

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SATICOY BAY LLC SERIES 9050 W
WARM SPRINGS 2079,

Appellant,

vs.

NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES;
JAMES P. MARKEY; AND DITECH
FINANCIAL LLC,

Respondents.

No. 74153

FILED

APR 16 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the answering briefs is treated as a joint motion for an extension of time. See NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). Cause appearing, the motion is granted as follows. Respondents James P. Markey and Ditech Financial LLC shall have until April 20, 2018, to file and serve the answering briefs. No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974).

In our order entered March 12, 2018, granting respondents an extension of time to April 9, 2018, to file their answering briefs, we directed counsel for respondent Nevada Association Services, Brandon E. Wood, to file a notice of appearance within 11 days if he wishes to be recognized as counsel of record. We note, however, that we inadvertently neglected to copy Mr. Wood on the order. Accordingly, we again direct attorney Brandon E. Wood, within 11 days of the date of this order, to file a notice of appearance in this court if he wishes to be recognized as counsel of record

for respondent Nevada Association Services, and the answering brief. Failure of Mr. Wood to respond may result in this appeal being decided without an answering brief from Nevada Association Services. NRAP 31(d)(2).

Failure to timely file the answering briefs may result in the imposition of sanctions. NRAP 31(d).

It is so ORDERED.

, C.J.

cc: Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Ltd.
Wolfe & Wyman LLP
Christopher V. Yergensen
Law Office of John W. Thomson
Brandon E. Wood