1	IN THE SUPREME C	COURT (OF THE STAT	E OF NEVADA
2				_
3	JOSE AZUCENA)	No. 74071	
4	Appellant,)		Electronically Filed Apr 10 2018 04:45 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
5 6	V.)))		Clerk of Supreme Court
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
8	Respondent.)		
10	APPELLANT'S APPE	NDIX V	OLUME XII P.	AGES 2049-2241
11	PHILIP J. KOHN		STEVE WOI	LFSON
12 13	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610		Clark County 200 Lewis A Las Vegas, N	District Attorney venue, 3 rd Floor evada 89155
14	Attorney for Appellant		ADAM LAX Attorney Ger 100 North Ca	
15 16			100 North Ca Carson City, (702) 687-35	Nevada 89701-4717
17			Counsel for I	Respondent
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
2425				
26				
27				
28				

INDEX JOSE AZUCENA Case No. 74071

Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony that the Accusers' Behavior is Consistent with that of a Victim of Sexual Abuse Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Use of the Prejudicial Term "Victim" Defendant's Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 04/18/17 469-471 Ex Parte Application for an Order Shortening Time Fifth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/20/17............ 475-477 Fourth Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/19/17....... 472-474 Indictment Warrant Return filed 02/03/17015 Jury List filed 05/08/17......534

1	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 02/06/17
2 3	Media Request and Order for Camera Access to Court Proceedings filed 02/14/17
4	Memorandum Regarding the Use of NRS 51.385 to Admit Hearsay Testimony filed 05/08/17
56	Motion in Limine to Admit Scholarly Treatise on Immigration Law Date of Hrg: 04/11/17
7	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material filed 04/18/17
8 9 10	Motion to Dismiss for Repeated and Ongoing Discovery/Brady Violations and Motion for an Evidentiary Hearing Date of Hrg: 04/24/17
11	Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material—Redacted filed 04/18/17
12	Notice of Appeal filed 09/18/17
13	Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 02/27/17
14	Plaintiff's Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed 05/08/17 535-541
15	Receipt of Copy filed 03/31/17
16	Receipt of Copy filed 04/07/17
17	Receipt of Copy filed 04/12/17
18	Second Amended Indictment filed 05/01/17
19	Second Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/11/17 400-402
20	State's Memorandum filed 04/25/17
21 22	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Admit Scholarly Treatise on Immigration Law Date of Hrg: 04/11/17
23 24	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude the Prejudicial Term "Victim" Date of Hrg: 04/11/17
25 26	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material Date of Hrg: 03/23/17
27 28	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Preclude Lay Opinion Date of Hrg: 04/11/17

1 2	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material Date of Hrg: 04/04/17
3	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/05/17 394-396
4	Third Supplemental Notice of Witnesses and/or Expert Witnesses filed 04/11/17 403-405
5	Verdict filed 05/10/17
6	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
7	Recorder's Transcript
8	JURY TRIAL DAY 1 Date of Hrg: 04/24/17
9	Recorder's Transcript
10	JURY TRIAL DAY 2 Date of Hrg: 04/25/17
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	JURY TRIAL DAY 3 Date of Hrg: 04/27/17
13	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 4
14	Date of Hrg: 04/28/17
15	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 5
16	Date of Hrg: 05/01/17
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	JURY TRIAL DAŶ 6 Date of Hrg: 05/02/17
19	Recorder's Transcript
20	JURY TRIAL DAY 7 Date of Hrg: 05/03/17
21	Recorder's Transcript
22	JURY TRIAL DAY 8 Date of Hrg: 05/04/17
23	Recorder's Transcript
24	JURY TRIAL DAÝ 9 Date of Hrg: 05/05/27
25	Recorder's Transcript
26	JURY TRIAL DAY 10 Date of Hrg: 05/08/17
27	Recorder's Transcript
28	JURY TRIAL DAY 11 Date of Hrg: 05/09/17

1 2	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 12 Date of Hrg: 05/10/17
3	Recorder's Transcript
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Use of the Prejudicial Term "Victim"; Defendant's Motion in Limine to Preclude Lay Opinion Testimony
5	that the Accusers' Behavior is Consistent with that of a Victim of Sexual Abuse; Motion in Limine to Admit Scholarly Treatise on Immigration Law
6	Date of Hrg: 04/11/17
7	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery and Brady Material
8	Date of Hrg: 03/23/17
9	Recorder's Transcript Defendant's Motion to Reconsider Defendant's Motion to
	Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Materials
10	Date of Hrg: 04/04/17
11	Recorder's Transcript Grand Jury Return
12	Date of Hrg: 02/02/17
13	Recorder's Transcript Hearing
14	Date of Hrg: 04/26/17
15	Recorder's Transcript
16	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 02/14/17
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	Initial Arraignment; Indictment Warrant Return Date of Hrg: 02/09/17
19	Recorder's Transcript Sentencing
20	Date of Hrg: 06/22/17
21	Recorder's Transcript
22	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 08/17/17
23	Reporter's Transcript
24	Grand Jury Date of Hrg: 02/01/17
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 12/11/2017 7:44 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

RTRAN

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4

6

1

⁵ THE STATE OF NEVADA,

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

Plaintiff,
vs.

DEPT. NO. II

JOSE AZUCENA,

Defendant.

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD SCOTTI, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

WEDNESDAY, MAY 3, 2017

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: STACEY L. KOLLINS, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

CHRISTOPHER S. HAMNER, ESQ.

Deputy District Attorney

For the Defendant: P. DAVID WESTBROOK, ESQ.

Deputy Public Defender CARLI L. KIERNY, ESQ. Deputy Public Defender

RECORDED BY: DALYNE EASLEY, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: SHAWNA ORTEGA, CET-562

1	INDEV		
'	<u>INDEX</u>		
2	<u>WITNESSES</u>		
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:		
4	YEZLINE ESTRELLA		
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Kollins	28	
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	60 68	
7	SANDRA CETL		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Kollins Cross-Examination by Ms. Kierny	72 86	
9	Redirect Examination by Ms. Kollins	88	
10	ROBERT GARRIS		
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	90	
12	JACOB SCHMIDT Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	96	
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook	99	
14	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	100	
15	ERICH TSCHIRGI	100	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook	103 109	
17	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner Additional Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	113 114	
18	SERGIO NARVAEZ		
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	115	
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	117 118	
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook	118	
22	ROGER PRICE	100	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook	120 136	
24	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner Recross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook	137 138	
25			

1	INDEX (cont.)	
2	<u>WITNESSES</u>	
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
4		
5	WENDY LOSADA Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner	146
6		
7	JANETTE AMADOR Direct Examination by Mr. Hamner Cross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook	148 157
8	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner Recross-Examination by Mr. Westbrook	177 182
10	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Hamner	185
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	<u>EXHIBITS</u>	
17	DESCRIPTION	ADMITTED
18	State's Exhibit No. 58	85
19	State's Exhibit Nos. 49 and 49A	145
20	Defense Exhibit Nos. A and B Defense Exhibit No. B removed	164 167
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, WEDNESDAY, MAY 3, 2017	
2	[Proceedings commenced at 11:16 p.m.]	
3		
4	[Outside the presence of the jury.]	
5	THE COURT: Are we ready to proceed?	
6	MS. KOLLINS: I I think	
7	MR. WESTBROOK: There's going to be brief record. I guess how brief	
8	it is depends on the court's inclination.	
9	But may I approach? I've got an exhibit I'd like to enter as	
10	defense exhibit next in order.	
11	THE COURT: Yeah. Defense exhibit. Okay.	
12	MR. WESTBROOK: This is discovery that was turned over last night.	
13	THE COURT: Discovery last night. Okay. Discovery last night. Is this	
14	a new issue or something that we started talking about yesterday, or well	
15	MR. WESTBROOK: This is	
16	MS. KIERNY: It's a new.	
17	MR. HAMNER: It's a new issue.	
18	MR. WESTBROOK: This is brand new.	
19	THE COURT: Oh, a new issue. Okay.	
20	MR. HAMNER: No, I let me let me address just factually how this	
21	happens.	
22	THE COURT: Okay.	
23	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.	
24	MR. HAMNER: Since it's since it's our obligation to turn this over.	
25	THE COURT: Yes, sir.	
I	1	

MR. HAMNER: So last night we had a pretrial set up with Detective Matt Campbell at 6:30 at night, because we anticipate him testifying on Thursday.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: As you know, the court ordered us to turn over all notes and we had indicated at that discovery motion, that argument, that we would have a meeting with Detective Campbell, where we reviewed his file and he would turn over all of his notes. Okay.

We had a meeting prior to this, as we had said. That's where we got, for example, a packet of handwritten interview notes. Interview notes that we've talked about previously at the first Motion to Dismiss at the beginning of trial; do you remember that?

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.

MR. HAMNER: Okay. So at that meeting we asked Detective Campbell, bring your file, bring all your notes. And that's what we brought us and we copied the rest of the things in his file.

Last night, at 6:30, when we were sitting there talking with him about just pretrying topics, he makes an off comment along the lines of, Yeah, I'll need to check my notes on the computer.

And we -- Ms. Kollins and I stopped and we said, What are you talking about, notes on your computer?

And he says, Well, sometimes I put in notes that just kind of track things I do during the course of a case.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: And I said to him, Well, were those the notes that you brought us in the file review?

25

And he was, like, No, I didn't know I needed to bring those.

And we looked at him and we said, When we ask you for a file review and to bring all of your notes, how could you possibly think we wouldn't want your notes that are on a computer?

He's, like, I'm sorry, I didn't know that.

So what we did is as we finished our -- our meeting, I sent him directly to headquarters, and I said, Listen, you need to go, you need to print up all those notes. I need them scanned and I need to have them e-mailed me to -- right now, because I have to get them over to defense counsel.

I'd like marked as a court exhibit --

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. HAMNER: -- Court Exhibits 1 and 2, first.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HAMNER: That is the e-mail in which Renee McCloud, his investigator, sent us over these case notes with respect to the Moreno/Estrella case and with respect to the notes with the Rangel case.

As Exhibit 2, you can see on the time stamp on that, that would be 8:02, two minutes after I received the notes without even -- even reading them, I immediately e-mailed them over to Ms. Kierny.

THE COURT: Exhibits 1 and 2 will be admitted.

MR. HAMNER: Ms. -- Ms. Kierny, pursuant to --

THE COURT: The court -- not trial exhibits for the jury.

THE CLERK: Court exhibits?

THE COURT: Court exhibits.

MR. HAMNER: Pursuant to NRS 174.295(2).

1	MR. HAMNER: Oh, he already proffered those?
2	THE CLERK: Okay. These are next in order. So these are actually
3	MR. HAMNER: I didn't see them.
4	THE CLERK: 13 and 14.
5	MR. HAMNER: Could I see what his notes were, so I can
6	MR. WESTBROOK: All I did, Chris, was I printed every single thing
7	that you gave me, but I can show them to you here.
8	MR. HAMNER: Is it in one packet?
9	MR. WESTBROOK: It's in one packet, and what I do is I numbered the
10	pages that I received sequentially at the bottom.
11	MR. HAMNER: Okay.
12	MR. WESTBROOK: In handwriting, because that's our technology.
13	MR. HAMNER: Okay.
14	MR. WESTBROOK: And so what I have here
15	MR. HAMNER: Which
16	MR. WESTBROOK: is 41 pages
17	MR. HAMNER: What is
18	MR. WESTBROOK: and this is from your e-mail from last night. But
19	you can look through them.
20	MR. HAMNER: What starts
21	MR. WESTBROOK: I start
22	MR. HAMNER: No, no, no. Hold on a second.
23	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh.
24	MR. HAMNER: I just want to see which of the two cases starts first.
25	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.

1	MR. HAMNER: To give it reference.
2	MS. KIERNY: The e-mail is on the top.
3	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah.
4	MR. HAMNER: It it may be helpful to the court if you want them
5	separated out, whatever the court's pleasure.
6	THE COURT: Yes, keep them separated.
7	MR. WESTBROOK: That's fine.
8	MR. HAMNER: All right. So let's have
9	THE COURT: Duplicates are fine.
10	MR. HAMNER: Let's have these marked as whatever the next
11	sequential one. So
12	THE COURT: What's the next number, ma'am?
13	THE CLERK: Okay. We
14	THE COURT: Shelley?
15	THE CLERK: You
16	THE COURT: Just tell me the next number.
17	THE CLERK: It would be 13, 14 that one is 15.
18	THE COURT: All right. So I'm marking as Court Exhibit 15 this group
19	of documents. It looks like it's about maybe 20 pages. It is the Metro shield at the
20	front and at
21	MR. HAMNER: It's it
22	THE COURT: the bottom left-hand corner it says print date
23	May 2nd, 2017, 8:14 p.m.
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: All right?

1

MR. HAMNER: And the next court exhibit is Detective Campbell's electronic case notes with respect to the Rangel case.

THE COURT: Same date stamp, lower-left corner with an 8:18 time stamp.

MR. HAMNER: That's correct.

THE COURT: That's Exhibit 16.

MR. HAMNER: That's correct.

THE COURT: Right? Continue.

MR. HAMNER: So what -- what I did this evening and this morning was I started to go through the documents to see if the information contained in the case notes was different than any discovery we had previously provided to the defense.

Because, obviously, under -- under that particular statute, one of the remedies is you -- you don't allow, you know, the party with the turning over the late discovery to potentially use it at trial, maybe you grant a continuance to give them more time to look it over, you know, obviously, absent bad faith.

And what I found was that the information that is contained in these two sets of case notes mirrors all of the discovery that had been provided to the defense prior to the start of trial.

I can go page by page if the court would like to walk the court through as to where each thing could be found in a corresponding arrest report or voluntary statement. It's really the court's pleasure.

But the bottom line is upon review of these items, there is no *Brady* information contained in here. There is nothing that exculpates the defendant. There's nothing that impeaches the credibility of any witness, because the things that are contained in here, that potentially are impeachment, have

already been provided in a corresponding voluntary statement from another -- a relevant witness.

There is no *Giglio* material in this case, and ultimately there's -there's no prejudice to the defense, because all these reports do is essentially
memorialize the, you know, the dates and the actions of Detective Campbell as we
walked through these two investigations with respect to the Moreno and Estrella
children, and then a separate one with respect to Scarlett Rangel, which was the
later disclosed victim.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Well, before I decide whether you need further explanations, let's hear from the defense on whether this is an issue.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.

As a preface, I just want to remind the court that it doesn't matter whether it was a district attorney who was responsible for this not being turned over pursuant to the court's order or if it was a detective not communicating with the district attorney. It's all the State, and any bad faith by Detective Campbell is bad faith by the State. I believe that there's evidence in here of bad faith.

Also, I disagree that -- that -- with the State's pronouncement that there is nothing exculpatory in here.

As with virtually all of the other discovery that was turned over late, this is full of exculpatory evidence, and I'll explain. I think we're deep enough in the case now that I can explain it without giving up parts of our defense that earlier I was not able to, when I requested the in camera review.

The first thing we learned from this is on the very first page of the Defense No. 1 today, the -- the first discovery packet turned over, it just shows the

1	e-mail on there.
2	The date of the e-mail, this was an e-mail from Mr. Hamner to
3	Detective Campbell, and it's dated January 24th, 2017. There were issues in this
4	case when I was arguing about
5	THE COURT: I don't see that.
6	MR. WESTBROOK: It should be in the first thing that was taken over.
7	It would be the the first packet that I submitted this morning. Is that 9 or 10? The
8	court exhibit?
9	THE COURT: I don't know what you're talking about.
10	MR. WESTBROOK: May I approach?
11	MR. HAMNER: If just for clarification, if the court refers to the State's
12	court exhibit.
13	MR. WESTBROOK: Right there.
14	THE COURT: I can't listen to two people
15	MR. WESTBROOK: Sorry.
16	THE COURT: at the same time.
17	MR. HAMNER: No. If the court refers to the court's the State's
18	exhibit, if you if you look here, that it had a creation date time, it says 3/13/2007
19	[sic] at 9:56.
20	THE COURT: Is that Exhibit 15?
21	MR. HAMNER: Let me just see. Yes. That would be your Exhibit 15.
22	THE COURT: What about it?
23	MR. HAMNER: He's when he refers to the date of January 24th, it's
24	an e-mail that's embedded in an entry made by Detective Campbell on
25	THE COURT: Oh. Okav.

1	MR. HAMNER: March 13, 2000
2	THE COURT: Because I see the March 13th date and it's down here.
3	MR. HAMNER: Right. He makes a March 13th entry
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. HAMNER: where he adds an e-mail I sent on January 24th.
6	THE COURT: Now I'm with you.
7	MR. WESTBROOK: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. It's about halfway
8	down the page. And I have it marked, you know, with my little number on the
9	bottom as page 1 of my 41-page packet.
10	THE COURT: I see. Okay.
11	MR. WESTBROOK: It says, Tuesday, January this is just an e-mail
12	that was sent from Mr. Hamner to Detective Campbell, Tuesday, January 24th,
13	at 8:18 a.m.
14	Now, recall, this is before discovery motions. It's before any
15	arguments in this case regarding discovery.
16	One of the issues here was when did the State find things out?
17	What did they know and when? There were arguments about we, you know, we
18	said we were never given the contact information.
19	THE COURT: Don't be too fast, because you're putting too much of a
20	burden on the interpreter.
21	MR. WESTBROOK: I'll slow down, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	MR. WESTBROOK: One of the main issues was involving us getting
24	contact information for Lexi, who is also known as Litzi, Leo, Orlando, and Juanito.
25	And it's clear from this, that not only did the State know about the identities of these

kids and also but also they had their contact information. And they had a little
synopsis about each one of them. Okay.
It was represented that they didn't know anything about this. I
can't go deeper than that, because again, we just got this. I would compare it to
record for example in the context of an exidentiary bearing. But it's elect that the

can't go deeper than that, because again, we just got this. I would compare it to the record, for example, in the context of an evidentiary hearing. But it's clear that the representations were made about what was known and those representations don't --

THE COURT: Why does it matter? I don't know what that --

MR. WESTBROOK: -- don't appear to be true.

THE COURT: What does that matter?

MR. WESTBROOK: Because we had done a motion, which I'm renewing this morning, for a dismissal based on bad faith. I think this is evidence of --

THE COURT: Why --

MR. WESTBROOK: -- bad faith.

THE COURT: Why is it bad faith that they knew this information?

MR. WESTBROOK: They represented to the court that they didn't.

And I would like to be specific about representations.

THE COURT: I don't remember that.

MR. WESTBROOK: Well, I could be specific about it. But again, when you're in the middle of trial and you get a 41-page packet after a week and a half, it puts us as kind of a disadvantage as far as arguments.

THE COURT: What I remember is you did a motion asking for their addresses, and they said they'll give them to you, and they gave them to you. So I don't know -- I -- I'm still not following your argument.

Because you're -- you're really not -- not getting to the point.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I've got it right here.

THE COURT: You're not answering my questions.

MR. WESTBROOK: I've got it right here. And I'm trying to answer your question. All right.

THE COURT: All right. I'll give you another try.

MR. WESTBROOK: The second thing here is that this is evidence that Detective Campbell has two files, and this is very important. This is so important that this was -- this has been the subject in national news with other police departments, such as in Cook County. He has two files and he's not sharing those two files.

What Chris was saying about him saying, Well, I should check my electronic file indicates that this officer and probably other officers within Metro are keeping two files, two electronic files. And we have evidence in this case, with Detective Campbell anyway, two written files.

The written notes that he's keeping as part of some other written file were not turned over in the original discovery and they weren't turned over at all until after we had our Motion to Reconsider heard by this court and granted.

There also -- and Mr. Hamner probably found this out last night -- there is also a separate computer file. This computer file is not part of the electronic system that's accessible by the District Attorney's Office. Nor has this ever been disclosed to us before that this even exists.

And yet Detective Campbell didn't turn over discovery that was in a secret file that he -- that no one else apparently can access, at least not with the prosecutor's office.

25

This is a huge concern that there are two different files. And this detective, despite being ordered by the court and requested by Mr. Hamner, didn't see fit to produce all of his information. He's keeping secret files. This is a huge problem, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. WESTBROOK: And it clearly shows bad faith.

THE COURT: -- I mean, we don't know it's secret just because you say it's secret.

MR. WESTBROOK: The State said -- said they didn't know about it.

THE COURT: It was kind of a -- the State didn't know about he had some -- I mean, you're kind of making a big deal out of something that you haven't proven to me it's a big deal yet. It's -- he had some miscellaneous documents on his computer. I -- I don't know how we jump from they have this -- some kind of systematic hiding of documents by Metro. I don't -- that seems like a pretty big leap.

MR. WESTBROOK: Which is the reason why I'm requesting, once again, an evidentiary hearing on the topic of bad faith. Because there is evidence of it and if our request for an evidentiary hearing regarding bad faith is ignored, then it's a summary judgment. I -- you're asking me to prove stuff that requires -- and I completely agree with you -- this needs to be proven to some extent, or at least disproven.

But you can't do that without an evidentiary hearing. Again, we got this packet late last night. I -- I've read it this morning for the first time. I'm in the middle of a week-and-a-half trial.

THE COURT: Well, let's get to -- let's get to whether there's anything in

1	here that's exculpatory.		
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Certainly.		
3	THE COURT: I mean, that's isn't that the more important question?		
4	MR. WESTBROOK: I don't think it's the more important question. But		
5	it's definitely important, Your Honor.		
6	THE COURT: Okay.		
7	MR. WESTBROOK: I think that the bad faith is a very important		
8	question, too. Okay.		
9	THE COURT: So the base		
10	MR. WESTBROOK: The first thing that's important about this		
11	discovery		
12	THE COURT: Okay.		
13	MR. WESTBROOK: that I noticed in in reading, and I have it		
14	marked in my packet, my 41-page packet, which I hand wrote numbers on, on the		
15	bottom.		
16	THE COURT: I have it here. Go ahead.		
17	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. I have it on page 5.		
18	THE COURT: Yeah, I'm there.		
19	MR. WESTBROOK: There was a discussion in here about possible		
20	witness tampering. And it was stated that the District Attorney's Office never		
21	THE COURT: Well, let me read it. Let me read it here.		
22	MR. WESTBROOK: Here, I'll show you.		
23	MR. HAMNER: Could you refer to me what Bate		
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah, I think it's		
25	THE COURT: Is it the one that's		

1	MS. KIERNY: McCloud 6			
2	MR. WESTBROOK: It starts with Renee McCloud.			
3	MR. HAMNER: If you could start at least, when you refer to pages,			
4	maybe talk about the creation date in which a date happened, and that way			
5	MR. WESTBROOK: I'll do that.			
6	MR. HAMNER: it's in reverse chronological order, Your Honor.			
7	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. I just put it in the order that it came to us			
8	on.			
9	It okay. So it's called creation date/time 2/27/2017. And it			
10	involves Orlando and Juanito. And it says:			
11	I reached out to schedule forensic interviews with Orlando and			
12	Juanito.			
13	The author of this e-mail is Renee McCloud. As you might recall			
14	Your Honor, there was an issue where we attempted to interview Orlando and			
15	Juanito, who we didn't find			
16	THE COURT: Yes, I remember.			
17	MR. WESTBROOK: Right. We didn't find out about them until			
18	March 11th.			
19	THE COURT: Right.			
20	MR. WESTBROOK: This e-mail dated 2/27			
21	MS. KIERNY: Well, we didn't find out until, like			
22	MR. WESTBROOK: Was it later than that?			
23	MS. KIERNY: Yeah. Like, the 8th April 8th or something.			
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Oh, I'm sorry. I said March.			
25	THE COURT: You meant April?			

1	MR. WESTBROOK: I meant April. Yeah, I meant April. Yeah.			
2	THE COURT: I remember. I'm visualizing your the chart that you			
3	gave the court			
4	MR. WESTBROOK: Right.			
5	THE COURT: with the dates			
6	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah.			
7	THE COURT: that that you think they knew and the date you found			
8	out. Right.			
9	MR. WESTBROOK: Right. Well, this is dated February 27th, 2017.			
10	And this is clearly Renee McClouid reaching out to schedule interviews with			
11	Orlando and Juanito through the parents. The she obviously had one scheduled			
12	for 3/6/17. I believe that didn't work out. I'm not sure what other follow up was			
13	done.			
14	THE COURT: Did did this relate to the the separate charges that			
15	were being filed? And and so, you know, the and the was it detective			
16	these are Detective Campbell, did he not I think he well, let me stop for a			
17	second.			
18	I think Mr. Hamner represented to the court that Detective			
19	Campbell told him that he didn't connect the Orlando and Juanito with this case, so			
20	he didn't think to turn these documents over to him in connection with this case.			
21	MR. WESTBROOK: Except that this proves that Detective Campbell			
22	THE COURT: Maybe I'm a little bit off in my in my thinking.			
23	MR. HAMNER: A little bit, but			
24	THE COURT: But he'll he'll correct it.			
25	MR. WESTBROOK: It's a little off.			
	21			

	THE COURT: But okay.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: It's a little and he can do it now, if he if he has
3	a different take on that, if it's important.
1	THE COURT: What's your take on that?
5	MR. HAMNER: Well, let me I want to address I can address this
3	topic.
7	First of all, if you refer to that 2/27 entry from Renee McCloud, it
3	states that they tried to reach out for forensic interview for Orlando and Juanito.
9	They left a voicemail message. It doesn't indicate that they talked to her.
)	I'm waiting for her to return a phone call. Forensics tentatively
l	scheduled 3/6/17. So someone just out of an abundance of caution blocked off
2	some time.
3	If you look to March 13, 2017, that's the very first page
1	THE COURT: Yes.
5	MR. HAMNER: March 13, 2007, [sic] this is where Detective
3	Campbell had embedded my e-mail from January 24th. But what he does on
7	March 13th, if you turn to the following page, on page 2 of 3, the bottom of page 2,
3	on this 3/13 entry he has three dashes. Lexi was interviewed, no disclosure. Leo
9	was interviewed, no disclosure. Orlando and Juanito's parents that's Veronica
)	Alvarez from that 2/27 entry we just looked at would not reply to inspector
	McCloud's phone calls, so we were not able to set up interviews.
2	So what this entry on March 13th corroborates is what I

at I represented to the court, which was the following:

Detective Campbell and his people made efforts to contact this woman, Veronica Alvarez. And at the time when we were arguing this, I said, a few

weeks back, maybe back in February -- I wasn't sure exactly when, but I had told him early on, which would be January 24th, you need to try to reach out. And he was unsuccessful in doing so.

And that corroborates what I represented to the court. These entries corroborate that Veronica Alvarez never talked to them as late as March 13th. And with respect to Veronica Alvarez's voluntary statement where she walked into the [indiscernible], the date of that is March 27th, which is two weeks after.

THE COURT: All right. Two weeks after this.

MR. HAMNER: And -- and so at this point, what --

THE COURT: Two weeks after the --

MR. HAMNER: -- the date of this -- this entry --

THE COURT: -- the tentative date that was set.

MR. HAMNER: -- where Detective Campbell is noting for his own records that that particular mother has not returned any of Metro's phone calls.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HAMNER: So I would -- I would say that what we represented several weeks before is exactly what is in here. It's not anything different than what we purported to this court before. They tried to reach out to her. She did not respond. They could not set up interviews, and therefore Veronica Alvarez and her two children are not named victims in this case. They were never part of any supplemental charging document.

And the other two children that --

THE COURT: Well, it --

MR. HAMNER: Sorry.

1	THE COURT: Yeah.
2	MR. HAMNER: I'll I'll stop there on that topic.
3	THE COURT: Well, you kind of okay.
4	MR. WESTBROOK: All right.
5	THE COURT: Well, thank thank you.
6	Mr. Westbrook, why don't you continue with with that. I'm still
7	trying to understand the
8	MR. WESTBROOK: If we could return to the next page.
9	THE COURT: the facts.
10	MR. WESTBROOK: If we could return to the next page.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MR. WESTBROOK: This part's quite important.
13	MR. HAMNER: What would the entry of that
14	MR. WESTBROOK: The very the very next page, all it says is one
15	line, and it says:
16	I let DA Hamner
17	I assume the missing word there is know.
18	of the result of his request for setting up the interviews.
19	Which means that this tentative March date did we say
20	March 6th or March 13th?
21	THE COURT: March 6, 2017.
22	MS. KIERNY: As of March
23	MR. WESTBROOK: Yes.
24	MR. HAMNER: That's not
25	MS. KIERNY: That's Campbell
	24

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

	MR. WESTBRO	OOK: As o	f March 1	3th. As	as of Marc	h 13th,
Detective	Campbell had co	ntacted Mr	. Hamner	and told	him about a	ll of this.

I think it's important when you're talking about bad faith. Okay.

THE COURT: So --

MR. WESTBROOK: And also --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WESTBROOK: I mean -- I mean, that's -- that's -- did you find the page? I'm sorry, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. WESTBROOK: It was the very next page?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. WESTBROOK: It says Detective Campbell communicated with him. That also corroborates with the -- the actual report filed by Veronica Alvarez, and I believe that Mr. Hamner said -- I don't want to get his words wrong here, I know it's in my original Motion to Dismiss based on *Brady* violations, but I believe he said that Detective Campbell didn't know about this. And that maybe the Veronica Alvarez thing was discovered by accident haphazardly when he was talking to somebody else. If I remember correctly, it's definitely in my other motion.

Detective Campbell's phone number is on that report that was filed. I mean, his -- his literal phone number is on the report. It's in Spanish. We had to have it translated. But the idea that Detective Campbell didn't know about this just can't be true.

And -- and now we have written evidence from Detective

Campbell in computerized notes that were never turned over that proves that it was

true. I mean, surely that forms --

exculpatory in here.

1	THE COURT: That proves that he knew these witnesses were people
2	of interest that they need to talk to?
3	MR. WESTBROOK: That they'd been that some of them had been
4	talked to. Interviews had been set up for others.
5	THE COURT: But it said they didn't have anything to report and it
6	wasn't until the end of March when we got the first statement
7	MR. WESTBROOK: Right.
8	THE COURT: from one of these people. So.
9	MR. WESTBROOK: And the last part of import was we requested a
0	hearing involving possible witness tampering, because somebody told Orlando and
1	Juan or their parents not to talk to us, which, of course, is a violation. And we
2	weren't sure her who. This packet shows that Renee McClouid was the one
3	setting up the interviews. It certainly gives us an idea of who might have told them
4	this. And it should have been the basis of of an evidentiary hearing and should
5	still be the basis.
6	THE COURT: All right. I I what else? I'm just not following you at
7	all. I'm sorry. You're I'm trying to understand what you're saying, but it's just not
8	making sense to me what you're
9	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
0	MR. HAMNER: And and
1	THE COURT: You're not making a clear argument. But that's okay.
2	We we need to bring in the jury.
3	I'm going to deny your motion, because you haven't expressed
4	any proof of bad faith. And and you haven't articulated yet that there's anything

1	Denied your motion.					
2	Bring in the jury, please, marshal.					
3	You can you can make more of a record by writing.					
4	MR. WESTBROOK: All right.					
5	MR. HAMNER: And, Your Honor, just to make a court exhibit. I'll					
6	provide the voluntary declaration of Ms of Ms. Alvarez that's dated					
7	March 27, 2017.					
8	THE COURT: Whatever. Just go ahead and give it to her.					
9	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.					
10	THE COURT: Let's bring in the jury.					
11	[Pause in proceedings.]					
12	MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, may I approach your clerk?					
13	THE COURT: Yes.					
14	MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.					
15	[Jury reconvened at 11:41 a.m.]					
16	THE COURT: All right. Welcome back, ladies and gentlemen. We're					
17	ready to proceed. Sorry for the brief delay. We had a couple things we needed to					
18	get rid of before we brought bring you in.					
19	The State may call its first witness its next witness.					
20	MS. KOLLINS: Your Honor, the State would call Yezline Estrella.					
21	THE COURT: All right. Yezline Estrella, please. Yezline Estrella.					
22	Yeah. We have a juror raising her hand, one second. Let me					
23	just get your name here.					
24	Where's my juror list? All right. You're in Seat No. 6. You are					
25	Joyce Hudson.					

1		Yes, what's up, Ms. Hudson?		
2	JUROR NO. 6: Can I have a box of Kleenex?			
3	THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.			
4		Marshal where's my marshal? There he is.		
5		All right. Let's proceed.		
6		Yezline, hi. I'm Judge Scotti. Nice to see you. The attorneys wil		
7	ask you qu	estions. Do you want to answer in Spanish or English? Spanish is fine.		
8	Is that you	r more comfortable speaking Spanish?		
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.			
10		THE COURT: Yes?		
11	THE COURT INTERPRETER: She is.			
12	THE COURT: Okay. Good. Thank you for being here.			
13	You may proceed.			
14		MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Your Honor.		
15		YEZLINE ESTRELLA,		
16	[having be	en first qualified by the judge, testified through the interpreter as follows.		
17		DIRECT EXAMINATION		
18	BY MS. KO	DLLINS:		
19	Q	Good morning, Yezline.		
20	A	Good morning.		
21	Q	This lady is going to speak for you in Spanish. And you listen to her		
22	and then y	ou answer her in Spanish and she'll tell me what you said.		
23	A	Okay.		
24	Q	Okay. How old are you today?		
25	A	Eight.		
		28		

1	Q	Okay. And do you go to school?	
2	A	Yes.	
3	Q	Where do you go to school?	
4	A	Snyder Superstars. Uh-huh.	
5	Q	Okay. I'm going to ask you some silly questions now. Okay?	
6		Do you know what color that is?	
7	A	Yes. Blue.	
8		MS. KOLLINS: May the record reflect she's accurately identified the	
9	color.		
10		THE COURT: Yes.	
11	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:	
12	Q	How about that one?	
13	A	Yellow.	
14		MS. KOLLINS: May the record reflect she's already accurately	
15	identified that color.		
16		THE COURT: Yes.	
17	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:	
18	Q	One more.	
19	Α	Red.	
20		MS. KOLLINS: May the record also reflect she's accurately identified	
21	that.		
22		THE COURT: Yes.	
23	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:	
24	Q	What did you eat for breakfast today?	
25	А	Tacos. Tacos.	
- 1	i		

1	Q	Okay. What kind of tacos?	
2	A	Meat.	
3	Q	Okay. If I said you had pizza and cupcakes for breakfast, is that a truth	
4	or a lie?		
5	Α	A lie.	
6	Q	Okay. If I said right now inside here today it's raining, is that truth or a	
7	lie?		
8	A	It's a lie.	
9	Q	Okay. Is it good to tell a lie or is it bad to tell a lie?	
10	A	It's bad.	
11	Q	Okay. We're only going to talk about true things in here today.	
12	A	Okay.	
13	Q	Okay. Do you promise to tell the truth today?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	Okay. If you tell a lie to Mommy or Daddy, do you get in trouble?	
16	A	Yes.	
17		MS. KOLLINS: Okay. Is the court satisfied?	
18		THE COURT: You may proceed.	
19	BY MS. KOLLINS:		
20	Q	Who do you live with?	
21	A	My mom, my dad, one sister, and me.	
22	Q	What's your sister's name?	
23	A	Nicole.	
24		THE COURT INTERPRETER: May I ask her to wait for me to ask the	
25	question?	Thank you.	

1	MS. KOLLINS: Okay.				
2	THE COURT INTERPRETER: Thank you.				
3	Nicole.				
4	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:			
5	Q	You said your sister's name is Nicole?			
6	A	Yes.			
7	Q	Is she little?			
8	A	Yes.			
9	Q	Can I show you a picture?			
10	A	Okay.			
11	Q	If you look on that screen right next to you, who's in that picture?			
12	Α	My sister.			
13	Q	And who else?			
14	Α	It's me.			
15	Q	Okay. And did you used to live in some apartments?			
16	Α	Yes.			
17	Q	Okay. And did the same people live in that apartment?			
18	Α	Yes.			
19	Q	Did you have some friends that you played with at those apartments?			
20	A	Yes.			
21	Q	Can you tell me their names?			
22	Α	Jatziri, twin, and twin.			
23	Q	Okay. You call them twins?			
24	Α	Yes.			
25	Q	Do you ever call them Mirabel and Maradel?			

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And do you also know another little girl named Scarlett?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Do you remember what your apartment looks like that you used
5	to live in?	
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. Let me show you a picture.
8		MS. KOLLINS: And I'm sorry, the last published was State's 4. I
9	apologize f	or not making that record.
10	Q	Showing State's 36; do you see your old apartments in that picture?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. I'm going to give you a pen and I want you to use the end of it.
13	And if you	touch that screen, it makes a circle that we can all see. Can you circle
14	your apartr	ment for me?
15		MS. KOLLINS: If the record could reflect she's circled a front door on
16	the bottom	of the photograph, second white door to the right.
17	Q	Now, did the same people live in that apartment, your mom and your
18	dad and yo	our sister Nicole?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Do you know Jatziri and the twins' momma?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Do you remember her name?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Can you tell me that?
25	А	Amanda.
	I	3.7

1		MS. KOLLINS: Okay.
2	BY MS. K	OLLINS:
3	Q	Did you have a name for David? Did you have a a name for David?
4	Did you ca	all him something?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	What did you call him?
7	Α	Grandpa.
8	Q	Okay. And did David have a wife?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Do you know her name?
11	Α	Yes. Yes.
12	Q	Can you tell me her name, Yezline?
13	Α	Doña Elena.
14	Q	Okay. Did they do you remember what David's apartment looked
15	like?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	I'm going to show you a picture, okay?
18		Too many things going on, Yezline. Too much homework.
19	A	Uh-huh.
20	Q	I am going to show you State's 31. And I'm going to ask you to use
21	that same	pen.
22		Do you see David's apartment in the picture?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Can you draw a circle on that apartment for us?
25		MS. KOLLINS: If the record could reflect that the witness has drawn a

1	circle arou	nd the white door on the lower level of the apartment building depicted in
2	State's exh	nibit.
3	Q	Okay. Did David ever buy you stuff?
4	А	Yes.
5	Q	Like, what kind of stuff?
6	А	Like to color
7	Q	Okay.
8	Α	and
9	Q	I'm sorry. You mean things to color with, like crayons?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. Anything else?
12	Α	One book.
13	Q	Okay. Anything else?
14	Α	Candy.
15	Q	Okay. Would David come to the parties that your mom and Amanda
16	would have	e?
17	Α	Sometimes.
18	Q	Okay. Do you know what we're here to talk about today, Yezline?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Do we have places on our body people aren't supposed to touch
21	us?	
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Can you tell me what those places are?
24	Α	Your mouth, your thing, and your tit tits or breast.
25	Q	Okay. Your thing, what do you use that for?
	1	35

2083

1	A	To go to the bathroom.
2	Q	Okay. To go pee or poop?
3	A	Both.
4	Q	Okay. Do does your thing have two parts? Does it have a front and
5	a back?	
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. And one goes pee and one goes poop?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And you said your chi-chis?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And your mouth?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did you have a problem with David touching any of those spots?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Tell me the first time you remember.
16	А	[No audible response.]
17	Q	Okay. Let me ask it a different way.
18		Where did you get touched; was it inside a house? outside a
19	house?	something different?
20	A	In the house.
21	Q	Okay. Let's talk about what happened in the house; who was there?
22	A	Don David.
23	Q	Okay. And what room in the house were you in?
24	A	In his room.
25	Q	Okay. His room that he uses to cook or to sleep or to go potty or

1	something	else?
2	A	Where he sleeps.
3	Q	Okay. So was where in the room were you?
4	A	On the bed.
5	Q	Okay. How did you get on the bed?
6	A	When he pushed me.
7	Q	Okay. When you were on the bed, were you face up or face down or
8	something	different?
9	A	Facing up.
10	Q	Okay. Who was who else was in the room besides you and David?
11	Just you ale	one?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. Can we talk about what happened to your body?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Did your body get touched?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	What part of your body got touched?
18	A	My thing.
19	Q	Okay. Your thing where you pee or your thing where you poop?
20	A	The one I use to pee.
21	Q	Okay. And what part of David's body touched your kosa?
22	A	His hand.
23	Q	Okay. You know how well, where did what did his hand do when it
24	touched yo	u?
25	A	Like a circle like he was

1	Q	Okay. He moved his hand in a circle?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. And what did it feel like?
4	A	Like
5		THE COURT INTERPRETER: I forgot the word for cosquillas. I lost
6	the word fo	or <i>cosquilla.</i> I'm sorry, Your Honor.
7		THE WITNESS: tickling.
8		MS. KOLLINS: Okay.
9		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Sorry.
10	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
11	Q	So you know how our front kosa has a separation?
12		Just work with me.
13		You know how our front kosa has a separate has a line?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	You know how you wipe to go when you go pee?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Did it feel like that, like it was touching in that spot where you
18	wipe to go	pee?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. Did he use his hands to touch anyplace else on your body that
21	day?	
22	А	No.
23	Q	Okay. Did something else happen to your hands and your feet that
24	day?	
25	Α	Yes.
- 1		

1	Q	Can you tell me about that?
2	A	Yeah.
3	Q	Okay. What happened?
4	A	He tied up my feet with the tape.
5	Q	Okay. And how about your hands?
6	A	Also.
7	Q	How about your mouth?
8	A	Also.
9	Q	Okay. So do you remember what color the tape was?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. What color do you remember it was?
12	A	It was yellow.
13	Q	Okay. Did did the tape hurt when it came off?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. When you had the tape on your mouth and on your hands and
16	on your fee	et, and you were on the bed, were your clothes on or your clothes off? If
17	you	
18	A	Clothes on.
19	Q	Clothes on? Okay. When he used his hands to touch your thing, did
20	you feel the	e skin of his hand on the skin of your thing?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. Was Elena at the apartment that day?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Okay. How did you get in the apartment?
25	A	He he used to push me.

1	Q	Okay. Did when you went home, did you tell Momma that day?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Now, did David ever used to give you candy?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	What kind of candy?
6	A	Chocolate.
7	Q	Okay. Do you remember what kind of chocolate?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Okay. Do you remember what color wrapper it was in?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	What color?
12	Α	Red.
13	Q	Okay. Now, when he would give you the chocolate in the red wrapper,
14	would he b	e inside his apartment or outside his apartment?
15	Α	Outside.
16	Q	Okay.
17		MS. KOLLINS: Mr. Hamner, could you clear that photo for me?
18		MR. HAMNER: Yes.
19	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
20	Q	Now, in that picture that you're looking at right there, that where we
21	can see the	e picture together do you see any place on that picture where David
22	gave you c	hocolate in the red wrapper?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Can you circle that place for me?
25		MS. KOLLINS: If the record could reflect she's indicated the black

1	power box	to the bottom center of State's Exhibit 31.
2		Court's indulgence.
3	BY MS. K	OLLINS:
4	Q	I need to get my homework, okay, Yezline?
5		Who would be there when he would give you the chocolate in
6	the red wr	apper?
7	A	The three girls were there.
8	Q	And who are those girls?
9	A	Jatziri and the two twins.
10	Q	Okay. When David would give you the chocolate or offer you the
11	chocolate,	did he do anything with it first?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	What did he do with the chocolate?
14	A	Going around his penis his thing.
15		MS. KOLLINS: I'm sorry. Could the interpreter repeat that? I didn't get
16	the transla	tion.
17		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Going around his thing.
18		MS. KOLLINS: Okay.
19	BY MS. K	OLLINS:
20	Q	His private part?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And did he do that inside his clothes or could you see his private
23	outside his	s clothes?
24	Α	Inside.
25	Q	Okay. Did you take the candy?
		42

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. When you took the candy, did you have to reach inside the
3	clothes to	get the candy?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Did never mind. Strike that.
6		Did David ever show you his private part?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Where did that happen?
9	A	Behind his car.
10	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you some more pictures.
11	Showing y	ou 33.
12		When you say behind his car, do you see maybe not his car,
13	but where	in the apartments that happened? Is the place
14		THE COURT INTERPRETER: In the apartment or the apartments?
15	BY MS. KO	DLLINS:
16	Q	Is the place where David showed you his private in that picture?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Can you draw a circle for me where that happened?
19		MS. KOLLINS: The record could reflect that she has drawn a circle to
20	the right of	the structure in the parking lot between two cars.
21	Q	Now, did that happen daytime or nighttime; do you remember?
22	A	At night.
23	Q	Okay. Did that happen one time or more than one time?
24	A	More than one time.
25	Q	Okay. Do you just so I know that we're talking about the right thing,

1	I'm going to	show you a picture. Okay?
2	A	Okay.
3	Q	Yezline, I'm going to show you a picture of a boy that's about to take a
4	bath. Okay	y. He doesn't have any clothes on. All right?
5		So when you talk about David's thing, I want to make sure that
6	we all knov	v what you're talking about. Okay?
7	A	Okay.
8	Q	So I'm showing you what's been marked as Court Exhibit 8, and I'm
9	going to as	k you to use that pen on there that I gave you and show me on there,
10	with a circle	e, what is a boy's private part that we're talking about.
11		MS. KOLLINS: The record could reflect she's drawn a circle around the
12	penis depid	cted in Court's 8.
13	Q	Now, who would be there when David would show his thing?
14	A	The three girls.
15	Q	Okay. And who are the three girls?
16	А	Jatziri, Mirabel, and Maradel.
17	Q	Okay. Were there also some other friends that you played with in the
18	apartment,	besides those three girls?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	Can you tell me some names of those people?
21	А	Solange.
22	Q	Anybody else?
23	А	No.
24	Q	Okay. What about Leo?
25	А	Yes.
		4 4

1	Q	Okay. Was Leo around sometimes or all the time?
2	A	Sometimes.
3	Q	Okay. How about Juanito?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Juanito around sometimes or all the time?
6	A	All the time.
7	Q	Okay. Juanito little or big?
8	A	He's small.
9	Q	Is he younger than you?
10	A	[No audible response.]
11	Q	Do you know?
12	Α	No.
13	Q	Okay. And who is the other one I said? Orlando, Juanito, and Leo?
14		THE COURT INTERPRETER: You didn't say Orlando.
15	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
16	Q	Oh, Orlando. He's somebody else that you played with?
17	A	No.
18	Q	No? Okay. Now, you showed me where David used to have his car;
19	do you rem	nember that, on the picture?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. Do you remember what color his car was?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	What color was it?
24	Α	Brown.
25	Q	Okay. Did David ever take you to McDonald's?

1	A	No.
2	Q	How about 99 Cents store?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Okay. Did you ever get inside David's car?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. Did stuff happen in David's car that you didn't like?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Can we talk about what happened in David's car?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Were you in the front seat, the back seat, something different?
11	A	In the back.
12	Q	Okay. And was David in the back?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Okay. So what happened in there that you didn't like?
15	A	When he touched my leg.
16	Q	Okay. He touched your leg?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Was it over your clothes or under your clothes?
19	A	On top of my clothes.
20	Q	Okay. Did anything else happen in the car that you didn't like?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. Did someplace else on your body get touched?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Okay. Do you remember talking about the place where you go pee got
25	touched in	the car; do you remember talking about that?

1		MR. WESTBROOK: Objection. Asked and answered. She said	
2	nothing else got touched.		
3		THE COURT: Yeah. She did say that.	
4		Are you trying to refresh your recollection with a different	
5	some so	mething new?	
6		MS. KOLLINS: Yes. But I'll I'll rephrase.	
7		THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you.	
8	BY MS. KO	DLLINS:	
9	Q	Did were you in the	
10		THE COURT: Sustained. Go ahead.	
11	BY MS. KO	DLLINS:	
12	Q	Were you in the car with David one time or more than one time?	
13	A	One time.	
14	Q	Okay. Did was anybody else in the car with you that day?	
15	A	Yes.	
16	Q	Who else was in there?	
17	A	The three twins.	
18	Q	Okay. The ones Jatziri, Mirabel, and Maradel?	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	Okay. Because there's two twins and one sister, right?	
21	A	Uh-huh.	
22	Q	Okay.	
23	A	Yeah.	
24	Q	Did the car move or stay still that day?	
25	A	It was stopped.	

1	Q	Okay. In the apartments?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Was that daytime or nighttime?
4	A	At night.
5	Q	Okay. Did David ever do anything to your mouth besides the tape?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	What did he do to your mouth?
8	A	He kissed me.
9	Q	Okay. He kissed you on the mouth with his mouth?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. Now, other than the tape day that we just talked about and the
12	car day, ok	ay, was there another day where your thing got touched that you can
13	remember?	
14	A	I don't remember.
15	Q	Okay. Did what do you call the part that you sit on? What do you cal
16	that?	
17	A	The butt.
18	Q	Okay. Did your did your butt get touched by David?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Okay. Do you remember a day that you were supposed to go to
21	Chuck E. C	heese?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Tell me about that.
24	A	When we were going to Chuck E. Cheese, he he told us that our
25	mothers wo	ould not go there.

1	Q	Okay. When you say he, are you talking about David?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	So he said mommas can't go?
4	A	Uh-huh.
5	Q	Is that a is that a yes?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. So he said did he say why mommas couldn't go with you to
8	Chuck E. C	Cheese?
9	A	[No audible response.]
10	Q	Do you understand the question?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	He said he said he didn't want the moms to go with us. He only
14	wanted us	to go with him.
15	Q	Okay. Us being you and who else?
16	A	Jatziri and the twins.
17	Q	Okay. So he only wanted you and Jatziri and the twins to go, and no
18	mommas;	did he tell you why he only wanted little girls to go?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	What did he tell you?
21	A	He said he didn't want the moms to come with us to Chuck E. Cheese,
22	just us, bed	cause after Chuck E. Cheese, he was going to take us to a far away
23	place.	
24	Q	Okay. How did that make you feel?
25	A	Sad.

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MR. WESTBROOK: And Your Honor, I've been pretty quiet on the
4	leading que	estions. But it's getting a little bit too leading now, including the last
5	question.	
6		THE COURT: So
7		MR. WESTBROOK: And I'm trying to I'm trying to let her do the
8	foundation,	of course. But we're getting to substantive leading questions.
9		MS. KOLLINS: I'm moving on to new topics.
10		THE COURT: All right. So she'll move on, and I'll raise your
11	objection, i	f if it continues.
12		MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
13		THE COURT: Thank you.
14	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
15	Q	Did you ever see David with a telephone?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And was there anything on the phone?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. What did you see on the phone?
20	A	That one girl is eating the thing of another of one guy.
21	Q	Okay. Did they have clothes or no clothes?
22	A	They did not have clothes.
23	Q	Okay. And where were you when David showed you that on the
24	phone?	
25	A	Outside.

1	Q	Okay. Outside of the apartments?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. Outside David's apartment, your apartments, or where?
4	A	Outside Don David's apartment.
5	Q	Okay. Did that happen one time or more than one time?
6	A	One time.
7	Q	Okay. Do you remember who was there when that was on the on the
8	phone?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Who was there?
11	A	Jatziri and the twins.
12	Q	Okay. Before all this stuff happened, did you like David?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Okay.
15		MS. KOLLINS: Court's indulgence, please.
16	Q	Just one second, Yezline. Okay.
17		[Pause in proceedings.]
18	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
19	Q	I have a few more questions. Are you doing okay?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Do you need some water or do you need a drink or are you okay?
22	A	No, I'm I'm okay.
23	Q	Okay. I want to ask you some more specific questions about the tape
24	day that I fo	orgot to ask you. Okay?
25	A	Okay.
	1	52

1	Q	Do you	u remember when you had to come talk before in front of some
2	people?		
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	Okay.	And do you remember talking to me about the tape day when
5	you had to	talk bef	fore?
6	A	Yes.	
7	Q	Okay.	Do you remember saying that his hand went inside of your
8	thing?		
9	A	Yes.	
10	Q	Okay.	Is that what happened?
11	A	Yes.	
12	Q	Okay.	Do you remember today you said it tickled; do you remember
13	anything el	se it fee	eling like?
14	A	No.	
15	Q	Okay.	Do you remember when you had to go talk to Elizabeth?
16	A	Yes.	
17	Q	Okay.	Do you remember telling Elizabeth that it felt like it was hot?
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	Okay.	Is that what it felt like; do you remember?
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Okay.	Now, today you said you couldn't remember what the candy in
22	the red wra	ipper w	as called; do you remember that?
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	Okay.	Do you remember telling us before telling me before that it
25	was KitKat	s?	

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Is that what was, KitKats?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. When I'm sorry. I can't read Mr. Hamner's writing, so I'm
5	struggling.	Just a minute.
6		Do you remember when we had to go talk to the people
7	downstairs'	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And do you remember talking about David touching your kosa in
10	the car?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. So did that happen? Did David touch your kosa in the car?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Because when I asked you before, you said you didn't
15	remember.	So do you remember now or
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Was it daytime or nighttime when that happened?
18	A	At night.
19	Q	Okay. Was anybody else in the car?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Who else was there?
22	A	Jatziri and the two twins.
23	Q	Okay. Were you in the front seat this time?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Okay. Where were you?
		54

1	A	In the back.
2	Q	Okay. And what part of David's body touched your kosa
3		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Repeat the question for the interpreter.
4	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
5	Q	What part of David's body touched your thing?
6	A	His hands.
7	Q	Okay. And in the car that day, was it inside your clothes or outside your
8	clothes?	
9	A	Inside.
10	Q	Okay. Could you feel the skin of his hand on the skin of your thing?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. And like I asked you before, was it inside, outside, or something
13	different?	
14	A	Inside.
15	Q	Okay. Did his hand stay still or move around or something different?
16	A	He was moving them, going around.
17	Q	Okay. And I don't think I asked you this before, so sometimes
18	sometimes	I forget my questions. Okay?
19	A	Okay.
20	Q	I'm sorry, Yezline. That's the first smile I've seen today. What's up
21	here? Wha	at do we call up here on girls?
22	A	Chi-chis. Tits.
23	Q	Okay. Did you ever have a problem with David touching your <i>chi-chis</i> ?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Where did that happen?
- 1	İ	55

1	A	In his in his house.
2	Q	Okay. Was that a different day than the tape day or the same day?
3	A	On the same day.
4	Q	On the same day? Okay.
5		You have a little sister named Nicole?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. Do you remember a day when Jatziri and the twins took Nicole
8	out to play	?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And when they took her out to play, after that, did your mom
11	send you to	go find Nicole?
12		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Please repeat the question for the
13	interpreter.	
14	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
15	Q	After the twins and Jatziri took Nicole to play, did your mom send you to
16	go find Nic	ole?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Where did you go to go find Nicole?
19	A	At Don David's house.
20	Q	Okay. Did you find Nicole at Don David's house?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And where was Don David?
23	A	Outside.
24	Q	And where was Nicole?
25	A	Outside.
		56

1	Q	Okay. And did you see anything happen with Nicole?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Can you tell me what that was?
4	A	He carried her and he was doing like he was going to, like
5	Q	Did he have Nicole in his hands?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Okay. And you said he was going to do like; can you finish your
8	sentence?	
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Tell me.
11	A	He was going to do it like this.
12		MS. KOLLINS: Okay. If the record could reflect, she's got both of her
13	hands up ir	front of her body, moving from top from, like, the top of her chest
14	down to ab	out her waist. But I will try to get her to explain it.
15	Q	Sometimes we can't like this, because nobody knows what we're
16	describing.	Okay. So can we try to describe it in words?
17		Let's start here. Was Nicole in David's hands?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And was Nicole facing David or facing away from David?
20	A	She was looking towards Don David.
21	Q	Okay. And did Nicole was Nicole's body touching David's body?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Okay. Did Nicole stay still or move around or something different?
24	A	She was quiet.
25	Q	Okay. Well, before when you showed me your hands that went from up

57

1	here to dov	vn to here, whose hands were doing that?
2	A	Don David.
3	Q	Okay. And when his hands were going from up at the top of the body
4	to the lowe	r part of the body, where was Nicole?
5	Α	Below.
6	Q	Okay. Was she in his hands?
7	A	No, not anymore.
8	Q	Okay. Did she start out in his hands?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Okay. I'm confused.
11		Okay. Where was Nicole when you first got there?
12	A	With Don David.
13	Q	Okay. Was she in his arms, in his hands, or on the ground or
14	something	different?
15		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Please repeat.
16	BY MS. KC	DLLINS:
17	Q	Was she in his hands, in his arms, or on the ground or something
18	different?	
19	A	She was in his hands.
20	Q	Okay. And you said before that she was facing him?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And was her face near his face? Or where was he?
23	A	He was looking at me.
24	Q	Okay. Where did Nicole's body go?
25		MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I'm going to object. I think this has

1	been aske	d and answered.
2		THE COURT: Well, yeah, I think it has. She I think you exhausted
3	her memo	ry on this, don't you think, Ms Ms. Kollins?
4		MS. KOLLINS: Well, I I don't know that she understands what I'm
5	trying to ge	et her to describe without leading her. So I will move along.
6	BY MS. K	OLLINS:
7	Q	Yezline, I'm going to check with Christopher, but I think I don't have any
8	more ques	stions for you for a minute. Okay?
9		Are you okay? Do you need a break or something to drink?
10	Α	No.
11	Q	You okay?
12	Α	No.
13	Q	Okay.
14		[Pause in proceedings.]
15	BY MS. K	OLLINS:
16	Q	One more, Yezline.
17		Remember when we went and talked to all the people
18	downstairs	s?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	And I asked you what part of Nicole's body was touching Don David; do
21	you remen	nber that question?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Do you remember what your answer was?
24	Α	[No audible response.]
25	Q	If you remember.
		59

1	A	No.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MS. KOLLINS: Page 36, Mr. Westbrook.
4		MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you.
5	BY MS. K	OLLINS:
6	Q	Do you remember saying her boobs and her neck?
7	Α	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. Is that what part of Nicole touched David?
9	Α	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Thank you, Yezline.
11		THE COURT: Are you done?
12		MS. KOLLINS: We'll pass the witness, Your Honor.
13		THE COURT: Ms. Kierny, do you want to start for 15 minutes or take a
14	break? Your choice.	
15		MS. KIERNY: I'll ask Mr. Westbrook.
16		THE COURT: Mr. Westbrook, do you want to start for 15 minutes or
17	take a bre	ak?
18		MR. WESTBROOK: If Yezline is okay with going forward right now, we
19	can go for	ward. Or court's preference, either way.
20		THE COURT: I we can go for a few minutes.
21		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
22		THE COURT: If you want to get started, sure. Let's go.
23		CROSS-EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
25	Q	Hey, Yezline. Are you tired now and do you need a break, or do you

1	want to jus	t keep going for a little bit?	
2	A	I would like to continue doing this for a while.	
3	Q	Okay. Let's do it.	
4		Oh, I need my cup for this. Hang on a sec.	
5		THE COURT INTERPRETER: We're going to have a break	
6	in 15 minutes?		
7		THE COURT: Yeah. We're going to stop at 1:00.	
8		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Okay, great. Thank you.	
9	BY MR. WESTBROOK:		
10	Q	Have you ever seen these guys on this cup before? And I can	
11		MR. WESTBROOK: Can I approach?	
12		THE COURT: Sure.	
13	BY MR. WESTBROOK:		
14	Q	Do you recognize any of these guys on this cup?	
15	A	No.	
16	Q	You've never seen any of these guys before?	
17	A	No.	
18	Q	Oh, the Marvel marketing department is going to be sad about that.	
19		Well, let me ask you a question; if I told you this cup was	
20	covered wi	th flowers and butterflies, would that be the truth or a lie?	
21	A	A lie.	
22	Q	Yeah. What if I said that it was covered with monkeys and pandas; is	
23	that the tru	th or a lie?	
24		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Pandas and what was the other	
25	question?		
	1	61	

1	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
2	Q	We'll just say pandas. What if I said covered in pandas, is that the truth
3	or a lie?	
4	A	It's a lie.
5	Q	Okay. And we already talked about we're here to tell the truth today,
6	right?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. Now, when your mom tells you something, is that the truth?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. What about Ms. Amanda, when she tells you something, is that
11	the truth?	
12		THE COURT INTERPRETER: Miss?
13	BY MR. WESTBROOK:	
14	Q	Amanda.
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. Do you remember talking to a nice lady named Elizabeth?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. Now, your mom told you to tell Ms. Elizabeth everything, right?
19		THE COURT INTERPRETER: You mom told your
20	BY MR. WESTBROOK:	
21	Q	Your mom told you to tell Ms. Elizabeth everything, right?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And, by the way, am I too far away for us to talk? Should should I,
24	like, get up	here? Or can you hear me okay?
25	A	No. You are fine there.

1	Q	Okay. Thank you.
2		So your mom told you to tell Ms. Elizabeth everything, and then
3	you talked	to Ms. Elizabeth, right?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. And you told Ms. Elizabeth everything, right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Everything that happened to you, you told Ms. Elizabeth?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And then at the end of your conversation with Ms. Elizabeth, she
10	said, Is the	re something else you want to tell me that I didn't ask you? Remember?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. And you said to her no, right?
13	A	Uh-huh.
14	Q	And then she said, Is there something else that happened that you
15	have not to	ld me? Right?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And you said no, right?
18	A	Uh-huh.
19	Q	Okay. Okay. I want to talk to you a little bit about the tape day; do you
20	remember	telling Ms. Elizabeth about the tape?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And you told her the tape was white, right?
23	A	Uh-huh.
24	Q	And you told her that your top was on?
25	A	Yes.
	I	63

1	Q	And you told her that your underwear were off, right?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. You said the tape was on your hands and feet when David
4	pulled your	pants down, right?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And David took your pants and he threw them across the room;
7	is that wha	t happened?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And he threw your underwear near the bed, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And you told Ms. Elizabeth that his hand went outside where you pee,
12	right?	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And then you said that before you left, you pulled the tape off yourself,
15	right?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	And then you put your clothes on and then you went home, right?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. Now, do you remember another time when you were talking to
20	Ms. Stacey	in the basement of this building?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. You talked about the tape day with her, too, right?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And you told her that your top was off, right?
25	A	Yes.
	1	6.1

	1	
1	Q	Okay. And you told her that your underwear stayed on, right?
2		THE COURT INTERPRETER: They stayed on?
3	BY MR. WI	ESTBROOK:
4	Q	They that your underwear stayed on, right?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And then you said that oh, wait. Strike that.
7		And then you also said today that the tape is yellow, right?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. I want to talk to you about the time when Don David said that
10	you should	n't tell your parents; do you remember that?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. So you said that Don David said if we say something to our
13	mothers, then he would kill your mom and dad, right?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. Did you did you say something to your dad about what
16	happened t	o you?
17	A	No.
18	Q	Okay. And do you remember telling Ms. Stacey that you did not believe
19	the man when he said that?	
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Okay. And you told her that when she was asking you questions in the
22	basement of	of this building, right, that one day?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Oh, I want to ask you about the phone and seeing the movies on
25	the phone.	Okay?

1	A	Okay.
2	Q	That only happened the one time, right?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. Now, you talked about seeing Don David's thing?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Do you remember telling Ms. Stacey that you saw the thing only one
7	time?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And that was behind Don David's car, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	So this happened outside, right?
12	A	Uh-huh.
13	Q	Okay. And Mirabel and Maradel and Jatziri were there too?
14	Α	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. And this is the only time that you saw his thing, right?
16	Α	Yes.
17	Q	Let's talk about candy. Okay. You told a story about a day with
18	KitKats, rig	ht?
19	Α	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. And you were there and so was Jatziri and the twins, right?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay. And you were standing outside Don David's front door?
23	Α	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. And do you remember telling Ms. Stacey that the candy was in
25	Don David'	s front pocket?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And do you remember telling Ms. Stacey and again, this was,
3	like, in the I	pasement when you when you talked to Ms. Stacey that one time. Do
4	you remem	ber telling her that you did not reach into the pocket to get the candy?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. Do you remember telling her that Jatziri did reach into the
7	pocket?	
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. And you also said that Mirabel reached into the pocket, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And also you said Maradel reached into the pocket, right?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. So there was a day when Jatziri and the twins took Nicole out o
14	your apartn	nent, right?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Okay. And they did this without your mom's permission, didn't they?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Okay. And so, later on, your mom sent you to go find Nicole, right?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. She didn't go with you; you just went by yourself?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Okay.
23		MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.
24	BY MR. WE	ESTBROOK:
25	Q	I'm going to just to go check with my partner here. Her name is Carli,

1	and I'm go	ing to ask her questions. I'll be right back, though.
2	3	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. That's all the questions that I have for you.
3	Thanks a l	
4	THAIINS AT	
		THE COURT: Redirect?
5		MS. KOLLINS: Just one, Your Honor.
6		May I?
7		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. KO	DLLINS:
9	Q	Hopefully last question and then you can go have some lunch.
10	A	Okay.
11	Q	Okay. Yezline, how did it make you feel when David said he would kill
12	your mom	or your dad if you told?
13	A	Sad.
14	Q	Okay.
15		MS. KOLLINS: Nothing else, Your Honor.
16		THE COURT: Recross?
17		MR. WESTBROOK: No recross, Your Honor. Thank you.
18		THE COURT: Anything from the jurors?
19		Marshal?
20		MS. KIERNY: Can we approach?
21		THE COURT: You may approach.
22		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
23		MS. KOLLINS: What? Did she
24		MS. KIERNY: [Indiscernible] cup?
25		
		MS. KOLLINS: She said she didn't know what they were.

1	THE COURT: All right. And so
2	MS. KOLLINS: She didn't know what those Marvel people were. And
3	you can
4	MR. WESTBROOK: I don't know. I mean I mean, just tell her what
5	she she didn't say who the people were on the cup. She wasn't able to tell who
6	they were.
7	THE COURT: So I have your permission to say that to the jury?
8	MR. WESTBROOK: Sure. Yeah. That's fine.
9	THE COURT: She didn't know
10	MS. KIERNY: We'll stipulate now she did not know the
11	MR. WESTBROOK: I I can even re-ask the question
12	MS. KOLLINS: She doesn't know the Marvel characters on the cup.
13	THE COURT: Yep. Okay.
14	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. That's perfect.
15	THE COURT: Okay.
16	[End of bench conference.]
17	THE COURT: So in response to this question, the court will just
18	respond that the witness did not know the Marvel characters on the cup. Okay.
19	So anything else? You sure? Okay.
20	You guys are done with this witness?
21	MR. HAMNER: Yes, we're done with
22	MS. KOLLINS: Yes, Your Honor, we are.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Then we are finished. You are excused. Thank
24	you very much. Have a nice day, okay. Bye.
25	THE COURT INTERPRETER: May the interpreter be excused?

25

THE COURT: You can come help her down.

THE COURT INTERPRETER: Yes.

THE COURT: And then I'll excuse the jurors.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I'm going to give you an hour lunch. Please try to be back at 2:00.

You are admonished during this lunch break, don't communicate among yourselves or with anybody else about this trial or the subject matter of this trial; do not communicate at all with any of the parties, attorneys, witnesses involved in the trial; do not seek or obtain any information or comments about the case from any source, including, without limitation, newspapers, television, radio, Internet, e-mail, cell phones, or any other electronic device; do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary about the case; do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you for deliberations; do not perform any research or informations.

Please enjoy your lunch. Leave your notes here. Thank you.

[Jury recessed at 12:59 p.m.]

THE COURT: Who is our next witness?

MS. KOLLINS: Dr. Sandra Cetl.

THE COURT: All right. I'll see you guys back in an hour.

MR. HAMNER: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you.

[Court recessed at 1:00 p.m., until 2:12 p.m.]

[Outside the presence of the jury.]

THE COURT: All right. Back on the record. What do we got planned?

MS. KOLLINS: I -- I have a doctor. I was just going to get this marked,

1	but I don't have a clerk yet. So I was
2	THE COURT: Oh.
3	MS. KOLLINS: going to put it over here.
4	THE COURT: I'm not supposed to be here without the clerk.
5	MS. KOLLINS: Oh.
6	THE COURT: Where'd she go? All right. Never mind. Bye. False
7	alarm.
8	[Pause in proceedings.]
9	THE COURT: All right. Now we're doing it.
10	[Pause in proceedings.]
11	THE COURT: Off the record.
12	[Pause in proceedings.]
13	THE COURT: Can we bring the jurors in now?
14	MR. HAMNER: Yes, we can.
15	MS. KIERNY: Sure.
16	[Pause in proceedings.]
17	[Jury reconvened at 2:16 p.m.]
18	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
19	The State may call its next witness.
20	MS. KOLLINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	The State calls Dr. Sandra Cetl.
22	THE COURT: Sandra Sandra Cetl?
23	MS. KOLLINS: Cetl, C-E-T-L.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Doctor, the clerk will administer the oath. All
25	right.

23

24

25

SANDRA CETL

[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you please state and spell your first and last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Sure. It's Sandra Cetl, S-A-N-D-R-A, C-E-T-L.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. KOLLINS:

- Q Good afternoon, Doctor. Thank you for your patience. What is your occupation?
- A So I am a pediatrician that works -- I work out of Sunrise Children's Hospital, as well as the Southern Nevada Children's Assessment Center, and I evaluate concerns of child abuse and neglect. I also work part time as a pediatric emergency room physician.
- Q Let's talk about Sunrise Hospital. What are your duties at Sunrise Hospital?
- A So at Sunrise, like I said, I do work if the ER part time. Otherwise, normally, if a patient comes into the emergency department, to the regular floor, gets admitted, or to the intensive care unit, then I get called by either a physician or a social worker to consult on concerns of abuse or neglect.
- Q And when you say abuse or neglect, you're referring to physical abuse and sexual abuse; is that correct?
 - A That's correct, yes.
- Q Let's talk about the Southern Nevada Child's Assessment Center; what is your role in that facility?
 - A Okay. So I run the medical clinic over there, and similarly, we evaluate

Center?

concerns of child abuse, but it's mostly child sexual abuse. We conduct examinations of kids where there are concerns of sexual neglect -- or sexual -- sexual abuse or neglect.

Q And just in general, what kind of facility is the Child Assessment

A Yeah. So it's meant to be kind of a one-stop shop for kids. If there is a concern of sexual abuse or any kind of abuse, instead of kids going to many different facilities over and over again, we have kind of everything housed under one roof. So investigators are housed there. Medical clinic, if they need therapy.

So as a multidisciplinary team, anybody a kid needs to encounter for the purpose of this evaluation or investigation, they don't have to be kind of separated all over the place. And so the facility is to kind of coordinate care amongst everyone.

- Q So things like forensic interviews take place there?
- A Correct.
- Q And child sexual assault examinations that are not acute?
- A Yes. And we do acute exams now, as well.
- Q Do you?
- A Yeah.
- Q Every change -- ever-changing. Can you briefly describe for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what qualifies you to perform in both of these capacities at Sunrise, as well as Child Assessment Center?
- A Sure. So I earned my medical degree at the University of Vermont. At the -- at the end of that, I had a medical degree, but specialized in a residency program in pediatrics at the University of Nevada, but here in the Las Vegas

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	what that is? Just give us a brief description of your recognition.
3	A	An anatomical diagram of the vagina.
4		MS. KOLLINS: Okay. Your Honor, permission to publish and use this
5	for demons	strative purposes.
6		THE COURT: Yes, you may.
7		MS. KOLLINS: Thank you.
8		THE COURT: And the defense has seen it?
9		MS. KIERNY: I have, yes.
10		MS. KOLLINS: Yes, sir.
11		THE COURT: Okay.
12	BY MS. KOLLINS:	
13	Q	Doctor, is your screen on?
14	A	Yes, it is.
15	Q	Okay. So this is an artist's rendering
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	of the female anatomy, correct?
18	A	Yeah. Yes.
19	Q	I just want to talk to you or have you speak a little bit about some of the
20	properties	of the female vagina, its vascularity, things like that.
21	A	Sure.
22	Q	Could you discuss that a little bit for us?
23	A	Absolutely. So a part of our anatomy and genitals, whether on males or
24	females	we're going to talk about kind of females today is that there's a little bit
25	of cloaked	in myth and mystery occasionally. And and most of the time, actually,

I talk a lot about the hymen to parents and -- and to patients that I have. And so we can kind of go through a little bit of the anatomy.

But, basically, as a female is born and grows up, goes through puberty and after puberty, the tissue in the vaginal area changes a lot. And one of the places we see most of the change that happens will be in that hymenal area.

The hymen itself is often thought of as a -- a wall that covers the vaginal area. And, actually, it's more of a collar. And so all females are born with a hole, and normal anatomy will require there to be a hole in there. And so again, often, there's a thought that that is something that changes with certain types of contact.

As a female ages from birth through puberty and on to adulthood, we generate our own estrogen or we may have some from birth from mom in our bodies, and so the size and shape of -- of the body may change a little bit in the genital area.

The most things that kind of gets affected is all the tissue in that area is a lot like the inside of the mouth, so it has a ton of blood vessels, it has nerves, and also heals very effectively.

So again, another myth that often happens is if there's any kind of inappropriate contact to that area, it may leave some kind of change to the anatomy and that doesn't really happen, so.

- Q And again, that's because of the blood flow to the area and the healing properties?
 - A Yeah.
- Q Okay. When you are doing an examination and you're referring to -- and you're, I guess, documenting findings or no findings, is there a way that you

23

24

25

Q	and you you described it as being a collar	. In terms of the number
orientation	, where is that usually seen?	

Α Yeah. So hymens actually come in a lot of different shapes. They can be a complete collar, so you see it from 12:00 all the way back to 12:00. Sometimes they can present where they're only on this bottom half, so 3:00 to about 9:00. And most of the time what we see is the 3:00 to the 9:00.

It's also kind of a significant area for any kind of nonconsensual penetrating trauma, so that's an area that we do look at. But more often than not, that top part of the hymen that you see, the top part of the clock is usually kind of incorporated into the rest of the tissue over there. So the where we go pee from and kind of the -- the surrounding area.

Q Okay. And -- and you mentioned something earlier, the -- there's a myth regarding every time something penetrates that opening, that there will be damage done to the hymen; is that true or not true?

Α Yeah. So, again, the hymen is a lot like the stuff on the inside of our cheek. Penetration, whether past it or on top of it or anything like that doesn't -more often than not will not change the properties of the hymen whatsoever.

In fact, during our exams, we place Q-tips past the hymen into the vagina, nothing changes about their checkup. And the myth is often that something pops or breaks or changes, and that's actually not -- not true.

Also, if there are injuries to it, there's so much vascularity and blood flow and whatnot that hymens can actually heal and look perfectly normal.

Q Okay. So it's not unusual, then, to have a normal exam even where you know there's been penetration or touching?

Α Oh, yes. That's correct.

Q	Okay. You mentioned before you've done between 2 2,000
and 3,000 e	xams; is that what you said?

- A Yeah. Probably.
- Q About that? How many of those have been acute exams and how many of those have been delayed exams?
- A A percentage, I'd -- I'd have to guess probably 85 to 90 percent are delayed exams.
 - Q Okay. And when I say acute exams, medically, what does that mean?
- A Yeah. So an -- acute just means recent. So if somebody comes in for a sexual abuse or assault, it means that we can still collect evidence. We can do what would be known as kind of a kit. There may be DNA present. And so it happened within -- for young, young kids, within about three to five days. For teenagers and adults, sometimes up to a week afterwards we would consider acute.
- Q Okay. So would you agree, then, that some of the limits of a delayed exam are you might not see injuries and you might not get DNA, because the disclosure is sometime subsequent outside that time parameter?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Okay. Tell me the steps of a sexual assault examination on a kid.
- A Okay. So at the SNCAC, it's very similar to the ER. But so at our CAC clinic location, each appointment is an hour to an hour and a half. A child will present with a caregiver or parent, a nonoffending parent, and we will go through a medical -- complete medical history with them.
- So the usual, that's going to be your, you know, surgeries, medical problems, do you have any, you know, particular complaints right now.

We go through a triage process, which includes your vital signs, heart rate, you know, respiratory rate. We listen to your heart, lungs. Do kind of a head-to-toe assessment.

And then the second part is we have the child go into our exam room, and if that child chooses they can have a parent or some support person there with them. Myself and the nurses will often explain either together or the nurses by themselves will explain to a patient what's going to happen. And we talk to their age.

So if it's a four-year-old, we explain that checkup to a four-year-old. If it's a 17-year-old, and everything in between.

The way we do our exams is we explain it with all their clothes on. We, you know, don't tell any lies. We explain everything that's going to happen.

And then once they change, we place them in either stirrups, so where they have leg rests off the exam table, they're on their backs and we can look at the genital area. The other option, if it's a small kiddo, they may just be able to kind of criss-cross their legs and we'll be able to see everything.

Once that happens, I do take -- I take pictures of the genital exam. And then I manipulate the tissue around, so I'll look at the labia majora, so those are the larger lips on the outside. And I kind of pull gently to see the vaginal opening, urethra where we pee, and all the tissue. And then I look at the butt where we, you know, go poop and make sure that that all looks normal.

The next thing I do is I get Q-tips, and I will, to the child's comfort level, either insert or wipe outside both the vagina, as well as the anus, to test for certain sexually transmitted infections. And then once that's done, we have them

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. I'd like to I know you saw four kiddos pretty short order that
3	day.	
4	A	Yeah.
5	Q	Do you remember your examination of a Yezline Estrella?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Do you remember how old she was?
8	A	She was she was eight.
9	Q	And again, did you follow the same protocol that you previously
10	discussed	1?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. What, if anything, did you observe about Yezline?
13	A	She had a normal exam.
14	Q	And same questions. You examined a Mirabel Moreno; how old was
15	she?	
16	A	Eight years old.
17	Q	Okay. And was she a twin?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Okay. And what about Mirabel's exam?
20	A	She had a a rash kind of on her buttocks area.
21	Q	Okay.
22	A	Her hymen was a very a thin rim, so I considered those kind of
23	nonspecif	ic findings. And I prescribed her an antibiotic cream called Bactroban so
24	that she c	ould put on that that rash, because it almost looked like little kind of
25	pimples almost on her buttocks area.	

1	Q	Okay. And other than just the thin hymenal tissue and the unrelated, I
2	guess, rasł	1
3	А	Uh-huh.
4	Q	normal exam?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. Great. And the second twin, Maradel Moreno, did you also
7	perform an	examination on her?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	And all of these were at the CAC on October 20th, right?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	And kind of the same questions. Obviously you don't have any of these
12	kids in the	room with any of them the other ones at the same time when this is
13	happening	this is an isolated examination, right?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Okay. What were same protocol with Maradel?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. And what, if anything, did you [indiscernible]?
18	А	She had a very similar looking hymen to her twin sister. It was
19	thin-rimme	d. And then she I believe had an anal like a skin tag, a little extra skin
20	around her	anus.
21	Q	And but a normal exam?
22	А	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. Give me just one second.
24		Everything you testified to today regarding those four exams you
25	documente	d in a medical report?

1	Α	Yes.
2	Q	And placed those on file at the CAC?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	When you are doing treating kids and kids are brought to you, kids
5	are not only	y brought to you when there's an allegation of penetration; would that be
6	accurate?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. Sexual abuse encompasses more than penetration, right?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	Touching, fondling, things like that?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. Does the lack of physical findings in a sexual assault
13	examinatio	n ever preclude a finding of sexual abuse?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Okay.
16		MS. KOLLINS: I will pass the doctor.
17		THE COURT: Cross-exam?
18		MS. KOLLINS: May I approach your clerk, Your Honor? Thank you.
19		I don't know if I moved for the admission of 58 formally.
20		THE COURT: Any objection?
21		MS. KIERNY: What was that? I'm sorry.
22		THE COURT: That that was the demonstrative that she
23		MS. KIERNY: Oh, no. Go ahead.
24		THE COURT: Okay. It's admitted.
25		[State's Exhibit No. 58 admitted.]

1		THE COURT: Go ahead.
2		MS. KIERNY: Thank you.
3		CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MS. KII	ERNY:
5	Q	Good afternoon, Dr. Cetl.
6	A	Good afternoon.
7	Q	In this case you had information that the alleged abuse was not acute;
8	is that fair t	o say?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	So the abuse was about longer probably occurred more than a week
11	ago	
12	A	Right, yes.
13	Q	from what you were relayed?
14		And you had testified earlier that sexual contact the idea that
15	sexual con	tact leaves physical changes doesn't happen in your experience, right?
16	A	Not as often as one would think, yes.
17	Q	Okay. But there are situations where is sexual abuse will leave
18	findings?	
19	A	Sometimes, yes.
20	Q	And sexual contact that's not abusive can leave findings, as well?
21	A	It's pretty unusual, but I suppose it can.
22	Q	Okay. You do these exams because there is the possibility of findings?
23	A	Well, my priority with them is for the kind of the medical assessment
24	part of it, to	make sure there aren't any findings that could influence a child's health

25 | I also do these exams for infection testing, because that can be something that they

may not have symptoms for. And that -- and the major part of me doing these exams are to tell a child their body looks normal.

- Q Okay. So, I mean, this is -- you testified this is an invasive exam, there's a -- correct?
 - A It can be, yes.
 - Q All right. And especially for a young child?
- A I think, you know, it's, really, each child has their own coping mechanisms.
 - Q Sure.
- A And so I -- in my experience, you know, you've got one-year-old to 18, and they all kind of act a little bit differently. So it -- it can be scary, but I think the little kids actually handle it better.
 - Q Every child is different in your experience --
 - A Correct.
- Q -- with those 2-3,000. All right. But you won't be doing these same exams if there was no possibility of ever finding findings -- or ever making findings?
- A I hear what you're saying. Yeah. We have, on occasion, if a parent feels strongly enough, there really isn't any real risk factors for, let's say, sexual abuse. But if a parent is concerned about it, then we do. So there are exceptions to that.
- At the CAC, though, predominantly is if there were concerns if -- you know, possible findings. Sure.
- Q Okay. And in your 2-3,000 exams that you testified to, there have been situations in children where you did discover findings --
 - A Yeah.

1		that ways as a series of
	Q	that were concerning?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay.
4		MS. KIERNY: Court's brief indulgence.
5	Q	But there were no physical findings in this case, correct?
6	A	No. No specific ones, no.
7	Q	Sure. And you did this exam on October 20th; is that correct?
8	A	Yes. October 20th on all four.
9	Q	October 20th of 2016, correct?
10	Α	Correct.
11	Q	Okay. Thank you.
12		MS. KIERNY: No further questions.
13		THE COURT: Redirect?
14		MS. KOLLINS: Just very briefly.
15		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MS. KO	DLLINS:
17	Q	Doctor, I guess I let you use the term generically, and I didn't ask a
18	follow-up q	uestion, so that's my fault.
19		What constitutes a finding?
20	A	Oh, yeah. So one thing that constitutes a finding will be missing
21	hymenal tis	ssue. So something was so violent. So we don't see this in consensual
22	intercourse	e. It would have to be kind of a violent penetration, that actual there is
23	a solid pied	ce of hymen just missing because of an injury.
24		Sometimes scar tissue, but the hymen itself doesn't scar. It's,
25	again, like	the inside of the mouth. So sometimes around the anus or the tissue

1	surroundir	ng, we might see scars.
2		And then sexually transmitted infection, something that's
3	definitive f	rom sexual contact. Those would be the most common types of specific
4	findings to	sexual abuse.
5	Q	Okay. In your experience, other than the scarring, are those more ofte
6	in acute ex	xaminations?
7	А	Yes. Yes.
8	Q	Okay.
9		MS. KOLLINS: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
10		THE COURT: Ms. Kierny, anything further?
11		MS. KIERNY: No follow-up on that. Thank you.
12		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13		THE COURT: All right. Let's see if the jurors have any questions? I
14	don't think	so. All right.
15		You may Doctor, may be excused.
16		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
17		THE COURT: The State may call its next witness.
18		MR. HAMNER: The State's going to call Detective Robert Garris to the
19	stand.	
20		THE COURT: Did you hear that, marshal?
21		MR. HAMNER: Robert Garris.
22		THE MARSHAL: Yes, thank you.
23		THE COURT: Detective, the court clerk over here will administer your
24	oath.	

1	ROBERT GARRIS,	
2	[having b	een called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows:]
3		THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you please state and
4	spell your f	irst and last name for the record.
5		THE WITNESS: Robert Garris, R-O-B-E-R-T G-A-R-R-I-S.
6		MR. HAMNER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
7		THE COURT: Yes, you may.
8		MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
10	BY MR. HAMNER:	
11	Q	Sir, could you explain to the jury what you do for a living?
12	A	I work in the Juvenile Sexual Assault Detail for Metro Police.
13	Q	And what is your official title?
14	A	Detective.
15	Q	And how long have you been with the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
16	Department?	
17	A	18 years in July.
18	Q	And how long have you been in this particular division?
19	A	Total, about a year and a half.
20	Q	All right. Before that, where were you?
21	A	Missing persons.
22	Q	And how long were you there for?
23	A	Approximately nine years.
24	Q	All right. And where were you before that?
25	A	Back in SAJ.
	1	90

1	Q	What is SAJ?
2	A	Sexual Assault, Juvenile.
3	Q	So this is like a second stint?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. I want to turn your and and if you could just could what's
6	kind of the focus of your particular department that you currently work in? What	
7	sort of crim	nes do you guys investigate?
8	A	We investigate sexual assaults, specifically, to juveniles under nine and
9	family-related.	
10	Q	Okay. I want to turn your attention to excuse me October 17, 2016;
11	were you working on that day?	
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Where were you working?
14	A	At the Southern Nevada Children's Advocacy Center, 701 North Pecos
15	Road.	
16	Q	And that's here in Clark County?
17	A	Yes, it is.
18	Q	And what were you doing on that particular day?
19	A	I was what they call a bucket detective. You basically handle all the
20	incoming phone calls or walk-in citizens at this SNCAC.	
21	Q	Okay. So if someone has to report some type of crime that's similar to
22	kind of that area that you work in, those phone calls are routed there and people	
23	are physically routed to come over there?	
24	A	Correct. It it's more common that it's phone calls. It's it's very rare
25	to have someone actually just show up at the CAC.	

1	Q	Okay.
2		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
3		I have no further questions for this witness.
4		THE COURT: Mr. Westbrook?
5		MR. WESTBROOK: No questions, Your Honor.
6		THE COURT: From the jurors?
7		Detective, you're excused. Thank you, sir.
8		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9		THE COURT: The State may call its next witness.
10		MS. KIERNY: Your Honor, may we approach briefly before the State
11	calls its ne	xt witness?
12		THE COURT: Yeah. Do we need to hold the witness?
13		MS. KIERNY: No, we do not.
14		THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
15		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
16		THE COURT: What's up?
17		MS. KIERNY: I know that Mr. Hamner did not elicit this, but the name
18	candy man	was kind of like a I think a nickname that the detectives were calling it
19	when it wa	s in the media. I just
20		THE COURT: [Indiscernible.]
21		MS. KIERNY: Yeah. I just would ask that oh, okay. I just ask I
22	don't know	if it any other witnesses not mention that name.
23		MR. HAMNER: I don't I don't think they will. I don't know why he
24	said that, to	be honest with you, but
25		MS. KIERNY: Yeah. It came out of the blue. So that's why I'm not

1	[Pause in proceedings.]	
2	THE COURT: How you doing, sir?	
3	MR. SCHMIDT: How's it going, sir?	
4	THE COURT: Good. The court clerk will administer your oath.	
5	MR. SCHMIDT: All right.	
6	JACOB SCHMIDT,	
7	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows.]	
8	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.	
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
10	THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your first and last name	
11	for the record.	
12	THE WITNESS: First name Jacob, J-A-C-O-B, last name Schmidt,	
13	S-C-H-M-I-D-T.	
14	THE CLERK: Thank you.	
15	MR. HAMNER: Okay. May I proceed, Your Honor?	
16	THE COURT: Yes.	
17	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
19	BY MR. HAMNER:	
20	Q Why don't you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you	
21	do for a living.	
22	A Police officer in the city of Las Vegas.	
23	Q Okay. And who do you work for?	
24	A Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.	
25	Q Okay. How long you been working for Metro, sir?	
	96	

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

Q A what are just your responsibilities when you respond to a call like that? What are the sort of things you're supposed to do?

A Major responsibility is try to get an idea if what he's saying is a legitimate case that's going on. And after that, I mean, get the base details of what he said happened to his daughter. And then forward that information over to a detective.

Q And so did you get the basic details? Without getting into any specifics, did you get the basic details from Mr. Rangel?

- A Yes.
- Q Did you prepare a report kind of memorializing those basic details?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q And did you refer that information over to Special Victims Unit or a detective over there?
 - A Yes, I did.
- Q Okay. Do you remember the detective that you forwarded it to? Or does it just randomly go out into the ether and --
- A I was able to contact an on-call detective, considering the fact that it was at 2:00 in the morning.
 - Q Okay.
- A I did -- I do remember listing the detective's name in the report, I believe.
- Q Okay. Well, let me ask you this. When you met with Mr. Rangel, did he qualify anything he was going to say to you, you know, regarding, well, I need to get a U visa for -- for some sort of protection; did he say anything like that?

1	A	No, he did not.
2	Q	Did the words U visa ever come out of his mouth?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Did you have any conversations about U visa with anybody that night
5	on that pro	perty?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Okay.
8		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions of this witness.
9		THE COURT: Okay. Cross?
10		MR. WESTBROOK: Yes, Your Honor.
11		THE COURT: Okay.
12		CROSS-EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
14	Q	You don't deal with U visas at all, do you?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Do you even know what a U visa is?
17	A	I guess I have a vague idea, just because I know what a work visa is,
18	based on, like, getting work and to be able to stay in the country, but	
19	Q	When you go to investigate something, you don't wear, like, a button
20	that says, Ask me about U visas?	
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	All right. You interviewed Ricardo Rangel?
23	A	Uh-huh.
24	Q	Okay. And you said that your idea was to get the basic details, right?
25	A	Yes.
I	1	0.0

1	Q	Mr. Rangel told you his daughter was Scarlett?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Okay. And Mr. Rangel told you that his daughter told him she had been
4	touched or	n her
5		MR. HAMNER: Objection. Objection. It calls for hearsay.
6		THE COURT: Hmm, why are we getting into this, Mr. Westbrook?
7		MR. WESTBROOK: Prior inconsistent statements, Your Honor.
8		THE COURT: Well, we'll see. Okay. You may proceed.
9	BY MR. WESTBROOK:	
10	Q	So Ricardo Rangel told you that his daughter told him that she had
11	been touched on her private parts by a man in the apartment complex; is that right?	
12	A	Correct.
13		MR. WESTBROOK: Nothing further.
14		THE COURT: Is that it?
15		MR. WESTBROOK: That's it for me.
16		THE COURT: Redirect?
17		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
18		THE COURT: One second.
19		THE WITNESS: Okay.
20		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. H	AMNER:
22	Q	Do you remember that cross question about what Ricardo was telling
23	you about his daughter; do you remember that?	
24	A	Correct.
25	Q	Well, did did she say it happened in the did he relay that it

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

Α

Q

Yes.

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant. Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

Did Ricardo relay to you that Scarlett said that she was also with

1	another gi	rl whose mother's name was Esther?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Okay. And did at the time, did you know anybody by the name of
4	Maria Esther Barajas?	
5	A	No.
6	Q	Okay. Did Mr. Rangel relay to you that he didn't know the names of the
7	other girls, but believed his daughter	
8		MR. WESTBROOK: Objection. This is hearsay, and I think we're
9	outside of the scope of the cross now. Talking about interviewing other people	
10	besides Ricardo.	
11		THE COURT: You know, I I'm going to allow it, because I I it's
12	potentially related to your question.	
13		Go ahead.
14	BY MR. HAMNER:	
15	Q	Would you like me to repeat the question?
16	A	Yes, please.
17	Q	Okay. Did Mr. Rangel also relay that he didn't know the names of the
18	girls, but believed his daughter could?	
19	A	If I remember correctly, that's what he did say.
20	Q	Thank you.
21		THE COURT: All right. Your turn, if you want to follow up on that.
22		MR. WESTBROOK: I do, Your Honor.
23		THE COURT: Yeah.
24		[Pause in proceedings.]
25		MR. WESTBROOK: Nothing further, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Okay. And you're allowed to change your mind. That's
2	allowed.
3	Anything from the jurors?
4	MR. WESTBROOK: In baseball, that would be a balk.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Officer, you may step down. Thank you
6	for your time.
7	THE WITNESS: All right. Thank you, sir.
8	THE COURT: The State may call its next witness.
9	MR. HAMNER: State's going to call Officer Erich Tschirgi to the stand,
10	Tschirgi.
11	Thanks, Officer.
12	[Pause in proceedings.]
13	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Officer.
14	MR. TSCHIRGI: Good afternoon, Judge.
15	THE COURT: Come on and step up forward and then the court clerk
16	will administer your oath and then she'll ask you to state your name.
17	ERICH TSCHIRGI,
18	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows.]
19	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you please state and
20	spell your first and last name for the record.
21	THE WITNESS: Name is Erich Tschirgi, E-R-I-C-H, T-S-C-H-I-R-G-I.
22	THE COURT: Okay. You may proceed.
23	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. HAMNER:
	103

1	Q	Sir, why don't you explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what
2	you do for	a living?
3	A	I'm a police officer with the City of Henderson.
4	Q	Okay. How long have you been with the Henderson PD?
5	A	Nine years and two months.
6	Q	And a what is your kind of official title?
7	A	I'm a patrol officer.
8	Q	And have you done that for the full nine years you've been with
9	Hendersor	Police Department?
10	A	Yes, I have.
11	Q	Okay. I want to turn your attention to November 12th, 2016; were you
12	working that day?	
13	A	Yes, I was.
14	Q	All right. And what shift were you working; do you remember?
15	A	Swing shift.
16	Q	And what time frame, from when to when?
17	A	2:00 p.m. to midnight.
18	Q	Okay. Did you respond that shift over to 1234 North Boulder Highway
19	in Henders	son?
20	A	Yes, I did.
21	Q	Here in Clark County?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. And and why were you going to that particular location that
24	day?	
25	A	There was a gentleman there with his family that stated that they

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. Do you go with the photograph that was provided to you?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Do you ask anybody in that store if they know someone by the name of
5	David?	
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And what are the people's response?
8	A	They do not know anybody named David and nobody works there
9	named Da	vid.
10	Q	Okay. So what do you do next?
11	A	I show them the picture.
12	Q	And what's their reaction to the picture?
13	A	They said, well, that gentleman, he's in the back, working.
14	Q	Okay. And do you do you you walk back into that area looking for
15	that gentle	man?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Do you find that gentleman in the back of that building?
18	A	Yes, I do.
19	Q	Do you see that gentleman here in the courtroom today?
20	A	Yes, I do.
21	Q	Could you please point him out, where he's located in the courtroom
22	and descri	be an article of clothing that he's wearing?
23	A	The gentleman is sitting right there. It looks like a long-sleeve,
24	light-blue s	shirt.
25	Q	Okay. Tie or no tie?
		107

1	A	No tie.
2		MR. HAMNER: Let the record reflect the witness has identified the
3	defendant,	Jose Azucena.
4		THE COURT: Okay. It does.
5	BY MR. HA	MMNER:
6	Q	All right. After you found him, did you reach out to Metro regarding
7	Mr. Azucer	ıa?
8	A	Yes, I did.
9	Q	Okay. Ultimately, were you asked to take him into custody?
10	Α	Yes, I was.
11	Q	Was he taken into custody without incident?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. And was he transported, essentially, to Metro, like, over to
14	А	To their jurisdiction, yes.
15	Q	to their jurisdiction?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Oh, let me ask you this. When you spoke with Ricardo, did he
18	bring up an	ything about a U visa?
19	A	A what, sir?
20	Q	A U visa?
21	A	I don't recall.
22	Q	Okay. Did any of those women talk about U visas to you?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Any conditions that, We're not speaking until you give us some type of
25	protection;	do you remember anything like that when they talked to you?

1	А	No, sir.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.
4		No questions no further questions.
5		THE COURT: Cross-exam?
6		MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.
7		THE COURT: Yes, sir.
8		CROSS-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
10	Q	I assume you weren't wearing a button that said, Ask me about U visas
11	right?	
12	Α	No, I was not.
13	Q	Okay. Do you expect people to ask you questions that they already
14	know the a	answer to?
15		MR. HAMNER: Objection. Speculation.
16		THE COURT: Well
17		MR. HAMNER: And argumentative.
18		THE COURT: It sustained.
19		MR. WESTBROOK: All right. Sustained as to speculation?
20		THE COURT: As to argumentative.
21		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. I'll withdraw it.
22		THE COURT: All right.
23		MR. WESTBROOK: I had a response to the second thing. All right.
24	Not the fire	st one. You're right.
25	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:

1	Q	Okay. This was a place of business, right?
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q	All right. In fact, when Ricardo Rangel contacted the police, he gave ar
4	address, ri	ight?
5	Α	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And you had information that Mr. Azucena was at his workplace
7	right?	
8	Α	They provided me that. They believed that he was in there.
9	Q	Okay. And when you got there, you found him in his workplace, just as
10	you expec	ted, right?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. People that were in there were it was just two females, right?
13	Α	No.
14	Q	You said you had talked to two females; were there other people
15	decides th	e two females that were there?
16	А	The females were outside, down the street.
17	Q	Oh, outside down the street?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Inside
20	A	With with Ricardo.
21	Q	With Ricardo?
22	Α	Yes.
23	Q	Oh, okay. I'm sorry, I misunderstood that.
24		When you went into the building, where Mr. Azucena works,
25	were wa	s there anybody in the building besides Mr. Azucena?
	I	110

1	Α	Yeah. There were I believe there was three males.
2	Q	Oh, three males?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. These are the people that you asked about the name David?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Okay. And they didn't know him by David?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Do you know who these people were?
9	A	They worked there, just employees. I did not get their name.
10	Q	Okay. Do you even know whether they worked in the same company
11	or did they	work in a different company?
12	A	Nobody had uniforms on.
13	Q	Okay.
14	A	It looked like a mom-and-pop type auto mechanic.
15	Q	Okay. So you don't know whether they worked with Mr. Azucena or
16	whether the	ey worked for some other company entirely or whether they were
17	self-employ	ved?
18	A	I do not know.
19	Q	Okay. And you didn't actually take a report or a voluntary statement
20	from these	guys?
21	A	No, I did not.
22	Q	All right. You showed them a picture and they directed you to
23	Mr. Azucer	na, right?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And Mr. Azucena was there, in his workplace, working, right?

1	A	No.
2	Q	Okay. So would you say he cooperated fully during this time?
3		MR. HAMNER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
4		THE COURT: Well, he can answer to the extent of his personal
5	observation	ns during the period of time that he saw him.
6	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
7	Q	Did Jose Azucena cooperate fully during this time?
8	Α	Yes.
9		MR. WESTBROOK: No further questions.
10		MR. HAMNER: Just
11		THE COURT: All right.
12		MR. HAMNER: real
13		THE COURT: You can redirect.
14		MR. HAMNER: real briefly.
15		FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
17	Q	So was the level of cooperation to simply stand there?
18	A	Yes.
19		MR. HAMNER: No further questions.
20		THE COURT: Okay. All right.
21		Any cross?
22		MR. WESTBROOK: No further questions.
23		THE COURT: All right. Anything from the jurors?
24		Okay. We've got one or two hands. I guess there's just one.
25	Okay.	
	1	117

1		[Off-record bench conference.]
2		THE COURT: All right. Detective, this is from the jury.
3		Do you speak Spanish? If not, how did you communicate with
4	the defend	ant?
5		THE WITNESS: I do not speak Spanish. I requested a Spanish
6	speaking o	fficer from my department to respond to the scene.
7		THE COURT: All right. Very good. Thank you, sir.
8		Any follow up from the attorneys?
9		MR. WESTBROOK: No follow-up.
10		MR. HAMNER: And just real quick.
11		ADDITIONAL REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
13	Q	And did he respond?
14	A	Yes, he did.
15	Q	Okay.
16		MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
17		THE COURT: All right. Then that concludes your testimony. You are
18	excused. `	You may step down. Thank you.
19		THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
20		THE COURT: State may call its next witness.
21		MR. HAMNER: State's going to call Officer Narvaez.
22		THE WITNESS: Narvaez.
23		[Pause in proceedings.]
24		THE COURT: Hello, Officer. Well, you've got a lot of gear on.
25		MR. NARVAEZ: Good afternoon, sir.
- 1		114

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

1		THE COURT: The court clerk will administer your oath.
2		SERGIO NARVAEZ,
3	[having	been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows.]
4		THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you please state and
5	spell your	first and last name for the record.
6		THE WITNESS: Sergio Narvaez. And Sergio is S-E-R-G-I-O, and
7	Narvaez is	s spelled N-A-R-V-A-E-Z.
8		DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. H	AMNER:
10	Q	Officer Narvaez, could you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury
11	what you o	do for a living.
12	A	I'm a police officer with the Henderson Police Department.
13	Q	And currently what detail are you assigned?
14	A	Currently I'm assigned to the K-9 unit.
15	Q	And prior to that, where were you working?
16	A	To the patrol division.
17	Q	When you were working on the patrol, were you working on patrol on
18	November	12th, 2016?
19	A	Yes, sir.
20	Q	Were you working on that day?
21	A	Yes, I was.
22	Q	Did you respond at about a quarter to 6:00 in the evening on that day
23	to 1234 No	orth Boulder Highway, here in Henderson, in Clark County?
24	A	Yes, I did.
25	Q	Did you assist an Officer Tschirgi in that matter?
		115

1	A	Yes, I did.
2	Q	Do you speak Spanish?
3	A	I do.
4	Q	Okay. Did you speak Spanish with an individual on that day that was
5	stopped by	Officer Tschirgi?
6	A	Yes, I did.
7	Q	Okay. Do you see that person here in the courtroom today?
8	A	Yes, I believe. Yes, I do.
9	Q	Okay. Why don't you describe where they are in the courtroom and
10	maybe des	cribe an article of clothing that they're wearing?
11	A	Seated just to my right with my I believe with glasses. I believe that's
12	him.	
13	Q	Tie or no tie?
14	A	No tie.
15		MR. HAMNER: Let the record reflect the witness has identified the
16	defendant.	
17		THE COURT: It it appears so.
18	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
19	Q	Okay. And were you present when Officer Tschirgi interacted with an
20	individual b	by the name of Ricardo Rangel before you talked to the defendant?
21	A	Yes, I do.
22	Q	Okay. And were you provided a name of David?
23	A	At that time I was.
24	Q	Okay. When you met with the defendant, did he identify himself as
25	Jose or Da	vid when you spoke to him?

1	A	Jose.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions at this time.
4		THE COURT: Okay. Cross-examine.
5		MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.
6		CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. WI	ESTBROOK:
8	Q	Did you happen to do a separate report on this case?
9	A	No, I did not.
10	Q	Or any report at all?
11	A	I do not believe I did.
12	Q	Okay. So do you recall the exact words that were exchanged or is that
13	something	that you don't recall, sitting here?
14	A	What do you mean, the exact words exchanged?
15	Q	I mean, you didn't do your own report?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Okay. And I assume that you didn't record this encounter?
18	A	It was all recorded on our in-dash video and body cams or correction,
19	body mics.	
20	Q	Body mics. So you actually had body body mics with you?
21	A	Correct.
22	Q	Okay. And that was recorded?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Okay. Okay. And Jose Azucena told you that his name was Jose
25	Azucena, r	ight?
	I	117

1	A	Yes, sir.
2	Q	Okay.
3		MR. WESTBROOK: Nothing further.
4		THE COURT: Redirect?
5		MR. HAMNER: Just one real brief question.
6		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. H	AMNER:
8	Q	That question about him referring to himself as Jose Azucena; did he
9	ever say s	omething like, But I go by David a lot?
10	A	No.
11	Q	You know, a lot most people call me David, anything like that?
12	A	No, sir.
13		MR. HAMNER: Okay. No further questions.
14		THE COURT: All right.
15		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
17	Q	Did you ask him the question, Hey, do you go by David a lot?
18	A	I did ask him if I if he identified himself as David, and he told me no.
19	Q	He told you what his legal name was, right?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Jose Azucena?
22	A	Yes, sir.
23	Q	You were interested in finding out what his legal name was, correct?
24	A	Just identifying him, yes, sir.
25	Q	Right. So when asked to identify himself to a police officer, he gave

1	you his legal name, correct?	
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	He didn't give you any nicknames, right?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Including David?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	Or anything else?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Thank you.
10		THE COURT: Anything from the jurors? No.
11		All right. Officer, you are excused. Thank you for your time.
12		THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
13		THE COURT: Have a good day. Stay safe.
14		All right. The State may call its next witness.
15		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence. I want to make sure one witness is
16	there and i	f not, we'll call somebody else.
17		[Pause in proceedings.]
18		MR. HAMNER: The State's going to call Lieutenant Roger Price to the
19	stand.	
20		THE COURT: All right. Lieutenant Roger Price.
21		Good afternoon, Lieutenant.
22		MR. PRICE: Good afternoon. How are you?
23		THE COURT: Come forward and the court clerk will administer your
24	oath as so	on as you're ready.
25		THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1		ROGER PRICE,
2	[having b	peen called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows.]
3		THE CLARK: Thank you. Please be seated.
4		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5		THE CLERK: Please state and spell your first and last name for the
6	record.	
7		THE WITNESS: My name is Roger Price, R-O-G-E-R, P-R-I-C-E.
8		MR. HAMNER: May I proceed, Your Honor?
9		THE COURT: Yes.
10		DIRECT EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. H	AMNER:
12	Q	Sir, why don't you tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what you do
13	for a living	?
14	A	I'm a police lieutenant. I run the Special Victims Section of the
15	Las Vegas	Metropolitan Police Department.
16	Q	How long have you been working for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
17	Departmer	nt?
18	Α	For the past 20 years.
19	Q	All right. How long have you been in this particular detail?
20	A	I've been in this detail about eight months now.
21	Q	Okay. Prior to that, where were you working in Metro?
22	A	I was the administrative lieutenant understand South Central Area
23	Command	
24	Q	Okay. So is that a geographic region that Metro has kind of broken up
25	here in the	•
	I	120

1	A	It is. It's a patrol bureau.
2	Q	Okay. Before that, where did you work?
3	A	I was a patrol lieutenant, the same station.
4	Q	And prior to that, where were you doing?
5	A	Prior to that, I was a a field training sergeant at Enterprise Area
6	Command,	also Southeast Area Command and Northwest Area Command.
7	Q	All right. Now, Lieutenant, are you familiar with the term U visa?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Okay. Now, in your particular position, do you have a role in
10	connection	with Metro with respect to U visa applications?
11	A	Yes. I am the authorized signer for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
12	Departmen	t.
13	Q	Can anyone else sign in Metro other than you?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Okay. If you could, can you explain to the jury, you know, kind of
16	what's a U	visa and why it even came to fruition in the first place?
17	A	Yeah. Several years ago, they reviewed crime reporting and
18	determined	that there may be some obstacles to people reporting crimes to police
19	department	S.
20		MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I have an objection. May we
21	approach?	
22		THE COURT: Yes.
23		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
24		MR. WESTBROOK: Was he noticed as an expert on U visas?
25		THE COURT: Yeah.

1	MR. WESTBROOK: Because I don't recall that.
2	THE COURT: I I knew that was going to be your question.
3	MR. WESTBROOK: I figured you would.
4	MR. HAMNER: Well, we can we can skip it, but, I mean, I think it's
5	probably
6	MS. KOLLINS: Well, it's what he does for his position, and so I don't
7	know that he needs to be noticed as an expert. I mean, that
8	MR. HAMNER: [Indiscernible] just going to offer a description as to
9	MS. KOLLINS: It's, like, why we do this and how it got to me as a
10	function as my job as a lieutenant.
11	THE COURT: He's but he's going to describe what it is, because it's
12	what he does. It's his personal knowledge, as long as it's you don't elicit any
13	opinions from him.
14	MR. HAMNER: He's not going to be offering any opinions about
15	motivations or why people
16	THE COURT: Some of this is a show of his state of mind, because
17	you're laying the foundation.
18	MR. HAMNER: All I'm just trying to do is give context as to what a
19	U visa is. He's not offering any opinions about any particular person involved in this
20	case.
21	THE COURT: Shouldn't be a problem if there's no opinion.
22	MR. WESTBROOK: What I'm hearing is a history lesson about the
23	history of U visas
24	MS. KOLLINS: Well, there has to
25	MR. WESTBROOK: and why he thinks, or the perspective, which

1	should be an [indiscernible] expert opinion and [indiscernible] legal lesson.
2	MS. KOLLINS: Well, and I respect that. But at some point Metro had
3	to decide that there was a need that they addressed this in-house. And so I think
4	he's laying a foundation for why Metro
5	THE COURT: That's what he said.
6	MS. KOLLINS: became involved.
7	THE COURT: He said his foundation goes to his state of mind. It's no
8	coming in for the truth of how the U visa process
9	MR. HAMNER: And I would
10	THE COURT: actually works. No opinions.
11	MR. HAMNER: And I can offer a
12	THE COURT: Just factual.
13	MR. HAMNER: I can just offer a proffer that he's just going to say that
14	the reason why they passed legislation for U visas was they found reports where
15	undocumented people may not report things for fear of being reported. And that's
16	why the U visa came into fruition.
17	MR. WESTBROOK: And that
18	THE COURT: That kind of helps your case.
19	MR. WESTBROOK: Well, yeah, yeah. [Indiscernible.]
20	MS. KOLLINS: Well, isn't your isn't your expert going to say that the
21	U visa system was created because of the underreporting in undocumented
22	populations?
23	MR. WESTBROOK: Right. Right. My objection is this witness was or
24	notice [indiscernible].
25	MR. HAMNER: So, basically, he wants only his his witness to talk

about the [indiscernible].	It's a very basic statement.	If it's foundational, I don't
think it's appropriate.		

MS. KOLLINS: Well, just because somebody does something for a living doesn't mean they're giving an expert opinion. I mean, if you called a hairdresser in here that told you how to curl hair, we wouldn't need to notice them as an expert.

THE COURT: I think it's a lay opinion, it's not offered as an expert opinion. I'm going to allow it.

MS. KOLLINS: Okay.

MS. KIERNY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

[End of bench conference.]

BY MR. HAMNER:

Q Let's re-ask that question. Can you explain again kind of how -- why Metro is involved in these? What -- what were you saying about the U visa process, why it's done?

THE COURT: This is -- for the jury, this is his understanding based upon his work in the field and it is -- this isn't coming in as an -- as an expert opinion. Okay.

Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: So, basically, their explanation is that in order to encourage people who are here undocumented to come forward and report crimes to police, as well as cooperate through the investigation, they provide them an opportunity to have legal status while they're involved with the case.

BY MR. HAMNER:

Q	Okay	So I want to talk about your role and Metro's role in this process.
If a peti	tioner prep	pares an application, when it can comes to your desk, what are
vou sur	posed to	do from Metro's end?

A I read the application. The petitioner provides information on the petitioner and their association with it. So there is what they call a direct victim, which is the person who is reported as the victim of a crime. And then there's an indirect victim which is siblings under 18, unmarried, parents, and there's a group of people who can qualify as an indirect victim to the crime.

I then review the case report that they provide in a system we call P1, which is where we take any crime reports and they're stored. And I review that to ensure that, one, it is a qualifying crime, because there's only certain qualifying crimes that would allow somebody to apply for a U visa.

That, two, this person is either listed as a victim or can claim the person that they're claiming to be an indirect victim to.

- Q And let me just kind of stop you there. And then you just kind of essentially read this information in a role in the case?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Okay. And if it meets those criterias, do you sign a certification?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Do you typically meet with any of these people personally?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Okay. I want to show you what's been already admitted as State's 50 and -- and State's 51.

MR. HAMNER: May I approach the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

1	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
2	Q	I want you to take a look on this document; is this your certification
3	signing?	
4	A	Yes, sir.
5	Q	And I'm showing the witness State's 50, and that's for an individual by
6	the name o	of Amanda Moiza; is that correct?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	I'm showing you State's 51. Same question, is this the first three
9	pages, this	s third page have your signature on it?
10	A	Yes, sir.
11	Q	Okay. And that's in relation to Maria Barajas Navarro?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13	Q	And you and you signed both of these documents on
14	March 20th	n, 2017?
15	A	That's correct.
16	Q	Okay.
17		MR. HAMNER: And just for the record, I'm publishing State's 51.
18	BY MR. HA	AMNER:
19	Q	This is Maria's and that would be your name there; is that right? If
20	you look o	n the screen, sir
21	A	That's correct.
22	Q	it's got your name there? And that's your is that your signature
23	there on th	e bottom?
24	A	Yes, sir.
25	Q	Okay. And, similarly, for publishing State's 50 this is Amanda

1	there a waiting list to get approval?		
2	А	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	How long is that waiting list, based on your understanding of things?	
4	А	It's several years, up to six.	
5	Q	During the waiting list process, is the petitioner subject to potential	
6	removal pr	oceedings?	
7		MR. WESTBROOK: Objection. Asked and answered.	
8		MR. HAMNER: This is a different question. It's the waiting list.	
9		THE COURT: Well, it is the waiting list. But does does he have	
10	I've got another concern. Does he have personal knowledge foundation to		
11	answer tha	at question?	
12		MR. HAMNER: I can I can ask him.	
13		THE COURT: All right.	
14		MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, I believe he does, based on his his job.	
15	I can ask h	im some more foundational questions.	
16		THE COURT: Why don't you lay some more foundation.	
17		MR. HAMNER: Okay.	
18		THE COURT: Because I'm not I'm not convinced yet	
19		MR. HAMNER: Okay.	
20		THE COURT: that he would know the answer to that.	
21	BY MR. H	AMNER:	
22	Q	Lieutenant, do you have information about the processing time for the	
23	U visa app	lications?	
24	Α	Yes, sir.	
25	Q	And what is that based off of?	

	Α	As part of when I got assigned to assigned to U visas, I'm thorough
at m	ıy job a	and I like to have an understanding of what's expected of me and what
the v	whole	process is.

Q Did you give her reading materials, go to classes, speak to people? What'd you do?

A There's two parts of it. There's -- one, there's a U and T visa law enforcement guide, which basically explains the entire process, which I studied that.

And then I also contacted the USCIS and spoke to their representative, who provided me additional information.

MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor -- Your Honor, same objection. Now he's trying to qualify him. I -- I think this is inappropriate for this particular witness.

MR. HAMNER: Your Honor asked for foundation. I'm [indiscernible].

THE COURT: I wanted foundation to -- so we can -- so we could determine if he could answer the question of whether he has personal knowledge as to the -- the risk that the applicant faces while on the waiting list.

I -- give me a moment, because I'm trying to remember your -- your earlier objection at the --

MR. WESTBROOK: It was regarding the notice, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're talking about the one at the bench?

MR. WESTBROOK: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HAMNER: He's not offering an opinion.

THE COURT: Well, it is an opinion.

MR. HAMNER: I -- I don't believe -- I don't believe a witness who says,

1	Based on my understanding of speaking with people and my training, something
2	takes X period of time to complete, is an opinion. It's it's either true or it's not
3	true, based on his background, information, and things that he's researched and
4	the people he's interacted with. I don't think he's an offering an opinion on the
5	situation. He's just saying based on my training and experience
6	MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I think this might have crossed over
7	into a bench conversation.
8	MR. HAMNER: Well, we you didn't ask for approach. I'm more than
9	happy to approach to have this discussion.
10	THE COURT: Yeah. Give us a moment.
11	[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
12	MR. WESTBROOK: What's happening now is Mr. Hamner is up there
13	qualifying him as a legal expert on immigration issues. That's not his job. His job is
14	to sign forms, for God sakes. That's it.
15	THE COURT: So it seems like he's getting ready to state the level of
16	risk that the applicant has, which is [indiscernible].
17	MR. HAMNER: I don't believe it is. It's a it's a
18	THE COURT: Well, he's he's getting a point he's he's explaining
19	the law, I mean, what he's doing. He's telling us what the law is.
20	MR. HAMNER: He's explaining the U visa process that he's intricately
21	a part of.
22	MR. WESTBROOK: The legal process. Legal process. He's not a
23	lawyer.
24	MR. HAMNER: Yeah, I
25	MR. WESTBROOK: He's not a but he's also not noticed as an

expert.

MR. HAMNER: Right.

MR. WESTBROOK: So even if he had the foundation, he hasn't been noticed.

MR. HAMNER: Mr. Westbrook should know that pursuant to Nevada's expert witness statutes, a person's education, training, and experience enables them to potentially offer expert. And if you have a background in a certain area, you don't -- because of what his job is, I think he's more than qualified to say whether or not the waiting process --

THE COURT: You're too loud.

MR. HAMNER: I think he's more than qualified to say based on what he does for a living --

THE COURT: See, not a qualification issue, it's a notice issue. And I think that he is qualified. From what I've heard, I -- I agree he's qualified. Just -- was there -- was there sufficient notice so that the defense could prepare to cross-examine him.

MS. KOLLINS: Oh --

THE COURT: Or are they prejudiced by not [indiscernible].

MS. KOLLINS: I don't think he's rendering an expert opinion. Because he knows the -- I mean, a paralegal, a paralegal that worked for an immigration attorney could know this process the same as he did. And we would never notice them as an expert. It's just somebody that's familiar with the paperwork and the process. So I don't -- because he --

THE COURT: My corollary concern -- I'm sorry to cut you off -- is that shouldn't this be covered by a jury instruction? [Indiscernible] witness come and

explaining the law.

MS. KOLLINS: No.

THE COURT: I can go research the [indiscernible.]

MS. KOLLINS: Well, and -- and, you know, because there's stuff that, you know, we did in preparation to address their defense. They noticed an UNLV professor on immigration. So what we did is we went and hunted down what happened with each one of these applicants, where their applications were in the process, who signed off on them, which would be Lieutenant Price, and just to lay out what happened in this case.

So I -- I don't know why that renders an expert necessary. I just -- I'm not following. I mean, these are the people that -- that actually touched the paperwork in this case, and they've noticed a UNLV professor, who is an academic --

THE COURT: And where -- where it really is relevant here is the State law in terms of having [indiscernible].

MS. KOLLINS: Well --

THE COURT: That's really what we're [indiscernible].

MR. HAMNER: I would say a couple things [indiscernible]. Sure the state of mind is relevant, but also the process. If the witness has testified, that yes, they had told me that it's a two- to three-year wait, which is what the witness is testifying to, the witness is familiar with the process and says, Yeah, they could be subject to removal, it is a factual piece of information that's relevant for the jury to assess --

THE COURT: It's not relevant unless it was communicated to the applicants.

MR. HAMNER: And they -- and had we conceded, yes, we are aware that it takes several weeks. Amanda Moiza said it [indiscernible].

THE COURT: But did you tie it up yet? Is it -- do you have any more he's going to say [indiscernible]?

MR. HAMNER: Yes.

MS. KOLLINS: We have the person that actually helped them. He's kind of -- he's kind of out of order.

THE COURT: Okay. So that -- so then that's really what you're saying is the opinions were offered not to show the truth of the matter asserted, that the level of risk they actually have on what the law is, but it's corroborative of his testimony -- of his testimony about what he told the applicants. So then it corroborates what the applicants have said.

MS. KOLLINS: Oh, [indiscernible].

MR. HAMNER: Lieutenant Price hasn't talked to the applicant. It's -there's a woman named Janette Amador, she's sitting outside and she's going to
testify today. And she will talk about how -- what she communicated about the
process. This is just one more witness that's going to explain --

THE COURT: Well, she's -- well, then -- then all we need is to see is what she told the applicants.

MR. HAMNER: I understand.

THE COURT: I -- I think that you've got to stay away from this one, I'm worried -- I'm worried here that this is unnoticed expert testimony, and plus, it's legal opinion. So let's -- so I'm not going to allow this. Okay.

MR. HAMNER: Okay.

THE COURT: All right. So let's -- so move on from this area in terms

1	of him explaining what the law is.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you, Your Honor.
3	MS. KOLLINS: Okay. So but so for clarification, because now we
4	have the lady that actually helped these women fill out their applications, forward
5	them to the lieutenant, who signs off, that they've cooperated with law enforcement.
6	And then those applications come back
7	THE COURT: When she tells them on the law what the law is, that's
8	fine. So we can discuss
9	MS. KOLLINS: Okay.
10	THE COURT: You can introduce what she discussed with them.
11	MS. KOLLINS: Okay.
12	THE COURT: And her state of mind is important, because that's
13	corroborates what she said. So her state of mind of the law
14	MS. KIERNY: Okay.
15	THE COURT: is can can [indiscernible]. This gentleman's state of
16	mind of the law, unless he communicated to her out there, won't be allowed.
17	MS. KOLLINS: Okay. So you're you're saying it's appropriate
18	that what she has to say is appropriate, because the effect on the hearer
19	THE COURT: Yes.
20	MS. KOLLINS: being Amanda and Maria?
21	THE COURT: Exactly.
22	MS. KOLLINS: Okay.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	MR. WESTBROOK: Just this is going to come up
25	THE COURT: Oh, I think he's still talking.

1	Q	All right.
2		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions at this time.
3		THE COURT: All right. Now, you can cross.
4		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
5		CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
7	Q	All right. So you said that the purpose of the U visa is in order to
8	encourage	undocumented people to come forward with reports of crime?
9	Α	Correct.
10	Q	All right. So to encourage people to come forward, there has to be
11	community	outreach, right?
12	Α	I'm sure there is. Yes, sir.
13	Q	Okay. Like billboards or pamphlets?
14	А	I don't know, sir.
15	Q	You don't know of them? What about organizations like Hermandad
16	Mexicana?	
17	A	Yes, sir. They send in U visa applications.
18	Q	Okay. As a certifying official, you're certifying that it's a qualifying
19	crime; is that correct?	
20	A	I'm certifying that the crime reported is qualifying, yes, sir.
21	Q	Okay. Are you also the person who is certifying that the applicants are
22	cooperating with police?	
23	A	Yes, sir. I'm certifying that the applicants are qualified with the police.
24	Q	Okay. And the applicants who do this are getting legal status while
25	thev are in	volved with the case; that's what you said, correct?

1		MR. HAMNER: No further questions.
2		THE COURT: All right. Let's see, we had
3		MR. WESTBROOK: This is the last one.
4		THE COURT: Did you have recross?
5		MR. WESTBROOK: Recross, Your Honor.
6		THE COURT: Okay.
7		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
9	Q	But they are eligible for approval, correct?
10	A	I can only state that from a law enforcement standpoint.
11	Q	From a law enforcement standpoint.
12	A	I I signed off that on my portion.
13	Q	Okay. You signed off on your portion?
14	A	Correct, sir.
15	Q	So as far as your job is concerned, they are now eligible for approval,
16	correct?	
17	A	Again, you're going beyond what I'm allowed to what I'm
18	Q	Sure.
19	A	So here's here's the problem, is that there's
20		MR. HAMNER: I'm going to I'm actually going to object at this point.
21		Can we approach?
22		THE COURT: Yes, you may.
23		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
24		THE COURT: Are we still talking about conditional approval?
25		MR. HAMNER: Well, Mr. Westbrook

1	THE COURT: Or final approval?		
2	MR. HAMNER: I'm going to object on the grounds that the		
3	MR. WESTBROOK: Conditional.		
4	MR. HAMNER: Well, let me make my objection.		
5	My objection is that Mr. Westbrook is asking this witness to offer		
6	an expert opinion at this point, an opinion that he complained about on my direct		
7	was beyond the scope, because no one was noticed.		
8	THE COURT: I I had noticed that.		
9	MR. HAMNER: So I think at this point		
10	THE COURT: I think I think if you're opening the door, we've got to		
11	I've got to let him open the door to bring out the opinions that we stopped.		
12	MR. WESTBROOK: Your Honor, I'm simply asking the reverse		
13	question that Mr. Hamner just asked. He said to your knowledge or have they		
14	note been conditionally refused.		
15	THE COURT: You actually opened the door on your initial cross by		
16	asking the question, are they conditionally approved? And so that he that		
17	opened the door for him to offer opinions on what conditional approval means.		
18	MR. WESTBROOK: I I'm sorry, Your Honor. I didn't ask if they were		
19	conditionally approved.		
20	THE COURT: You did. You you used you're the first one to use		
21	that term, conditional approval.		
22	MR. WESTBROOK: I'm quite sure I didn't, Your Honor.		
23	MS. KIERNY: That was the answer from the witness.		
24	MR. WESTBROOK: That was the answer from the witness		
25	MR. HAMNER: And that would be		
- 1	139		

1	THE COURT: The prior answer?
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Yes.
3	MR. HAMNER: No. That would be in
4	MR. WESTBROOK: [Indiscernible.]
5	MR. HAMNER: And that would be invited by his question got that
6	answer and opened the door.
7	THE COURT: Well, you're you're so I think, if you're going to ask
8	now
9	MR. WESTBROOK: I can read exactly what I said. Quote the quote
0	the words that he said on direct.
1	THE COURT: The point the point is
2	MR. WESTBROOK: And that's it.
3	THE COURT: Because we're kind of beyond that.
4	MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.
5	THE COURT: The point is are you now going to ask an opinion
6	question regarding the approval status of the applicant if you do ask that. And I
7	have to, in fairness, allow them to ask opinion questions.
8	MR. WESTBROOK: What I asked was [indiscernible] of his job as a
9	certified professional, did he sign the form. And it was the exact opposite question
20	to what Chris asked him.
21	MR. HAMNER: The record's clear, he's opened the door. The
22	answer opening the door.
23	THE COURT: Either we can we can stop now or you can ask an
24	opinion question. I'm just letting you know, if you ask an opinion question regarding

approval status, then you're accepting him as an expert and Mr. Hamner can ask

1	opinion questions.		
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. All I've all that I asked him was as a		
3	certifying, did you sign the form.		
4	THE COURT: Well, that's not an opinion question. That's fine.		
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. That's all I asked.		
6		THE COURT: Okay. All right.	
7		[End of bench conference.]	
8		THE COURT: Okay.	
9	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:	
10	Q	As the certifying official, did you sign the U visa forms for Amanda	
11	Moiza, Mai	ria Barajas, and their respective husbands?	
12	A	I only know of the two that I've signed. I can't tell you about their	
13	respective	husbands.	
14	Q	Okay. And those two are Amanda and Maria?	
15	A	Correct, sir.	
16	Q	Okay. So as the certifying official, you signed their forms, right?	
17	A	Correct, sir.	
18		MR. WESTBROOK: Nothing further.	
19		THE COURT: All right. Thank thank you, Mr. Westbrook.	
20		Did we did you get two shots at this? Yes, you did.	
21		MR. HAMNER: I did. Thank you very much, Your Honor.	
22		THE COURT: So then we're ready to ask the jurors if they have any	
23	questions.	All right.	
24		Marshal, I saw two hands back there. Jurors No. 2 and 3. Mak	

sure your names and juror numbers are on there. Thank you.

1	Okay. You guys can approach when you're ready.
2	[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
3	THE COURT: Okay. These are the questions. I'm not sure
4	[indiscernible] ask them.
5	MR. WESTBROOK: Not for him, unfortunately.
6	MS. KOLLINS: When they come back Will the outcome of the trial
7	have any effect on the U visa applications? That's a fair
8	MS. KIERNY: I don't know about the their fees.
9	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah. I think you can't have you can't ask
0	[indiscernible].
1	MS. KOLLINS: I don't think he'll
2	THE COURT: I think these are really cool questions.
3	MR. WESTBROOK: Uh-huh.
4	THE COURT: I mean, you guys can consider drafting something to
5	give to the jury before the close of the case.
6	MS. KIERNY: I don't think I know the answers.
7	MS. KOLLINS: Well, they're I mean, and this might either be elicited
8	from his expert on direct or cross, but there are pending U visa applications right
9	now that are from crimes 30 years ago that people have cooperated decades ago.
20	So the answer is no. But we'll get that from someone else, not from him.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	MS. KOLLINS: The person removed before approval is it approved,
23	can they come back? I don't know the answer, and I don't think he's going to know
24	it.
25	MR. HAMNER: It's beyond the scope of his

1	THE COURT: Okay. So we can't give these.		
2	MR. WESTBROOK: [Indiscernible] not this guy, but we can		
3	[indiscernible].		
4	MS. KOLLINS: Yeah, we can		
5	THE COURT: You guys figure out what to do with these at closing		
6	argument or before closing argument.		
7	MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.		
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.		
9	[End of bench conference.]		
10	THE COURT: All right. Jurors, for reasons that you need not should		
11	not concern yourselves, I am not getting these two questions. Okay. But thank you		
12	in any event.		
13	I'll go ahead and give these to the court clerk to mark them as		
14	court exhibits.		
15	Officer Price or Lieutenant Price, thank you very much for your		
16	time. You are excused, sir.		
17	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. Have a good day.		
18	THE COURT: And watch your step.		
19	MR. HAMNER: Is it possible to take a brief 10-minute break		
20	or 15-minute break, Your Honor?		
21	THE COURT: Why don't we say 15 minutes?		
22	MR. HAMNER: Yes, Your Honor.		
23	THE COURT: All right?		
24	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.		
25	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're taking a 15-minute recess.		

1	And the prior admonishment I gave you applies.		
2	Don't form any opinions about the case; don't talk to anybody		
3	about the case; don't talk to any witnesses, parties, or or attorneys; don't do any		
4	research or investigations; and don't don't use any electronic devices to get any		
5	information about the case or the subject matter of the case.		
6	All right. You are excused. 15 minutes. Let's say, straight		
7	up 4:00. Does that work? All right. Thanks, guys.		
8	[Jury recessed at 3:41 p.m.]		
9	THE COURT: All right. See you at 4:00.		
10	MS. KOLLINS: Yes, sir.		
11	THE COURT: All right.		
12	[Court recessed at 3:42 p.m., until 4:03 p.m.]		
13	[Outside the presence of the jury.]		
14	THE COURT: So let's go on the record. And where do we stand,		
15	folks?		
16	MR. HAMNER: We have two more witnesses. Based on we have		
17	one we need to before we bring the jury in, I just want to recall the exhibits.		
18	There was one exhibit that we've agreed upon by stipulation based on a redaction.		
19	And it's eliminating the need for one of the witnesses, so		
20	THE COURT: Excellent. Thank you.		
21	MR. HAMNER: We're all we're all winning.		
22	THE COURT: Why don't you identify for the		
23	THE CLERK: Which exhibit is that?		
24	MR. HAMNER: So State's proposed I want to say it's 49 and 49A. I		
25	was a six-pack lineup [indiscernible]. I would like to not offer what you've marked		

1	as State's proposed 49A. I would like to offer this one. It's a redaction of the same			
2	thing, so I don't know if you want to			
3	THE CLERK: You want to redact.			
4	MR. HAMNER: If we can switch			
5	THE CLERK: Yeah, switch it out.			
6	MR. HAMNER: If we could take it out and switch it. Let's just switch it			
7	And then with that substitution, the parties will stipulate to			
8	admission of State's 49 and State's 49A.			
9	THE COURT: 49 and 49A redacted are admitted, based on stipulation			
10	of the parties. Thank you.			
11	[State's Exhibit Nos. 49 and 49A admitted.]			
12	MS. KIERNY: And based on that, I think we can knock two witnesses			
13	off their perfect. Maybe you covered that I was talking to Ms. Kollins.			
14	MR. HAMNER: We have two more witnesses. One will be very, very			
15	brief. One should take a bit longer.			
16	THE COURT: Let's do it.			
17	MR. HAMNER: And then we'll be we'll be done for the day.			
18	THE COURT: Marshal, we can bring the jurors in. We're all set, sir.			
19	[Pause in proceedings.]			
20	[Jury reconvened at 4:06 p.m.]			
21	THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. We're on the record,			
22	continuing with our case.			
23	The State may call its next witness.			
24	MR. HAMNER: The State is going to call Wendy Losada to the stand.			
25	THE COURT: Wendy Losada. All right.			

1	[Pause in proceedings.]			
2		THE COURT: Please remain standing. The court clerk will administer		
3	your oath.			
4		WENDY LOSADA,		
5	[having l	been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows.]		
6		THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Please will you state and		
7	spell your	first and last name for the record.		
8		THE WITNESS: Wendy Losada, W-E-N-D-Y L-O-S-A-D-A.		
9		MR. HAMNER: May I proceed, Your Honor?		
10		THE COURT: Yes, you may.		
11		MR. HAMNER: Thank you.		
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
13	BY MR. H	AMNER:		
14	Q	Ms. Losada, what do you do for a living?		
15	A	I am a victim advocate.		
16	Q	Okay. Do you work over at the Rape Crisis Center?		
17	A	That's correct.		
18	Q	Okay. Did you have a meeting sometime after October 17th, 2016		
19	sometime	in 2016, with Amanda Moiza, Maria Esther Barajas, and Ricardo Rangel?		
20	A	I did.		
21	Q	Okay. And I don't want to get into any specifics, but I want to target on		
22	one thing.	I want to talk about U visas for a second.		
23	A	Uh-huh.		
24	Q	Okay. When they came in, did Amanda ask you about a U visa?		
25	A	No.		
		146		

1	Q	Did she ask you how to obtain one?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Did Maria ask you about a U visa?
4	A	No.
5	Q	Did she ask you how to obtain one?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Did Ricardo ask you for a U visa?
8	A	No.
9	Q	Did he ask you how to obtain one?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Okay. Did you refer them to Hermandad Mexicana Nacional?
12	A	I did.
13	Q	Okay. Did you specifically tell them about a U visa, if you remember?
14	A	I don't think I I don't remember if I did. I know I referred them to get
15	services, b	ecause they do victim services.
16	Q	They can help they can help out?
17	Α	Yes.
18	Q	So let me just ask you at least what you're clear about. Are you clear
19	about the fa	act that those three people did not ask you about U visas versus you
20	referring them potentially there for a U visa?	
21	A	That's correct.
22	Q	Okay.
23		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions at this time.
24		THE COURT: Cross?
25		MR. WESTBROOK: No questions, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Anything from the jurors? Okay.	
2	I will excuse you then. Thank you.	
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	
4	THE COURT: Thank you very much for your time.	
5	You may call the next witness.	
6	MR. HAMNER: The State's going to call Janette Amador to the stand.	
7	THE COURT: Hopefully Losada wasn't waiting too long.	
8	[Pause in proceedings.]	
9	JANETTE AMADOR,	
10	[having been called as a witness and being first duly sworn, testified as follows.]	
11	THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. Will you please state and	
12	spell your first and last name for the record.	
13	THE WITNESS: Janette, J-A-N-E-T-T-E, Amador, A-M-A-D-O-R.	
14	THE CLERK: Thank you.	
15	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
16	MR. HAMNER: Thank you very much.	
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
18	BY MR. HAMNER:	
19	Q Ms. Amador, can you explain to the jury what you do for a living?	
20	A I am a caseworker for Hermandad Mexicana Transnational, which is a	
21	nonprofit organization that provides immigration services.	
22	Q Does that also include helping people apply for a U visa?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q Okay. What is a U visa?	
25	A A U visa is a nonimmigrant legal status. It is a special law that	

Α

Yes.

25

potential application?

A Once Metro signs the certification, the applicant is provided with a list of required documents that they need to gather in order for us to process their case, as well as a packet that they must complete with their personal information for us to be able to complete all the immigration forms.

- Q What sort of documents are we talking about?
- A Personal documents such as identifications, birth certificates, any background checks.
 - Q Okay. Do they have to prepare, like, a voluntary statement as well?
 - A Yes, they do.
- Q Okay. At this stage, when you're compiling information, is an applicant protected from being potentially removed?
 - A They are not.
 - Q Did you explain this to Amanda and Maria at your first meeting?
 - A Yes.
- Q Once all that information is provided to your organization, what do you do as an organization with all that information?
- A Once an applicant brings in all of the required documentation, as well as the packet filled out with all their personal information, it must be reviewed by the director to make sure that we can continue with the process and it does not need to be reviewed by an immigration attorney. And if everything is okay, then we can proceed to complete the immigration forms.
- Q Okay. During that process, is an applicant still exposed to potential removal?
 - A Yes, they are.

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant. Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

1	collecting additional information and then sending the application to USCIS?		
2	A	Yes. The the husbands are each on the first step and the wives are	
3	each on the	e second step.	
4	Q	Great.	
5		MR. HAMNER: Court's indulgence.	
6		THE COURT: Sure.	
7	BY MR. HA	AMNER:	
8	Q	Oh, let me let me ask you this. As far as you know, when Amanda -	
9	when you r	met with Amanda and Maria, did they tell you that they were referred to	
10	you by the	District Attorney's Office?	
11	A	No, they did not.	
12		MR. HAMNER: No further questions at this time.	
13		THE COURT: Okay. Cross.	
14		MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.	
15		THE COURT: Sure.	
16		CROSS-EXAMINATION	
17	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:	
18	Q	Hi, Janette, how are you?	
19	Α	Hi. I'm well, thank you. How about yourself?	
20	Q	I'm very well. Thanks.	
21		When a person is undocumented, they can be removed any	
22	time, right?		
23	A	Correct.	
24	Q	Okay. But in their daily lives, whether they're applies for a U visa or	
25	not, if they'	re undocumented, they're always subject to removal, correct?	

	1	
1	А	Correct.
2	Q	Okay. So in their daily lives, they have no protection, right?
3	А	Correct.
4	Q	They could, for example, get stopped for speeding and the police officer
5	could ask fo	or their papers, they don't have any papers, and the police officer could
6	report them	to the federal authorities and they could be deported, right?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Okay. In fact, that's a common way for people to be discovered by
9	immigration	enforcement, isn't it? Police officers, local police discover them and
10	then report	them to the federal government?
11	А	I wouldn't know. But I would imagine so.
12	Q	Okay. This law exists in part to remove the fear of deportation, right?
13		MR. HAMNER: Your Honor, could we just briefly approach?
14		MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.
15		THE COURT: Okay.
16		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
17		MR. HAMNER: I just wanted just to be clear about the the ruling. Is
18	this an area	a where the court's permitting to opine, offer opinions on the purpose
19	and the mo	tivation? I just want to be clear.
20		THE COURT: Yeah. I'm allowing her to opine on all that
21		MR. HAMNER: Okay.
22		THE COURT: because it's reflective of her state of mind.
23		MR. HAMNER: Okay. Sure.
24		THE COURT: Which helps to to lend credibility or incredibility to her
25	testimony -	-

1	MR. HAMNER: That's fine.			
2	THE	THE COURT: about what she told them.		
3	MR. I	MR. HAMNER: Just wanted to be clear.		
4	MR.	MR. WESTBROOK: And honestly, I haven't objected to her opining,		
5	because it's her j	ob. It was just that the detective just didn't have the		
6	THE	COURT: That's perfect.		
7		[End of bench conference.]		
8	BY MR. WESTB	ROOK:		
9	Q Okay	. So we were talking about this law existing in in part, to remove		
10	fear of deportatio	n, right?		
11	A I'm no	ot sure if I'm qualified to answer that question.		
12	Q Okay	. Well, let me put it another way. You want people who have		
13	been victims of c	rime and when I say you, I'm sorry the law in this particular		
14	case U visas e	xist so that people who are victims on crime can report to the		
15	police without wo	rrying that the police will then deport them, right?		
16	A To th	e best of my knowledge.		
17	Q Okay	. So in a way, it's to remove that fear of deportation?		
18	A I supp	oose.		
19	Q Okay	. It also helping local law enforcement work with people better,		
20	right?			
21	A I wou	ld say so.		
22	Q Sure.	And, in fact, your organization works closely with local law		
23	enforcement, righ	nt?		
24	A They	do.		
25	MR.	WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.		

THE	COURT:	Sure.
-----	--------	-------

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

- Q Now, we've used the word victim a lot here. Somebody who is reporting a crime often is referred to, I think in your documentation and in your testimony just now, as a victim. Whether or not they are a victim, that's not what you're actually deciding over at your office, right?
 - A Correct.
- Q Okay. What you're deciding is whether or not they have made an allegation that they are a victim, right?
 - A No. It is not my job to decide that.
- Q Okay. Well, I mean -- let me be more specific. When you're looking for somebody who has told you that they are a victim of a qualifying crime, you're not questioning whether they're really a victim, or whether it's -- the story that they're telling you is untrue, right?
 - A That is not my job.
- Q Right. That's not your job at all. Your job is to find out whether or not what they say happened is, if it's true, a qualifying crime, right?
 - A I'm not sure I understand the question.
- Q Okay. Let me put it this way. If an undocumented immigrant comes in to -- to your office to ask questions about a U visa, and they say, Hey, I was a victim of a burglary, is that a qualifying crime?
 - A No, it is not.
- Q Okay. If they come in and they say, I'm a -- I'm a victim of domestic violence, you know, my husband beats me, is that a qualifying crime?
 - A Domestic violence is a qualifying crime.

1	Q	Okay. So the first person you wouldn't be able to help, right?
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	The second person you would be able to help?
4	A	Potentially.
5	Q	Okay. And when you decide to help them or not help them, what you're
6	looking at i	s the crime that they're alleging, right?
7	A	Correct.
8	Q	You're not reviewing the allegations to see whether they are true or not
9	does that r	nake sense?
10	A	Yes. That is not my job.
11	Q	Yeah. I mean, your job is not to cross-examine them, right?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	And your job is not to investigate the allegations to see if they're true,
14	right?	
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	All you're looking for is the accusation and whether or not it qualifies
17	under the s	statutes, right?
18	A	Correct.
19	Q	Okay.
20		MR. WESTBROOK: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
21		THE COURT: Yes.
22	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
23	Q	I have what is preliminarily marked as defense next in order.
24		MS. KOLLINS: Which ones?
25		MR. WESTBROOK: The pink and the and what's in the folder.

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

1		MS. KOLLINS: Okay.
2		THE COURT: What exhibit numbers?
3		THE CLERK: A and B.
4		THE COURT: All right.
5		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. May I approach the witness?
6		THE COURT: Yes.
7		MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you.
8	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
9	Q	I'm showing you what's marked as Defense Proposed A and Defense
10	Proposed I	3; do you recognize these?
11	A	I do.
12	Q	Okay. Could you tell me what they are?
13	A	The the first is a guideline of the steps that our office takes to process
14	a U visa.	
15	Q	Okay. And that's the pink sheet?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. Is this something that your office produces?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And so you've seen this one before?
20	A	I have.
21	Q	Even on this paper?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. And is it on English one side and then on the other side it's in
24	Spanish?	
25	A	It is.
	1	162

1	MR. WESTBROOK: I'd like to move to enter these two exhibits into the
2	evidence.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Hamner?
4	MR. HAMNER: You know, we were we were provided them today,
5	so we don't have any objections at this time.
6	THE COURT: All right. They are admitted, A and B.
7	[Defense Exhibit Nos. A and B admitted.]
8	MR. WESTBROOK: Thank you.
9	MS. KOLLINS: Is this one of English that he's admitting? Because he
10	can't admit it in Spanish. Is it
11	MR. HAMNER: Well, court's just could we just briefly approach
12	and
13	MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.
14	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah.
15	MR. HAMNER: Just real brief.
16	[Pause in proceedings.]
17	MR. WESTBROOK: All right.
18	THE COURT: All right. Am I hearing that there's no objection and they
19	can be admitted?
20	MR. WESTBROOK: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. So ordered. Let's continue.
22	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
23	BY MR. WESTBROOK:
24	Q The part of what you're doing at your organization is community
25	outreach; is that fair to say?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. The community needs to know you're there in order to use you;
3	is that fair?	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Okay. Is this pamphlet an example of the type of material that you're
6	using to	won't say advertise your service, because you're a nonprofit, but to
7	make peop	le aware of your service?
8	A	That particular pamphlet was dropped off by North Las Vegas Police
9	Departmen	t when they came to our event.
10	Q	Oh, I see. So the the North Las Vegas Police Department also is one
11	of the orga	nizations that that potentially advertises your services and U visa
12	services to the public?	
13		MR. HAMNER: I'm going to I'm going to object to the word the use
14	of the word advertise as being argumentative.	
15		THE COURT: Yeah. It's sustained. It misstates the witness's
16	testimony.	
17		MR. WESTBROOK: Would would publicize be a better word for that?
18	Makes peo	ple aware?
19		MR. HAMNER: I would just say provides, you know, provide the
20	pamphlets.	
21		THE COURT: Well, let's hear from the witness's own testimony as to
22	what wha	at I I mean, ask the question
23		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
24		THE COURT: that I think you're trying to ask, which is what does
25	Hermandad	d Mexicana Nacional do to let if anything, to let the public know about

1	the U visa process?	
2		MR. WESTBROOK: That works.
3		THE WITNESS: Okay. Most of the time, we are asked by
4	organizatio	ons to come and provide information about our services.
5	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
6	Q	Okay. And what kind of organizations
7	A	But we mostly depend on word of mouth.
8	Q	Okay. Word of mouth?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And do the organizations include police departments?
11	A	They do.
12	Q	Okay.
13	A	Well, hold on. Can I take that back?
14	Q	Oh, absolutely.
15	A	Police departments do not ask us to come and give information.
16	Q	Okay. But they do distribute your information, right?
17	A	That is not our information.
18	Q	Okay.
19	A	That particular is USCIS information.
20	Q	Oh, I see.
21	A	That's printed by USCIS.
22	Q	I see. Do you have these on hand at your organization?
23	A	I don't.
24	Q	Not usually?
25	A	No.

	1	
1	Q	Okay. All right.
2		MR. WESTBROOK: That's kind of a misunderstanding. I would
3	probably go	ahead and take this out then of of the evidence. Because my
4	understand	ling, from the testimony, was that it was something she distributes, but
5	it's not.	
6		THE COURT: So B will be removed as an exhibit?
7		MR. WESTBROOK: Yes. That would be my request.
8		THE COURT: Any objection to having B removed?
9		MS. KOLLINS: No, sir.
10		MR. HAMNER: No, Your Honor.
11		THE COURT: All right. B is removed.
12		[Defense Exhibit No. B removed.]
13	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
14	Q	Okay. But you did say this was something at some point that they
15	dropped of	f at your organization?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay. That makes sense.
18		This one, the pink one, though, that is something that you guys
19	create and	distribute; is that right?
20	A	Correct.
21	Q	Okay. What other kind of organizations ask you to provide information
22	to the publi	c?
23	A	Mostly schools.
24	Q	Oh, schools.
25	A	Yes.

potential applicant has been a victim.

MR. WESTBROOK: Right.

THE COURT: Is that -- does that -- I mean that's the gist of your testimony, right?

MR. WESTBROOK: And I can -- I can restate it, too.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

BY MR. WESTBROOK:

- Q Okay. So it's not enough for somebody just to come in and say, you know, my daughter was a victim of sexual abuse; it actually has to have gone to the police and initiated some kind of paperwork, correct?
 - A In order for us to serve them, they have to have a police report.
- Q Okay. But if they've done that, you're not reviewing that for truth or false or evidence or no evidence. Once they have the police report, they come in and they've passed that first step; is that fair to say?
 - A The first step on the pink sheet?
- Q Yeah. For -- for the -- the being a victim of a qualifying activity report. If they've gone to the police, they've gotten a report, and if they've claimed to be a victim of a qualifying activity, such as domestic violence or a sexual assault, then they've passed that first bullet point, right?
- A If they provide a police report stating that they are a victim of a qualifying crime, then they would have passed that first step.
- Q Okay. During the process of -- during the process of processing the forms, you check in with law enforcement to make sure that the applicant is cooperating with the police, right?
 - A I do not.

1	Q	Okay. Does somebody from your office do that?
2	A	No, we don't.
3	Q	There is not communication with the victim witness office to see if
4	they're still	cooperating with police?
5	A	No, there isn't.
6	Q	Okay. Who does handle that?
7	A	The only thing that we do is request a certification from the police.
8	Q	I see. That cooperation stuff happens precertification; is that fair to
9	say?	
10	A	On most occasions.
11	Q	Okay. I'm going to grab the U visa app over here.
12		So I'm going to refer first to State's Exhibit 51.
13		And you've already identified that State's Exhibit 51 includes the
14	U visa app	lication of Maria Barajas, correct?
15	Α	Correct.
16	Q	Okay. There are two applications, though, in here, aren't there? Or
17	should I jus	st approach
18	Α	May I see?
19	Q	so you can take a look at it?
20	Α	Uh-huh.
21		MR. WESTBROOK: I'm sorry, Judge. May I approach?
22		THE COURT: Yes.
23	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
24	Q	So once you go back here, there's a supplement B.
25	A	Okay. Yes. These are two separate applications. The first application

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. This hasn't been certified yet, so his cooperation is being
3	checked by	the police, right?
4	А	I would imagine so.
5	Q	Okay. And if he's not cooperating with police, then we won't be
6	certified, riq	ght?
7	A	It depends on the lieutenant who reviews these to make that
8	determinat	ion.
9	Q	Generally speaking, cooperation is required, right?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. If somebody is an alleged victim of a crime or a family member,
12	qualifying f	amily member who is an alleged victim of a crime, and they are working
13	with the po	lice, it's unlikely that the police are then going to report them
14		MR. HAMNER: Objection.
15	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
16	Q	to ICE, right?
17		MR. HAMNER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
18		THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. You've got to lay more foundation
19	before you ask that	
20		MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.
21		THE COURT: that type of question.
22		MR. WESTBROOK: I will.
23	BY MR. WESTBROOK:	
24	Q	We talked about the purpose of of the law, the U visa law, right? The
25	purpose of	the U visa law, you know, in your experience as a person who handles

1	these appli	ications and who works for this organization, is to make it so that people
2	don't have	to be afraid of going to the police when something happens, right?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And the idea behind that is if they feel like they can trust the
5	police not	to report them to the federal government for deportation, then they are
6	more likely	to go to the police, right?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	So by working with the police, they are less likely to be reported by the
9	police	
10		MR. HAMNER: Objection.
11	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
12	Q	to the federal government?
13		MR. HAMNER: Objection. It calls for speculation. She's not a police
14	officer. Th	is is
15		THE COURT: No. I understand. That's that's sustained still.
16		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
17		THE COURT: And you haven't you haven't established that she is
18	knowledge	able about the procedures or protocol by which the police will certify.
19		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
20		THE COURT: I mean, you have we have generalities
21	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
22	Q	Is that being
23		THE COURT: here, but she
24		MR. WESTBROOK: Sure.
25		THE COURT: she can't, you know, answer your specific question

1	without	
2		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
3		THE COURT: without a foundation. Otherwise, it's speculation.
4		MR. WESTBROOK: Sounds good. I will lay some more foundation.
5		THE COURT: Uh-huh.
6	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
7	Q	You've worked with these people, you know how many cases do you
8	handle, do	you think, a year?
9	A	I wouldn't be able to provide an exact number. But it's in the hundreds.
10	Q	In the hundreds. Okay. That is you personally, right?
11	Α	No.
12	Q	Okay. Is it just Hermandad Mexicana?
13	A	It's the organization as a whole.
14	Q	Okay. So you you see a lot of different people come into your
15	organizatio	on, right?
16	A	We do.
17	Q	Okay. And they come in, not just to file applications, but they come in
18	for semina	rs, right?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Okay. And just to learn more about the process, right?
21	A	To learn about immigration in general.
22	Q	Okay. Have you noticed, in your experience with the people who come
23	into your o	rganization, a fear or distrust of police departments?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Okay. And in order to make that better, your organization works with

1	police depa	artments, right?
2	A	We do.
3	Q	In fact, you're in the process of establishing is it a justice center with
4	local police	e, in order to process these applications?
5	A	Not specifically, no.
6	Q	Hoc. What is it that you're working with?
7	A	Metro is working on creating what is called a Family Justice Center
8	Q	Okay.
9	A	which is a one-stop shop for victims of crimes, such as domestic
10	violence, s	exual assault, and human trafficking. We are a community partner, but i
11	is only for ເ	us to be there in case anybody requires our services.
12	Q	Okay. Community partner, so you want to be a community partner,
13	right, your	organization?
14	A	We were invited to be a community partner.
15	Q	Okay. And the police want to partner with the community, correct?
16	A	Correct.
17	Q	Okay. And partnering with the community helps remove the fear that
18	we were ta	Iking about of deportation?
19	A	I would imagine so.
20	Q	Okay. And it makes the U visa thing work?
21		MR. HAMNER: What?
22	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
23	Q	It makes the U visa application process work?
24		MR. HAMNER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
25		MR. WESTBROOK: It seems to me like she's qualified.

1	THE COURT: Because I think it's helpful, and I haven't heard either
2	one of you get to it yet, so.
3	MR. WESTBROOK: Okay.
4	MS. KIERNY: Okay.
5	THE COURT: Let me see here.
6	So Ms. Losada?
7	THE WITNESS: No.
8	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Amador.
9	THE WITNESS: Correct.
10	THE COURT: Yes, sorry. Ms. Amador, did did you ever tell or
11	suggest to Amanda Moiza or Maria Barajas that USCIS approval of the U of their
12	U visa applications was dependent upon how they or any of their family members
13	would testify in court?
14	THE WITNESS: I did not. Or can you say the question one more time?
15	THE COURT: Yeah. Did you ever tell or suggest to either Amanda
16	Moiza or Maria Barajas that that USCIS approval of their U visas would be
17	dependent upon how they or any of their family members would testify in court?
18	THE WITNESS: I did not.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
20	You can you can go next, State.
21	MR. HAMNER: Okay.
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. HAMNER:
24	Q So I want to follow up on on the judge's question.
25	So does their ability to testify have any effect on your vetting

1	process in	Step 1?
2	A	Does their what?
3	Q	Does the vetting process that you do in Step 1, when you meet them,
4	how they to	estify in court, does that matter to you guys?
5	A	It does not.
6	Q	Okay. Does it matter for you when you send in an application on their
7	behalf?	
8	A	It does not.
9	Q	Okay. And and as far as you know, is there any district attorney
10	certification	process in any of this?
11	A	Not that I'm aware of.
12	Q	Okay. You were asked at the beginning of cross-examination about,
13	you know,	when people are illegal, they're always subject to removal; do you
14	remember	those questions?
15	A	I do.
16	Q	Okay. So let's just say, hypothetically, if someone's been living here,
17	let's say, 1	3 years, from day one, they're subject to removal; is that right?
18	Potentially'	?
19	A	Potentially.
20	Q	So in theory, they could walk in on day 1 or year 1 or year 2, and ask
21	for this app	lication; is that right?
22	A	If they were a victim.
23	Q	If they're a victim. But, I mean, let's just say they're making it up?
24	A	Uh-huh.
25	Q	They could walk into your office, in theory, at any point in time on any 178

But is Step 2 a certification from Metro on the other end of the

25

Q

1	A	For this case in particular?
2	Q	For this case.
3	A	No, we have not.
4	Q	And let me ask you were asked a lot of questions about Nicholas
5	having a p	ending certification from Metro, right?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	That's that Step 2 in the process, right?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	So we have a situation where you have the same child, two different
10	parents, ar	nd Metro has approved one, but still pending on another; is that right?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Even though it's the same case, same kid; is that right?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay.
15		MR. HAMNER: I have no further questions at this time.
16		MR. WESTBROOK: Court's indulgence.
17		THE COURT: You know, I I have a question. What what have you
18	personally done, or or do you do anything personally to provide information to th	
19	general pu	blic about the U visa process? Do you personally do anything?
20		THE WITNESS: I do. It's mostly the director who does these type of
21	outreach e	events. However, when she's not available, I have gone out and done
22	community	v events myself.
23		THE COURT: Okay. And those are the community events you were
24	talking tha	t that people from your office speak to?
25		THE WITNESS: Yes.

1		THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
2		All right. Mr. Westbrook.
3		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
5	Q	Your job is not to test the allegations, right?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And your job is not to review the evidence in the case, right?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	Okay. And the results of the case, whether it's an acquittal or a
10	conviction,	there's nothing on any of these forms where those results are followed,
11	right?	
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Okay. No one's looking to see whether there was a conviction, and
14	nothing reli	ies on that, right?
15	A	Correct.
16	Q	Okay. All that's needed is an allegation of a qualifying crime reported to
17	police, righ	t?
18	A	I need a police report.
19	Q	Right. Police certification, correct?
20	A	I need the police to sign.
21	Q	Okay. And then it sounds like time?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Okay. The there's 10,000 applications a year; is that what I heard?
24	A	Immigration has 10,000 U visas available each year. It does not mean
25	that they or	nly receive 10,000 a year.

1	Q	I see. So there it's two years because the demand for U visas
2	outstrips th	ne supply of U visas; is that fair to say?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Because for some people it's the only way they can get legal; is that
5	right?	
6	A	I wouldn't know. But
7		MR. HAMNER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
8		THE COURT: Yeah. That's pretty overbroad, Mr. Westbrook.
9		MR. WESTBROOK: Okay. I'll I'll put it this way.
10	BY MR. W	ESTBROOK:
11	Q	Far more than 10,000 people per year are trying to get documented
12	through the	e U visa program; is that fair?
13	A	That is fair.
14	Q	All right.
15		MR. WESTBROOK: Nothing further.
16		THE COURT: All right. So I think that concludes the attorneys
17	examinatio	n.
18		Anything from the jurors?
19		Very good, ma'am. The marshal will bring it.
20		Why don't you wait a minute, marshal, and see if anyone else
21	has one.	
22		THE MARSHAL: Anyone else?
23		[Bench conference transcribed as follows.]
24		MR. WESTBROOK: I'm not sure if they know [indiscernible].
25		MS. KOLLINS: I mean they have to have a qualifying crime, so

1	THE COURT: I think they're both good questions.
2	MR. WESTBROOK: Yeah, I think she can answer.
3	MS. KOLLINS: Yeah.
4	MR. WESTBROOK: Do you agree?
5	THE COURT: No objection?
6	MS. KIERNY: There's I think I may follow up and [indiscernible].
7	MS. KOLLINS: We could just follow up on a qualifying crime after you
8	ask it.
9	MR. HAMNER: Okay.
10	THE COURT: Good.
11	All right. So the court's going to all right.
12	[End of bench conference.]
13	THE COURT: The court's going to give two questions.
14	The first question: Is it normal for one parent to be ahead of the
15	other one, getting the application process if it involves just one of one of their
16	kids?
17	THE WITNESS: It is I don't want to say it is normal. I I want to say
18	that it happens, because sometimes police will only list one parent on the police
19	report, even though both parents will assist to every every call from police, every
20	call from court. Sometimes only one parent's name gets written down on the police
21	report, that's why we see this happen.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	Next question: Can a person even apply for an for a U visa if
24	they didn't report the incident to police first? Meaning, they can't go back and

report the crime to police after inquiring about the U visa at your office; is that

2	
)	

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

correct?

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the guestion?

THE COURT: Yeah. Can a person even apply for a U visa if they didn't report the incident to police first? Meaning -- meaning, they can't go back and report the crime to police after inquiring about the U visa at your office, correct?

That's the way it's written there, so -- if -- maybe if you think you understand it, you can -- you can explain it.

THE WITNESS: Okay. The way I understood that question, if a person can provide our organization a police report where the -- it indicates that they are a victim of a qualifying crime, then it depends on the police to certify.

I'm not sure if I answered the question.

THE COURT: Well, I can't -- I'm not going to follow up. But we'll see if the attorneys want to follow up on that.

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HAMNER:

Q Just for clarification, there -- there need -- when they come in for that Step 1, that initial meeting either with you or with the director, there still needs to be some type of qualifying crime?

Α In order for them to see either the director or myself, we need to have that police report.

Q Okay. And so for -- just for -- and we're just speaking for your organization at the very least. We're not talking about other things. But for your organization, you're not holding that meeting with them unless there's some type of police report discussing the qualifying crime?

Correct. Unless -- unless they have a police report stating that they are Α

1	a victim of	a qualifying crime, they do not meet with the director.
2	Q	And to be clear, that's the procedures for your organization?
3	A	That is the
4	Q	Not necessarily anyone else?
5	A	Correct. That is the procedure for our organization only.
6	Q	Thank you very much?
7		THE COURT: Mr. Westbrook, anything?
8		MR. WESTBROOK: No follow-up, Your Honor. Thank you.
9		THE COURT: All right. Thank you, jurors.
10		And thank you, Ms. Amador. You are excused. Thank you for
11	your time.	
12		THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13		THE COURT: Watch your step there.
14		All right. Does the State have another witness for today?
15		MR. HAMNER: No, we're done for the day, Your Honor. And it's it's
16	actually alr	nost closing time.
17		THE COURT: Good timing.
18		So I I have 30 matters tomorrow morning, so I can't I can't
19	have you g	uys here before 1:00. So 1:00 is my plan.
20		MR. WESTBROOK: That's fine.
21		MR. HAMNER: That's fine, Your Honor.
22		MS. KIERNY: Will you staff need more time to eat or anything do you
23	want to go	later?
24		THE COURT: Well, we'll be done no, no.
25		MS. KIERNY: Okay.

THE COURT: We'll -- I think 1:00 is good. Do we have enough witnesses to go from 1:00 to 5:00 or --

MR. HAMNER: Yeah.

THE COURT: Or were you suggesting maybe we start at 1:30?

MR. HAMNER: My -- my thought is you can make it later. I think that's fine. It's fine to start it at 1:30. And if we could talk to you outside the presence about scheduling kind of where we're at with things.

THE COURT: All right. I just don't want to waste time. But 1:30 sounds like it might work for my staff better. We -- we -- we got -- we got a lot of criminal matters to handle tomorrow morning. We've got 30 criminal matters. So -- but I'll be done and we'll have time to give everyone a lunch break and then we'll be ready to go right at 1:30.

MR. WESTBROOK: Sounds good.

THE COURT: All right?

MS. KIERNY: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Thank you. I think we're making good progress, jurors. I think -you know, I'm not going to hold the attorneys to any estimate now, but my -- my
best guess is we're -- today is what, Wednesday -- we need Thursday, Friday,
probably Monday -- maybe we can get to closing or maybe we can do jury
instructions Monday or Tuesday, closing arguments, Tuesday, maybe deliberations
Tuesday and/or Wednesday.

That's kind of my thinking. All right? Roughly, right?

MS. KOLLINS: Might be even a little quicker than that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Might be a little quicker than that. All right. Good.

But plan, right now, you should be planning to have Monday -- all of Monday available for this case, all right? Possibly Tuesday. All right?

Ladies and gentlemen, you are admonished overnight not to communicate among yourselves or with anybody else about this trial or the subject matter of this trial; do not communicate at all with any of the parties, attorneys, or witnesses involved in this trial; do not seek or obtain any information or comments about this case from any source, including without limitation newspapers, television, radio, Internet, e-mail, cell phones, or any other electronic device; do not read, watch, or listen to any report of or commentary about the case; do not form or express any opinion on any subject connected with this trial until the case is finally submitted to you for deliberations; and do not perform any research or investigations.

You are excused for the evening. Thank you.

Drive safe. 1:30, 1:30. Thank you. 1:30.

[Jury recessed at 4:59 p.m.]

THE COURT: All right. We're outside the presence of the jury. What else did you guys need to discuss?

MR. HAMNER: So Your Honor, real briefly, just wanted to kind of give you an update as to kind of where we are with witnesses.

The State anticipates that we only have three more witnesses left. And -- and we'll be kind of ready to go. So we're actually -- we made a lot of headway. I think the no translators have helped speed things along.

So I believe that we will potentially close evidence as early as the end of Thursday, but I think we could have some spillover with the 1:30 start and -- and that last witness, maybe we could have on Friday.

1	I was speaking with defense counsel. It sounds like they have
2	approximately four to five witnesses. From their scheduling, it sounds like they
3	would prefer, from a scheduling perspective, to possibly put on their defense case
4	on Monday. The State doesn't have an objection to it, given that given the
5	volume of the case, how many counts with all the witnesses, preparation for closing
6	arguments, we would prefer to start that on Monday or maybe early Tuesday. But
7	we wanted to kind of
8	THE COURT: I'm not going to waste all of Friday.
9	MR. HAMNER: Okay.
10	MS. KIERNY: Your Honor, at this point
11	THE COURT: I'm not going to do that.
12	MS. KIERNY: At this point, I don't have any witnesses scheduled for
13	Friday. We do have some
14	THE COURT: You better get one.
15	MS. KIERNY: Well
16	THE COURT: I I don't to be rude, but
17	MS. KIERNY: I'm
18	THE COURT: remember, we've got 14
19	MS. KIERNY: I'm trying to explain that.
20	THE COURT: we've got 14 jurors whose lives have been completely
21	disrupted for this. Right?
22	MS. KIERNY: I understand that, Your Honor. What we did you
23	know, with the schedule as it was, we did speak to and schedule them for Monday.
24	We do have two children witnesses. I don't know if their parents will be amenable.
25	We didn't even have them schedule to pretrial with us until Friday afternoon. So

1	or Friday because we anticipated we would move I'm going to try to do that
2	when I come back to the go back to the office.
3	THE COURT: Just please try, because
4	MS. KIERNY: And I'm going to try to really
5	THE COURT: I appreciate the the difficulty of the logistics in trial,
6	and I know you're doing your best. It's just we
7	MS. KIERNY: I I understand that.
8	THE COURT: we've got 14 people sitting over there whose lives
9	we're disrupting.
10	MR. WESTBROOK: The witnesses were the late discovered
11	witnesses, Your Honor. That's part of our problem.
12	THE COURT: I'm sorry, what?
13	MR. WESTBROOK: These two of these witnesses were the late
14	discovered witnesses. That's part of our issue.
15	MS. KIERNY: I mean, just logistically, I'm going to try to call them
16	THE COURT: All right. I understand that. I mean, I'm
17	MS. KIERNY: Ultimately, in order to get this done, because the kids
18	have school and everything, and their pretrials, I'm going to have to leave here
19	about 3:00 tomorrow. So Mr. Westbrook will just take over so I can go interview
20	them. That's the only possible way that I can get all this done.
21	So that's what I'm going to do.
22	THE COURT: What about what about one of you if you have four
23	witnesses, two of the child Monday, is there maybe one of the adults can can
24	you can come up?
25	MS. KIERNY: We're going to talk we'll discuss and we're going to try

1	to call them
2	MR. WESTBROOK: We're going to work on it.
3	MS. KIERNY: I'm going to try to move the kids, right now. I'm going to
4	try to do it. I'm just telling you
5	THE COURT: You want the kids to go first, right? Is that what you're
6	MS. KIERNY: Yes.
7	THE COURT: All right. All right. I'm just trying to encourage you to do
8	your best to have someone here Friday. I'm not you know, I'm not going to, you
9	know, no one's being punished, or I just was trying to encourage you.
10	MS. KIERNY: Sure.
11	THE COURT: Because we've got jurors whose lives are disrupted.
12	That's all. I I'm not this isn't scolding you or nothing.
13	MS. KIERNY: I am very aware of that.
14	THE COURT: I'm just trying
15	MS. KIERNY: No, I
16	THE COURT: to put a little bit of influence on you.
17	MS. KIERNY: Yeah. No, I will do that. Okay.
18	THE COURT: All right. Please don't take it the wrong way.
19	MS. KIERNY: No.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	MS. KIERNY: That's fine. That's
22	THE COURT: What else?
23	MS. KOLLINS: We're going to make an attempt to settle jury
24	instructions on Friday, as well. So we have that done before the weekend.
25	THE COURT: Yeah. We could take an hour or two. Can can you

The State of Nevada, Plaintiff, vs. Jose Azucena, Defendant.

Case No. C-17-321044-1 [Jury Trial Day 7]

1	guys well, do you guys have time, the defense, to get me some proposed
2	instructions?
3	MS. KIERNY: We were planning on working on that over the weekend.
4	I don't know if Mr. Westbrook can speak
5	MR. WESTBROOK: I'll talk to Ms. Kollins, and we're the second chairs,
6	right, so do we have to does that burden fall to us?
7	MS. KOLLINS: Yes, sir.
8	MR. WESTBROOK: We'll talk.
9	MS. KOLLINS: Please don't draft anything convoluted.
10	MR. WESTBROOK: No. I only draft legally relevant -
11	MS. KIERNY: Stop. Stop.
12	MR. WESTBROOK: and correct instructions.
13	MS. KIERNY: Just stop.
14	MS. KOLLINS: I just have one more issue. I really don't want to put it
15	on the record. May I approach?
16	THE COURT: Yeah. And and I don't mind studying the I'll
17	read look, I I do a lot of work over the weekend. So if you guys want me to
18	study the proposed instructions with case citations over the weekend, get them to
19	me over the weekend.
20	MR. WESTBROOK: And Your Honor, you're a nerd, and I love that.
21	THE COURT: I don't have a life. This is all I do. I study all this stuff.
22	All right. What else? Anything else?
23	MS. KOLLINS: Yes.
24	THE COURT: Are we off the record?
25	MR. WESTBROOK: This is off the record, Your Honor.

1	[Court recessed at 5:04 p.m., until the following day,			
2	May 4, 2017, at 1:35 p.m.]			
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript, to the			
14	best of my ability, from the audio/visual recording of the proceedings in the above-			
15	entitled case.			
16				
17				
18	ShawraOrtega			
19	Shawna Ortega, CET*562			
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
l	1			

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA			
2				
3	JOSE AZUCENA) N	o. 74071	
4	Appellant,)		
5)		
6	V.)		
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)		
8	Respondent.)		
9		_)		
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME XII PAGES 2049-2241			
11	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	ST. C1 20	ΓΕVE WOLFSON lark County District Attorney 00 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor	
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	La	as Vegas, Nevada 89155	
13	Attorney for Appellant		DAM LAXALT ttorney General	
14		10 C:	ttorney General 00 North Carson Street arson City, Nevada 89701-4717	
15		(7	(02) 687-3538	
16	Counsel for Respondent CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada			
18			·	
19	Supreme Court on the 10 day of April, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing			
20	document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:			
21	ADAM LAXALT STEVEN S. OWENS		EBORAH L. WESTBROOK OWARD S. BROOKS	
			of this document by mailing a true and	
22	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:			
23	JOSE AZUCENA, #1183653			
24	HIGH DESERT STATE PRISO P.O. BOX 650)N		
25	INDIAN SPRINGS, NV 89070			
26	DV /a/	Carrie M	Connolly	
27	BY/s/ Carrie M. Connolly Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office			
28				