1	IN THE SUPREME C	OURT (OF THE STATI	E OF NEVADA
2				-
3	JOSE AZUCENA)	No. 74071	
4	Appellant,)		Electronically Filed Apr 10 2018 04:44 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
5 6	v.))		Clerk of Supreme Court
7 8	THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.))		
9)		
10	APPELLANT'S APPE	NDIX V	OLUME XI PA	AGES 1932-2048
11	PHILIP J. KOHN		STEVE WOI	FSON
12 13	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		Clark County 200 Lewis Av Las Vegas, N	District Attorney yenue, 3 rd Floor
14	Attorney for Appellant		ADAM LAX	ALT
15			Attorney Gen 100 North Ca	eral rson Street Novedo 20701 4717
16			(702) 687-35.	Nevada 89701-4717 38
17			Counsel for R	Respondent
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
2627				
28				

INDEX JOSE AZUCENA Case No. 74071

2	Case No. 74071	
3	Amended Indictment filed 04/27/17	<u>PAGE NO</u> 500-510
4	Court's Exhibit 4 dated 05/01/17	2988
5	Court's Exhibit 31 dated 05/08/17	2983-2987
6	Court's Exhibit 34 dated 05/08/17	2957-2982
7 8	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony that the Accusers' Behavior is Consistent with that of a Victim of Sexual Abuse Date of Hrg: 04/11/17	383-386
9 10	Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Use of the Prejudicial Term "Victim" Date of Hrg: 04/11/17	336-348
11	Defendant's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 03/27/17	287-332
12	Defendant's Notice of Witnesses filed 04/10/17	398-399
13	Defendant's Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 04/18/17	469-471
14	Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 04/12/17	406-408
15	District Court Minutes from 02/02/17 through 08/17/17	605-649
16	Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time Date of Hrg: 04/04/17	333-335
17	Ex Parte Order for Transcript filed 03/31/17	392
18	Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/20/17	475-477
19 20	Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/19/17	' 472-474
20	Indictment filed 02/02/17	003-014
	Indictment Warrant filed 02/02/17	001-002
2223	Indictment Warrant Return filed 02/03/17	015
24	Instructions to the Jury filed 05/10/17	542-586
25	Judgment of Conviction (Jury Trial) filed 08/24/17	596-600
26	Jury List filed 04/25/17	499
27	Jury List filed 05/08/17	534
28	Jury Notes	2955-2956

1 2	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 02/06/17	016-021
3	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 02/14/17	022
4	Memorandum Regarding the Use of NRS 51.385 to Admit Hearsay Testimony filed 05/08/17	522-533
56	Motion in Limine to Admit Scholarly Treatise on Immigration Law Date of Hrg: 04/11/17	355-369
7	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material filed 04/18/17	217-255
8 9 10	Motion to Dismiss for Repeated and Ongoing Discovery/Brady Violations and Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 04/24/17	478-496
11	Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material—Redacted filed 04/18/17	411-437
12	Notice of Appeal filed 09/18/17	601-604
13	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 02/27/17	197-215
14	Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/08/17	535-541
15	Receipt of Copy filed 03/31/17	393
15 16	Receipt of Copy filed 03/31/17	
		397
16	Receipt of Copy filed 04/07/17	397 409-410
16 17	Receipt of Copy filed 04/07/17	397 409-410 511-521
16 17 18	Receipt of Copy filed 04/07/17	397 409-410 511-521 400-402
16 17 18 19	Receipt of Copy filed 04/07/17	397 409-410 511-521 400-402 497-498
16 17 18 19 20 21	Receipt of Copy filed 04/07/17	397 409-410 511-521 400-402 497-498 370-382
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Receipt of Copy filed 04/07/17	397 409-410 511-521 400-402 497-498 370-382 349-354

1 2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material Date of Hrg: 04/04/17
3	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/05/17 394-396
4	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/11/17 403-405
5	Verdict filed 05/10/17
6	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
7	Recorder's Transcript
8	JURY TRIAL DAY 1 Date of Hrg: 04/24/17
9	Recorder's Transcript
10	JURY TRIAL DAY 2 Date of Hrg: 04/25/17
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	JURY TRIAL DAY 3 Date of Hrg: 04/27/17
13	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 4
14	Date of Hrg: 04/28/17
15	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 5
16	Date of Hrg: 05/01/17
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	JURY TRIAL DAŶ 6 Date of Hrg: 05/02/17
19	Recorder's Transcript
20	JURY TRIAL DAY 7 Date of Hrg: 05/03/17
21	Recorder's Transcript
22	JURY TRIAL DAY 8 Date of Hrg: 05/04/17
23	Recorder's Transcript
24	JURY TRIAL DAÝ 9 Date of Hrg: 05/05/27
25	Recorder's Transcript
26	JURY TRIAL DAY 10 Date of Hrg: 05/08/17
27	Recorder's Transcript
28	JURY TRIAL DAY 11 Date of Hrg: 05/09/17

1 2	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 12 Date of Hrg: 05/10/17
3	Recorder's Transcript
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Use of the Prejudicial Term "Victim"; Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony
5	that the Accusers' Behavior is Consistent with that of a Victim of Sexual Abuse; Motion in Limine to Admit Scholarly Treatise on Immigration Law
6	Date of Hrg: 04/11/17
7	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material
8	Date of Hrg: 03/23/17
9	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion to
	Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Materials
10	Date of Hrg: 04/04/17
11	Recorder's Transcript Grand Jury Return
12	Date of Hrg: 02/02/17
13	Recorder's Transcript Hearing
14	Date of Hrg: 04/26/17
15	Recorder's Transcript
16	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 02/14/17
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	Initial Arraignment; Indictment Warrant Return Date of Hrg: 02/09/17
19	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
20	Date of Hrg: 06/22/17
21	Recorder's Transcript
22	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 08/17/17
23	Reporter's Transcript
24	Grand Jury Date of Hrg: 02/01/17
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 12/11/2017 7:42 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

VS.

JOSE AZUCENA.

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

THE STATE OF NEVADA.

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4

1

5

6 |

7 ||

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

P. DAVID WESTBROOK, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

Deputy Public Defender CARLI L. KIERNY, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

CASE NO. C-17-321044-1

DEPT. NO. II

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD SCOTTI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

TUESDAY, MAY 2, 2017

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS RE:

JURY TRIAL - DAY 6

RECORDED BY: DALYNE EASLEY, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: SHAWNA ORTEGA, CET-562

1

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant. Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 6]

INDEX	
<u>WITNESSES</u>	
PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
MIRABEL MORENO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Direct Examination (cont.) by Mr. Hamner	12 43
Cross-Examination by Ms. Kierny	49 56
Recross-Examination by Ms. Kierny	59
MARADEL MORENO	
Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Ms. Kierny	64 87
Cross-Examination (cont.) by Ms. Kierny	89
Recross-Examination by Ms. Kierny	93 94
<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
DESCRIPTION	ADMITTED
No exhibits offered.	
2	
	WITNESSES PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES: MIRABEL MORENO Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Direct Examination by Ms. Kierny Redirect Examination by Ms. Kierny Redirect Examination by Ms. Kierny MARADEL MORENO Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Ms. Kierny Cross-Examination by Ms. Kierny Cross-Examination (cont.) by Ms. Kierny Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner Recross-Examination by Ms. Kierny EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION No exhibits offered.

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, TUESDAY, MAY 2, 2017
2	[Proceedings commenced at 1:13 p.m.]
3	
4	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
5	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated everybody or I guess we'll
6	stand for the jury.
7	Anything that we need to put on the record before we get
8	started? Somebody must have something.
9	MR. WESTBROOK: I I do have something is.
10	THE COURT: Oh, wait. Oh, by the way, I checked with Judge
11	Ellsworth.
12	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. I appreciate you doing that.
13	THE COURT: And she says she has no idea what you're talking about.
14	There's she doesn't know of anything on calendar.
15	MR. WESTBROOK: Yes, yes. I asked for the 3rd. I somehow
16	someone put it on the 5th.
17	THE COURT: She must have been confused.
18	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. Someone put it on the 5th, which was not a
19	day, apparently, that happens
20	THE COURT: Oh, okay.
21	MR. WESTBROOK: but in her courtroom, so now it's been moved
22	to the 15th, and I'm no longer busy Friday morning.
23	THE COURT: So will that work for you, then?
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. I'm fine now Friday morning.
25	THE COURT: Perfect. What else do we got? We got all our

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 6]

1	interpreters here?
2	MR. WESTBROOK: I'm also fine with a later start, if the State wants
3	one for some reason, either way.
4	THE COURT: The equipment is all working now?
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Should be.
6	THE COURT: The equipment problem we had yesterday? Did the
7	interpreter
8	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, yes. It is. They've replaced the unit. Thanks
9	for checking on that.
10	And, Your Honor, I do have a I have a record to make about
11	the prior consistent statements.
12	THE COURT: Prior consistent statements, yes.
13	MR. WESTBROOK: Yes. There was there was a prior consistent
14	statement that was admitted yesterday. I
15	THE COURT: I don't remember the issue. But go ahead and make
16	your record.
17	MR. WESTBROOK: I wrote I wrote up the issue, and if the court
18	prefers, I can just file it.
19	THE COURT: Whatever you would prefer. If you want to orally make
20	your record so I I hear it now, that in case the issue you think the issue might
21	come up again.
22	MR. WESTBROOK: It it might come come up again, Your Honor.
23	Here's here's the issue in in brief, and I'm going to give you a
24	citation.
25	THE COURT: All right. We'll just let him make his record.

12

15

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. WESTBROOK: It'll be very brief. The citation is *Patterson v.* State. I will look up the numbers and -- and give it to you in a -- in a few minutes. We had a piece of paper printed out that's sitting on a desk.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WESTBROOK: But what the standard here is, the State has to show that the statement they're trying to introduce as a prior consistent statement was made prior to the motive to fabricate. They made no such showing.

Nothing in this case is prior to the motive to fabricate, because their own witness said that she knew about the U visas prior to October 16th. Since there are no statements that come out prior to October 16th, there is not a time in this case that is prior to a motive to fabricate. There is no instance in which they can get a prior consistent statement in without it being a hearsay and inadmissible. We say it's prejudicial, it's cumulative, and it's bolstering, and we think that it was admitted in error, and it's prejudicial.

THE COURT: Okay. I -- I appreciate that.

MR. WESTBROOK: And then going forward, there'll be no -- no way to make that showing, because it's a matter of record that the motive to fabricate existed before any statements in this case were made, because October 16th is the first day that they were made.

THE COURT: All right. Do we need to -- do I anticipate the issue coming up again? And is it something we need to have any further argument on?

MR. HAMNER: For the -- for the record right now, which specific statement is he talking about?

THE COURT: I -- I don't recall --

MR. HAMNER: Or is he saying --

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: -- specifically. I'm sorry.

MR. HAMNER: I mean, it -- it would help -- in order to answer the court's question, it would help if the defense would articulate which statement in particular they're complaining about, or is it every statement uttered by the children?

THE COURT: Well, that might have been the statement about -- to -- well, you -- you -- you tell us. Which statement?

MR. WESTBROOK: I can tell you. It was Jatziri talking about what --

MS. KIERNY: Yezline.

MR. WESTBROOK: -- Yezline told Yusnay.

MS. KIERNY: Her.

MR. WESTBROOK: No. Told her she told Yusnay. I mean, it was like triple, possibly quadruple hearsay, I lost count. And we objected to it. It was brought in as a prior consistent statement, but essentially it was, you know, just witnesses bolstering each other through hearsay and double hearsay and triple hearsay, which shouldn't have been admitted.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

MR. HAMNER: I want to -- I would like to address that.

THE COURT: Okay. Sure.

MR. HAMNER: Because again, Mr. Westbrook is just --

THE COURT: Well --

MR. HAMNER: I would -- if I could just at least address what actually happened versus Mr. Westbrook version of it.

Mr. Westbrook has failed to articulate the double layer of hearsay or triple layers. He just baldly threw that out there.

Jatziri -- What did you tell Yusnay about Yezline? Okay. She began to answer about what Yezline had said to her. There was an objection. I stated I can rephrase.

The witness did not get that answer out. I then repeated the

The question posed was to the witness -- the witness was

question. We're not going to focus on any of Yezline's statements to you, Jatziri; what did you tell Yusnay?

The witness then said, I told Yusnay that Yezline had been tied up and he touched her. That's what she told Yusnay.

There then was an objection. The objection was kind of this bolstering, consistent statement, something along those lines. That's what they stated. My response to that was they've opened the door, because from the opening, as well as the opening of the cross, they insinuated that the child was lying.

Now, this -- there -- and that everyone is making all of this up.

They also insinuated on the cross-examination of Yusnay that she also was potentially making these things up or that they're inconsistent.

And *so,* one, with respect to *Patterson*, they're claiming that you can't bring out a prior consistent statement unless it's prior to -- prior to there being a motive to lie.

When I argue to you about why that statement needed to come in.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.

MR. HAMNER: It was to rebut, in part, a cross-examination of Yusnay which suggested that there was some level of fabrication. And the information that

Yusnay was relating to the jury was being fabricated. Yusnay indicated also on her cross-examination she never knew what a U visa was. So this whole *Patterson* argument falls away with respect to her.

So it's admissible, one, to show that Yusnay is not fabricating or making anything up, because if the child relays, I said X and Y to her, and that's what Yusnay testified to previously, and there's an allegation that Yusnay, as well, is making things up or is not being consistent or is in part of this big scheme, it comes in, on that level.

Secondly, it comes in and it's admissible because it demonstrates the allegations that the child herself is making things up -- something that they have been talking about since the opening statement. And I would submit to you that there hasn't been any evidence presented that any parent has told their child to lie or fabricate anything. That didn't come out through the cross-examination of the mother.

The only thing that's come out is that she's applied for a U visa.

And I understand what their argument is, but that's a pretty big leap to say, well,

now, there just has to be kind of coaching all the way across the board.

So -- so the bottom line is they have been making insinuations that every witness that has testified, each one -- the parents, the neighbor, and the two children -- they are all part of supposedly some big scheme to lie, and that they're all inconsistent, and this evidence comes in as prior consistent statements and to rebut the defense's primary argument that these witnesses aren't credible.

MR. WESTBROOK: And State made a very --

THE COURT: And show Yusnay's state of mind, too, and explain her subsequent conduct. I think that was another --

1	MR. HAMNER: It does.
2	THE COURT: another explanation you offered.
3	MR. HAMNER: It goes to the effect on the listener.
4	THE COURT: Effect on the listener.
5	MR. HAMNER: It goes to the state of mind
6	THE COURT: I remember you saying that.
7	MR. HAMNER: of the declarant, that being the child Jatziri,
8	absolutely.
9	THE COURT: All right. So I I understand. I think we we discussed
10	all that yesterday, and I wasn't my intent to to allow it in just based upon that one
11	ground, prior consistent statement.
12	I mean, I think I accepted Mr. Hamner's view that there are
13	multiple reasons why the evidence should come in, including including it was a
14	prior consistent statement, it would reflect the the state of mind of the declarant
15	and the effect on the state of mind of declarant and the listener, rebuts the
16	insinuation of untruthfulness, and it the probative value exceeded the prejudice.
17	So I you know, I mean, all of that, I thought it should come in.
18	You made a good record. But if there's is there any final word you want to say on
19	this? You can, if you want to add something.
20	MR. WESTBROOK: Just that
21	THE COURT: But going forward, I'm going to I'm going to stick to
22	that prior ruling.
23	MR. WESTBROOK: Right.
24	THE COURT: I'm I'm aware of the Patterson case and I'm
25	MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.

admitted as a prior consistent statement over our objection. I'm just completing the

1	record with the case law.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: No problem.
5	Let's bring in the jury.
6	MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, if I could just approach to start retrieving
7	some exhibits?
8	THE COURT: Do what to the exhibits?
9	MR. HAMNER: Approach to get some exhibits for my examination.
10	THE COURT: Yes.
11	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
12	I just wanted to grab some core exhibits
13	THE COURT: Oh.
14	MR. HAMNER: for the next direct.
15	THE COURT: Okay. Fine.
16	MR. HAMNER: So thank you very much.
17	[Pause in proceedings.]
18	[Jury reconvened at 1:24 p.m.]
19	THE COURT: All right. Sometimes the chairs get moved around a little
20	bit. You can you guys can, in the front row, spread out and give yourself a little
21	more room, if you need it. Okay. Nobody wants to move, that's fine. You guys got
22	enough room? All right.
23	All right. Let's be seated everybody. All right.
24	The State may proceed.
25	MR. HAMNER: The State's going to call Mirabel Moreno to the stand.

1	THE COURT: Mirabel Moreno.
2	[Pause in proceedings.]
3	THE COURT: Hi, Mirabel. How are you today?
4	THE WITNESS: Fine.
5	THE COURT: Great. So do you want to speak Spanish today in court
6	THE WITNESS: Spanish.
7	THE COURT: Great. No problem. Let me say hi to you. I'm Judge
8	Scotti. How are I want to say hi. We're all going to be friendly here, okay? So
9	we have to ask you some questions. All right. And Mr. Hamner will have some
10	questions and then Ms. Kierny, sitting right over here, will have some questions for
11	you. Okay. We just want to hear the truth. Okay. Thank you.
12	And Mr. Hamner, you can you can the proceed.
13	And the people here have you ever been in court before?
14	THE WITNESS: No.
15	THE COURT: No? Oh, this is a case to get information. And these
16	people over here, they're the jurors, and they get all the information and they help
17	us make a decision. Okay?
18	Okay. Thank you. Mr. Hamner will ask you some questions
19	now.
20	MIRABEL MORENO,
21	[having first been qualified by the judge, testified through the interpreter as follows
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. HAMNER:
24	Q So this is your microphone, so so if you speak into that, your voice
25	will be really loud, okay?

1	to be the tr	uth?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Is it a good thing to tell lies?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Okay.
6		MR. HAMNER: Is the court satisfied at this time?
7		THE COURT: You may proceed.
8		MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
9	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
10	Q	Mirabel, do you live in a house or an apartment?
11	A	Apartment.
12	Q	And who are the people that you live with?
13	A	My family.
14	Q	Okay. Tell me about who lives in the apartment with you.
15	A	My uncle, my sisters, my grandpa and my grandma, my mom and dad.
16	Q	Oh, what is your what are your sister's names?
17	A	Jatziri and Maradel.
18	Q	Who is who is the oldest sister?
19	A	Jatziri.
20	Q	Okay. And who is the oldest between you and Maradel?
21	A	I am.
22	Q	Do you know by how many minutes?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Okay. So you were the you're the old you're are you twins?
25	A	Yes.

1	house?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Why don't you take that pen and make a circle around it.
4		MR. HAMNER: Let the record reflect the witness has circled the very
5	first door in	the center of the frame and the two windows to the right of that door in
6	State's 24.	
7	Q	Do you remember where how close Don David lived to Yezline?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	I'm going to publish State's 35.
10		Now, let me ask you, take a good look at that picture; do you see
11	Yezline's d	loor to her house in this picture?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Why don't you circle it.
14		MR. HAMNER: Let the record reflect the witness has circled what
15	appears to be the second door all the way to the left in State's 35, just beyond what	
16	appears to	be a tan sedan.
17	Q	Now, Mirabel, do you see Don David's house in this same picture?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Why don't you make a square around that.
20		MR. HAMNER: Let the record reflect the witness has made a square of
21	a white door behind all the way to the left behind a dodge pickup truck that's dark	
22	in color.	
23		THE COURT: All the way to the right.
24		MR. HAMNER: All the way to the right. I misspoke.
25	BY MR. H	AMNER:

1	Q	Okay. And what color shirt is he wearing?
2	A	[No audible response.]
3	Q	Okay. Well, let me ask you this.
4		Is it does the shirt have all one color or are there are there
5	lines on the	e shirt?
6	A	It has lines.
7	Q	Okay. Is he standing up or sitting down?
8	A	Sitting down.
9	Q	Is he sitting down at a table or is he sitting somewhere else in the
10	courtroom?	
11	A	He is seated at the table.
12	Q	Is he in the middle of the table or at the end of the table?
13	A	One side of the table.
14		MR. HAMNER: Okay. Let the record reflect the witness has identified
15	the defend	ant.
16		THE COURT: It it does.
17	BY MR. HAMNER:	
18	Q	So you mentioned let me ask you about Don David for a second.
19		Did you have did you and your sisters have a name for him?
20		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Which
21	BY MR. HAMNER:	
22	Q	Did you and your sisters have a name for him? What did you call Don
23	David, other	er than Don David?
24	A	Grandpa.
25	Q	Grandpa. Was he your real grandpa?
	I	19

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 6]

1	Α	No.
2	Q	You mentioned that he he did something to your front part; what did
3	he do to your front part?	
4	Α	He he would touch it.
5	Q	Okay. Did this happen one time or more than one time?
6	Α	More than one time.
7	Q	And do you remember where it would happen?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	How old or I'm sorry.
10		Where were you or what places were you at when this
11	happened'	?
12		THE COURT INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. Repeat the question.
13	BY MR. HAMNER:	
14	Q	What places were you at when this happened?
15	Α	Outside his house.
16	Q	Okay. I want to show you I'm publishing State's 24; can you show us
17	where you would be when this would happen?	
18		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Please repeat the question again.
19	BY MR. HAMNER:	
20	Q	Can you show you said it happened outside his apartment. Can you
21	see in this apartment a place or places where it may have happened?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Take a pen and circle the different places that it happened at.
24		MR. HAMNER: Okay. Let the record reflect that the witness has
25	circled the power box in the center of State's 24, as well as what appears to be a	

1	bush or a tree just to the left of the door.	
2	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
3	Q	Okay. When you were there, what part of Don David's body would
4	touch your	front part?
5	A	His thing.
6	Q	Okay. Were there any other body parts of his that touched your front
7	part?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And what part was that?
10	A	With his hands.
11	Q	Okay. How old were you when Don David would touch your front part?
12	A	Eight.
13	Q	Okay. Do you remember telling anyone previously that you were seven
14	years old w	when this happened?
15		MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object as to anyone being not specific.
16		MR. HAMNER: Okay.
17		THE COURT: Overruled.
18		Go ahead.
19		THE WITNESS: Yes.
20	BY MR. HAMNER:	
21	Q	And do you remember previously stating at a court proceeding where I
22	was asking	you some questions that you were seven years old when that
23	happened?	
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	When this happened, were you by yourself?
		21

1952 Shawna Ortega CET-562 • 602.412.7667

1	A	No.
2	Q	Who else would be there?
3	A	My sisters and Yezline.
4	Q	Now, you talked a lot about your private places on your body. I want to
5	talk about	a boy's private part. Have you ever seen Don David's private part?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Tell us about that; what happened?
8	A	I forgot.
9	Q	Okay.
10		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Can you repeat the question, please?
11	BY MR. HAMNER:	
12	Q	Sure. Well, let me I'll rephrase the question.
13		You said that you saw his private area. Where were you when
14	this happe	ned?
15	A	Outside his house.
16	Q	Okay. And do you see that area here in State's 24, in this picture?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Why don't you circle the areas that happened at?
19		MR. HAMNER: Okay. Let the record reflect the witness has circled
20	again what appears to be the tree just to the left of the door, as well as the ground	
21	just just	in front of it walking away from the tree toward the very large tree on
22	the last pa	ge of 24.
23	BY MR. HAMNER:	
24	Q	Who would be there when that happened?
25	A	Yezline and my sisters.

1		THE COURT: Well, overruled.	
2		The jury will just have to search their own memory for what they	
3	recall her s	eaying.	
4	BY MR. HA	AMNER:	
5	Q	What would Don David say to you when this was happening?	
6	Α	I don't remember.	
7	Q	Okay. Did he ever ask you if you wanted any of the chocolates or	
8	candies?		
9	Α	Yes.	
10	Q	What would he say, do you remember?	
11		THE COURT INTERPRETER: What would he say?	
12		MR. HAMNER: Yeah.	
13		THE WITNESS: Yes. To take some of the candy from front from the	
14	front of his thing.		
15	BY MR. HAMNER:		
16	Q	Okay. And when you were there, you mentioned Yezline; was anyone	
17	else there with you?		
18	A	I did not understand the question.	
19	Q	Okay. You mentioned Yezline Yezline took the chocolates; do you	
20	remember	telling us that?	
21		MS. KIERNY: Misstates the testimony.	
22	BY MR. HAMNER:		
23	Q	I'm sorry. You mentioned that he asked Yezline	
24	A	Yes.	
25	Q	to take the chocolate	

1		THE COURT: Sustained on that one.
2		MR. HAMNER: Let me I'll rephrase.
3		MS. KIERNY: Yeah.
4		THE COURT: Thank you.
5	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
6	Q	You mentioned that he asked Yezline to take the chocolates?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Was Yezline there?
9	A	No yes.
10	Q	Okay. Did she take the chocolates when he asked her to?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Okay. Were you were there; was Maradel there?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Did he ask her to take the chocolates?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Did she take any?
17	A	No.
18	Q	Okay. Was Jatziri there?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Would he ask her to take the chocolates?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And did and did he did she take any?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Do you remember if Don David had a phone?
25	A	Yes.
	I	2.6

1	Q	Okay. For those your sister Jatziri and Yezline?	
2		MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object. That misstates the testimony.	
3	BY MR. HAMNER:		
4	Q	Maradel and Yezline.	
5		MS. KIERNY: Yes.	
6		THE COURT: Thank you. Sustained.	
7	BY MR. HAMNER:		
8	Q	Do you do did that apply? Did he touch Yezline over the clothes	
9	and under the clothes?		
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	Did he touch was it Maradel Maradel over the clothes or under the	
12	clothes?		
13	A	Both.	
14	Q	Okay. And do you remember saying at a prior proceeding when I	
15	asked you	questions that Don David also touched your sister Maribel or	
16	Maradel	Jatziri?	
17	A	I did not remember that part.	
18	Q	Okay. And I may have misspoke. I think you had said previously that	
19	he did not touch Jatziri. Do you remember saying that at a previous proceeding?		
20	А	I did not hear right.	
21		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Can you repeat the question, please.	
22	BY MR. HAMNER:		
23	Q	Do you when do you remember the last time you came to	
24	court and you spoke to me?		
25	A	Yes.	

1	Q	Okay. Anywhere else?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Okay. And what happened there?
4	A	Okay. He was touching my sister's front part.
5	Q	Okay. I want to talk to you a little bit about Don David and the
6	convers	ations you had with him.
7		Did Don David have any nicknames for you guys?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Do you remember that
10		MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, may we approach briefly?
11		THE COURT: Yeah, sure.
12		One moment.
13		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
14		MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry
15		THE COURT: Hold on a second. I just
16		MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry, we need a quick restroom break for my
17	client. H	le's having a prostate issue.
18		THE COURT: Oh, yes. Okay. No problem.
19		MR. WESTBROOK: We can take a break.
20		THE COURT: 10 minutes?
21		MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. That should be fine.
22		THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
23		[End of bench conference.]
24		THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a 10-minute recess.
25	Okay. 1	0-minute break.

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant. Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 6]

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MS. KIERNY: Okay?
3	THE COURT: I'll go to
4	MR. WESTBROOK: With any luck by 5:00. I mean
5	THE COURT: 5:30. Well, 5:30 is the latest.
6	MR. WESTBROOK: If they're not going super slow.
7	THE COURT: You can't stay?
8	THE CLERK: I can stay till, like, 6:00 at the latest.
9	THE COURT: I can't.
10	THE CLERK: 5:45 is perfect.
11	THE COURT: Okay. We'll be done by 5:30.
12	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
13	MS. KIERNY: Perfect.
14	THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the jurors in. Thank you, marshal.
15	[Pause in proceedings.]
16	THE COURT: Will you tell the her representative
17	MR. HAMNER: I'll let them know.
18	THE COURT: the girl that
19	MR. HAMNER: I'm going to let the parents I'll let the parents know.
20	[Pause in proceedings.]
21	[Jury reconvened at 2:15 p.m.]
22	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Please be seated, everybody.
23	Shall we wait for Mr. Hamner?
24	MS. KOLLINS: It's fine, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay.

21

22

24

25

23

MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.

THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen of the jury, someone has a medical issue which is going -- which necessitates us to take a prolonged break, probably to -- probably about an hour and a half. I hate to do this to you, but it's -it's necessary. Don't concern yourself with who has the medical issue, what is the medical issue or -- or how or why the medical issue arose. Don't -- just there's a medical issue involving somebody. All right.

So I need to excuse you for an hour and a half, while we figure some things out. Okay. We thought about whether we should just let you go for the day or just let you go for -- I know -- so I can see a couple people shaking their heads.

Tell you what, we have -- my marshal has all of your phone numbers, right?

THE MARSHAL: Yes.

THE COURT: I think so. All right. And -- and will you all be available by phone in a short while? No? One person is saying no.

UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: I don't have a cell.

UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: No. I don't have a phone.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, tell you what, I think -- we're going to need an hour and a half break. It could be that if you want to check back in with the marshal in about a half an hour, maybe we -- I'll have more information for you.

If we decide to change our mind and let you all go, we'll call and let you all know that. All right. For those of you that don't have a phone, check back with the marshal in a half an hour. The rest of you just assume you need to be back here at 1:30, unless you hear otherwise. Okay. I'm sorry. In an hour and

a half -- hour and a half. I was thinking hour and a half, 1:30. I don't know what -- one -- one hour and 30 minutes. 2:20, 3:20, 3:50. 3:50. All right. So 3:50, unless you hear otherwise, we have your contact information.

The other two of you, if you could please check back with the marshal in half an hour. Is that good advice to the jurors, guys?

MR. WESTBROOK: That's perfect, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Then let me go ahead and just read the official admonishment and make sure you don't forget your instructions.

Do not communicate among yourselves or with anybody else about this trial or the subject matter of this trial; do not communicate at all with any of the parties, attorneys, or witnesses involved in this trial; do not seek or obtain any information or comments about this case from any source, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, Internet, e-mail, cell phones, or any other electronic device; do not read, watch, or listen to any report or commentary about the case; do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you for deliberations; do not perform any research or investigations.

My marshal was a tray of some more -- of the cookies that he'll bring out there for whoever wants some cookies. He'll bring them out momentarily.

I -- look, I -- unexpected things happen during a trial. I know what an inconvenience this is to all of your lives -- all different parts of this trial. You've been very attentive. I feel bad that -- that our system results in taking so much time out of, you know, good citizens to -- to be here, and I totally respect and -- respect and value your time. And I'm sorry. But we need to take this time. Okay.

1	than two hours, I think we should just let the jurors go home. What do you think?
2	MS. KIERNY: I think
3	MR. HAMNER: That's fine by me.
4	MS. KIERNY: probably an hour and a half is
5	THE COURT: The max.
6	MS. KIERNY: the max we can really get anybody
7	THE COURT: 3:50, if it's going to be past 3:50
8	MS. KIERNY: It's going to be 4:00, yeah.
9	THE COURT: and we've had yeah.
10	MR. HAMNER: And I still feel confident, even if we shut it down today
11	entirely, we should be on track still to close by Monday.
12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	MR. HAMNER: Because once we're almost done with our all of our
14	Spanish-speaking witnesses, and it's going to go a lot faster after that.
15	We have a lot of very short witnesses coming at once.
16	MS. KIERNY: Yes. We have
17	THE COURT: Unless you keep trying to bolster the witnesses, and
18	then I don't know what's going to happen.
19	MR. HAMNER: You know me.
20	MS. KIERNY: There's going to be some bolstering.
21	MS. KOLLINS: Well, wait till he stands up there in closing and tells
22	them
23	THE COURT: All right. Then we'll we're adjourned until we hear
24	more information. But we plan to all be back here at 3:50
25	MS. KIERNY: Yes.

1	THE COURT: unless we hear otherwise.
2	MS. KIERNY: Of course, thank you.
3	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
4	[Court recessed at 2:21 p.m., until 3:04 p.m.]
5	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
6	THE COURT: So are we back on the record?
7	THE COURT RECORDER: Back on the record, Your Honor.
8	MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor. I know our interpreters are not here.
9	I saw our interpreters at
10	THE COURT: Oh.
11	MR. HAMNER: I saw the interpreters at
12	THE MARSHAL: They're right here. They're here.
13	MR. HAMNER: Oh, they're right here.
14	MR. WESTBROOK: No, they're around.
15	MR. HAMNER: They're all ready to go.
16	MR. WESTBROOK: They're here.
17	MR. HAMNER: I let them know it was 3:00, so cool. We're good to go.
18	THE COURT: Let's wait for the interpreter.
19	[Pause in proceedings.]
20	THE COURT: Who is who is interpreting for the defendant right
21	now?
22	THE COURT RECORDER: Rose is.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's go when you're ready.
24	THE COURT INTERPRETER: I'm ready.
25	THE COURT: Oh, we ready?
	I 37

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 6]

THE COURT RECORDER: Ready.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Couple brief things. The defendant has objected a couple times to alleged improper bolstering.

MR. WESTBROOK: Yes.

THE COURT: And the response by Mr. Hamner was that bolstering -or at least his -- without regard to whether it was bolstering, what -- what he did was
in part precipitated by the defense attacks on the credibility of State's witnesses
during voir dire and opening statement, in addition to the cross-examination.

I love the law. I just -- I went back and -- just, I wanted to, you know, research and help inform myself more of the -- the issues. And it -- it appears to be the state of the law -- this is for the benefit of anyone who wants to look at these cases, in case they -- they're concerned -- they're concerned about the bolstering issue, if it arises on appeal.

It appears to be the state of the law that if -- if and when the defense attacks the credibility of the State's witnesses, whether by cross-examination or even, as these cases show, in its opening statement or even voir dire, that that constitutes an attack on the credibility of the witnesses, that opens the door for the State to then bolster its witnesses in -- in various ways.

The cases usually come up in the context of cooperating agreements. And the context that I've read is where the State has -- has been permitted to explain that if one of its State's witnesses is lying on the stand, that it's going to have repercussions, and so -- so he has a motive to tell the truth. So that's been allowed.

And so we have these cases, just cite them for the record, that kind of support the proposition that -- that bolstering is allowed once the defense

1	has opened the door.
2	MR. HAMNER: And and
3	THE COURT: You probably know these cases.
4	MR. HAMNER: I I was just going to just say for the record, we we
5	agree with the rationale. I don't believe that that qualifies as bolstering, that
6	particular terminology. I think we're allowed
7	THE COURT: Any terminology you don't think it's bolstering. It's
8	MR. HAMNER: I
9	THE COURT: what it is, is it's rebutting
10	MR. HAMNER: Correct.
11	THE COURT: the maybe I used the word inartfully. Thank you. It
12	is rebutting the defense attack on the credibility
13	MR. HAMNER: That's
14	THE COURT: of the State's witnesses
15	MR. HAMNER: correct.
16	THE COURT: by offering alternative evidence that helps restore the
17	credibility of the State's witnesses.
18	MR. HAMNER: That's correct. The State's
19	THE COURT: That may not be technically bolstering.
20	MR. HAMNER: And and bolstering might be a series of questions to
21	suggest, you know, I believe you or I believe you know, in the closing arguments
22	I believe this witness.
23	THE COURT: Well, that's vouching, which is certainly not permissible.
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Right.
25	MR. HAMNER: Right.
	39

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HAMNER: And -- and I think that term bolstering can bleed into vouching for a witness. But I think what you just said, us rebutting an attack on the witness's credibility, that's precisely what the State was doing.

THE COURT: Well, and that's *Rodriguez vs. State*, 385 P 3rd 600, 2016, unpublished case -- I believe it's unpublished, but it says -- it cites to *Evans v. State*, which is 117 Nevada 609, 2001, "The State may counter impeachment of its witnesses by presenting evidence supporting their credibility."

MR. HAMNER: Right.

THE COURT: So you have -- and -- and then you have the Ninth Circuit and the Second Circuit, which both says that that attack on the credibility by the defense can come through opening statement. And one of these cases even says voir dire.

MR. HAMNER: Okay.

THE COURT: Right. So that's the Ninth Circuit, 943 F.2d 1007 -- again, 943 F 2d 1007, a 1991 case.

And then we have the Second Circuit, 844 F 2d 30, 1988. I know there was -- I don't know, I might have -- I didn't print out all the cases. One of the cases did talk about voir dire, and three or four of them talked about opening statements.

Just wanted you guys to -- to be mindful --

MR. HAMNER: Sure.

THE COURT: -- of that authority going forward.

MR. WESTBROOK: But to be fair, none of those actually says that bolstering is allowed. Bolstering is a different thing.

24

25

THE COURT: Well...

MR. WESTBROOK: Bolstering is when --

THE COURT: How -- how are you using the term bolstering?

Because -- because it hasn't been used consistently by the courts.

MR. WESTBROOK: I'm using it --

THE COURT: So how do you define bolstering?

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, I'm using the definition of bolstering that is in the evidence code and is in the code involving prosecutorial misconduct.

THE COURT: They're two different things, exactly.

MR. WESTBROOK: Right. And, you know, if I hear vouching, I will identify it as such. That's when the -- the State uses -- you know, puts the power of the State, essentially, behind the credibility of the witness by saying something like, well, you know, obviously, what the witness said is true, you know, or something like that.

You know, the bolstering that we're talking about -- and these were very specific evidentiary objections, which I think they've been made and they've been preserved, you know, what we've identified as bolstering.

But my -- my point is these -- these cases say that, obviously, the State can provide evidence to -- of credibility, especially in response to evidence of lack of credibility. But they don't allow bolstering, which is in the evidence code and which is a specific -- a very specific -- specifically defined thing.

THE COURT: Well, my -- my point is that what the State did is consistent with the activity of the prosecutors in each of these cases, which has been determined by the Ninth Circuit, Second Circuit, and the State of Nevada to

1	be accurate.	
2	So I just I wanted to point these cases out. You should read	
3	them so we don't go down the path of frivolous appeals and wasting everybody's	
4	time.	
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, I understand, Your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: All right.	
7	MR. WESTBROOK: And I'm very familiar with the <i>Evans</i> . It's just we	
8	just happen to disagree, that's all. That's that's what objections are for.	
9	THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the juries in.	
10	[Pause in proceedings.]	
11	THE COURT: You have a translation for the bolstering?	
12	MS. KOLLINS: She asked me how to spell bolster, because she's	
13	looking it up.	
14	THE COURT: There won't be a translation. It is the closest English	
15	word would be maybe supporting.	
16	THE COURT INTERPRETER: Thank you. Thank you very much.	
17	THE COURT: Supporting, similar.	
18	THE COURT INTERPRETER: Thanks.	
19	[Pause in proceedings.]	
20	[Jury reconvened at 3:13 p.m.]	
21	THE COURT: All right. Let me we were able to get everything	
22	resolved and get you guys back here a little bit earlier. All right. Let's be seated.	
23	And the State may proceed.	
24	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.	
25	The State is going to call Mirabel Moreno back to the stand.	

1		THE COURT: All right. Great. Let's call her back. Mirabel.
2		[Pause in proceedings.]
3		THE COURT: All right. Welcome back.
4		Mr. Hamner, you may proceed.
5		MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
6		THE COURT: Mirabel, you still have to tell the truth; do you
7	understand	l?
8		THE WITNESS: Yeah.
9		THE COURT: Okay. You will you tell the truth?
10		THE WITNESS: Yes.
11		THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
12		You may proceed.
13		MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
14		DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT.)
15	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
16	Q	So Mirabel, when we last left off, I was trying to ask you, did Don David
17	have any n	icknames for you and your sisters and Yezline?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	What would he call you guys?
20	А	Princesses.
21	Q	Okay. And let me ask you, do you ever remember him calling you his
22	girlfriends?	
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	How about his queens?
25	A	Yes.
I	I	4 3

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	What did you tell your mom?
3	A	That Don Don David said that he was going to take us to a place far
4	away.	
5	Q	Okay. Did you tell her anything else about Don David?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Did you tell your mom about him touching your front part?
8		MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object. She said that she didn't tell her mom
9	anything el	se.
10		MR. HAMNER: Getting some clarification.
11		THE COURT: I'll I'll overrule it.
12		Go ahead.
13	BY MR. HA	MMNER:
14	Q	Did let me ask the question again.
15		Did you tell your mom that Don David had touched your front
16	part?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. When you were there talking to your mom, how did Jatziri look?
19	A	Also sad.
20	Q	Was she crying?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	What about Maradel; how did she look?
23	A	Sad also.
24	Q	Was she crying?
25	A	Yes.
	1	48

1	Q	How about Yezline; how did she look?
2	А	Sad.
3	Q	Was she crying when she was talking to either her mom or or your
4	mom?	
5	A	Yes, also.
6	Q	Okay.
7		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
8	Q	Mirabel, I want to talk about Don David, just quickly for a moment.
9		Before he touched your front part, before he did the stuff with the
10	chocolate a	and the phones, before all that happened, did you like Don David?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And let's think about that. Before those things happened, the
13	chocolates	, the touching, the phone, before that, and you told us that you liked him,
14	can you tel	I the jury why you liked him before that?
15	A	I don't remember that part.
16	Q	Okay. All right. Thank you.
17		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions.
18		THE COURT: All right. Now, we're going to hear from Ms. Kierny.
19	She's the n	ice lady over here that's going to ask you some questions. Okay?
20		Okay. You may ask questions.
21		CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. KIE	ERNY:
23	Q	How you doing, Mirabel?
24	Α	Good.
25	Q	Got a little fox there; what's his name?
		49

1	A	Cheese Pita.
2	Q	Nice. I like cheese pizza, too.
3		Chris talked to you about telling the truth in court, right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And you're only supposed to say true things in court, right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	So if I said I have a black jacket on, that would be a lie?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Because it's white.
10	A	White.
11	Q	Now, if I told you you have a fox in your hand, that would be the truth?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. If your mom tells you something, that's the truth, right?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And Jatziri, you said, is your older sister, right?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Is Jatziri kind of the leader of you girls?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Does she tell you a lot of stuff to do a lot of stuff?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And you listen to her?
22	A	A little.
23	Q	I understand. Now, you remember when you went and talked to a lady
24	named Eliz	abeth Espinoza in November, right?
25	A	Yes.

'	coulan't be	cause Don David covered her mouth with tape.
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And it the tape was gray.
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	After that, Don David closed his door and Yezline was inside.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	So you went and told Yezline's mom that Don David was maybe doing
8	something	to Yezline.
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Now, earlier Chris asked you about a lady named Doña Elena?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	And you and she is Don David's wife, right?
13	Α	Yes.
14	Q	Did you call her Grandmother?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. So you told Elizabeth that when Yezline was in Don David's
17	house, the	n Grandmother came home.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And she went in the apartment.
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. You testified earlier with Chris that there was a time when
22	more times	s when Don David showed you his thing, right?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And you said Yezline and your sisters were there, right?
25	Α	Yes.
		52

1	Q	Does he live in the apartment complex, same apartment complex you
2	do?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And he's about your age.
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	You testified when Chris was asking you questions about that Don
7	David show	ved you some videos on a phone, right?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And that was Don David's phone, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	It was not Grandmother's phone, right?
12	A	No.
13	Q	It was a black phone.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	It was not a pink phone.
16	A	No.
17	Q	All right. And Leo was there when Don David showed you these
18	videos.	
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	You you also told Chris that Don David touched you more than one
21	time, right?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And Yezline and your two sisters were there.
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Do you remember that when you or when you spoke with Elizabeth,

1	you told he	r that he touched do you remember telling her you he touched you
2	one time?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And you told her that he touched your thing with his thing.
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And you said that his hands were outside while he was touching your
7	thing with h	is thing.
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And when this happened, Grandmother was there?
10	A	I don't remember.
11	Q	But you do remember giving a statement to Elizabeth, right?
12	A	Yes.
13		MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence.
14	Q	Sorry, I lost my thought.
15		Do you recall Elizabeth asking you questions page 28, I'm
16	sorry oka	y, who saw what? What was going on?
17		And you answered, My grandmother.
18		THE COURT INTERPRETER: I'm sorry, counsel. Could you repeat
19	the questio	n, please.
20		MS. KIERNY: Sure.
21	BY MS. KIE	ERNY:
22	Q	Elizabeth asked you, Who saw what was going on?
23		And you answered, My grandmother.
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Do you remember testifying in front of a grand jury on February 1st? It

1	was a room full of people and Chris was asking you some questions; do you	
2	remember that?	
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you remember that and at that time, you told them that Leo was
5	there when	you were outside and touched by the man?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And then one thing you said earlier was that when you went to tell
8	when you told Yusnay about Don David, you were listening to your sisters tell	
9	tell sorry. Strike that.	
10		Chris asked you earlier about Yusnay, right?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And you told him that you listened to your sisters tell Yusnay about Dor
13	David, righ	t?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	You were sitting on the floor?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you didn't say anything to Yusnay at that time?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Okay.
20		MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence.
21		No further questions.
22		THE COURT: Redirect?
23		MR. HAMNER: Yes.
24		MS. KOLLINS: One moment, Your Honor, please. Thank you.
25		REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	Would Leo be with you when he gave you candy by the door of his
3	house?	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Would Leo be around when he gave you candy by his car?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	And you were asked questions about Leo; was Leo ever standing near
8	you when Don David touched your front part?	
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Was he always there or sometimes there?
11	A	Sometimes.
12	Q	Okay. Was Leo there when he would show you things on his phone?
13	А	No.
14	Q	Was Leo there when he would rub the chocolates on his front part?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	Was he always there or sometimes there?
17	Α	Sometimes.
18	Q	You were asked some questions about Litzi.
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	And you were asked some questions about Litzi being around
21		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
22	Q	Would there be sometimes when Litzi did not go into his house?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. So would there be sometimes you and your sister would go in,
25	but Litzi wo	ould not go in?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Would Litzi be around when he offered candy?
3	А	Yes.
4		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
5	Q	How old were you when how old were you when Don David was
6	touching yo	our front part?
7	А	Seven.
8	Q	And how old were you when Don David would show you things on his
9	phone?	
10	А	Eight.
11	Q	Okay. And how old were you when Don David would do the thing with
12	the chocolate in his front part?	
13	А	Eight.
14	Q	Okay.
15		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions.
16		THE COURT: Okay. Recross.
17		Be careful you don't swallow the ring. Okay. Thanks.
18		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
19	BY MS. KIERNY:	
20	Q	Don David only showed you something on his phone one time, right?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And when he showed you, Leo was there?
23	A	Yes.
24		MS. KIERNY: No further questions.
25		THE COURT: Okay. So anything from the jurors? I'll give you a

1	moment to reflect. Okay. I see a couple hands.
2	Marshal, give them a moment to write their questions down.
3	And make sure you and your name and your badge and your
4	juror number. Juror number and name. Oh, yeah, and a full sheet of paper. Looks
5	good.
6	UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: Oh, a full sheet?
7	THE COURT: Is that a full sheet? Or a piece? Okay.
8	Thank you, Mr. Thomas.
9	[Pause in proceedings.]
10	THE COURT: You guys can come on forward, if you want, and take a
11	look.
12	[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
13	THE COURT: Oh. Maybe you guys could stipulate to that one.
14	MS. KIERNY: This is good.
15	THE COURT: Why don't you write down an answer you guys can read.
16	MS. KOLLINS: In the in the DA's cross.
17	MS. KIERNY: [Indiscernible.]
18	MR. HAMNER: Just say Elizabeth Espinoza, it was a private interview.
19	THE COURT: Okay.
20	MS. KOLLINS: The follow-up, did some of these happen during the
21	day? [Indiscernible.]
22	We can follow up.
23	MS. KIERNY: Sure. Yeah, it's good.
24	THE COURT: So you guys are okay with me asking Juror No. 3's
25	question?

1		MR. HAMNER: Can you say hi?
2		THE COURT: Great. Nice to see you today.
3		Madam Interpreter will sit down next to you.
4		MR. HAMNER: Here you go.
5		THE COURT: All right. We're going to have I I told your sister we
6	have questions from Mr. Hamner, the gentleman here, and then the lady or	
7	Mr. Westbrook the the lady, Ms. Kierny, she'll have questions for you too.	
8		All right. Very good. And Mr. Hamner, you can qualify the
9	witness first.	
10	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.	
11	Court's indulgence.	
12	MARADEL MORENO,	
13	[having first been qualified by the judge, testified through the interpreter as follows.	
14	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
16	Q	Hi. How you doing?
17	A	Good.
18	Q	Okay. All right. Maradel, how old are you?
19	A	Eight.
20	Q	What grade are you in?
21	A	Third grade.
22	Q	Do you like school?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Favorite class?
25	A	Yes.
I	I	6.4

1	Q	Which class?
2	A	With my teacher.
3	Q	Okay. Well, you probably you know, you probably only have one
4	teacher, rig	ght?
5	A	Yeah.
6	Q	That's my bad.
7		Well, let me ask you this. I want you to take a look at my tie.
8	See it?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	What color is this?
11	A	Red.
12	Q	If I told you that my tie was green and it had black dragons on it, would
13	be telling you the truth or would I be lying to you?	
14	A	Lying.
15	Q	Okay. Why don't you take a look at the back of this piece of paper;
16	what color	is it?
17	A	White.
18	Q	If I told you this paper was blue, with green stripes, would I be telling
19	you the truth or telling a lie?	
20	A	A lie.
21	Q	All right. Maradel, I want you to look up at the ceiling and look around
22	the room for a second. If I told you right now that it was pouring rain inside this	
23	courtroom,	would I be telling you the truth or would I be lying? Is it raining inside
24	the courtroom?	
25	Α	No.

1	Q	No. All right. Maradel, do you promise that everything you tell us today
2	is going to	be the truth?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay.
5		THE COURT: That's the court's satisfied. You may proceed.
6		MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
7	BY MR. H	AMNER:
8	Q	So Maradel, did you live in a house or an apartment?
9	Α	Apartment.
10	Q	And who do you live there with?
11	Α	My mom and my dad and my sisters.
12	Q	Anyone else?
13	Α	[No audible response.]
14	Q	Okay. You don't have any any dogs?
15	Α	Yes.
16	Q	What are their names?
17	A	Princess.
18	Q	Okay. I want to show you and if you look at that screen over there to
19	your right, you'll see a picture pop up. Okay.	
20		Publishing State's 41.
21		What are we looking at there, Maradel?
22	Α	My house.
23	Q	Okay. I'd like you to take this pen. Would you take that for me? Okay.
24	I want you	oh, it's over there? Grab the yellow grab that yellow marker. Okay.
25	If you touc	th that screen with that marker you don't need to take the cap off. Don't

1	take the ca	ap off. Just leave the cap on.
2		If you take the pen and you make a mark, you know, make a
3	mark on th	ne screen, can you can you circle the door to your house?
4	A	Okay.
5	Q	Very good.
6		MR. HAMNER: So let the record reflect the witness has successfully
7	circled the	white door on State's 51, the dead center of the screen on the first floor.
8	BY MR. HAMNER:	
9	Q	So that's your house, huh?
10	A	Yes.
11		MS. KOLLINS: Mr. Hamner, it's 41, sorry.
12		MR. HAMNER: State's 41, if I misspoke. I apologize.
13	BY MR. HAMNER:	
14	Q	Maradel, do you know someone by the name of Yezline?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Is she someone that lived in the apartment complex with you and your
17	sisters?	
18	Α	Yes.
19	Q	Did you play with her a lot when she lived there?
20	Α	Yes.
21	Q	Do you remember where her apartment was?
22	Α	Yes.
23		MR. HAMNER: Publishing State's 36.
24	Q	Maradel, take a look at State's 36; do you see Yezline's house?
25	Α	Yes.
	1	

1	look around. Take a good look around.	
2	A	Over there.
3	Q	Okay. And I know you're pointing all the way over to the right. Can you
4	tell me if he	e is wearing a shirt with a tie or no tie?
5	A	No no tie.
6	Q	And what color is his shirt?
7	A	White.
8	Q	White? Does it is it a solid white shirt or does it have stripes on it?
9	A	It has stripes.
10		MR. HAMNER: Let the record reflect the witness has identified the
11	defendant.	
12		THE COURT: It has.
13	BY MR. HAMNER:	
14	Q	So, Maradel, was you mentioned David. What what name did you
15	have for David, you and your sisters?	
16	A	Grandpa.
17	Q	Okay. Was he your real grandpa?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Did Don David live nearby Yezline?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	I want to show you State's 24, Maradel. What are we seeing here? Do
22	you recogr	nize anyone's home in State's 24?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Whose home is that?
25	A	David.
	1	

'	poo-poo?	
2	A	My tail.
3	Q	Tail. And what would you call this part up here?
4	A	Tits.
5	Q	Okay. Now, I want to talk to you about Don David; did he ever touch
6	your front p	part?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	What part of his body did he use to touch your front part?
9	A	His hand.
10	Q	Was it one time or more than one time?
11	Α	More than one time.
12	Q	Was it over the clothes, under the clothes, or both?
13	Α	Both.
14	Q	Okay. Did it happen inside a place or outside or both?
15		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Can you repeat the question, please.
16	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
17	Q	Okay. Well, let me just rephrase it.
18		Where did it happen?
19	A	Outside.
20	Q	Okay. It happened outside. Did it ever happen inside of his house?
21	You have t	o answer yes or no.
22	A	No.
23	Q	Okay. I want to publish republish State's 24; do you see anywhere in
24	this picture	where he touched your front part?
25	A	Right here.
	1	71

1	Q	Go ahead, you can make a mark, make a circle.
2	A	Okay.
3		MR. HAMNER: So let the record reflect the work the witness has
4	circled on t	he black power box.
5	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
6	Q	Who was with you when that happened to you, when he touched your
7	front part?	Who was who was with you?
8	Α	My sisters and Yezline.
9	Q	So Jatziri and Mirabel and Yezline?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	I want to show you State's 33; do you see anywhere here in this picture
12	where he touched your front part?	
13	A	Right here.
14	Q	Why don't you you can make a mark, go ahead and make a circle.
15		MR. HAMNER: Okay. Let the record reflect the witness indicated near
16	the front bu	umper of a black BMW sedan in the center of State's 33.
17	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
18	Q	Who was with you in that time?
19	A	My sisters and Yezline.
20	Q	Okay. Now, did did David ever Don David ever touch your
21	breasts?	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	What part of his body did he use to touch your breasts?
24	A	His hands.
25	Q	Was it one time or more than one time?
	I	72

1	Q	Was it over the clothes, under the clothes, or both?
2	Α	Both.
3	Q	Okay. Do you remember if you were outside or inside when this
4	happened'	?
5	А	No.
6	Q	Do you remember do you remember when you spoke to me at
7	another co	urt proceeding? Remember I asked you some questions at another
8	court proce	eeding?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Do you remember telling me that day you would be outside the
11	apartment complex when he would touch your tail?	
12		MS. KIERNY: I'm sorry, counsel. Where are you at?
13		MR. HAMNER: Page 99.
14		MS. KIERNY: 99.
15		THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	BY MR. H	AMNER:
17	Q	Who would be with you when he touched your tail?
18	A	My sisters.
19	Q	And let me ask you, how old were you when he touched your tail?
20	А	Eight.
21	Q	Okay. And how old were you when he touched your front part?
22	Α	Seven to eight.
23	Q	Okay. And how old were you when he put his hand on your breast?
24	Α	Eight.
25	Q	Did Don David ever kiss you on your mouth?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Was this one time or more than one time?
3	A	Once.
4	Q	Do you remember telling me at that last court proceeding that that
5	happened	more than one time?
6	A	I do not remember that.
7	Q	Okay.
8	A	Yeah.
9	Q	Who was with you when he kissed you on your mouth?
10	A	My sister one sister.
11	Q	Okay. Which sister?
12	A	My twin sister.
13	Q	Okay. So Mirabel?
14	A	Uh-huh.
15	Q	Do you remember telling me at that last proceeding that both your
16	sisters wer	e there?
17	A	[No audible response.]
18	Q	And it's okay if you don't remember.
19	A	I can't remember.
20	Q	Okay. We talked about parts on your body; did you ever see don
21	David's priv	vate part?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	And what do you what do boys use that private part to do?
24	A	To pee.
25	Q	Okay. Where were you when Don David showed you his private part? 75

1	Α	Outside.
2	Q	Did it ever happen inside his house?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Did this happen one time or more than one time?
5	А	More than one time.
6	Q	Do you remember what color it was?
7	А	No.
8	Q	Do you remember if it was big or small?
9	А	No.
10	Q	Do you remember telling me at a previous proceeding that it was big?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Who were you with when that happened?
13	Α	With my sisters and Yezline.
14	Q	Did anything ever happen with Don David and chocolates?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	What would he do with the chocolates, Mirabel Maradel?
17	Α	He put them in his thing.
18	Q	Okay. And then after he put it on his thing, what would he say to you
19	guys?	
20	Α	Do you want some?
21	Q	What type of chocolates or candies are we talking about?
22	Α	KitKats.
23	Q	Who were you with when this happened?
24	Α	With my two sisters and Yezline.
25	Q	Would it ever be inside of his house when this happened?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Would it ever be outside?
3	A	Yes.
4		MR. HAMNER: I want to publish State's 24.
5	Q	Do you see anywhere here where he would do the stuff with the
6	chocolates	?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Why don't you circle where that was?
9		MR. HAMNER: Okay. Let the record reflect the witness has circled the
10	space betw	veen the door of his house and a bush to the left. :
11	Q	Would Don David be standing by his door when he did this?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Do you remember Don David having a phone?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	And do you remember him, whether he showed you things on his
16	phone?	
17	A	No.
18	Q	Do you remember what was on the phone?
19		MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object. She said no, she doesn't remember
20	him showir	ng things on the phone.
21		MR. HAMNER: I'm sorry, I misheard.
22		THE COURT: Well
23		MR. HAMNER: I apologize if I misheard.
24		THE COURT: I I don't recall what she said.
25		MS. KIERNY: She said no.
	I	

1		THE COURT: Okay. Well
2		MR. HAMNER: Well, I can I can ask another question.
3		THE COURT: All right.
4	BY MR. H	AMNER:
5	Q	Do you remember telling me
6		THE COURT: So sustained.
7	BY MR. H	AMNER:
8	Q	Do you remember telling me at a at the last proceeding that you
9	looked at I	David's phone?
10	A	I didn't say that.
11	Q	Okay. Do you remember saying that you were with your sisters when
12	you had hi	s phone?
13		MS. KIERNY: I'm going to object. She just said he did not have her
14		THE COURT: Well, let's let's see if she maybe can recall something.
15		MS. KIERNY: Okay.
16		THE COURT: All right.
17		MR. HAMNER: You know what, Your Honor
18		THE COURT: Sometimes well
19		MR. HAMNER: It's okay. I'm I'm just going to
20		Court's indulgence.
21		I'd prefer I had the translator read page 99 and 100 to refresh he
22	recollectio	n, or do you just want me to read it into the record as a
23		MS. KIERNY: She hasn't said she didn't remember. She said no.
24		MR. HAMNER: Okay. Great.
25		At this time I'm going to read from the witness's statement, since

1	she's indicated in the negative that this didn't happen.	
2	BY MR. HAMNER:	
3	Q Reading, starting on page 99 of the witness's statement, at line 24,	
4	continuing on to page 100. And I will read down to down to page line 22 of	
5	page 100. Okay?	
6	THE COURT: Let's make sure the witness understands what we're	
7	doing.	
8	MR. HAMNER: Sure.	
9	THE COURT: The the lawyer is going to read something that you	
10	said at another time. Okay?	
11	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
12	THE COURT: All right.	
13	BY MR. HAMNER:	
14	Q So starting on page 99, line 24.	
15	Question: Did you ever look on David's phone?	
16	Answer: Yes.	
17	Question: When you looked on the phone, were you by yoursel	
18	or were there other people there, too?	
19	Answer: With my sister	
20	THE COURT INTERPRETER: There were other people?	
21	BY MR. HAMNER:	
22	Q I'm sorry. I'm going to read first and you don't need to answer. I'm just	
23	going to read it out to the jury here.	
24	Answer: With my sisters.	
25	Question: When you looked on the on his phone, did you like	

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 6]

1	what you saw on the phone?
2	Answer: No.
3	Question: What were you seeing, what was on the phone?
4	Answer: I don't remember.
5	Question: Do you remember if there were people or things?
6	Then I stated, I'm sorry, honey, you have to speak up. You lean
7	into this. You can speak in the same voice.
8	The Interpreter: He has to talk to me?
9	The Witness meaning Maradel.
10	Question: People; did the people have clothes on, no clothes
11	on?
12	Answer: They had clothes.
13	Question: Okay. You don't remember what they were doing, but
14	you remember you didn't like it?
15	Answer: Yes.
16	And and do you remember speaking to a woman by the name
17	of Elizabeth?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you remember when you talked to Elizabeth that there were some
20	videos on his phone? Do you remember talking to her about that?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Let's talk a little bit about Don David; did he ever talk to you about
23	whether it was okay to tell your parents what was going on with him?
24	THE COURT INTERPRETER: She doesn't understand the question.
25	MR. HAMNER: Okay. Well let me let me ask it another way.

1	BY MR. H	AMNER:	
2	Q	Did Don David something say something might happen to your	
3	parents or	your mom if	
4		MS. KIERNY: I'm going to	
5	BY MR. H	AMNER:	
6	Q	if you talked to them?	
7		MS. KIERNY: Object to leading.	
8		MR. HAMNER: And, you know	
9		THE COURT: Well, is there another way you can ask that that would	
10	be less lea	ding?	
11		MR. HAMNER: Well, the witness didn't understand a more open-ended	
12	frame of question. So I could make it a little more I'll try to rephrase it.		
13		THE COURT: Well, let's let's try one more time, and if not	
14		MR. HAMNER: Okay.	
15		THE COURT: we'll see if we can ask in more direct questions.	
16	BY MR. H	AMNER:	
17	Q	Did Don David ever talk about whether you should talk to your parents?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	What did he say?	
20	A	If I tell or I talk to my mom or my dad, he will kill my mom and my dad.	
21	Q	And how did that make you feel when he told you those things might be	
22	done?		
23	A	Scared.	
24	Q	And what were you scared of?	
25	A	That he was going to kill my mom and my dad.	
		81	

- -

1	Q	Did he say that in front of your sisters?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Did he say that in front of Yezline?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Did you ever see Don David touch Jatziri on her front part?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Over her clothing, under her clothing, or both?
8	A	Both.
9	Q	Did you ever see Don David touch Mirabel on her front part?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Over the clothes, under the clothes, or both?
12	A	Both.
13	Q	Did you ever see Don David touch Yezline on her front part?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Do you know someone by the name of Yusnay?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Is she a neighbor that lives in the apartment with you guys?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Did you talk to her about Don David?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Did you tell her the things that you've told us today?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Why did you tell Yusnay?
24	A	Because when I told her, we thought my mom is going to she's going
25	to scold us.	

1	Q	Okay. But why did you okay. So let me just try to clarify.
2		Why did you think it was better to tell Yusnay before your mom?
3	A	So my mom would not be upset.
4	Q	Okay. Is that because you waited a while to tell anyone about this?
5		MR. WESTBROOK: Objection. Leading.
6		MR. HAMNER: Doesn't suggest the answer.
7		THE COURT: Well, is that because I mean, maybe you can rephrase
8	that.	
9		MR. HAMNER: Okay.
10		THE COURT: All right. Let's
11		MR. HAMNER: All right.
12		THE COURT: try and explore a little bit further before you take that
13	route. All r	ight. So sustained.
14	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
15	Q	Why did you think your mom would scold you?
16	A	Because he was touching us.
17	Q	Okay. But why did you think you'd get in trouble?
18	Α	I don't understand.
19	Q	Okay. Well, did Yusnay ever ask you why you were telling her about it
20	and not yo	ur mom?
21	Α	Yes.
22	Q	And what did you tell her?
23	Α	I told her everything.
24	Q	Okay. Did you explain to Yusnay why you were telling her and not
25	telling your	mom?

1	Q	Okay. Did Don David want to take you to Chuck E. Cheese?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What did he tell you about taking you to Chuck E. Cheese?
4	А	I don't understand the question.
5	Q	Okay. Well, did you go and talk to your mom about not wanting to go to
6	Chuck E. (Cheese?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. And why didn't you want to go to Chuck E. Cheese?
9	Α	Because he's going to touch us.
10	Q	Were you afraid of going to Chuck E. Cheese with Don David?
11	Α	Yes.
12	Q	On that day, did you tell your mom and Yezline's mom about what was
13	happening	with Don David?
14		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Please repeat the question for the
15	interpreter	•
16	BY MR. H	AMNER:
17	Q	On that day
18		THE COURT INTERPRETER: On that day
19	BY MR. H	AMNER:
20	Q	on the Chuck E. Cheese day
21		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Yes.
22	BY MR. H	AMNER:
23	Q	did you tell your mom what was happening with Don David?
24	Α	Yes.
25	Q	I don't know if I asked you this, but did did Don David have any

1	nicknames	for you girls?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	What would he call you?
4	A	Princess.
5	Q	Did he ever call you his queens?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Did he ever call you his girlfriends?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Now, before this, did Don David ever buy you gifts for your birthday?
10	Α	Yes.
11	Q	Did he ever buy you things like trampolines?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did he ever take you to McDonald's?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Did he ever take you to the 99 99 Cents store to buy you things?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Maradel, I want you to think about before Don David was touching you
18	and the stu	ff with the chocolates. Forget all that. Think about before that point in
19	time. Befo	re he did any of those things, did you like Don David?
20	A	No.
21	Q	Okay. Why not?
22	A	Because he touched our bodies.
23	Q	Okay. But before he ever touched your bodies, before all of that, did
24	you like Do	n David?
25	Α	No.

1	Q	Okay. Is that because he touched your body?
2	A	I don't understand the question.
3	Q	Okay.
4		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
5	BY MR. H	AMNER:
6	Q	Okay. Just one more question. What did Don David say was going to
7	happen w	hen you went to Chuck E. Cheese?
8		MR. WESTBROOK: Objection. Asked and answered.
9		MR. HAMNER: This question has not been asked.
10		THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
11		MR. HAMNER: Let me re-ask the question.
12		THE COURT: You can ask.
13	BY MR. H	AMNER:
14	Q	Maradel, what did Don David say would happen when you went to
15	Chuck E.	Cheese?
16	A	I don't understand.
17	Q	Okay.
18		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions at this time.
19		THE COURT: All right. Now, the lady has some questions for you.
20	Okay?	
21		CROSS-EXAMINATION
22	BY MS. K	IERNY:
23	Q	Hi, Maradel. Are you okay?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Do you need a break or water or anything?
		87

Shawna Ortega CET-562 • 602.412.7667

1	А	A break.
2	Q	A break. Okay. Let's
3		MS. KIERNY: Do you mind if we take 10?
4		THE COURT: No. That's fine.
5		MS. KIERNY: Okay.
6		THE COURT: All right. Let's take a let's take a short break, maybe
7	five or six r	ninutes. Okay? So we can
8		All right. The jurors are admonished not to do any of the things
9	told you be	fore not to do on a break. And don't form any
10		THE COURT INTERPRETER: She wants to go see her mommy; is
11	that okay?	
12		THE COURT: That's fine. Sure.
13		Let the let the witness be excused first.
14		Don't form any opinions; don't talk about the case; don't do any
15	research.	All right. And don't talk to any of the witnesses, attorneys, or or the
16	parties. All	right. Thank you.
17		Let's try to make it short. Thanks. We'll try from our end, too.
18		[Jury recessed at 4:37 p.m.]
19		THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence, and we lost the
20	prosecutors	s somewhere.
21		MS. KIERNY: We've got this
22		THE COURT: Oh, there they are. There's one. All right.
23		MS. KIERNY: Sorry to intrude. But she just seemed like she was a
24	little bit	
25		THE COURT: No. That's great. You were being very observant.

1	That's okay. We'll take a recess.
2	[Court recessed at 4:38 p.m., until 4:46 p.m.]
3	[Outside the presence of the jury.]
4	THE COURT: All right. Well all set, guys?
5	MS. KIERNY: Sure.
6	THE COURT: All right. Let's go let's bring the jurors in.
7	[Pause in proceedings.]
8	THE COURT: Is this our last witness for today?
9	MS. KIERNY: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Is that the plan?
11	MR. HAMNER: The last one for the day, yes, sir.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	[Jury reconvened at 4:47 p.m.]
14	THE COURT: Let's be seated everybody.
15	Let's call the witness back to the stand, marshal. Thank you.
16	Maradel.
17	Welcome back. Did you have a good break?
18	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
20	Ms. Kierny, you may ask questions.
21	MS. KIERNY: Thank you.
22	CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONT.)
23	BY MS. KIERNY:
24	Q All right, Maradel, Chris talked to you about telling the truth, right?
25	A Yes.
	89

1	Q	And we only say true things in here, right?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Lies are bad.
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. If I told you that I was wearing a pink jacket, that would be a lie.
6	A	Lie.
7	Q	Okay. If I said your hair is in a pony tail, would that be truth or a lie?
8	A	It's true.
9	Q	Okay. If your mom tells you something, it's the truth, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. Do you remember giving a statement in November to Elizabeth
12	Espinoza?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And in that statement, you told her that you would go in back to play
15	with your fr	iend, Litzi?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Who is Litzi?
18	A	My friend.
19	Q	Okay. And does she live in the apartment complex with you?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Is she older than you, younger than you, or something else?
22	A	Younger than me.
23	Q	Okay. And did she used to live did does she live near where
24	Yezline use	ed to live?
25	A	Yes.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	And you were because you were afraid your mom would hit you.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Chris also asked you about telling Elizabeth about the phone; do
5	you remem	ber that question?
6		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Can you repeat the question.
7		MS. KIERNY: Sure.
8	BY MS. KII	ERNY:
9	Q	Chris also asked you that about you telling Elizabeth about Don
10	David's pho	one.
11		THE COURT INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. The interpreter lost again the
12	question.	
13		MS. KIERNY: Okay.
14		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Darn. Yes.
15	BY MS. KII	ERNY:
16	Q	Chris asked you about you telling Elizabeth about Don David's phone.
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Do you remember telling Elizabeth that you could not see what was on
19	the phone?	
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay.
22		MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence.
23		No further questions at this time.
24		THE COURT: Mr. Hamner?
25		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence for one second, Your Honor.

[Pause in proceedings.]

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

RY	MR	IAH	MN	FR.
1)1	IVII .	11/41	VIIV	ın.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Do you remember when Carli, that woman there, asked you questions about going in the house and not going in the house; do you remember that?
 - A Yes.
- Q Were -- were you told by your mom not to go in that house after these things happened with Don David?
 - MR. WESTBROOK: Objection. Hearsay.
- MR. HAMNER: Not being offered for the truth, but the effect on the witness.
 - THE COURT: Yeah. Not being offered for the truth. Overruled.
 - MS. KIERNY: And I'm sorry. I didn't catch the last part of that.

BY MR. HAMNER:

- Q I said, were you told by your mom not to go into Don David's house after you told her about the things that happened with Don David?
 - MS. KIERNY: Okay. Thank you.
 - THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. HAMNER:

- Q Is that what -- is that what you were telling Elizabeth when you were talking about not going in the house?
 - A Yes.
- Q You -- you also were asked some questions about saying you -- you told Elizabeth you couldn't see what was on the phone.
 - A Yes.

	1	
1	Q	Do you also remember telling Elizabeth your sister one of your sisters
2	was telling	you about the things that were on the phone?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you remember standing there when Don David was showing your
5	sisters the	phone?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Okay.
8		MR. HAMNER: No further questions at this time.
9		THE COURT: All right. Ms. Kierny?
10		MS. KIERNY: Yes. Court's brief indulgence.
11		THE COURT: Take your time.
12		[Pause in proceedings.]
13		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. KI	ERNY:
15	Q	When you were talking with Elizabeth, do you recall being asked this
16	question a	nd giving this answer:
17		Okay. And how come you guys because
18		MR. HAMNER: Page page number, counsel?
19		MS. KIERNY: Pardon?
20		MR. HAMNER: Page number, counsel.
21		MS. KIERNY: Oh, I'm so sorry.
22		MR. HAMNER: That's okay.
23		MS. KIERNY: 33, I'm on 33.
24		MR. HAMNER: That's fine. Thank you.
25	BY MS. KI	ERNY:

1	Q	Oh I'll should I start over? I'm sorry.
2		Do you do you remember being asked this question and giving
3	this answe	r:
4		Question: Okay. And how come you guys because I
5	unde	erstood something about inside of his house, how come you guys go
6	insic	le of his house?
7		Answer
8		THE COURT INTERPRETER: I'm sorry. You're going too fast.
9		MS. KIERNY: Oh, I'm so sorry. I'm a fast speaker.
10		THE COURT INTERPRETER: And I need you to increase your voice a
11	volume, pl	ease.
12		MS. KIERNY: So start from the beginning?
13		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Thank you. Yes.
14		MS. KIERNY: Okay.
15	BY MS. KI	ERNY:
16	Q	Do you recall being asked this question and giving this answer:
17		Okay question: How come you guys because I understood
18	som	ething about inside his house how come you guys go inside of his
19	hous	se?
20		Answer: We don't go inside of his house.
21		Do you remember being asked that question and giving that
22	answer?	
23	А	Yes.
24		MS. KIERNY: Okay. No further questions.
25		Oh, does she

1	THE COURT INTERPRETER: She just answered I I said do you
2	remember that Elizabeth asked you that? She said yes. But was something after
3	the question, wasn't it?
4	BY MS. KIERNY:
5	Q Okay. Do you remember being asked the do you do you also
6	remember answering, We don't go inside of his house?
7	A Yes.
8	MS. KIERNY: Okay. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Do the jurors have any questions at this time?
10	Okay. Thank you. I'll give you a few seconds. All right. Very
11	good. No questions.
12	Then, Maradel, thank you.
13	Right. Thank you very much for your time. And you can go
14	home now. Thank you. Have a nice day.
15	Okay. You may you may leave. You may watch your step.
16	That's all we had planned for today, folks.
17	Is that right, counsel?
18	MR. HAMNER: That's correct.
19	THE COURT: Oh, that was
20	MS. KOLLINS: Yes, Your Honor. I apologize.
21	THE COURT: So all right. Can I I can go ahead and excuse the
22	jurors now?
23	MS. KOLLINS: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: All right. And what time tomorrow is Wednesday.
25	Can we I thought about starting at 11:00, right, and you were going to check you

MS. KOLLINS: I've -- I've --

THE COURT: What did we find out?

MS. KOLLINS: I have shifted everyone so that we can start at 11:00 tomorrow.

THE COURT: Excellent. All right. So we will start at 11:00. So we'll a make a little -- make up a little bit of time tomorrow. All right. All right.

So ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished during this overnight recess do not communicate among yourselves or with anybody else about this trial or the subject matter of this trial; do not communicate at all with any of the parties, attorneys, or witnesses involved in this trial; do not seek or obtain any information or comments about the case from any source, including without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, Internet, e-mail, cell phones, or any other electronic device; do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary about the case; do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you for deliberations; and do not perform any research or investigation.

You are directed to return to the hallway outside this courtroom for further proceedings tomorrow at 11:00 a.m. and we're probably going to need to go until 5:00 p.m. tomorrow.

Thank you very much for your time. Please leave your notes. Have a safe evening.

[Jury recessed at 5:02 p.m.]

THE COURT: Thank you, interpreters.

We are outside the presence of the jury.

1	Mr. Hamner, would you mind giving us a little heads up on what's
2	the plan for tomorrow?
3	MR. HAMNER: Sure, Your Honor. We are going to start at 11:00 a.m.
4	with Yezline.
5	THE COURT: Yezline, okay.
6	MR. HAMNER: Yes. So that'll be the last of the kids.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	MR. HAMNER: Then the plan is to call the following witnesses. It's an
9	ambitious list, but we we're they are a lot of short witnesses.
10	Dr. Sandra Cetl of the
11	THE COURT: Tell us if we need the interpreters. That's what they
12	probably need to know.
13	MR. HAMNER: After Yezline, guess what? No interpreters.
14	THE COURT: Oh, okay. Other than the one for the defendant.
15	MR. HAMNER: Well well, two right. Or you need two maybe
16	two two for them to switch on and off.
17	THE COURT: Just we only need interpreters for the defendant.
18	MR. HAMNER: No witness no witness oh, well, in the morning,
19	at 11:00
20	THE COURT: Yes.
21	MR. HAMNER: we will need two for Yezline.
22	THE COURT: Yes.
23	MR. HAMNER: Yezline will speak Spanish. But after Yezline, it should
24	be after our lunch break, we'll be done
25	THE COURT: No. Yezline might take that might take a little longer

1	than Maradel and Mirabel, right?
2	MR. HAMNER: It maybe.
3	THE COURT: So that might take about 45 minutes to an hour?
4	MR. HAMNER: I I think it's going to be about the same amount as
5	probably Mirabel. I I can't imagine it being that maybe Jatziri, but, I mean, I
6	ballpark, maybe an hour.
7	THE COURT: I think the court interpreters should plan on being here
8	at 11:00 and staying until about 12:00 noon for the first witness. Okay. Then we'll
9	need just a couple interpreters for
10	MR. HAMNER: Well
11	MR. WESTBROOK: Yezline and Jatziri are probably similar time-wise
12	I would guess.
13	MR. HAMNER: And maybe maybe an hour and a half, just if you
14	incorporate cross, I always think if it's maybe 45 minutes for each side or an hou
15	and 30 minutes hour and a half.
16	THE COURT: So plan plan for an hour and a half there.
17	THE COURT INTERPRETER: Okay. Thank you very much. I have a
18	question, what about Thursday? Do you only have this is
19	MR. HAMNER: No interpreters for witnesses.
20	THE COURT INTERPRETER: So that's that's the end for us?
21	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
22	THE INTERPRETER: Great. Thank you.
23	THE COURT: Well, thank you, so much.
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Thanks.
25	THE COURT: All right. So Yezline and then you said Dr. Cetl

two and two together, until she explained who Wendy Losada was.

18

19 20

22

21

24

23

25

MS. KOLLINS: First of all, the counseling records are not done through
Rape Crisis. And this witness is being called for a very limited purpose. This is the
inception of where these people learned about Hermandad Mexicana and the
U visa. So she gave these individuals a card and said, if you're interested in a
U visa, go here. So there is no discovery. There's nothing discoverable.

In terms of counseling records, those are privileged. And we had an extensive conversation about that. There is no counseling records coming in. There's no counselor to come in here and say these kids have been affected in a certain way. There's no counseling records.

All this is is Wendy Losada, who is a Spanish speaking, basically, victim's assistant at Rape Crisis, who gave them the referral for the U visa. Because the whole issue, even in voir dire and on cross-examination, is that somehow that this was created in an effort to obtain a U visa and stay in this country under the protection --

THE COURT: Yeah. So she's only being offered to testify about the U visa process and what she said about U visa?

MR. HAMNER: Right.

THE COURT: She's not going to talk about what anybody said to her? You're not -- she's not going to offer any testimony about what other people said or any hearsay?

MR. HAMNER: Nothing -- it'll be nothing about counseling or anything of that nature. If anything, it would be about --

THE COURT: So that's a separate --

MR. HAMNER: Just -- the focus of her testimony will solely be on a discussion about the U visas, who brought the topic up, and whether or not these

1	people walked in asking for U visas or it was someone like Wendy Losada who was
2	bringing up we proffer she's going to say I'm the one who told them about it.
3	They didn't ask me. I told them and then gave them the card for Hermandad
4	Mexico.
5	THE COURT: She's not going to offer any documents that you didn't
6	produce in discovery?
7	MR. HAMNER: No. She's going to offer no documents at all. She's
8	just going to talk about when they walked in and how she offered
9	THE COURT: Let me hear from Ms. Kierny. Is he
10	MS. KIERNY: She
11	THE COURT: she still has a concern of what it is.
12	MS. KIERNY: She does I mean, obviously, she does work at the
13	Rape Crisis Center. Amanda did testify that she was taking her girls there for
14	counseling.
15	THE COURT: Right.
16	MS. KIERNY: And you did order that we get the that when you get
17	those records for in camera review, what I'm concerned about is that
18	THE COURT: So that's a separate issue. It sounds like there's one
19	issue is can she be allowed to testify? The other issue is was there a violation of a
20	discovery order? That's a separate issue.
21	MS. KIERNY: I think you can say, yeah, separated.
22	THE COURT: Then I then I you're since she's testifying to a very
23	limited topic, the U visas, even if there was a violation of the discovery order, that
24	doesn't affect her ability to testify. All right.
25	But what it sounds like this is an issue of whether you're

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 6]

1	prejudiced it's actually prejudiced by not having these counseling records.
2	MS. KIERNY: Without those records, obviously, I don't know the
3	context in what which, you know, this this conversation came up. I don't know
4	how it came up with Ms. Losada. I don't know if it was in the context of
5	counseling
6	THE COURT: But what does that all that matter? The issue is
7	whether you know, who came up who do what
8	MS. KIERNY: Context is everything in this case, because depending
9	on who is there, there's different disclosures.
10	THE COURT: No. But this they're offering this person just to rebut
11	that your theory that that well, that they're motivated to lie based on the
12	U visas, basically. Right?
13	MS. KIERNY: I I understand that, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: And they didn't know about U visas or what did they
15	know about U visas, until they were referred to Hermandad Mexicana
16	MS. KIERNY: I mean, Amanda
17	THE COURT: what they told them and
18	MS. KIERNY: Amanda did testify that she knew about it before this
19	case before October 16th, before the disclosure in this case. So I don't know that
20	that's necessary to rebut what Amanda's said, because she said something
21	THE COURT: Well, then I don't understand
22	MS. KIERNY: different than what they even opened to.
23	THE COURT: They're allowed to put on whatever evidence is relevant.
24	I
25	MS. KIERNY: Right.

THE COURT: You might disagree with the evidence, but argue it in a different way. I'm -- I'm not understanding -
MS. KIERNY: May be I'm not -- okay.

THE COURT: I'm not understanding why you're saying they can't put the witness on. That's -- I'm having trouble with that.

MS. KIERNY: Without knowing more about why that family was there, I have no way of crossing.

THE COURT: What difference does it make why they were there, when we only need one -- when only we need to hear what was said about the U visas then?

MS. KIERNY: Well, how it came up? In what context it came up?

THE COURT: Why --

MS. KIERNY: I feel like I'm --

THE COURT: Why is that relevant?

MS. KIERNY: That's the only way that I ever get cross-examination material on certain -- certain experts. I mean, that's how I -- I get into -- you know, otherwise, if this is a lay witness, I have some sort of statement from her. They're just going to be able to put her on for this very small issue, I can't cross about how it came up or whether it was Amanda asking or whether somebody said it before in the counseling session.

MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, here's -- here's -- and --

THE COURT: Well, I -- I think I kind of understand.

MS. KIERNY: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: No. I mean, you want to -- you want to say --

MR. HAMNER: Well --

MS.	KIERNY:	I want to	know	how it	came	up
-----	---------	-----------	------	--------	------	----

THE COURT: You want to know --

MS. KIERNY: -- before I can establish how I cross.

THE COURT: -- what's in the counseling records to see if there's anything that might be helpful to you in impeaching her testimony about how the topic of U visa came up? That's one thing.

MS. KIERNY: I think that's an appropriate way to say what I said.

THE COURT: What else -- what's your response?

MR. HAMNER: And -- and my response is -- is twofold. Number one, is I don't think there's anything impermissible for us to bring in a witness for a limited purpose to address the defense that they've said from the very beginning. I don't think there's anything wrong with that.

There are no counseling papers that go in association with the fact that she handed them a business card.

Number three, Wendy Losada has been noticed as a witness for quite some time.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. HAMNER: If they wanted to speak to Wendy Losada or get a sense, they could have sent an investigator down and say, Did you ever speak to any of these people?

And as I can see for -- at this point, they haven't done that. And the idea that the onus is on us to hand them over every single thing, if they're -- when -- when the only purpose to call this witness is just to say, I'm the one that handed them the business card about Hermandad and told them about the U visa, there's nothing more to it.

MS. KIERNY: -- like you did with the CPS records --

25

1	THE COURT: Records.
2	MS. KIERNY: and found useful stuff for us.
3	MR. WESTBROOK: It was the same order.
4	MS. KIERNY: It was same order.
5	THE COURT: Well, I've got to see an order, guys. You can't you
6	guys you guys got to give me either the minutes or an order so I can you know,
7	I can't remember what I said that day. And I'm not I'm not going to take the time
8	to go through JAVS. I mean, that's why we need written orders and that's why we
9	have minutes, right?
10	MS. KOLLINS: And if I could just address that very briefly.
11	THE COURT: Yeah. Sure. Yeah.
12	MS. KOLLINS: There is no witness on behalf of the State that is
13	coming in here to testify to the mental status of any of these five victims that are
14	verbal.
15	So Wendy Losada is for a very limited purpose.
16	THE COURT: That's not the issue, Ms. Kollins.
17	The the issue that they're bringing up now is is whether
18	they've been prejudiced by not having discovery.
19	MS. KOLLINS: Well
20	THE COURT: It's not it's a separate a separate issue from
21	MS. KOLLINS: I I understand. But in what regard are they
22	prejudiced? They haven't
23	THE COURT: I don't know.
24	MS. KOLLINS: wanted to cross-examine
25	THE COURT: I'm trying to find out.
l	107

25

MS. KOLLINS: these kids about their mental status. They haven't
cross-examined the parents about mental status. Now, all of a sudden, five days
nto trial, now they want counseling records that are privileged no. You can order
those records and the parent still has to sign off for them.

THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.

MS. KOLLINS: So -- and we had this discussion during discovery. So I don't know what Ms. Kierny is saying that she needs them for right now, other than to throw hands up at the air and say we don't have them.

THE COURT: No. I -- and Ms. Kollins, I agree with you that she has not demonstrated relevance. But I -- I actually haven't asked her that question yet.

She's only raised the point that she remembered me ordering them, and I don't remember that. But she says I ordered them. She didn't get them. And so she's raising a concern. I -- I don't think it's wrong for her to raise the issue, right, that she wants to know if a -- if a -- if you're -- if she was supposed to get something that she didn't get, isn't it fair that she at least ask where are they? I -- I don't see anything wrong in her asking that if -- if I did order it. I don't remember if I ordered it.

MS. KOLLINS: And I guess we have a -- and I'm not being glib and I'm not being presumptuous. I just -- I think we're all on a different page about --

THE COURT: Okav.

MS. KOLLINS: -- what these -- what the purpose of these are at this juncture in the trial when we're almost through all the victims and we're almost through all the parents, wherein if you were going to glean relevant information from counseling records, that those would have been the people to ask the questions to. Not Wendy Losada. She's nothing but a victim advocate.

1	So it seems to me there's a little bit of bootstrapping our objection			
2	to Wendy Losada with this			
3	THE COURT: Well, let's			
4	MS. KOLLINS: records issue.			
5	THE COURT: let's forget about Wendy Losada for a second. Let's			
6	forget she's been called. Let's just pretend that her name doesn't exist. Okay.			
7	Can can we do that?			
8	MS. KOLLINS: Sure.			
9	THE COURT: Will you just humor me for a second, please?			
10	MS. KOLLINS: Sure. I I'm not			
11	THE COURT: Let's forget the name exists. All right. Completely			
12	separate issue.			
13	The defense is saying, Hey, Judge, you ordered that I'm			
14	supposed to get these counseling records, and I never got them. You know, what			
15	can we do about it?			
16	Well, what I need to find out is, number one, did I order them?			
17	Number two, did the State not produce them? Then number three, are they			
18	material? Because if if I ordered them, they weren't produced, there's no excuse			
19	for not producing them, and they are material, that's a relevant issue, right, on			
20	whether they get a fair trial.			
21	MS. KOLLINS: Well, I			
22	THE COURT: And it has nothing to do with the			
23	MS. KOLLINS: I I			
24	THE COURT: Okay.			
25	MS. KOLLINS: I understand the chronology you went through, but I			

١	disagree with the ultimate analysis.			
2	THE COURT: Okay.			
3	MS. KOLLINS: So I don't think it prejudices them. I don't think that			
4	they've stated a relevant purpose.			
5	THE COURT: No, but see, you're jumping ahead to the merits.			
6	MS. KOLLINS: All right.			
7	THE COURT: And I'm just saying what is the protocol to resolve the			
8	issue? Would you agree with me that if there was document			
9	MS. KOLLINS: Well			
10	THE COURT: Can I finish my question?			
11	MS. KOLLINS: Sure.			
12	THE COURT: Okay. Would you agree with me that if I ordered the			
13	State to produce the records, all right, and they weren't produced, then the next			
14	step is to find out if they're relevant and material? Is that important to know if			
15	they're relevant and material? Will you at least concede that?			
16	MS. KOLLINS: I will, but			
17	THE COURT: No?			
18	MS. KOLLINS: Yes.			
19	THE COURT: I don't understand why you wouldn't concede that. That			
20	bothers me.			
21	MS. KOLLINS: Well, I guess my problem is at the very beginning of			
22	your statement where can you order me to retrieve something from a third party			
23	that is privileged, that's where we'd the whole discussion at the at the discovery			
24	hearing. So that I I if they're if there's something in there that is relevant and			
25	you believe they			

20

21

22

24

25

23

THE COURT: But if I ordered them and you choose just to -- to ignore the order, that would -- without saying, Judge, I'm going to take it up on appeal, that would be concerning to me, too.

MR. HAMNER: No, and -- and I --

THE COURT: I -- I'm really getting frustrated here.

MR. HAMNER: Well, and I -- and I get that. And I -- and I think the State would agree that if you had ordered something and if it's relevant, that that's -- that's -- that analysis is correct.

I think what the State's position is, is there was an extensive discussion about whether or not a court can order the State to compel a third party to turn over confidential records.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: And that there was an extensive discussion at our first very thorough discovery argument about, logistically, why that is problematic, that, from our perspective, the State can't -- the court can't compel the State to ask a third party to turn over something privileged. That it's got to be waivers done by other parties and --

THE COURT: And I understand that. That's why I was agreeing with Ms. Kollins --

MR. HAMNER: And I know you were.

THE COURT: -- that the first step is to determine if I ordered it. And I -- I don't remember if I ordered it.

MR. HAMNER: And our impression was that you did not order us to turn those over. If there's something to the contrary, we would have acted -- you know, that we'd know about, we'd act differently. But it's very clear that we had a

2 was a second -- a second time where you guys met and -- and there was another 3 order issued and maybe something potentially got changed --4 THE COURT: My protocol is generally not to order third parties to turn 5 over documents in discovery. That -- that -- you know, with CPS records the standard protocol is usually there's an order from the court that they turn them over for in camera review. MR. HAMNER: Right. THE COURT: All right. And then the court makes a determination if they should be produced. When it comes to third party records other than CPS, or records that the State doesn't have the ability to obtain without a subpoena, then I -- then I require the defense to -- to have a subpoena issued. MR. HAMNER: Right. THE COURT: That's just what I generally do. MR. HAMNER: And -- and I think --THE COURT: And -- and I -- so I -- I just don't recall ordering the Rape Crisis records to be produced. It doesn't -- because the only way I would have ordered them to be produced is if they're records held by the State -- held by the prosecutor or law enforcement that was involved in an investigation of the matter. MR. HAMNER: All right. And -- and I --THE COURT: All right. Counseling records by a private organization, all right, are generally not the sorts of records that I order to be produced. MR. HAMNER: And -- and I would say -- and I agree with all of that. And I would also note --

pretty thorough discussion about it. But I'm not saying that maybe -- I know there

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: -- factually for the record, this is not a case where the defense had issued a subpoena for any Rape Crisis -- from the Rape Crisis Center to get records related to these people.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: That did not happen. And they didn't file in their Motion to Compel, listen, we've made an attempt on our own to issue a subpoena and it's not being ordered. They didn't seek out an ex parte order from the court ordering those.

THE COURT: So I -- I hear that.

So let me go back to Ms. Kierny.

So I think --

MS. KIERNY: He just told me --

THE COURT: I think we're a little bit off on --

MS. KIERNY: -- that I can't get those records --

THE COURT: I think we were on the wrong page a little bit.

MS. KIERNY: -- without having the mother sign.

THE COURT: What Ms. Kollins is saying is that let's don't get to the issue of -- well, first of all, she said they're not relevant. But she's saying, let's don't get to that issue. You never ordered these to be produced.

MS. KIERNY: Right.

THE COURT: Because you -- because you can't, because they're privileged and we don't have them. I think that's what she's saying.

So you need to prove to me, all right, Ms. Kierny, that -- that I did order them. And --

1	MS. KIERNY: Okay.		
2	THE COURT: because I I don't think I did.		
3	MS. KIERNY: Okay.		
4	THE COURT: But you've got to prove to me that I did.		
5	MS. KIERNY: Is it possible to ask for the JAVS of April 4th? All I		
6	have I I do have that I had		
7	THE COURT: Yeah.		
8	MS. KIERNY: Obviously, I went through the first hearing we didn't		
9	get to that issue. It was further along in the requests and we were arguing about		
10	something else.		
11	THE COURT: Yeah.		
12	MS. KIERNY: And then we ended up coming back		
13	THE COURT: Right.		
14	MS. KIERNY: and that's when it was addressed.		
15	THE COURT: Well, we had two hearings.		
16	MS. KIERNY: And Ms. Kollins was here and Mr. Hamner was not here		
17	And that was when that happened in I wrote it in with the CPS records in		
18	camera, in camera. So I wrote those notes		
19	THE COURT: Can we just rely on the minutes? Yeah. Let do you		
20	know how extensive the minutes are?		
21	MS. KIERNY: Let's see what the minutes		
22	MR. WESTBROOK: We went by point by point. So if the minutes		
23	are point by point, that should be fine.		
24	THE COURT: Tell you I'll tell you what, I I see this issue as		
25	completely disconnected from from whether Wendy Losada testifies. So I'm		

1	allowing her to testify.
2	MS. KIERNY: Okay.
3	THE COURT: So we don't need to resolve this by tomorrow. All right.
4	She's she is going to be able to testify, because her testimony has nothing to do
5	with these counseling records. All right. That's that's how I see it. It's a
6	completely separate issue, you know, if they failed to produce something that they
7	should have produced and you're prejudiced by that. Completely separate issue.
8	MS. KIERNY: Okay.
9	THE COURT: All right.
10	MS. KIERNY: Okay.
11	MR. WESTBROOK: I'd I'd be happy, too, just to to wait around for
12	a disc and do all the work and do timestamps, as well.
13	THE COURT: All right. I I can't wait around. I've got to leave.
14	MR. WESTBROOK: That's fine. That's fine. I'll I'll do the waiting.
15	THE COURT: Well, and I don't know if my staff
16	Can you do you have time to burn a CD of that hearing?
17	MR. WESTBROOK: Or, if not, I can come tomorrow morning. That's
18	fine, too.
19	THE COURT RECORDER: What's the date again?
20	MR. WESTBROOK: April 4th, 9:00 a.m.
21	THE COURT RECORDER: Okay.
22	MS. KIERNY: We were called probably about 20 minutes into the
23	calendar.
24	THE COURT: Were Ms. Kollins, were you the one here at the
25	second discovery hearing?

MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah.

MS. KOLLINS: I was.

MR. HAMNER: And I would think for completeness purposes, if you're going to burn from April 4th, you should also have burned the other hearing where there was a -- which we know there was an extensive discussion about the privilege issue with -- with ordering counseling records from a third party. If there were two discussions on discovery, I think for the record to complete -- be complete, both of those JAVS should be pulled.

THE COURT: You guys are giving me a headache.

MR. HAMNER: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: I'll look at both of them.

MR. HAMNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. KOLLINS: And I'm -- I'm sorry to --

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I'll go through and timestamp them with anything relevant, just to -- just as an -- to help. I have no problem with that.

MS. KOLLINS: And -- and I just want to let the court know that this is a -- you know, just for the record, obviously, 60-day invoked setting. We have not inquired on whether these kids are attending specific counseling. We don't even know that right now. So we don't have the names of any treating anything right now.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KOLLINS: Okay.

THE COURT: Well, I -- if I ordered anything, it probably would have been limited to just produce whatever you have in your possession or you plan -- you plan on using. I can't imagine I would have ordered them to go get them. It

1	just doesn't seem like something other		
2	Ms. Kierny.		
3	MS. KIERNY: What does it say in the minutes?		
4	THE COURT RECORDER: Which		
5	MS. KIERNY: For No. 18.		
6	THE COURT RECORDER: Number 18?		
7	THE COURT: All right. I think we're done. All right. We done?		
8	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah, we're done.		
9	THE COURT: All right. I'll I'll review both I'll review both days. All		
10	right.		
11	MR. HAMNER: Thank you, Your Honor.		
12	[Court recessed at 5:24 p.m., until the following day,		
13	May 3, 2017, at 11:16 a.m.]		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the		
20	best of my ability, from the audio/visual recording of the proceedings in the above-		
21	entitled case.		
22	ShawnaOde		
23			
24	Shawna Ortega, CET*562		
25			

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA			
2				
3	JOSE AZUCENA)	No. 74071	
4	Appellant,)		
5)		
6	V.)		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
8	Respondent.)		
9		_) ´		
	<u>APPELLANT'S APPEN</u>	DIX VOI	LUME XI PAGES 1932-2048	
10 11	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender		STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor	
12	309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610]	200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155	
13	Attorney for Appellant		ADAM LAXALT	
14		(Attorney General 100 North Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717	
15		((702) 687-3538	
16	CERTIF]		Counsel for Respondent OF SERVICE	
17				
18	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada Supreme Court on the 10 day of April, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing			
19	document shall be made in accordance	-		
20	ADAM LAXALT		DEBORAH L. WESTBROOK	
21	STEVEN S. OWENS		HOWARD S. BROOKS	
22	I further certify that I serv	ved a copy	y of this document by mailing a true and	
	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid,	addressed	I to:	
23	JOSE AZUCENA, #1183653			
24	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISO P.O. BOX 650	ΟN		
25	INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070			
26	DV	/s/ C	arria M. Connolly	
27	BY/s/ Carrie M. Connolly Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office			
28	-			