1 2 3 4 5 6	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 1641 mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113 / (702) 642-9766 FAX Attorney for appellant	Elizabeth A	8 04:15 p.m.
7	SUPREME CO	URT COURT	
8	STATE OF	NEVADA	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM SPRINGS 2079, Appellant, vs. NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES; THE FALLS AT RHODES RANCH CONDOMINIUM OWNERS ASSOCIATION, INC; QUICKEN LOANS, INC., and JAMES P. MARKEY, Respondents.	CASE NO.: 74153	
18			
19 20	JOINT AI	PPENDIX 3	
21	Michael F. Bohn, Esq. Law Office of Michael F. Bohn, Esq., Ltd. 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX Attorney for Appellant	John W. Thomson, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF JOH THOMSON 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Henderson, NV 89144 Attorney for James P. M. Colt B. Dodrill, Esq. WOLFE & WYMAN LI 6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, Nevada 891 Attorneys for Ditech Fin	Suite 120 Tarkey LP
		į	

Docket 74153 Document 2018-03035

INDEX TO APPENDIX 3 1 **Bates Stamp** APP000430 APP000446 5 APP000465 APP000467 7 8 ALPHABETICAL INDEX TO JOINT APPENDIXES **Bates Stamp** APP000001 Affidavit of Service - The Falls at Rhodes Condominium Owners Association..... 1 11 APP000007 12 APP000012 APP000009 13 APP000008 APP000010 APP000035 APP000070 17 Ditech Financial LLC's Reply in Support of It's Motion for Summary Judgment.... 1 APP000176 19 Ditech's Supplemental Brief in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment. 2 APP000203 APP000121 APP000430 21 Intervenor Ditech Financial LLC's Answer to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint......1 APP000056 APP000014 Markey's Joinder To Ditech Financial LLC's Motion For Summary Judgment. 1 APP000132 APP000184 Markey's Supplement To Joinder to Ditech's Motion for Summary Judgment...... 1 26 APP000187 APP000065 APP000465

1	Notice of Entry of Finding of Fact and Conclusions of Law	APP000446
2	Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Dismiss the Falls at Rhodes Ranch 1	APP000030
3	Plaintiff's Opposition to Ditech's Motion for Summary Judgment and	
4	Countermotion for Summary Judgment	APP000135
5	Stipulation and Order to Dismiss the Falls at Rhodes Ranch	APP000028
6	Transcript of Proceedings June 20, 2017	APP000467
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Electronically Filed 8/29/2017 8:40 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **FFCL**

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

٧.

BRIGETTE E. FOLEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12965 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119

Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax: (702) 476-0101 befoley@wolfewyman.com

Attorneys for Intervenor DITECH FINANCIAL LLC

> **DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM SPRINGS 2079,

Plaintiff,

NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES; OUICKEN LOANS, INC.; and JAMES P. MARKEY,

Defendants.

DITECH FINANCIAL LLC,

Intervenor.

A-16-730623-C Case No.:

Dept. No.: XVI

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 17 18

> 19 20

MSummary Judgment
□ Stipulated Judgment
□ Default Judgment
□ Judgment of Arbitration 21 22 23 24

25 Involuntary Dismissal Stipulated Dismissal Motion to Dismiss by Deff(s) **26** Voluntary Dismissal 27 28

مممون

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT

On April 11, 2017, and June 20, 2017, the Court heard oral argument on Intervenor DITECH FINANCIAL LLC's ("Ditech") Motion for Summary Judgment, Defendant JAMES P. MARKEY's ("Markey") Joinder to Ditech's Motion, and Plaintiff SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM SPRINGS 2079's ("Saticoy Bay") Countermotion for Summary Judgment. The Court, having reviewed and considered the briefs on file, together with the oral arguments presented by counsel for the Parties, as well as the current state of the law, and good cause appearing, finds as follows:

///

2805895.1

AUG 2 2 2017

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FINDINGS OF FACT

- On or about June 11, 2004, Markey purchased real property commonly known as 9050 1. W. Warm Springs Rd. #2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148-3835, APN: 176-05-414-199 ("subject property") from builder, Rhodes Ranch General Partnership, as his sole and separate property. The Deed evidencing this transfer of property rights was recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20040615-0004598 on June 15, 2004.
- On or about January 30, 2013, Markey borrowed \$135,775.00 from Quicken Loans Inc., which was secured by a Deed of Trust encumbering the subject property, recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201304120000455 on April 12, 2013.
- On or about February 1, 2013, Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") purchased the Loan from Quicken Loans, Inc., and thereby obtained a property interest in the April 12, 2013, Deed of Trust encumbering the subject property.
 - 4. On or about March 31, 2013, Ditech began servicing the Fannie Mae Loan.
- 5. The subject property is part of The Falls Condominiums aka The Falls @ Rhodes Ranch Homeowners' Association ("HOA").
- On or about January 10, 2015, Nevada Association Services, Inc. ("NAS"), the HOA's foreclosure agent, executed a Notice of Delinguent Assessment Lien for the amount of \$1,616.35 against the subject property, which was recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20150112-0002436 on January 12, 2015.
- On or about April 20, 2015, NAS executed a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under HOA Lien against the property on behalf of the HOA, recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20150421-0003050 on April 21, 2015.
- On or about September 4, 2015, NAS executed a Notice of Foreclosure Sale against the property on behalf of the HOA, recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20150909-0001506 on September 9, 2015.
- NAS conducted the HOA foreclosure auction was conducted November 20, 2015, NAS, and Saticoy Bay made the winning bid in the amount of \$48,600.00. See Certificate of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Foreclosure Sale subject to redemption that recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20151123-0001792 on November 23, 2015.

- The last day to redeem the property under NRS 116.31166(3) was Tuesday, January 10. 19, 2016.¹
- At the time of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure auction, Markey was the only 11. person with a recorded interest in the property, other than recorded Deed of Trusts and Assignments, since he first purchased the property as new construction from Rhodes Ranch General Partnership on June 11, 2004.
- On December 1, 2015, Ditech advised NAS of its intent to redeem the subject 12. That same day, NAS advised Eddie Haddad, managing member and corporate property. representative for Saticoy Bay ("Haddad"), and Michael Bohn, Esq., counsel for Saticoy Bay and Eddie Haddad ("Bohn"), of Ditech's notice of intent to redeem.
- On December 15, 2015, NAS advised Saticoy Bay and Ditech that it had received a certified letter from the homeowner notifying them of his intent to redeem the property.
- On January 12, 2016, NAS advised Saticoy Bay that it had received the redemption funds from Markey, and that NAS would have a check for Saticoy Bay ready to pick up the following day in the amount of \$49,984.15.
- On January 15, 2016, NAS delivered a cashier's check to Bohn's office for the amount of \$50,052.16, following Markey's "explicit instructions" to NAS to deliver the cashier's check to Saticoy Bay as payment of the redemption price. That same day, Bohn advised NAS that it was rejecting the cashier's check on behalf of Saticoy Bay because the check was from NAS with "the owner's name on it", and Saticoy Bay claimed that the redemption funds must come from the owner.
- After Markey became aware of Saticoy Bay's rejection of his tender, he sent a personal check to NAS for the redemption amount, which Markey claims was delivered by NAS to Saticoy Bay on January 19, 2016.
- On January 19, 2016, Ditech advised NAS of its position that Markey's redemption of the property was effective, and therefore Ditech was not raising a claim to the excess proceeds from

¹ The Court takes judicial notice of this fact, pursuant to NRS 47.130.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the sale. Ditech authorized NAS to tender any sales proceeds, in which Ditech may still have an interest, to Saticoy Bay through the end of the redemption period for the benefit of Markey.

- On January 20, 2016, NAS advised Saticoy Bay that Markey's redemption was effective on January 15, 2016, when NAS delivered the cashier's check for the amount of \$50,052.16 to Bohn's office. Therefore, NAS advised Saticoy Bay that it would not deliver a foreclosure deed to the subject property.
- Also on January 20, 2016, Saticoy Bay advised Markey, Ditech and NAS that the 19. redemption period had lapsed, and neither the owner nor the trust deed holder has properly complied with the redemption statute. Saticoy Bay claimed that the entirety of the redemption funds must come from either the unit owner or trust holder, and that neither party can use the excess proceeds to pay Saticoy Bay the redemption amount, because those funds are Saticoy Bay's funds. In addition, Saticoy Bay advised the parties that, even if its position regarding the funds is not upheld, the unit owner and trust deed holder failed to comply with the other provisions of the redemption statute because no notice of redemption was served, and there was no certified copy of the deed, trust deed or assignment of the trust deed, served on Saticoy Bay within the redemption period. However, prior to this date, Saticoy Bay had not previously demanded that a certified copy of the deed, deed of trust or assignment of the deed of trust, be served with the notice of redemption.
- Saticoy Bay commenced this litigation against NAS and Markey on January 21, 2016, seeking to have title to the subject property quieted in its favor, and for an order compelling NAS to deliver a trustee's deed to the subject property to Saticoy Bay. Ditech intervened shortly thereafter.
- On April 21, 2016, MERS assigned the record beneficial interest in the Jan. 2013 DOT to Ditech, which was recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20160428-0003296 on April 28, 2016.

25

///

///

/// 26

27 ///

/// 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- "When sitting in equity ... courts must consider the entirety of the circumstances that 2. bear upon the equities." Shadow Wood HOA v. N.Y. Cmty. Bancorp., 132 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, 366 P.3d 1105, 1114–15 (2016).
- NRS 116.31166 (effective Oct. 1, 2015) allows a unit's owner whose interest in the 3. unit was extinguished by an HOA foreclosure sale (NRS 116.31162 to 116.31168), or any holder of a recorded security interest that is subordinate to the lien on which the unit was sold, to redeem the property at any time within 60 days after the sale. The relevant portion of the redemption statute at issue is NRS 116.31166(3)-(4):
 - 3. A unit sold pursuant to NRS 116.31162 to 116.31168, inclusive, may be redeemed by the unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished by the sale, or his or her successor in interest, or any holder of a recorded security interest that is subordinate to the lien on which the unit was sold, or that holder's successor in interest. The unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished, the holder of the recorded security interest on the unit or a successor in interest of those persons may redeem the property at any time within 60 days after the sale by paying:
 - (a) The purchaser the amount of his or her purchase price, with interest at the rate of 1 percent per month thereon in addition, to the time of redemption, plus:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(1) The amount of any assessment, taxes or payments toward
liens which were created before the purchase and which the purchaser
may have paid thereon after the purchase, and interest on such amount;

- (2) If the purchaser is also a creditor having a prior lien to that of the redemptioner, other than the association's lien under which the purchase was made, the amount of such lien, and interest on such amount; and
- (3) Any reasonable amount expended by the purchaser which is reasonably necessary to maintain and repair the unit in accordance with the standards set forth in the governing documents, including, without limitation, any provisions governing maintenance, standing water or snow removal; and
- (b) If the redemptioner is the holder of a recorded security interest on the unit or the holder's successor in interest, the amount of any lien before his or her own lien, with interest, but the association's lien under which the unit was sold is not required to be so paid as a lien.
- 4. Notice of redemption must be served by the person redeeming the unit on the person who conducted the sale and on the person from whom the unit is redeemed, together with:
- (a) If the person redeeming the unit is the unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished by the sale or his or her successor in interest, a certified copy of the deed to the unit and, if the person redeeming the unit is the successor of that unit's owner, a copy of any document necessary to establish that the person is the successor of the unit's owner.
- (b) If the person redeeming the unit is the holder of a recorded security interest on the unit or the holder's successor in interest:
- (1) An original or certified copy of the deed of trust securing the unit or a certified copy of any other recorded security interest of the holder.
- (2) A copy of any assignment necessary to establish the claim of the person redeeming the unit, verified by the affidavit of that person, or that person's agent, or of a subscribing witness thereto.
- (3) An affidavit by the person redeeming the unit, or that person's agent, showing the amount then actually due on the lien.
- "To determine whether a statute [] require[s] strict compliance or substantial 4. compliance, [the] [C]ourt looks at the language used and policy and equity considerations." Leyva v. Nat'l Default Servicing Corp., 127 Nev. 470, 255 P.3d 1275, 1278-79 (2011). The Court must determine "whether the purpose of the statute or rule can be adequately served in a manner other than by technical compliance with the statutory or rule language." Id. (quoting Leven v. Frey, 123 Nev. 399, 407, 168 P.3d 712, 717 n. 27 (internal citation omitted)). "In general, 'time and manner' requirements are strictly construed, whereas substantial compliance may be sufficient for 'form and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

content' requirements." Einhorn v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 61, 290 P.3d 249, 254 (2012) (quoting Leven, 123 Nev. at 408, 168 P.3d at 718). "[O]ne part of a statute can be subject to strict compliance, even though other aspects of the statutory scheme [require] substantial compliance". Id. (quoting Leven 123 Nev. at 408, 168 P.3d at 718 n. 31). "[S]trict compliance does not mean absurd compliance." Id. (quoting Pellegrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 874, 34 P.3d 519, 528 (2001) ("[W]e must construe statutory language to avoid absurd or unreasonable results...."); 2A Norman J. Singer & J.D. Shambie Singer, Statutes and Statutory Construction § 46:2, at 162 (7th ed. 2007) ("Statutes should be read sensibly rather than literally and controlling legislative intent should be presumed to be consonant with reason and good discretion"))). "[A] court's requirement for strict or substantial compliance may vary depending on the specific circumstances." Leven, 123 Nev. at 407, 168 P.3d at 717. "Substantial compliance may be sufficient 'to avoid harsh, unfair or absurd consequences." Levva, 255 P.3d at 1278-79 (2011). "Ultimately, the Court is charged with carrying out the clear intent of the legislature." Id. at 1279.

- 5. The Nevada Legislature's intent behind enacting the October 2015 amendments to NRS Chapter 116 was to "strike[] a balance between the interests of homeowners, HOAs, banks, mortgage lenders, government-sponsored entities, investors and the title industry" by providing homeowners "with a realistic opportunity to enter into a repayment plan and an opportunity to redeem their units if they fall behind on their HOA dues." See Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Senate Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 7, 2015) at 2-8 (testimony of Senator Aaron D. Ford). In addition, "Homeowner associations can collect assessments needed to maintain their communities. Banks, mortgage lenders and government-sponsored entities will receive enhanced notice of HOA foreclosures and greater opportunities to protect their interests. Investors in the title industry will receive greater certainty regarding the title status of units that have been foreclosed upon by the HOA." Id.
- NRS 116.31166(3)'s 60-day redemption period generally requires strict compliance 6. because it sets forth a specific time period in which to act. "[S]tatutes allowing for a "reasonable time" to act are subject to interpretation for substantial compliance, those with set time limitations are not." Leven, 123 Nev. at 407-08, 168 P.3d at 718. Further, strict compliance with the 60-day

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

redemption period supports the Legislature's intent of "strik[ing] a balance between the interests of homeowners, HOAs, banks, mortgage lenders, government-sponsored entities, investors and the title industry" by providing additional protections to the unit owner by offering them a realistic opportunity to redeem their units if they fall behind on HOA dues, while also ensuring that the purchaser at the HOA foreclosure sale is afforded clear title at the end of the redemption period. See Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Senate Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 7, 2015) at 8 (testimony of Senator Aaron D. Ford); see also Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Assembly Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 28, 2015) at 43, 45 (testimony of Senator Aaron D. Ford, Senate Dist. 11).

- However, the NRS 116.31166(3) 60-day redemption period may be tolled in cases 7. where the circumstances warrant a tolling of the time period in order to avoid unfair, harsh or absurd results. Nevada law has long-recognized a reasonable tolling or extension of specific time periods in cases where such tolling and/or extension is warranted. See e.g., Lukovsky v. City and County of San Francisco, 535 F.3d 1044, 1051 (9th Cir.2008) (in cases where plaintiff "would not have known of the existence of a possible claim within the limitations period then equitable tolling will serve to extend the statute of limitations for filing suit until the plaintiff can gather what information he needs" (internal citation omitted); see also Black's Law Dictionary 618 (9th ed. 2009) (equitable tolling is defined as "[t]he doctrine that the statute of limitations will not bar a claim if the plaintiff, despite diligent efforts, did not discover the injury until after the limitations period had expired"). Nevada's civil procedure rules also provide certain exceptions to otherwise specific time and manner requirements. NRCP 4(i) allows a party to file a motion to enlarge time for service when good cause is shown for why the enlargement is warranted. Furthermore, NRS 116.1113 requires that "every contract or duty governed by this chapter imposes an obligation of good faith in its performance or enforcement", and NRS 116.1114 provides that "[t]he remedies provided by this chapter must be liberally administered to the end that the aggrieved party is put in as good a position as if the other party had fully performed."
- 8. NRS 116.31166(4)(b)'s notice of redemption provision require substantial compliance because the statute does not set forth any specific provisions for such notice of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

redemption. "Where the purpose of the notice requirements is fulfilled, but not necessarily in a manner technically compliance with all of the terms of the statute, the Court has found such substantial compliance to satisfy the statute." Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1278-79. See also Einhorn v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 61, 290 P.3d 249, 254 (2012) (the actual party providing the required documents at a NRS 107 mediation is a matter of form, as long as all required documents "are ... present, authenticated, and accounted for").

- 9. In this case, Saticoy Bay's receipt of written notice from NAS that Markey was exercising his right to redeem within the 60-day redemption period satisfies NRS 116.31166(4)'s notice of redemption requirement. Saticoy Bay received actual notice of Markey's intent to redeem on December 15, 2015, when former counsel for Ditech advised Saticoy Bay's counsel that Markey "has expressed an interest in redeeming." Later that same day, NAS informed Saticoy Bay that it received a certified letter from Markey stating his intention to redeem the property. Saticoy Bay never expressed any issue with the form and manner of Markey's notice of redemption through NAS during the 60-day redemption period, despite having ample opportunity to do so. Furthermore, Saticoy Bay never expressed any issue with Ditech's notice of redemption, which was served in exactly the same manner. Accordingly, Saticoy Bay was on actual notice of Markey's intent to redeem, and it was not prejudiced by Markey's method of notice.
- NRS 116.31166(4)(b)'s requirement that the redeeming unit owner produce a certified copy of his deed to the unit requires strict compliance, unless such requirement would lead to unfair, harsh or absurd results. See Einhorn, 290 P.3d at 254 ("strict compliance with [NRS 107.086's] document mandate required" to ensure that the mediator and the homeowner were satisfied "that whoever is foreclosing actually owns the note and has authority to modify the loan," and, further, that the party seeking the FMP certificate is the proper entity, under the nonjudicial foreclosure statutes, to proceed against the property"); see also Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1279 ("The legislative intent behind requiring a party to produce the assignments of the deed of trust and mortgage note is to ensure that whoever is foreclosing "actually owns the note" and has authority to modify the loan."). Much like NRS 107.086's document mandate, the clear legislative intent behind NRS 116.31166(4)(b)'s requirement that the redeeming unit owner produce a certified copy of his

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

deed to the unit is to ensure that the person seeking to redeem the property has the standing and authority to exercise redemption rights. However, unlike NRS 107 and the FMR's, NRS Chapter 116 does not include a mandatory recommendation for sanctions where a redeemer fails to strictly comply with the provisions of the redemption statute. Rather, Chapter 116 provides that "[t]he remedies provided by this chapter must be liberally administered to the end that the aggrieved party is put in as good a position as if the other party had fully performed." NRS 116.1114. Furthermore, the Legisature's intent of "striking a balance" requires the Court to weigh the Parties' competing interests based upon the particular facts and circumstances of the case. In striking a balance, the Court takes note of testimony presented during the senate hearings on this amendment; "Taking away a Nevada homeowner's most significant financial asset must come with significant protections". Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Assembly Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 28, 2015) at at 52 (testimony by Steve VanSickler, Chief Credit Officer, Silver State Schools Credit Union, Las Vegas, Nevada). "Nevada homeowners benefit by the changes made in this bill as well. Taking away someone's property that is worth hundreds of thousands of dollars is not a matter that should be taken lightly and there are quite a few consumer protections in this bill." Id. at 55 (testimony by Jonathan Gedde, Chairman, Board of Governors, Nevada Mortgage Lenders Association).

11. In this case, there was no question that Markey was the unit's owner and therefore had authority to redeem the unit under NRS 116.31166. At the time of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure auction, Markey was the only person with a recorded interest in the property, other than recorded Deed of Trusts and Assignments, since he first purchased the property as new construction from Rhodes Ranch General Partnership on June 11, 2004. Saticoy Bay never challenged Markey's authority to redeem the property following the HOA sale, nor did it demand that Markey produce a certified copy of his deed to the property during the redemption period. Rather, Saticoy Bay's only stated objection during the redemption period was its opinion that it was not required to accept the redemption funds from NAS, but that the funds had to come from the unit owner or the deed of trust beneficiary. Because there was no question that Markey had authority to redeem the property, and because Saticoy Bay was not prejudiced by Markey's failure to provide a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certified copy of his deed of the property during the redemption period, Markey's failure to provide said deed was not fatal to his ability to redeem the subject property.

- "[T]imely and complete tender immediately discharges a lien against real property, 12. even if the tender is rejected, although the lienor remains entitled to repayment of the debt." US Bank, N.A. v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, 3:15-cv-00241-RCJ-WGC, 2016 WL 4473427, at *6-7 (D. Nev. Aug. 24, 2016). "Tender occurs when a party makes an amount available without conditions." Id. at *6 (D. Nev. Aug. 24, 2016) (quoting Tender, Black's Law Dictionary 1696 (10th ed. 2014)). The effect of a timely and complete tender is equally applicable in the non-judicial HOA foreclosure context. See, e.g., Stone Hollow Ave. Trust v. Bank of Am., Nat'l Ass'n, 391 P.3d 760 (2016) (Pickering, J., dissenting) ("a tender of the lien amount invalidates a foreclosure sale to the extent that the sale purports to extinguish the tenderer's interest in the property.") Tender "need not be made by [a debtor] personally." Forderer v. Schmidt, 154 F. 475, 477 (9th Cir. 1907). "If made by a third person at his request it is sufficient, and, if made by a stranger without his knowledge or request ... a subsequent assent of the debtor would operate as a ratification and make the tender good." Id.
- NAS's January 15, 2016, tender to Saticoy Bay of the full redemption amount of 13. \$50,052,16 via cashier's check on behalf of Markey immediately extinguished Saticoy Bay's interest in the property. Because Saticoy Bay did not provide any evidence that the \$50,052.16 amount tendered was insufficient to satisfy the payment requirement under the redemption statute, the amount, time, and manner of the tender was sufficient to redeem Markey's interest in the subject property, pursuant to NRS 116.31166.
- Because Markey redeemed his interest in the subject property, Saticoy Bay's claims against NAS necessarily fail as a matter of law. See NRS 116.31166(5) ("If the unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished by the sale redeems ... the person to whom the redemption amount was paid must execute and deliver to the unit's owner a certificate of redemption").

26 ///

27

28 ///

<u>Г</u>	₹
_	4
	-3
	_
	¥
~	_
_	3
a	α
_	0
⋝	
_	
>-	×,
•	=
<	ລ
_	×
S WYMAN	•
~	45
E L	a
_	<u>~</u>
u.	ie
_1	z
Ξ	œ
O	0
₹	-
o ≯	ATTOR
	⋖
	la.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I	
_1	
 ₹	
_	
~~~	
<b>⋖</b> ∘	
Σΰ	
> ∞	
<b>&gt;</b> 5	
<b>\$</b> 000000000000000000000000000000000000	
<b>&gt;</b> ુ	
ഷ്	
∞ *	
45	
T S S A S A	
T S S A S A	
T S S A S A	
T S S A S A	
T S S A S A	
LFE S	

#### **ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Intervenor, DITECH FINANCIAL LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment, and Defendant, JAMES P. MARKEY's Joinder are GRANTED; and Plaintiff, SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM SPRINGS 2079's Countermotion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to NRS 116.31166(5), the effect of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure sale of the subject property is hereby terminated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Markey is hereby restored to his interest in the subject property, subject to any security interest on the unit that existed at the time of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure sale

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that title to the real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148 (APN 176-05-414-199), legally described as:

# PARCEL ONE (1):

LIVING UNIT 2079 IN PHASE 10 – BUILDING 25, AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL MAP FOR APACHE SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS, (A CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPNENT AND COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITY), RECORDED IN BOOK 105 OF PLATS, PAGE 25, AND AS AMENDED BY THAT CERTAIN AMENDED FINAL MAP FOR APACHE SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS RECORDED IN BOOK 107 OF PLATS, PAGE 37. AND THEREAFTER CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT RECORDED MARCH 24, 2003, IN BOOK 20030324, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 00670, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

## PARCEL TWO (2):

AN UNDIVIDED 1/360TH INTEREST INTO THAT PORTION OF THE COMMON AREA (CA) SHOWN AS PHASE 10 ON THE FINAL MAP FOR APACHÉ SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS, (A CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPNENT AND COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITY), RECORDED IN BOOK 105 OF PLATS, PAGE 25, AND AS AMENDED BY THAT CERTAIN AMENDED FINAL MAP FOR APACHE SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS RECORDED IN BOOK 107 OF PLATS, PAGE 37, AND THEREAFTER CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT RECORDED MARCH 24, 2003, IN BOOK 20030324, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 00670 AND AS SET FORTH IN DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS FOR THE FALLS CONDOMINIUMS RECORDED OCTOBER 31, 2002, IN BOOK 20021031, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 04692, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

12

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is QUIETED in favor of Markey and Ditech, such that Markey's interest in the subject property, as evidenced by the Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed, recorded on June 15, 2004, in the Official Records of Clark County as Instrument Number 20040615-0004598, and the Deed of Trust recorded on April 12, 2013, in the Official Records of Clark County as Instrument Number 201304120000455, and all assignments thereto, of which Ditech is the current beneficiary of record, remain in full force and effect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that NAS shall tender the full redemption amount of \$50,052.16 to Saticoy Bay and for the benefit of Markey, within ten (10) judicial days of entry of this Judgment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Saticoy Bay shall execute and deliver to Markey a certificate of redemption, acknowledged or approved before a person authorized to take acknowledgments of conveyances of real property, within ten (10) judicial days of its receipt of the full redemption amount of \$50,052.16.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the certification of redemption shall be recorded in the official records of the Clark County Recorder's Office against the title to the real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148 (APN 176-05-414-199), and as legally described herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Defendant NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC., and against Plaintiff SATICOY BAY, as to Plaintiff's claim for specific performance against NAS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Lis Pendens recorded against the title to the subject property with the Clark County Recorder's Office on July 1, 2016, as Instrument Number 201607010002420, is hereby **EXPUNGED**.

/// 25 /// 26 27 ///

///

28 ///

13

	1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the th	is Judgment may be recorded against title to the			
	2					
	3					
	4	DATED this day of	_, 2017.			
	5		<b>1</b> 1			
	6		See Page 16			
	7	DIS	TRICT COURT JUDGE			
	8					
	9	Respectfully submitted by:	Approved as to form and content by:			
	10	WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON			
<b>⊕</b> ≱	11	Brang after	See Page 15			
<b>Z</b> 5	12	BRIGE CTE E. FOLEY, ESQ.) Nevada Bar No. 12965	JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5802			
χ SELOR	13	WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120			
NOOD NOOD	14	6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119 Tel. (722) 477 (2122)	Henderson, NV 89074 Phone: (702) 478-8282			
жо. Ш.ऽ ш.ऽ	15	Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax: (702) 476-0101	Fax: (702) 541-9500 johnwthomson@ymail.com			
WOLFE & WYMAN LLP ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW	16	befoley@wolfewyman.com Attorneys for Ditech, Financial LLC	Attorneys for Defendant, James P. Markey			
S; Ea	17					
	18	Reviewed By:	Approved as to form and content by:			
	19	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN,	NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.			
	20	ESQ., LTD.				
	21	Muchas Both	see Auge 16			
	22	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1641	CHRISTOPHER V. YERGENSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6183			
	23	ADAM R. TRIPPIEDI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12294	6224 West Desert Inn Road Las Vegas, NV 89146			
	24	376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119	Phone: (702) 804-8885 Fax: (702) 804-8887			
	25	Phone: (702) 642-3113 Fax: (702) 642-9766	chris@nas-inc.com Attorney for Defendant, Nevada			
	26	mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com atrippiedi@bohnlawfirm.com	Association Services, Inc.			
	27	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Saticoy Bay LLC Series 9050 W Warm Springs 2079				
	28					
			14			
	'	2805895.1				

1	///		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the this Jud	gment may be recorded against title to the	
7	real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148		
8	(APN 176-05-414-199), and as legally described herein	l.	
9	DATED this day of, 201	17.	
10		See page 16	
11	DISTRICT	COURT JUDGE	
12			
13	li .		
14		proved as to form and content by:	
15		W OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON	
16		Sul). Minion	
17	Nevada Bar No. 12965	IN W. THOMSON, ESQ. vada Bar No. 5802	
18	6757 Spencer Street Hen	St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 nderson, NV 89074	
19	Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax	one: (702) 478-8282 :: (702) 541-9500	
20	befoley@wolfewyman.com Atta	nwthomson@ymail.com orneys for Defendant, James P. Markey	
21	Attorneys for Ditech, Financial LLC		
22	li .	1	
23		proved as to form and content by:	
24	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, NE' ESQ., LTD.	VADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.	
25	See page 14	see page 110	
26	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. CH	RISTOPHER V. YERGENSEN, ESQ.	
27	ADAM R. TRIPPIEDI, ESQ. 622	vada Bar No. 6183 4 West Desert Inn Road	
28	376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Pho	Vegas, NV 89146 one: (702) 804-8885	
	\( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \(		

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the this Judgment may be recorded against title to the		
real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148		
(APN 176-05-414-199), and as legally described herein.		
DATED this 23rd day of Yugust, 2017		
	COURT JUDGE	
Respectfully submitted by: Appr	oved as to form and content by:	
WOLFE & WYMAN LLP LAW	OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON	
Nevada Bar No. 12965       Neva         WOLFE & WYMAN LLP       2450         6757 Spencer Street       Hend         Las Vegas, NV 89119       Phon         Tel: (702) 476-0100       Fax:         Fax: (702) 476-0101       johns	See page S N.W. THOMSON, ESQ. da Bar No. 5802 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 erson, NV 89074 e: (702) 478-8282 (702) 541-9500 vthomson@ymail.com neys for Defendant, James P. Markey	
Approved as to form and content by: Appr	oved as to form and content by:	
LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, NEV ESQ., LTD.	ADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.	
MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. CHR Nevada Bar No. 1641 Neva ADAM R. TRIPPIEDI, ESQ. 6224 Nevada Bar No. 12294 Las V 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Phon Las Vegas, NV 89119 Fax: Phone: (702) 642-3113 chrise Fax: (702) 642-9766 Attor	ISTOPHER V. YERGENSEN, ESQ. da Bar No. 6183 West Desert Inn Road Vegas, NV 89146 e: (702) 804-8885 (702) 804-8887 @nas-inc.com ney for Defendant, Nevada ciation Services, Inc.	

WSW WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

**Electronically Filed** 8/29/2017 1:24 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **NEOJ** BRIGETTE E. FOLEY, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 12965 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 3 6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119 Tel: (702) 476-0100 4 Fax: (702) 476-0101 5 befoley@wolfewyman.com 6 Attorneys for Intervenor DITECH FINANCIAL LLC 7 **DISTRICT COURT** 8 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 9 SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W Case No.: A-16-730623-C 10 WARM SPRINGS 2079, Dept. No.: XVI 11 Plaintiff, V. 12 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FINDINGS OF NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES; FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND 13 QUICKEN LOANS, INC.; and JAMES P. **JUDGMENT** MARKEY, 14 Defendants. 15 DITECH FINANCIAL LLC, 16 Intervenor. 17 18 **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER** 19 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSION OF LAW AND 20 JUDGMENT was entered in the above-entitled matter on August 29, 2017, a copy of which is 21 attached hereto as Exhibit "A". 22 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP Dated: August 29, 2017 23 /s/ Brigette E. Foley, Esq. BRIGETTE E. FOLEY, ESQ. 24 Nevada Bar No. 12965 25 6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119 **26** Attorneys for Ditech Financial LLC 27 28

# WOLFE & WYMAN LLP

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on the 29th day of August, 2017, the foregoing **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER** was served through the was served via **Electronic Service** through the Eighth Judicial District Court's Odyssey E-File and Serve System to:

(All Parties on the E-Service List)

/s/Cheryl Klukas Cheryl Klukas, An employee of Wolfe & Wyman LLP

# **EXHIBIT "A"**

**EXHIBIT "A"** 

**Electronically Filed** 8/29/2017 8:40 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **FFCL** 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

٧.

BRIGETTE E. FOLEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12965 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119

Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax: (702) 476-0101 befoley@wolfewyman.com

Attorneys for Intervenor DITECH FINANCIAL LLC

> **DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM SPRINGS 2079,

Plaintiff,

NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES; OUICKEN LOANS, INC.; and JAMES P. MARKEY,

Defendants.

DITECH FINANCIAL LLC,

Intervenor.

A-16-730623-C Case No.: Dept. No.: XVI

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT

WOLFE & WYMAN LLP 17 18

19

20

MSummary Judgment
□ Stipulated Judgment
□ Default Judgment
□ Judgment of Arbitration

Involuntary Dismissal Stipulated Dismissal Motion to Dismiss by Deft(s) 28

Voluntary Dismissal

مممون

> 25 **26** 27

> > 2805895.1

///

# FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND JUDGMENT

On April 11, 2017, and June 20, 2017, the Court heard oral argument on Intervenor DITECH FINANCIAL LLC's ("Ditech") Motion for Summary Judgment, Defendant JAMES P. MARKEY's ("Markey") Joinder to Ditech's Motion, and Plaintiff SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM SPRINGS 2079's ("Saticoy Bay") Countermotion for Summary Judgment. The Court, having reviewed and considered the briefs on file, together with the oral arguments presented by counsel for the Parties, as well as the current state of the law, and good cause appearing, finds as follows:

AUG 2 2 2017

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# FINDINGS OF FACT

- On or about June 11, 2004, Markey purchased real property commonly known as 9050 1. W. Warm Springs Rd. #2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148-3835, APN: 176-05-414-199 ("subject property") from builder, Rhodes Ranch General Partnership, as his sole and separate property. The Deed evidencing this transfer of property rights was recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20040615-0004598 on June 15, 2004.
- On or about January 30, 2013, Markey borrowed \$135,775.00 from Quicken Loans Inc., which was secured by a Deed of Trust encumbering the subject property, recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201304120000455 on April 12, 2013.
- On or about February 1, 2013, Federal National Mortgage Association ("Fannie Mae") purchased the Loan from Quicken Loans, Inc., and thereby obtained a property interest in the April 12, 2013, Deed of Trust encumbering the subject property.
  - 4. On or about March 31, 2013, Ditech began servicing the Fannie Mae Loan.
- 5. The subject property is part of The Falls Condominiums aka The Falls @ Rhodes Ranch Homeowners' Association ("HOA").
- On or about January 10, 2015, Nevada Association Services, Inc. ("NAS"), the HOA's foreclosure agent, executed a Notice of Delinguent Assessment Lien for the amount of \$1,616.35 against the subject property, which was recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20150112-0002436 on January 12, 2015.
- On or about April 20, 2015, NAS executed a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under HOA Lien against the property on behalf of the HOA, recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20150421-0003050 on April 21, 2015.
- On or about September 4, 2015, NAS executed a Notice of Foreclosure Sale against the property on behalf of the HOA, recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20150909-0001506 on September 9, 2015.
- NAS conducted the HOA foreclosure auction was conducted November 20, 2015, NAS, and Saticoy Bay made the winning bid in the amount of \$48,600.00. See Certificate of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Foreclosure Sale subject to redemption that recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20151123-0001792 on November 23, 2015.

- The last day to redeem the property under NRS 116.31166(3) was Tuesday, January 10. 19, 2016.¹
- At the time of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure auction, Markey was the only 11. person with a recorded interest in the property, other than recorded Deed of Trusts and Assignments, since he first purchased the property as new construction from Rhodes Ranch General Partnership on June 11, 2004.
- On December 1, 2015, Ditech advised NAS of its intent to redeem the subject 12. That same day, NAS advised Eddie Haddad, managing member and corporate property. representative for Saticoy Bay ("Haddad"), and Michael Bohn, Esq., counsel for Saticoy Bay and Eddie Haddad ("Bohn"), of Ditech's notice of intent to redeem.
- On December 15, 2015, NAS advised Saticoy Bay and Ditech that it had received a certified letter from the homeowner notifying them of his intent to redeem the property.
- On January 12, 2016, NAS advised Saticoy Bay that it had received the redemption funds from Markey, and that NAS would have a check for Saticoy Bay ready to pick up the following day in the amount of \$49,984.15.
- On January 15, 2016, NAS delivered a cashier's check to Bohn's office for the amount of \$50,052.16, following Markey's "explicit instructions" to NAS to deliver the cashier's check to Saticoy Bay as payment of the redemption price. That same day, Bohn advised NAS that it was rejecting the cashier's check on behalf of Saticoy Bay because the check was from NAS with "the owner's name on it", and Saticoy Bay claimed that the redemption funds must come from the owner.
- After Markey became aware of Saticoy Bay's rejection of his tender, he sent a personal check to NAS for the redemption amount, which Markey claims was delivered by NAS to Saticoy Bay on January 19, 2016.
- On January 19, 2016, Ditech advised NAS of its position that Markey's redemption of the property was effective, and therefore Ditech was not raising a claim to the excess proceeds from

¹ The Court takes judicial notice of this fact, pursuant to NRS 47.130.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the sale. Ditech authorized NAS to tender any sales proceeds, in which Ditech may still have an interest, to Saticoy Bay through the end of the redemption period for the benefit of Markey.

- On January 20, 2016, NAS advised Saticoy Bay that Markey's redemption was effective on January 15, 2016, when NAS delivered the cashier's check for the amount of \$50,052.16 to Bohn's office. Therefore, NAS advised Saticoy Bay that it would not deliver a foreclosure deed to the subject property.
- Also on January 20, 2016, Saticoy Bay advised Markey, Ditech and NAS that the 19. redemption period had lapsed, and neither the owner nor the trust deed holder has properly complied with the redemption statute. Saticoy Bay claimed that the entirety of the redemption funds must come from either the unit owner or trust holder, and that neither party can use the excess proceeds to pay Saticoy Bay the redemption amount, because those funds are Saticoy Bay's funds. In addition, Saticoy Bay advised the parties that, even if its position regarding the funds is not upheld, the unit owner and trust deed holder failed to comply with the other provisions of the redemption statute because no notice of redemption was served, and there was no certified copy of the deed, trust deed or assignment of the trust deed, served on Saticoy Bay within the redemption period. However, prior to this date, Saticoy Bay had not previously demanded that a certified copy of the deed, deed of trust or assignment of the deed of trust, be served with the notice of redemption.
- Saticoy Bay commenced this litigation against NAS and Markey on January 21, 2016, seeking to have title to the subject property quieted in its favor, and for an order compelling NAS to deliver a trustee's deed to the subject property to Saticoy Bay. Ditech intervened shortly thereafter.
- On April 21, 2016, MERS assigned the record beneficial interest in the Jan. 2013 DOT to Ditech, which was recorded with the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20160428-0003296 on April 28, 2016.

/// 25

///

/// 26

27 ///

/// 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- "When sitting in equity ... courts must consider the entirety of the circumstances that 2. bear upon the equities." Shadow Wood HOA v. N.Y. Cmty. Bancorp., 132 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, 366 P.3d 1105, 1114–15 (2016).
- NRS 116.31166 (effective Oct. 1, 2015) allows a unit's owner whose interest in the 3. unit was extinguished by an HOA foreclosure sale (NRS 116.31162 to 116.31168), or any holder of a recorded security interest that is subordinate to the lien on which the unit was sold, to redeem the property at any time within 60 days after the sale. The relevant portion of the redemption statute at issue is NRS 116.31166(3)-(4):
  - 3. A unit sold pursuant to NRS 116.31162 to 116.31168, inclusive, may be redeemed by the unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished by the sale, or his or her successor in interest, or any holder of a recorded security interest that is subordinate to the lien on which the unit was sold, or that holder's successor in interest. The unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished, the holder of the recorded security interest on the unit or a successor in interest of those persons may redeem the property at any time within 60 days after the sale by paying:
  - (a) The purchaser the amount of his or her purchase price, with interest at the rate of 1 percent per month thereon in addition, to the time of redemption, plus:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (1) The amount of any assessment, taxes or payments toward liens which were created before the purchase and which the purchaser may have paid thereon after the purchase, and interest on such amount;
- (2) If the purchaser is also a creditor having a prior lien to that of the redemptioner, other than the association's lien under which the purchase was made, the amount of such lien, and interest on such amount; and
- (3) Any reasonable amount expended by the purchaser which is reasonably necessary to maintain and repair the unit in accordance with the standards set forth in the governing documents, including, without limitation, any provisions governing maintenance, standing water or snow removal; and
- (b) If the redemptioner is the holder of a recorded security interest on the unit or the holder's successor in interest, the amount of any lien before his or her own lien, with interest, but the association's lien under which the unit was sold is not required to be so paid as a lien.
- 4. Notice of redemption must be served by the person redeeming the unit on the person who conducted the sale and on the person from whom the unit is redeemed, together with:
- (a) If the person redeeming the unit is the unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished by the sale or his or her successor in interest, a certified copy of the deed to the unit and, if the person redeeming the unit is the successor of that unit's owner, a copy of any document necessary to establish that the person is the successor of the unit's owner.
- (b) If the person redeeming the unit is the holder of a recorded security interest on the unit or the holder's successor in interest:
- (1) An original or certified copy of the deed of trust securing the unit or a certified copy of any other recorded security interest of the holder.
- (2) A copy of any assignment necessary to establish the claim of the person redeeming the unit, verified by the affidavit of that person, or that person's agent, or of a subscribing witness thereto.
- (3) An affidavit by the person redeeming the unit, or that person's agent, showing the amount then actually due on the lien.
- "To determine whether a statute [] require[s] strict compliance or substantial 4. compliance, [the] [C]ourt looks at the language used and policy and equity considerations." Leyva v. Nat'l Default Servicing Corp., 127 Nev. 470, 255 P.3d 1275, 1278-79 (2011). The Court must determine "whether the purpose of the statute or rule can be adequately served in a manner other than by technical compliance with the statutory or rule language." Id. (quoting Leven v. Frey, 123 Nev. 399, 407, 168 P.3d 712, 717 n. 27 (internal citation omitted)). "In general, 'time and manner' requirements are strictly construed, whereas substantial compliance may be sufficient for 'form and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

content' requirements." Einhorn v. BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 61, 290 P.3d 249, 254 (2012) (quoting Leven, 123 Nev. at 408, 168 P.3d at 718). "[O]ne part of a statute can be subject to strict compliance, even though other aspects of the statutory scheme [require] substantial compliance". Id. (quoting Leven 123 Nev. at 408, 168 P.3d at 718 n. 31). "[S]trict compliance does not mean absurd compliance." Id. (quoting Pellegrini v. State, 117 Nev. 860, 874, 34 P.3d 519, 528 (2001) ("[W]e must construe statutory language to avoid absurd or unreasonable results...."); 2A Norman J. Singer & J.D. Shambie Singer, Statutes and Statutory Construction § 46:2, at 162 (7th ed. 2007) ("Statutes should be read sensibly rather than literally and controlling legislative intent should be presumed to be consonant with reason and good discretion"))). "[A] court's requirement for strict or substantial compliance may vary depending on the specific circumstances." Leven, 123 Nev. at 407, 168 P.3d at 717. "Substantial compliance may be sufficient 'to avoid harsh, unfair or absurd consequences." Levva, 255 P.3d at 1278-79 (2011). "Ultimately, the Court is charged with carrying out the clear intent of the legislature." Id. at 1279.

- 5. The Nevada Legislature's intent behind enacting the October 2015 amendments to NRS Chapter 116 was to "strike[] a balance between the interests of homeowners, HOAs, banks, mortgage lenders, government-sponsored entities, investors and the title industry" by providing homeowners "with a realistic opportunity to enter into a repayment plan and an opportunity to redeem their units if they fall behind on their HOA dues." See Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Senate Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 7, 2015) at 2-8 (testimony of Senator Aaron D. Ford). In addition, "Homeowner associations can collect assessments needed to maintain their communities. Banks, mortgage lenders and government-sponsored entities will receive enhanced notice of HOA foreclosures and greater opportunities to protect their interests. Investors in the title industry will receive greater certainty regarding the title status of units that have been foreclosed upon by the HOA." Id.
- NRS 116.31166(3)'s 60-day redemption period generally requires strict compliance 6. because it sets forth a specific time period in which to act. "[S]tatutes allowing for a "reasonable time" to act are subject to interpretation for substantial compliance, those with set time limitations are not." Leven, 123 Nev. at 407-08, 168 P.3d at 718. Further, strict compliance with the 60-day

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

redemption period supports the Legislature's intent of "strik[ing] a balance between the interests of homeowners, HOAs, banks, mortgage lenders, government-sponsored entities, investors and the title industry" by providing additional protections to the unit owner by offering them a realistic opportunity to redeem their units if they fall behind on HOA dues, while also ensuring that the purchaser at the HOA foreclosure sale is afforded clear title at the end of the redemption period. See Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Senate Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 7, 2015) at 8 (testimony of Senator Aaron D. Ford); see also Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Assembly Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 28, 2015) at 43, 45 (testimony of Senator Aaron D. Ford, Senate Dist. 11).

- However, the NRS 116.31166(3) 60-day redemption period may be tolled in cases 7. where the circumstances warrant a tolling of the time period in order to avoid unfair, harsh or absurd results. Nevada law has long-recognized a reasonable tolling or extension of specific time periods in cases where such tolling and/or extension is warranted. See e.g., Lukovsky v. City and County of San Francisco, 535 F.3d 1044, 1051 (9th Cir.2008) (in cases where plaintiff "would not have known of the existence of a possible claim within the limitations period then equitable tolling will serve to extend the statute of limitations for filing suit until the plaintiff can gather what information he needs" (internal citation omitted); see also Black's Law Dictionary 618 (9th ed. 2009) (equitable tolling is defined as "[t]he doctrine that the statute of limitations will not bar a claim if the plaintiff, despite diligent efforts, did not discover the injury until after the limitations period had expired"). Nevada's civil procedure rules also provide certain exceptions to otherwise specific time and manner requirements. NRCP 4(i) allows a party to file a motion to enlarge time for service when good cause is shown for why the enlargement is warranted. Furthermore, NRS 116.1113 requires that "every contract or duty governed by this chapter imposes an obligation of good faith in its performance or enforcement", and NRS 116.1114 provides that "[t]he remedies provided by this chapter must be liberally administered to the end that the aggrieved party is put in as good a position as if the other party had fully performed."
- 8. NRS 116.31166(4)(b)'s notice of redemption provision require substantial compliance because the statute does not set forth any specific provisions for such notice of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 9. In this case, Saticoy Bay's receipt of written notice from NAS that Markey was exercising his right to redeem within the 60-day redemption period satisfies NRS 116.31166(4)'s notice of redemption requirement. Saticoy Bay received actual notice of Markey's intent to redeem on December 15, 2015, when former counsel for Ditech advised Saticoy Bay's counsel that Markey "has expressed an interest in redeeming." Later that same day, NAS informed Saticoy Bay that it received a certified letter from Markey stating his intention to redeem the property. Saticoy Bay never expressed any issue with the form and manner of Markey's notice of redemption through NAS during the 60-day redemption period, despite having ample opportunity to do so. Furthermore, Saticoy Bay never expressed any issue with Ditech's notice of redemption, which was served in exactly the same manner. Accordingly, Saticoy Bay was on actual notice of Markey's intent to redeem, and it was not prejudiced by Markey's method of notice.
- NRS 116.31166(4)(b)'s requirement that the redeeming unit owner produce a certified copy of his deed to the unit requires strict compliance, unless such requirement would lead to unfair, harsh or absurd results. See Einhorn, 290 P.3d at 254 ("strict compliance with [NRS 107.086's] document mandate required" to ensure that the mediator and the homeowner were satisfied "that whoever is foreclosing actually owns the note and has authority to modify the loan," and, further, that the party seeking the FMP certificate is the proper entity, under the nonjudicial foreclosure statutes, to proceed against the property"); see also Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1279 ("The legislative intent behind requiring a party to produce the assignments of the deed of trust and mortgage note is to ensure that whoever is foreclosing "actually owns the note" and has authority to modify the loan."). Much like NRS 107.086's document mandate, the clear legislative intent behind NRS 116.31166(4)(b)'s requirement that the redeeming unit owner produce a certified copy of his

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

deed to the unit is to ensure that the person seeking to redeem the property has the standing and authority to exercise redemption rights. However, unlike NRS 107 and the FMR's, NRS Chapter 116 does not include a mandatory recommendation for sanctions where a redeemer fails to strictly comply with the provisions of the redemption statute. Rather, Chapter 116 provides that "[t]he remedies provided by this chapter must be liberally administered to the end that the aggrieved party is put in as good a position as if the other party had fully performed." NRS 116.1114. Furthermore, the Legisature's intent of "striking a balance" requires the Court to weigh the Parties' competing interests based upon the particular facts and circumstances of the case. In striking a balance, the Court takes note of testimony presented during the senate hearings on this amendment; "Taking away a Nevada homeowner's most significant financial asset must come with significant protections". Minutes of Hearing on S.B. 306 Before the Assembly Comm. On Judiciary, 78th Leg. (Nev., April 28, 2015) at at 52 (testimony by Steve VanSickler, Chief Credit Officer, Silver State Schools Credit Union, Las Vegas, Nevada). "Nevada homeowners benefit by the changes made in this bill as well. Taking away someone's property that is worth hundreds of thousands of dollars is not a matter that should be taken lightly and there are quite a few consumer protections in this bill." Id. at 55 (testimony by Jonathan Gedde, Chairman, Board of Governors, Nevada Mortgage Lenders Association).

11. In this case, there was no question that Markey was the unit's owner and therefore had authority to redeem the unit under NRS 116.31166. At the time of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure auction, Markey was the only person with a recorded interest in the property, other than recorded Deed of Trusts and Assignments, since he first purchased the property as new construction from Rhodes Ranch General Partnership on June 11, 2004. Saticoy Bay never challenged Markey's authority to redeem the property following the HOA sale, nor did it demand that Markey produce a certified copy of his deed to the property during the redemption period. Rather, Saticoy Bay's only stated objection during the redemption period was its opinion that it was not required to accept the redemption funds from NAS, but that the funds had to come from the unit owner or the deed of trust beneficiary. Because there was no question that Markey had authority to redeem the property, and because Saticoy Bay was not prejudiced by Markey's failure to provide a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

certified copy of his deed of the property during the redemption period, Markey's failure to provide said deed was not fatal to his ability to redeem the subject property.

- "[T]imely and complete tender immediately discharges a lien against real property, 12. even if the tender is rejected, although the lienor remains entitled to repayment of the debt." US Bank, N.A. v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, 3:15-cv-00241-RCJ-WGC, 2016 WL 4473427, at *6-7 (D. Nev. Aug. 24, 2016). "Tender occurs when a party makes an amount available without conditions." Id. at *6 (D. Nev. Aug. 24, 2016) (quoting Tender, Black's Law Dictionary 1696 (10th ed. 2014)). The effect of a timely and complete tender is equally applicable in the non-judicial HOA foreclosure context. See, e.g., Stone Hollow Ave. Trust v. Bank of Am., Nat'l Ass'n, 391 P.3d 760 (2016) (Pickering, J., dissenting) ("a tender of the lien amount invalidates a foreclosure sale to the extent that the sale purports to extinguish the tenderer's interest in the property.") Tender "need not be made by [a debtor] personally." Forderer v. Schmidt, 154 F. 475, 477 (9th Cir. 1907). "If made by a third person at his request it is sufficient, and, if made by a stranger without his knowledge or request ... a subsequent assent of the debtor would operate as a ratification and make the tender good." Id.
- NAS's January 15, 2016, tender to Saticoy Bay of the full redemption amount of 13. \$50,052,16 via cashier's check on behalf of Markey immediately extinguished Saticoy Bay's interest in the property. Because Saticoy Bay did not provide any evidence that the \$50,052.16 amount tendered was insufficient to satisfy the payment requirement under the redemption statute, the amount, time, and manner of the tender was sufficient to redeem Markey's interest in the subject property, pursuant to NRS 116.31166.
- Because Markey redeemed his interest in the subject property, Saticoy Bay's claims against NAS necessarily fail as a matter of law. See NRS 116.31166(5) ("If the unit's owner whose interest in the unit was extinguished by the sale redeems ... the person to whom the redemption amount was paid must execute and deliver to the unit's owner a certificate of redemption").

26 ///

27

28 ///

11

<u>Г</u>	₹
_	4
	-3
	_
	¥
~	_
_	3
a	α
_	0
⋝	
_	
>-	×,
•	=
<	ລ
_	×
S WYMAN	•
~	45
E L	a
_	<u>~</u>
u.	ie
_1	z
Ξ	œ
O	0
₹	-
o <b>≯</b>	ATTOR
_	⋖
	la.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

_1
— ~
Z° S°
- a
<b>⋖</b> .≂
₹3
≥≅
<b>≻</b> ∞ ∞
-:
<~∵
<b>\$</b> 000
<b>کل</b> ۵
∞
що
що
що
Щ° S L
LFE NEYS
OLFE SRNEYS
OLFE SRNEYS
OLFE SRNEYS
OLFE SRNEYS
LFE NEYS

ORDER	
-------	--

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Intervenor, DITECH FINANCIAL LLC's Motion for Summary Judgment, and Defendant, JAMES P. MARKEY's Joinder are GRANTED; and Plaintiff, SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM SPRINGS 2079's Countermotion for Summary Judgment is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to NRS 116.31166(5), the effect of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure sale of the subject property is hereby terminated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Markey is hereby restored to his interest in the subject property, subject to any security interest on the unit that existed at the time of the November 20, 2015, HOA foreclosure sale

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that title to the real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148 (APN 176-05-414-199), legally described as:

# PARCEL ONE (1):

LIVING UNIT 2079 IN PHASE 10 – BUILDING 25, AS SHOWN ON THE FINAL MAP FOR APACHE SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS, (A CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPNENT AND COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITY), RECORDED IN BOOK 105 OF PLATS, PAGE 25, AND AS AMENDED BY THAT CERTAIN AMENDED FINAL MAP FOR APACHE SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS RECORDED IN BOOK 107 OF PLATS, PAGE 37. AND THEREAFTER CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT RECORDED MARCH 24, 2003, IN BOOK 20030324, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 00670, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

## PARCEL TWO (2):

AN UNDIVIDED 1/360TH INTEREST INTO THAT PORTION OF THE COMMON AREA (CA) SHOWN AS PHASE 10 ON THE FINAL MAP FOR APACHÉ SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS, (A CONDOMINIUM DEVELOPNENT AND COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITY), RECORDED IN BOOK 105 OF PLATS, PAGE 25, AND AS AMENDED BY THAT CERTAIN AMENDED FINAL MAP FOR APACHE SPRINGS CONDOMINIUMS RECORDED IN BOOK 107 OF PLATS, PAGE 37, AND THEREAFTER CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT RECORDED MARCH 24, 2003, IN BOOK 20030324, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 00670 AND AS SET FORTH IN DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS FOR THE FALLS CONDOMINIUMS RECORDED OCTOBER 31, 2002, IN BOOK 20021031, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 04692, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

12

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

is QUIETED in favor of Markey and Ditech, such that Markey's interest in the subject property, as
evidenced by the Grant, Bargain and Sale Deed, recorded on June 15, 2004, in the Official Records
of Clark County as Instrument Number 20040615-0004598, and the Deed of Trust recorded on April
12, 2013, in the Official Records of Clark County as Instrument Number 201304120000455, and all
assignments thereto, of which Ditech is the current beneficiary of record, remain in full force and
effect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that NAS shall tender the full redemption amount of \$50,052.16 to Saticoy Bay and for the benefit of Markey, within ten (10) judicial days of entry of this Judgment.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Saticoy Bay shall execute and deliver to Markey a certificate of redemption, acknowledged or approved before a person authorized to take acknowledgments of conveyances of real property, within ten (10) judicial days of its receipt of the full redemption amount of \$50,052.16.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the certification of redemption shall be recorded in the official records of the Clark County Recorder's Office against the title to the real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148 (APN 176-05-414-199), and as legally described herein.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Defendant NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC., and against Plaintiff SATICOY BAY, as to Plaintiff's claim for specific performance against NAS.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Lis Pendens recorded against the title to the subject property with the Clark County Recorder's Office on July 1, 2016, as Instrument Number 201607010002420, is hereby **EXPUNGED**.

25 /// /// 26 27 ///

///

28 ///

13

	1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the this Judgment may be recorded against title to the		
WOLFE & WYMAN LLP ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW	2	real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148		
	3	(APN 176-05-414-199), and as legally described herein.		
	4	DATED this day of	, 2017.	
	5			
	6	See Puge 16 DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
	7	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
	8			
	9	Respectfully submitted by:	Approved as to form and content by:	
	10	WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON	
	11	nc co.	San MarilE	
	12	BRIGEOTE E. FOLEY, ESQ.)	JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ.	
	13	Nevada Bar No. 12965 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	Nevada Bar No. 5802 2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120	
	14	6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119	Henderson, NV 89074 Phone: (702) 478-8282	
	15	Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax: (702) 476-0101	Fax: (702) 541-9500 johnwthomson@ymail.com	
LEY.	16	befoley@wolfewyman.com  Attorneys for Ditech, Financial LLC	Attorneys for Defendant, James P. Markey	
SAN ASSE		Attorneys for Directi, Financial ELC		
	17	D : 1D		
	18	Reviewed By:	Approved as to form and content by:	
	19	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.	NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.	
	20	mill Bal	see ruge 16	
	21	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.	CHRISTOPHER V. YERGENSEN, ESQ.	
	22	Nevada Bar No. 1641 ADAM R. TRIPPIEDI, ESQ.	Nevada Bar No. 6183 6224 West Desert Inn Road	
	23	Nevada Bar No. 12294 376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140	Las Vegas, NV 89146 Phone: (702) 804-8885	
	24	Las Vegas, NV 89119 Phone: (702) 642-3113	Fax: (702) 804-8887 chris@nas-inc.com	
	25	Fax: (702) 642-9766 mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com	Attorney for Defendant, Nevada Association Services, Inc.	
	26	atrippiedi@bohnlawfirm.com	Association per vices, Inc.	
	27	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Saticoy Bay LLC Series 9050 W Warm Springs 2079		
	28			
			14	
		2805895.1		

1	///			
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the this Jud	gment may be recorded against title to the		
7	real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Sprin	real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148		
8	(APN 176-05-414-199), and as legally described herein	l.		
9	DATED this day of, 201	17.		
10		See page 16		
11	DISTRICT	COURT JUDGE		
12				
13	li .			
14		proved as to form and content by:		
15		W OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON		
16		Sul). Minion		
17	Nevada Bar No. 12965	IN W. THOMSON, ESQ. vada Bar No. 5802		
18	6757 Spencer Street Hen	St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 nderson, NV 89074		
19	Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax	one: (702) 478-8282 :: (702) 541-9500		
20	befoley@wolfewyman.com Atta	nwthomson@ymail.com orneys for Defendant, James P. Markey		
21	Attorneys for Ditech, Financial LLC			
22	li .	1		
23		proved as to form and content by:		
24	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, NE' ESQ., LTD.	VADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.		
25	See page 14	see page 110		
26	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. CH	RISTOPHER V. YERGENSEN, ESQ.		
27	ADAM R. TRIPPIEDI, ESQ. 622	vada Bar No. 6183 4 West Desert Inn Road		
28	376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Pho	Vegas, NV 89146 one: (702) 804-8885		
	\( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \( \) \(			

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the this Judgment may be recorded against title to the		
2	real property commonly known as 9050 W. Warm Springs Rd Unit 2079, Las Vegas, NV 89148		
3	(APN 176-05-414-199), and as legally described herein.		
4	DATED this 23rd day of Yugust	_, 2017.	
5		i A	
6		HEU	
7	DIS	TRICT COURT JUDGE	
8	Respectfully submitted by:	Approved as to form and content by:	
9	WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	LAW OFFICE OF JOHN W. THOMSON	
10	See page 14	see page 15	
11	BRIGETTE E. FOLEY, ESQ.	JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 5802	
12	Nevada Bar No. 12965 WOLFE & WYMAN LLP	2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120	
13	6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, NV 89119	Henderson, NV 89074 Phone: (702) 478-8282	
14	Tel: (702) 476-0100 Fax: (702) 476-0101	Fax: (702) 541-9500 johnwthomson@ymail.com	
15	befoley@wolfewyman.com Attorneys for Ditech, Financial LLC	Attorneys for Defendant, James P. Markey	
16			
17	Approved as to form and content by:	Approved as to form and content by:	
18	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.	NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.	
19			
20	See page 14	CHRISTONIED I VEDSENIED ESO	
21	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 1641	CHRISTOPHER V. YERGENSEN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 6183	
22	ADAM R. TRIPPIEDI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12294	6224 West Desert Inn Road Las Vegas, NV 89146	
23	376 East Warm Springs Road, Ste. 140 Las Vegas, NV 89119	Phone: (702) 804-8885 Fax: (702) 804-8887	
24	Phone: (702) 642-3113 Fax: (702) 642-9766	chris@nas-inc.com Attorney for Defendant, Nevada	
25	mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com atrippiedi@bohnlawfirm.com	Association Services, Inc.	
26	Attorneys for Plaintiff, Saticoy Bay LLC Series 9050 W Warm Springs 2079		

16

2805895.1

27

28

**Electronically Filed** 9/27/2017 11:18 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT NOAS 1 MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 1641 mbohn@bohnlawfirm.com 3 ADAM R. TRIPPIEDI, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 12294 atrippiedi@bohnlawfirm.com LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD. 376 E. Warm Springs Rd., Ste. 140 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 642-3113/ (702) 642-9766 FAX 7 Attorney for plaintiff 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM CASE NO.: A-16-730623-C SPRINGS 2079, DEPT NO.: XVI 12 Plaintiff, 13 VS. 14 NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES; THE 15 FALLS AT RHODES RANCH CONDOMINIUM OWNERS ASSOCIATION, 16 INC; QUICKEN LOANS, INC., and JAMES P. MARKEY, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 22 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that plaintiff, Saticoy Bay LLC, 9050 W Warm Springs 2079, 23 hereby appeals to the Supreme Court of Nevada from the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and 24 25 26 27 28 1

Case Number: A-16-730623-C

1	<b>.</b>		
1	Judgment, which was entered on August 29, 2017.		
2	DATED this 27th day of September 2017.		
3	LAW OFFICES OF		
4	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.		
5			
6	By: /s//Michael F. Bohn, Esq./ MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.		
7	376 E. Warm Springs Road, Suite 140		
8	Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Attorney for plaintiff		
9			
10	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
11	Pursuant to NRCP 5, NEFCR 9 and EDCR 8.05, I hereby certify that I am an employee of Law		
12	Offices of Michael, Esq., Ltd., and on the 27th day of September, 2017, an electronic copy of the		
13	NOTICE OF APPEAL, copy of which is attached hereto, was served on opposing counsel via the		
14	Court's electronic service system to the following counsel of record:		
15	John W. Thomson, Esq. Christopher V. Yergensen, Esq. Nevada Association Services, Inc.		
16	2450 St. Rose Parkway, Suite 120 Henderson, NV 89144  Capacitation Services, inc.  6224 West Desert Inn Rd.  Las Vegas, Nevada 89146		
	Attorney for James P. Markey  Attorney for Nevada Association Services		
	Colt B. Dodrill, Esq. WOLFE & WYMAN LLP		
19	6757 Spencer Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89119		
	Attorneys for Ditech Financial LLC		
21			
22			
23	/s / / Marc Sameroff / An Employee of the LAW OFFICES OF		
24	MICHÂEĽ F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.		
25			
26			
27			
28	2		

	Stoven D. Grierson
1	CASE NO. A-16-730623-C
2	DOCKET U
3	DEPT. 16
4	
5	
6	DISTRICT COURT
7	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
8	* * * *
9	SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W WARM ) SPRINGS 2079, )
10	Plaintiff, )
11	)
12	vs. )
13	NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, )
14	Defendant. )
15	
16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF
	MOTIONS
17	
18	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE TIMOTHY C. WILLIAMS
19	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
20	
21	DATED TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 2017
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: PEGGY ISOM, RMR, NV CCR #541,
25	

```
APPEARANCES:
 2
   FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
 3
           LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN
 4
 5
           BY: MICHAEL BOHN, ESQ.
 6
           4520 SOUTH PECOS ROAD
           SUITE 2
 7
           LAS VEGAS, NV 89121
 8
           (702) 642-3113
 9
10
           MBOHN@BOHNLAWFIRM.COM
11
12
   FOR THE DEFENDANT:
13
14
           WOLFE & WYMAN LLP
15
           BY: BRIGETTE FOLEY, ESQ.
16
17
           6757 SPENCER STREET
           LAS VEGAS, NV 89119
18
19
           (702) 476-0100
           (702) 476-0101
20
21
           BEFOLEY@WOLFEWYMAN.COM
22
23
24
25
```

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	
3	JOHN W. THOMSON & ASSOCIATES
4	BY: JOHN W. THOMSON, ESQ.
5	2450 SAINT ROSE PARKWAY
6	SUITE 120
7	HENDERSON, NV 89074
8	(702) 637-2919
9	JOHNWTHOMSON@YMAIL.COM
10	
11	
12	
13	* * * *
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA; TUESDAY, JUNE 20, 2017
2	11:21 A.M.
3	PROCEEDINGS
4	* * * * *
11:21:15 <b>5</b>	
6	THE COURT: All right. Saticoy Bay. All
7	right. Good morning.
8	IN UNISON: Good morning.
9	THE COURT: And, I guess, we have this is
11:22:06 <b>10</b>	page.
11	THE LAW CLERK: 9.
12	THE COURT: 9. And for the record, Saticoy
13	Bay LLC Series 9050 West Warm Springs 2079 versus
14	Nevada Association Services, et al. Is that correct?
11:22:26 <b>15</b>	MR. BOHN: Correct.
16	MS. FOLEY: Correct, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: I see we have competing motions
18	for summary judgment.
19	MR. BOHN: Yes.
11:22:30 20	MS. FOLEY: There should just be one motion
21	for summary judgment and a joinder, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
23	MR. THOMSON: And, your Honor, this is John
24	Thomson. I'm appearing for James Markey. He's also
11:22:40 <b>25</b>	here in court.

11:22:41 <b>1</b>	THE COURT: All right.
2	MR. THOMSON: Your Honor had a lengthy hearing
3	oral argument on this matter. There were a couple of
4	items that your Honor stated would like to have
11:22:52 <b>5</b>	supplemented which has been done. So it was
6	anticipated in your comments that there wouldn't be
7	need to rehash or have much more oral argument on some
8	of those things. But we're you're we're here to
9	serve the Court as far as what the Court needs. In
11:23:12 <b>10</b>	addition, if your Honor would like us to address our
11	supplement, we'd be happy to do so.
12	THE COURT: I'm going to open up the floor to
13	do whatever you think is in the best interests of your
14	client. Because as you can see we've had a lot of
11:23:28 <b>15</b>	unique issues this morning, and this is a continuation;
16	right?
17	MR. THOMSON: Yes.
18	THE COURT: All right. Okay.
19	MS. FOLEY: Sure. Thank you, your Honor.
11:23:35 20	MR. BOHN: You want us to state our
21	appearances for the record?
22	THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead and state your
23	appearance.
24	MR. BOHN: Michael Bohn for plaintiff, Saticoy
11:23:40 <b>25</b>	Bay.

11:23:41 <b>1</b>	MR. THOMSON: Attorney John Thomson, bar 5802,
2	for James Markey, defendant.
3	MS. FOLEY: Brigette Foley, 12965 for
4	intervenor, Ditech Financial.
11:23:53 <b>5</b>	MR. MARKEY: James Markey, defendant.
6	THE COURT: Okay. So it's my understanding
7	this is Ditech Financial's motion for summary judgment.
8	MS. FOLEY: Yes, your Honor. This case is
9	actually under the 2015 amendments to the HOA
11:24:09 <b>10</b>	foreclosure statutes.
11	During the last hearing, you had some
12	questions for us about the legislative intent behind
13	the newly enacted redemption statute. Just to give you
14	a brief recap, the factual issue was that Saticoy Bay
11:24:27 <b>15</b>	purchased a property at an HOA foreclosure sale that
16	occurred on November 20, 2015. Under the new
17	redemption statute, the last day to redeem the property
18	was Tuesday, January 19, 2016. And we would ask that
19	the Court take judicial notice of that fact.
11:24:47 20	The Saticoy Bay's position is that Markey's
21	notice was notice of redemption was insufficient.
22	They failed to provide a certified copy of Markey
23	failed to provide a certified copy of his deed to the
24	property showing his ownership interest. Saticoy Bay
11:25:08 <b>25</b>	claims that Markey was not permitted to use excess

11:25:13	1	proceeds to satisfy the redemption amount. And it took
	2	issue with the fact that Nevada Association Services
	3	actually delivered the cashiers check for the
	4	redemption amount prior to the end of the redemption
11:25:34	5	period which Saticoy Bay claims failed to meet the
	6	requirements of the redemption statute.
	7	Last time you asked us to provide you
	8	supplemental briefing of legislative intent regarding
	9	time and manner versus form and content requirements
11:25:50	10	for the redemption statute, which we have done so in
	11	the supplemental brief.
	12	As you can see in the supplemental brief,
	13	Senator Aaron Ford, who was one of the primary drafters
	14	of 2015 amendment, stated that the intent was to
11:26:11	15	balance interests of all parties involved in an HOA
	16	foreclosure sale. It was to strike a balance between
	17	the interests of homeowners, HOAs, banks, mortgage
	18	lenders, GSE's investors and the title industry. And
	19	that was when it was the bill was first introduced
11:26:30	20	to the Senate on April 7, 2015.
	21	Senator Ford has stated several times in the
	22	subsequent hearings that has reaffirmed that
	23	legislative intent, he even testified that he began
	24	drafting the amendments after the SFR decision came out
11:26:50	25	from the Nevada Supreme Court because he felt that

11:26:53 **1** there was not a sufficient balancing of all the interests. 2 3 Turning to the -- so when reading the redemption statute in conjunction with the legislative 11:27:06 intent behind it, Ditech's position is that Markey 5 satisfied all the requirements to redeem the property 7 within the time frame provided. Markey sent a notice of intent to redeem 8 through NAS. And NAS forwarded that notice to Saticoy 9 Bay's managing member who is Eddie Haddad as well as 11:27:28 **10** 11 Saticoy's counsel of record Mr. Bohn within -- well 12 within the time period provided. 13 We also would add that Ditech provided its 14 notice of intent to redeem in exactly the same manner, 11:27:45 **15** which Saticoy Bay during the redemption period and all the email discussions never took any issue with. 16 17 So there -- and the legislative intent and 18 statutory construction principles provide that as long 19 as the purpose of the notice is fulfilled, substantial 11:28:06 20 compliance is all that is required. 21 We would argue that that extends to whether or 22 not Mr. Markey's redemption fails because he did not 23 provide a certified copy of his deed showing ownership 24 of the property. The purpose of providing any 11:28:25 **25** certified copies of deeds or assignments, deeds of

11:28:29 1 trust, things like that is to verify that the person or entity attempting to redeem is actually authorized or has the authority to redeem. And that can be seen in prior decisions by the Nevada Supreme Court addressing 11:28:46 the requirements to bring certain documents to a foreclosure mediation. The servicer and record beneficiary of the 7 deed of trust is supposed to bring certain documents to 8 provide evidence that they have authority to foreclose, 11:29:04 **10** have authority to enter into a loan modification, 11 et cetera. 12 Here there was no need for a certified copy of the deed because there was no issue or concern that 13 14 Mr. Markey had the authority to redeem. Aside from any 11:29:19 **15** deeds of trust encumbering the property or other liens, he was the only individual with an ownership interest 16 17 in the property at all times leading up to the HOA foreclose sale. 18 19 Furthermore, Saticoy Bay never requested a 11:29:35 **20** copy of -- a certified copy of Mr. Markey's deed during 21 the redemption period despite the fact that it had 22 ample opportunity to do so. In fact, the only objection Saticoy Bay made during the redemption period was to the fact that the cashiers check that was 24 11:29:55 **25** tendered within the time frame, January 15, 2016,

11:29:59 lagain, the last day to redeem was January 19, was on a check, a cashiers check bearing NAS's logo and just had 2 3 the owner's name listed on it. Their position was that they wanted a check 4 11:30:18 directly from the owner, and did not want excess 5 proceeds to be used. As your Honor stated last time, 7 it was -- it seemed to be your Honor's inclination to find that those excess proceeds were Mr. Markey's to 8 use as he pleased, including toward the redemption. So 11:30:33 **10** that we would argue that that issue of whether excess proceeds can be used is mute. 11 12 Furthermore, the only two entities with any 13 purported interest in that -- in the excess proceeds 14 would have been Ditech or Markey, and any arrangement 11:30:50 **15** made between Ditech and Markey to use the funds toward the redemption is proper and of no concern to Saticoy 16 17 Bay. The last -- the last issue is the actual 18 19 tender itself. Saticoy Bay took issue with the fact 11:31:03 20 that Markey used NAS as its agent to tender the funds 21 which is why it rejected the tender. However, in the 22 supplement as well as in the reply, Ditech restated well settled law regarding tender. That it occurs when 23 24 a party makes an amount available without conditions. 11:31:31 **25** The amount appeared to never be at issue. Even now, we

11:31:37	have no notice of Saticoy Bay taking issue with the
2	amount of the funds tendered. Furthermore, a tender
3	need not be made by the debtor personally. It can be
4	made by a third person at the debtor's request. That
11:31:53	is sufficient for tender.
•	That's what happened here. NAS was acting as
7	Mr. Markey's agent and providing in providing the
8	funds to Saticoy Bay, but they were at all times from
9	Mr. Markey, not from anyone else. Therefore, the
11:32:08 <b>1</b> 0	tender was sufficient and extinguished any rights
11	Saticoy Bay had in the property at the time of the
12	tender which was January 15.
13	And, therefore, we would ask this Court find
14	that Mr. Markey redeemed the property and that it grant
11:32:25 <b>1</b>	summary judgment in favor of Ditech and Mr. Markey.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	Sir.
18	MR. THOMSON: Good morning, Judge. The intent
19	of the statute is clear that it's to make the purchaser
11:32:40 <b>2</b> 0	Saticoy Bay and the HOA whole. And also to allow a
2 1	homeowner, whatever the reason during that 60-day
22	period, to have a chance to redeem.
23	So the ends of this case were satisfied. No
2 4	one can say they didn't get the money they were
11:32:59 <b>2:</b>	entitled to under the statute. That they even got

11:33:02 <b>1</b>	interest on the money that was used. They knew that
2	this statute was out there, and that they would be
3	taking a risk by purchasing, a business decision
4	calculated by Saticoy Bay to know that if they bid
11:33:17 <b>5</b>	successfully on this property that it would be held for
6	90 days, that the title would not be transferred as
7	previously had been done. Because of the amendment,
8	they knew that there was a chance for redemption by
9	Ditech or, in this case, the owner of the property,
11:33:35 <b>10</b>	Mr. Markey.
11	So everything was satisfied. There's no
12	dispute we have presented uncontroverted NRCP 56
13	evidence that Markey was the owner.
14	Two, that the cashier check was of the proper
11:33:54 <b>15</b>	amount and that it was in time. Markey' name was noted
16	on the check in the reference line. It was a proper
17	tender which was wrongfully rejected.
18	And number three, that there was a certified
19	letter sent to NAS of notice and intent to redeem.
11:34:15 <b>20</b>	This was promptly forwarded to Saticoy Bay and their
21	attorney. There is no question that they knew of his
2 2	intent.
23	The last hearing, your Honor, when we were
2 4	arguing about this issue, your Honor even stated that
11:34:31 <b>25</b>	possibly an email or an oral request might even be

11:34:38	1	enough to satisfy the notice of intent to redeem. In
	2	this case it was done by certified letter. Mr. Markey
	3	was not represented at the time of this redemption, and
		he was working with NAS's attorney, Saticoy Bay's
11:34:52	5	attorney, and at the time Ditech's attorney. We
	6	submitted the email chains that show that there was
	7	good-faith intent on my client to cooperate fully to
	8	find out everything he needed to do to redeem.
	9	So that's basically the summary of our
11:35:13 <b>1</b>	.0	argument. Our supplement just produced a grant bargain
1	1	sale deed which was public notice and recorded. It
1	.2	also produced an email string that helps your Honor
1	.3	understand kind of the atmosphere that was going on. A
1	.4	lot of dialogue, thankfully, back and forth between the
11:35:31 <b>1</b>	.5	parties to try to make sure that everything was
1	.6	satisfied. And then there is you know, a
1	.7	declaration sworn under oath by my client clarifying
1	.8	any issues and then also that's proper evidence under
1	.9	Rule 56 that has been uncontroverted.
11:35:51 <b>2</b>	0	Thanks.
2	1	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
2	2	Mr. Bohn, sir.
2	3	MR. BOHN: Good morning, your Honor. Michael
2	4	Bohn for plaintiff Saticoy Bay. Good to see you again,
11:35:59 <b>2</b>	5	your Honor.

11:36:04 I think a lot of judges when looking at these HOA cases forget to remember that we're in court and 2 3 the Rules of Civil Procedure still apply. Rule 56. THE COURT: I try not to do that. 4 11:36:16 ahead. 5 MR. BOHN: But I'm not saying you're one of 6 them, but some of them do. In any event, what I don't 7 see attached to anyone's motion are copies of the 8 checks. I don't see a copy of the certificate of 11:36:28 **10** redemption. And I certainly don't see, because they acknowledge it ain't there, the certified copy of the 11 12 deed. The statute requires, the statute uses the word 13 "must". Must produce the money with the notice and the 14 certified copy of the deed. It used the word must. 11:36:59 **15** That means it's mandatory. You can't claim that you have substantially 16 17 complied if you don't produce all things. You need the 18 notice. You need the checks. And you need the 19 certified copy of the deed. You have to give meaning 11:37:15 **20** to all the words in a statute. You can't disregard 21 them. They have to be read as a whole. 22 So to come in and say, Well, we don't need a 23 certified copy of the deed, the statute says you must 24 produce it at the time of the redemption. If it says 11:37:30 **25** "must," it's in the statute, it's an integral part of

11:37:33 **1** the statute. It wasn't produced. There's no question about that. So for that reason alone summary judgment 2 should be granted in favor of my client. 3 Now, counsel for Ditech claims that there was 4 11:37:45 some sort of agreement between Ditech and the borrower 5 and there shouldn't be any problem with the borrower 6 7 using Ditech's money. Well, again, there's no proof that there was any agreement between Mr. Markey and 8 Ditech or any kind of an assignment or written agreement where Ditech said, Go ahead and use our money 11:38:03 **10** 11 that we're entitled to under excess proceeds and pay 12 this thing off. 13 The statute requires the owner or the 14 lienholder to pay the entire amount paid by the 11:38:18 **15** purchaser. It doesn't say pay the amount of the unpaid lien and get the rest of the money back from the excess 16 17 It says pay the entire amount. Now, the distribution statute 116.31164 says that the proceeds 18 19 from the sale go to the expenses of the sale, the 11:38:39 20 expenses of holding the property, satisfaction of the 21 lien, and then satisfaction in order of priority of any subordinate claim of record. That would be the 22 23 mortgage holder. And then the one after that is remittance of any excess to the unit's owner. The unit 24 11:38:55 **25** lowner was not entitled by statute to get his hands on

11:38:59 these proceeds to satisfy the lien. The party that was entitled to these proceeds 2 was the lienholder. And I respectfully submit, although it's not in the statute, that you're not 11:39:11 entitled to the proceeds until after the 60-day 5 redemption period, by which time the smoke would clear as to who the owner of the property was, who the 7 lienholder is, et cetera. That these -- it's not in 8 9 the statute, but it only makes sense they should have to wait the 60 days before these proceeds are even 11:39:27 **10** available for distribution. 11 12 If you don't agree with that, your Honor, there's an old adage, and it was always my position 13 14 from day one that the former owner cannot use the 11:39:41 **15** excess proceeds, number one, because the statute doesn't say that you can, but number two --16 17 THE COURT: But if the statute doesn't say you 18 can't; right? 19 MR. BOHN: The -- but the statute says they 11:39:51 **20** don't belong to the owner. It belongs to the next 21 lienholder in line. And that would be -- it was at the 22 time Quicken, now it's Ditech. It belongs to them. So if they had a written agreement or an email to NAS or 24 between each other saying, Yes, you can use the 11:40:10 25 proceeds to redeem the property, that would be one

11:40:12 <b>1</b>	thing. But we don't have that. We have the statute
2	that says the owner is not entitled to it until after
3	the deed of trust is satisfied.
4	There's also, and I was frantic looking for it
11:40:25 <b>5</b>	this morning, there's the estoppel argument, your
6	Honor, that everyone is overlooking. The estoppel
7	argument is very important here because the owner, by
8	accepting the excess proceeds from NAS, has waived any
9	claim to the property. And I will cite for you
11:40:44 10	THE COURT: But why would that I mean, as
11	long as he say, hypothetically, the sale happens and
12	monies are remitted at the very beginning of the
13	redemption period, he can use that as part of his
14	redemption attempt. Why does that matter?
11:41:01 <b>15</b>	MR. BOHN: Number one for two reasons.
16	It's not his money to use. First of all, A, you got to
17	wait the 60 days for the title to transfer before he'd
18	be entitled to any excess proceeds. Two, it's not his.
19	It belongs to the bank. If the bank said use the
11:41:19 20	excess proceeds to pay it, that would be one thing.
21	But we're here on a motion for summary judgment.
22	There's absolutely no evidence to that whatsoever.
23	And so you should deny their motion for
24	summary judgment based on that alone.
11:41:32 <b>25</b>	Number three, it's the estoppel argument. And

11:41:33 <b>1</b>	I would cite to you Moore versus
2	THE COURT: How explain this estoppel
3	argument to me. I want to make sure I understand that.
4	MR. BOHN: Okay. Let me cite to you the case
11:41:40 5	of Moore versus Rochester Weaver Mining Company, 42
6	Nev. 164 from 1918. It's still good law, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Just because it's old doesn't mean
8	it's not good. I understand that.
9	MR. BOHN: Okay. I hear that sometimes.
11:41:53 <b>10</b>	THE COURT: It could actually to me it's
11	like a fine wine; right? The law withstood the test of
12	time. It's on firmer ground.
13	MR. BOHN: This is a common law rule.
14	THE COURT: Yes.
11:42:05 <b>15</b>	MR. BOHN: Where one has an election either to
16	ratify or disaffirm a conveyance, he can either claim
17	under or against, but he cannot do both. And having
18	adopted one court course, he cannot afterward pursue
19	the other. And it is wholly immaterial, of course,
11:42:19 <b>20</b>	what maybe the infirmities of the transaction,
21	abstractly considered; if he elects to take under it,
22	he thereby cuts himself off from attacking it. It as
23	good as to him, though it may be bad as to everybody
24	else.
11:42:30 <b>25</b>	Upon this principle, the books abound with

11:42:33 1 cases in which those who are entitled to avoid a sale, or to adopt and ratify it, or claim under it, or in 2 opposition to a conveyance by accepting the proceeds of 3 the sale or the benefits of the conveyance preclude 11:42:46 themselves from avoiding it. 5 6 By accepting the excess proceeds, your Honor, 7 they had -- they are estopped from attacking the sale, and they are estopped from redeeming the property. 8 They've accepted the proceeds. They've accepted the 11:43:03 **10** I know it's a harsh result, but the law is on 11 the books for a reason. 12 THE COURT: But I understand that, but that's based upon the common law. But our Nevada legislature 13 14 stepped in and said, Look, we're going to grant the prior owner a redemption period. And they -- there's 11:43:16 **15** 16 no issue as to waiver or estoppel. It's my 17 understanding -- I understand the strict 18 construction -- or, I'm sorry, the substantial 19 compliance argument versus strictly complied. That's a different issue. 11:43:35 20 that. 21 But it seems to me as far as redemption is 22 concerned our Nevada State Legislature has taken action 23 on that issue. And whether -- and it appears to me, 24 potentially, it's contrary to the common law as you 11:43:52 **25** raised. But, nonetheless, I'm going to follow the

11:43:55 1 mandate of the legislature regardless of whether the sums or the funds were received as a result of the sale or they were independent. You have a redemption period. 11:44:08 MR. BOHN: I understand that. And I understand and I don't disagree with the policy and 6 7 intent of the statute. You want -- the legislature decided to give everybody an extra 60 days breathing 8 room to --11:44:22 **10** THE COURT: Right. 11 MR. BOHN: -- take care of an oops. But you have to take care of the oops correctly. They 13 didn't -- Mr. Markey didn't use his money. He used 14 money that belonged to NAS. NAS gave him money that 11:44:39 **15** actually belonged to the next lienholder, not to Mr. Markey. It wasn't his money to use in the first 16 17 place. THE COURT: Well, I guess, there's two ways to 18 19 look at that from an equitable perspective. 11:44:49 20 anticipate that it could be argued that, you know what, he had a lot of money involved in this property based upon the true fair market value and what the lien 22 23 amount was. Right? I mean, so we can't say that he didn't have an investment there. 24 11:45:04 25 Yes, it was foreclosed upon, but, there --

11:45:09	1	until he redeems no, until the redemption period
	2	transpires, he has certain rights to come up and redeem
	3	the property to protect those to protect his asset;
	4	right? I mean, that happens whether it's a tax sale
11:45:30	5	with the government or HOA sale now. I guess, pursuant
	6	to the statute there's a right to redeem.
	7	MR. BOHN: I don't disagree with anything you
	8	have said, your Honor. But they have to comply with
	9	the statute. The statute says must.
11:45:43	10	THE COURT: Well, that's another issue. I
	11	didn't I didn't
	12	MR. BOHN: Okay.
	13	THE COURT: throw that aside; right?
	14	MR. BOHN: Right.
11:45:47	15	THE COURT: I didn't throw that aside.
	16	MR. BOHN: I agree with the intent and purpose
	17	of the statute as you have stated. That's correct,
	18	your Honor.
	19	But they didn't comply. Number one, they
11:45:56	20	haven't met the standards for summary judgment. But
	21	even overlooking those infirmities, if you want to
	22	assume the check was delivered without seeing the
	23	check, if you want to assume the notice of redemption
	24	was delivered without seeing it, they still didn't
11:46:10	25	provide the certified copy of the deed, and they he

11:46:15	1	still used money he was not entitled to. NAS did not
	2	have the right to give him my client's money to pay my
	3	client back.
	4	And in taking the money from him, we assert
11:46:27	5	he's estopped from redeeming with that money because
	6	he's taking the money. And in doing so, he's ratified
	7	the sale.
	8	Unless you have any further questions of me,
	9	your Honor, I anxiously await your decision.
11:46:42	10	THE COURT: Okay.
	11	MR. BOHN: Thank you.
	12	THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am.
	13	MS. FOLEY: Thank you, your Honor. Mr. Bohn
	14	argued that Ditech and Markey have failed to provide
11:47:03	15	the necessary evidence to support their arguments.
	16	However, if you look at the exhibits to the
	17	supplemental briefing, first is well, out of order
	18	is Exhibit J, which is an email from Ditech's former
	19	counsel, Ryan O'Malley, to counsel for NAS. I believe
11:47:22	20	Mr. Bohn said that an email to NAS authorizing
	21	Mr. Markey's use of the funds, the excess proceeds
	22	would be a different story showing that there was an
	23	agreement that the funds could be used for Mr. Markey's
	24	benefit.
11:47:40	25	Here is that email, your Honor.

11:47:41 <b>1</b>	THE COURT: I see it.
2	MS. FOLEY: Where in the third full paragraph,
3	Ditech's counsel said Ditech never raised any objection
4	to NAS's disbursement of the funds to the buyer at the
11:47:54 <b>5</b>	HOA sale. Those proceeds were appropriately tendered
6	to the buyer. To whatever extent my client may have an
7	interest in the sale of proceeds and any express
8	authorization from my client is necessary, Ditech
9	authorizes NAS to tender any sale proceeds in which it
11:48:10 <b>10</b>	may have an interest to the buyer at the HOA sale
11	through the end of the redemption period.
12	MR. BOHN: Which if I may inquire, what
13	pleading was that filed with and what date?
14	MS. FOLEY: It was filed with the supplemental
11:48:24 15	briefing.
16	THE COURT: Yeah.
17	MR. BOHN: There are a number of supplemental
18	briefings. I'm trying to track it down.
19	MS. FOLEY: Sorry.
11:48:29 <b>20</b>	THE COURT: This is Exhibit J to the
21	supplement that was filed on
22	MS. FOLEY: 6-15.
23	MR. THOMSON: 6-15.
24	MS. FOLEY: Exhibit I to that same
11:48:46 25	supplemental brief is the email chain among all the

11:48:50 1 interested parties. And on page 2 of that email chain at the bottom, there is an email from Mr. Bohn to 2 Mr. Yergensen who is counsel for NAS saying that, The 3 check you delivered is a check from NAS, and you typed 11:49:07 the owner's name on it. The check was supposed to come 5 from the owner and not you. And Eddie is not accepting 7 He is directing me to send it back. Therefore, if Mr. Bohn is arguing that there 8 is no evidence that a check was actually delivered, I would say this email stands in stark contrast to that 11:49:19 **10** 11 assertion. It's from him stating that there was a 12 check that was delivered that had the owner's name on 13 it. There's no issue or question raised that is this The issue is 14 the owner? Who is this person redeeming? 11:49:37 **15** just that the check was from NAS and the owner's name 16 was typed on it. 17 And if you look at page 4, there's an email from Mr. Haddad to Mr. Yergensen at NAS stating that 18 19 where he says he doesn't have to accept the checks from 11:49:54 20 NAS. The redemption must come from the prior owner or 21 bank. With that being said if NAS would like to trust the borrower and release the surplus funds and, in 22 23 turn, the borrower submits the redemption payment, than sobeit. So Mr. Haddad and Mr. Bohn's email 24 11:50:12 **25** correspondence stand in stark contrast to the argument

11:50:15 <b>1</b>	he's making today that there's no evidence of the
2	actual checks that were tendered. I obviously,
3	Ditech is not in possession of those checks at this
4	time, but we would argue that they're immaterial at
11:50:27 <b>5</b>	this point based upon these prior representations and
6	email from Saticoy Bay's managing member and its
7	counsel which unequivocally show that there was a check
8	that was tendered on January 15, 2016. Still within
9	the redemption period. The only issue being that it
11:50:44 <b>10</b>	had NAS's logo on it and did not come directly from
11	Mr. Markey, which we would also argue is immaterial
12	based upon the principle that a third party may tender
13	on behalf of the debtor.
14	MR. THOMSON: Statute doesn't say that
11:51:04 <b>15</b>	Mr. Markey has to use his own money. All of these
16	documents that are attached to the supplement were sent
17	according to our disclosure in our disclosures after
18	the JCCR.
19	THE COURT: Here's my question: Are there any
11:51:18 20	issues regarding the authenticity of these emails and
21	the like?
22	MR. BOHN: No.
23	THE COURT: Okay. And there's no factual
24	issue regarding the fact that there was a tender of a
11:51:30 <b>25</b>	check from NAS that would have satisfied the sums

11:51:36 <b>1</b>	necessary or required pursuant to the redeemed statute?
2	That's probably the best way I can say it.
3	MS. FOLEY: Correct, your Honor. The amount
4	was not disputed.
11:51:47 <b>5</b>	MR. BOHN: Again, your Honor, I they were
6	in my office for an hour. I don't have copies of them.
7	I don't know what they are. I don't know what the
8	amounts were. So I can't
9	THE COURT: So are you saying
11:51:59 <b>10</b>	MR. BOHN: I cannot stipulate or
11	THE COURT: Is that a question of fact?
12	MR. BOHN: Yes. Whether sufficient funds were
13	tendered.
14	MR. THOMSON: Your Honor, your Honor, we
11:52:07 <b>15</b>	submitted emails that show, and she's going to find the
16	exact one, that show that the amount was requested from
17	Saticoy Bay and referenced in an email with them and
18	that they acknowledged that amount. And the emails
19	also don't say the amount was insufficient. They only
11:52:30 <b>20</b>	take issue that NAS hand delivered a cashiers check
21	instead of Mr. Markey flying from Tennessee and hand
22	delivering a cashier's check. That's the thing that
23	they take issue.
24	The excess proceeds are only there because
11:52:48 <b>25</b>	Mr. Markey never missed a mortgage payment, and he

11:52:52 <b>1</b>	THE COURT: Well, that goes to the issue I was
2	talking about.
3	MR. THOMSON: Yeah.
4	THE COURT: Yeah.
11:52:55 <b>5</b>	MR. THOMSON: He continues to make payments.
6	He's never missed one. Somehow the notice was missed
7	and/or not delivered by the HOA. And they found out
8	about the foreclosure after the sale.
9	This is yes
11:53:16 <b>10</b>	MS. FOLEY: Exhibit I.
11	MR. THOMSON: This is Exhibit I. This is the
12	email from Chris Yergensen, NAS's attorney, sent to
13	Ryan O'Malley, attorney for Ditech. And copied is
14	Eddie Haddad. Also Mr. Bohn is also cc'd on this.
11:53:36 <b>15</b>	This is December 10th, 2015. So, you know, a month and
16	nine days before the right of redemption. And Chris
17	Yergensen, the attorney for NAS, is outlining the
18	amounts for their approval and letting them know that
19	they have the money in trust for the redemption amount.
11:54:01 <b>20</b>	So early, early notice.
21	There's no emails back saying this amount is
22	not correct. The reason why the redemption amount went
23	up from \$44,000, as evidenced by the other emails in
24	the chain, is simply because of the statutory interest,
11:54:18 <b>25</b>	per diem interest that had to be added on to added

11:54:23 1 on to that number. Again, at the last hearing, which was a while 2 ago, we all three, plaintiffs and defense counsel, ordered the transcript. We also shared that. 11:54:35 Plaintiff's had an opportunity to supplement if they 5 wanted, but they didn't. We've made no new argument. 7 We've just bolstered based on the Court's inquiry of us to supplement with some more distinct evidence, and 8 we've done that. 11:54:51 **10** In this case, your Honor, a layperson with a new statute working with counsel for all parties, he 11 substantially complied in the best way that he could. And again, there's been no harm. It's really sad that 13 14 he did have to hire counsel to defend what we believe 11:55:18 **15** was proper tender of funds and the intent of the statute was completely met. 16 17 No one is out of pocket. No one is harmed in 18 any way, except for Mr. Markey. And the statute -- the 19 statutory amendment was made just to benefit people in 11:55:33 20 his position, to be able to come in and try to make everything right after a sale by the HOA. MS. FOLEY: I just want to add two more 22 23 things, your Honor. One is that attached as an exhibit 24 to Mr. Markey's supplement to his joinder which was 11:56:02 **25** filed on 6-15-17 is an email from --

11:56:10 <b>1</b>	THE COURT: Which exhibit is that, ma'am?
2	MS. FOLEY: I'm sorry. It is Exhibit B.
3	MR. THOMSON: To Markey's supplement.
4	MS. FOLEY: To Markey's supplemental joinder.
11:56:20 <b>5</b>	Attached as Exhibit B is an email correspondence from
6	Chris Yergensen, dated January 20, 2016, to Mr. Bohn
7	where he states that the
8	MR. THOMSON: Hold on. It's the last page of
9	Exhibit B, your Honor.
11:56:35 <b>10</b>	THE COURT: Okay. And that's in a supplement?
11	Let me see here.
12	MS. FOLEY: It's in Mr. Markey's supplemental
13	joinder.
14	THE COURT: Okay.
11:56:58 <b>15</b>	MS. FOLEY: It's actually if you look at
16	Exhibit K to Ditech's supplemental briefs, it's
17	actually much it's a cleaner copy.
18	THE COURT: I have that.
19	MS. FOLEY: And it will better explain
11:57:07 <b>20</b>	better explain my argument. This is an email chain
21	that begins on the second page with an email from
22	Mr. Yergensen to Mr. Bohn, dated January 20, 2016, at
23	11:24 a.m. The second paragraph states that the
24	cashiers check that was sent on January 15, 2016, the
11:57:32 <b>25</b>	amount was \$50,052.16.

11:57:38 <b>1</b>	Mr. Bohn responded to Mr. Yergensen's email at
2	11:55 a.m. on January 20, where he does not make any
3	mention as to the sufficiency of the funds, but argues
4	that the excess proceeds cannot be used to satisfy the
11:57:56 <b>5</b>	redemption amount and for the first time brings up an
6	argument that notice was insufficient being that the
7	notice of redemption and lack of an accompanying
8	certified deed to the property was not included. And
9	so the parties have failed to redeem under the statute.
11:58:18 <b>10</b>	So there, again, there isn't an amount stated.
11	It's the \$50,052.16 that was delivered. Mr. Bohn takes
12	no issue with the amount that was redeemed or the
13	amount that was tendered. It's merely the form of the
14	notice and the use of excess proceeds.
11:58:38 <b>15</b>	So we would submit that there are no issues of
16	fact as to the amount that was tendered on January 15,
17	2016. Again, there were no objections or arguments
18	raised to the sufficiency of that amount.
19	Even if we were to produce a check today that
11:58:54 <b>20</b>	reflected the \$50,052.16, it would become an issue of
21	law at this point as to whether that satisfied the
22	redemption amount under the statute. There's been no
23	objection or argument to the contrary other than what
24	Mr. Bohn has set forth today.
11:59:13 <b>25</b>	THE COURT: I have one last question for both

```
11:59:14 1 of you.
                    And I'm taking a look at NRS 116.31166(3) --
            (4) which goes through what's the requirement for
            redemption. And here's my question: Is there a
            penalty provision in the statute as it relates to the
11:59:43
            failure to strictly comply with the requirements?
         5
            I'll give you an example. We're a construction defect
         6
         7
            department. And there's -- in Chapter 40 cases, if you
            fail to give a prelitigation Chapter 40 notice, in the
         8
            statute there's a penalty provision that discusses in
12:00:12 10
            detail as to what happens by the failure to give a
            Chapter 40 notice.
        11
        12
                     So I'm looking at it from this perspective,
            under Chapter 116, if the attempted redemption isn't
        13
        14
            strictly followed, does the statute set forth what the
12:00:29 15
            penalties would be for that?
                     MR. BOHN: Your Honor, the concept of
        16
        17
            redemption is, again, from the common law. And you
        18
           have the right to redeem up to a certain point, and if
        19
            you don't redeem by that point, then you lose your
12:00:50 20
            rights.
        21
                     THE COURT:
                                 No. I'm not talking about the
        22
            time period.
        23
                     MR. BOHN:
                                Okay.
        24
                     THE COURT:
                                 I'm talking about substantial --
12:00:54 25
           you know, time is different because we're not -- no one
```

12:01:00 1 is saying that the attempted -- the attempt to redeem in this case was untimely based upon the time period; 2 right? It was -- the attempt was made during the 3 appropriate statutory time period. 12:01:13 The position being taken by your client is essentially this, number one, it didn't come directly 6 from the prior owner. Secondly, there wasn't certified 7 copy of the deed; right? 8 MR. BOHN: Correct. 9 12:01:28 10 THE COURT: And then there might be one other issue there. So I get that. But I'm focusing on, not 11 the time component. I'm talking about, say 13 hypothetically, if there's no original or certified 14 copy of the deed of trust accompanying the attempt to 12:01:51 **15** redeem, is there anything in the statute that discusses what happens under those circumstances? That's my 16 17 question. MR. BOHN: I don't believe that the statute 18 19 merely requires the person to tender the funds, notice 12:02:01 20 of redemption, and assert a copy of the deed or the 21 deed of trust. 22 And the statute uses the word must, which means it's mandatory. And if they fail to do so, then 24 they don't have the right to redeem. It's not in the statute, but it's a logical reading of the statute. 12:02:16 **25** 

12:02:24 <b>1</b>	THE COURT: I understand.
2	MR. THOMSON: Your Honor, we did we did
3	argue this issue about must. And if we look at the
4	section your Honor referenced first of all, to
12:02:33 5	answer your question, there is no there is no
6	penalty in that provision of 116.31166(4). And the
7	word must is the very first part of the section where
8	it says notice of redemption must be served, which was
9	done. Must be served by the person redeeming the unit.
12:02:53 <b>10</b>	THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.
11	MR. THOMSON: So it's a little bit out of
12	context, we believe, to say that the word must is
13	specifically applies to the certified copy of the deed
14	to the unit. It doesn't say that. It doesn't say
12:03:08 15	must, must, must.
16	THE COURT: The must is a notice of
17	redemption.
18	MR. THOMSON: That's what it says. Must be
19	served. And it was
12:03:15 <b>20</b>	THE COURT: Together with.
21	MR. THOMSON: done. Together with. And
22	there's no question in this case if we apply strict
23	versus strict substantial compliance versus
24	strict compliance, they've substantially complied.
12:03:31 25	There is no question. Mr. Markey has been the record

12:03:36 1 owner, despite what Saticoy Bay says that -- they're using a reference to the old statute where immediately 2 3 upon sale, a deed from that sale is conveyed to the purchaser. That no longer is the case. 12:03:54 It's -- NAS holds off for 60 days to see what happens with the redemption. And so no deed -- as a 6 7 matter of fact they tried, your Honor -- Saticoy tried a couple times without a court order to evict my client 8 from the property. And we had to have a go around with 12:04:12 **10** And I said, Look, you don't have title. 11 showed the sheriff the title, and we also showed the 12 sheriff the redemption issues and these emails showing 13 that until the redemption period had expired, there 14 would be no conveyance to the purchaser. And the 12:04:31 **15** sheriff said I can't proceed with foreclosure. So -- I mean, sorry. With the eviction, with the summary 16 eviction. 17 So we have substantial compliance. There's no 18 19 question that my client was always the owner of the 12:04:45 20 property and still is actually to this day. Unless your Honor says that he didn't redeem, in which case, you know, we might have a trial on whether or not the, 22 23 you know, if there are factual issues. 24 But I don't believe there are any factual 12:04:59 **25** issues, your Honor. And as a matter of law, Ditech's

```
12:05:03 1 motion and our joinder should be granted.
                     MS. FOLEY: I'll be brief, your Honor.
         2
            just wanted to add on to what Mr. Thomson had stated.
            They -- there are no specific provisions that impose
12:05:25
            some sort of sanction or any sort of punishment for not
         5
            providing a certified copy of a deed. But the
         7
           NRS Chapter 116 statutory scheme also needs to be read
            as a whole. And at 116.1113 --
         8
         9
                     THE COURT: Let me follow it, because I just
12:05:52 10
           had Chapter 116 open.
        11
                     MR. THOMSON:
                                   Sure.
        12
                     THE COURT:
                                 1113.
        13
                     MS. FOLEY: Yes, your Honor. And this is
        14
            under the 2015 amendments. It states that every
12:06:06 15
            contract or duty governed by this chapter imposes an
            obligation of good faith in its performance and
        16
            enforcement.
        17
                     The next one, 1114, provides that the remedies
        18
           provided in this chapter must be liberally administered
        19
12:06:20 20
           to the end that the aggrieved party is put in as good a
            position as if the other party had fully performed.
        22
                     And in reading those in context with the
        23
            redemption statute, it further underlines the
            legislative intent of balancing these competing
        24
12:06:37 25
           interests of making parties whole, not of providing
```

12:06:41 <b>1</b>	unwarranted windfalls to Saticoy Bay who sat on these
2	facts and waited until the redemption period was over
3	to say, Well, you failed in your redemption because you
4	didn't provide the proper type of notice and the
12:06:59 <b>5</b>	which includes the certified copy of the deed.
6	At no time in the 60 days before that point,
7	even when Saticoy Bay knew that Mr. Markey intended to
8	redeem, did anyone say, Hey, we need a certified copy
9	of the deed. Hey, we don't think your notice is
12:07:17 <b>10</b>	sufficient.
11	The notice was sufficient because it was
12	the purpose of the notice was fulfilled. And that is
13	supported by the Nevada Supreme Court's decision in
14	MR. THOMSON: Those are all these are all
12:07:37 15	in the brief, by the way, your Honor, in Ditech's
16	supplement.
17	MS. FOLEY: They are. They are in the brief.
18	In Leyva versus National Default Servicing Corporation,
19	the Nevada Supreme Court stated.
12:07:48 <b>20</b>	Where the purpose of the notice
21	requirements is fulfilled, but not necessarily
22	in a manner technically compliant with all the
23	terms of the statute, this Court has found such
24	substantial compliance to satisfy the statute.
12:08:02 <b>25</b>	So, therefore, it would stand to reason that

12:08:07 <b>1</b>	notice is subject to substantial compliance
2	requirements. Here, the notice of intent to redeem was
3	provided to Saticoy Bay within the requisite time
4	period. The purpose for providing a certified copy of
12:08:24 <b>5</b>	the deed is to confirm that the person attempting to
6	redeem has the authority to redeem.
7	Here there was in issue of Mr. Markey's
8	authority to redeem. There is no issue raised. And in
9	reviewing the grantor grantee index, there's no issue
12:08:42 10	that could be brought up based upon the recorded
11	documents because Mr. Markey purchased this property as
12	a new build and has owned it ever since that time, up
13	until and through the HOA foreclosure sale. So there's
14	no one else that would come in and have a competing
12:09:00 <b>15</b>	ability to redeem with the exception of a deed of trust
16	holder. But as the former owner of the unit,
17	Mr. Markey was entitled to redeem.
18	So the purpose of that notice requirement has
19	been fulfilled. Saticoy Bay was notified of the intent
12:09:14 20	to redeem. And there is no issue as to Mr. Markey's
21	authority to redeem. Therefore, Mr. Markey
22	substantially complied with the notice requirement, and
23	he should be found to have redeemed as a matter of law.
24	THE COURT: All right. This is what I'm going
12:09:38 <b>25</b>	to do: Regarding Ditech Financial LLC's motion for

12:10:05 1 summary judgment and defendant James P. Markey's joinder to the motion for summary judgment as it 2 relates to the redemption in this matter, I'm going to grant summary judgment. And the reason why I'm going 12:10:22 to grant it is essentially this. And I looked at the 5 totality of the circumstances, number one. 6 7 Number two, you have a layperson. And it's clear based upon my looking at the legislative history, 8 9 the purpose of the statute was to -- and I think I 12:10:37 **10** discussed this a little earlier at the last hearing. 11 We don't want anyone attempting to redeem to have to go 12 out and hire a lawyer to perfect strict compliance to the statute. Number one. 13 14 Secondly, this is going to be my ruling. 12:11:00 **15** technical violation as it relates to the failure to present a certified copy of the deed of trust, I'm 16 17 going to rule is not fatal because under the facts and 18 circumstances of this case, you have substantial 19 compliance with the statute. 12:11:20 20 Just as important too, I'm not going to read into the statute as far as where the funds come from 22 when it comes to the attempt to redeem; right? 23 appears to me based upon the record there was no 24 dispute about the funds, where they came from. 12:11:36 **25** the end of the day the funds were, I guess, the excess

```
12:11:39
         1 as a result of the sale. That's my next decision
         2
            there.
         3
                     Regarding the transmittal of the funds, I
            think that was an issue that was raised also.
                                                            I mean,
12:12:01
            under the facts of this case, I guess, it could be
            inferred based upon the fact that NAS performed the
            sale, I think it's reasonable that a layperson would go
         7
         8
            to the entity or individual performing the sale to
            attempt to redeem. That's -- I mean, it just makes
12:12:22 10
                    Who else are they going to contact?
        11
                     And just as important too, when you look at it
            from the redemption standpoint, NAS didn't say, Look,
        13
            we can't act as the agent on behalf of Saticoy Bay.
        14
            No.
                 They -- whether they had an actual agency or not,
12:12:41 15
            they were the apparent agent based upon the conduct;
            right? And I'm not going to penalize the homeowner for
        16
            that. I'm not.
        17
                     And so I'm just looking at the case in total.
        18
        19
            I just -- to me, it appears that if I rule to the
12:12:56 20
            contrary, it would be adverse to all homeowners that
        21
            are attempting to redeem within the statutory time
            period. And also, it would -- it would -- that
        22
        23
            decision would be adverse to the intent of the statute.
        24
            So I'm not requiring strict compliance.
12:13:21 25
                     Under of the facts of this case, it's my
```

```
12:13:23 1 | ruling there's been substantial compliance to the
            statute based upon the facts and circumstances.
         2
                                                              Does
         3
            everybody understand that? That's my decision.
         4
                     All right.
12:13:34
                     MS. FOLEY: Thank you, your Honor.
         5
         6
                     MR. BOHN: In doing so, I presume you are
         7
            denying the countermotion for summary judgment in favor
            of my client.
         8
         9
                     THE COURT:
                                 Yes.
12:13:42 10
                     MS. FOLEY:
                                 There was no countermotion.
        11
                     MR. THOMSON:
                                   I don't think there was.
        12
                     THE COURT: If there was, I would be denying
            it.
        13
        14
                     MR. BOHN:
                                I think my opposition was called
12:13:48 15
            opposition and countermotion.
                                 Yeah, I think so. But I'm
        16
                     THE COURT:
        17
            granting Ditech and the joinder.
        18
                     MS. FOLEY:
                                 Okay.
        19
                     THE COURT:
                                 All right.
12:13:55 20
                     MS. FOLEY:
                                 Ditech is happy to prepare the
        21
           order, your Honor.
        22
                     THE COURT:
                                 Prepare an order and make -- put
            in findings of fact conclusions of law. And don't
            limit the proposed findings of facts conclusions of law
        24
12:14:05 25
           to everything I discussed in open Court.
                                                       It's inferred
```

```
12:14:08 1 in my decision that I relied upon other parts of the
         2
            record too. So prepare it for my review. Make sure
            Mr. Bohn has a copy of it. And then if you can't
            agree, submit your separate orders.
12:14:20
                     MS. FOLEY:
                                  Okay.
          6
                     THE COURT:
                                  Okay.
         7
                     MS. FOLEY:
                                  Thank you, your Honor.
          8
                     IN UNISON:
                                  Thank you.
          9
                     THE COURT:
                                  Everyone, enjoy your day.
12:14:26 10
                                  You too.
                     IN UNISON:
        11
         12
                           (Proceedings were concluded.)
        13
        14
        15
        16
        17
        18
        19
         20
        21
        22
         23
        24
         25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
3	:SS COUNTY OF CLARK)
4	I, PEGGY ISOM, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER DO
5	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I TOOK DOWN IN STENOTYPE ALL OF THE
6	PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE BEFORE-ENTITLED MATTER AT THE
7	TIME AND PLACE INDICATED, AND THAT THEREAFTER SAID
8	STENOTYPE NOTES WERE TRANSCRIBED INTO TYPEWRITING AT
9	AND UNDER MY DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION AND THE
10	FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT CONSTITUTES A FULL, TRUE AND
11	ACCURATE RECORD TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY OF THE
12	PROCEEDINGS HAD.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SUBSCRIBED
14	MY NAME IN MY OFFICE IN THE COUNTY OF CLARK, STATE OF
15	NEVADA.
16	
17	<u>/s/ Peggy Isom</u> PEGGY ISOM, RMR, CCR 541
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	15/10	C42 2442 F41 2/0	24/0 20/15 20/17	20/22
	15/18	<b>642-3113 [1]</b> 2/9	24/9 29/15 29/17	39/22
IN UNISON: [3]	116.31166 [2]	<b>6757 [1]</b> 2/17	34/20	although [1] 16/4
4/7 41/7 41/9	31/1 33/6	7	adage [1] 16/13	<b>always [2]</b> 16/13
MR. BOHN: [31]	<b>11:21 [1]</b> 4/2		add [3] 8/13 28/22	34/19
4/14 4/18 5/19 5/23	11:24 a.m [1]	<b>702 [4]</b> 2/9 2/19	35/3	amendment [3]
13/22 14/5 16/18	29/23	2/20 3/8	added [2] 27/25	7/14 12/7 28/19
17/14 18/3 18/8	<b>11:55 a.m [1]</b> 30/2	8	27/25	amendments [3]
	<b>120 [1]</b> 3/6		addition [1] 5/10	6/9 7/24 35/14
18/12 18/14 20/4	<b>12965 [1]</b> 6/3	<b>89074 [1]</b> 3/7	address [1] 5/10	among [1] 23/25
20/10 21/6 21/11	<b>15 [7]</b> 9/25 11/12	<b>89119 [1]</b> 2/18	addressing [1] 9/4	amount [25] 7/1
21/13 21/15 22/10	23/22 23/23 25/8	<b>89121 [1]</b> 2/8	administered [1]	7/4 10/24 10/25
23/11 23/16 25/21	29/24 30/16		35/19	11/2 12/15 15/14
26/4 26/9 26/11	<b>16 [1]</b> 1/3	9	adopt [1] 19/2	15/15 15/17 20/23
31/15 31/22 32/8	<b>164 [1]</b> 18/6	<b>90 [1]</b> 12/6	adopted [1] 18/18	26/3 26/16 26/18
32/17 40/5 40/13	<b>19 [2]</b> 6/18 10/1	<b>9050 [2]</b> 1/9 4/13	adverse [2] 39/20	26/19 27/19 27/21
MR. MARKEY: [1]				
6/4	<b>1918 [1]</b> 18/6	:	39/23	27/22 29/25 30/5
MR. THOMSON:	2	:SS [1] 42/2	after [7] 7/24	30/10 30/12 30/13
<b>[20]</b> 4/22 5/1 5/16			15/23 16/5 17/2	30/16 30/18 30/22
5/25 11/17 23/22	<b>20 [6]</b> 1/21 4/1	A	25/17 27/8 28/21	amounts [2] 26/8
25/13 26/13 27/2	6/16 29/6 29/22	A-16-730623-C [1]	afterward [1]	27/18
27/4 27/10 29/2	30/2	1/1	18/18	<b>ample [1]</b> 9/22
29/7 33/1 33/10	<b>2015 [6]</b> 6/9 6/16	<b>a.m [3]</b> 4/2 29/23	again [9] 10/1	and/or [1] 27/7
33/17 33/20 35/10	7/14 7/20 27/15		13/24 15/7 26/5	another [1] 21/10
36/13 40/10	35/14	30/2	28/2 28/13 30/10	<b>answer [1]</b> 33/5
	<b>2016 [7]</b> 6/18 9/25	<b>Aaron [1]</b> 7/13	30/17 31/17	anticipate [1]
MS. FOLEY: [28]	25/8 29/6 29/22	<b>ability [2]</b> 37/15	against [1] 18/17	20/20
4/15 4/19 5/18 6/2	29/24 30/17	42/11	agency [1] 39/14	anticipated [1] 5/6
6/7 22/12 23/1	<b>2017 [2]</b> 1/21 4/1	able [1] 28/20	agent [4] 10/20	anxiously [1] 22/9
23/13 23/18 23/21	<b>2079 [2]</b> 1/9 4/13	<b>abound [1]</b> 18/25	11/7 39/13 39/15	any [24] 8/16 8/24
23/23 26/2 27/9	<b>2450 [1]</b> 3/5	<b>about [10]</b> 6/12	aggrieved [1]	9/14 10/12 10/14
28/21 29/1 29/3	<b>2919 [1]</b> 3/8	12/24 15/2 27/2	35/20	11/10 13/18 14/7
29/11 29/14 29/18	2717[1] 3/0	27/8 31/21 31/24	ago [1] 28/3	
35/1 35/12 36/16	3	32/12 33/3 38/24		15/6 15/8 15/9
40/4 40/9 40/17	<b>3113 [1]</b> 2/9	absolutely [1]	agree [3] 16/12	15/21 15/24 17/8
40/19 41/4 41/6	3113 [1] 2/9	17/22	21/16 41/4	17/18 22/8 23/3
THE COURT: [58]	4	abstractly [1]	agreement [5]	23/7 23/9 25/19
THE LAW CLERK:	<b>40 [3]</b> 31/7 31/8	18/21	15/5 15/8 15/10	28/18 30/2 34/24
[ <b>1</b> ] 4/10		accept [1] 24/19	16/23 22/23	35/5
[-] 1/10	31/11	accepted [2] 19/9	ahead [3] 5/22	anyone [3] 11/9
\$	<b>42 [1]</b> 18/5		14/5 15/10	36/8 38/11
<b>\$44,000 [1]</b> 27/23	<b>4520 [1]</b> 2/6	19/9	ain't [1] 14/11	anyone's [1] 14/8
\$50,052.16 [3]	<b>476-0100 [1]</b> 2/19	accepting [4] 1//8	al [1] 4/14	anything [2] 21/7
	<b>476-0101 [1]</b> 2/20		all [28] 4/6 4/6	32/15
29/25 30/11 30/20	5	accompanying [2]	4/22 5/1 5/18 7/15	apparent [1] 39/15
1		30/7 32/14	8/1 8/6 8/15 8/20	appearance [1]
/	<b>541 [2]</b> 1/24 42/17		9/17 11/8 14/17	5/23
/s [1] 42/17	<b>56 [3]</b> 12/12 13/19	25/17	14/20 17/16 23/25	appearances [3]
0	14/3	ACCURATE [1]	25/15 28/3 28/11	2/1 3/1 5/21
	<b>5802 [1]</b> 6/1	42/11	33/4 36/14 36/14	appeared [1]
<b>0100 [1]</b> 2/19		acknowledge [1]		
<b>0101 [1]</b> 2/20	6	14/11	36/22 37/24 39/20	10/25
1	<b>6-15 [2]</b> 23/22	acknowledged [1]	40/4 40/19 42/5	appearing [1] 4/24
	23/23	26/18	allow [1] 11/20	<b>appears [3]</b> 19/23
<b>10th [1]</b> 27/15	6-15-17 is [1]	act [1] 39/13	alone [2] 15/2	38/23 39/19
<b>1113 [1]</b> 35/12	28/25	acting [1] 11/6	17/24	<b>applies [1]</b> 33/13
<b>1114 [1]</b> 35/18	<b>60 [5]</b> 16/10 17/17	action [1] 19/22	<b>also [15]</b> 4/24 8/13	
<b>116 [3]</b> 31/13 35/7			11/20 13/12 13/18	33/22
35/10	20/8 34/5 36/6	actual [3] 10/18	17/4 25/11 26/19	appropriate [1]
<b>116.1113 [1]</b> 35/8	<b>60-day [2]</b> 11/21	25/2 39/14	27/14 27/14 28/4	32/4
116.31164 [1]	16/5	actually [9] 6/9	34/11 35/7 39/4	appropriately [1]
	<b>637-2919 [1]</b> 3/8	7/3 9/2 18/10 20/15		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
		<u> </u>		
	Pé	eggy Isom, CCR 541, RM	ık (1) İN	UNISON: - appropriately

	T			
A	23/4 23/10 24/2	25/12 28/7 32/2	28/12 42/11	came [2] 7/24
appropriately [1]	24/17 24/18 25/3	37/10 38/8 38/23	<b>better [2]</b> 29/19	38/24
23/5	25/4 28/2 29/15	39/6 39/15 40/2	29/20	<b>can [11]</b> 5/14 7/12
approval [1] 27/18	29/22 30/1 30/21	<b>basically [1]</b> 13/9	<b>between [6]</b> 7/16	9/3 10/11 11/3
April [1] 7/20	31/1 31/12 33/3	<b>BAY [26]</b> 1/9 4/6	10/15 13/14 15/5	11/24 16/16 16/24
<b>April 7 [1]</b> 7/20	35/8 36/6 38/5 38/8	4/13 5/25 6/14 6/24		17/13 18/16 26/2
are [23] 14/8	38/10 38/24 39/11	7/5 8/15 9/19 9/23	<b>bid [1]</b> 12/4	can't [8] 14/16
16/10 17/12 19/1	39/18 42/6 42/8	10/17 10/19 11/1	<b>bill [1]</b> 7/19	14/20 16/18 20/23
19/7 19/8 23/17	atmosphere [1]	11/8 11/11 11/20	<b>bit [1]</b> 33/11	26/8 34/15 39/13
25/16 25/19 26/7	13/13	12/4 12/20 13/24	<b>BOHN [17]</b> 2/4 2/5	41/3
26/9 26/24 30/15	<b>attached [4]</b> 14/8	26/17 34/1 36/1	5/24 8/11 13/22	cannot [5] 16/14
34/23 34/24 35/4	25/16 28/23 29/5	36/7 37/3 37/19	13/24 22/13 22/20	18/17 18/18 26/10
36/14 36/14 36/17	attacking [2]	39/13	24/2 24/8 27/14	30/4
36/17 39/10 39/21	18/22 19/7	<b>Bay's [4]</b> 6/20 8/10		care [2] 20/11
40/6	attempt [6] 17/14	13/4 25/6	30/11 30/24 41/3	20/12
argue [5] 8/21	32/1 32/3 32/14	be [46]	<b>Bohn's [1]</b> 24/24	case [15] 1/1 6/8
10/10 25/4 25/11	38/22 39/9	<b>bearing [1]</b> 10/2	BOHNLAWFIRM.C	11/23 12/9 13/2
33/3	attempted [2]	<b>because [18]</b> 5/14		18/4 28/10 32/2
argued [2] 20/20	31/13 32/1		<b>bolstered</b> [1] 28/7	33/22 34/4 34/21
22/14	attempting [4] 9/2		<b>books [2]</b> 18/25	38/18 39/5 39/18
argues [1] 30/3	37/5 38/11 39/21	18/7 22/5 26/24	19/11	39/25
arguing [2] 12/24	attorney [8] 6/1	27/24 31/25 35/9	borrower [4] 15/5	cases [3] 14/2 19/1
24/8	12/21 13/4 13/5	36/3 36/11 37/11	15/6 24/22 24/23	31/7
argument [13] 5/3	13/5 27/12 27/13	38/17	both [2] 18/17	cashier [1] 12/14
5/7 13/10 17/5 17/7	2//1/	become [1] 30/20	30/25	cashier's [1] 26/22
17/25 18/3 19/19	authenticity [1]	been [9] 5/5 10/14		cashiers [5] 7/3
24/25 28/6 29/20	25/20	12/7 13/19 28/13	breathing [1] 20/8	
30/6 30/23	authority [7] 9/3	30/22 33/25 37/19	brief [7] 6/14 7/11	29/24
arguments [2]	9/9 9/10 9/14 37/6	40/1	7/12 23/25 35/2	cc'd [1] 27/14
22/15 30/17	37/8 37/21	BEFOLEY [1] 2/21	36/15 36/17	CCR [2] 1/24 42/17
around [1] 34/9	authorization [1]	<b>before [6]</b> 1/18	briefing [3] 7/8	certain [4] 9/5 9/8
arrangement [1]	23/8	16/10 17/17 27/16	22/17 23/15	21/2 31/18
10/14	authorized [1] 9/2	36/6 42/6		certainly [1] 14/10
as [54]	authorizes [1]	BEFORE-ENTITLED		certificate [2] 14/9
aside [3] 9/14	23/9	<b>[1]</b> 42/6	<b>BRIGETTE [2]</b> 2/16	
21/13 21/15	authorizing [1]	began [1] 7/23	6/3	certified [23] 6/22
ask [2] 6/18 11/13	22/20	beginning [1]	bring [2] 9/5 9/8	6/23 8/23 8/25 9/12
asked [1] 7/7	available [2] 10/24		brings [1] 30/5	9/20 12/18 13/2
assert [2] 22/4	16/11	begins [1] 29/21	brought [1] 37/10	14/11 14/14 14/19 14/23 21/25 30/8
32/20	avoid [1] 19/1	<b>behalf [2]</b> 25/13 39/13	<b>build [1]</b> 37/12 <b>business [1]</b> 12/3	32/7 32/13 33/13
assertion [1]	avoiding [1] 19/5 await [1] 22/9	<b>behind [2]</b> 6/12	but [35] 5/8 11/8	35/6 36/5 36/8 37/4
24/11	await [1] 22/9	8/5	14/4 14/6 14/7 16/9	
<b>asset [1]</b> 21/3	В	being [4] 24/21	16/16 16/17 16/19	CERTIFY [1] 42/5
assignment [1]	back [5] 13/14	25/9 30/6 32/5	17/1 17/10 17/21	cetera [2] 9/11
15/9	15/16 22/3 24/7	believe [5] 22/19	18/17 19/10 17/21	16/8
assignments [1]	27/21	28/14 32/18 33/12	19/12 19/13 19/21	chain [4] 23/25
8/25	<b>bad [1]</b> 18/23	34/24	19/25 20/11 20/25	24/1 27/24 29/20
ASSOCIATES [1]	balance [2] 7/15	belong [1] 16/20	21/8 21/19 21/20	chains [1] 13/6
3/3	7/16	belonged [2]	25/4 28/6 30/3	chance [2] 11/22
ASSOCIATION [3]	balancing [2] 8/1	20/14 20/15	32/11 32/25 34/24	12/8
1/12 4/14 7/2	35/24	belongs [3] 16/20	35/2 35/6 36/21	chapter [8] 31/7
assume [2] 21/22	bank [3] 17/19	16/22 17/19	37/16 40/16	31/8 31/11 31/13
21/23	17/19 24/21	<b>beneficiary [1]</b> 9/7		35/7 35/10 35/15
at [39] 6/15 9/17	banks [1] 7/17	benefit [2] 22/24	23/6 23/10	35/19
10/25 11/4 11/8	bar [1] 6/1	28/19		Chapter 40 [1]
11/11 13/3 13/5	bargain [1] 13/10	benefits [1] 19/4	С	31/7
14/1 14/24 16/21	based [13] 17/24	best [4] 5/13 26/2	calculated [1] 12/4	check [21] 7/3
17/12 20/19 22/16	19/13 20/21 25/5		called [1] 40/14	
	' '			
	İ	İ	İ	İ

C	19/19 28/12 33/24	36/18	33/13 34/3 34/6	8/16
<u>C</u>	37/22	correct [7] 4/14	35/6 36/5 36/9 37/5	dispute [2] 12/12
<b>check [20]</b> 9/24	comply [3] 21/8	4/15 4/16 21/17	37/15 38/16	38/24
10/2 10/2 10/4	21/19 31/5	26/3 27/22 32/9	deeds [3] 8/25	disputed [1] 26/4
12/14 12/16 21/22	component [1]	correctly [1] 20/12		disregard [1]
21/23 24/4 24/4	32/12	correspondence	<b>Default [1]</b> 36/18	14/20
24/5 24/9 24/12		[ <b>2</b> ] 24/25 29/5	defect [1] 31/6	distinct [1] 28/8
24/15 25/7 25/25	concept [1] 31/16			
26/20 26/22 29/24	concern [2] 9/13	could [6] 18/10	defend [1] 28/14	distribution [2]
30/19	10/16	20/20 22/23 28/12	defendant [5] 1/13	
<b>checks [5]</b> 14/9	concerned [1]	37/10 39/5	2/13 6/2 6/5 38/1	<b>DISTRICT [2]</b> 1/6
14/18 24/19 25/2	19/22	counsel [10] 8/11	defense [1] 28/3	1/19
25/3	concluded [1]	15/4 22/19 22/19	delivered [9] 7/3	Ditech [21] 6/4 6/
Chris [3] 27/12	41/12	23/3 24/3 25/7 28/3		8/13 10/14 10/15
27/16 29/6	conclusions [2]	28/11 28/14	24/9 24/12 26/20	10/22 11/15 12/9
circumstances [4]	40/23 40/24	countermotion [3]	27/7 30/11	15/4 15/5 15/9
32/16 38/6 38/18	conditions [1]	40/7 40/10 40/15	delivering [1]	15/10 16/22 22/14
40/2	10/24	COUNTY [3] 1/7	26/22	23/3 23/8 25/3
cite [3] 17/9 18/1	<b>conduct</b> [1] 39/15	42/3 42/14	deny [1] 17/23	27/13 37/25 40/17
18/4	confirm [1] 37/5	couple [2] 5/3 34/8		40/20
Civil [1] 14/3	conjunction [1]	course [2] 18/18	40/12	Ditech's [8] 8/5
claim [5] 14/16	8/4	18/19	department [1]	13/5 15/7 22/18
15/22 17/9 18/16	considered [1]	<b>court [15]</b> 1/6 1/19		23/3 29/16 34/25
19/2	18/21	4/25 5/9 5/9 6/19	<b>DEPT [1]</b> 1/3	36/15
claims [3] 6/25 7/5	CONSTITUTES [1]	7/25 9/4 11/13 14/2		<b>do [10]</b> 5/11 5/13
15/4	42/10	18/18 34/8 36/19	34/1	9/22 13/8 14/4 14/7
clarifying [1]	construction [3]	36/23 <del>4</del> 0/25	<b>detail [1]</b> 31/10	18/17 32/23 37/25
13/17	8/18 19/18 31/6	Court's [2] 28/7	<b>dialogue [1]</b> 13/14	
<b>CLARK [3]</b> 1/7 42/3	contact [1] 39/10	36/13	<b>did [8]</b> 8/22 10/5	<b>DOCKET [1]</b> 1/2
42/14	content [1] //9	<b>cuts [1]</b> 18/22	22/1 25/10 28/14	documents [4] 9/5
cleaner [1] 29/17	context [2] 33/12	D	33/2 33/2 36/8	9/8 25/16 37/11
clear [3] 11/19	35/22		didn't [14] 11/24	does [4] 17/14
16/6 38/8	continuation [1]	date [1] 23/13	20/13 20/13 20/24	30/2 31/14 40/2
client [11] 5/14	5/15	dated [3] 1/21	21/11 21/11 21/15	doesn't [8] 15/15
13/7 13/17 15/3	CONTINUED [1]	29/6 29/22	21/19 21/24 28/6	16/16 16/17 18/7
22/3 23/6 23/8 32/5	3/1	day [8] 6/17 10/1	32/6 34/21 36/4	24/19 25/14 33/14
34/8 34/19 40/8	<b>continues</b> [1] 27/5	11/21 16/5 16/14	39/12	33/14
client's [1] 22/2	<b>contract [1]</b> 35/15	34/20 38/25 41/9	diem [1] 27/25	doing [2] 22/6
come [9] 14/22	contrary [3] 19/24	days [ <b>7</b> ] 12/6	<b>different [3]</b> 19/20	
21/2 24/5 24/20	30/23 39/20	16/10 17/17 20/8	22/22 31/25	don't [23] 14/7
25/10 28/20 32/6	contrast [2] 24/10	27/16 34/5 36/6	directing [1] 24/7	
37/14 38/21	24/25	<b>debtor [2]</b> 11/3	DIRECTION [1]	14/22 16/12 16/20
comes [1] 38/22	conveyance [4]	25/13	42/9	17/1 20/6 21/7 26/6
comments [1] 5/6	18/16 19/3 19/4	debtor's [1] 11/4	<b>directly [3]</b> 10/5	26/7 26/7 26/19
common [4] 18/13	34/14	December [1]	25/10 32/6	31/19 32/18 32/24
19/13 19/24 31/17	<b>conveyed</b> [1] 34/3	27/15	disaffirm [1] 18/16	
Company [1] 18/5	cooperate [1] 13/7	<b>decided [1]</b> 20/8	disagree [2] 20/6	38/11 40/11 40/23
competing [3]	copied [1] 27/13	decision [8] 7/24	21/7	<b>done [7]</b> 5/5 7/10
4/17 35/24 37/14	copies [3] 8/25	12/3 22/9 36/13	disbursement [1]	12/7 13/2 28/9 33/9
completely [1]	14/8 26/6	39/1 39/23 40/3	23/4	33/21
28/16	copy [23] 6/22	41/1	disclosure [1]	down [2] 23/18
compliance [11]	6/23 8/23 9/12 9/20	decisions [1] 9/4	25/17	42/5
8/20 19/19 33/23	9/20 14/9 14/11	declaration [1]	disclosures [1]	<b>drafters</b> [1] 7/13
33/24 34/18 36/24	14/14 14/19 14/23	13/17	25/17	<b>drafting [1]</b> 7/24
37/1 38/12 38/19	21/25 29/17 32/8	deed [26] 6/23	discussed [2]	during [6] 6/11
39/24 40/1	32/14 32/20 33/13	8/23 9/8 9/13 9/20	38/10 40/25	8/15 9/20 9/23
compliant [1]	35/6 36/5 36/8 37/4		<b>discusses [2]</b> 31/9	11/21 32/3
36/22	38/16 41/3	14/19 14/23 17/3	32/15	<b>duty [1]</b> 35/15
complied [5] 14/17	Corporation [1]	21/25 30/8 32/8	discussions [1]	
Compueu [5] 14/1/		32/14 32/20 32/21		
		eggy Isom CCD 541 DN		(3) check - eac

E	16/8	30/16 34/7 39/6	forth [3] 13/14	ground [1] 18/12
E				
earlier [1] 38/10	even [9] 7/23	40/23	30/24 31/14	<b>GSE's [1]</b> 7/18
early [2] 27/20	10/25 11/25 12/24	<b>facts [6]</b> 36/2	<b>forwarded [2]</b> 8/9	<b>guess [5]</b> 4/9
27/20	12/25 16/10 21/21	38/17 39/5 39/25	12/20	20/18 21/5 38/25
Eddie [3] 8/10 24/6	30/19 36/7	40/2 40/24	found [3] 27/7	39/5
	event [1] 14/7	factual [4] 6/14	36/23 37/23	
27/14	ever [1] 37/12	25/23 34/23 34/24	frame [2] 8/7 9/25	Н
<b>either [2]</b> 18/15	every [1] 35/14	fail [2] 31/8 32/23	frantic [1] 17/4	had [23] 5/2 5/14
18/16		failed [6] 6/22	fulfilled [4] 8/19	6/11 9/14 9/21 10/2
<b>election [1]</b> 18/15	everybody [3]			
<b>elects [1]</b> 18/21	18/23 20/8 40/3	6/23 7/5 22/14 30/9		11/11 12/7 16/23
<b>else [4]</b> 11/9 18/24	<b>everyone [2]</b> 17/6	36/3	<b>full [2]</b> 23/2 42/10	19/7 20/21 24/12
37/14 39/10	41/9	fails [1] 8/22	fully [2] 13/7 35/21	25/10 27/25 28/5
email [22] 8/16	everything [5]	failure [3] 31/5	<b>funds [17]</b> 10/15	34/9 34/13 35/3
	12/11 13/8 13/15	31/10 38/15	10/20 11/2 11/8	35/10 35/21 39/14
12/25 13/6 13/12	28/21 40/25	fair [1] 20/22	20/2 22/21 22/23	42/6 42/12
16/23 22/18 22/20	evict [1] 34/8	faith [2] 13/7	23/4 24/22 26/12	<b>Haddad [4]</b> 8/10
22/25 23/25 24/1	eviction [2] 34/16	35/16	28/15 30/3 32/19	24/18 24/24 27/14
24/2 24/10 24/17	34/17			hand [2] 26/20
24/24 25/6 26/17	,	<b>far [3]</b> 5/9 19/21	38/21 38/24 38/25	26/21
27/12 28/25 29/5	evidence [8] 9/9	38/21	39/3	
29/20 29/21 30/1	12/13 13/18 17/22	<b>fatal [1]</b> 38/17	further [2] 22/8	hands [1] 15/25
emails [6] 25/20	22/15 24/9 25/1	<b>favor [3]</b> 11/15	35/23	<b>happened</b> [1] 11/6
	28/8	15/3 40/7	Furthermore [3]	happens [5] 17/11
26/15 26/18 27/21	evidenced [1]	felt [1] 7/25	9/19 10/12 11/2	21/4 31/10 32/16
27/23 34/12	27/23	filed [4] 23/13		34/6
<b>enacted [1]</b> 6/13	<b>exact [1]</b> 26/16	23/14 23/21 28/25	G	happy [2] 5/11
encumbering [1]	exactly [1] 8/14	Financial [2] 6/4	gave [1] 20/14	40/20
9/15	example [1] 31/6	37/25	get [5] 11/24 15/16	
end [4] 7/4 23/11			15/25 19/19 32/11	harmed [1] 28/17
35/20 38/25	<b>except [1]</b> 28/18	Financial's [1] 6/7		
ends [1] 11/23	exception [1]	find [4] 10/8 11/13	give [7] 6/13 14/19	
enforcement [1]	37/15	13/8 26/15	20/8 22/2 31/6 31/8	
35/17	<b>excess [18]</b> 6/25	<b>findings [2]</b> 40/23	31/10	7/22 9/3 13/19 17/8
<b>enjoy [1]</b> 41/9	10/5 10/8 10/10	40/24	go [7] 5/22 14/4	18/15 19/22 21/2
enough [1] 13/1	10/13 15/11 15/16	fine [1] 18/11	15/10 15/19 34/9	25/15 30/24 33/25
enter [1] 9/10	15/24 16/15 17/8	firmer [1] 18/12	38/11 39/7	36/23 37/6 37/12
	17/18 17/20 19/6	first [7] 7/19 17/16	<b>goes [2]</b> 27/1 31/2	37/18 41/3
entire [2] 15/14	22/21 26/24 30/4	20/16 22/17 30/5	going [13] 5/12	have [48]
15/17	30/14 38/25	33/4 33/7	13/13 19/14 19/25	haven't [1] 21/20
<b>entities [1]</b> 10/12	avhibit [111 22/19	floor [1] 5/12	26/15 37/24 38/3	having <b>[1]</b> 18/17
<b>entitled [11]</b> 11/25	23/20 23/24 27/10	flying [1] 26/21	38/4 38/14 38/17	he [ <b>34</b> ] 7/23 7/23
15/11 15/25 16/2			38/20 39/10 39/16	7/25 8/22 9/16 10/9
16/5 17/2 17/18	27/11 28/23 29/1	focusing [1] 32/11		
19/1 22/1 37/17	29/2 29/5 29/9	FOLEY [2] 2/16 6/3		13/4 13/8 17/11
42/6	29/16	follow [2] 19/25	11/18 13/7 13/23	17/13 18/16 18/17
entity [2] 9/2 39/8	<b>exhibits</b> [1] 22/16	35/9	13/24 18/6 18/8	18/18 18/21 18/22
equitable [1]	expenses [2]	<b>followed [1]</b> 31/14	18/23 35/16 35/20	20/13 20/21 20/23
20/19	15/19 15/20	Ford [2] 7/13 7/21		21/1 21/2 21/25
	<b>expired</b> [1] 34/13	foreclose [2] 9/9	13/7	22/1 24/7 24/19
<b>ESQ [3]</b> 2/5 2/16	<b>explain [3]</b> 18/2	9/18	got [2] 11/25 17/16	24/19 26/25 27/5
3/4	29/19 29/20	foreclosed [1]	governed [1]	28/11 28/12 28/14
essentially [2]	express [1] 23/7	20/25	35/15	29/7 30/2 34/21
32/6 38/5	extends [1] 8/21	foreclosure [7]	government [1]	37/23
<b>estopped</b> [3] 19/7	extent [1] 23/6	6/10 6/15 7/16 9/6	21/5	he'd [1] 17/17
19/8 22/5	extinguished [1]	27/8 34/15 37/13	grant [5] 11/14	he's [6] 4/24 22/5
<b>estoppel</b> [5] 17/5	11/10	FOREGOING [1]	13/10 19/14 38/4	22/6 22/6 25/1 27/6
17/6 17/25 18/2	extra [1] 20/8	42/10	38/5	hear [1] 18/9
19/16	EXII TI ZU/Q		· · ·	
et [3] 4/14 9/11	F	forget [1] 14/2	granted [2] 15/3	hearing [5] 5/2
16/8		form [2] 7/9 30/13	35/1	6/11 12/23 28/2
et al [1] 4/14	fact [12] 6/19 7/2	former [3] 16/14	grantee [1] 37/9	38/10
et cetera [2] 9/11	9/21 9/22 9/24	22/18 37/16	granting [1] 40/17	hearings [1] 7/22
	10/19 25/24 26/11		grantor [1] 37/9	<b>held [1]</b> 12/5
L	I.	l eggy Isom, CCR 541, RM	I.	(4) earlier - held

	have [4] 26/6	integral [1] 14/25	20/7 20/25 40/25	Inch [13] 6/11 6/17
H	<b>hour [1]</b> 26/6 <b>How [1]</b> 18/2	integral [1] 14/25 intended [1] 36/7	39/7 39/25 40/25 items [1] 5/4	<b>last [12]</b> 6/11 6/17 7/7 10/1 10/6 10/18
helps [1] 13/12	However [2] 10/21	intent [20] 6/12	its [4] 8/13 10/20	10/18 12/23 28/2
HENDERSON [1]	22/16	7/8 7/14 7/23 8/5	25/6 35/16	29/8 30/25 38/10
3/7	hypothetically [2]	8/8 8/14 8/17 11/18		law [14] 2/4 10/23
here [10] 4/25 5/8	17/11 32/13	12/19 12/22 13/1		18/6 18/11 18/13
9/12 11/6 17/7	<u> </u>	13/7 20/7 21/16	J	19/10 19/13 19/24
17/21 22/25 29/11	I	28/15 35/24 37/2	James [4] 4/24 6/2	
37/2 37/7	<b>I'll [2]</b> 31/6 35/2	37/19 39/23	6/5 38/1	37/23 40/23 40/24
here's [2] 25/19	I'm [23] 4/24 5/12	interest [8] 6/24	January [10] 6/18	lawyer [1] 38/12
31/3	14/6 19/18 19/25	9/16 10/13 12/1	9/25 10/1 11/12	layperson [3]
HEREBY [1] 42/5	23/18 29/2 31/1	23/7 23/10 27/24	25/8 29/6 29/22	28/10 38/7 39/7
HEREUNTO [1] 42/13	31/12 31/21 31/24	27/25	29/24 30/2 30/16	leading [1] 9/17
Hey [2] 36/8 36/9	32/11 32/12 37/24	<b>interested</b> [1] 24/1		legislative [7] 6/12
him [5] 18/23	38/3 38/4 38/16	<b>interests [5]</b> 5/13	9/25 11/12 25/8	7/8 7/23 8/4 8/17
20/14 22/2 22/4	38/20 39/16 39/17	7/15 7/17 8/2 35/25		35/24 38/8
24/11	39/18 39/24 40/16	/	January 19 [2]	legislature [4]
himself [1] 18/22	<b>if [31]</b> 5/10 12/4	<b>into [3]</b> 9/10 38/21		19/13 19/22 20/1
hire [2] 28/14	14/17 14/24 16/12	42/8	January 20 [3]	20/7
38/12	16/17 16/23 17/19	introduced [1]	29/6 29/22 30/2	lenders [1] 7/18
his [14] 6/23 6/24	18/21 21/21 21/23	7/19	JCCR [1] 25/18	lengthy [1] 5/2
8/23 12/21 15/25	22/16 23/12 24/8	investment [1]	JOHN [4] 3/3 3/4	<b>Let [3]</b> 18/4 29/11
17/13 17/16 17/18	24/17 24/21 28/5	20/24	4/23 6/1	35/9
20/13 20/16 21/3	29/15 30/19 31/7	investors [1] 7/18	JOHNWTHOMSON	letter [2] 12/19
25/15 28/20 28/24	31/13 31/18 32/13	involved [2] 7/15	[1] 3/9	13/2
history [1] 38/8	32/23 33/3 33/22	20/21	joinder [7] 4/21	letting [1] 27/18
<b>HOA [12]</b> 6/9 6/15	34/23 35/21 39/19	is [100]	28/24 29/4 29/13	<b>Leyva [1]</b> 36/18
7/15 9/17 11/20	40/12 41/3 immaterial [3]	isn't [2] 30/10	35/1 38/2 40/17	liberally [1] 35/19
14/2 21/5 23/5	18/19 25/4 25/11	31/13	<b>JUDGE [3]</b> 1/18 1/19 11/18	lien [4] 15/16
23/10 27/7 28/21	immediately [1]	<b>ISOM [4]</b> 1/24 42/4	judges [1] 14/1	15/21 16/1 20/22
37/13	34/2	42/17 42/17 issue [30] 6/14 7/2		lienholder [5]
<b>HOAs [1]</b> 7/17	important [3] 17/7	8/16 9/13 10/10	4/18 4/21 6/7 11/15	15/14 16/3 16/8 16/21 20/15
Hold [1] 29/8	38/20 39/11	10/18 10/19 10/25	15/2 17/21 17/24	liens [1] 9/15
holder [2] 15/23	impose [1] 35/4	11/1 12/24 19/16	21/20 38/1 38/2	like [6] 5/4 5/10
37/16	imposes [1] 35/15	19/20 19/23 21/10	38/4 40/7	9/1 18/11 24/21
<b>holding [1]</b> 15/20	in [98]	24/13 24/14 25/9	judicial [1] 6/19	25/21
<b>holds [1]</b> 34/5	inclination [1]	25/24 26/20 26/23	JUNE [2] 1/21 4/1	limit [1] 40/24
homeowner [2]	10/7	27/1 30/12 30/20	just [16] 4/20 6/13	line [2] 12/16
11/21 39/16	included [1] 30/8	32/11 33/3 37/7	10/2 13/10 18/7	16/21
homeowners [2]	includes [1] 36/5	37/8 37/9 37/20	24/15 28/7 28/19	listed [1] 10/3
7/17 39/20	including [1] 10/9	39/4	28/22 35/3 35/9	little [2] 33/11
Honor [42] 4/16	independent [1]	issues [7] 5/15	38/20 39/9 39/11	38/10
4/21 4/23 5/2 5/4	20/3	13/18 25/20 30/15	39/18 39/19	<b>LLC [2]</b> 1/9 4/13
5/10 5/19 6/8 10/6	index [1] 37/9	34/12 34/23 34/25		LLC's [1] 37/25
12/23 12/24 13/12	INDICATED [1]	it [95]	K	<b>LLP [1]</b> 2/15
13/23 13/25 16/12 17/6 18/6 19/6 21/8	42/7	it's [ <b>38</b> ] 6/6 11/19	kind [2] 13/13 15/9	loan [1] 9/10
21/18 22/9 22/13	<b>individual [2]</b> 9/16	14/15 14/25 14/25	knew [4] 12/1 12/8	logical [1] 32/25
22/25 26/3 26/5	39/8	16/4 16/8 16/22	12/21 36/7	logo [2] 10/2 25/10
26/14 26/14 28/10	industry [1] 7/18	17/16 17/18 17/25	know [11] 12/4	long [2] 8/18 17/11
28/23 29/9 31/16	inferred [2] 39/6	18/6 18/7 18/8	13/16 19/10 20/20	longer [1] 34/4
33/2 33/4 34/7	40/25	18/10 18/12 19/10	26/7 26/7 27/15	look [10] 19/14
34/21 34/25 35/2	infirmities [2]	19/16 19/24 21/4	27/18 31/25 34/22	20/19 22/16 24/17
35/13 36/15 40/5	18/20 21/21	24/11 28/13 29/8	34/23	29/15 31/1 33/3
40/21 41/7	inquire [1] 23/12	29/12 29/15 29/16	L	34/10 39/11 39/12
Honor's [1] 10/7	inquiry [1] 28/7	29/17 30/11 30/13	lack [1] 30/7	looked [1] 38/5
HONORABLE [1]	instead [1] 26/21	32/23 32/24 32/25	LAS [3] 2/8 2/18	looking [5] 14/1
1/18	insufficient [3]	33/11 34/5 38/7	4/1	17/4 31/12 38/8
	6/21 26/19 30/6		', -	
		aggy Isom CCD 541 DM		(5) helps - looking

				June 20, 201
L	18/7 20/23 21/4	Mr. Markey [19]	22/15 23/8 26/1	0
looking [1]	34/16 39/4 39/9	9/14 11/9 11/14	need [8] 5/7 9/12	<b>O'Malley [2]</b> 22/1
39/18	<b>meaning [1]</b> 14/19	11/15 12/10 13/2	11/3 14/17 14/18	27/13
lose [1] 31/19	means [2] 14/15	15/8 20/13 20/16	14/18 14/22 36/8	oath [1] 13/17
lot [4] 5/14 13/14	32/23	25/11 25/15 26/21	needed [1] 13/8	<b>objection [3]</b> 9/2
	mediation [1] 9/6	26/25 28/18 33/25	needs [2] 5/9 35/7	
14/1 20/21	meet [1] 7/5	36/7 37/11 37/17	<b>Nev [1]</b> 18/6	23/3 30/23
M	member [2] 8/10	37/21	<b>NEVADA [13]</b> 1/7	objections [1]
ma'am [2] 22/12	25/6	Mr. Markey's [10]	1/12 4/1 4/14 7/2	30/17
	mention [1] 30/3	8/22 9/20 10/8 11/7	7/25 9/4 19/13	obligation [1]
29/1	merely [2] 30/13	22/21 22/23 28/24	19/22 36/13 36/19	35/16
<b>made [7]</b> 9/23	32/19	29/12 37/7 37/20	42/2 42/15	obviously [1] 25/
10/15 11/3 11/4	met [2] 21/20	Mr. Thomson [1]	never [6] 8/16	occurred [1] 6/16
28/6 28/19 32/3	28/16	35/3	9/19 10/25 23/3	occurs [1] 10/23
make [8] 11/19	MICHAEL [4] 2/4	Mr. Yergensen [3]	26/25 27/6	off [3] 15/12 18/2
13/15 18/3 27/5	2/5 5/24 13/23	24/3 24/18 29/22	new [4] 6/16 28/6	34/5
28/20 30/2 40/22	might [3] 12/25	Mr. Yergensen's	28/11 37/12	office [2] 26/6
41/2	32/10 34/22	<b>[1]</b> 30/1	<b>newly [1]</b> 6/13	42/14
makes [3] 10/24	Mining [1] 18/5	much [2] 5/7 29/17		<b>OFFICES</b> [1] 2/4
16/9 39/9	missed [3] 26/25	must [19] 14/13	20/15 35/18 39/1	<b>Okay [16]</b> 4/22
making [2] 25/1	27/6 27/6	14/13 14/14 14/23	nine [1] 27/16	5/18 6/6 11/16 18/
35/25	modification [1]	14/25 21/9 24/20	no [47]	18/9 21/12 22/10
managing [2] 8/10	9/10	32/22 33/3 33/7	nonetheless [1]	22/12 25/23 29/10
25/6	money [19] 11/24	33/8 33/9 33/12	19/25	29/14 31/23 40/18
mandate [1] 20/1	12/1 14/13 15/7	33/15 33/15 33/15	not [45]	41/5 41/6
mandatory [2]	15/10 15/16 17/16	33/16 33/18 35/19	noted [1] 12/15	old [3] 16/13 18/7
14/15 32/23	20/13 20/14 20/14	mute [1] 10/11	NOTES [1] 42/8	3 <del>4</del> /2
<b>manner [3]</b> 7/9	20/13 20/14 20/14 20/14 20/16 20/21 22/1	my [30] 6/6 13/7	notice [33] 6/19	<b>on [40]</b> 5/3 5/7
8/14 36/22	22/2 22/4 22/5 22/6	13/17 15/3 16/13	6/21 6/21 8/8 8/9	6/16 7/20 10/1 10/
market [1] 20/22	25/15 27/19	19/16 22/2 22/2	8/14 8/19 11/1	12/1 12/5 12/16
Markey [31] 4/24	monies [1] 17/12	23/6 23/8 25/19	12/19 13/1 13/11	13/7 13/13 15/25
6/2 6/5 6/22 6/25	month [1] 27/15	26/6 29/20 31/3	14/13 14/18 21/23	17/21 17/24 18/12
8/5 8/8 9/14 10/14	Moore [2] 18/1	32/16 34/8 34/19	27/6 27/20 30/6	19/10 19/23 23/21
10/15 10/20 11/9	18/5	38/8 38/14 39/1	30/7 30/14 31/8	24/1 24/5 24/12
11/14 11/15 12/10	more [3] 5/7 28/8	39/25 40/3 40/8	31/11 32/19 33/8	24/16 25/8 25/10
12/13 13/2 15/8	28/22	40/14 41/1 41/2	33/16 36/4 36/9	25/13 27/14 27/25
20/13 20/16 22/14	morning [6] 4/7	42/9 42/11 42/14	36/11 36/12 36/20	28/1 28/7 28/25
25/11 25/15 26/21	4/8 5/15 11/18	42/14	37/1 37/2 37/18	29/8 29/21 29/24
26/25 28/18 33/25	13/23 17/5	T2/17	37/22	30/2 30/16 32/11
36/7 37/11 37/17	mortgage [3] 7/17	N	<b>notified [1]</b> 37/19	34/22 35/3 36/1
37/21	15/23 26/25	name [6] 10/3		39/13
<b>Markey' [1]</b> 12/15		12/15 24/5 24/12	<b>November [1]</b> 6/16 <b>November 20 [1]</b>	one [26] 4/20 7/1
Markey's [14] 6/20	6/7 14/8 17/21	24/15 42/14	6/16	11/24 14/6 15/23
8/22 9/20 10/8 11/7	17/23 35/1 37/25	NAS [25] 8/9 8/9	now [5] 10/25 15/4	16/14 16/15 16/25
22/21 22/23 28/24	38/2	10/20 11/6 12/19	15/17 16/22 21/5	1//13 1//20 16/13
29/3 29/4 29/12	motions [2] 1/16	16/23 17/8 20/14	NRCP [1] 12/12	18/18 21/19 26/16
37/7 37/20 38/1		20/14 22/1 22/19	NRCP [1] 12/12 NRS [2] 31/1 35/7	27/6 28/17 28/17
<b>matter [7]</b> 5/3	4/17 Mr [50]	22/20 23/9 24/3	NRS 116.31166	28/23 30/25 31/25
17/14 34/7 34/25	Mr. [50]	24/4 24/15 24/18		32/6 32/10 35/18
37/23 38/3 42/6	Mr. Bohn [13]	24/20 24/21 25/25	[1] 31/1	37/14 38/6 38/13
<b>may [5]</b> 18/23 23/6	8/11 13/22 22/13 22/20 24/2 24/8	26/20 27/17 34/5	NRS Chapter [1]	only [7] 9/16 9/22
23/10 23/12 25/12		39/6 39/12	35/7	10/12 16/9 25/9
maybe [1] 18/20	27/14 29/6 29/22	NAS's [5] 10/2	<b>number [12]</b> 12/18	26/19 26/24
<b>MBOHN [1]</b> 2/10	30/1 30/11 30/24	13/4 23/4 25/10	16/15 16/16 17/15	oops [2] 20/11
<b>me [11]</b> 18/3 18/4	41/3	27/12	17/25 21/19 23/17	20/12
18/10 19/21 19/23	Mr. Bohn's [1]	National [1] 36/18	28/1 32/6 38/6 38/7	open [3] 5/12
22/8 24/7 29/11	24/24		38/13	35/10 40/25
35/9 38/23 39/19	Mr. Haddad [2]	necessarily [1]	<b>NV [4]</b> 1/24 2/8	opportunity [2]
mean [7] 17/10	24/18 24/24	36/21	2/18 3/7	9/22 28/5
		necessary [3]		,

0	page <b>4 [1]</b> 24/17	<b>pleased [1]</b> 10/9	<b>property [22]</b> 6/15	23/3 24/13 30/18
opposition [3]	paid [1] 15/14	pocket [1] 28/17	6/17 6/24 8/6 8/24	37/8 39/4
19/3 40/14 40/15	paragraph [2]	<b>point [5]</b> 25/5	9/15 9/17 11/11	ratified [1] 22/6
or [41] 5/7 8/21	23/2 29/23	30/21 31/18 31/19	11/14 12/5 12/9	ratify [2] 18/16
8/25 9/1 9/2 9/13	<b>PARKWAY [1]</b> 3/5	36/6	15/20 16/7 16/25	19/2
9/15 10/14 12/9	part [3] 14/25	<b>policy [1]</b> 20/6	17/9 19/8 20/21	read [3] 14/21
12/25 15/9 15/9	17/13 33/7	<b>position [7]</b> 6/20	21/3 30/8 34/9	35/7 38/20
15/13 16/23 16/23	parties [6] 7/15	8/5 10/4 16/13	34/20 37/11	reading [3] 8/3
18/16 18/17 19/2	13/15 24/1 28/11	28/20 32/5 35/21	proposed [1]	32/25 35/22
19/2 19/2 19/4	30/9 35/25	possession [1]	40/24	reaffirmed [1]
19/16 19/18 20/2	parts [1] 41/1	25/3	protect [2] 21/3	7/22
20/3 21/5 24/13	party [5] 10/24	<b>possibly [1]</b> 12/25	21/3	really [1] 28/13
24/20 26/1 26/10	16/2 25/12 35/20	potentially [1]	<b>provide [9]</b> 6/22	reason [6] 11/21
27/7 30/12 30/17	35/21	19/24	6/23 7/7 8/18 8/23	15/2 19/11 27/22
30/23 32/13 32/20	pay [6] 15/11	<b>preclude</b> [1] 19/4	9/9 21/25 22/14	36/25 38/4
34/22 35/5 35/15	15/14 15/15 15/17	prelitigation [1]	36/4	reasonable [1]
39/8 39/14	17/20 22/2	31/8	provided [5] 8/7	39/7
oral [3] 5/3 5/7	payment [2] 24/23		8/12 8/13 35/19	reasons [1] 17/15
12/25	26/25	40/22 41/2	37/3	recap [1] 6/14
order [5] 15/21	payments [1] 27/5		provides [1] 35/18	received [1] 20/2
22/17 34/8 40/21	PECOS [1] 2/6	presented [1]	providing [6] 8/24	
40/22	PEGGY [4] 1/24	12/12	11/7 11/7 35/6	5/21 8/11 9/7 15/22
ordered [1] 28/4	42/4 42/17 42/17	presume [1] 40/6	35/25 37/4	33/25 38/23 41/2
orders [1] 41/4	penalize [1] 39/16	previously [1]	<b>provision [3]</b> 31/4	42/11
original [1] 32/13	penalties [1] 31/15		31/9 33/6	recorded [2] 13/11
other [8] 9/15	penalty [3] 31/4	primary [1] 7/13	<b>provisions</b> [1] 35/4	
16/24 18/19 27/23	31/9 33/6	principle [2] 18/25		redeem [35] 6/17
30/23 32/10 35/21	<b>people [1]</b> 28/19	25/12	punishment [1]	8/6 8/8 8/14 9/2 9/3
41/1	per [1] 27/25	principles [1] 8/18		9/14 10/1 11/22
our [10] 5/10 5/20	perfect [1] 38/12	prior [6] 7/4 9/4	purchased [2]	12/19 13/1 13/8
13/9 13/10 15/10	performance [1] 35/16	19/15 24/20 25/5 32/7	6/15 37/11 purchaser [4]	16/25 21/2 21/6 30/9 31/18 31/19
19/13 19/22 25/17	performed [2]	priority [1] 15/21	11/19 15/15 34/4	32/1 32/15 32/24
25/17 35/1	35/21 39/6	probably [1] 26/2	34/14	34/21 36/8 37/2
out [8] 7/24 12/2	performing [1]	problem [1] 15/6	purchasing [1]	37/6 37/6 37/8
13/8 22/17 27/7	39/8	Procedure [1] 14/3		37/15 37/17 37/20
28/17 33/11 38/12	period [20] 7/5	proceed [1] 34/15	purported [1]	37/21 38/11 38/22
outlining [1] 27/17	8/12 8/15 9/21 9/23	Proceedings [3]	10/13	39/9 39/21
over [1] 36/2	11/22 16/6 17/13	41/12 42/6 42/12	purpose [8] 8/19	redeemed [4]
overlooking [2]	19/15 20/4 21/1	proceeds [27] 7/1	8/24 21/16 36/12	11/14 26/1 30/12
17/6 21/21	23/11 25/9 31/22	10/6 10/8 10/11	36/20 37/4 37/18	37/23
own [1] 25/15	32/2 32/4 34/13	10/13 15/11 15/17	38/9	redeeming [4]
owned [1] 37/12	36/2 37/4 39/22	15/18 16/1 16/2	pursuant [2] 21/5	19/8 22/5 24/14
owner [19] 10/5	<b>permitted</b> [1] 6/25	16/5 16/10 16/15	26/1	33/9
12/9 12/13 15/13	person [6] 9/1	16/25 17/8 17/18	pursue [1] 18/18	redeems [1] 21/1
15/24 15/25 16/7 16/14 16/20 17/2	11/4 24/14 32/19	17/20 19/3 19/6	put [2] 35/20 40/22	
17/7 19/15 24/6	33/9 37/5	19/9 22/21 23/5		reference [2]
24/14 24/20 32/7	personally [1]	23/7 23/9 26/24	Q	12/16 34/2
34/1 34/19 37/16	11/3	30/4 30/14	question [12]	referenced [2]
owner's [4] 10/3	perspective [2]	<b>produce [4]</b> 14/13	12/21 15/1 24/13	26/17 33/4
24/5 24/12 24/15	20/19 31/12	14/17 14/24 30/19	25/19 26/11 30/25	reflected [1] 30/20
ownership [3]	place [2] 20/17	produced [3]	31/3 32/17 33/5	regarding [6] 7/8
6/24 8/23 9/16	42/7	13/10 13/12 15/1	33/22 33/25 34/19	10/23 25/20 25/24
	plaintiff [4] 1/10		<b>questions [2]</b> 6/12	37/25 39/3
<u>P</u>	2/2 5/24 13/24	proof [1] 15/7	22/8	regardless [1]
page [5] 4/10 24/1	Plaintiff's [1] 28/5	proper [6] 10/16	<b>Quicken [1]</b> 16/22	20/1
24/17 29/8 29/21	plaintiffs [1] 28/3	12/14 12/16 13/18	R	rehash [1] 5/7
page 2 [1] 24/1	pleading [1] 23/13	28/15 36/4	raised [6] 19/25	rejected [2] 10/21
	D	eggy Isom, CCR 541, RM	1D	(7) opposition - rejected

R	T		I	·
	40/4 40/19	16/17 17/11 20/23	should [6] 4/20	7/21 10/6 12/24
	rights [3] 11/10	24/10 25/14 26/2	15/3 16/9 17/23	21/17 30/10 35/3
rejected [1]	21/2 31/20	26/19 32/12 33/12	35/1 37/23	36/19
12/17	risk [1] 12/3	33/14 33/14 36/3	shouldn't [1] 15/6	<b>states [3]</b> 29/7
relates [3] 31/4	RMR [2] 1/24	36/8 39/12	show [4] 13/6 25/7	
38/3 38/15				
release [1] 24/22	42/17	<b>saying [7]</b> 14/6	26/15 26/16	<b>stating [2]</b> 24/11
relied [1] 41/1	<b>ROAD [1]</b> 2/6	16/24 24/3 26/9	<b>showed [2]</b> 34/11	24/18
remedies [1] 35/18	<b>Rochester [1]</b> 18/5	27/21 32/1 33/10	34/11	statute [53]
	room [1] 20/9	says [12] 14/23	showing [4] 6/24	<b>statutes</b> [1] 6/10
remember [1]	<b>ROSE [1]</b> 3/5	14/24 15/17 15/18	8/23 22/22 34/12	<b>statutory [6]</b> 8/18
14/2	rule [5] 13/19 14/3	16/19 17/2 21/9	simply [1] 27/24	27/24 28/19 32/4
remittance [1]	18/13 38/17 39/19	24/19 33/8 33/18	since [1] 37/12	35/7 39/21
15/24				
remitted [1] 17/12	Rule 56 [1] 13/19	34/1 34/21	sir [3] 11/17 13/21	STENOTYPE [2]
reply [1] 10/22	<b>Rules [1]</b> 14/3	<b>scheme [1]</b> 35/7	13/22	42/5 42/8
REPORTED [1]	ruling [2] 38/14	<b>second [2]</b> 29/21	<b>smoke [1]</b> 16/6	<b>stepped [1]</b> 19/14
1/24	40/1	29/23	<b>so [40]</b> 5/5 5/11	<b>still [6]</b> 14/3 18/6
	Ryan [2] 22/19	<b>Secondly [2]</b> 32/7	6/6 7/10 8/3 8/17	21/24 22/1 25/8
REPORTER [1]	27/13	38/14	9/22 10/9 11/23	34/20
42/4		section [2] 33/4	12/11 13/9 14/22	stipulate [1] 26/10
REPORTER'S [2]	S	33/7		
1/15 42/1			15/2 16/22 17/23	story [1] 22/22
representations	sad [1] 28/13	see [10] 4/17 5/14	20/23 22/6 24/24	<b>STREET [1]</b> 2/17
<b>[1]</b> 25/5	said [10] 15/10	7/12 13/24 14/8	26/8 26/9 27/15	<b>strict [6]</b> 19/17
represented [1]	17/19 19/14 21/8	14/9 14/10 23/1	27/20 30/9 30/10	33/22 33/23 33/24
	22/20 23/3 24/21	29/11 34/5	30/15 31/12 32/11	38/12 39/24
13/3	34/10 34/15 42/7	seeing [2] 21/22	32/23 33/11 34/6	<b>strictly [3]</b> 19/19
request [2] 11/4	<b>SAINT [1]</b> 3/5	21/24	34/15 34/18 36/25	31/5 31/14
12/25	sale [27] 6/15 7/16	seemed [1] 10/7	37/13 37/18 39/18	<b>strike [1]</b> 7/16
<b>requested [2]</b> 9/19	9/18 13/11 15/19			
26/16		seems [1] 19/21	39/24 40/6 40/16	string [1] 13/12
required [2] 8/20	15/19 17/11 19/1	<b>seen [1]</b> 9/3	41/2	<b>subject [1]</b> 37/1
26/1	19/4 19/7 19/10	<b>Senate [1]</b> 7/20	sobeit [1] 24/24	<b>submit [3]</b> 16/3
requirement [3]	20/2 21/4 21/5 22/7	<b>Senator [2]</b> 7/13	<b>some [6]</b> 5/7 6/11	30/15 41/4
	23/5 23/7 23/9	7/21	14/7 15/5 28/8 35/5	<b>submits [1]</b> 24/23
31/2 37/18 37/22	23/10 27/8 28/21	send [1] 24/7	<b>Somehow [1]</b> 27/6	<b>submitted</b> [2] 13/6
requirements [7]	34/3 34/3 37/13	<b>sense [2]</b> 16/9	sometimes [1]	26/15
7/6 7/9 8/6 9/5 31/5	39/1 39/7 39/8	39/10	18/9	subordinate [1]
36/21 37/2	same [2] 8/14		sorry [4] 19/18	15/22
requires [3] 14/12	23/24	sent [5] 8/8 12/19		
15/13 32/19		25/16 27/12 29/24	23/19 29/2 34/16	SUBSCRIBED [1]
requiring [1]	<b>sanction</b> [1] 35/5	<b>separate</b> [1] 41/4	sort [3] 15/5 35/5	42/13
39/24	<b>sat [1]</b> 36/1	<b>SERIES [2]</b> 1/9	35/5	subsequent [1]
	<b>SATICOY [31]</b> 1/9	4/13		
<b>requisite</b> [1] 37/3		7/13	<b>SOUTH [1]</b> 2/6	7/22
was a salfueller F47	4/6 4/12 5/24 6/14	serve [1] 5/9		7/22 substantial [9]
respectfully [1]	4/6 4/12 5/24 6/14 6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9	<b>serve [1]</b> 5/9	<b>specific [1]</b> 35/4	substantial [9]
16/3	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1]	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24
16/3 responded [1]	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23	<b>serve [1]</b> 5/9 <b>served [3]</b> 33/8 33/19	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13	<b>substantial [9]</b> 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24
16/3	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7	<b>specific [1]</b> 35/4 <b>specifically [1]</b> 33/13 <b>SPENCER [1]</b> 2/17	<b>substantial [9]</b> 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1
16/3 responded [1]	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12	<b>specific [1]</b> 35/4 <b>specifically [1]</b> 33/13 <b>SPENCER [1]</b> 2/17 <b>SPRINGS [2]</b> 1/9	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4]
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1]	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1]
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1]	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1]
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2]	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1]	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2]
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18 16/18 18/11 20/10	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6 11/23 12/11 13/16	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4 she's [1] 26/15	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10 stark [2] 24/10	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1 11/5 11/10 26/12
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18 16/18 18/11 20/10 20/23 21/4 21/6	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6 11/23 12/11 13/16 17/3 25/25 30/21	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4 she's [1] 26/15 sheriff [3] 34/11	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10 stark [2] 24/10 24/25	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1 11/5 11/10 26/12 36/10 36/11
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18 16/18 18/11 20/10 20/23 21/4 21/6 21/13 21/14 22/2	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6 11/23 12/11 13/16 17/3 25/25 30/21 satisfy [5] 7/1 13/1	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4 she's [1] 26/15 sheriff [3] 34/11 34/12 34/15	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10 stark [2] 24/10 24/25 state [5] 5/20 5/22	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1 11/5 11/10 26/12 36/10 36/11 SUITE [2] 2/7 3/6
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18 16/18 18/11 20/10 20/23 21/4 21/6 21/13 21/14 22/2 27/16 28/21 31/18	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6 11/23 12/11 13/16 17/3 25/25 30/21 satisfy [5] 7/1 13/1 16/1 30/4 36/24	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4 she's [1] 26/15 sheriff [3] 34/11	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10 stark [2] 24/10 24/25 state [5] 5/20 5/22 19/22 42/2 42/14	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1 11/5 11/10 26/12 36/10 36/11 SUITE [2] 2/7 3/6 summary [14]
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18 16/18 18/11 20/10 20/23 21/4 21/6 21/13 21/14 22/2 27/16 28/21 31/18 32/3 32/8 32/24	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6 11/23 12/11 13/16 17/3 25/25 30/21 satisfy [5] 7/1 13/1	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4 she's [1] 26/15 sheriff [3] 34/11 34/12 34/15	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10 stark [2] 24/10 24/25 state [5] 5/20 5/22	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1 11/5 11/10 26/12 36/10 36/11 SUITE [2] 2/7 3/6 summary [14]
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18 16/18 18/11 20/10 20/23 21/4 21/6 21/13 21/14 22/2 27/16 28/21 31/18	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6 11/23 12/11 13/16 17/3 25/25 30/21 satisfy [5] 7/1 13/1 16/1 30/4 36/24 say [18] 11/24	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4 she's [1] 26/15 sheriff [3] 34/11 34/12 34/15 SHORTHAND [1]	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10 stark [2] 24/10 24/25 state [5] 5/20 5/22 19/22 42/2 42/14	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1 11/5 11/10 26/12 36/10 36/11 SUITE [2] 2/7 3/6 summary [14]
16/3 responded [1] 30/1 rest [1] 15/16 restated [1] 10/22 result [3] 19/10 20/2 39/1 review [1] 41/2 reviewing [1] 37/9 right [26] 4/6 4/7 4/22 5/1 5/16 5/18 16/18 18/11 20/10 20/23 21/4 21/6 21/13 21/14 22/2 27/16 28/21 31/18 32/3 32/8 32/24	6/20 6/24 7/5 8/9 8/15 9/19 9/23 10/16 10/19 11/1 11/8 11/11 11/20 12/4 12/20 13/4 13/24 25/6 26/17 34/1 34/7 36/1 36/7 37/3 37/19 39/13 Saticoy's [1] 8/11 satisfaction [2] 15/20 15/21 satisfied [7] 8/6 11/23 12/11 13/16 17/3 25/25 30/21 satisfy [5] 7/1 13/1 16/1 30/4 36/24	serve [1] 5/9 served [3] 33/8 33/9 33/19 servicer [1] 9/7 SERVICES [3] 1/12 4/14 7/2 Servicing [1] 36/18 set [2] 30/24 31/14 settled [1] 10/23 several [1] 7/21 SFR [1] 7/24 shared [1] 28/4 she's [1] 26/15 sheriff [3] 34/11 34/12 34/15 SHORTHAND [1]	specific [1] 35/4 specifically [1] 33/13 SPENCER [1] 2/17 SPRINGS [2] 1/9 4/13 stand [2] 24/25 36/25 standards [1] 21/20 standpoint [1] 39/12 stands [1] 24/10 stark [2] 24/10 24/25 state [5] 5/20 5/22 19/22 42/2 42/14	substantial [9] 8/19 19/18 31/24 33/23 34/18 36/24 37/1 38/18 40/1 substantially [4] 14/16 28/12 33/24 37/22 successfully [1] 12/5 such [1] 36/23 sufficiency [2] 30/3 30/18 sufficient [6] 8/1 11/5 11/10 26/12 36/10 36/11 SUITE [2] 2/7 3/6 summary [14]

	torms [1] 26/22	things [4] E/9 0/1	20/2	unwarranted [1]
<u>S</u>	terms [1] 36/23	things [4] 5/8 9/1	39/3	unwarranted [1]
summary [10]	test [1] 18/11	14/17 28/23	transpires [1] 21/2	36/1
13/9 15/2 17/21	testified [1] 7/23	think [9] 5/13 14/1		<b>up [8]</b> 5/12 9/17
17/24 21/20 34/16	than [2] 24/23		tried [2] 34/7 34/7	21/2 27/23 30/5
38/1 38/2 38/4 40/7	30/23	40/11 40/14 40/16	true [2] 20/22	31/18 37/10 37/12
sums [2] 20/2	<b>Thank [7]</b> 5/19	third [3] 11/4 23/2	42/10	<b>upon [15]</b> 18/25
25/25	13/21 22/11 22/13	25/12	trust [10] 9/1 9/8	19/13 20/22 20/25
SUPERVISION [1]	40/5 41/7 41/8	this [56]	9/15 17/3 24/21	25/5 25/12 32/2
42/9	thankfully [1]	<b>THOMSON [5]</b> 3/3	27/19 32/14 32/21	34/3 37/10 38/8
supplement [11]	13/14	3/4 4/24 6/1 35/3	37/15 38/16	38/23 39/6 39/15
5/11 10/22 13/10	<b>Thanks [1]</b> 13/20	<b>those [10]</b> 5/8	<b>try [3]</b> 13/15 14/4	40/2 41/1
23/21 25/16 28/5	that [217]	10/8 19/1 21/3	28/20	<b>us [5]</b> 5/10 5/20
28/8 28/24 29/3	<b>that's [15]</b> 11/6	21/21 23/5 25/3	<b>trying [1]</b> 23/18	6/12 7/7 28/7
29/10 36/16	13/9 13/18 19/12	32/16 35/22 36/14	<b>TUESDAY [3]</b> 1/21	<b>use [14]</b> 6/25 10/9
supplemental [10]	19/20 21/10 21/17	though [1] 18/23	4/1 6/18	10/15 15/10 16/14
7/8 7/11 7/12 22/17	26/2 26/22 29/10	three [3] 12/18	turn [1] 24/23	16/24 17/13 17/16
23/14 23/17 23/25	32/16 33/18 39/1	17/25 28/3	<b>Turning [1]</b> 8/3	17/19 20/13 20/16
29/4 29/12 29/16	39/9 40/3	through [4] 8/9	<b>two [8]</b> 10/12	22/21 25/15 30/14
	their [5] 10/4	23/11 31/2 37/13	12/14 16/16 17/15	<b>used [9]</b> 10/6
supplemented [1]	12/20 17/23 22/15	throw [2] 21/13	17/18 20/18 28/22	10/11 10/20 12/1
5/5	27/18	21/15	38/7	14/14 20/13 22/1
<b>support [1]</b> 22/15	them [7] 14/7 14/7		<b>type [1]</b> 36/4	22/23 30/4
supported [1]	14/21 16/22 26/6	8/7 8/12 9/25 10/6	typed [2] 24/4	uses [2] 14/12
36/13	26/17 27/18	11/11 12/15 13/3	24/16	32/22
<b>supposed [2]</b> 9/8	themselves [1]	13/5 14/24 16/6	TYPEWRITING [1]	using [2] 15/7 34/2
24/5	19/5	16/22 18/12 25/4	42/8	
<b>Supreme [4]</b> 7/25	then [8] 13/16	30/5 31/22 31/25		V
9/4 36/13 36/19	13/18 15/21 15/23	32/2 32/4 32/12	U	value [1] 20/22
<b>sure [5]</b> 5/19 13/15	31/19 32/10 32/23	36/6 37/3 37/12	uncontroverted [2]	<b>VEGAS [3]</b> 2/8 2/18
18/3 35/11 41/2	41/3	39/21 42/7	12/12 13/19	4/1
surplus [1] 24/22	there [52]	times [4] 7/21 9/17	under [18] 6/9	verify [1] 9/1
<b>sworn [1]</b> 13/17	there's [25] 12/11	11/8 34/8	6/16 11/25 13/17	versus [8] 4/13 7/9
Т	15/1 15/7 16/13	<b>TIMOTHY [1]</b> 1/18	13/18 15/11 18/17	18/1 18/5 19/19
	17/4 17/5 17/22	title [5] 7/18 12/6	18/21 19/2 30/9	33/23 33/23 36/18
take [6] 6/19 18/21	19/15 20/18 21/6	17/17 34/10 34/11	30/22 31/13 32/16	very [3] 17/7 17/12
20/11 20/12 26/20	24/13 24/17 25/1	today [3] 25/1	35/14 38/17 39/5	33/7
26/23	25/23 27/21 28/13	30/19 30/24	39/25 42/9	violation [1] 38/15
taken [2] 19/22	30/22 31/7 31/9	<b>Together [2]</b> 33/20		
32/5	32/13 33/22 34/18	33/21	35/23	W
takes [1] 30/11	37/9 37/13 40/1	too [4] 38/20 39/11		wait [2] 16/10
taking [5] 11/1	THEREAFTER [1]	41/2 41/10	13/13 18/3 18/8	17/17
12/3 22/4 22/6 31/1	42/7	took [4] 7/1 8/16	19/12 19/17 20/5	waited [1] 36/2
<b>talking [4]</b> 27/2	thereby [1] 18/22	10/19 42/5	20/6 33/1 33/10	waived [1] 17/8
31/21 31/24 32/12	therefore [5] 11/9	total [1] 39/18	40/3	waiver [1] 19/16
tax [1] 21/4	11/12 24/0 26/25	totality [1] 38/6	understanding [2]	want [8] 5/20 10/5
<b>technical</b> [1] 38/15	37/21	toward [2] 10/9	6/6 19/17	18/3 20/7 21/21
technically [1]	these [12] 14/1	10/15	unequivocally [1]	21/23 28/22 38/11
36/22	16/1 16/2 16/8	track [1] 23/18	25/7	wanted [3] 10/4
<b>tender [14]</b> 10/19	16/10 25/5 25/15	transaction [1]	unique [1] 5/15	28/6 35/3
10/20 10/21 10/23	25/20 34/12 35/24	18/20	unit [4] 15/24 33/9	<b>WARM [2]</b> 1/9 4/13
11/2 11/5 11/10	36/1 36/14	TRANSCRIBED [1]	33/14 37/16	was [112]
11/12 12/17 23/9	they [48]	42/8	unit's [1] 15/24	wasn't [3] 15/1
25/12 25/24 28/15		transcript [3] 1/15		20/16 32/7
32/19	they're [2] 25/4		34/20	way [4] 26/2 28/12
<b>tendered [8]</b> 9/25	34/1	28/4 42/10	unpaid [1] 15/15	28/18 36/15
11/2 23/5 25/2 25/8	they've [3] 19/9	transfer [1] 17/17	until [7] 16/5 17/2	ways [1] 20/18
26/13 30/13 30/16	19/9 33/24	transferred [1]	21/1 21/1 34/13	we [39] 4/9 4/17
Tennessee [1]	thing [4] 15/12	12/6		6/18 7/10 8/13 8/21
26/21	17/1 17/20 26/22	transmittal [1]	36/2 37/13 untimely [1] 32/2	10/10 10/25 11/13
	ī	ı	iunumerv III 32/2	TOLIO TOLZO TILIO
			, [,,	·

W	which [23] 5/5 7/5	12/17	
we [30] 12/12	7/10 8/15 10/21	<b>WYMAN [1]</b> 2/15	
12/23 13/5 14/22	11/12 12/17 13/11	Υ	
17/1 17/1 20/23	16/6 19/1 22/18	Yeah [4] 23/16	
22/4 25/4 25/11	23/9 23/12 25/7	27/3 27/4 40/16	
26/14 28/3 28/4	25/11 28/2 28/24	Yergensen [6]	
28/14 30/15 30/19	29/1 31/2 32/22	24/3 24/18 27/12	
33/2 33/2 33/3	33/8 34/21 36/5	27/17 29/6 29/22	
33/12 33/22 34/9	while [1] 28/2	Yergensen's [1]	
34/10 34/11 34/18	<b>who [9]</b> 7/13 8/10 16/7 16/7 19/1 24/3	30/1	
34/22 36/8 36/9	24/14 36/1 39/10	yes [11] 4/19 5/17	
38/11 39/13		5/22 6/8 16/24	
we'd [1] 5/11	<b>whole [4]</b> 11/20 14/21 35/8 35/25	18/14 20/25 26/12	
we're [8] 5/8 5/8	wholly [1] 18/19	27/9 35/13 40/9	
14/2 15/11 17/21	why [5] 10/21	YMAIL.COM [1]	
19/14 31/6 31/25	17/10 17/14 27/22	3/9	
we've [4] 5/14	38/4	you [71]	
28/6 28/7 28/9	will [2] 17/9 29/19	you're [4] 5/8 14/6	
<b>Weaver [1]</b> 18/5	WILLIAMS [1]	16/4 33/10	
<b>well [11]</b> 8/10 8/11	1/18	your [54]	
10/22 10/23 14/22	windfalls [1] 36/1	, L J	
15/7 20/18 21/10	wine [1] 18/11		
22/17 27/1 36/3	within [7] 8/7 8/11		
went [1] 27/22	8/12 9/25 25/8 37/3		
were [20] 5/3 10/8	39/21		
11/8 11/23 11/24	without [4] 10/24		
12/23 20/2 20/3	21/22 21/24 34/8		
23/5 25/2 25/16	withstood [1]		
26/5 26/8 26/12	18/11		
30/17 30/19 38/25	WITNESS [1]		
39/15 41/12 42/8	42/13		
West [1] 4/13	<b>WOLFE [1]</b> 2/15		
<b>what [21]</b> 5/9 11/6 14/7 18/20 20/20	WOLFEWYMAN.CO		
20/22 23/12 23/13	<b>M [1]</b> 2/21		
26/7 26/7 28/14	word [5] 14/12		
30/23 31/10 31/14	14/14 32/22 33/7		
32/16 33/10 33/18	33/12		
34/1 34/5 35/3	words [1] 14/20		
37/24	working [2] 13/4		
what's [1] 31/2	28/11		
whatever [3] 5/13	would [37] 5/4		
11/21 23/6	5/10 6/18 8/13 8/21		
whatsoever [1]	10/10 10/14 11/13		
17/22	12/2 12/5 12/6		
when [8] 7/19 8/3	15/22 16/6 16/21		
10/23 12/23 14/1	16/25 17/10 17/20		
36/7 38/22 39/11	18/1 20/19 22/22		
where [11] 15/10	24/10 24/21 25/4 25/11 25/25 30/15		
18/15 23/2 24/19	30/20 31/15 34/14		
29/7 30/2 33/7 34/2	36/25 37/14 39/7		
36/20 38/21 38/24	39/20 39/22 39/22		
WHEREOF [1]	39/23 40/12		
42/13	wouldn't [1] 5/6		
whether [9] 8/21	written [2] 15/9		
10/10 19/23 20/1	16/23		
21/4 26/12 30/21	wrongfully [1]		
34/22 39/14			
		eggy Isom, CCR 541, RM	(10) we vour