IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

NEVADA YELLOW CAB)
CORPORATION, NEVADA	
CHECKER CAB CORPORATION, and)
NEVADA STAR CAB)
CORPORATION'	Sup. Ct. No. Ziectronically Filed
Petitioners,) Case No.: A Oct 668 2017 03:58 p.m.) Elizabeth A. Brown
vs.) Clerk of Supreme Court
) Dept. No.: XXVIII
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT)
COURT of the State of Nevada, in and)
For the County of Clark, and THE)
HONORABLE RONALD J. ISRAEL)
District Judge,)
Respondents,)
)
and)
CHRISTOPHER THOMAS, and))
CHRISTOPHER CRAIG,)
Real Parties in Interest.)
)

PETITIONERS' SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

MARC C. GORDON, ESQ. GENERAL COUNSEL Nevada Bar No. 001866 TAMER B. BOTROS, ESQ. SENIOR LITIGATION COUNSEL Nevada Bar No. 012183 YELLOW CHECKER STAR TRANSPORTATION CO. LEGAL DEPT. 5225 W. Post Road Las Vegas, Nevada 89118 T: 702-873-6531 F: 702-251-3460 tbotros@ycstrans.com **Attorneys for Petitioners** NEVADA YELLOW CAB CORPORATION NEVADA CHECKER CAB CORPORATION **NEVADA STAR CAB CORPORATION**

1	INDEX Bates No.
2	NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO
4	STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE
5	DEFENSES
6	
7	

Electronically Filed 10/16/2017 1:57 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

NOTC 1 LEON GREENBERG, ESQ., SBN 8094 DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ., SBN 11715 2 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation 2965 South Jones Blvd-Suite E3 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 (702) 383-6085 (702) 385-1827(fax) 4 leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com 5 dana@overtimelaw.com 6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 CHRISTOPHER THOMAS, and CHRISTOPHER CRAIG, Individually Case No.: A-12-661726-C and on behalf of others similarly Dept.: XXVIII 11 situated. NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER 12 Plaintiffs, **GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'** MOTION TOSTRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 13 VS. 14 NEVADA YELLOW CAB CORPORATION, NEVADA 15 CHECKER CAB CORPORATION, and **NEVADA STAR CAB** 16 CORPORATION. 17 Defendants. 18 19 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the attached order was entered on October 12, 20 2017. 21 Dated: Clark County, Nevada October 16, 2017 22 23 Leon Greenberg Professional Corporation 24 By: /s/ Leon Greenberg Leon Greenberg, Esq. 25 Nevada Bar No.: 8094 26 2965 South Jones Boulevard - Suite E3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89146 Tel (702) 383-6085 27 Attorney for Plaintiff 28

1

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on October 16, 2017, she served the within:

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

by court electronic service to:

TO:

Marc C. Gordon, Esq.
Tamer Botros, Esq.
General Counsel
Yellow Checker Star Transportation Co.
Legal Dept.
5225 W. Post Road
Las Vegas, NV 89118

/s/Sydney Saucier

SydneySaucier

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

10/12/2017 2:02 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COUR

Electronically Filed

ORDR

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ. (SBN 8094)

DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ. (SBN 11715)

LEON GREENBERG PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

2965 South Jones Boulevard, Suite E3

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Tel: (702) 383-6085 / Fax (702) 385-1827 Email: leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com

Email: dana@overtimelaw.com

DON SPRINGMEYER, ESQ. (SBN 1021) BRADLEY SCHRAGER, ESQ. (SBN 10217)

ROYI MOAS, ESQ. (SBN 10686) WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,

SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP

3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor

Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Tel.: (702) 341-5200 / Fax: (702) 341-5300

Email: dspringmeyer@wrslawyers.com Email: bschrager@wrslawyers.com

Email: rmoas@wrslawyers.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER THOMAS, an individual, and CHRISTOPHER CRAIG, an individual; all on behalf of themselves and all similarly-situated individuals,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

NEVADA YELLOW CAB CORPORATION; NEVADA CHECKER CAB CORPORATION; and STAR CAB CORPORATION.

Defendants.

Case No. A-12-661726-C

Dept. No.: XXVIII

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE
DEFENSES

Plaintiffs filed their Motion on an Order Shortening Time to Strike Affirmative Defenses on September 20, 2017, with Defendants filing an opposition on September 25, 2017 and Plaintiffs filing a reply on September 26, 2017. Said motion was heard on October 3, 2017 with Leon Greenberg, Esq., arguing on behalf of all plaintiffs and Tamer B. Botros, Esq. on behalf of

PA0164 199/17 08

Tel

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

Defendants. Following the arguments of counsel, and after due consideration of the parties' respective briefs, and all pleadings and papers on file herein, and good cause appearing, therefore:

THE COURT FINDS

The Defendants' Twenty-Seventh Affirmative Defense asserted in Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint filed on December 22, 2015, alleging that Defendants should be relieved of all liability under Article 15, Section 16, of the Nevada Constitution, the Minimum Wage Act (the "MWA"), if it pleads and proves that it "followed the law [in respect to the MWA] that was being enforced by the Nevada Labor Commissioner" is stricken. An employer's liability for unpaid minimum wages owed pursuant to the terms of the MWA is not excused by their compliance, in good faith or otherwise, with the policies or practices of the Nevada Labor Commissioner or any other government agency or officer. Nor is an employer's liability for unpaid minimum wages owed pursuant to the terms of the MWA subject to any other defense based upon a good faith belief they had complied with the MWA's minimum wage payment requirements or their knowledge or lack of knowledge of those minimum wage payment requirements. As the Nevada Supreme Court has made clear in the appeal of this very case, the MWA imposes a liability that supersedes the requirements of Nevada's statutes and is only subject to the limitations expressly set forth in the MWA itself. The MWA, contains no language recognizing the sort of defense set forth in the Twenty-Seventh Affirmative Defense and accordingly it is stricken.

The Defendants' Tenth-Affirmative Defense asserted in Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint filed on December 22, 2015, alleging that Defendants should be relieved of all possible liability for punitive damages under the MWA, if they plead and prove that they "at all times had a good faith and reasonable belief that they had compensated the Plaintiffs in accordance with Nevada law" will be stricken if Defendants do not provide discovery on the legal advice and information they received about the requirements of "Nevada law" and their efforts to obtain an understanding about such requirements. Defendants in raising this affirmative defense are relying upon their alleged "good faith and reasonable belief" about what Nevada's law (the MWA) required of them. By raising that defense Defendants are placing at issue the basis for

their alleged "good faith and reasonable belief" about their legal duties. Having placed at issue their beliefs about Nevada law, and their knowledge and efforts to secure knowledge of Nevada law, Defendants must either provide discovery about such knowledge and efforts or this affirmative defense will be stricken. Defendants, if they maintain this affirmative defense, cannot, under the cloak of attorney-client privilege, deny plaintiffs "access to the very information," the advice Defendants actually received or sought to receive about their legal obligations, needed to refute such defense. See, Chevron Corp. v. Pennzoil Co., 974 F.2d 1156, 1162-63 (9th Cir. 1992), citing United States v. Bilzerian, 926 F.2d 1285, 1292 (2nd Cir. 1991).

The Defendants' Sixth Affirmative Defense asserted in Defendants' Answer to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint filed on December 22, 2015, alleging that the MWA only applies prospectively to taxi drivers; the Defendants' Thirteenth Affirmative Defense, that the Plaintiffs have no right to minimum wages under the MWA; and the Defendants' Fourteenth Affirmative Defense, that the "Plaintiffs were employed in a position that was exempt from minimum wages under Nevada law" have all been resolved by the Nevada Supreme Court's decisions in this case. Defendants do not assert otherwise. Accordingly, the Sixth, Thirteenth and Fourteenth Affirmative Defenses are also stricken.

111

18 //

19

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 //

27 ///

28 //

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89120 (702) 341-5200 • Pax (702) 341-5300

E

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Plaintiffs' Motion is GRANTED for the reasons stated. The Defendants' Sixth, Thirteenth, Fourteenth and Twenty-Seventh Affirmative Defenses are hereby stricken. The Defendants' Tenth Affirmative Defense, as it applies solely to punitive damages, will be stricken unless Defendants provide discovery about their knowledge of the law and efforts to obtain such knowledge, as alleged in that affirmative defense, if Defendants choose to invoke the attorney-client privilege to shield such information from disclosure, the Tenth Affirmative Defense will be stricken.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

day of October 2017.

Hon. Ronald J. District Court Judge

Case No. A-12-661726-C Document Title: ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO STRIKE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Submitted:

By:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

27

28

LEON GREENBERG, ESQ. (SBN 8094) DANA SNIEGOCKI, ESQ. (SBN 11715)

LEON GREENBERG

PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

2965 South Jones Boulevard, Suite E-3

Las Vegas, Nevada 89146

Tel: (702) 383-6085 / Fax (702) 385-1827 Email: leongreenberg@overtimelaw.com

Email: dana@overtimelaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

22 Reviewed:

By:

TAMER B. BOTROS, ESQ.

MARC C. GORDON, ESO. 25 YELLOW CHECKER STAR

5225 W. Post Road 26

Las Vegas, Nevada 89118

Email: TBotros@ycstrans.com

Email: MGordon@ycstrans.com

Attorneys for Defendants