IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 105, INC., A NEVADA DOMESTIC CORPORATION, Appellant,	Electronically Filed Nov 02 2018 09:09 a.m Supreme Court No. 社校abeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court
VS.)
CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST TO SILVER STATE BANK BY ACQUISITION OF ASSETS FROM THE FDIC AS RECEIVER FOR SILVER STATE BANK, A UTAH BANKING CORPORATION ORGANIZED AND IN GOOD STANDING WITH THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF UTAH, Respondents.	

APPEAL

From the Eighth Judicial District Court,

The Honorable Susan H. Johnson, District Judge

District Court Case No. A-15-728233-C

JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME III

Roger P. Croteau, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 4958 Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 7878

ROGER P. CROTEAU AND ASSOCIATES, LTD

2810 W. Charleston Blvd, Suite 75 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Telephone: (702) 254-7775

Facsimile: (702) 228-7719

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Appellant Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc.

INDEX OF APPENDIX – CHRONOLOGICAL

Document		
VOLUME 1		
Complaint	0001	
Summons - Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association	0099	
Affidavit of Service - Vegas United Investment Series 105 Inc.	0103	
Answer	0105	
VOLUME 2		
Answer and Counterclaim	0108	
Summons - Gibson Road LLC	0126	
Default - Gibson Road, LLC	0129	
Affidavit - Gibson Road	0131	
Affidavit of Attempted Service	0133	
Affidavit of Service	0135	
Default of Gibson Road, LLC (as to Counterclaim)	0137	
Stipulation and Order Disclaiming Interest and Dismissing Gibson Business Center	0140	
Property Owner Association Without Prejudice		
Answer to Counterclaim	0143	
VOLUME 3		
Deposition Transcript of Charles Schmidt	0156	
Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Disclosure	0212	
Amended Notice of 2.67 Conference		
Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum	0223	
VOLUME 4		
Celtic Bank Corporation's E.D.C.R. 7.27 Civil Trial Memorandum	0248	
Order Granting Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Defendant Republic Services, Inc.		
Notice of Supplemental Authority		
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment		
Notice of Entry of Order of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment		
VOLUME 5		
Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	0342	
Notice of Appeal	0516	
Case Appeal Statement	0519	
Order and Judgment re: Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	0524	
Notice of Entry Of Order and Judgment re: Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements		
Amended Notice of Appeal		
Order Denying Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc.'s Motion for Injunction Pending		
Appeal on Order Shortening Time		
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc.'s Motion for	0538	
Injunction Pending Appeal on Order Shortening Time		
Stipulation and Order to Certify Final Judgment	0543	
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Certify Final Judgment		
VOLUME 6		
Recorders Transcript of Bench Trial - Day 1 August 9, 2017	0557	
Recorders Transcript of Bench Trial - Day 2 August 10, 2017	0799	
Recorders Transcript of Bench Trial - Day 3 August 11, 2017	0928	

INDEX OF APPENDIX – CHRONOLOGICAL

Stipulated Trial Exhibit No.	VOLUME 7	PAGE
1	Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and Restriction Gibson Business Park, Phase One, Clark County Covenant, Conditions and Restrictions recorded 09/11/8	1074
2	First Amendment to Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and Restriction Gibson Business Park, Phase One, Clark County recorded 10/24/94	1124
3	Covenant Condition and Restrictions recorded 03/18/04	1130
4	Promissory Note dated 12/09/05 and Allonge	1162
5	Re-Recorded Deed of Trust recorded 01/23/06	1168
6	Assignment of Rents Recorded 01/23/06	1208
7	Assignment of Deed of Trust recorded 11/09/09	1234
8	Assignment of Rents Recorded 11/09/09	1237
9	Lien for Delinquent Assessments recorded 08/23/11	1240
10	Notice of Default and Election to Sell recorded 10/14/11	1242
11	First American Title Trustee's Sale Guarantee dated 10/21/11	1246
12	Red Rock Financial letter to Celtic Bank and other entities dated 12/21/11	1260
13	Email from Ashley Panon/Red Rock to Sjones@marwestre.com dated 8/12/13	1268
14	Tax Trustee Certificate recorded 12/26/13	1271
15	Notice of Sale recorded 02/26/14	1273
16	Red Rock Emails dated 03/21/14 re: sale	1282
17	Foreclosure Deed recorded 4/17/14	1285
18	Assessor Correspondence to Vegas United dated 05/03/14	1289
19	Past Due Property Tax Notices to Borrower dated 06/09/14	1296
20	Notice of Default and Election to Sell recorded 03/02/15	1300
21	Cease and Desist Letter to Celtic dated April 30, 2015	1307
22	Tax Trustee Deed recorded 06/11/15	1312
23	Email chain between Jeffrey I. Orgill and Maricela Carrera, et al re: Clark County Trustee Auction-Parcel 178-15-511-042 dated 10/2015	1314
24	Notice of Intent to Deed dated 10/13/15	1319
25	Wire Confirmation from Celtic Bank to Bank of America in the amount of \$18,281.67 dated 10/29/15	1321
26	Treasurer's Deed of Reconveyance recorded 11/05/15	1323
27	Re-recorded Foreclosure Deed recorded 4/4/16	1326
28	First American Title Insurance Company National Commercial Services dated 11/9/15	1334
29	Notices	1357
30	Certified Mailings of Affidavits dated 10/20/11	1393
31	Certificates of Mailing with Affidavit of Service for Notice of Foreclosure Sale Recorded on 2/26/14	1406
32	Certificate of Sale dated 3/21/14	1428
33	Foreclosure Deed dated 4/15/14	1430

<u>INDEX OF APPENDIX – CHRONOLOGICAL</u>

34	Excess Proceeds to Dues Accounting	1434
35	Foreclosure Deed to Charles Schmidt	1456
36	Notice to Proceed with HOA Sale	1463
37	Charles Schmidt \$30,000 Payment	1477
38	Notice of Foreclosure Sale/Affidavit of Mailing	1483
39	Gibson HOA Permission Documents	1500
40	Affidavit of Service -Gibson Road, LLC	1505
41	Certificate of Mailing of Demand for Payment	1512
42	Letter Request Notice of Delinquent Assessment/Recorded on 8/23/11,	1522
	and Notice of Default and Election to Sue dated 10/14/11	
43	Certified Mailings	1551
44	Notice of Default and election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent	1570
	Assessment (NOD)	
45	Mailing Affidavit for NOD	1577
46	Mailing Affidavit for Lien for Delinquent Assessments	1593
47	Stewart Title Preliminary Title Report dated 07/13/14	1602
48	Letter dated 04/30/15	1639
49	Orgill Emails dated 06/05/14	1644
50	Shapiro, Esq. Letter dated 08/01/14	1647
51	Shapiro Email dated 08/01/14	1649
52	Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association pursuant to	1652
	Subpoena Duces Tecum dated 03/23/17 (the "HOA," and/or "Gibson	
	HOA") Certificates of Mailing	
53	Letter from J. Shapiro, Esq. to Red Rock Financial Services in HOA files	1688
	with all policies and proofs of service	
54	Affidavit of Mailing for Notice of Foreclosure Sale with related	1767
	documents in HOA files	
55	HOA Notice of Sale with Pre Audit Checklist	1787
56	Red Rock Financial Services Homeowners Progress Report	1789
57	Certified Mail Celtic Bank Received dated 10/24/11	1792
58	First American Trustee's Sale Guarantee - HOA Assessment Lien	1811
59	Mailing and NOD	1826

$\underline{\mathbf{INDEX\ OF\ APPENDIX}} - \underline{\mathbf{ALPHABETICAL}}$

Document	Volume	:	Page
Affidavit - Gibson Road	2	:	0131
Affidavit of Attempted Service	2	:	0133
Affidavit of Mailing for Notice of Foreclosure Sale with related			
documents in HOA files	7	:	1767
Affidavit of Service	2	:	0135
Affidavit of Service - Vegas United Investment Series 105 Inc.	1	:	0103
Affidavit of Service -Gibson Road, LLC	7	:	1505
Amended Notice of 2.67 Conference	3	:	0220
Amended Notice of Appeal	5	:	0532
Answer	1	:	0105
Answer and Counterclaim	2	:	0108
Answer to Counterclaim	2	:	0143
Assessor Correspondence to Vegas United dated 05/03/14	7	:	1289
Assignment of Deed of Trust recorded 11/09/09	7	:	1234
Assignment of Rents Recorded 01/23/06	7	:	1208
Assignment of Rents Recorded 11/09/09	7	:	1237
Case Appeal Statement	5	:	0519
Cease and Desist Letter to Celtic dated April 30, 2015	7	:	1307
Celtic Bank Corporation's E.D.C.R. 7.27 Civil Trial Memorandum	4	•	0248
Certificate of Mailing of Demand for Payment	7	<u>:</u> :	1512
Certificate of Sale dated 3/21/14	7	:	1428
Certificates of Mailing with Affidavit of Service for Notice of Foreclosure			
Sale Recorded on 2/26/14	7	:	1406
Certified Mail Celtic Bank Received dated 10/24/11	7	:	1792
Certified Mailings	7	:	1551
Certified Mailings of Affidavits dated 10/20/11	7	:	1393
Charles Schmidt \$30,000 Payment	7	:	1477
Complaint	1	:	0001
Covenant Condition and Restrictions recorded 03/18/04	7	:	1130
Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and Restriction Gibson			
Business Park, Phase One, Clark County Covenant, Conditions and			
Restrictions recorded 09/11/8	7	:	1074
Default - Gibson Road, LLC	2	:	0129
Default of Gibson Road, LLC (as to Counterclaim)	2	:	0137
Deposition Transcript of Charles Schmidt	3	:	0156
Email chain between Jeffrey I. Orgill and Maricela Carrera, et al re: Clark	_		
County Trustee Auction-Parcel 178-15-511-042 dated 10/2015	7	:	1314
Email from Ashley Panon/Red Rock to Sjones@marwestre.com dated	7		1260
8/12/13 Events Proposed to Duce Accounting	7		1268
Excess Proceeds to Dues Accounting	7	:	1434

<u>INDEX OF APPENDIX – ALPHABETICAL</u>

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Judgment	4 : 0306
First Amendment to Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and	
Restriction Gibson Business Park, Phase One, Clark County recorded 10/24/94	7 : 1124
First American Title Insurance Company National Commercial Services	/ , 1124
dated 11/9/15	7 : 1334
First American Title Trustee's Sale Guarantee dated 10/21/11	7 : 1246
First American Trustee's Sale Guarantee - HOA Assessment Lien	7 : 1811
Foreclosure Deed dated 4/15/14	7 : 1430
Foreclosure Deed recorded 4/17/14	7 : 1285
Foreclosure Deed to Charles Schmidt	7 : 1456
Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association pursuant to	
Subpoena Duces Tecum dated 03/23/17 (the "HOA," and/or "Gibson	
HOA") Certificates of Mailing	7 : 1652
Gibson HOA Permission Documents	7 : 1500
HOA Notice of Sale with Pre Audit Checklist	7 : 1787
Joint Pre-Trial Memorandum	3 : 0223
Letter dated 04/30/15	7 : 1639
Letter from J. Shapiro, Esq. to Red Rock Financial Services in HOA files	
with all policies and proofs of service	7 : 1688
Letter Request Notice of Delinquent Assessment/Recorded on 8/23/11,	7 1522
and Notice of Default and Election to Sue dated 10/14/11	7 : 1522
Lien for Delinquent Assessments recorded 08/23/11	7 : 1240
Mailing Affidavit for Lien for Delinquent Assessments	7 : 1593
Mailing Affidavit for NOD	7 : 1577
Mailing and NOD	7 : 1826
Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	5 : 0342
Notice of Appeal	5 : 0516
Notice of Default and election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent	
Assessment (NOD)	7 : 1570
Notice of Default and Election to Sell recorded 03/02/15	7 : 1300
Notice of Default and Election to Sell recorded 10/14/11	7 : 1242
Notice of Entry Of Order and Judgment re: Memorandum of Costs and	5 0525
Disbursements Nation of Entry of Order Denvise Wasse United Investment Series 105	5 : 0527
Notice of Entry of Order Denying Vegas United Investment Series 105,	5 0538
Inc.'s Motion for Injunction Pending Appeal on Order Shortening Time Notice of Entry of Order of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and	3 0338
Judgment	4 : 0323
Notice of Entry of Stipulation and Order to Certify Final Judgment	5 : 0548
Notice of Foreclosure Sale/Affidavit of Mailing	7 : 1483
Notice of Sale recorded 02/26/14	7 : 1403
Notice of Supplemental Authority	4 : 0292
Trouce of Supplemental Futionty	T . UZJZ

INDEX OF APPENDIX – ALPHABETICAL

Notice of Intent to Deed dated 10/13/15	7 : 1319
Notice to Proceed with HOA Sale	7 : 1463
Notices	7 : 1357
Order and Judgment re: Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	5 : 0524
Order Denying Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc.'s Motion for	
Injunction Pending Appeal on Order Shortening Time	5 0535
Order Granting Stipulation and Order to Dismiss Defendant Republic	4 0000
Services, Inc.	4 : 0289
Orgill Emails dated 06/05/14	7 : 1644
Past Due Property Tax Notices to Borrower dated 06/09/14	7 : 1296
Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Disclosure	3 : 0212
Promissory Note dated 12/09/05 and Allonge	7 : 1162
Recorders Transcript of Bench Trial - Day 1 August 9, 2017	6 : 0557
Recorders Transcript of Bench Trial - Day 2 August 10, 2017	6 : 0799
Recorders Transcript of Bench Trial - Day 3 August 11, 2017	6 : 0928
Red Rock Emails dated 03/21/14 re: sale	7 : 1282
Red Rock Financial letter to Celtic Bank and other entities dated 12/21/1	7 : 1260
Red Rock Financial Services Homeowners Progress Report	7 : 1789
Re-Recorded Deed of Trust recorded 01/23/06	7 : 1168
Re-recorded Foreclosure Deed recorded 4/4/16	7 : 1326
Shapiro Email dated 08/01/14	7 : 1649
Shapiro, Esq. Letter dated 08/01/14	7 : 1647
Stewart Title Preliminary Title Report dated 07/13/14	7 : 1602
Stipulation and Order Disclaiming Interest and Dismissing Gibson	
Business Center Property Owner Association Without Prejudice	2 : 0140
Stipulation and Order to Certify Final Judgment	5 : 0543
Summons - Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association	1 : 0099
Summons - Gibson Road LLC	2 : 0126
Tax Trustee Certificate recorded 12/26/13	7 : 1271
Tax Trustee Deed recorded 06/11/15	7 : 1312
Treasurer's Deed of Reconveyance recorded 11/05/15	7 : 1323
Wire Confirmation from Celtic Bank to Bank of America in the amount of	
\$18,281.67 dated 10/29/15	7 : 1321

```
1
                           DISTRICT COURT
 2
                        CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
 3
     CELTIC BANK CORPORATION,
     successor-in-interest to SILVER
 4
     STATE BANK by acquisition of
     assets from the FDIC as Receiver
 5
     for Silver State Bank, a Utah
     banking corporation organized
 6
     and in good standing under the
     laws of the State of Utah,
 7
                       Plaintiff,
 8
                                           Case No.
     vs.
                                           A-15-728233-C
 9
     VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES
                                           Dept. No. XXII
     105, INC., a Nevada domestic
10
     corporation; GIBSON ROAD, LLC,
     a Nevada limited liability
11
     company; GIBSON BUSINESS CENTER
     PROPERTY OWNER ASSOCIATION, a
12
     Nevada non-profit corporation;
     REPUBLIC SILVER STATE DISPOSAL,
13
     INC., dba REPUBLIC SERVICES OF
     SOUTHERN NEVADA, a foreign
14
     corporation; DOE Individuals I
     through X; and ROE Corporations
15
     and Organizations I through V,
     inclusive; DOE Individuals I
16
     through X; and ROE Corporations
     and Organizations I through V,
17
     inclusive,
                       Defendants.
18
19
                    DEPOSITION OF CHARLES SCHMIDT
20
                      PERSON MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE
21
             VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 105, INC.
22
            Taken on Tuesday, May 2, 2017, at 2:48 p.m.
         At 1731 Village Center Circle, Las Vegas, Nevada
23
24
25
     Reported by: Diane E. Lewis, CCR#739, RPR
```

1 APPEARANCES: 2 For the Plaintiff, CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE BANK by 3 acquisition of assets from the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank, a Utah banking corporation organized and in good standing under the laws of 4 the State of Utah: 5 KELLY L. SCHMITT, ESQ. 6 Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd. 1731 Village Center Circle 7 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 (702) 952-5200 8 9 For the Defendant, Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc.: 10 ROGER P. CROTEAU, ESQ. 11 Roger P. Croteau & Associates 9120 West Post Road 12 Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 13 (702) 254-777514 For the Defendant, Republic Silver State 15 Disposal, Inc., dba Republic Services of Southern Nevada: 16 DONALD H. WILLIAMS, ESQ. 17 (No appearance made) Williams & Associates 612 South Tenth Street 18 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 19 (702) 320-775520 21 22 23 24 25

, 1	TNDEV		
1 2	I N D E X Examination Page		
3	CHARLES SCHMIDT	Page	
3 4		4	
5	(By Ms. Schmitt)	4	
5 6			
7	EXHIBITS		
	-	Deer	
8	Plaintiff's Description	Page	
9	1 Re-Notice of Taking the Deposition of	8	
1.0	the 30(B)(6) designee of Vegas United		
10	Investment Series 105, Inc.	1.0	
11	2 Foreclosure Deed for APN# 178-15-511-042	10	
	dated April 15, 2014, and Declaration of		
12	Value		
13	3 Letter dated May 03, 2014, to Vegas	13	
	United Invest Series 105 from Vicki		
14	Comia, GIS Technician, Clark County		
	Assessor		
15			
	4 Recording Cover Page for Re-record	16	
16	of Foreclosure Deed to Correct Legal		
	Description for APN# 178-15-511-042		
17			
	5 Tax Trustee Deed for APN# 178-15-511-042	27	
18	for Owner Gibson Road, LLC		
19	6 Notice of Intent to Deed for Parcel	29	
	No. 178-15-511-042, dated October 13,		
20	2015, from Office of the Clark County		
	Treasurer		
21			
	7 Treasurer's Deed of Reconveyance for	35	
22	Parcel No. 178-15-511-042, dated		
	November 2, 2015		
23			
24	(Original exhibits attached to original trans	cript.)	
25			

1 PURSUANT TO NRCP RULE 30(b)(4), 2 the Court Reporter, Diane E. Lewis, with Manning, 3 Hall & Salisbury, 617 S. Eighth Street, Suite A, 4 Las Vegas, Nevada, states the deposition of 5 Charles Schmidt, 30(B)(6) designee, is taking 6 place at 1731 Village Center Circle, Las Vegas, 7 Nevada, on Tuesday, May 2, 2017, at 2:48 p.m. 8 Appearances of all parties present noted herein. 9 --000--10 Whereupon, 11 CHARLES SCHMIDT 12 was called as a witness by the Plaintiff, and having 13 been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 14 follows: 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION 16 BY MS. SCHMITT: 17 Hi. Good morning. Ο. 18 Good morning. Α. 19 Or actually, it's afternoon now. Q. 20 Can you please state and spell your name for 21 the record. 2.2 Α. Charles Schmidt, S-C-H-M-I-D-T. 23 Ο. Mr. Schmidt, do you understand that you're 24 here pursuant to a Notice of Deposition sent to the

person most knowledgeable for Vegas United?

1 Α. Okav. Correct. Can we agree that throughout this deposition 2 Ο. 3 today, that when I say "Vegas United," that I mean 4 Vegas -- or that I'm referring to Vegas United 5 Investment Series 105, Inc.? 6 Α. Okay. 7 Does that work for you? Ο. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. Have you ever had your deposition taken Q. 10 before, Mr. Schmidt? 11 Α. I have, yes. 12 Ο. How many times have you had your deposition 13 taken? 14 Α. Thirty. 15 Thirty times? Ο. 16 Uh-huh. Α. When was the last time your deposition was 17 0. taken? 18 19 Maybe two months ago. Α. 20 What type of case was it? 0.

Q. Do you have a time period for those

deposition taken in real estate cases over 30 times?

A real estate case.

Approximately, yeah.

21

2.2

23

24

25

Α.

0.

Α.

And just so that I'm clear, you've had your

depositions?

- A. Over the last, I don't know, three years, maybe.
 - Q. Okay. Do you understand that the oath that you just took carries the same weight as -- carries the same penalties of perjury as if you were testifying in front of a judge in a court of law?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Okay. Do you understand that everything that we're saying today is being taken down by a court reporter?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Okay. Do you understand that at the conclusion of this examination, the court reporter is going to or you're going to be provided with a book that contains all of your answers and all of my questions?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Okay. Do you understand that after this deposition, that you'll have the opportunity to review your deposition testimony to check it for accuracy and/or make any changes?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. Okay. And then I just have to caution you that if you make a substantive change to your

testimony, that I can comment upon that change at the time of trial. Do you understand?

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Okay. And then, Mr. Schmidt, when I ask a question and you provide an answer, I'm going to assume that you've understood my question. Do you understand?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Schmidt, is there any reason why you can't give accurate testimony today?
 - A. No.
- Q. Have you reviewed any documents in preparation for your deposition today?
 - A. No.
- Q. No. Did you talk with anyone other than your counsel today about this deposition?
 - A. No.
- Q. Can you tell me the business address for Vegas United?
 - A. 181 North Gibson.
- Q. What type of business does Vegas United conduct, if any?
 - A. It's a real estate business.
- Q. Real estate business. When you say "real estate business," can you elaborate on that?
 - A. It's a holding company for real estate.

```
1
           0.
                And so when you say "holding company for real
 2
     estate, do members -- or strike that.
 3
                Mr. Schmidt, what is your title at Vegas
 4
     United?
 5
           Α.
                I'm the president.
 6
                How many employees does Vegas United have?
           Ο.
 7
           Α.
               None.
 8
           Ο.
                Who is the owner of Vegas United?
 9
               A stockholder, mostly me.
           Α.
10
                How many stockholders?
           Ο.
11
           Α.
                Probably one.
12
           0.
               One other than you?
13
                     Probably just me.
           Α.
                No.
14
                So is it your testimony today that you're the
           0.
15
     sole owner of Vegas United?
16
           Α.
                Yes.
17
               MS. SCHMITT: Mr. Schmidt, I'm going to hand
18
     you a copy of the deposition notice. I'd like to mark
19
     this as Exhibit 1, please.
20
                (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1 was
21
               marked for identification.)
     BY MS. SCHMITT:
2.2
23
           0.
               Mr. Schmidt, have you seen this document
24
     before?
25
           Α.
               Yes.
```

1 Ο. Yes. Have you reviewed the topics that were 2. set forth on pages 2 and 3 of this document? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Are you the person most knowledgeable for 5 Vegas United on the topics one through seven that are 6 contained in this deposition notice? 7 Α. I am. When did you first become aware that you would 8 Ο. 9 be the Person Most Knowledgeable testifying on behalf of 10 Vegas United today? 11 Α. When I was served the notice. 12 Ο. When you were served the notice? 13 Uh-huh, yes. Α. And when you say "served the notice," was the 14 O. 15 notice provided to you by counsel? 16 Α. It was. 17 Did you speak with anyone other than your 18 counsel regarding this deposition notice or the topics 19 contained therein? 20 I did not. Α. 21 Okay. Mr. Schmidt, are you the Person Most 0. 2.2 Knowledgeable for topics one through seven as contained 23 in this deposition notice?

Okay. You can set that aside, Mr. Schmidt.

24

25

Α.

Q.

I am.

1 I'm handing you now a document entitled 2. "Foreclosure Deed." I'd like to mark that as Exhibit 2, 3 please. 4 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2 was 5 marked for identification.) 6 BY MS. SCHMITT: 7 Mr. Schmidt, do you recognize this document? Ο. 8 Α. I do. 9 You do. Can you identify it for the record? 0. 10 It's the foreclosure deed. Α. 11 Okay. And it's the foreclosure deed in Ο. 12 relation to what? 13 In relation to the foreclosure of the property 14 by the HOA. 15 O. Okay. And can you identify the date that 16 this document was recorded? 17 It's not a trick question. It's up on the 18 top right. 19 Α. I'm reading it. 4-17-2014. 20 Thanks. And Mr. Schmidt, two lines under Ο. 21 the date it says "Requestor: LVDG LLC." Do you see 2.2 that? 23 Α. I do. 24 Can you identify who LVDG LLC is for the Ο.

25

record.

1 Α. It's another company in which I'm a member. 2 What type of company is LVDG LLC? Q. 3 Α. An LLC. 4 What type of business is LVDG? Ο. 5 Α. It's real estate holdings as well. 6 So you're part of two businesses that 0. Okay. 7 are real estate holdings companies? 8 Α. At least. 9 At least. How many others? Ο. 10 Α. I don't have an exact number for you. 11 I'm sorry? 0. 12 Α. I have no idea. I don't have an exact number 13 I don't -- I don't -- I don't know. for you. 14 Approximately five to ten? Ο. 15 Um, it could be. Α. 16 It could be? Higher than ten? Q. 17 Α. It could be somewhere under ten. 18 0. Okay. Thank you. 19 Mr. Schmidt, do you know who prepared this 20 foreclosure deed? 21 I do not. It looks like Red Rock Financial Α. 2.2 Services. 23 0. Do you recognize the signature on --24 Α. The signature on?

25

Q.

-- page 3.

- A. Page 3, I do not.
- Q. Okay. And then Mr. Schmidt, are you aware that my client is claiming that this document did not properly transfer the property from Gibson Road LLC to Vegas United?
 - MR. CROTEAU: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.
- 8 BY MS. SCHMITT:

1

6

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. You can answer.
- 10 A. I have -- I haven't read all of the documents
 11 in your suit.
- Q. Okay. What's your understanding of the lawsuit in this case today?
 - A. Uh, that you don't understand that the -- your secured interest is wiped out by the HOA foreclosure.
 - Q. Okay. And you're saying "you," meaning my client --
 - A. Correct.
 - O. -- Celtic Bank?
 - A. Whoever has filed the lawsuit.
 - Q. Okay. And then, Mr. Schmidt, are you aware that this document, the foreclosure deed in front of you, that this omitted the proper legal description when it was originally recorded?
 - A. I'm not aware of that.

1 You're not aware of that? 0. 2 Α. To what extent are you -- so it's your claim 3 that something in here does not properly identify the 4 property? 5 That's my client's claim, yes. Ο. 6 Α. Okay. 7 Mr. Schmidt, I'm going to hand MS. SCHMITT: 8 you what I request to be marked as Exhibit 3. 9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3 was marked for identification.) 10 11 BY MS. SCHMITT: 12 Ο. Have you seen this document before? 13 Oh, I have. Yes, I have. Α. 14 Okay. Did Vegas United receive this document? Ο. 15 Um, I've seen it before. So I believe we may 16 have received it, yes. 17 When you say you've seen it before, where 0. 18 would you see a document of this type? 19 Α. At the Recorder's Office. 20 At the Recorder's. How often do you go to the Ο. 21 Recorder's Office? 2.2 Α. Oh, not very often anymore. 23 Do you typically go down to the Recorder's 24 Office to see what's been recorded on your properties?

Um, if I need to see a copy of the document,

25

Α.

1 | I do.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Okay. Do you -- I'm sorry?
- A. Just to clarify, the issue here is that it didn't further describe down to the lot. So it transferred a whole area of property, rather than getting down to the very parcel.
 - Q. What gives you that understanding?
 - A. Because I had to correct it with the --
 - Q. I'm sorry?
 - A. Because I had to correct it with the Recorder.
- Q. Okay. When did you correct that issue with the Recorder?
 - A. I do not know.
 - Q. Okay. So what do you know -- what did Vegas United do upon receipt of this document?
 - A. Uh, corrected the legal description to further identify the property. In other words, this -- this describes the center. It doesn't describe the center down to the individual property.
 - Q. Okay.
- 21 A. So it says "Part of Lot One." It doesn't say
 22 "This part of Lot One."
- Q. And can you identify the date of the letter on Exhibit 3?
 - A. May 3rd, 2014.

1 And upon receipt of this letter, did you seek legal advice? 2. 3 Α. No. 4 Did you call the Assessor to ask for No. 5 any information -- additional information regarding the 6 substance of this letter? 7 I did -- I didn't call. I went down there. Α. 8 Ο. When did you go down there? 9 I don't -- I don't know. I don't have a date Α. 10 for you. 11 Would it have been within a month of receiving 0. 12 this letter? 13 Α. It could have been. 14 Were you surprised to receive this type of Ο. 15 letter? 16 No. Α. 17 And have you received a letter like this 0. before? 18 Uh, I have -- I've had similar issues with the 19 20 Recorder. 21 And when you say "similar issues," would you 2.2 file corrective deeds in those cases as well? 23 Α. If that's what it required. 24 MS. SCHMITT: Can we mark this as Exhibit 4,

25

please.

1		(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 4 was
2		marked for identification.)
3	BY MS. SCI	HMITT:
4	Q.	I'm going to hand you what I'm now having
5	marked as	Exhibit 4.
6	Α.	Okay.
7	Q.	Have you seen that document before?
8	Α.	I have.
9	Q.	Do you know who prepared that document?
10	Α.	It would have likely been me.
11	Q.	It would have likely been you?
12	Α.	Uh-huh.
13	Q.	Do you know why this document was prepared?
14	Α.	To further describe the parcel in order to be
15	in complia	ance with the Assessor.
16	Q.	Do you know what date this document was
17	prepared?	
18	Α.	I know it was I don't know. No, I do not.
19	Q.	Okay. Can you tell me what date this document
20	was record	led?
21	Α.	4-14-2016.
22	Q.	Okay. Can you flip back to Exhibit 3.
23	Α.	Okay.
24	Q.	And can you explain the time lapse between
25	receiving	the letter from the Assessor and re-recording

the deed?

2.

- A. The only thing that it accomplished by correcting the deed is to have the tax bills sent to the correct address. The fact that it was recorded would still have the same effect so you had to get the Assessor to change it. In their system, this is what they required.
- Q. So do you believe that the original foreclosure deed accurately reflected the transfer of the property?
- A. I do. This deed is simply additional information of the foreclosure deed.
- Q. And what do you mean by "additional information of the foreclosure deed"?
- A. As I explained, it says "Part of Lot One."

 It was transferred in the original foreclosure deed, which is true, it's accurate.

The Assessor required this legal description of how many feet from the street and that kind of thing. So this is just additional information. This is not a change of the original foreclosure deed.

- Q. Okay. Okay. Were you aware that prior to filing that corrective deed, that the owner was shown as Gibson Road LLC?
 - A. Well, when you say "shown," you mean at the

Assessor's Office?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. On the Assessor's page.
- A. Okay. Well, yeah.
- Q. And on the property ownership records?
- A. I don't know what the ownership records -- I wouldn't describe those as ownership records. Those are Assessor records where they send the taxes. So they don't represent ownership. What represents ownership is the recordings of the deed.
- Q. Okay. And you believe that the foreclosure deed was properly recorded?
 - A. True.
- Q. And you believe that it's your testimony today that the foreclosure deed accurately reflected the transfer of property?
 - A. I do, yeah.
- Q. Okay. You claim that Vegas United purchased the property at the foreclosure sale, and I believe the foreclosure sale was March 21, 2014.
 - A. Yeah.
 - Q. How did Vegas United learn about the sale?
 - A. Nevada Legal News.
- Q. Is it your pattern and practice to review Nevada Legal News for properties?
 - A. It was at the time, yes.

- 1 Ο. It was at the time. Did you attend the HOA sale? 2. 3 T did. Α. 4 How many other properties did Vegas United 5 purchase that day? 6 Α. Uh, just one. Just this property. 7 Did you tour this property prior to purchasing 0. 8 it at the sale? 9 Um, I don't know if I went inside, but I did Α. 10 go to the property. 11 I'm sorry, when you say you went through it, 12 you drove around it? 13 I drove around it, right. I may have went in. There was a business there. So I may have, you know, 14 15 went inside the front door and in the lobby there. 16 When did you decide that you were going Ο. Okay. 17 to attend the sale of this property? 18 A few days before the sale. Α. 19 Did you know a few days before the sale Ο. Okay. 20 that you were going to at least bid on this property at 21 the sale? 2.2 Α. T did. 23
 - Q. Did you hire anybody to perform a preliminary title report prior to?
 - A. I did not, no.

24

- Q. Did you perform any type of title search or title report?
 - A. I would have looked at the Recorder's and Assessor's Office.
 - Q. Do you recall seeing a recorded First Deed of Trust by my client, Celtic Bank?
 - A. I don't recall.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you believe that in your review of the recorded documents, that you would have seen the first priority Deed of Trust had it been recorded?
 - A. Not necessarily.
 - Q. Why not necessarily?
- A. Well, because it depends on if it shows in the record -- there's only certain ways to search -- if you search by parcel number. I don't believe that your deed showed up in the results.
 - Q. Did any other deed show up in your results?
- A. I don't believe so. Well, I don't -- no, I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. Do you recall how you performed the search?
- A. It would have been through the Recorder's Office.
 - Q. Did you do the search via parcel number?
 - A. Uh, yes. It would have been through the

parcel number. And then I would have searched the name of who also held it.

- Q. And when you say "who also held it" --
- A. Well, in other words, whose name the property was in, if it was Gibson Road LLC. So I may have done a search by them as well.
- Q. Do you recall the opening bid for the property?
 - A. I don't know the exact number, no.
- Q. Do you recall thinking that there were a lot of people at that HOA sale?
 - A. There were a lot of people there, yeah.
 - Q. Can you estimate how many people were there?
 - A. Forty to fifty.
- Q. Forty to fifty. Do you recall how many people were bidding on the property?
 - A. Um, maybe ten.
- O. Ten?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- A. Maybe eight or ten people.
- Q. Were you surprised that that many people were bidding on the property?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you recall, Mr. Schmidt, how much you purchased the property for?
 - A. I believe it was 30,000.

1 Do you believe that that price was reasonable Ο. 2 for this property? 3 Α. T do. 4 What would you base your belief on? 5 I'm not really good with commercial property, Α. 6 but I would think that the property would be worth 7 30,000 as an investment for us. When you were reviewing the property records 8 Ο. 9 prior to the sale, did you happen to notice what the 10 property had previously sold for? 11 Α. I did not. 12 Do you recall whether your bid at the sale Q. 13 was equal to or in excess of the claimed HOA lien? 14 Α. I believe that somewhere in the neighborhood 15 of \$9,000 was the HOA lien. So yes. 16 And then once -- was Vegas United the winning Ο. 17 bidder at the HOA sale? 18 Α. We were. 19 Can you just kind of explain to me the Ο. 20 process after you are the winning bidder. What happens 21 next? 2.2 You present cashier's checks to the 23 auctioneer, sign them over to them for an amount at

When you say "usually more," why usually more?

least the number that you paid, usually more.

24

25

Ο.

- A. Well, you have to bring cash to the auctions so you never know what you're going to win for it so you have to take multiples of cashier's checks down with you.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. I guess you could take one big cashier's check and just hand it over and wait for change, but you know, the typical process is you try to get several different denominations so you can get as close as possible so your money is not waiting for a refund.
- Q. Okay. How many cashier's checks did you bring down there that day?
 - A. Probably ten.
- Q. Okay. How many cashier's checks did it take to purchase the property?
 - A. I don't -- I don't know.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Because I didn't know how many denominations I had that day.
- Q. Okay. When you say "denominations," what are your typical denominations for the cashier's checks that you bring down to auctions?
- A. I'd have like a 20, a thousand dollar one, a \$50,000 one, a couple of \$5,000 ones, a couple of \$10,000 ones.

1 Ο. Okav. 2 Α. It just depends on what I intend to spend 3 that day or what I have available for cashing out. 4 Okay. And then, Mr. Schmidt, how did you Ο. 5 determine that you wanted to purchase this property? 6 I guess I don't understand. What do you 7 mean by how did I determine? It's a property that was 8 advertised. I typically buy HOA properties, and it 9 fell in that category. So I don't know. 10 When did you start buying HOA Okay. 11 properties? 12 Um, either 2009 or 2011. Α. 13 Okay. Would you say that your purchases have 0. 14 increased since 2014? 15 Objection. Vaque and ambiguous. MR. CROTEAU: 16 THE WITNESS: No. 17 BY MS. SCHMITT: 18 How many properties would you say you 0. No. 19 purchased in 2009? 20 Very few. Two or three. Α. 21 Two or three. How about 2010? Ο. 2.2 Α. Uh, maybe a dozen. 23 2011? 0. 24 Uh, just to clarify, Vegas United Investments Α.

did not purchase any others besides this one.

- Q. Thank you for that clarification. I appreciate that.
 - A. But as far as my knowledge of HOA properties,
 I was involved in transactions or bids for other
 properties.
 - Q. Beginning in 2009?
 - A. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

25

Q. Okay. Thank you.

In connection with your purchase at the HOA sale, were you required to fill out any forms?

- A. So I would be required to fill out what name I wanted it titled in, who the purchaser is, and where the deed should go.
 - Q. I'm sorry, I didn't mean to --
 - A. The address where the deed should go.
- Q. Okay. And the address that you provided,

 I believe, was 2676 Ponte Vecchio Terrace, Henderson,

 Nevada 89052?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And was that your current -- or I'm sorry, was that your address?
 - A. That's my home address.
- Q. Okay. So after the sale, did you head over to the property and take a look at it?
 - A. I would have, yes.

1 Did you go over there and introduce yourself 0. 2. to the current occupants? 3 T did. Α. 4 At that time who was occupying the building? 5 A company called C.A.R.S., Convenient Auto Α. 6 Repair Services. 7 Are they still occupying the property now? Ο. 8 Α. No. 9 Who is occupying the property now? Q. 10 Uh, the property is being -- nobody per se. Α. 11 It's not leased. 12 Ο. Is it currently vacant? 13 It's being used for storage. Α. 14 What do you got there? Ο. Okay. 15 A broken, apparently, toothpick holder. Tt. 16 just broke in my hand. 17 Okay. Does anyone affiliated with Vegas 0. 18 United occupy the property today? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Has anyone affiliated with Vegas United 0. Okay. 21 ever occupied the property? 2.2 So I believe you would say that we occupy the 23 property currently. 24 What type of business is out of there right 0. 25 now?

1 It's not a business run out of there. Α. 2. O. So it's just -- just so that I understand, 3 it's sitting there and --4 Being used for storage. Α. 5 -- do you use it? Okay. Ο. 6 I'm handing you what I'm going to request the 7 court reporter mark as Exhibit No. 5. 8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5 was 9 marked for identification.) 10 BY MS. SCHMITT: 11 Mr. Schmidt, have you seen this document 0. 12 before? 13 I'm not sure I looked at the Α. I may have. 14 document. I'm not sure I reviewed the document. I'm 15 aware of the document. 16 Would you have seen this document Ο. Okay. 17 when you were down at the Recorder's Office checking out 18 what has been recorded on the property? 19 MR. CROTEAU: Objection. Calls for 20 speculation by the witness. 21 THE WITNESS: I don't believe so. This 2.2 document didn't exist when I was doing my research, 23 because this is a deed back from the trust of the

Treasurer, uh, basically them signing off they were

24

25

paid.

BY MS. SCHMITT:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. Say that again.
- A. Basically them signing off saying they were paid.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know why Gibson Road LLC is listed as the owner in this document?
 - A. Because they were the trustee. They were the one, when it went into trust to the Treasurer, they were the owner of record then.
 - Q. Okay. And can you just review the bottom paragraph.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. And is it your understanding that this indicates that the taxes were paid?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So who do you believe paid the taxes?
- 17 A. I do not know.
 - Q. Is the parcel number correct on this, do you know?
 - A. No, I don't know. It looks correct.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. I think 042 is the parcel number.
- Q. Okay. And I'm sorry, your testimony, I
- 24 believe, was that you think that you saw this document;
- 25 | correct?

- A. I'm aware of the document. I am familiar with these documents.
 - Q. Documents of this type, are they typical that you would review them?
 - A. So this -- you're asking me if I reviewed them. This document was not part of the record of documents available because this document wasn't recorded until 6-11 of 2015.
 - Q. Okay. And I understand that.

Would you have reviewed this document any time
after the date that it was recorded, 6-11-2015?

A. No, I wouldn't have reviewed the document, no.

MS. SCHMITT: Okay. I'm handing what I will
request the court reporter to mark as Exhibit 6.

(Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.)

17 BY MS. SCHMITT:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Mr. Schmidt, have you seen this document before?
 - A. No, I haven't. I have not seen it.
- Q. Who was occupying the property as of October 13, 2015?
- A. Um, probably a tenant of mine. Yeah, probably a tenant that I had in there.
 - Q. Do you know which tenant?

- A. It was Mercedes Motor Werks.
- Q. I'm sorry, say that again.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. Mercedes Motor Werks.
- Q. Do you believe that had Mercedes Motor Werks received this document, that they would have sent it to you?
- A. Uh, perhaps, but it is not addressed to Mercedes Motor Werks or anything that -- I mean, it's addressed to Gibson Road LLC.
 - O. And what address was it sent to?
 - A. The 181 Gibson.
- Q. And is that the property that you claim you own?
- A. It is. But I don't think somebody would open somebody else's mail at that property.
 - Q. Okay. Have you had the opportunity to review this document?
 - A. Yes. I'm reviewing it now.
 - Q. Okay. Take your time.
 - A. Oh, no, I see it.
 - Q. Oh, okay.
 - A. I'm ready.
- Q. Is it your understanding that from a review of this document, that it indicates that the property taxes were delinquent from 2013 to 2015?

- 1 Α. Yes. What action did you take to pay the 2 0. 3 outstanding property taxes? 4 I don't believe I took any action. Α. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Again, I didn't receive the document. Α. 7 As a property owner, do you understand 0. 8 that property taxes are assessed and then property 9 owners are required to pay those taxes? 10 Α. Sure. 11 Did it seem odd to you that you hadn't paid Ο. 12 taxes since assuming ownership of the property? 13 Did it seem odd to me that I hadn't paid them? Α. 14 No. 15 0. No? 16 The properties were delinquent, as you can see, from 2013. I think we were in the process of 17 18 obtaining a number from the Assessor's Office. 19 I'm sorry. I didn't mean to --Q. 20 And we were obtaining a number to get them Α. 21 paid to bring them current. 2.2 And when was that that you were contacting the 23 Assessor's Office?
 - A. I don't know exactly. It would have been sometime after our ownership.

- O. I'm sorry, sometime after what?
- A. Sometime after our ownership.
- Q. Okay.

2.

2.2

- A. Probably why we were trying to get straight where the property taxes should go to, the change at the Assessor's Office. Because again, the Assessor's Office is not the Recorder's Office, they're the Assessor's Office.
- Q. Okay. And what just made you make that distinction for me?
- A. Well, because in the documents, they're made out to Gibson Road LLC, which the Assessor had a problem and was waiting for further clarification of this lot in order for their -- the way that they do business, to change what they have on record of who the tax statements go to.

So when you say it was, you know, shown to be Gibson Road LLC as the owner, that's the Assessor's Office, not the Recorder's Office. They don't have a legal effect on the property.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Where the Assessor decides that they need certain things doesn't necessarily have an effect of -- they're not the Recorder's Office. They don't have anything to do with the deed. They have to do with

- their own records of where they believe tax statements should go to.
 - Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that you didn't receive any tax statements because the corrective deed wasn't filed with the -- I'm sorry, wasn't recorded with the Recorder's Office?
 - A. Correct.

2.

2.2

- Q. Okay. Do you know who paid the outstanding taxes?
- A. I know it was paid before we got our check there. I don't know who paid it. I do not know who paid it.
- Q. I'll represent to you that my client Celtic Bank paid the outstanding taxes.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Does that ring a bell?
- A. It makes sense if they believe they had a lien on the property.
 - Q. Why do you think -- at least in your experience, why do you think it makes sense if they had a lien on the property?
 - A. Well, if they believed that they -- that their borrower has not paid their taxes, it's usually an agreement that the bank would pay them for the borrower. So it would make sense that they would pay it since

their borrower wasn't paying anything.

- Q. And why do you think that the lender would do that?
- A. I don't know. Because that's sort of how it works.
- Q. Okay. When you say it would make sense for the lender to pay it, is it your understanding that it would make sense because the lender would protect their interest in the property?

MR. CROTEAU: Objection. Calls for speculation by the witness.

THE WITNESS: Not to protect them from their property. They're just part of the mailing chain per title. So they get a bill, and they pay it.

BY MS. SCHMITT:

2.

2.2

- Q. Okay.
- A. The majority of this bill is during the time they had a secured interest. So from 2013 they had one, 2014 they had one. The majority of this bill is their borrower's failure to pay the taxes.
- Q. Okay. So you believe that my client had a security interest in 2013?
- A. Well, I believe they believe they did. I don't know if they had one or not because I'm not part of that. My ownership didn't happen until 4-17-2014,

- 1 and I believe these taxes go all the way back --2. it only shows 2013, but I think they go back further 3 than that, for them to be this high. So yeah, I believe 4 that they had a security in it -- they believed they 5 had a security interest prior to my ownership, and these 6 amounts represent that period of time. 7 MS. SCHMITT: Can I mark this as Exhibit 7, 8 please. 9 (Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 7 was marked for identification.) 10 11 BY MS. SCHMITT: 12 Ο. I'm handing you what I just requested the 13 court reporter to mark as Exhibit 7. Have you seen that 14 document before? 15 Α. I have not. 16 Can you identify the document for the record. 0. 17 Α. It's a Deed of Reconveyance from the 18 Treasurer.
 - Q. Okay. Can you explain your understanding of this document.

20

21

2.2

23

24

- A. It would be the Reconveyance deed where the Assessor is releasing their tax lien basically on this property.
- Q. Okay. And why would the Assessor be releasing their tax lien against the property?

- A. Uh, well, because they were paid.
- Q. Okay. And pursuant to this document, can you identify who paid the taxes?
 - A. It doesn't say who paid the taxes. It says that you can mail it to Celtic Bank.
 - Q. Okay. Can you read it again and let me know what it says who paid the taxes.
 - A. Oh, Celtic Bank.
 - Q. Okay. And can you identify via this deed who -- to whom the Assessor reconveyed the property to?
 - A. I don't believe it says there. It says that it was paid by Celtic Bank, and it's entitled to a Reconveyance having been paid.
 - Q. This isn't a trick question.
 - A. Well, I understand it's not a trick question, but let's look at the words.
 - Q. Yeah.
 - A. It says, "Whereas, pursuant to N.R.S. statute, Gibson Road LLC, paid by Celtic Bank, is entitled to Reconveyance having paid on 10/29/2015 to the County Treasurer."
- MR. CROTEAU: Objection. The document speaks for itself, basically.
- 24 BY MS. SCHMITT:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Q. Okay. And Mr. Schmidt, can you read the last

paragraph on that same page.

A. "Now, therefore ... as County Treasurer ... the above-described property, does hereby reconvey to Gibson Road LLC."

Okay. So I see that now.

- Q. Okay. So it's your understanding that this document reconveyed the property to Gibson Road LLC?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And can you identify the date that this document was recorded?
 - A. 11-5-2015.
- Q. Do you know why the Assessor would have reconveyed the property to Gibson Road LLC?
- A. Because they were the original one that they took the tax lien and took it in trust to. It's not been my experience that it's taken and put into the trust -- of the County's trust and then reconveyed to any other part regardless of the ownership transactions that take place in that period of time.
- Q. So it's your belief that had Vegas United paid the taxes, that the property would have still been reconveyed back to Gibson Road LLC?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Has that occurred in your experience?
 - A. Well, they're reconveying. They're writing

- off their lien of what the current process of the tax sale is. So yes.
 - Q. Correct. But aren't you claiming that you are the owner of the property --
 - A. I am the owner.

2.2

- Q. -- at least beginning April of 2014?
- A. That's correct. Right. So the reconveyance is still -- if you sign a reconveyance for a note, then the note would still reconvey the property back to -- who? -- to the original note holder. I mean, we're only talking about their lien here. We're not talking about the property.
 - Q. Isn't the lien attached to the property?
- A. Sure. But the lien that they put on is for Gibson Road LLC, because they were the record owner at the time that they put it in trust for the taxes.
- Q. Okay. So what about the delinquent taxes for the, let's say, second half of 2014, 2015, and 2016 that are showing here?
- A. Those are still during the period of time when they already had it in trust to the county.
- Q. Were you aware that the property was going to be reconveyed to Gibson Road LLC?
- A. No, I wasn't. But again, this is the

 Assessor's records -- right? -- so the Assessor and the

Treasurer goes off their documents, which they get from the Recorder's, but they draw a conclusion from the Recorder's when it's a certain way.

- Q. If you know -- oh, strike that.
- A. In other words, they took trust of the property from Gibson Road LLC, the record owner at the time. They took it into trust of the county.
 - Q. Okay.

2.

2.2

- A. They have to release it back to who they took it from to reconvey it.
- Q. Okay. Does that -- had you have known that this was occurring, would you have contacted the Assessor's Office and said, "Hey, I'm the owner of this property"?
- A. No, because it's not a legal effect. This is not them somehow giving ownership of the property to someone. This doesn't have an effect on the title. This is just getting their tax lien off of the property.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. And the Treasurer is not a dictator of ownership.
 - Q. Who is the dictator of ownership?
- A. Well, the Recorder's -- well, whoever -- the recorded documents in the record would show you who the ownership is.

- Q. Okay. And the foreclosure deed that was recorded as a result of the sale?
 - A. Which transferred ownership.
 - Q. I'm sorry, say that again.
 - A. Which transferred ownership.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware or at least sitting here today reviewing these documents, do you understand that the records, the transfer of ownership, wasn't indicated on the property records?

MR. CROTEAU: Objection. Calls for speculation by the witness. Lack of foundation. Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: This is the Treasurer and the Assessor's records. For their records, they don't dictate ownership. So they can't reconvey something to somebody else. They're not a transfer agent. They don't dictate ownership in the first place. They're out to collect their money, and they have a lien against the property, and they release their lien.

This reconveyance is just they have the power by N.R.S. to hold it in trust. They took it in trust, and so they reconveyed it back to who they took it from trust from.

BY MS. SCHMITT:

2.

2.2

Q. Do you believe that had the foreclosure deed

that was recorded in April of -- I'm sorry, May of 2014,
do you believe that had the property been accurately
described, that Vegas United would be the record owner
according to the Assessor?

MR. CROTEAU: Objection. Calls for speculation on the part of the witness. Lack of foundation. Calls for an opinion.

THE WITNESS: Again, the Assessor takes their information from the Recorder --

BY MS. SCHMITT:

- Q. Correct.
- A. -- and that's how they utilize the information. Because they didn't like the way the deed was worded has nothing to do with the ownership of the property. It has to do with their guidelines on what they do to change in their records, where the tax liens go, and who is responsible for the taxes.
 - O. Okay.
- A. It's not accurately reflected because they don't take that information for their guidelines. It does not have anything to do with the ownership of the property. It has to do with their guidelines.
- Q. Okay. And when you're saying "guidelines," what do you mean?
 - A. Whatever it is that they have requirements.

When they get over here and they say, well, you've transferred part of Lot One, but we would like you to really describe which exact part of Lot One before we change it in our records who we're going to send the tax statements to. That's their guidelines. That's what they require.

Q. Okay.

2.

2.2

- A. So because they don't like the way it's not further described and they didn't change it to our name doesn't have an effect on -- it doesn't have an effect on title.
- Q. Okay. After you purchased the property, did you happen to do a search, a parcel number search, to confirm that the property was, in fact, transferred to Vegas United?
- A. No, because there is no transfer. Just because the Assessor changes the name doesn't mean there's a transfer.
- Q. I'm asking you -- thank you. I appreciate that.

I'm asking you: When you left the foreclosure sale, after the foreclosure sale, did you happen to do a property record search or a parcel number search to check out to make sure that the foreclosure deed was recorded properly or -- yeah, that the foreclosure deed

was recorded properly?

2.

2.2

- A. Well, I got a copy of the recorded deed so I didn't go check any records. I had my foreclosure deed.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I had no reason to go now and do -- you know, did they do it right, because they don't have an effect. Whether they did it right or not has no effect on whether I own the property or not.
- Q. Okay. And you base that on what? What's your understanding?
- A. My understanding was the Assessor does not dictate ownership; and if they don't like what a document looks like, then they would like that for their records in order to change who they indicate in their computer system and send the tax statements to.
- Q. Okay. What was the delay in filing the re-recorded foreclosure deed?
- A. The delay in us getting the information of what they wanted.
- Q. So what were your -- what efforts did you undertake from receiving -- after you received the May 3rd, 2014, letter from the Assessor through the recording of the re-recorded deed?
- A. So I didn't receive that letter. I didn't know about that letter. I'm not even sure what brought

it to our attention that wasn't transferred -- you know,

it wasn't indicated. Probably us trying to pay these

taxes, and they were still -- they still had Gibson Road

LLC in their system.

Q. When you say you didn't receive the letter, aren't you located at 2676 Ponte Vecchio Terrace, Henderson, Nevada?

2.2

- A. I am. So the letter -- I don't remember the exhibit number, but you're talking about the Notice of Intent to Deed?
- Q. I'm sorry, Exhibit No. 3. It looks like this (indicating).
- A. Oh, okay. So that letter. I'm sorry, ask your question again. What was the question about this?

 MS. SCHMITT: Can you read my question back, please.

(Record read as follows:

"Question: So what were your -- what efforts did you undertake from receiving -- after you received the May 3rd, 2014, letter from the Assessor through the recording of the re-recorded deed?")

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

We received this letter -- I don't remember getting the letter in the mail. I do remember making

an effort to pay the taxes and noticing that they then printed this at the desk for me, this letter, to show me what they had sent.

BY MS. SCHMITT:

- Q. Okay. Can you recall in or around the time period that you would have gone there and received this letter?
- A. It would have been somewhere right before the corrective deed was filed. So maybe within a couple of weeks before the corrective deed was filed.
 - O. In 2016?
- A. Yeah. Yeah. There was two different times that I went down there, because they didn't understand what they wanted either. So they gave me some guidelines -- they gave me basically the description that they wanted, which, as you can see, is pretty detailed. It's kind of difficult.

So it took some time to get them what they wanted. I had to go back down there and ask them again and find the certain guidelines of exactly what they wanted. Because the first guy said, well, we sent you this letter, and there's actually no reason that it shouldn't be done. They wanted a further description. The first guy wasn't as helpful in actually getting the requirement done.

Q. Okay.A. So ththere. And aga

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. So there was some -- there was some difficulty there. And again, we were trying to pay the taxes and trying to get that situated, basically, what their requirements -- what they wanted, the Assessor.
- Q. Okay. Can you look at the back page of the May 3rd, 2014, letter.
 - A. May 3rd, 2014, back page, okay.
- Q. Isn't this -- please take a second to look at it.
- A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Isn't this the accurate legal description that you included on your corrective deed?
 - A. Correct.
- MR. CROTEAU: Objection. Calls for speculation on the part of the witness.
- MS. SCHMITT: Okay. I'm sorry, Roger.
- MR. CROTEAU: Yeah.
- 19 BY MS. SCHMITT:
- Q. So my understanding is that the Assessor
 provided Vegas United on May 3rd, 2014, the legal
 description that was to be included in the re-recorded
 deed.
- MR. CROTEAU: Objection. Relevance.
- THE WITNESS: They provided, I believe, this,

which is the second-to-the-last page.

BY MS. SCHMITT:

2.

2.2

- Q. And I'm not trying to trick you. I'm just trying to understand the delay. That's all.
- A. Right. So they provided this (indicating) -MR. CROTEAU: "This" being the second page
 that is crossed out.

THE WITNESS: Right. That's titled by them as "Exhibit A," the second-to-the-last page, not the back page, but the second-to-the-last page. Annual notice. They didn't -- so this wasn't correct.

They sent this and said this is what they needed. We went back, and they said, no, you have to have "East" here. This is where the guy wrote this in.

BY MS. SCHMITT:

- Q. Okay. And just so that I understand, so you're saying that they sent you this legal description, Exhibit A?
- A. They sent it with their letter, right. So you're saying that they provided me with the legal description. I'm saying this is the legal description that they provided me with.

MS. SCHMITT: Okay.

MR. CROTEAU: "This" being the one with the "X" through it.

THE WITNESS: The one with the "X" through it that says "Unofficial Copy," correct, but without the handwritten "East." This is how difficult they wanted to be.

So they said, here's what we need it to say, something like this. When we took it back down there the first time, they said, "No, no, no. You need to have 'East.' You need to put this in here. We're only providing this as an example of a legal description that was prior recorded on the property. We're not saying this is the legal description. We're saying this is the prior one. We can't help you with it. It needs to further describe what part of Lot One you purchased."

- Q. So is it your testimony that you received notice of the inaccurate legal description prior to this May 3rd, 2014, letter?
- A. No. The May 3rd, 2014, letter did not include this back page.
 - Q. How do you know that?
- A. Because we drew this page up. Because we drew this page to go with the corrective deed.
 - Q. And when you say you drew this page, who?
- A. I did.

2.2

Q. So it's your testimony today that this legal

- description was not attached to the May 3rd, 2014,
 - A. This legal description was.
 - O. The incorrect legal description?
 - A. No, it's not incorrect. It's not incorrect.
 - Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- A. It's their correct one, right, because, again, the deed says "Part of Lot One."
 - Q. Correct.
- A. XXXXX "Part of Lot One." They said you need to further describe what part of Lot One you did, and this is their -- the one marked "Unofficial Copy" is their example.

However, when we went down to do it, you see how it's marked on the back page, that's their back page, that's why it has a stamp on it.

- O. What's the date of that stamp?
- A. Oh, you know what, no, it's not. This is a prior recorded, February 6. So this is their example that they gave --
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. -- of what it needed to say.
- 23 O. Okay.
- A. But when we went down there, they put this

 "East" -- their example was not good enough.

1 Q. Okay.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

- A. So it needed it -- and you'll see this one now has "East."
 - MR. CROTEAU: This is the last page of that exhibit.

THE WITNESS: The last page of the exhibit has "East." And there was something other -- some tweaking they needed to have, because we're in the map section now of the county.

BY MS. SCHMITT:

- Q. Okay. So turn to the last page.
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Do you see the watermark that says "Unofficial Copy"?
 - A. Uh-huh.
 - Q. Okay. Who wrote "Good legal" on that page?
 - A. The person at the desk.
 - Q. In front of you?
 - A. I assume. I mean, the person in front of me marked up several different papers and gave them to me.
 - Q. And when was this?
 - A. Whenever I was down there trying to conform to what they wanted the description to be attached to the deed. They just wanted a description attached to the deed. They didn't want to change the deed.

- Q. So let me understand your testimony today.
 - Your testimony is that you went down -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- you went down to the Assessor to try to figure out what was going on with the deed; correct?
 - A. Uh-huh, what they needed to put to change the name and get the taxes sent to us.
 - Q. Okay. Were you provided with this last page, the legal description, at that time?
 - A. I was not provided with that last page. I was provided with the second-to-the-last page.
 - O. Which is?
 - A. Which was part of the original letter that they sent.
 - O. Okay.

2.2

- A. Which is just a copy that they printed in their records of a prior legal description of the property that they believed to be good.
- Q. And it's your testimony that you created this legal description?
- A. I created -- well, you have the re-recorded deed. Is that one of these?
- Q. Yes. I believe it's Exhibit 4. It's right under here.
 - A. We prepared this as a final which is an

accounting of what they required, because their record, they went back in the record to find a former legal description to give me an example. As you'll see, it's the same document.

Q. Correct.

2.

2.2

- A. This document was not provided by them like this (indicating).
- Q. When you're saying "this document," what are you referring to?
- A. The description of the "thence" and the south this many feet, this many feet. This was provided in this form, the second-to-the-last page. This is what they provided (indicating).
 - Q. Okay.
- A. This is the results of what they provided, combined with the maps that they needed to have.
- Q. So it's your testimony today that this last page, the legal description on the last page that's affixed to Exhibit 3, was not part of this May 3rd, 2014, letter?
 - A. I don't believe so, no, it wasn't.
- You could make sense of that as why would they send two separate copies in two separate formats to say this is what it needs to say.
 - Q. That's what I was going to ask you.

1	MR. CROTEAU: Probably the wrong address.
2	How you doing for time?
3	MS. SCHMITT: What time is it?
4	MR. CROTEAU: Almost 4:00.
5	I'm supposed to be in the dentist's chair at
6	4:00.
7	MS. SCHMITT: Well, had your witness shown up
8	on time, you'd be in the dentist chair.
9	THE WITNESS: I was trying to get him out of a
10	dentist appointment. Don't you think that's better?
11	MS. SCHMITT: I'm sure he appreciates that.
12	Q. So have you run into this issue with the legal
13	description on other properties that you purchased?
14	A. No, I haven't.
15	Q. Okay.
16	A. This is the first time I had that kind of
17	problem.
18	Q. And who did you work with at the Assessor's
19	page to correct this legal description?
20	A. Whoever is in the back in the map section.
21	You have to take a number. You go. They call somebody
22	from a desk that doesn't typically work the counter.
23	Q. Okay.
24	A. It was a process.
25	Q. And when do you believe you began this process?

1	A. I honestly do not know when. It wasn't really
2	a priority at the time because the property wouldn't
3	have gone to sale for a tax deed for a very long time
4	still. In other words, there wasn't an imminent threat
5	right now of being sent to the tax sale to be saved.
6	Q. Okay.
7	A. We had no real urgency to get their records
8	corrected for where they wanted to send the tax bill.
9	Q. Okay. When did Vegas United start receiving
10	tax bills for the property?
11	A. I honestly don't know.
12	Q. Has Vegas United paid the property taxes for
13	2016?
14	A. Yes, I believe so.
15	Q. Are the taxes current as we sit here today?
16	A. I believe so. I believe so.
17	MS. SCHMITT: All right. I think I have no
18	further questions.
19	MR. CROTEAU: Thanks.
20	MS. SCHMITT: We've got to get Roger to a
21	dentist appointment. Off the record.
22	(Whereupon, the deposition was
23	concluded at 3:55 p.m.)
24	* * * * *
25	

		CERTIE	FICATE (F WITI	NESS	
PAGE	LINE	CHANGE			REASON	
		*	* *	 : *	*	
	т СН7				herein, d	lo hereby
certif					foregoing	_
	_					ction; that
						ny signatur
	.d depos				7	1
	_					
		CHAF	RLES SCH	HMIDT,	Witness	
Su	ıbscribe	ed and swo	orn to k	efore	me this _	day o
		, 2017.				
			ľ	Totary	Public	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF NEVADA)
	ss:
3	COUNTY OF CLARK)
4	
5	I, Diane E. Lewis, Certified Court Reporter
	No. 739 and Notary Public for the County of Clark, State
6 7	of Nevada, do hereby certify:
	That I reported the taking of the deposition of the witness, CHARLES SCHMIDT, commencing on Tuesday,
8	May 2, 2017, at 2:48 p.m.
9	That prior to being examined the witness was
	by me duly sworn to testify to the truth.
10	
	That the foregoing transcription is a true,
11	complete, and accurate transcription of the stenographic
1.0	notes of the testimony taken by me in the matter
12	entitled herein to the best of my knowledge, skill, and
1 2	ability.
13	
1 /	That prior to the completion of the
14	proceedings, the reading and signing of the transcript
15	was requested by the witness or a party.
13	I further certify that I am not a relative
16	or employee of an attorney or counsel of any of the
10	parties, nor a relative or employee of an attorney or
17	counsel involved in said action, nor a person
1 /	financially interested in the action.
18	linancially interested in the action.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
19	in my office in the County of Clark, State of Nevada,
± <i>J</i>	this 9th day of May, 2017.
20	elib Jeli day of May, Zoir.
21	
21	
22	Diane E. Lewis, CCR No. 739
	Registered Professional Reporter
23	
24	
25	

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Phone (702) 952-5200

28

1 **PTED** SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. 2 ALLYSON R. NOTO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8286 3 KELLY L. SCHMITT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10387 4 1731 Village Center Circle 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Telephone: (702) 952-5200 6 Facsimile: (702) 952-5205 Email: allyson@sylvesterpolednak.com 7 Email: kelly@sylvesterpolednak.com Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 10 11 CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE 12 BANK by acquisition of assets from the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank, a 13 Utah banking corporation organized and in 14 good standing under the laws of the State of Utah, 15 Plaintiff, 16 v. 17 VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 18 105, INC., a Nevada domestic corporation; GIBSON ROAD, LLC, a Nevada limited 19 liability company; GIBSON BUSINESS CENTER PROPERTY OWNER 20 ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; REPUBLIC SILVER STATE 21 DISPOSAL, INC. dba REPUBLIC SERVICES OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, a 22 foreign corporation; DOE Individuals I through X; and ROE Corporations and 23 Organizations I through V, inclusive; DOE Individuals I through X; and ROE 24 Corporations and Organizations I through V, inclusive, 25 Defendants. 26 27

Electronically Filed 6/30/2017 3:52 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No. A-15-728233-C
Dept. No. V

PLAINTIFE'S PRE-TRIAL

PLAINTIFF'S PRE-TRIAL DISCLOSURES

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Pursuant to NRCP 16.1(a)(3), CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE BANK by acquisition of assets from the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank ("Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys, the law firm of Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd., hereby produces its Pre-Trial Disclosures as follows:

I.

WITNESSES

- Brian Zern
 Celtic Bank Corporation
 c/o Allyson R. Noto, Esq.
 Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq.
 SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD.
 1731 Village Center Circle
 Las Vegas, NV 89134
- Charles Schmidt
 Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc.
 c/o Roger P. Croteau, Esq.
 Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq.
 ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES
 9120 W. Post Road, Suite 100
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

B. Plaintiff may offer the following witnesses at trial if the need arises:

Jeffrey Orgill
 Celtic Bank Corporation
 c/o Allyson R. Noto, Esq.
 Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq.
 SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD.
 1731 Village Center Circle
 Las Vegas, NV 89134

C. Plaintiff may subpoena the following witnesses for trial:

- Person Most Knowledgeable
 First American Title Insurance Company
 2500 Paseo Verde Parkway, #120
 Henderson, NV 89074
- 2. Julia Thompson
 Custodian of Records/ NRCP 30(b)(6) Witness
 Red Rock Financial Services, LLC
 c/o CSC Services of Nevada, Inc.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200

- 1	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

2215-B Rei	naissance	Drive
Las Vegas,	NV 8911	9

3. Stephanie Jordan
Custodian of Records/ NRCP 30(b)(6) Witness
Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association
c/o Marwest Commercial Real Estate Services
8861 W. Sahara, Ste. 260
Las Vegas, NV 89117

Khamphanh Ennis
 Declarant for Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association
 c/o Marwest Commercial Real Estate Services
 8861 W. Sahara, Ste. 260
 Las Vegas, NV 89117

D. Plaintiff expects that the following witnesses may be presented by means of a deposition transcript:

1. Plaintiff does not anticipate presenting any witnesses by means of deposition unless it becomes necessary due to unavailability.

II.

EXHIBITS

A. Plaintiff expects to present the following evidence at trial:

	Description	Beginning Bates	Ending Bates
1.	Promissory Note dated 12/09/05 and Allonge	CB000001	CB000005
2.	Unconditional Guarantee – Mark Blackwell dated 12/09/05	CB000006	CB000008
3.	Unconditional Guarantee – Blackwell General Engineering, Inc. dated 12/09/05	CB000009	CB000011
4.	Unconditional Guarantee – Las Vegas Pipeline, LLC dated 12/09/05	CB000012	CB000014
5.	Unconditional Guarantee – Blackwell Environmental, LLC dated 12/09/05	CB000015	CB000017
6.	Re-Recorded Deed of Trust recorded 01/23/06	CB000018	CB000056
7.	Re-Recorded Assignment of Rents recorded 01/23/06	CB000057	CB000081
8.	Assignment of Deed of Trust dated 11/09/09	CB000082	CB000087
9.	Assignment of Assignment of Rents recorded 11/09/09	CB000088	CB000089

10.	Assignment of Subordination Agreement - Lease recorded 11/09/09	CB000090	CB000091
11.	Correspondence to Vegas United from Assessor dated 05/03/14	CB000092	CB000097
12.	Declaration of Default and Demand for Sale	CB000098	CB000101
13.	Gibson Default Notice dated 02/18/15	CB000102	CB000102
14.	Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Deed of Trust recorded 03/02/15	CB000103	CB000108
15.	Tax Trustee Deed recorded 06/11/15	CB000109	CB000109
16.	Treasurer's Deed of Reconveyance recorded 11/05/15	CB000110	CB000111
17.	Preliminary Title Report dated 11/09/15	CB000112	CB000133
18.	Real Property and Special Tax Statement for Fiscal Year 2015-2016	CB00134	CB000136
19.	Office of the County Treasurer Tax Payment Wire Information	CB00137	CB00137
20.	Email chain between Jeffrey I. Orgill and Maricela Carrera, et al re: Clark County Trustee Auction – Parcel 178-15-511-042 dated 10/2015	CB000138	CB000141
21.	Wire Confirmation from Celtic Bank to Bank of America in the amount of \$18,281.67 dated October 29, 2015	CB000142	CB000142
22.	Documents produced by Red Rock Financial Services, Inc. pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum dated March 23, 2017	REDROCK0001	REDROCK0435
23.	Documents produced by Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association pursuant to Subpoena Duces Tecum dated March 23, 2017	GBC000001	GBC000434
24.	Declaration of Custodian of Record	GBC000435	GBC000435
25.	Notice of Intent to Deed	CB000143	CB000143
26.	Stewart Title Preliminary Report dated 7/1/14	CB000144	CB000170
27.	Wire Information	CB000171	CB000171
28.	Email chain between Jeff Orgill and James Shapiro re: Mark Blackwell – Gibson Road LLC	CB000172	CB000173
29.	Email chain between Jeff Orgill and Maricela Carrera re: Clark County Trustee Auction – Parcel 178-15-511-042	CB000174	CB000177
30.	Correspondence from Roger Croteau & Associates to Sylvester & Polednak	CB000178	CB000179
31.	Cease and Desist Letter dated April 30, 2015	CB000180	CB000183
32.	American Modern Home Insurance Certificate	CB000184	CB000186

	from 2014 - 2016		
33.	Email chain between Jeff Orgill and Mark Blackwell re Delinquent Gibson Road LLC dated June 5, 2014	CB000187	CB000187
34.	Email chain between Jeff Orgill and Mark Blackwell re Gibson Road LLC dated June 17, 2014	CB000188	CB000188
35.	Certified Receipt and Second Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated April 6, 2010	CB000189	CB000190
36.	Financial Notices – Demand dated November 7, 2011	CB000191	CB000205
37.	Loan Payment – Late Notice dated June 11, 2014	CB000206	CB000206
38.	First Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated October 7, 2009	CB000207	CB000207
39.	Second Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated June 2, 2010	CB000208	CB000208
40.	Second Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated August 23, 2010	CB000209	CB000209
41.	Correspondence to Gibson Road, LLC from Celtic Bank re Important Notice Regarding Your Existing Loan dated August 30, 2010	CB000210	CB000211
42.	Correspondence to Gibson Road, LLC from Celtic Bank re Important Notice Regarding Your Existing Loan dated October 27, 2010	CB000212	CB000213
43.	Financial Notices – Demand dated May 18, 2011	CB000214	CB000234
44.	Third Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated August 25, 2011	CB000235	CB000235
45.	Financial Notice – Demand (Las Vegas Pipeline, LLC) dated August 30, 2011	CB000236	CB000237
46.	Financial Notices – Demand dated September 6, 2011	CB000238	CB000247
47.	First Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated January 27, 2012	CB000248	CB000248
48.	Notice of Intent to Sell Real Property dated December 26, 2011	CB000249	CB000250
49.	Certified receipt and return mail	CB000251	CB000252
50.	Past Due Notice dated January 26, 2012	CB000253	CB000253
51.	Fourth Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated February 26, 2012	CB000254	CB000254
52.	Past Due Notice dated March 15, 2012	CB000255	CB000255
53.	Certified Receipt - Gibson Road, LLC and Mark Blackwell and Fifth Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate dated April 2, 2012	CB000256	CB000262

		•	
	Past Due Property Taxes Second Notices dated October 18, 2012	CB000263	CB000267
1	Financial First Notices – Demand dated December 11, 2012	CB000268	CB000282
56.	Past Due Notice dated December 18, 2012	CB000283	CB000283
	Financial Second Notices – Demand dated February 5, 2013	CB000284	CB000293
58.	Past Due Notice dated February 20, 2013	CB000294	CB000294
59.	Sixth Notice of Default and Intent to Accelerate	CB000295	CB000295
60.	Past Due Notice dated May 13, 2013	CB000296	CB000296
	Certified Receipt and Past Due Property Taxes First Notice dated May 20, 2013	CB000297	CB000305
I	Notice of Requirement to Provide Proof of Insurance	CB000306	CB000307
	Past Due Property Taxes Notices dated July 17, 2013	CB000308	CB000311
1	Past Due Property Taxes Notice dated October 1, 2013	CB000312	CB000312
	Past Due Property Taxes Notice dated December 4, 2013	CB000313	CB000313
1	Financial First Notices – Demand dated December 6, 2013	CB000314	CB000325
	Financial Second Notices – Demand dated January 9, 2014	CB000326	CB000337
	Past Due Property Taxes Notice dated February 11, 2014	CB000338	CB000338
69.	Past Due Property Taxes Notice dated April 7, 2014	CB000339	CB000339
70.	Correspondence to Gibson Road, LLC from Celtic Bank re: Proof of Coverage dated May 19, 2014	CB000340	CB000341
71.	Correspondence to Gibson Road, LLC from Celtic Bank re: Proof of Coverage dated June 2, 2014	CB000342	CB000342
	Past Due Property taxes Notice dated June 9, 2014	CB000343	CB000343
73.	Correspondence to Gibson Road, LLC from Celtic Bank re: Proof of Coverage dated June 30, 2014	CB000344	CB000344
74.	Correspondence from James E. Shapiro, Esq to Jeff Orgill re lost title to Secured Property dated August 1, 2014	CB000345	CB000345
}		CB000346	CB000348
	Email chain from Mariela Sueldo and J. Shapiro, et al re Gibson Road LLC – Forceplaced Insurance dated August, 2014	CB000310	

as Vegas, Nevada 89132. Phone (702) 952-5200 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	dated August, 2014		
77.	Past Due Property Taxes Notice dated August 6, 2014	CB000353	CB000353
78.	Past Due Property Taxes Notice dated February 6, 2015	CB000354	CB000354
79.	Covenant, Conditions and Restrictions recorded March 18, 2004	CB000355	CB000385

B. If the need arises, Plaintiff may also present the following evidence at trial:

Plaintiff anticipates requesting the Court take judicial notice of the CC&R's recorded on March 18, 2004.

III.

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS

Plaintiff reserves the right to object to Defendant's exhibits within fourteen (14) days after timely service of Defendant's pre-trial disclosures.

Plaintiff objects to any witness that was not timely disclosed as a witness by Defendant during discovery.

Plaintiff objects to any documents that were not timely disclosed by Defendant during discovery.

IV.

PLAINTIFF'S DEMONSTRATIVE EXHIBITS

1. Enlargements of any of the Disclosed Documents listed above.

Plaintiff reserves the right to utilize any evidence or call any witness as designated by any other party to this litigation, and any other documents or witnesses produced via NRCP Rule 16.1, via discovery responses or via an Order of the Court by any party.

DATED this 30 day of June, 2017.

SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD.

Bv

1731 Village Genter Circle

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. 1731 Village Center Circle

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. and that on the day of June, 2017, I caused to be served a copy of the above-entitled document on the party set forth below via the Court e-filing system where an email address is provided and/or by depositing the same in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, addressed to those not electronically mailed as follows:

Roger P. Croteau, Esq.
Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq.
ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES
9120 W. Post Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Email: croteaulaw@croteaulawcom
Attorneys for Defendant Vegas United
Investment Series 105, Inc.

Donald H. Williams, Esq.

WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES
612 South Tenth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Email: dwilliams@dhwlawlv.com
Attorney for Republic Silver State Disposal,
Inc. dba Republic Services of Southern
Nevada

An employee of SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD

Vegas, Nevada 89134

Las 1

Phone (702) 952-5200

27

28

1 **ANOTC** SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. 2 ALLYSON R. NOTO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8286 3 KELLY L. SCHMITT, ESQ. 4 Nevada Bar No. 10387 1731 Village Center Circle 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Telephone: (702) 952-5200 6 Facsimile: (702) 952-5205 Email: allyson@sylvesterpolednak.com 7 Email: kelly@sylvesterpolednak.com 8 Attorneys for Celtic Bank Corporation 9 10 11 CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, 12 successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE BANK by acquisition of assets from the 13 FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank, a Utah banking corporation organized and in 14 good standing under the laws of the State of Utah, 15 Plaintiff, 16 v. 17 VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 18 105, INC., a Nevada domestic corporation; GIBSON ROAD, LLC, a Nevada limited 19 liability company; GIBSON BUSINESS CENTER PROPERTY OWNER 20 ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit 21 corporation; REPUBLIC SILVER STATE DISPOSAL, INC. dba REPUBLIC 22 SERVICES OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, a foreign corporation; DOE Individuals I 23 through X; and ROE Corporations and Organizations I through V, inclusive; DOE 24 Individuals I through X; and ROE Corporations and Organizations I through V, 25 inclusive, 26 Defendants.

Electronically Filed 7/28/2017 10:02 AM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT**

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No. A-15-728233-C Dept. No. XXII

AMENDED NOTICE OF 2.67 **CONFERENCE**

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 105, INC., a Nevada corporation,

Counterclaimant,

v.

CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE BANK by acquisition of assets from the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank, a Utah banking corporation; GIBSON ROAD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOE Individuals I through XX; and ROE Corporations I through XX,

Counter-Defendants.

AMENDED NOTICE OF 2.67 CONFERENCE

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that pursuant to EDCR 2.67, Plaintiff, by and through Allyson R. Noto, Esq. and Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq., attorney at the law offices of Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd. has rescheduled the 2.67 Conference, due to Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc.'s Counsel's failure to appear for the originally scheduled 2.67 Conference, in the above-referenced matter, for July 28, 2017, at 1:00 p.m. telephonically. Counsel for the parties shall meet and confer pursuant to EDCR 2.67 in connection with the above-entitled action, and shall fully perform all obligations imposed by EDCR 2.67 in all respects at said time.

DATED this 28th day of July, 2017.

SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD.

By: /s/ Allyson R. Noto
Allyson R. Noto, Esq.
Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq.
1731 Village Center Circle
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
Attorneys for Celtic Bank Corporation

SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. 1731 Village Center Circle

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200 1.3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. and that on the <u>28th</u> day of July, 2017, I caused to be served a copy of the above-entitled document on the party set forth below via the Court e-filing system where an email address is provided and/or by depositing the same in the United States Mail, first class, postage prepaid, addressed to those not electronically mailed as follows:

Roger P. Croteau, Esq.
Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq.
ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES
9120 W. Post Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Email: croteaulaw@croteaulawcom
Attorneys for Defendant Vegas United
Investment Series 105, Inc.

Donald H. Williams, Esq.

WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES
612 South Tenth Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Email: dwilliams@dhwlawlv.com
Attorney for Republic Silver State Disposal,
Inc. dba Republic Services of Southern
Nevada

/s/ Kelly Easton
An employee of SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD.

26

27

28

1 **PMEM** SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD. 2 ALLYSON R. NOTO, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 8286 3 KELLY L. SCHMITT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10387 4 1731 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 5 Telephone: (702) 952-5200 6 Facsimile: (702) 952-5205 Email: allyson@sylvesterpolednak.com 7 Email: kelly@sylvesterpolednak.com Attorneys for Celtic Bank Corporation 8 DISTRICT COURT 9 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 10 11 CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE 12 BANK by acquisition of assets from the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank, a 13 Utah banking corporation organized and in good standing under the laws of the State of 14 Utah, 15 Plaintiff. 16 ٧. 17 VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 18 105, INC., a Nevada domestic corporation; GIBSON ROAD, LLC, a Nevada limited 19 liability company; GIBSON BUSINESS CENTER PROPERTY OWNER 20 ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit corporation; REPUBLIC SILVER STATE 21 DISPOSAL, INC. dba REPUBLIC SERVICES OF SOUTHERN NEVADA, a 22 foreign corporation; DOE Individuals I through X; and ROE Corporations and 23 Organizations I through V, inclusive; DOE Individuals I through X; and ROE 24 Corporations and Organizations I through V, inclusive, 25

Electronically Filed 8/4/2017 4:28 PM Steven D. Grierson **CLERK OF THE COURT**

Case No. A-15-728233-C Dept. No. XXII

JOINT PRE-TRIAL MEMORANDUM

Defendants.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Phone (702) 952-5200

VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 105, INC., a Nevada corporation,

Counterclaimant,

v.

CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE BANK by acquisition of assets from the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank, a Utah banking corporation; GIBSON ROAD, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; DOE Individuals I through XX; and ROE Corporations I through XX,

Counter-Defendants.

JOINT PRE-TRIAL MEMORANDUM

CELTIC BANK CORPORATION, successor-in-interest to SILVER STATE BANK by acquisition of assets from the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State Bank ("Celtic Bank" and/or "Plaintiff"), by and through its attorneys, Allyson R. Noto, Esq. and Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq., of the law firm of Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd., and VEGAS UNITED INVESTMENT SERIES 105, INC. ("Vegas United" and/or "Defendant") by and through its attorney, Roger P. Croteau, Esq., of the law firm of Roger P. Croteau & Associates hereby submit the following Pre-Trial Memorandum pursuant to E.D.C.R. 2.67.

The Pre-Trial Conference pursuant to EDCR 2.67 was held on July 28, 2017 at 1:00 p.m. at the law offices of Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd., Allyson R. Noto, Esq. and Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq. attended on behalf of Celtic Bank; and Roger P. Croteau, Esq., attended on behalf of Vegas United.

I.

BRIEF STATEMENT OF STIPULATED FACTS

A. Plaintiff's Loan Documents

1. On or about January 18, 2006, Gibson Road, LLC as Borrower executed a Promissory Note (the "Note") wherein Silver State Bank ("Silver State"), Plaintiff's predecessor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

in interest, agreed to loan Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Thousand, Dollars and 00/100 (\$748,000.00) to Borrower.

- On or about December 9, 2005, and in order to secure payment of the Note, 2. Borrower executed and delivered to Silver State a first priority deed of trust (the "Deed of Trust"). Plaintiff alleges that the Deed of Trust encumbers 181 N. Gibson Road, Henderson, Nevada (the "Property"); however, the Deed of Trust does not reflect either the Property's address or the corresponding assessor's parcel number on its face. The Deed of Trust was recorded in Book No. 20051230 as Instrument No. 0002937 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office on December 30, 2005 and re-recorded on January 23, 2006 in Book No. 20060123 as Instrument No. 0000482.
- On September 5, 2008, Silver State was closed by the Nevada Financial 3. Institutions Division and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") was named Receiver.
- On September 24, 2009, the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State assigned the Note 4. and Deed of Trust to Plaintiff. The Assignment of Deed of Trust was recorded in Book No. 20091109 as Instrument No. 0001572 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office on November 9, 2009 (the "Assignment of DOT").

The Association's Lien and Foreclosure Documents В.

- On August 23, 2011, Red Rock Financial Services ("Red Rock") as agent for the 5. Gibson Business Center Property Owners Association recorded a Lien for Delinquent Assessments ("Assessment Lien").
- The Assessment Lien references that the Lien is "in accordance with Nevada 6. Revised Statutes 116 and outlined in the Association Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions, herein also called CC&Rs, recorded on 10/24/1994, in Book Number, as Instrument Number 19940240000285 and including any and all Amendments and Annexations et seq. of Official Records of Clark County, Nevada, which have been supplied to and agreed upon by said owner." There are no CC&Rs recorded with Instrument Number 19940240000285 in the Official Records of Clark County Nevada.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- There is a document recorded as First Amendment to Declaration of Protective 7. Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions ("First Amendment") recorded in the Recorder's Office of the Clark County Recorder with an Instrument Number of 199410240000285.
 - On October 14, 2011, a Notice of Default ("NOD") was recorded by Red Rock. 8.
 - The NOD references the recorded Assessment Lien. 9.
- The NOD was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested to Celtic Bank at the 10. address set forth on the Assignment of DOT and signed for by a Celtic Bank employee.
- On February 26, 2014, Red Rock Financial Services recorded a Notice of 11. Foreclosure Sale which references the Assessment Lien recorded on August 23, 2011 and the NOD recorded on October 14, 2011.
- The Notice of Foreclosure Sale further states that the sale "will be made to satisfy 12. the indebtedness secured by the Lien, with interest thereon, as provided in the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions, recorded on 10/24/1994, in Book Number, as Instrument Number 19940240000285 of the Official Records in the Office of the Recorder and any subsequent amendments or updates that may have been recorded."
- The Notice of Sale was sent to Celtic Bank at the address set forth on the 13. Assignment of DOT by way of certified mail, return receipt requested but was not signed for by Celtic Bank.
 - The Association foreclosure sale took place on March 21, 2014. 14.
- Vegas United was the highest bidder at the foreclosure sale, paying Thirty 15. Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00).
 - On April 17, 2014, Vegas United recorded a Foreclosure Deed. 16.

Clark County Treasurer Documents C.

- On December 26, 2013, the County Treasurer placed a lien on the Property for 17. past due taxes recorded in Book No. 20131226 as Instrument No. 00891 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office.
- On June 11, 2015, the Clark County Treasurer recorded a Tax Trustee Deed 18. which deeded the Property to Clark County.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- On October 29, 2015, Celtic Bank paid the past due taxes to the Clark County 19. Treasurer in the amount of \$18,281.67, redeeming the Property in the name of Plaintiff's Borrower.
- On November 5, 2015, the Clark County Treasurer recorded a Treasurer's Deed 20. of Reconveyance.

Vegas United's Amended Foreclosure Deed D.

On April 4, 2016, Defendant re-recorded its Foreclosure Deed. 21.

BRIEF STATEMENT OF PLAINTIFFS FACTS

LOAN DOCUMENTS

Plaintiff's Right to Enforce Its Deed of Trust A.

- On or about January 18, 2006, Gibson Road, LLC as Borrower executed a 1. Promissory Note (the "Note") wherein Silver State Bank ("Silver State"), Plaintiff's predecessor in interest, agreed to loan Seven Hundred Forty-Eight Thousand, Dollars and 00/100 (\$748,000.00) to Borrower.
- On or about December 9, 2005, and in order to secure payment of the Note, Borrower executed and delivered to Silver State a first priority deed of trust (the "Deed of Trust") encumbering 181 N. Gibson Road, Henderson, Nevada (the "Property"). The Deed of Trust was recorded in Book No. 20051230 as Instrument No. 0002937 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office on December 30, 2005 and re-recorded on January 23, 2006 in Book No. 20060123 as Instrument No. 0000482.
- On September 5, 2008, Silver State was closed by the Nevada Financial 3. Institutions Division and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") was named Receiver.
- On September 24, 2009, the FDIC as Receiver for Silver State assigned the Note 4. and Deed of Trust to Plaintiff. The Assignment of Deed of Trust was recorded in Book No. 20091109 as Instrument No. 0001572 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office on November 9, 2009 (the "Assignment of DOT").

///

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Collectively, the Note, Deed of Trust and Assignment of DOT are referred herein 5. as (the "Loan Documents.")

The Association's Lien and Foreclosure Documents Reference CC&Rs Which Do В. Not Incorporate NRS 116.

- On August 23, 2011, Red Rock Financial Services ("Red Rock") as purported 6. agent for the Gibson Business Center Property Owners Association recorded a Lien for Delinquent Assessments ("Assessment Lien") which listed the owner of the Property as "Trustee Clark County Treasurer, c/o Gibson Road, LLC."
- The Assessment Lien also provided a legal description which identified the entirety of Gibson Business Park and did not identify the particular parcel which was to be liened.
- The Assessment Lien also references that the Lien is "in accordance with Nevada 8. Revised Statutes 116 and outlined in the Association Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions, herein also called CC&Rs, recorded on 10/24/1994, in Book Number, as Instrument Number 19940240000285 and including any and all Amendments and Annexations et seq. of Official Records of Clark County, Nevada, which have been supplied to and agreed upon by said owner."
- There are no CC&Rs recorded with Instrument Number 19940240000285 in the 9. Official Records of Clark County Nevada.
- There is a document recorded as First Amendment to Declaration of Protective 10. Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions ("First Amendment") with an Instrument Number of 199410240000285 (which is one number different than the Instrument Number referenced in the Association Lien). 1

¹ The First Amendment is a publicly recorded document. A certified copy will be available at the time of trial for the Court's review. Plaintiff requests that the Court take judicial notice of the First Amendment pursuant to NRS 47.130. A "court can take judicial notice of facts in recorded documents that are not subject to reasonable dispute." Wasiak v. Cal-Western Reconveyance Corporation, et al, 2012 WL 1068733 *2 (D. Nev.2012) (citing Lund v. Harbor View Mortgage Loan Trust Mortgage Loan Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-3, 2011 WL 5470580, *1 n.1 (D.Nev. June 21, 2011). (Emphasis added). A court may judicially notice undisputed public record. Disabled Rights Action Committee v. Las Vegas Events, Inc., 375 F.3d 861, 15A.D. Cases 1336, NLDR R201, 04 Cal Daily Op. Serv. 6223, 2004 Daily Journal D.A.R. 8476 (9th Cir.2004) (citing Lee v. City of Los Angeles, 250 F.3d 668, 689 (9th Cir2001). Courts may take judicial notice of public records at any time. NRS 47.170.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200

- 11. The First Amendment amends that certain document entitled Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions ("1989 Master CC&Rs") which were recorded by Declarant AmPac Development Company in 1989 as Instrument Number 198909110000173.²
- 12. Neither the 1989 Master CC&Rs nor the 1994 Amendment to the 1989 Master CC&Rs incorporate, reference, or mention NRS 116 or provide any language that a lien for delinquent assessments prime a first priority deed of trust.
- 13. In fact, Section 8.09 of the 1989 Master CC&Rs fails to provide the lien for delinquent assessments with "super-priority" status, stating only as follows:
 - 8.09 <u>Liens to Secure Assessments</u>. All Assessments, including interest and other amounts due with respect to unpaid assessments, shall constitute, and shall be secured by, a separately valid and existing lien on the portion of the Premises to which they relate, and upon all Improvements at any time erected or constructed thereon. The provisions of Nev. Rev. Stat. Section 278A.170 are incorporated herein by this reference.
 - 14. And, Section 11.03 of the 1989 CC&Rs state:

11.03 Protection of Encumbrances

- (a) No violation or breach of, or failure to comply with, any provision of this Declaration, and no action to enforce any such provision, shall affect, defeat, render invalid or impair the lien of any mortgage, deed of trust or other lien on any Lot or part of the Premises taken in good faith and for value; nor shall any violation, breach, failure to comply or action to enforce affect, defeat, render invalid or impair the title or interest of the holder of any such mortgage, deed of trust or other lien or title of any interest acquired by any purchaser upon foreclosure of any such mortgage, deed of trust or other lien, or result in any liability, personal or otherwise, of any such holder or purchaser.
- 15. NRS 116 was enacted in 1991. The 1989 Master CC&Rs were recorded prior to NRS 116 being enacted and as such, have no reference to NRS 116 nor any "super-priority" status of an HOA lien.
 - 16. The First Amendment recorded in 1994 also has no reference to NRS 116. Its

² The CC&Rs are a publicly recorded document. A certified copy will be available at the time of trial for the Court's review. Plaintiff requests that the Court take judicial notice of the First Amendment pursuant to NRS 47.130 and NRS 47.170.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

stated purpose was to remove some of the property originally encumbered by the Declarant of the 1989 Master CC&Rs.

- On October 14, 2011, a Notice of Default ("NOD") was recorded by Red Rock. 17.
- The NOD references the recorded Assessment Lien and includes the same 18. incorrect legal description and incorrect Instrument Number.
- The NOD was sent by certified mail, return receipt requested to Plaintiff and 19. signed for by a Plaintiff employee.
- The NOD references that the obligation under "Covenants Conditions and 20. Restrictions recorded on 10/24/1994" (albeit with an incorrect Instrument Number) "has been breached."
- As the NOD and Assessment Lien were presumably trying to reference the 1994 21. Amendment to the Master CC&Rs, Plaintiff had no notice, constructive or otherwise, that its security interest was at risk for non-payment of Association assessments.
- On October 21, 2011, in conjunction with the Association's foreclosure, Red 22. Rock obtained a Trustee's Sale Guarantee ("TSG") which provides an exception to title related to the 1989 Master CC&Rs which specifically provides:
 - COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, EASEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, LIENS, CHARGES, TERMS AND PROVISIONS IN THE DOCUMENT RECORDED SEPTEMBER 11, 1989 IN BOOK 890911 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 00173 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, WHICH PROVIDE THAT A VIOLATION THEREOF SHALL NOT DEFEAT OR RENDER INVALID THE LIEN OF ANY FIRST MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST MADE IN GOOD FAITH AND FOR VALUE, BUT DELETING ANY CONDITION OR RESTRICTION INDICATING COVENANT. PREFERENCE, LIMITATION OR DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE, HANDICAP, **FAMILIAL** SEX, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, TO THE EXTENT SUCH COVENANTS, CONDITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS VIOLATE TITLE 42, SECTION 3604(c), OF THE UNITED STATES CODES.
- The TSG also indicates that the Deed of Trust recorded and assigned to Plaintiff 23. encumbers the Property subject to this dispute.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	24.	On December	r 21,	2011,	Plaintiff	received	corresponden	e from	Red	Rock,
Truste	e for th	e Association,	advis	ing "[t]	he Assoc	iation's L	ien for Delino	uent A	ssessn	nent is
Junior	r only t	o the Senior L	ender	/Mortg	gage Hold	er."				
	25.	Plaintiff was	the Se	nior Le	ender/Mor	tgage Hol	der in 2011 an	d thus, t	he lett	er sent

- by Red Rock specifically advised Plaintiff that its security interest was senior to the Assessment Lien recorded in 2011. The letter was therefore consistent with the representations in the Assessment Lien and the NOD that the Association foreclosure was pursuant to documents that did not provide for a super-priority lien position over Plaintiff's Deed of Trust.
- On February 26, 2014, Red Rock recorded a Notice of Foreclosure Sale which 26. again references the Assessment Lien recorded August 23, 2011 and the NOD recorded on October 14, 2011.
- The Notice of Foreclosure Sale further states that the sale "will be made to satisfy 27. the indebtedness secured by the Lien, with interest thereon, as provided in the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions, recorded on 10/24/1994, in Book Number, as Instrument Number 19940240000285 of the Official Records in the Office of the Recorder and any subsequent amendments or updates that may have been recorded."
- There is no such Instrument Number in the Official Records in the Office of the 28. Recorder but presumably, the Notice of Sale was attempting to reference the 1994 Amendment to the 1989 Master CC&Rs.
- There are no documents recorded in the Office of the Recorded which amend or 29. update the 1994 Amendment to the 1989 Master CC&Rs.

The 2004 CC&Rs Are Recorded After the 1989 Master CC&Rs and Are a Separate C. Encumbrance on the Property.

- The Gibson Business Center Property Owners Association (the "Association") 30. was not formed until December 16, 2003.
- On March 18, 2004, Declarant, Gibson American Pacific, LLC, recorded a 31. Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (2004 CC&Rs) for the Association as Book No. 20040318, Instrument Number 03472.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- The 2004 CC&Rs do not amend the 1989 Master CC&R's nor the 1994 First 32. Amendment but are rather a separate encumbrance recorded against the Property and recite that "each owner of a parcel of land within the Project shall have appurtenant to it a membership in the Gibson Business Center Property Owners Association Inc., a Nevada nonprofit corporation (the 'Association'), which will administer this Declaration."
- Article II of the 2004 CC&Rs provide in the General Declaration that "Declarant 33. hereby declares that all of the Project, including the Real Property, is hereby made subject to this Declaration and shall be conveyed, hypothecated, encumbered, leased, occupied, built upon or otherwise used, improved or transferred in whole or in part, subject to this Declaration and the Master Declaration."
- The "Master Declaration" is a defined term in the 2004 CC&Rs and states that 34. "Master Declaration" shall mean that certain Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions of record as recorded by American Pacific Development Company, a Nevada Corporation and applicable to the Real Property together with certain other adjoining real property, ant the terms and conditions of which are incorporated herein by this reference."
- Thus, the 2004 CC&Rs make it clear that there are two separate sets of CC&Rs 35. which encumber the Property.
- The 2004 CC&Rs also provide in the Recitals that "[t]he Real Property shall not 36. be subject to the provisions of the Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act, codified in Chapter 116 of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") except to the extent permitted under NRS 278A.170."
- NRS 278A.170 sets forth the procedure for enforcing payment of an assessment 37. for the maintenance of common open space and is thus inapplicable to this matter.
- In the same TSG referenced above received by Red Rock related to the 38. foreclosure sale there is another exception to title which states:
 - COVENANTS, CONDITIONS, RESTRICTIONS, EASEMENTS, ASSESSMENTS, LIENS, CHARGES, TERMS AND PROVISIONS IN THE DOCUMENT RECORDED MARCH 18, 2004 IN BOOK 20040318 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 03472 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS, WHICH PROVIDE THAT A VIOLATION THEREOF SHALL NOT DEFEAT OR RENDER

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Phone (702) 952-5200

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INVALID THE LIEN OF ANY FIRST MORTGAGE OR DEED OF TRUST MADE IN GOOD FAITH AND FOR VALUE, BUT DELETING ANY **INDICATING** COVENANT, CONDITION OR RESTRICTION PREFERENCE, LIMITATION OR DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE, SEX, HANDICAP, FAMILIAL STATUS, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, TO THE EXTENT SUCH COVENANTS, CONDITIONS OR RESTRICTIONS VIOLATE TITLE 42, SECTION 3604(c), OF THE UNITED STATES CODES.

NOTE 1: DOCUMENTS DECLARING MODIFICATIONS THEREOF RECORDED MAY 14, 2004 IN BOOK 20040514 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 05758 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS AND RECORDED MAY 26, 2004 IN BOOK 20040526 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 04268 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS AND RECORDED JULY 14, 2004 IN BOOK 20040714 AS INSTRUMENT NO. **04161** OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

- None of the documents related to the foreclosure sale which is the subject of the 39. instant matter reference the 2004 CC&Rs.
- There are no documents that have been disclosed in this Litigation or, upon 40. information and belief exist, that would indicate to the Plaintiff or any other person that the Plaintiff's first priority Deed of Trust would be extinguished upon the foreclosure sale.
- As noted above on February 26, 2014, a Notice of Sale was recorded by the 41. Association.
- The Notice of Sale was sent to Plaintiff's former address by way of certified mail, 42. return receipt requested but was not delivered nor signed for by Plaintiff.
- The Association foreclosure sale took place on March 21, 2014. 70 people 43. attended the Association's foreclosure sale and 3 people bid on the Property.
- As further evidence that the foreclosure sale was held pursuant to a breach of the 44. 1989 Master CC&R's or the 1994 Amendment to the 1989 Master CC&Rs, the 2004 CC&Rs also provide that "no foreclosure sale shall occur until the lapse of sixty (60) days following delivery of notice of such pending sale to any Mortgagee or such Owner and the failure of such Owner or Mortgagee to fully cure such violation."3

Plaintiff can only assume the foreclosure sale was pursuant to the 1989 Master CC&Rs as there is no recorded document called "CC&Rs, recorded on 10/24/1994, in Book Number, as Instrument Number 19940240000285" which is the description throughout all of the recorded documents associated with the foreclosure sale. A fair guess

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Notice of Sale was not delivered to Plaintiff nor did 60 days elapse between 45. the Notice of Sale and the actual sale of the Property thus providing further evidence that the foreclosure sale was not held pursuant to the 2004 CC&Rs. Only 23 days elapsed between the recording of the Notice of Sale and the actual sale date.

Plaintiff Redeems the Property from the Clark County Treasurer. D.

- On June 3, 2013, the County Treasurer placed a lien on the Property for past due 46. taxes recorded in Book No. 20131226 as Instrument No. 00891 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office.
- As a result of Borrower's default under the Loan Documents, a Notice of Default 47. by Plaintiff was recorded March 2, 2015 in Book No. 20150302 as Instrument No. 0003758 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office ("Celtic NOD").
- On April 30, 2015, after recording the Celtic NOD, Plaintiff was notified for the 48. first time that there was a claim by Vegas United that the foreclosure sale at issue in this case wiped out Plaintiff's first priority Deed of Trust pursuant to SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. US Bank, N.A. 334 P.3d 408 (2014).
- On June 11, 2015, the Clark County Treasurer recorded a Tax Trustee Deed 49. which deeded the Property to Clark County.
- On October 13, 2015, the Clark County Treasurer sent a Notice of Intent to Deed 50. to the Property c/o Plaintiff's Borrower, Gibson Road, LLC.
- On October 29, 2015, Plaintiff paid the past due taxes to the Clark County 51. Treasurer in the amount of \$18,281.67 to redeem the Property.
- On November 5, 2015, the Clark County Treasurer recorded a Treasurer's Deed 52. of Reconveyance reconveying title to the Property to Plaintiff's Borrower Gibson Road, LLC.

The Foreclosure Sale Did Not Transfer Title to the Defendant Free and Clear of Ε. Plaintiff's First Priority Deed of Trust.

As set forth above, the recorded documents related to the foreclosure sale, as well 53. as correspondence sent to Plaintiff from Red Rock all evidence that the foreclosure sale at issue

would be that the Notices are attempting to reference Instrument Number 199410240000285 which is the 1994 12

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

in this case, if anything, was held pursuant to the 1989 Master CC&Rs and did not extinguish Plaintiff's first priority Deed of Trust, although it cannot be fairly said that none of the recorded documents properly reference any existing CC&Rs at all.

- It is clear that a sale to took place on March 21, 2014 conducted by Red Rock. 54.
- A purported "Foreclosure Deed" was recorded April 17, 2014 in Book No. 55. 20140417 as Instrument No. 03282 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office.
- The Foreclosure Deed contained the same incorrect legal description of the 56. Property as contained in both the NOD and the Notice of Foreclosure Sale.
- On May 3, 2014, the Clark County Assessor sent correspondence to Vegas United 57. advising of "difficulties" in processing the "Foreclosure Deed" and requesting corrective action by Vegas United by way of correcting the legal description of the Property to describe the particular part of the Property for which the foreclosure took place.
- On April 4, 2016, over two years after the purported foreclosure sale and after 58. Plaintiff had already redeemed the Property from the Clark County Treasurer, Defendant recorded an Amended Foreclosure Deed to correct the legal description of the Foreclosure Deed recorded in May of 2014.

BRIEF STATEMENT OF DEFENDANT'S ALLEGED FACTS

- On or about September 11, 1989, a Declaration was recorded as Instrument No. 1. 890911-00173 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder, thereby creating the Gibson Business Center Property Owners Association (the "Association") and perfecting a lien in favor of the Association on all real property located within the premises it governed, including but not limited to the Property. The Declaration was re-recorded on March 18, 2014, as Instrument No. 20040318-03472.
- The lien having been recorded prior to any other liens is first in right and first in 2. time as to all other interests recorded after the Declaration with the exception of liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments.

Amendment to the 1989 Master CC&Rs.

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
40

///

1

2

3

4

3. On or about December 30, 2005, Silver State Bank recorded the Deed of Trust in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 200512300002937 ("First Deed of Trust"). The First Deed of Trust was subsequently re-recorded on January 23, 2006, as Instrument No. 200601230000482.

- 4. Celtic Bank subsequently became the holder and/or owner of the First Deed of Trust by way of an assignment recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder on or about November 9, 2009, as Instrument No. 200911090001572.
- 5. The Assignment of DOT identified an address for Celtic Bank Corp. of 340 East 400 South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Celtic Bank did not subsequently record any document against the Property providing notice of any other address.
- 6. By virtue of its ownership of the Property, Former Owner was a member of the Association and accordingly was obligated to pay Association assessments pursuant to the terms of the CC&Rs.
- 7. At some point in time during its ownership of the Property, Former Owner failed to pay the Association assessments related to the Property.
- 8. As a result of the failure of Former Owner to pay the Association assessments, Association recorded one or more Notices of Delinquent Assessment Lien ("Association Lien") with the Office of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.
- 9. Thereafter, Association recorded a Notice of Default and Election to Sell with the Office of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.
- 10. After the expiration of 90 days from the recording and mailing of the Notice of Default, Association caused a Notice of Trustee's Sale to be recorded with the Office of the Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.
- 11. On or about March 21, 2014, Association caused a foreclosure sale ("Association Foreclosure Sale") to be conducted pursuant to the powers conferred by the Nevada Revised Statutes 116.3116, 116.31162, 116.31163 and/or 116.31164; the CC&Rs; the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien; and the Notice of Default and Election to Sell.

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	The second second second second
27	The state of the s
28	

12. Vegas United purchased the Property by successfully bidding at the Association Foreclosure Sale, paying valuable consideration in the amount of Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000.00).

- 13. On or about April 17, 2014, a Foreclosure Deed was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 20140417-0003282, vesting title to the Property in the name of Vegas United.
- 14. The Association Foreclosure Sale complied with all requirements of law, including but not limited to, the recording and mailing of copies of the Notice of Delinquent Assessment and Notice of Default, and the recording, posting and publication of the Notice of Sale.
- 15. The Association incurred charges within the nine (9) months immediately preceding the initiation of the Association foreclosure action that constituted super priority amounts.
- 16. The foreclosure of the HOA Lien served to extinguish all subordinate liens in the Property, including the Deed of Trust, pursuant to Nevada law as interpreted by the Nevada Supreme Court in the matter of SFR Investments.
- 17. Former Owner's ownership interest in the Property was extinguished by the foreclosure of the Association Lien.
- 18. Celtic Bank's security interest in the Property, if any, was extinguished by the foreclosure of the Association Lien and the First Deed of Trust was rendered null, void and unenforceable.
- 19. By virtue of its purchase of the Property at the Association Foreclosure Sale, Vegas United became the sole owner of all right, title and interest in the Property free and clear of any encumbrances of the Celtic Bank and/or the Former Owner.

II.

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

A. Claims for Relief on Plaintiff's Complaint:

1. Judicial Foreclosure of Deed of Trust.

11 12 731 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, Nevada 89134 13 Phone (702) 952-5200 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Claims for Relief on Defendant's Counterclaim: В.

- Ouiet Title/Declaratory Relief; and 1.
- Slander of Title. 2.

Defendant's Defenses to Plaintiff's Complaint: C.

- Defendant states that the allegations contained in the Complaint fail to state a 1. cause of action against this answering party upon which relief can be granted.
- Plaintiff has failed to mitigate its damages, if any, the existence of which is expressly denied.
- The Plaintiff's claims for damages are barred as a result of the failure to satisfy 3. conditions precedent.
- The Plaintiff's claims have been waived by the acts and conduct of the Plaintiff 4. and, therefore, Plaintiff is estopped from asserting its claims for damages against this answering party.
 - The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the Doctrine of Laches. 5.
- The damages which are alleged to have been incurred by the Plaintiff, if any, are 6. the direct result, in whole or in part, of acts or omissions of the Plaintiff and/or its authorized agents and representatives, and this answering party is not responsible for any such damages.
 - The Plaintiff lacks standing to bring some or all of the claims asserted. 7.
 - The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the applicable statutes of limitations. 8.
 - Defendant is a bona fide purchaser for value. 9.
- Any security interest that the Plaintiff once possessed was extinguished as a 10. matter of law at the time of the association foreclosure sale dated March 21, 2014.
- To the extent that the Plaintiff has paid any sum of money to the Clark County 11. Treasures or otherwise in relation to the Property, recovery of the same is barred by the Voluntary Payment Doctrine.
- The First Deed of Trust upon which the Plaintiff seeks to foreclose is not recorded 12. against; is not secured by; and does not relate to the Property by its own terms.

- 13. Defendant hereby incorporates by reference those affirmative defenses enumerated in Rule 8 of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure as if fully set forth herein. In the event further investigation or discovery reveals the applicability of any such defenses, Defendant reserves the right to seek leave of Court to amend its Answer to specifically assert the same. Such defenses are herein incorporated by reference for the specific purpose of not waiving the same.
- 14. Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 11, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been raised herein as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of this Answer, and therefore, this answering Defendant reserves the right to amend its answer to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation so warrants.

D. Plaintiff's Defenses to Defendant's Counterclaim:

- 1. Counter-Claimant fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Plaintiff has been required to obtain the services of an attorney to defend this action and a reasonable sum should be awarded Celtic Bank as and for attorney fees and costs of suit incurred herein.
- 3. Counter-Claimant's Counterclaim on file herein is barred in whole or in part, by the doctrine of unclean hands.
 - 4. Counter-Claimant's Counterclaim is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.
 - 5. Counter-Claimant's Counterclaim is barred by the doctrine of waiver.
 - 6. Counter-Claimant's Counterclaim is barred by the doctrine of laches.
- 7. The damages, if any, which Counter-Claimant has allegedly suffered are caused in whole or in part by the acts or omissions of the Counter-Claimant or its agents and representatives.
- 8. Plaintiff avers that Counter-Claimant's injuries and damages, if any, were contributed to and caused by Counter-Claimant's own acts and negligence, which negligence was greater than Plaintiff's negligence, if any.

as Vegas, Nevada 89134

_	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	l

- Any damages or injuries sustained by Counter-Claimant came as a result of an 9. intervening cause over Celtic bank had no control.
 - Counter-Claimant has failed to mitigate its damages. 10.
- To the extent the HOA's foreclosure sale was valid, Counter-Claimant took title 11. of the Property subject to Plaintiff's first priority Deed of Trust, thereby preventing any extinguishment of the Plaintiff's interest in the Property.
- Counter-Claimant voluntarily knew and understood the risks and thereby assumed 12. such risks.
- The HOA foreclosure sale by which Counter-Claimant took its alleged interest 13. was commercially unreasonable if it extinguished Plaintiff's interest in the Property.
- Granting Counter-Claimant's demand in the Counterclaim would result in 14. Counter-Claimant becoming unjustly enriched.
- NRS 116 is unconstitutional, facially and as applied, under the constitution of 15. Nevada and/or the United States of America.
 - Counter-Claimant's Counterclaim is barred by the statute of limitations. 16.
- The HOA's sale did not comply with the provisions if NRS 116.31162-NRS 17. 116.31165 or NRS 107.090 and is therefore void and without effect.
- A senior deed of trust beneficiary cannot be deprived of its property interest in 18. violation of the Due Process Clause of the constitution of Nevada and/or the United States of America.
- The "Foreclosure Deed" recorded on March 17, 2014 did not transfer title of the 19. Property to Counter-Claimant.
 - 20. Counter-Claimant is not a bona fide purchaser.
 - The Voluntary Payment Doctrine does not apply in this action. 21.
- A justiciable controversy does not exist between Counter-Claimant and this 22. answering Plaintiff.
- Pursuant to Rule 11 of NRCP as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may 23. not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts are not available after reasonable inquiry

as Vegas, Nevada 89134 Phone (702) 952-5200 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

from the filing of Counter-Claimant's Counterclaim. In the event further investigation or discovery in this case reveals the applicability of any additional affirmative defenses, including but not limited to those affirmative defenses enumerated to NRCP 8(c), Counter-Claimant reserves the right to specifically assert any such defenses. The defenses contained in NRCP 8(c) are incorporated herein by reference for the specific purpose of not waiving any such defenses. III.

CLAIMS OR DEFENSES TO BE ABANDONED

Plaintiff and Defendant are not abandoning any claims at this time.

IV.

STIPULATED EXHIBITS

- Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and Restriction Gibson Business 1. Park, Phase One, Clark County Covenant, Conditions and Restrictions recorded 09/11/89 (CB0000386-CB000434);
- First Amendment to Declaration of Protective Covenants, Conditions and Restriction 2. Gibson Business Park, Phase One, Clark County recorded 10/24/94 (CB000435-CB000439);
 - Covenant Condition and Restrictions recorded 03/18/04 (CB000355-CB000385); 3.
 - Promissory Note dated 12/09/05 and Allonge (CB0000001 CB00005); 4.
 - Re-Recorded Deed of Trust recorded 01/23/06 (CB000018- CB000056); 5.
 - Assignment of Rents Recorded 01/23/06 (CB000057- CB000081); 6.
 - Assignment of Deed of Trust recorded 11/09/09 (CB000082- CB000083); 7.
 - Assignment of Rents Recorded 11/09/09 (CB000084 CB000085); 8.
 - Lien for Delinquent Assessments recorded 08/23/11 (REDROCK0047); 9.
- Notice of Default and Election to Sell recorded 10/14/11 (REDROCK0060; 10. REDROCK0054; and REDROCK0346);
- First American Title Trustee's Sale Guarantee dated 10/21/11 (GBC000382-11. GBC000394);
 - Red Rock Financial letter to Celtic Bank and other entities dated 12/ 21/1 12. (REDROCK0312, 0311, 0313-0317));

13.	Email from Ashley Panon/Red Rock to Sjones@marwestre.com dated 8/12/13
(CB000440	o-CB000441);
14.	Tax Trustee Certificate recorded 12/26/13 (REDROCK0189);
15.	Notice of Sale recorded 02/26/14 (REDROCK0075-REDRROCK0081,
REDROCK	(0071);
16.	Red Rock Emails dated 03/21/14 re: sale (REDROCK0117, REDROCK0116);
17.	Foreclosure Deed recorded 4/17/14 (001-003);
18.	Assessor Correspondence to Vegas United dated 05/03/14 (CB000092- CB000097);
19.	Past Due Property Tax Notices to Borrower dated 06/09/14 (CB000343); 08/06/14
(CB000353); & 02/06/15 (CB000354);
20.	Notice of Default and Election to Sell recorded 03/02/15 (CB000103-CB000108);
21.	Cease and Desist Letter to Celtic dated April 30, 2015 (CB000180-CB000183);
22.	Tax Trustee Deed recorded 06/11/15 (CB000109-CB000109A);
23.	Email chain between Jeffrey I. Orgill and Maricela Carrera, et al re: Clark County
Trustee Au	etion -Parcel 178-15-511-042 dated 10/2015 (CB000138- CB000141);
24.	Notice of Intent to Deed dated 10/13/15 (CB000143);
25.	Wire Confirmation from Celtic Bank to Bank of America in the amount of
\$18,281.67	dated 10/29/15 (CB000142);
26.	Treasurer's Deed of Reconveyance recorded 11/05/15 (CB000110- CB000111);
27.	Re-recorded Foreclosure Deed recorded 4/4/16 (CB000442-CB000448);
28.	First American Title Insurance Company National Commercial Services dated
11/9/15 (CI	3000112- CB000133);
29.	Notices (REDROCK 0002- REDROCK 0036);
30.	Certified Mailings of Affidavits dated 10/20/11 (REDROCK 0048- REDROCK
0060);	
31.	Certificates of Mailing with Affidavit of Service for Notice of Foreclousre Sale
Recorded o	n 2/26/14 (REDROCK 0061- REDROCK 0081);
32.	Certificate of Sale dated 3/21/14 (REDROCK 0082);
32.	20

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	
	11	
	12	
0.70	1.3	
-7666	14	
70/) 2	15	
) alloll i	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	-
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
	26	
	27	
	28	

	33.	Foreclosure Deed dated 4/15/14 (REDROCK 0083- REDROCK 0085);
	34.	Excess Proceeds to Dues Accounting (REDROCK 0089- REDROCK 0109);
	35.	Foreclosure Deed to Charles Schmidt (REDROCK 0110- REDROCK 0115);
	36.	Notice to Proceed with HOA Sale (REDROCK 0119- REDROCK 0131);
	37.	Charles Schmidt \$30,000 Payment (REDROCK 0140- REDROCK 0144);
	38.	Notice of Foreclosure Sale/Affidavit of Mailing (REDROCK 0159- REDROCK
0174);		
	39.	Gibson HOA Permission Documents (REDROCK 0175- REDROCK 0178);
	40.	Affidavit of Service -Gibson Road, LLC (REDROCK 0204- REDROCK 0207;
REDR	OCK 0	209- REDROCK 0210);
	41.	Certificate of Mailing of Demand for Payment (REDROCK 0291- REDROCK
0299);		
	42.	Letter Request Notice of Delinquent Assessment/Recorded on 8/23/11, and
Notice	of Def	ault and Election to Sue dated 10/14/11 (REDROCK 0303- REDROCK 0330);
	43.	Certified Mailings (REDROCK 0342- REDROCK 0359);
	44.	Notice of Default and election to Sell Pursuant to the Lien for Delinquent
Assess	sment (1	NOD) (REDROCK 0363- REDROCK 0368);
	45.	Mailing Affidavit for NOD (REDROCK 0396- REDROCK 0410);
	46.	Mailing Affidavit for Lien for Delinquent Assessments (REDROCK 0417-
REDR	OCK 0	424);
	47.	Stewart Title Preliminary Title Report dated 07/13/14 (CB 000144- CB00179);
	48.	Letter dated 04/30/15 (CB000180- CB0000183);
	49.	Orgill Emails dated 06/05/14 (CB000187- CB000188);

1	50.	Shapiro, Esq. Letter dated 08/01/14 (CB000345);
2	51.	Shapiro Email dated 08/01/14 (CB000351- CB000352);
3	52.	Gibson Business Center Property Owner Association pursuant to Subpoena Duces
4	Tecum dated	03/23/17 (the "HOA," and/or "Gibson HOA") Certificates of Mailing (GBC
5	000001- GBC	2000035);
6	53.	Letter from J. Shapiro, Esq. to Red Rock Financial Services in HOA files with all
8	policies and p	proofs of service (GBC000036- GBC000113);
9	54.	Affidavit of Mailing for Notice of Foreclosure Sale with related documents in
10	HOA files (G	BC 000158- GBC000176);
11	55.	HOA Notice of Sale with Pre Audit Checklist (GBC000250);
12	56.	Red Rock Financial Services Homeowners Progress Report (GBC000251-
13	GBC000252)	
14	57.	Certified Mail Celtic Bank Received dated 10/24/11 (GBC000345- GBC000362);
15 16	58.	First American Trustee's Sale Guarantee – HOA Assessment Lien (GBC000382 –
17	GBC000395)	·
18	59.	Mailing and NOD (GBC000396- GBC000409).
19	; .	V.
20		LIST OF WITNESSES
21	A. Plaint	tiff's List of Witnesses:
22	1.	Brian Zern Celtic Bank Corporation
23		c/o Allyson R. Noto, Esq. Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq.
24		Sylvester & Polednak, Ltd.
25		1731 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, NV 89134
26 27		
28		22

1		
2	2.	Charles Schmidt
3		Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc. c/o Roger P. Croteau, Esq.
4		Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq.
5		ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES 9120 W. Post Road, Suite 100
6		Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
7	3.	Julie Skinner First American Title Insurance Company
8		National Commercial Services
9		2500 Paseo Verde Parkway, Suite 120 Henderson, NV 89074
10		(702) 731-4131
11	B. Defei	ndant's List of Witnesses:
12	1.	Charles Schmidt
		Vegas United Investment Series 105, Inc. c/o Roger P. Croteau, Esq.
13		Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq.
14		ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES 9120 W. Post Road, Suite 100
15		Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
16	2.	Julia Thompson
17		Account Coordinator, Custodian of Records Red Rock Financial Services
18		C/O CSC Services of Nevada, Inc., Registered Agent
19		Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 742-4244
20	3.	Karen Klagues
21		Person Most Knowledgeable/Board President
22		Gibson Center Property Owners Association 2507 Bonniewood Court
23		Henderson, Nevada 89074
24	4.	Stephanie Jordan
25		Custodian of Records Gibson Road Property Owners Association
26		C/O Marwest Commercial Real Estate Services, LLC, Registered Agent 8861 West Sahara, Suite 260
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
27		(702) 215-8148
28		23

1731 Village Center Circle Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Phone (702) 952-5200

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VI.

ANTICPATED ISSUES OF LAW

A. Plaintiff's Anticipated Issues of Law:

- 1. Plaintiff's right to enforce its first priority Deed of Trust and judicially foreclose on the Property;
 - 2. Alternatively, whether the Association sale was conducted pursuant to NRS 116;
 - 3. Whether inadequate Foreclosure Notices require the Association sale be set aside;
 - 4. Whether equity demands that Quiet Title in favor of Defendant be denied;
 - 5. Whether Defendant is a Bona Fide Purchaser;
 - 6. Whether Defendant can assert a claim for Slander of Title;
- 7. Whether Defendant is equitably estopped from owning the Property free and clear of Plaintiff's first priority Deed of Trust;
- 8. Whether the treasurer's reconveyance transferred title of the Property free and clear of any encumbrances;
 - 9. Whether the Association sale was conducted in violation of the CC&Rs;
 - 10. Whether the Association sale was commercially reasonable;
 - 11. Whether the Voluntary Payment Doctrine applies; and
 - 12. Whether Defendant has been unjustly enriched.

B. Defendant's Anticipated Issues of Law:

- 1. Whether the Deed of Trust complied with NRS 111.310 et seq.
- 2. Whether the security interest evidenced by the Deed of Trust ever attached to the subject property.
- 3. Whether the Deed of Trust was extinguished as a matter of law as a result of the Association Sale.
 - 4. Whether the Association sale complied or substantially complied with NRS 116.
 - 5. Whether Defendant is a bona fide purchaser for value.
- 6. Whether equity demands that Celtic Bank be barred from recovery based upon its failure to take action to protect its alleged security interest.

_	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ŀ
22	
23	
24	
25	-
26	-
27	

T 7	т	T	
•			

EVIDENTIARY ISSUES

The Parties have stipulated that all exhibits are to be admitted for all purposes except for any objections pursuant to NRS 48.025 and NRS 48.035. The Parties have further stipulated that neither the originals nor certified copies of any exhibits are required.

VIII.

DEPOSITION DESIGNATION/OBJECTIONS

None at this time.

IX.

AGREEMENTS AS TO LIMITATION OR EXCLUSION OF EVIDENCE

Defendant is limited to relying upon any witnesses and exhibits listed in Plaintiff's Pre-Trial Disclosures.

X.

ADDITIONAL MATTERS FOR THE COURT TO CONSIDER

None at this time.

<u>XI.</u>

ESTIMATED TIME FOR TRIAL

1-2 days.

DATED this 4th day of August, 2017.

SYLVESTER & POLEDNAK, LTD.

ROGER P. CROTEAU & ASSOCIATES

By:_	/s/ Allyson R. Noto
•	Allyson R. Noto, Esq.
	Kelly L. Schmitt, Esq.
	1731 Village Center Circle
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134
	Attorneys for Celtic Bank Corporation

13/ Roger 1. Croteau
Roger P. Croteau, Esq.
Timothy E. Rhoda, Esq.
9120 W. Post Road, Suite 100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148
Attorneys for Defendant Vegas United
Investment Series 105, Inc.