


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ARNOLD KEITH ANDERSON,  
Appellant,  
vs.  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Respondent.

No. 74076

**FILED**

JUN 21 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DENYING MOTION TO TRANSMIT PRESENTENCE  
INVESTIGATION REPORT AND STRIKING ANSWERING BRIEF*


Respondent has filed a motion to transmit the presentence investigation report (PSI). Respondent states that the PSI is necessary to explain the facts and circumstances of the crime “as they were not included in the Appellant’s Appendix.” Appellant opposes the motion.

Transmission of a PSI is warranted where review of the report is necessary for disposition of an appeal. NRAP 30(b)(6). As the facts and circumstances of the crime can be obtained from the trial transcripts, respondent does not demonstrate that this court’s review of the PSI is necessary. We note that the trial transcripts appear to be included in appellant’s appendix in their entirety; respondent was also provided with copies of the requested transcripts and could have filed a respondent’s appendix if any necessary transcripts were omitted from appellant’s appendix. See NRAP 32(b)(4).

Because we deny the motion to transmit the PSI, we also strike the portion of the answering brief that relies on that document. The clerk of this court shall strike the portion of the answering brief beginning on

page 4 with the words "The facts relevant" and ending on page 5 with the phrase "November 7, 2017, at 6."

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Sandra L. Stewart  
Attorney General/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney