY CLERK

REMITTITUR

TO: Steven D. Grierson, Eighth District Court Clerk

Pursuant to the rules of this court, enclosed are the following:

Certified copy of Judgment and Opinion/Order.
Receipt for Remittitur.

DATE: January 23, 2019

Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of Court

By: Amanda Ingersoll
Chief Deputy Clerk

cc (without enclosures):

Hon. Nancy L. Alf, District Judge

Alex B. Ghibaud, PC.

Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker, LLP/Las Vegas

RECEIPT FOR REMITTITUR


Received of Elizabeth A. Brown, Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, the
REMITTITUR issued in the above-entitled cause, on JAN 29 2019.

Deputy 
District Court Clerk

RECEIVED
APPEALS

JAN 28 2019

CLERK OF THE COURT



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

HOWARD SHAPIRO; AND JENNA SHAPIRO,
Appellants,
vs.
GLEN WELT; RHODA WELT; LYNN WELT;
AND MICHELLE WELT, INDIVIDUALS,
Respondents.

Supreme Court No. 73943
District Court Case No. A706566

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

STATE OF NEVADA, ss.

I, Elizabeth A. Brown, the duly appointed and qualified Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify that the following is a full, true and correct copy of the Judgment in this matter.

JUDGMENT

The court being fully advised in the premises and the law, it is now ordered, adjudged and decreed, as follows:

"ORDER the judgment of the district court REVERSED, VACATE the district court's award of discretionary relief, AND REMAND this matter to the district court to (1) make specific findings regarding whether respondents' statements were directed to persons having some interest in the litigation to determine if respondents' statements are protected under NRS 41.637(3), and (2) analyze Piping Rock Partners' five guiding principles, as set forth above, to determine whether respondents' statements are protected under NRS 41.637(4). If respondents meet their initial burden on remand, the district court must then determine whether the victims or potential witnesses that respondents' website encouraged to appear in court actually have a relevant interest in the outcome of Howard's conservatorship proceeding, as we enunciated in Jacobs."

Judgment, as quoted above, entered this 27th day of December, 2018.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed
my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme
Court at my Office in Carson City, Nevada this
January 23, 2019.

Elizabeth A. Brown, Supreme Court Clerk

By: Amanda Ingersoll
Chief Deputy Clerk