IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

RICHARD KILGORE, Appellant,

vs.

ELENI KILGORE, Respondent. No. 73977 Electronically Filed
Sep 18 2017 01:55 p.m.

DOCKETING Stizable Mr Brown
CIVIL A Place to ps Supreme Court

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District Eighth	Department I
County Clark	Judge Cheryl B. Moss
District Ct. Case No. <u>D-12-459171-D</u>	
2. Attorney filing this docketing stat	ement:
Attorney Betsy Allen, Esq.	Telephone (702) 386-9700
Firm Law Office of Betsy Allen	
Address P.O. Box 46991 Las Vegas, NV 89114	
Oliver(c) Disheral IVileans	
Client(s) Richard Kilgore	
	s, add the names and addresses of other counsel and accompanied by a certification that they concur in the
3. Attorney(s) representing responde	ents(s):
Attorney Fred Page, Esq.	Telephone (702) 469-3278
Firm (702) 469-3278	
Address 6145 Spring Mountain Rd. Suite 201	
Las Vegas, NV 89146	
Client(s) Eleni Kilgore	
Attorney N/A	Telephone N/A
Firm N/A	
Address N/A	
Client(s) N/A	

4. Nature of disposition below (check	k all that apply):	
\square Judgment after bench trial	☐ Dismissal:	
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction	
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim	
\square Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute ☐ Other (specify):	
\square Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief		
\square Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:	
\square Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	☐ Original ☐ Modification	
☐ Review of agency determination	○ Other disposition (specify): Special Order	
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	erning any of the following?	
☐ Child Custody		
☐ Venue		
☐ Termination of parental rights		
9 . .	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which	
7. Pending and prior proceedings in other courts. List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition: D-12-459171-D: Divorce (Kilgore v. Kilgore)		
D-12-4031 (1-D. DIVOICE (Milgore V. Milgo	ore)	

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below: The trial court entered decree of divorce on Parties stipulation. Respondent filed a post-judgment motion to, inter alia, compel Appellant to make payments to her on the PERS retirement benefits he would be receiving, together with arrearages retroactive to date of notice and moltion. Appellant opposed. An evidentiary hearing was held, expert and lay testimony taken and evidence considered, and the trial court ultimately agreed with Respondent. Appellant was ordered to pay \$2455 per month on PERS retirement benefits, and a judgment on arrearages was entered. Other issues raised by Parties and resolved by the trial court are not being challenged on appeal.
9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary): Whether the trial court erred in compelling payment to Respondent on Appellant's PERS retirement benefits when Appellant is not in fact receiving PERS retirement benefits.
10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised: None that are known of.

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
□ N/A
\square Yes
\square No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
\square An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
\square A substantial issue of first impression
\square An issue of public policy
An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
☐ A ballot question
If so, explain:

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circumstance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or significance:			
This matter is presumptively assigned to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17.			
14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? 1			
Was it a bench or jury trial? Bench			

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice? No.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from August 8, 2017
If no written judg seeking appellate	ment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for review:
N/A	
17. Date written no	otice of entry of judgment or order was served August 21, 2017
Was service by:	
\square Delivery	
⊠ Mail/electroni	c/fax
18. If the time for f (NRCP 50(b), 52(b)	iling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion , or 59)
(a) Specify the the date of	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
□ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
\square NRCP 59	Date of filing
	pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the a notice of appeal. See AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245
(b) Date of ent	ry of written order resolving tolling motion
(c) Date writte	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
Was service	by :
\square Delivery	
\square Mail	

19. Date notice of appeal filed September 6, 2017		
If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal: N/A		
20. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appea e.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	ւl,	
NRAP 4(a)(1)		
SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY		
21. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to rev the judgment or order appealed from: (a)	iew	
□ NRAP 3A(b)(1) □ NRS 38.205		
□ NRAP 3A(b)(2) □ NRS 233B.150		
□ NRAP 3A(b)(3) □ NRS 703.376		
☑ Other (specify) NRAP 3A(b)(8)		
(b) Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order: The trial court's appealed post-judgment order affects the parties. It constitutes a speorder after judgment. Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P. 2d 416 (2000).	ecial	

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties: Richard Kilgore Eleni Kilgore
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, e.g., formally dismissed, not served, or other: N/A
23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
Respondent's claim seeking a monthly payment on a portion of Appellant's PERS retirement benefits. August 2, 2017.
Respondent's claim seeking arrearages on the aforementioned payments, retroactive to the date of notice and motion. August 2, 2017.
All other claims are disposed of and not being challenged on appeal.
24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?
⊠ Yes
\square No
25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:N/A

	(b) Specify the parties remaining below: N/A
	(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
	\square Yes
	oxtimes No
	(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
	\square Yes
	⊠ No
aj	6. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking ppellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)): rder is independently appealable as a special order after judgment. NRAP 3A(b)(8).

27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, crossclaims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- Any other order challenged on appeal
- Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Richard Kilgore		Betsy Allen
Name of appellant		Name of counsel of record
9/18/2017 Date		Signature of counsel of record
Nevada, County of Clark		
State and county where sig	gned	
	CERTIFICATE OF	SERVICE
I certify that on the 18	day of September	, <u>2017</u> , I served a copy of this
completed docketing states	nent upon all counsel of	record:
☐ By personally servi	ng it upon him/her; or	
address(es): (NOTE		ent postage prepaid to the following sses cannot fit below, please list names addresses.)
Fred Page, Esq. 6145 Spring Mountain Suite 201 Las Vegas, Nevada 89		
Dated this 18	day of <u>September</u>	, <u>2017</u>
	$\overline{ ext{Si}}$	gnature

Electronically Filed 8/2/2017 2:08 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

ORDR

FRED PAGE, ESQ. Nevada Bar: 6080 PAGE LAW OFFICE

6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Phone: (702) 469-3278 Facsimile: (702) 628-9884

E-mail: <u>fpage@pagelawoffices.com</u>

Attorney for Defendant

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RICHARD KILGORE,

CASE NO.: D-12-459171-D

Plaintiff.

DEPT. NO.:

1

VS.

Ř

ų)

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

ELENI KILGORE,

Defendant.

Hearing Dates: July 25, 2016, August 15, 2016, October 31, 2016, December

1, 2016

Hearing Times: 9:30 a.m. and 1:30

p.m.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDERS FROM EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND STATUS CHECK HEARING

The evidentiary hearing regarding the PERS payment, omitted vacation and

sick, pay, survivor beneficiary, and attorney's fees was held in front of the Hon.

Cheryl B. Moss on the above referenced dates and times. A status check hearing

1 of 21

was also held December 1, 2016. Defendant, Eleni Kilgore, was present and was represented by and through her counsel, Fred Page, Esq. Plaintiff, Richard Kilgore, was present and was represented by and through his counsel, Betsy Allen. Esq. The Court having considered the exhibits admitted and the testimony presented hereby enters the following orders.

:0

7 |

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Defendant, Eleni Kilgore (hereinafter "Eleni"), and Plaintiff, Richard Kilgore (hereinafter "Richard"), were married to each other on December 15, 1992. in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 2. When Eleni and Richard were married to each other that Richard was employed by the Clark County Courts as Marshal.
- 3. The parties have two remaining minor children, the issue of the marriage, Nicholas Kilgore (hereinafter "Nicholas"), born June 29, 2000, and Richard Boyd Kilgore (hereinafter "Ritchie"), born June 29, 2000.
- 4. The stipulated Decree of Divorce in this matter was filed March 13, 2013. In the stipulated Decree of Divorce, the parties were ordered to have joint physical and joint legal custody of those two remaining minor children.
- 5. In the Decree, the Richard's initial child support obligation for joint physical custody of the two minor children. Nicholas and Ritchie, was set at \$1,275 per month commencing November 1, 2012. As of March 13, 2013, the date

ы

the Decree of Divorce was filed, Richard's child support arrears were \$1.398. However, there was no additional installment payment in the Decree for the arrears on top of the \$1,275.

- 6. In the Decree of Divorce, the parties agreed to divide the PERS defined benefit plan in Richard's pursuant to the time rule formula.
- 7. The survivor beneficiary was never mentioned in the Decree of Divorce.
- 8. After the Decree of Divorce was entered, Richard never made any payments to Eleni for her share of the PERS defined benefit plan in his name.
- 9. In early October 2014, Richard was terminated from his position as a Marshal at the Las Vegas Municipal Courts.
 - 10. On October 14, 2014, Richard filed a Motion to modify child support.
- 11. On November 4, 2014, Eleni filed an Opposition and a Countermotion to change custody.
- On March 10, 2015, Eleni made a formal request in a Brief filed with the Court that she begin receiving her share of the PERS defined benefit plan in Richard's name because he has reached his first eligibility for retirement.
- 13. On March 10, 2015, Eleni also made a formal request in a Brief filed with the Court that she receives the Survivor's Benefit for the PERS defined benefit plan in Richard's name as that item was never addressed in the Decree of

Divorce. Eleni additionally made a request to divide the omitted vacation/sick pay that was never addressed in the Decree of Divorce.

- 14. The parties continued to share joint physical custody of Nicholas and Ritchie until July 2015.
- 15. Commencing August 2015. Eleni had primary physical custody of Nicholas. Richard would have to pay child support to Eleni for primary physical custody of Nicholas at 18 percent of his gross monthly income pursuant to NRS 125B.070.
- Ritchie. Because Richard was still unemployed, Eleni would have to pay child support to Richard. Eleni would have to pay child support to Richard for Ritchie at 18 percent of her income and Richard would have to pay child support to Eleni for Ritchie at 18 percent of his gross monthly income with the person with the higher income paying the difference to the person with the lower income subject to the caps set forth in NRS 125B.070 as set forth in Wright v. Osburn, infra, as modified by Wesley v. Foster, infra.
- 17. Marshal S. Willick, Esq. was qualified as an expert regarding retirement benefits and testified. Mr. Willick testified to the following:
 - a. Eleni's retirement as a Clark County School District teacher has different standard than does police/fire.

l

- b. Eleni was entitled to receive a portion of the retirement pay in Richard's name upon his first eligibility to retire.
- c. The cases of Gemma v. Gemma, Fondi v. Fondi, Sertic v. Sertic, and Wolff v. Wolff, stand for the proposition normal date for receipt of retirement benefits by the nonworking spouse is the first eligibility for retirement regardless if the employee decides to retire
- d. That rule was modified slightly in *Henson v. Henson*, the Supreme Court was willing to look at California rule in order actually a flow payments have to be provided for in the underlying court order or file a motion that requesting payment at that time. Under *Henson*, the non-employee spouse's payments begin at the time of the request at the time if the employee spouse could have retired.
- e. As a result of *Henson*, eligibility now for the non-employee spouse begins at order or court motion.
- f. That there are no retroactive benefits under the current law as the Henson opinion does not allow for retroactively.
- g. Absent an order, one the date one files a motion asking for benefits commences the right to receive those retirement benefits. As soon as the Eleni requests Richard is obligated to begin making payments.

()

- i. There was designation of survivor beneficiary in the Decree of Divorce. The lack of the designation of a survivor beneficiary would indicate an omitted asset. The survivor beneficiary is a valuable component of the retirement. There is no other meaning of a survivorship interest of anything other than a property interest. The consequence of Eleni not being designated as a survivor beneficiary is that if Richard dies, and Eleni is not designated as a beneficiary all benefits stop.
- 18. Richard testified that the vacation/sick time was never discussed and was omitted from the Decree of Divorce. Richard further testified on October 17. 2014, that he was terminated from the City of Las Vegas. Richard attempted to claim at the evidentiary hearing that he was not eligible to retire without early retirement penalty when he was terminated.
- 19. Richard testified that if he continued working that Eleni will not receive any monies from PERS directly. Richard further testified that he agreed that pursuant to the terms of the Decree of Divorce that Eleni's interest in the PERS pension in his name was community property.

- 20. Richard additionally testified that by him continuing to work that he was not allowing Eleni to receive her share of her community property and as long as he kept working, PERS would not pay Eleni.
- 21. Richard testified that because will not pay Eleni any monies until he retires he was in sole control as to if and when Eleni would get paid.
- 22. Richard was reinstated with the City of Las Vegas effective January 2016.
- 23. Sonya Hellwinkel testified as the Director of Employee and Production Services for Nevada PERS. Ms. Hellwinkel testified that her duties were to oversee the work completed by pension, respond to subpoena requests for records, and determine if PERS is compliant with PERS policies. Ms. Hellwinkel also testified as to the following:
 - a. PERS determines whether one is eligible to retire and to determine if an employee is police/fire.
 - b. That she has access to retirement benefit information for PERS employees.
 - c. That she had reviewed the records for Richard and that Richard was enrolled in the system May 8, 1989, and at the time enrolled Richard was part of police/fire and that Richard is still part of police/fire.

 d. Members of police/fire eligible to retire after 20 years at age 50 with an unreduced benefit and that Richard has 20 years in with the State of Nevada.

- e. A review of the records in her possession showed that Richard achieved the 20 year mark in June 2009.
- f. Members of police/fire able to retire with an unreduced benefit at age 50 with 20 years of service, meaning that Richard would not have suffered any early retirement penalty by retiring now.
- g. Richard turned age 50 on April 20, 2011.
- h. Pursuant to Chapter 286, Richard was eligible for an unreduced retirement benefit on April 20, 2011 as he was 50 years of age and had 20 years of service.
- i. However, PERS will not pay a retirement benefit to the nonworking directly prior to the working spouse retiring.
- j. If a working spouse decides to work until the day they die then PERS will never make a payment to the nonworking spouse. If that scenario occurs, the non-working spouse never receives a payment from PERS.
- k. If Richard decided to work until died, no payment would be made by PERS to Eleni for her share of the retirement.

- m. As long as Richard continues to work, PERS will not make any payments to Eleni, Richard must make payments to Eleni.
- n. PERS is unable to pay benefits until Richard retires.
- o. Eleni is part of the Clark County School District.

ħ

q

- p. As a regular member Eleni would first be eligible to retire at age 60 or after 30 years of service with an unreduced benefit.
- q. For 30 years of service Eleni would be first eligible for retirement in
 2023 if there were no breaks in service.
- 24. There were lengthy discussions in the post-trial hearings as to how Richard would pay Eleni her community interest portion of his PERS as Richard was eligible to retire without early retirement penalty when the Decree was entered in March 2013 although Richard presently continues to work full-time and has not yet actually retired. Eleni's portion was of the PERS retirement in Richard's name was calculated to be \$2,455 per month retroactive to March 2015, when Eleni's Motion was filed.
- 25. For the relevant time period established at trial, the total accrued and owing to Eleni is \$54,003.62 principal plus \$2,572.14 of pre-judgment interest for a grand total of \$56,575.76. Said amount should be reduced to judgment and

collectible by any lawful means. However, execution on Richard's paychecks is stayed and instead, due to the financial conditions of the parties explored in-depth at the hearings, Richard should pay Eleni \$350.00 per month from January 2017 forward into her Chase bank account. Richard should direct deposit \$175.00 on the 15th and \$175 on the last day of every month.

26. Should any of these Findings of Fact be more properly construed as Conclusions of Law they should be construed as such.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. NRS 125C.0035 states in pertinent part:

2

5

6

7

8

ŧ0

U

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 1. In any action for determining physical custody of a minor child, the sole consideration of the court is the best interest of the child. If it appears to the court that joint physical custody would be in the best interest of the child, the court may grant physical custody to the parties jointly.
- 2. Preference must not be given to either parent for the sole reason that the parent is the mother or the father of the child.
- 3. The court shall award physical custody in the following order of preference unless in a particular case the best interest of the child requires otherwise:
- (a) To both parents jointly pursuant to <u>NRS 125C.0025</u> or to either parent pursuant to <u>NRS 125C.003</u>. If the court does not enter an order awarding joint physical custody of a child after either parent has applied for joint physical custody, the court shall state in its decision the reason for its denial of the parent's application.
- (b) To a person or persons in whose home the child has been living and where the child has had a wholesome and stable environment.
- (c) To any person related within the fifth degree of consanguinity to the child whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child, regardless of whether the relative resides within this State.

(d) To any other person or persons whom the court finds suitable and able to provide proper care and guidance for the child.

4. In determining the best interest of the child, the court shall consider and set forth its specific findings concerning, among other things:

(a) The wishes of the child if the child is of sufficient age and capacity to form an intelligent preference as to his or her physical custody.

(b) Any nomination of a guardian for the child by a parent.

(c) Which parent is more likely to allow the child to have frequent associations and a continuing relationship with the noncustodial parent.

(d) The level of conflict between the parents.

2

3

5

6

8

14)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26 27 28 (c) The ability of the parents to cooperate to meet the needs of the child.

(f) The mental and physical health of the parents.

(g) The physical, developmental and emotional needs of the child.

(h) The nature of the relationship of the child with each parent.

(i) The ability of the child to maintain a relationship with any sibling.

(j) Any history of parental abuse or neglect of the child or a sibling of the child.

(k) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has engaged in an act of domestic violence against the child, a parent of the child or any other person residing with the child.

(1) Whether either parent or any other person seeking physical custody has committed any act of abduction against the child or any other child.

2. Discretion should be given to Nicholas as he is of a suitable age and capacity and is able to form an intelligent preference.

3. The parties should continue to share joint physical custody of Ritchie.

4. Richard should pay child support pursuant to NRS 125B.070 for Nicholas at 18 percent of his gross monthly income subject to any caps.

l

 5. Child support should be ordered pursuant to NRS 125B.070 and Wright v. Osburn, and as modified by Wesley v. Foster.²

6. Under NRS 123.130, all property acquired after marriage is presumed to be community property unless there is a pre or post-nuptial agreement, the property was acquired by gift, award of personal injury damages or acquired by gift or devise, and the rents issues and profits thereof.

- 7. Under NRS 123.220, all property other than property owned by before marriage, and that acquired by afterwards by gift, bequest, devise, descent or by an award for personal injury damages, with the rents, issues and profits thereof, is community property unless otherwise provided by, an agreement in writing between the spouses, a decree of separate maintenance, or if divided pursuant to NRS 123.259, a spouse being institutionalized.
- 8. Under NRS 125.150(1)(b), community property shall, to be extent practicable, be divided equally.
- The defined benefits plan with Nevada PERS in Richard's name is community property.
- 10. At the time the Decree of Divorce was entered that no compelling reason was put forth for there to be an unequal division of community property.

¹¹¹⁴ Nev. 1367, 970 P.2d 1071 (1998)

² 119 Nev. 110, 65 P.3d 251 (2003)

11. The time for appealing the Decree of Divorce passed 30 days after the Notice of Entry of Decree of Divorce was served.

12. In Sertic v. Sertic,³ the Supreme Court ordered that the "normal distribution of a spousal share of a retirement is to be upon first eligibility for retirement, and that if a worker does not retire at first eligibility, the worker must pay the spouse whatever the spouse would have received if the worker did retire at that time."

- 13. NRS 125.150 was amended to include authority a party may file a post-judgment motion to obtain the division of omitted community property assets omitted from the Decree.
- 14. The omitted deferred compensation account and the omitted vacation/sick time were never discussed or considered. Eleni should be entitled, as a matter of law, to one-half of the time rule portion of the accumulated vacation and sick pay from the date of marriage through March 13, 2013.
- 15. Attorney's fees may be awarded under NRS 125.040, NRS 18.010, and Brunzell v. Golden Gate National Bank,⁴
- 16. Should any of these Conclusions of Law be more properly construed as being Findings a Fact, they should be construed as such.

³¹¹¹ Nev. 1194, 901 P.2d 148 (1995)

^{4 85} Nev. 345, 455 P.2d 31 (1969)

ORDERS

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

П

- Eleni shall have permanent primary physical custody of Nicholas, born June
 29, 2000 effective August 2015.
- 2. The parties stipulated to joint physical custody of Richard, Jr. born, June 29, 2000.
- 3. Within 30 days, Nicholas is referred to either Nicholas Ponzo or Keisha Weiford, for reunification with Richard. He shall pay 65 percent of the fees, Eleni shall pay 35 percent of the fees.
- 4. Nicholas is to go back to his therapist before beginning reunification.
- 5. Beginning December 2014. Eleni's child support was set at \$970.00 per month based on joint physical custody of both children, using *Wright vs Osborn*, minus \$213.00 per month for health insurance premiums, for a total of \$757.00 per month, through January 2016, for a grand total of \$9,841.00.
- 6. Beginning January 2016, Richard's child support for Nicholas was set at \$806.00 per month, plus \$266.00 per month for joint physical custody of Richard.

 Jr., plus \$220.00 per month for health insurance premiums allocable to the minor children, for a total of \$1,292.00 per month.
- 7. Beginning July 2016, Richard's child support for Nicholas was set at \$820.00 per month, plus \$266.00 per month for joint physical custody of Ritchie.

 plus \$220.00 per month for health insurance premiums, allocable to the minor children for a total of \$1,306.00 per month. The Court finds that the amount of child support Richard is ordered to pay is in conformance with NRS 125B.070.

- 8. Eleni shall provide proof the health insurance costs for the minor children.
- 9. Counsel is to request a District Attorney audit forthwith.
- 10. Richard shall receive a credit of \$9,841.00 for December 2014 to December 2015.
- 11. Richard shall receive a credit of \$2,294, for overpayments of child support for 2016.
- 12. Commencing January 2017 forward, all District Attorney wage withholding shall cease. Richard shall direct deposit one-half of the current child support on the 15th and last day of each month into Eleni's Chase bank account. Eleni shall set up this separate Chase bank account and provide the bank account number to Richard via Our Family Wizard.
- 13. The parties will equally divide the costs of any Qualified Domestic Relations Orders.
- 14. The \$10,000,00 tax debt is washed out.
- 15. Eleni shall receive no offset/no value for the fur coat and dresses.
- 16. Eleni shall file an Order to Show Cause to Richard for the non-payment of retirement payment for 2016. Sanctions are to be determined.

Ÿ

17. Richard shall walk-through an Order to lift the District Attorney's driver's license suspension, as of today there are zero (0) arrears. Eleni shall have 24 hours to review the Order.

- 18. Eleni's PERS will not be eligible until 2023, at the earliest. The Court cannot force reciprocal Survivor Beneficiaries unless the parties stipulate to that Eleni can pay for a Life Insurance Policy for retirement payments and vice versa.
- 19. Richard's share of the unreimbursed medical expenses is \$3,202.00.
- 20. For the relevant time period established at trial for the PERS retirement benefits in Richard's name that should have been paid to Eleni, the total accrued and owing to Eleni is \$54,003.62 principal plus \$2,572.14 of pre-judgment interest for a grand total of \$56,575.76. Said amount is reduced to judgment and collectible by any lawful means. However, execution on Richard's paychecks is stayed and Richard shall pay Eleni \$350.00 per month from January 2017 forward into her Chase bank account. Richard shall direct deposit \$175.00 on the 15th and \$175 on the last day of every month.
- 21. Counsel shall have ongoing authority to conduct limited discovery and confer jointly with the PERS representative, currently Ms. Sonya Helwinkle, for ongoing information on Richard's PERS and information when Richard actually retires.

10

11

12

13

1.4

16

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

36

27

28

Richard's counsel, shall submit an addendum to the Order from the December 1, 2016, hearing titled "Vacation/Sick Pay Calculation Sheet" delineating the correct amount calculated as to Richard's vacation and sick leaved measured at the time of the divorce, not based on the exhibit produced at the trial which showed the amount as of the time that Richard was terminated from employment for misconduct. The Court makes this Addendum to Order sua sponte to correct the calculation established at trial. The correct calculation is as follows: Richard's vacation pay of 107 hours plus sick time of 102.35 hours based on an hourly wage of \$41.25 totals \$8,635.70 at the time of divorce. One-half is \$4,317.35 pre-tax and is owed to Eleni. Richard's entire \$8,635.70 was cashed out early and Richard had to pay taxes on the full amount. Therefore, Richard owes Eleni her one-half of \$8,635.70 minus taxes that would have been attributed to Eleni had she gotten paid out her half share at the time of the divorce. Richard and his attorney shall produce proof of how much he was taxed on the \$8,635.70, and half of the taxes shall be taken of Mom's \$4,317.35. The net amount shall then be reduced to judgment and collectible by any lawful means.

23. Attorney's Fees are bifurcated. Counsels are to submit Brunzell Briefs for attorney's fees. Attorney Page shall submit his Brief on December 2, 2017 and

Attorney Allen shall submit her Brief on December 30, 2017.

Counsel shall be notified of a Return Hearing date.

CHILD CUSTODY NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the parties are subject to the provisions of NRS 125C.065(1) and NRS 200.359, which provide: "If custody has been established and the custodial parent or a parent having joint custody intends to move his residence to a place outside of this state and to take the child with him, he must, as soon as possible and before the planned move, attempt to obtain the written consent of the other parent to move the child from the state. If the noncustodial parent or other parent having joint custody refuses to give that consent, the parent planning the move shall, before he leaves the state with the child, petition the court for permission to move the child. The failure of a parent to comply with the provisions of this section may be considered as a factor if a change of custody is requested by the noncustodial parent or other parent having joint custody;" as well as NRS 125C.045(6) which provides:

ABDUCTION. VIOLATION OF ORDER: THE PENALTY FOR CONCEALMENT OR DETENTION OF A CHILD IN VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER IS PUNISHABLE, AS A CATEGORY D FELONY, BY UP TO 6 YEARS IN PRISON AS PROVIDED IN NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from the parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child. from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished by imprisonment in the state prison for not less than I year nor more than 6 years, or by a fine of not less than \$1,000 nor more than \$5,000, or by both fine and imprisonment.

27 28

1

7

R

9

11

12

14

15 to

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125C.045, the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law are applicable to the parties. Nevada is hereby declared the State, and the United States of America is hereby declared the country, of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth above.

t

X

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that under the terms of the Parental Kidnapping Prevention Act. 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1738A, and the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction Act, NRS 125A.010 et seq., the courts of Nevada have exclusive modification jurisdiction of the custody visitation and child support terms relating to the child at issue in this case so long as either of the parties, or the child, continues to reside in this jurisdiction.

CHILD SUPPORT NOTICES

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN to both parties that the parent having the child support obligation is subject to NRS 125.450 and NRS 31A.020 through. 31A.230, inclusive, regarding the immediate withholding or assignment of wages, commissions or bonuses for payment o child support, whether current or delinquent.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125B.145, either party may request that the Court review the child support obligation every three years or upon changed circumstances

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125B.140 if an installment of an obligation to pay support for a child becomes delinquent, the Court shall determine interest upon the arrearages at a rate established pursuant to NRS 99.040, from the time each amount became due. Interest shall continue to accrue on the amount ordered until it is paid, and additional attorney's fees must be allowed if required for collection.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that pursuant to NRS 125B.095, if an installment of an obligation to pay support for a child becomes delinquent in the amount owed for one month's support, a 10% per annum penalty must be added to

III

1//

the delinquent amount.

DATED this 3 day of July 2017

FRED PAGE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 6080

Respectfully submitted:

PAGE LAW OFFICE

6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Phone: (702) 469-3278

E-mail: fpage@pagelawoffices.com

Attorney for Defendant

Approved as to Form & Content: BETSYALLEN LAW OFFICE

BETSY ALLENTESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 6878

P.O. Box 46991

Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

(702) 386-9700

Email: betsyallenesq@yahoo.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

Kilgore vs. Kilgore D-12-459171-D

21 of 21

2

13

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23 24

> 25 26

27

Electronically Filed 8/21/2017 10:38 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NEO

FRED PAGE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar: 6080

PAGE LAW OFFICE

6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Phone: (702) 469-3278 Facsimile: (702) 628-9884

E-mail: fpage@pagelawoffices.com

Attorney for Defendant

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RICHARD KILGORE,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: D-12-459171-D

DEPT. NO.:

I

VS.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ELENI KILGORE,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM MARCH 28, 2017, HEARING

TO: 20

RICHARD KILGORE, Plaintiff

21 22

TO: BETSY ALLEN, ESQ., Attorney for Plaintiff

23

YOU AND EACH OF YOU please take notice the Order from the March

24 25

28, 2017, hearing was entered on the 2nd day of August 2017, of which a true and

26

27

28

1 of 3

Case Number: D-12-459171-D

correct copy is attached hereto:

DATED this 21^{st} day of August 2017

PAGE LAW OFFICE

FRED PAGE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 6080

6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

(702) 469-3278

Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 21st day of August 2017, the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FROM THE MARCH 28, 2017, HEARING was served pursuant to NRCP 5(b) by placing a true and correct copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Betsy Allen, Esq. P.O. Box 46991 Las Vegas, Nevada 89114 Attorney for Plaintiff

An employee of Page Law Office

_

1 2

3 of 3

Electronically Filed 8/2/2017 2:09 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COU

ORDR

FRED PAGE, ESQ. Nevada Bar: 6080

PAGE LAW OFFICE

6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Phone: (702) 469-3278 Facsimile: (702) 628-9884

E-mail: <u>fpage/a/pagelawoffices.com</u>

Attorney for Defendant

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RICHARD KILGORE.

Plaintiff.

CASE NO.: D-12-459171-D

VS.

X

Q

(0

13

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 DEPT. NO.:

ELENI KILGORE.

Hearing Date: March 28, 2017, and

June 5, 2017

Defendant.

Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. and 1:30

p.m.

ORDER FROM MARCH 28, 2017, HEARING

The hearing on Defendant. ELENI KILGORE'S Motion for Reconsideration or Make Additional Findings of Fact, or to Alter or Amend the Judgment, and For Attorney's Fees and Costs and Plaintiff, and Defendant, RICHARD KILGORE'S. Opposition and Countermotion for Sanctions was held on the above referenced date and time in front of the Hon. Cheryl B. Moss. Defendant, Eleni Kilgore, was present and was represented by and through her counsel, Fred Page, Esq. Plaintiff.

1 accessory (S. Buory) Oranics (1998) Defect of the Community of the Commu

Richard Kilgore, was present and was represented by and through his counsel.

Betsy Allen. The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file and having entertained oral argument hereby makes the following findings and enters the following orders.

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS as follows:

- 1. Defendant/Mother had Primary Physical custody of Nicholas from August 2015, forward. The court calculated child support of Nicholas based on Joint Physical from December 2015 through January 2016, however. Plaintiff/Father's calculation was based on 18% of his income, which is an acknowledgment Defendant/Mother had Primary Physical custody of Nicholas.
 - 2. Plaintiff/Father was unemployed August 2015 through January 2016.
 - 3. Plaintiff/Father's date of hire was January 4, 2016.
 - 4. Defendant/Mother pays \$213.00 for the children's health insurance.

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS as follows:

- 1. Defendant/Mother's Motion to Make Additional Findings of Fact, or to Alter or Amend the Judgment is granted in part.
- 2. The Court calculated Plaintiff/Father owes Defendant/Mother child support, inclusive from August 2015, through December 2015, in the amount of \$2,145.00. From January 2016, through June 2016, Plaintiff/Father owes Defendant/Mother \$7,752.00. These calculations supersede the calculations made

- 3. Plaintiff/Father's child support shall terminate June 2018. The children are twins and will graduate from high school in June 2018.
- 4. The District Attorney shall do a full audit that conforms to this Order by 5:00 p.m. on July 17, 2017, to determine if Plaintiff/Father has an overpayment credit. The audit shall be filed in this case (D459171).
- 5. Defendant/Mother shall receive a credit of \$208.00 as payment to Plaintiff/Father in May 2017.
- 6. The Supplemental Exhibit for Plaintiff/Father regarding vacation/sick pay was filed in open court. The net amount is \$3,238.71, and it is reduced to judgment plus interest at the legal rate, collectible by any lawful means.
- 7. Attorney Allen's oral request for Defendant/Mother to pay Plaintiff/Father his share of the community property from her PERS retirement is denied due to eligibility.
- Attorney Page shall submit the Findings of Fact from the Evidentiary Hearing that took place on October 31, 2016.
 - 9. Attorney's Fees deferred due to upcoming appeal.
- 10. Either party may request a hearing through chambers if a judgment is needed for an offset to refund on child support. Attorney Page shall prepare the

Order from today's hearing.

2

5

10

11

13

DATED this 3 day of July 2017

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Respectfully submitted: PAGE LAW OFFICE

FRÉD PAGE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 6080

6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Phone: (702) 469-3278

E-mail: fpage@pagelawoffices.com

Attorney for Defendant

12 / 11/1

Approved as to Form-& Content:

BETSYALLEN LAW OFFICE

BETSY ALLEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 6878

P.O. Box 46991

Las Vegas, Nevada 89114

(702) 386-9700

Email: bctsyallenesq@yahoo.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

4 of 4

Kilgore vs. Kilgore D-12-459171-D

Electronically Filed 8/21/2017 10:37 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NEO

1

2

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FRED PAGE, ESQ. Nevada Bar: 6080

PAGE LAW OFFICE 6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Phone: (702) 469-3278 Facsimile: (702) 628-9884

E-mail: fpage@pagelawoffices.com

Attorney for Defendant

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

RICHARD KILGORE,

Plaintiff,

CASE NO.: D-12-459171-D

DEPT. NO.:

VS.

ELENI KILGORE,

Defendant.

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

TO: RICHARD KILGORE, Plaintiff

TO: BETSY ALLEN, ESQ., Attorney for Plaintiff

YOU AND EACH OF YOU please take notice the Findings of Fact,

Conclusions of Law, and Orders from Evidentiary Hearing and Status Check

Hearing was entered on the 2nd day of August 2017, of which a true and correct

27 28

1 of 3

copy is attached hereto:

DATED this 21st day of August 2017

PAGE LAW OFFICE

FRED PAGE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 6080

6145 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 201

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

(702) 469-3278

Attorney for Defendant

2 of 3

l

()

,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 21st day of August 2017, the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDERS FROM EVIDENTIARY HEARING AND STATUS CHECK HEARING was served pursuant to NRCP 5(b) by placing a true and correct copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

Betsy Allen, Esq. P.O. Box 46991 Las Vegas, Nevada 89114 Attorney for Plaintiff

An employee of Page Law Office