Case No. 74416

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY,

Appellant,

VS.

MARCHAI B.T., A BANK TRUST,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed Dec 03 2018 08:39 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

APPEAL

From the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County
The Honorable LINDA MARIE BELL
District Court Case No. A-13-689461-C, Consolidated With A-16-742327-C

JOINT APPENDIX VOLUME 1

JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593 E-mail: jackie@kgelegal.com DIANA S. EBRON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580 E-mail: diana@kgelegal.com

KIM GILBERT EBRON 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301

Attorneys for Appellant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

Vol.	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates Number
1	3	11/07/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0074
1	4	11/12/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0076
1	8	12/19/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0106
1	9	12/27/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0108
5	25	09/14/2016	Affidavit of Service	JA_1118
5	26	09/14/2016	Affidavit of Service	JA_1122
5	27	09/14/2016	Affidavit of Service	JA_1126
3	13	01/14/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Marchai's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0544
2	12	01/14/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Marchia's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0272
5	19	02/22/2016	Certificate of Service	JA_1015
1	1	09/30/2013	Complaint	JA_0001
5	20	03/22/2016	Decision and Order	JA_1017
7	38	10/03/2017	Decision and Order	JA_1483
5	23	08/25/2016	Exempt from Arbiration Action Concerning Title to Real Estate Complaint	JA_1099
5	24	08/25/2016	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure	JA_1115
7	48	8/6/2018	Judgment	JA_1592
7	46	4/26/2018	Judgment against Cristela Perez and U.S. Bank	JA_1581
1	7	12/03/2013	Marchai's Answer to Counterclaim	JA_0098
1	10	01/14/2016	Marchai's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0110
7	39	10/4/2017	Marchai's Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	JA_1499
7	49	8/7/2018	Marchai's Notice of Entry of Judgment	JA_1597

7	45	12/30/2017	Marchai's Notice of Entry of Order	JA_1575
1	6	11/13/2013	Marchai's Notice of Lis Pendens	JA_0095
1	2	10/03/2013	Marchai's Notice of Pendency of Action	JA_0068
5	18	02/15/2016	Marchai's Opposition to Counter-Motions to Strike Pursuant to NRCP Rule 37	JA_0993
6	35	08/14/2017	Marchai's Opposition to SFR's & Wyeth Ranch's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1365
4	14	02/03/2016	Marchai's Opposition to SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0816
7	43	11/8/2017	Marchai's Opposition to SFR's Motion to Retax and Settle Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1560
4	16	02/08/2016	Marchai's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0884
7	40	10/10/2017	Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1517
5	28	12/13/2016	Notice of Entry of Order	JA_1130
5	29	12/13/2016	Notice of Entry of Order	JA_1135
5	30	12/13/2016	Order Lifting Stay and Consolidating Cases	JA_1140
7	51	8/29/2017	Recorder's Transcript of Defendant SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1608
7	50	8/8/2018	SFR's Amended Notice of Appeal	JA_1604
5	32	02/06/2017	SFR's Answer to Complaint	JA_1154
1	5	11/13/2013	SFR's Answer, Counterclaim, and Cross Claim	JA_0078
1	11	01/14/2016	SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0192
5	33	07/21/2017	SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1164
7	41	10/19/2017	SFR's Motion to Retax and Settle Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1549
7	42	11/3/2017	SFR's Notice of Appeal	JA_1556

5	21	03/23/2016	SFR's Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	JA_1043
5	22	03/24/2016	SFR's Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	JA_1071
7	47	4/27/2018	SFR's Notice of Entry of Judgment	JA_1585
4	15	02/04/2016	SFR's Opposition to Marchai's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0852
7	44	11/13/2017	SFR's Reply in Support of its Motion to Retax and Settle Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1569
4	17	02/09/2016	SFR's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment and Counter-Motions to Strike	JA_0908
6	36	08/21/2017	SFR's Reply in Support of SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1434
5	31	01/31/2017	Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Answer and Affirmative Defenses	JA_1143
6	34	07/21/2017	Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Summary Judmgment	JA_1277
7	37	08/21/2017	Wyeth Ranch's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1470

CHRONOLOGICAL INDEX

Vol.	Tab	Date Filed	Document	Bates Number
1	1	09/30/2013	Complaint	JA_0001
1	2	10/03/2013	Marchai's Notice of Pendency of Action	JA_0068
1	3	11/07/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0074
1	4	11/12/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0076
1	5	11/13/2013	SFR's Answer, Counterclaim, and Cross Claim	JA_0078
1	6	11/13/2013	Marchai's Notice of Lis Pendens	JA_0095
1	7	12/03/2013	Marchai's Answer to Counterclaim	JA_0098
1	8	12/19/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0106
1	9	12/27/2013	Affidavit of Service	JA_0108
1	10	01/14/2016	Marchai's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0110
1	11	01/14/2016	SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0192
2	12	01/14/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Marchia's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0272
3	13	01/14/2016	Appendix of Exhibits to Marchai's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0544
4	14	02/03/2016	Marchai's Opposition to SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0816
4	15	02/04/2016	SFR's Opposition to Marchai's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0852
4	16	02/08/2016	Marchai's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_0884
4	17	02/09/2016	SFR's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment and Counter-Motions to Strike	JA_0908
5	18	02/15/2016	Marchai's Opposition to Counter-Motions to Strike Pursuant to NRCP Rule 37	JA_0993
5	19	02/22/2016	Certificate of Service	JA_1015

	1	T	T	
5	20	03/22/2016	Decision and Order	JA_1017
5	21	03/23/2016	SFR's Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	JA_1043
5	22	03/24/2016	SFR's Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	JA_1071
5	23	08/25/2016	Exempt from Arbiration Action Concerning Title to Real Estate Complaint	JA_1099
5	24	08/25/2016	Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure	JA_1115
5	25	09/14/2016	Affidavit of Service	JA_1118
5	26	09/14/2016	Affidavit of Service	JA_1122
5	27	09/14/2016	Affidavit of Service	JA_1126
5	28	12/13/2016	Notice of Entry of Order	JA_1130
5	29	12/13/2016	Notice of Entry of Order	JA_1135
5	30	12/13/2016	Order Lifting Stay and Consolidating Cases	JA_1140
5	31	01/31/2017	Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Answer and Affirmative Defenses	JA_1143
5	32	02/06/2017	SFR's Answer to Complaint	JA_1154
5	33	07/21/2017	SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1164
6	34	07/21/2017	Wyeth Ranch Community Association's Motion for Summary Judmgment	JA_1277
6	35	08/14/2017	Marchai's Opposition to SFR's & Wyeth Ranch's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1365
6	36	08/21/2017	SFR's Reply in Support of SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1434
7	37	08/21/2017	Wyeth Ranch's Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1470
7	38	10/03/2017	Decision and Order	JA_1483
7	39	10/4/2017	Marchai's Notice of Entry of Decision and Order	JA_1499
7	40	10/10/2017	Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1517
			1	

7	41	10/19/2017	SFR's Motion to Retax and Settle Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1549
7	42	11/3/2017	SFR's Notice of Appeal	JA_1556
7	43	11/8/2017	Marchai's Opposition to SFR's Motion to Retax and Settle Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1560
7	44	11/13/2017	SFR's Reply in Support of its Motion to Retax and Settle Memorandum of Costs and Disbursements	JA_1569
7	45	12/30/2017	Marchai's Notice of Entry of Order	JA_1575
7	46	4/26/2018	Judgment against Cristela Perez and U.S. Bank	JA_1581
7	47	4/27/2018	SFR's Notice of Entry of Judgment	JA_1585
7	48	8/6/2018	Judgment	JA_1592
7	49	8/7/2018	Marchai's Notice of Entry of Judgment	JA_1597
7	50	8/8/2018	SFR's Amended Notice of Appeal	JA_1604
7	51	8/29/2017	Recorder's Transcript of Defendant SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment	JA_1608

TAB 1

CIVIL COVER SHEET

Clark County, Nevada

A-13-689461-C XXVI

Case No. ______(Assigned by Clerk's Office)

I. Party Information				
Plaintiff(s) (name/address/phone):		Defendant(s) (name/address/phone):		
MARCHI B.T.		CRISTELA PEREZ, ET. AL.		
Attorney (name/address/phone): Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. (NV Bar 11681 Law Offices of Les Zieve 3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 948-856 Fax: (702) 446-989)	Attorney (name/address/		
II. Nature of Controversy (Please che applicable subcategory, if appropriate)	eck applicable bold	category and	☐ Arbitration Requested	
	Civi	il Cases		
Real Property		Te	orts	
□ Landlord/Tenant □ Unlawful Detainer ☑ Title to Property ☑ Foreclosure □ Liens □ Quiet Title □ Specific Performance □ Condemnation/Eminent Domain □ Other Real Property □ Partition □ Planning/Zoning	☐ Negligence – Au ☐ Negligence – Me ☐ Negligence – Pre	edical/Dental emises Liability Slip/Fall)	□ Product Liability □ Product Liability/Motor Vehicle □ Other Torts/Product Liability □ Intentional Misconduct □ Torts/Defamation (Libel/Slander) □ Interfere with Contract Rights □ Employment Torts (Wrongful termination) □ Other Torts □ Anti-trust □ Fraud/Misrepresentation □ Insurance □ Legal Tort □ Unfair Competition	
Probate		Other Civil	Filing Types	
	Construction De		Appeal from Lower Court (also check	
Summary Administration General Administration Special Administration Set Aside Estates Trust/Conservatorships Individual Trustee Corporate Trustee Other Probate	Chapter 40 General General Breach of Contr Building & Insurance of Commercial Other Contr Collection Employme Guarantee Sale Contr Uniform C Civil Petition for Foreclosure Other Admi	act z Construction Carrier al Instrument tracts/Acct/Judgment of Actions ent Contract act commercial Code r Judicial Review	Applicable civil case box) Transfer from Justice Court Justice Court Civil Appeal Civil Writ Other Special Proceeding Other Civil Filing Compromise of Minor's Claim Conversion of Property Damage to Property Employment Security Employment Security Enforcement of Judgment Foreign Judgment - Civil Other Personal Property Recovery of Property Stockholder Suit Other Civil Matters	
III. Business Court Requested (Plea	se check applicable ca	tegory; for Clark or Wash	oe Counties only.)	
NRS Chapters 78-88Commodities (NRS 90)Securities (NRS 90)	☐ Investments (NR☐ Deceptive Trade☐ Trademarks (NR	Practices (NRS 598)	☐ Enhanced Case Mgmt/Business☐ Other Business Court Matters	
September 30, 2013		/s/	Benjamin D. Petiprin	
Date	-	Signature of	initiating party or representative	

Tel:

Fax:

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

24

25 26

27

28

COMP

LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. (NV Bar 11681)

3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

(702) 948-8565 (702) 446-9898

Attorneys for plaintiff Marchai B.T.

MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA**

A- 13- 689461-**CASE NO.:**

DEPT. NO.: XXVI

COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST

Exempt from Arbitration Action Involves Real Property

liability company; U.S. BANK NATIONAL

ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive.

CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; SFR

INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a limited

Defendants.

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Marchai B.T., a Bank Trust ("Plaintiff"), and alleges as follows:

- Plaintiff is, and at all times herein mentioned, a Bank Trust duly authorized to 1. transact business in the State of Nevada.
- 2. This action concerns real property located in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and is legally described as set forth in Exhibit "1" attached hereto, and incorporated herein by this reference. The property is commonly known as: 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89131 (the "Subject Property"), Clark County Assessor's Parcel Number 125-15-811-013.

- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Cristela Perez ("Borrower") is an individual, residing in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada and has an ownership interest in or to the Subject Property by reason of a deed of trust.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes that SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR Investments") is a limited liability company, and has an interest in the Subject Property or some part of it by reason of a trustee's deed upon sale and is the record owner of the Subject Property.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes that U.S. Bank National Association, N.D. ("US Bank") is a national association, and has an interest in the Subject Property or some part of it by reason of a junior lien, which interest is subsequent to that of Plaintiff.
- 6. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of individual defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and corporations, partnerships or other business entities sued herein as ROES 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes that defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 10 and ROES 1 through 10 have, or may claim to have, some right, title or interest in and to the Subject Property, the exact nature of which is unknown to Plaintiff and Plaintiff will seek leave to amend this complaint ("Complaint") to allege their true names and capacities when and as ascertained, and will further ask leave to join said defendants in these proceedings.
- 7. On or about October 19, 2005, for valuable consideration, the Borrower made, executed and delivered to CMG Mortgage, Inc. ("CMG Mortgage") that certain InterestFirst Adjustable Rate Note dated October 19, 2005 (the "Note") evidencing a loan to the Borrower in the original principal amount of \$442,000.00 ("Loan"). A copy of the Note is attached hereto as **Exhibit "2"** and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 8. To secure payment of the principal sum and interest provided in the Note, as part of the same transaction, Borrower executed and delivered to CMG Mortgage, as beneficiary, a Deed of Trust (hereinafter the "Deed of Trust") dated October 19, 2005. A true and correct copy of the Deed of Trust is attached hereto as **Exhibit "3"** and incorporated herein by this reference. The Deed of Trust was recorded in book number 20051109 as instrument number 0001385 in the

Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office ("Official Records") on November 9, 2005.

- 9. The Deed of Trust was then assigned to CitiMortgage, Inc. by that certain Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust ("Assignment") recorded in book number 20120605 and instrument number 0003133 in the Official Records on June 5, 2012. The Deed of Trust was subsequently assigned to U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for Stanwich Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2012-6 by that certain Assignment of Mortgage (Assignment 2") recorded in book number 20120726 as instrument number 0002017 in the Official Records on July 26, 2012. The Deed of Trust was then assigned to Plaintiff by that certain Assignment of Deed of Trust ("Assignment 3") recorded in book number 20130812 as instrument number 0002562 in the Official Records on August 12, 2013. True and correct copies of the Assignment, Assignment 2 and Assignment 3 are attached hereto as **Exhibit "4"** and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 10. On or about January 30, 2006, defendant US Bank funded a loan to Borrower in the original principal sum of \$100,000.00. The loan was, and is evidenced by a Deed of Trust ("Junior Deed of Trust") recorded in book number 20060406 as instrument number 0004914 of the Official Records. A true and correct copy of the Junior Deed of Trust is attached hereto as **Exhibit "5"** and incorporated herein by this reference.
- 11. Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Association ("HOA") recorded multiple Notice of Delinquent Assessment Liens, Notice of Defaults, and Notice of Trustees Sales between November 5, 2007 and October 31, 2012. Most recently, HOA recorded that certain Notice of Trustee's Sale in book number 20130731 as instrument number 0001002 of the Official Records on July 31, 2013. The trustee's sale was held on August 28, 2013 at 2:00 P.M.
- 12. Defendant SFR Investments purchased the Subject Property at the trustee's sale for the amount of \$21,000.00, as referenced in that certain Trustee's Deed Upon Sale ("TDUS") recorded in book number 20130909 as instrument number 0001816 of the Official Records. A true and correct copy of the TDUS is attached hereto as **Exhibit "6"** and incorporated herein by this reference.

- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes that on October 1, 2011 a default occurred under the terms of the Note, in that the Borrower failed to make the regular monthly installment payment due on that date and all subsequent payments in the approximate amount of \$2,657.39.
- 14. That certain Notice of Intent to Foreclose ("Notice of Intent") dated October 3, 2012 was subsequently mailed to the Borrower. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Intent is attached hereto as **Exhibit** "7" and incorporated herein by this reference. The Notice of Intent provided notice to the Borrower of her default under the terms of the Note and Deed of Trust of monthly payments obligations in the amount of \$36,281.60. The Notice of Intent indicated that acceleration and foreclosure and public sale of the Subject Property would occur if the amount in default was not cured within 30 days. The Notice of Intent further provided that the Borrower has the right to reinstate the Loan following acceleration pursuant to the terms under the Note and Deed of Trust, and that Borrower has a right to assert in any foreclosure action the non-existence of a default and any other defenses to acceleration and foreclosure.
- 15. The subject Note provides that, if the payors default in payment of any installment when due, or in the performance of any agreement in the subject Deed of Trust securing payment of the subject Note, the entire principal and interest will become immediately due and payable at the option of the noteholder. The subject Deed of Trust provides that, if the trustors default in paying any indebtness secured by the subject Deed of Trust, or in the performance of any agreement in the subject Note or Deed of Trust, the entire principal and interest secured by the subject Deed of Trust will, at the option of the beneficiary, become immediately due and payable.
- 16. The Deed of Trust further provides that in the event of a default, the lender may invoke the power of sale and after the required notices and time frames, sell the Subject Property at a public auction.
- 17. By the terms of the subject Note, the Borrower promised and agreed to pay to Plaintiff monthly installments of \$2,657.39, principal and interest, beginning December 1, 2005. The Borrower has wholly failed, neglected and refused to pay the installment that was due on October 1, 2011 and the subsequent months, up to and including the date of this Complaint. The

\$74,440.01. For such failure and default under the subject Note and Deed of Trust, Plaintiff has elected to declare the entire remaining sum of principal and interest immediately due and payable. Additional interest will accrue at the rate of \$38.30 per day for each additional day from October 1, 2011 to the date of entry of judgment in this action.

- 18. Plaintiff may hereafter be required to expend additional sums to protect its security in the Subject Property. In the subject Deed of Trust, the Borrower agreed to pay any sums expended by Plaintiff. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the nature and amounts of such sums if Plaintiff is required to make the additional expenditures.
- 19. Under the subject Note and Deed of Trust, the Borrower, agreed that, if any action were instituted on the Note or Deed of Trust, she, as defendant, would pay the sum fixed by the Court as Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and that these charges would also become a lien against the Subject Property. Because of the above-described defaults, it has become necessary for Plaintiff to employ an attorney to commence and prosecute this foreclosure action. The reasonable value of services of counsel in this action shall be proved at or after trial in this action.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Judicial Foreclosure of Deed of Trust, Against all Defendants)

- 20. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference each and every allegation set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 19 of the Complaint as though set forth in full.
- 21. Despite Plaintiff's demands for payment under the Note and Deed of Trust, Borrower has failed and refused to pay Plaintiff its indebtedness due, and Borrower is now in default under the Note and Deed of Trust.
- 22. As a result of the default under the Note as secured by the Deed of Trust, Plaintiff seeks to exercise its right under the Deed of Trust to foreclose on the Subject Property. And Plaintiff seeks a Judgment of this Court foreclosing said Deed of Trust with the Court to award Judgment for any deficiency which may remain after applying all proceeds of the sale of the Subject Property applicable to the Judgment procured hereunder. The filing of this action does

not constitute a waiver of Plaintiff's right to proceed with a non-judicial foreclosure if it so elects.

- 23. The Note and Deed of Trust provide that in the event of default thereunder by the Borrowers, Plaintiff is entitled to recover its costs, including reasonable attorneys' fees, incurred in enforcement thereof. Plaintiff has employed Benjamin D. Petiprin of the Law Offices of Les Zieve, licensed and practicing attorney in the State of Nevada, for the purpose of instituting and prosecuting the within action. Attorneys' fees have been, and continue to be incurred in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 24. As a result of Borrower's default and breach, Plaintiff has been damaged in the amount of the principal balance of the loan, accrued interest, late charges, advances, expenses and attorneys' fees and costs which remain due under the Note and Deed of Trust.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as follows:

As to the First Cause of Action

- 1. That the Court enter a money judgment against Borrower defendant only:
- a. The sum of \$430,113.48 principal, together with interest as allowed at the Note rate currently at 3% from October 1, 2011, to the date of judgment, according to proof;
 - b. Costs of this action and reasonable attorneys' fees;
- c. Additional sums, if any, that Plaintiff hereafter expends to protect its interest in the Subject Property, together with interest, according to proof.
- 2. That the Court adjudge the rights, claims, ownership, liens, titles and demands of defendants are subject, subordinate and subsequent to Plaintiff's Deed of Trust;
- 3. That the Court order, adjudge, and decree that the Subject Deed of Trust be foreclosed and that the usual Judgment be made for the sale of the Subject Property, according to law, by the Sheriff of the County of Clark, or by a levying officer to be appointed by the Court; that the proceeds of the sale be applied in payment of the amounts due to Plaintiff; that defendants and all persons claiming under them subsequent to the execution of said Deed of Trust, either as lien claimants, judgment creditors, claimants under a junior trust deed, purchasers, encumbrances and otherwise, be barred and foreclosed from all rights, claims,

interest or equity of redemption of the Subject Property and every part of the Subject Property when the time for redemption has lapsed;

- 4. That the Court award Plaintiff judgment and execution against Borrower defendant only for any deficiency that may remain after applying all proceeds of the sale of the Subject Property duly applicable to satisfy the amounts by the Court under paragraph 1 of this demand for judgment;
- 5. That the Court permit Plaintiff or any other party to this suit, to become purchasers at the foreclosure sale; that when the time for redemption has lapsed, the levying officer or Sheriff, as the case may be, shall execute a deed to the purchaser of the Subject Property at the sale; and that the purchaser be given possession of the Subject Property upon production of the levying officer's or Sheriff's Deed;
 - 6. For attorneys' fees according to proof in an amount the Court deems reasonable;
 - 7. That the Court award all other appropriate and just relief.
 - 8. For costs of suit incurred herein; and
 - 9. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: September 30, 2013 LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE

By: /s/ Benjamin D. Petiprin
Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Marchai B.T.

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

PARCEL I:

LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.

PARCEL II:

A NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FORTH IN THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN BOOK 20021004 AS DOCUMENT NO. 01353 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.

EXHIBIT 2

Perez (R) 2.3295889

Loan No.: 32501493

InterestFirstSM ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE (One-Year LIBOR Index (As Published In

The Wall Street Journal) - Rate Caps)

10/3/ CU

THIS NOTE CONTAINS PROVISIONS ALLOWING FOR A CHANGE IN MY FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND FOR CHANGES IN MY MONTHLY PAYMENT. THIS NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT MY ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE I MUST PAY.

MIN: 1000724-0032501493-7 MERS TELEPHONE: (888) 679-6377

October 19, 2005

[Date]

LAS VEGAS

NEVADA

[State]

[City]

LF mace

7119 WOFL RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

[Property Address]

1. BORROWER'S PROMISE TO PAY

In return for a loan that I have received, I promise to pay U.S. \$ 442,000.00 (this amount is called "Principal"), plus interest, to the order of Lender. Lender is CMG MORTGAGE, INC.. I will make all payments under this Note in the form of cash, check or money order.

I understand that Lender may transfer this Note. Lender or anyone who takes this Note by transfer and who is entitled to receive payments under this Note is called the "Note Holder."

2. INTEREST

Interest will be charged on unpaid principal until the full amount of Principal has been paid. I will pay interest at a yearly rate of 5.000%. The interest rate I will pay may change in accordance with Section 4 of this Note.

The interest rate required by this Section 2 and Section 4 of this Note is the rate I will pay both before and after any default described in Section 7(B) of this Note.

3. PAYMENTS

(A) Time and Place of Payments

I will make a payment on the FIRST day of every month, beginning on **December 1, 2005**. Before the First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date as described in Section 4 of this Note, my payment will consist only of the interest due on the unpaid principal balance of this Note. Thereafter, I will pay principal and interest by making a payment every month as provided below.

I will make my monthly payments of principal and interest beginning on the First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date as described in Section 4 of this Note. I will make these payments every month until I have paid all of the principal and interest and any other charges described below that I may owe under this Note. Each monthly payment will be applied as of its scheduled due date, and if the payment includes both principal and interest, it will be applied to interest before Principal. If, on November 1, 2035, I still owe amounts under this Note, I will pay those amounts in full on that date, which is called the "Maturity Date."

I will make my monthly payments at 3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240, SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583 or at a different place if required by the Note Holder.

(B) Amount of My Initial Monthly Payments

My monthly payment will be in the amount of U.S. \$ 1,841.67 before the First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date, and thereafter will be in an amount sufficient to repay the principal and interest at the rate determined as described in Section 4 of this

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE-ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX—Single Family—Fannle Mac Uniform Instrument

Form 3530 11/01 (page 1 of 5)

usc3530





Note in substantially equal installments by the Maturity Date. The Note Holder will notify me prior to the date of change in monthly payment.

(C) Monthly Payment Changes

Changes in my monthly payment will reflect changes in the unpaid principal of my loan and in the interest rate that I must pay. The Note Holder will determine my new interest rate and the changed amount of my monthly payment in accordance with Section 4 or 5 of this Note.

4. ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

(A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate I will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the FIRST day of November, 2010, and the adjustable interest rate I will pay may change on that day every 12th month thereafter. The date on which my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate, and each date on which my adjustable interest rate could change, is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

Beginning with the first Change Date, my adjustable interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for one-year U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in *The Wall Street Journal*. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 45 days before each Change Date is called the "Current Index."

If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give me notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes

Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate my new interest rate by adding **Two and One-Fourth** percentage points (2.250%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be my new interest rate until the next Change Date.

The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that I am expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at my new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of my monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate I am required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.000% or less than 2.250%. Thereafter, my adjustable interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than Two percentage points (2.000%) from the rate of interest I have been paying for the preceding 12 months. My interest rate will never be greater than 10.000%.

(E) Effective Date of Changes

My new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. I will pay the amount of my new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of my monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes

Before the effective date of any change in my interest rate and/or monthly payment, the Note Holder will deliver or mail to me a notice of such change. The notice will include information required by law to be given to me and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question I may have regarding the notice.

(G) Date of First Principal and Interest Payment

The date of my first payment consisting of both principal and interest on this Note (the "First Principal and Interest Payment Due Date") shall be the first monthly payment date after the first Change Date.

5. BORROWER'S RIGHT TO PREPAY

1

I have the right to make payments of principal at any time before they are due. A payment of principal only is known as a "Prepayment." When I make a Prepayment, I will tell the Note Holder in writing that I am doing so. I may not designate a payment as a Prepayment if I have not made all the monthly payments due under the Note.

I may make a full Prepayment or partial Prepayments without paying a Prepayment charge. The Note Holder will use my Prepayments to reduce the amount of principal that I owe under this Note. However, the Note Holder may apply my Prepayment to the accrued and unpaid interest on the Prepayment amount, before applying my Prepayment to reduce the principal amount of the Note. If I make a partial Prepayment, there will be no changes in the due date of my monthly payment unless the Note Holder agrees in writing to those changes. If the partial Prepayment is made during the period when my monthly payments consist only of interest, the amount of the monthly payment will decrease for the remainder of the term when my payments consist only of interest. If the partial Prepayment is made during the period when my payments consist of principal and interest, my partial Prepayment may reduce the amount of my monthly payments after the first Change Date following my partial Prepayment. However, any reduction due to my partial Prepayment may be offset by an interest rate increase.

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE—ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX—Single Family—Fannie Mac Uniform Instrument

6. LOAN CHARGES

If a law, which applies to this loan and which sets maximum loan charges, is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with this loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from me that exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to me. The Note Holder may choose to make this refund by reducing the Principal I owe under this Note or by making a direct payment to me. If a refund reduces Principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial Prepayment.

7. BORROWER'S FAILURE TO PAY AS REQUIRED

(A) Late Charges for Overdue Payments

If the Note Holder has not received the full amount of any monthly payment by the end of fifteen (15) calendar days after the date it is due, I will pay a late charge to the Note Holder. The amount of the charge will be five percent (5.00%) of my overdue payment of principal and interest. I will pay this late charge promptly but only once on each late payment.

(B) Default

If I do not pay the full amount of each monthly payment on the date it is due, I will be in default.

(C) Notice of Default

If I am in default, the Note Holder may send me a written notice telling me that if I do not pay the overdue amount by a certain date, the Note Holder may require me to pay immediately the full amount of Principal that has not been paid and all the interest that I owe on that amount. That date must be at least 30 days after the date on which the notice is mailed to me or delivered by other means.

(D) No Waiver By Note Holder

Even if, at a time when I am in default, the Note Holder does not require me to pay immediately in full as described above, the Note Holder will still have the right to do so if I am in default at a later time.

(E) Payment of Note Holder's Costs and Expenses

If the Note Holder has required me to pay immediately in full as described above, the Note Holder will have the right to be paid back by me for all of its costs and expenses in enforcing this Note to the extent not prohibited by applicable law. Those expenses include, for example, reasonable attorneys' fees.

8. GIVING OF NOTICES

Unless applicable law requires a different method, any notice that must be given to me under this Note will be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to me at the Property Address above or at a different address if I give the Note Holder a notice of my different address.

Unless the Note Holder requires a different method, any notice that must be given to the Note Holder under this Note will be given by mailing it by first class mail to the Note Holder at the address stated in Section 3(A) above or at a different address if I am given a notice of that different address.

9. OBLIGATIONS OF PERSONS UNDER THIS NOTE

If more than one person signs this Note, each person is fully and personally obligated to keep all of the promises made in this Note, including the promise to pay the full amount owed. Any person who is a guarantor, surety or endorser of this Note is also obligated to do these things. Any person who takes over these obligations, including the obligations of a guarantor, surety or endorser of this Note, is also obligated to keep all of the promises made in this Note. The Note Holder may enforce its rights under this Note against each person individually or against all of us together. This means that any one of us may be required to pay all of the amounts owed under this Note.

10. WAIVERS

I and any other person who has obligations under this Note waive the rights of Presentment and Notice of Dishonor. "Presentment" means the right to require the Note Holder to demand payment of amounts due. "Notice of Dishonor" means the right to require the Note Holder to give notice to other persons that amounts due have not been paid.

11. UNIFORM SECURED NOTE

This Note is a uniform instrument with limited variations in some jurisdictions. In addition to the protections given to the Note Holder under this Note, a Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument"), dated the same date as this Note, protects the Note Holder from possible losses that might result if I do not keep the promises that I make in this Note. That

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE-ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX-Single Family-Famile Mac Uniform Instrument

Security Instrument describes how and under what conditions I may be required to make immediate payment in full of all amounts I owe under this Note. Some of those conditions read as follows:

(A) Until my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section 4 above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

(B) When my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section 4 above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument described in Section 11(A) above shall then cease to be in effect, and Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall instead read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

WITNESS THE HAI	ND(S) AND SEAL(S) OF T	HE UNDERSIGN	IED.		
CRISTELA PEREZ		(Seal) -Borrower		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Seal) -Borrower
		(Seal) -Borrower			(Seal) -Borrower
Pay to the order of:					[Sign Original Only]
Without Recourse CMG MORTGAGE,	INC.				
By:	<u> </u>				
Name and Title:					
	PAY TO THE ORDER OF WITHOUT RECOURSE CMG MORTGAGE, INC.	CITIMORT	rgage, inc.	Ì	
	A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION 3160 CROSY CANYON ROAD, #350 Sill TAMON (799478) Divina Lamam ASSISTANT SECRETARY	~			

MULTISTATE InterestFirst ADJUSTABLE RATE NOTE—ONE-YEAR LIBOR INDEX—Single Family—Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument

FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE ASSUMPTION RIDER

THIS ASSUMPTION RIDER is made this 19th day of October, 2005, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned person whether one or more, (the "Borrower") to secure Borrower's Note to CMG MORTGAGE, INC. (the "Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at:

7119 WOPL RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131 mQ LF of (PROPERTY ADDRESS)

ASSUMPTION COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

- A. ASSUMPTION. Any person purchasing the Property from Borrower may assume full liability to repay Borrower's Note to Lender under the terms and conditions set out in this Assumption Rider.
- B. AGREEMENT. Lender may require the Purchaser to sign an assumption agreement, in the form required by Lender, which obligates the Purchaser to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.
- C. APPLICABILITY. Lender is bound by these conditions and terms, as follows:
 - Lender shall have no obligation to allow assumption by a purchaser from Borrower until the initial fixed interest rate payable on the Note changes to an adjustable rate;
 - 2. This Assumption Rider applies only to the first transfer of the Property by Borrower and not to a foreclosure sale;
 - 3. Purchaser must be an individual, not a partnership, corporation or other entity.
 - 4. Purchaser must meet Lender's credit underwriting standards for the type of loan being assumed as if Lender were making a new loan to Purchaser;
 - 5. Purchaser shall assume only the balance due on the Note at the time of assumption for the term remaining on the Note;
 - 6. If applicable, Borrower's private mortgage insurance coverage must be transferred to the Purchaser in writing, unless waived by Lender;

MB-2117 1/95

Page 1 of 2

(5/1, 7/1, 10/1 ARM)

mb2117ri





- 7. If Borrower's Note has a conversion feature and Borrower has exercised the right of conversion of this loan to a fixed rate loan from Lender, this Assumption Rider is void and Lender has no obligation to allow assumption by a Purchaser from Borrower; and
- 8. Lender must reasonably determine that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption.
- D. ASSUMPTION RATE. Lender will allow assumption by Purchaser at Borrower's Note interest rate in effect at the time of assumption.
- E. ADDITIONAL CHARGES. In addition, Lender may charge an amount up to one percent (1%) of the current Note balance and its normal loan closing costs, except the cost of a real estate appraisal.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants of this Assumption Rider.

(Seal)	<u> </u>	126 (Seal)	
-Borrowe		ELA PEREZ S-Borrower	CRISTELA
(Seal)		(Seal)	<u></u> _
-Borrowe		-Вотомет	

MB-2117 1/95 (5/1, 7/1, 10/1 ARM)

Page 2 of 2

CONFIDENTIAL

True Certified Copy of Original

NOTE ALLONGE

Statement of Purpose: This Note Allonge is attached to and made part of the Note, for the purpose of Noteholder Endorsements to evidence transfer of interest.

Loan Number: 2003295889

Loan Date: 10/19/2005 Original Loan Amount: \$ 442,000.00

Originator: CMG MORTGAGE, INC. Original Mortgagor: CRISTELA PEREZ

Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

Pay to The Order of U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6 Without Recourse

Id No: *12035949*

CITIMORTGAGE, INC.

M. E. Wileman, Vice President

ALLONGE

Pay to the Order of:

MARCHAI B.T.

Without Recourse:	
Original Loan Amount:	\$442,000.00
Dated:	10/19/2005
Made By:	CRISTELA PEREZ
Premises Secured:	7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131
	ATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH RIES 2012-6, BY CARRINGTON MORTGAGE Y IN FACT
By: Name: GREG SCHLEPPY Title: SR. VICE PRESIDENT	

EXHIBIT 3

20051109-0001385

Assessor's Parcel Number: 125-15-811-013

When recorded mail to: CMG MORTGAGE, INC.

3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240 SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583

Loan No.: 32501493

Mail Tax Statements to:

CRISTELLA-PEREZ +na 7119 WOFL RIVERS AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

Prepared By:

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

Recording Requested By:



09:44:04

KGP

Frances Deane Clark County Recorder

FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE

Fee: \$38.00

11/09/2005

T20050204478

Requestor:

N/C Fee: \$0.00

Pgs: 22

629028-GH [Space Above This Line For Recording Data]

DEED OF TRUST

MIN 1000724-0032501493-7 MERS TELEPHONE: (888) 679-6377

DEFINITIONS

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

- (A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated October 19, 2005, together with all Riders to this document.
- (B) "Borrower" is CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN, AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY. Borrower is the trustor under this Security Instrument.
- (C) "Lender" is CMG MORTGAGE, INC.. Lender is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of CALIFORNIA. Lender's address is 3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240, SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583.
- (D) "Trustee" is FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE AGENCY OF NEVADA.
- (E) "MERS" is Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. MERS is a separate corporation that is acting solely as a nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns. MERS is the beneficiary under this Security Instrument. MERS is organized and existing under the laws of

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannic Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 1 of 18

nvementd



Initials:



Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

CLARK,NV

Delaware, and has an address and telephone number of P.O. Box 2026, Flint, MI 48501-2026, tel. (888) 679-MERS.

- (F) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated October 19, 2005. The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Four Hundred Forty Two Thousand And 00/100 Dollars (U.S. \$ 442,000.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than November 1, 2035.
- (G) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."
- (H) "Loan" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.
- (I) "Riders" means all Riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following Riders are to be executed by Borrower [check box as applicable]:

[X]	Adjustable Rate Rider		Condominium Rider	[]	Second Home Rider
[]	Balloon Rider	[]	Planned Unit Development Rider	[]	1-4 Family Rider
[]	VA Rider	[]	Biweekly Payment Rider	[]	Other(s) [specify]

- (J) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.
- (K) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.
- (L) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.
- (M) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.
- (N) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for: (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.
- (O) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.
- (P) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.
- (Q) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Section 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 2 of 18

Page 2 of 22

nitials:

CLARK,NV Document; DOT 2005,1109,1385

JA_0024

imposed in regard to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(R) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the County [Type of Recording Jurisdiction] of CLARK [Name of Recording Jurisdiction]:

LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH- UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA. A NON- EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FOURTH IN THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN BOOK 20021004 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.

Parcel ID Number: 125-15-811-013

LF MQ CO 7119 WOFE RIVERS AVENUE which currently has the address of

[Street]

LAS VEGAS [City], Nevada 89131 [Zip Code] ("Property Address"):

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property." Borrower understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the interests granted by Borrower in this Security Instrument, but, if necessary to comply with law or custom, MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) has the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to foreclose and sell the Property; and to take any action required of Lender including, but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security Instrument.

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seised of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 3 of 18

Page 3 of 22

Initials:

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:32 PM

Document; DOT 2005.1109.1385

CLARK,NV

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

Station Id: SR07

encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S. currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer,

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment if the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note; (b) principal due under the Note; (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, each payment can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 4 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Page 4 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:33 PM

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premiums for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5; and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items unless Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement" is used in Section 9. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow Items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow Items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an institution whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 5 of 18

nitials: ______

CLARK,NV
Document: DOT 2005,1109.1385

Page 5 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:33 PM

Station Id: SR07

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3.

Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) contests the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded; or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the lien. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section 4.

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

5. Property Insurance. Borrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter erected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remappings or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 6 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Page 6 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:34 PM

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Station Id: SR07

Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower, Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, not otherwise required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower's rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower's rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insofar as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 7 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Page 7 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:35 PM

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

Station Id: SR07

Station Id: SR07

either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

- 6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower's principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower's principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower's control.
- 7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purposes. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower's obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an interior inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

- 8. Borrower's Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any persons or entities acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property as Borrower's principal residence.
- 9. Protection of Lender's Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender's interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assessing the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender's actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building or other code violations or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 8 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Page 8 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:35 PM

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage Insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage Insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage Insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage Insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender requires) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage Insurance in effect, or to provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirement for Mortgage Insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchases the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance,

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage Insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, another insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly)

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 9 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Page 9 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:36 PM

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Station Id: SR07

Branch:LDA,User:JGOW

Station Id: SR07

amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance." Further:

- (a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.
- (b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has if any with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.
- 11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 10 of 18

Initials:

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Branch:LDA,User:JGOW

Station Id: SR07

than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument whether or not the sums are then due.

If the Property is abandoned by Borrower, or if, after notice by Lender to Borrower that the Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, reinstate as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

- 12. Borrower Not Released; Forbearance By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any demand made by the original Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender's acceptance of payments from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amounts less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or preclude the exercise of any right or remedy.
- 13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"): (a) is co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (b) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forbear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower's rights and benefits under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 11 of 18

Page 11 of 22

nitials: (/ / /

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

15. Notices. All notices given by Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender's address stated herein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.

16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it might be silent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding neuter words or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 12 of 22

Page 12 of 18

Initials:

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

CLARK,NV

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:38 PM

Station Id: SR07

Branch:LDA,User:JGOW

Station Id: SR07

mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to take any action.

- 17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.
- 18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

- 19. Borrower's Right to Reinstate After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in this Security Instrument; (b) such other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of Borrower's right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any default of any other covenants or agreements; (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d) takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.
- 20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law.

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 13 of 18

nitials:

CLARK,NV Page 13 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:38 PM

There also might be one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party's actions pursuant to this Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the other party hereto a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If Applicable Law provides a time period which must clapse before certain action can be taken, that time period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that relate to health, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response action, remedial action, or removal action, as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do, nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party,

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 14 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Page 14 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:39 PM

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Station Id: SR07

that any removal or other remediation of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.

NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option, and without further demand, may invoke the power of sale, including the right to accelerate full payment of the Note, and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold, and shall cause such notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and in any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument: and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Such person or persons shall pay any recordation costs. Lender may charge such person or persons a fee for reconveying the

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Page 15 of 22

Form 3029 1/01

Page 15 of 18

Initials:

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

CLARK,NV

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law.

24. Substitute Trustee. Lender at its option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee herein and by Applicable Law.

25. Assumption Fee. If there is an assumption of this loan, Lender may charge an assumption fee of U.S. \$ 4,420.00.

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 16 of 18

Initials:

CLARK,NV Page 16 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:40 PM

Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

Station Id: SR07

Witnesses:		
	Cret &	(Seal)
	CRISTELA PEREZ	-Воложег
		(Seal)
		-Borrower
		(Seal)
		-Borrower
		(Seal)
		-Borrower

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 17 of 18

CLARK,NV Page 17 of 22 Printed
Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment: Station Id: SR07

My Commission Expires: 05.31.09



NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 18 of 18

nitials:

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385 Page 18 of 22 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:41 PM

Branch:LDA,User:JGOW

Station Id: SR07

FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

(LIBOR One-Year Index (As Published In The Wall Street Journal)- Rate Caps)

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made this 19th day of October, 2005, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to CMG MORTGAGE, INC. ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at:

LF MQ 4

7119 WOFL RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

[Property Address]

THE NOTE PROVIDES FOR A CHANGE IN BORROWER'S FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER'S ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

A. ADJUSTABLE RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for an initial fixed interest rate of **5.000%**. The Note also provides for a change in the initial fixed rate to an adjustable interest rate, as follows:

4. ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES (A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate I will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the **FIRST** day of **November**, **2010**, and the adjustable interest rate I will pay may change on that day every 12th month thereafter. The date on which my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate, and each date on which my adjustable interest rate could change, is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

usc3187

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER – WSJ One-Year LIBOR – Single Family – Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument Form 3187 6/01

(Page 1 of 4)

Page 19 of 22

Initials:

32501493

CLARK,NV
Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:42 PM

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

Beginning with the first Change Date, my adjustable interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for one-year U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in *The Wall Street Journal*. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 45 days before each Change Date is called the "Current Index." If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give me notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate my new interest rate by adding Two and One-Fourth percentage points (2.250%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be my new interest rate until the next Change Date. The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that I am expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at my new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of my monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate I am required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.000% or less than 2.250%. Thereafter, my adjustable interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than two percentage points from the rate of interest I have been paying for the preceding I2 months. My interest rate will never be greater than 10.000%.

(E) Effective Date of Changes

My new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. I will pay the amount of my new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of my monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes The Note Holder will deliver or mail to me a notice of any changes in my initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and of any changes in my adjustable interest rate before the effective date of any change. The notice will include the amount of my monthly payment, any information required by law to be given to me and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question I may have regarding the notice.

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER

1. Until Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER – WSJ One-Year LIBOR – Single Family – Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument Form 3187 6/01

(Page 2 of 4)

Initials:

CLARK,NV
Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Station Id: SR07

Branch:LDA,User:JGOW

bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

2. When Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument described in Section B1 above shall then cease to be in effect, and the provisions of Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall be amended to read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law. Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER - WSJ One-Year LIBOR - Single Family - Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument Form 3187 6/01

(Page 3 of 4)

Page 21 of 22

C~p.

Initials:

CLARK,NV
Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

Station Id: SR07

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

(Seal) -Вопоwer	(Seal) -Borrower
(Seal)	(Seal)

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER - WSJ One-Year LIBOR - Single Family Famile Mac Uniform Form 3187 6/01 Instrument (Page 4 of 4)

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2005.1109.1385

EXHIBIT 4

(2)

I hereby affirm that this document submitted for recording does not contain a social security number.

Signed: DERRICK WHITE ASST. SECRETARY

Parcel #: 125-15-811-013

When Recorded Mail To: CitiMortgage, Inc. C/O NTC 2100 Alt. 19 North Palm Harbor, FL 34683 Investor L# Inst #: 201206050003133

Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

06/05/2012 03:42:06 PM Receipt #: 1187409

Requestor:

NATIONWIDE TITLE CLEARING Recorded By: JACKSM Pgs: 2

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER



CORPORATE ASSIGNMENT OF DEED OF TRUST

FOR GOOD AND VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the undersigned, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS PO BOX 2026, FLINT, MI, 48501, (ASSIGNOR), by these presents does convey, grant, sell, assign, transfer and set over the described Deed of Trust with all interest secured thereby, all liens, and any rights due or to become due thereon to CITIMORTGAGE, INC., WHOSE ADDRESS IS 1000 TECHNOLOGY DRIVE, O'FALLON, MO 63368-2240 (800)283-7918, ITS SUCCESSORS OR ASSIGNS, (ASSIGNEE).

Said Deed of Trust made by CRISTELA PEREZ, and recorded on 11/09/2005 as Instrument # 0001385, and/or Book 20051109, Page, in the Recorder's office of CLARK, Nevada.

Date: 05/2012 (MM/DD/YYYY)

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS.

By:

DERRICK WHITE ASST. SECRETARY

FORM5\FRMNV1



15926922

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

Station Id: SR07

Parcel #: 125-15-811-013 Investor L#

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PINELLAS
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me on 05/_____/2012 (MM/DD/YYYY), by DERRICK WHITE as ASST. SECRETARY for MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS, who, as such ASST. SECRETARY being authorized to do so, executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes therein contained. He/she/they is (age) personally known to me.

Signed:

MIRANDA AVILA
Notary Public - State of FLORIDA
Commission expires: 08/22/2014



Prepared By: E.Lance/NTC, 2100 Alt. 19 North, Palm Harbor, FL 34683 (800)346-9152

Mail Tax Statements to: CRISTELA PEREZ

7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

CIMAV 15926922 -@ MERS (MOM) EMK3826611 MIN 100072400325014937 MERS PHONE 1-888-679-MERS FORM5\FRMNV1



15926922

CLARK,NV Document: DOT ASN 2012.0605.3133

Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment: Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

Inst #: 201207260002017

Station Id: SR07

Fees: \$18.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

07/26/2012 10:44:40 AM Receipt #: 1248352

Requestor:

ORION FINANCIAL GROUP Recorded By: MSH Pgs: 2 DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

I the undersigned hereby affirm that this document submitted for recording does not contain the social security number of any person or persons. (Per NRS 239B.030)

PREPARED BY & RETURN TO:

M. E. Wileman 2860 Exchange Blvd. # 100 Southlake, TX 76092 Parcel # 125-15-811-013

Assignment of Mortgage

Send Any Notices to Assignee.

For Valuable Consideration, the undersigned, CITIMORTGAGE, INC. 4050 REGENT BLVD, MAIL STOP N2A-222, IRVING, TX 75063 (Assignor) by these presents does assign and set over, without recourse, to U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6 1610 E. St. Andrews Pl, Suite B150, Santa Ana, CA 92705 (Assignee) the described mortgage with all interest, all liens, any rights due or to become due thereon, executed by CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN, AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY to MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., (MERS) AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC., ITS SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS. Said mortgage Dated: 10/19/2005 is recorded in the State of NV, County of Clark on 11/9/2005, Book **20051109 Instrument# 0001385 AMOUNT: \$ 442,000.00** Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE,, LAS VEGAS NV 89131

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned corporation/trust has caused this instrument to be executed by its proper officer. Executed on: 07/26/2012

CITIMORTGAGE, INC.

By:

M. E. Wileman, Authorized Signator

NV Clark

MIN 100072400325014937 MERS Phone 888-679-6377 CITICAP/WL17-2012/AS

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment: Station Id: SR07

State of Texas, County of Tarrant

On 07/26/2012, before me, the undersigned, M. E. Wileman, who acknowledged that he/she is Authorized Signator of/ for CITIMORTGAGE, INC. and that he/she executed the foregoing instrument and that such execution was done as the free act and deed of CITIMORTGAGE, INC.



Notary public, C. Lafferty

My commission expires: November 30, 2014

MAIL TAX BILL TO:

CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN, AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE,, LAS VEGAS NV 89131

12031213

MIN 100072400325014937 MERS Phone 888-679-6377 NV Clark CITICAP/WL17-2012/AS

Inst #: 201308120002562

Fees: \$18,00 N/C Fee: \$25,00

08/12/2013 02:42:09 PM Receipt #: 1729913

Requestor:

LSI TITLE AGENCY INC.
Recorded By: CDE Pgs: 2

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

Peak Loan Servicing 5900 Canoga Ave Suite 200 Woodland Hills CA 91367

Parcel ID#: 125-15-811-013

Ln#7000035044/PEREZ

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

130170768

Assignment of Deed of Trust

Date of Assignment: 3/12/13

"This instrument is being recorded as an ACCOMMODATION ONLY, with no Representation as to its effect upon title"

Assignor: : U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6

Assignee: MARCHAI B.T.

Executed By: CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY To MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. AS NOMINEE FOR CMG MORTGAGE, INC. and FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE AGENCY OF NEVADA, as Trustee, Date of Deed of Trust:

10/19/2005 Recorded: 11/09/2005 in Book/Reel/Liber: — Page: —as Instrument/CFN No.: 20051109-0001385 in Official Records of the CLARK County, State of NEVADA

Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE, LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

Parcel ID #: 125-15-811-013

Legal:

LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA. A NON-EXLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR INGESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FORUTH IN THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN BOOK 20021004 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that in consideration of the sum of TEN and NO/100ths DOLLARS and other good and valuable consideration, paid to the above named assignor, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, said Assignor here by assigns unto the above-named Assignee, the said Deed of Trust, secured thereby, which all moneys now owning or that may hereafter become due or owning in respect thereof, and the full benefit of all the powers and of all the covenants and provisos therein contained, and the said Assignor hereby Grants and conveys unto the said Assignee, the Assignor's beneficial interest under the Deed of Trust.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said Deed of Trust, and the said property unto the said Assignee forever, subject to the terms contained in the said Deed of Trust IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the assignor has executed these presents the day and year first above written

Dated: 3/12/13

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, AS TRUSTEE FOR STANWICH MORTGAGE LOAN TRUST, SERIES 2012-6, BY CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES LLC. AS ATTORNEY IN FACT

LEPPY, SR. VICE PRESIDENT By:

State of CALIFORNIA County of ORANGE

On3/13/13 before me, ANGELICA ROSALES PACHECO, Notary Public personally appeared GREG SCHLEPPY, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/he/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/he/th/ir signature(*) on the instrument the person(*), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(*) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of CALIFORNIA that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

ANGELICA ROSALE Orange County My Comm. Expires Mar. 25, 2016

EXHIBIT 5

20060406-0004914

Fee: \$21.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

04/06/2006

17:00:22

T20060061379

Requestor:

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE LENDER

Frances Deane

KXC

Clark County Recorder

Pgs: 8

Mail Tax Statements To (name and address): CRISTELA PEREZ AND ROBERT ROSE

7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE LAS VEGAS NV 89131

Return To (name and address): First American 1228 Euclid Avenue, 4th Floor Cleveland, OH 44115

Assessor's Parcel Number: 125-15-811-013

-State of Nevada----

—— Space Above This Line For Recording Data—

Order #: 3000434454 DEED OF IKUSI
(With Future Advance Clause)

1. DATE AND PARTIES. The date of this Deed of Trust (Security Instrument) is 12/26/2006...... and the parties, their addresses and tax identification numbers, if required, are as follows:

GRANTOR: CRISTELA PEREZ AND ROBERT ROSE MARRIED WOMAN SEPARATE **PROPERTY**

3000434454

☐ If checked, refer to the attached Addendum incorporated herein, for additional Grantors, their signatures and acknowledgments.

TRUSTEE: U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association

111 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 3500

Portland, OR 97204

RECORDERS MEMO

POSSIBLE POOR RECORD DUE TO QUALITY OF ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

LENDER: U.S. Bank, National Association N.D.

4325 17th Avenue S.W. Fargo, ND 58103

2. CONVEYANCE. For good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged, and to secure the Secured Debt (defined on page 2) and Grantor's performance under this Security Instrument, Grantor irrevocably grants, bargains, conveys and sells to Trustee, in trust for the benefit of Lender, with power of sale, the following described property (if property description is in metes and bounds the name and mailing address of the person who prepared the legal description must be included):

The real estate deed of trust herein is described in Exhibit "A" which is attached hereto and hereby incorporated herein by reference.

8744120

(page 1 of 7)

NEVADA - HOME EQUITY LINE OF CREDIT DEED OF TRUST

(NOT FOR FNMA, FHLMC, FHA OR VA USE)

1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-NV 6/25/2003

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914 Page 1 of 8

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:48 PM

JA_0053

Branch: LDA, User: JGOW

Station Id: SR07

The property is located in S	CLARK	at 7119 WOLF RIVERS A	AVE	**********
	(County)			
(Address)		(City)		(ZIP Code)

Together with all rights, easements, appurtenances, royalties, mineral rights, oil and gas rights, all water and riparian rights, ditches, and water stock and all existing and future improvements, structures, fixtures, and replacements that may now, or at any time in the future, be part of the real estate described above (all referred to as "Property").

- 4. SECURED DEBT AND FUTURE ADVANCES. The term "Secured Debt" is defined as follows:
 - A. Debt incurred under the terms of all promissory note(s), contract(s), guaranty(ies) or other evidence of debt described below and all their extensions, renewals, modifications or substitutions. (You must specifically identify the debt(s) secured and you should include the final maturity date of such debt(s).)
 - B. All future advances from Lender to Grantor or other future obligations of Grantor to Lender under any promissory note, contract, guaranty, or other evidence of debt executed by Grantor in favor of Lender after this Security Instrument whether or not this Security Instrument is specifically referenced. If more than one person signs this Security Instrument, each Grantor agrees that this Security Instrument will secure all future advances and future obligations that are given to or incurred by any one or more Grantor, or any one or more Grantor and others. Future advances are contemplated and are governed by the provisions of NRS 106.300 to 106.400, inclusive. All future advances and other future obligations are secured by this Security Instrument even though all or part may not yet be advanced. All future advances and other future obligations are secured as if made on the date of this Security Instrument. Nothing in this Security Instrument shall constitute a commitment to make additional or future loans or advances in any amount. Any such commitment must be agreed to in a separate writing.

C. All other obligations Grantor owes to Lender, which may later arise, to the extent not prohibited by law, including, but not limited to, liabilities for overdrafts relating to any deposit account agreement between Grantor and Lender.

D. All additional sums advanced and expenses incurred by Lender for insuring, preserving or otherwise protecting the Property and its value and any other sums advanced and expenses incurred by Lender under the terms of this Security Instrument.

In the event that Lender fails to provide any necessary notice of the right of rescission with respect to any additional indebtedness secured under paragraph B of this Section, Lender waives any subsequent security interest in the Grantor's principal dwelling that is created by this Security Instrument (but does not waive the security interest for the debts referenced in paragraph A of this Section).

8744120

page 2 of 7

Expers - 1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-NV 6/25/2003

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914 ١.

5. DEED OF TRUST COVENANTS. Grantor agrees that the covenants in this section are material obligations under the Secured Debt and this Security Instrument. If Grantor breaches any covenant in this section, Lender may refuse to make additional extensions of credit and reduce the credit limit. By not exercising either remedy on Grantor's breach, Lender does not waive Lender's right to later consider the event a breach if it happens again.

Payments. Grantor agrees that all payments under the Secured Debt will be paid when due and

in accordance with the terms of the Secured Debt and this Security Instrument.

Prior Security Interests. With regard to any other mortgage, deed of trust, security agreement or other lien document that created a prior security interest or encumbrance on the Property, Grantor agrees to make all payments when due and to perform or comply with all covenants. Grantor also agrees not to allow any modification or extension of, nor to request any future advances under any note or agreement secured by the lien document without Lender's prior written approval.

Claims Against Title. Grantor will pay all taxes, assessments, liens, encumbrances, lease payments, ground rents, utilities, and other charges relating to the Property when due. Lender may require Grantor to provide to Lender copies of all notices that such amounts are due and the receipts evidencing Grantor's payment. Grantor will defend title to the Property against any claims that would impair the lien of this Security Instrument. Grantor agrees to assign to Lender, as requested by Lender, any rights, claims or defenses Grantor may have against parties

who supply labor or materials to maintain or improve the Property.

Property Condition, Alterations and Inspection. Grantor will keep the Property in good condition and make all repairs that are reasonably necessary. Grantor shall not commit or allow any waste, impairment, or deterioration of the Property. Grantor agrees that the nature of the occupancy and use will not substantially change without Lender's prior written consent. Grantor will not permit any change in any license, restrictive covenant or easement without Lender's prior written consent. Grantor will notify Lender of all demands, proceedings, claims, and actions against Grantor, and of any loss or damage to the Property.

Lender or Lender's agents may, at Lender's option, enter the Property at any reasonable time for the purpose of inspecting the Property. Lender shall give Grantor notice at the time of or before an inspection specifying a reasonable purpose for the inspection. Any inspection of the Property shall be entirely for Lender's benefit and Grantor will in no way rely on Lender's

inspection.

Authority to Perform. If Grantor fails to perform any duty or any of the covenants contained in this Security Instrument, Lender may, without notice, perform or cause them to be performed. Grantor appoints Lender as attorney in fact to sign Grantor's name or pay any amount necessary for performance. Lender's right to perform for Grantor shall not create an obligation to perform, and Lender's failure to perform will not preclude Lender from exercising any of Lender's other rights under the law or this Security Instrument.

Leaseholds; Condominiums; Planned Unit Developments. Grantor agrees to comply with the provisions of any lease if this Security Instrument is on a leasehold. If the Property includes a unit in a condominium or a planned unit development, Grantor will perform all of Grantor's duties under the covenants, by-laws, or regulations of the condominium or planned unit

development

Condemnation. Grantor will give Lender prompt notice of any pending or threatened action, by private or public entities to purchase or take any or all of the Property through condemnation, eminent domain, or any other means. Grantor authorizes Lender to intervene in Grantor's name in any of the above described actions or claims. Grantor assigns to Lender the proceeds of any award or claim for damages connected with a condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property. Such proceeds shall be considered payments and will be applied as provided in this Security Instrument. This assignment of proceeds is subject to the terms of any prior mortgage, deed of trust, security agreement or other lien document.

8744120 (pa<u>ge 3 o</u>f

Expers - 1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-NV 6/25/2003

CLARK, NV

Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914

Page 3 of 8

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:49 PM

Insurance. Grantor shall keep Property insured against loss by fire, flood, theft and other hazards and risks reasonably associated with the Property due to its type and location. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentence can change during the term of the Secured Debt. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Grantor subject to Lender's approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. If Grantor fails to maintain the coverage described above, Lender may, at Lender's option, obtain coverage to protect Lender's rights in the Property according to the terms of this Security Instrument.

All insurance policies and renewals shall be acceptable to Lender and shall include a standard "mortgage clause" and, where applicable, "loss payee clause." Grantor shall immediately notify Lender of cancellation or termination of the insurance. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewals. If Lender requires, Grantor shall immediately give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. Upon loss, Grantor shall give immediate notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made immediately by Grantor.

Unless otherwise agreed in writing, all insurance proceeds shall be applied to the restoration or repair of the Property or to the Secured Debt, whether or not then due, at Lender's option. Any application of proceeds to principal shall not extend or postpone the due date of the scheduled payment nor change the amount of any payment. Any excess will be paid to the Grantor. If the Property is acquired by Lender, Grantor's right to any insurance policies and proceeds resulting from damage to the Property before the acquisition shall pass to Lender to the extent of the Secured Debt immediately before the acquisition.

Financial Reports and Additional Documents. Grantor will provide to Lender upon request, any financial statement or information Lender may deem reasonably necessary. Grantor agrees to sign, deliver, and file any additional documents or certifications that Lender may consider necessary to perfect, continue, and preserve Grantor's obligations under this Security Instrument and Lender's lien status on the Property.

6. WARRANTY OF TITLE. Grantor warrants that Grantor is or will be lawfully seized of the estate conveyed by this Security Instrument and has the right to irrevocably grant, bargain, convey and sell the Property to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale. Grantor also warrants that the Property is unencumbered, except for encumbrances of record.

7. DUE ON SALE. Lender may, at its option, declare the entire balance of the Secured Debt to be immediately due and payable upon the creation of, or contract for the creation of, a transfer or sale of all or any part of the Property. This right is subject to the restrictions imposed by federal law (12 C.F.R. 591), as applicable.

8. DEFAULT. Grantor will be in default if any of the following occur: Fraud. Any Consumer Borrower engages in fraud or material misrepresentation in connection with the Secured Debt that is an open end home equity plan.

Payments. Any Consumer Borrower on any Secured Debt that is an open end home equity plan fails to make a payment when due.

Property. Any action or inaction by the Borrower or Grantor occurs that adversely affects the Property or Lender's rights in the Property. This includes, but is not limited to, the following: (a) Grantor fails to maintain required insurance on the Property; (b) Grantor transfers the Property; (c) Grantor commits waste or otherwise destructively uses or fails to maintain the Property such that the action or inaction adversely affects Lender's security; (d) Grantor fails to pay taxes on the Property or otherwise fails to act and thereby causes a lien to be filed against the Property that is senior to the lien of this Security Instrument; (e) a sole Grantor dies; (f) if more than one Grantor, any Grantor dies and Lender's security is adversely affected; (g) the Property is taken through eminent domain; (h) a judgment is filed against Grantor and subjects Grantor and the Property to action that adversely affects Lender's interest; or (i) a prior lienholder forecloses on the Property and as a result, Lender's interest is adversely affected.

Executive Officers. Any Borrower is an executive officer of Lender or an affiliate and such Borrower becomes indebted to Lender or another lender in an aggregate amount greater than the amount permitted under federal laws and regulations.

8744120

Expers 1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-NV 6/25/2003

CLARK,NV

Page 4 of 8

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:49 PM

(pa<u>ge 4</u> of 7)

9. REMEDIES ON DEFAULT. In addition to any other remedy available under the terms of this Security Instrument, Lender may accelerate the Secured Debt and foreclose this Security Instrument in a manner provided by law if Grantor is in default. In some instances, federal and state law will require Lender to provide Grantor with notice of the right to cure, or other notices and may establish time schedules for foreclosure actions.

At the option of the Lender, all or any part of the agreed fees and charges, accrued interest and principal shall become immediately due and payable, after giving notice if required by law, upon the occurrence of a default or anytime thereafter. Lender shall be entitled to, without limitation,

the power to sell the Property.

If there is a default, Trustee shall, at the request of Lender, advertise and sell the Property as a whole or in separate parcels at public auction to the highest bidder for cash and convey absolute title free and clear of all right, title and interest of Grantor at such time and place as Trustee designates. Trustee shall give notice of sale, including the time, terms and place of sale and a description of the Property to be sold as required by the applicable law.

Upon the sale of the Property and to the extent not prohibited by law, Trustee shall make and deliver a deed to the Property sold which conveys absolute title to the purchaser, and after first paying all fees, charges, and costs, shall pay to Lender all moneys advanced for repairs, taxes, insurance, liens, assessments and prior encumbrances and interest thereon, and the principal and interest on the Secured Debt, paying the surplus, if any, to Grantor. Lender may purchase the Property. The recitals in any deed of conveyance shall be prima facie evidence of the facts set forth therein.

The acceptance by Lender of any sum in payment or partial payment on the Secured Debt after the balance is due or is accelerated or after foreclosure proceedings are filed shall not constitute a waiver of Lender's right to require complete cure of any existing default. By not exercising any remedy on Grantor's default, Lender does not waive Lender's right to later consider the

event a default if it happens again.

- 10. EXPENSES; ADVANCES ON COVENANTS; ATTORNEYS' FEES; COLLECTION COSTS. If Grantor breaches any covenant in this Security Instrument, Grantor agrees to pay all expenses Lender incurs in performing such covenants or protecting its security interest in the Property. Such expenses include, but are not limited to, fees incurred for inspecting, preserving, or otherwise protecting the Property and Lender's security interest. These expenses are payable on demand and will bear interest from the date of payment until paid in full at the highest rate of interest in effect as provided in the terms of the Secured Debt. Grantor agrees to pay all costs and expenses incurred by Lender in collecting, enforcing or protecting Lender's rights and remedies under this Security Instrument. This amount may include, but is not limited to, attorneys' fees, court costs, and other legal expenses. To the extent permitted by the United States Bankruptcy Code, Grantor agrees to pay the reasonable attorneys' fees Lender incurs to collect the Secured Debt as awarded by any court exercising jurisdiction under the Bankruptcy Code. This Security Instrument shall remain in effect until released. Grantor agrees to pay for any recordation costs of such release.
- 11. ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES. As used in this section, (1) Environmental Law means, without limitation, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.), and all other federal, state and local laws, regulations, ordinances, court orders, attorney general opinions or interpretive letters concerning the public health, safety, welfare, environment or a hazardous substance; and (2) Hazardous Substance means any toxic, radioactive or hazardous material, waste, pollutant or contaminant which has characteristics which render the substance dangerous or potentially dangerous to the public health, safety, welfare or environment. The term includes, without limitation, any substances defined as "hazardous material," "toxic substances," "hazardous waste" or "hazardous substance" under any Environmental Law. Grantor represents, warrants and agrees that:

8744120

page 5 of 7)

Expers - 1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-N♥ 6/25/2003

CLARK,NV
Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914

Page 5 of 8

Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:50 PM

A. Except as previously disclosed and acknowledged in writing to Lender, no Hazardous Substance is or will be located, stored or released on or in the Property. This restriction does not apply to small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate for the normal use and maintenance of the Property.

B. Except as previously disclosed and acknowledged in writing to Lender, Grantor and every tenant have been, are, and shall remain in full compliance with any applicable Environmental Law.

C. Grantor shall immediately notify Lender if a release or threatened release of a Hazardous Substance occurs on, under or about the Property or there is a violation of any Environmental Law concerning the Property. In such an event, Grantor shall take all necessary remedial action in accordance with any Environmental Law.

D. Grantor shall immediately notify Lender in writing as soon as Grantor has reason to believe there is any pending or threatened investigation, claim, or proceeding relating to the release or threatened release of any Hazardous Substance or the violation of any Environmental Law.

12. ESCROW FOR TAXES AND INSURANCE. Unless otherwise provided in a separate agreement, Grantor will not be required to pay to Lender funds for taxes and insurance in escrow.

- 13. JOINT AND INDIVIDUAL LIABILITY; CO-SIGNERS; SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS BOUND. All duties under this Security Instrument are joint and individual. If Grantor signs this Security Instrument but does not sign an evidence of debt, Grantor does so only to mortgage Grantor's interest in the Property to secure payment of the Secured Debt and Grantor does not agree to be personally liable on the Secured Debt. If this Security Instrument secures a guaranty between Lender and Grantor, Grantor agrees to waive any rights that may prevent Lender from bringing any action or claim against Grantor or any party indebted under the obligation. These rights may include, but are not limited to, any anti-deficiency or one-action laws. The duties and benefits of this Security Instrument shall bind and benefit the successors and assigns of Grantor and Lender.
- 14. SEVERABILITY; INTERPRETATION. This Security Instrument is complete and fully integrated. This Security Instrument may not be amended or modified by oral agreement. Any section in this Security Instrument, attachments, or any agreement related to the Secured Debt that conflicts with applicable law will not be effective, unless that law expressly or impliedly permits the variations by written agreement. If any section of this Security Instrument cannot be enforced according to its terms, that section will be severed and will not affect the enforceability of the remainder of this Security Instrument. Whenever used, the singular shall include the plural and the plural the singular. The captions and headings of the sections of this Security Instrument are for convenience only and are not to be used to interpret or define the terms of this Security Instrument. Time is of the essence in this Security Instrument.

15. SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE. Lender, at Lender's option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee without any other formality than the designation in writing. The successor trustee, without conveyance of the Property, shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee by this Security Instrument and applicable law.

16. NOTICE. Unless otherwise required by law, any notice shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to the appropriate party's address on page 1 of this Security Instrument, or to any other address designated in writing. Notice to one grantor will be deemed to be notice to all grantors.

17. WAIVERS. Except to the extent prohibited by law, Grantor waives all appraisement and homestead exemption rights relating to the Property.

18. LINE OF CREDIT. The Secured Debt includes a revolving line of credit. Although the Secured Debt may be reduced to a zero balance, this Security Instrument will remain in effect until released.

8744120

Expers - 1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-NV 6/25/2003

CLARK,NV
Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914

in the erty is oorated
in this of this
30/04 (Date)
} ss. O
F

8744120

(page 7 of 7)

Expers - 1994 Bankers Systems, Inc., St. Cloud, MN Form OCP-REDT-NV 6/25/2003

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914 Branch: LDA, User: JGOW Order: 08609266 Title Officer: MJ Comment:

EXHIBIT "A"

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

A PARCEL OF LAND SITUATED IN THE STATE OF NEVADA, COUNTY OF CLARK, WITH A STREET LOCATION ADDRESS OF 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE; LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139 CURRENTLY OWNED BY CRISTELA PEREZ HAVING A TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF 125-15-811-013 AND BEING THE SAME PROPERTY MORE FULLY DESCRIBED IN BOOK/PAGE OR DOCUMENT NUMBER 40721003728 DATED 7/19/2004 AND FURTHER DESCRIBED AS WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2 PLAT BOOK 112 PAGE 8 LOT 13 BLOCK A PT S2 SE4 SEC 15 TWP 19 RGN 60.

125-15-811-013 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE; LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139

20060131701500 27313887/f



8744120
FIRST AMERICAN LENDERS ADVANTAGE
DEED OF TRUST

CLARK,NV Document: DOT 2006.0406.4914 Printed on 01/15/2013 2:57:52 PM

Station Id: SR07

EXHIBIT 6

Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC PO Box 9050 Temecula, CA 92589-9050

Send Payments to: Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC Attn: Payment Processing PO Box 79001 Phoenix, AZ 85062-9001

Send Correspondence to: Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC PO Box 54285 Irvine, CA 92619-4285



2266385873

PRESORT First-Class Mail U.S. Postage and Fees Paid WSO

20121004-51





October 3, 2012

CRISTELA PEREZ 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139

Property Address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVENUE

LAS VEGAS, NV 89131

RE: Loan Number: 7000035044

NOTICE OF INTENT TO FORECLOSE

Dear Mortgagor(s):

The above referenced loan is in default because the monthly payment(s) due on and after October 1, 2011 have not been received. The amount required to cure this delinquency, as of the date of this letter, is \$36,281.60, less \$0.00, monies held in Unapplied.

SUBSEQUENT PAYMENTS, LATE CHARGES, AND OTHER FEES WILL BE ADDED TO THE ABOVE STATED REINSTATEMENT AMOUNT AS THEY ARE ASSESSED.

Please remit the total amount due in CERTIFIED FUNDS, utilizing one of the following payment resources:

OVERNIGHT MAIL: | WESTERN UNION QUICK COLLECT

Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC | Any Western Union Location:
ATTN: Cashiering Dept. | Code City: CARRINGTONMS

1610 E. Saint Andrew Place, Ste. B-150 | Code State: CA

Santa Ana, Ca. 92705

IF YOU ARE UNABLE TO BRING YOUR ACCOUNT CURRENT, PLEASE CONTACT CARRINGTON MORTGAGE SERVICES, LLC TO DISCUSS HOME RETENTION ALTERNATIVES TO AVOID FORECLOSURE AT (888) 788-7306 OR BY MAIL AT 1610 E. SAINT ANDREW PLACE, SUITE B-150, SANTA ANA, CA 92705.

YOU MAY ALSO CONTACT THE DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ("HUD") HOTLINE NUMBER AT (800) 569-4287 OR YOU CAN VISIT THEM AT http://www.hud.gov/foreclosure/index.cfm TO FIND OUT OTHER OPTIONS YOU MAY HAVE TO AVOID FORECLOSURE.



Failure to cure the delinquency within 30 days of the date of this letter may result in acceleration of the sums secured by the Deed of Trust or Mortgage and in the sale of the property.

You have the right to reinstate your loan after legal action has begun. You also have the right to assert in foreclosure, the non-existence of a default or any other defense to acceleration and foreclosure.

Should you have any questions, please contact our office at (888) 788-7306, 5:00 AM to 9:00 PM Monday through Thursday, 5:00 AM to 5:00 PM Friday, 6:00 AM to 10:00 AM Saturday and 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM Sunday, Pacific Time.

Sincerely,

Loan Servicing Department Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC

-IMPORTANT BANKRUPTCY NOTICE

If you have been discharged from personal liability on the mortgage because of bankruptcy proceedings and have not reaffirmed the mortgage, or if you are the subject of a pending bankruptcy proceeding, this letter is not an attempt to collect a debt from you but merely provides informational notice regarding the status of the loan. If you are represented by an attorney with respect to your mortgage, please forward this document to your attorney.

-CREDIT REPORTING

We may report information about your account to credit bureaus. Late payments, missed payments, or other defaults on your account may be reflected in your credit report. As required by law, you are hereby notified that a negative credit report reflecting on your credit record may be submitted to a credit reporting agency if you fail to fulfill the terms of your credit obligations.

-MINI MIRANDA

This communication is from a debt collector and it is for the purpose of collecting a debt and any information obtained will be used for that purpose. This notice is required by the provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and does not imply that we are attempting to collect money from anyone who has discharged the debt under the bankruptcy laws of the United States.

-HUD STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 169 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1987, you may have the opportunity to receive counseling from various local agencies regarding the retention of your home. You may obtain a list of the HUD-approved housing counseling agencies by calling the HUD nationwide toll free telephone number at (800) 569-4287.

-EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT NOTICE

The Federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act prohibits creditors from discriminating against credit applicants on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, or age (provided the applicant has the capacity to enter into a binding contract); because all or part of the applicant's income derives from any public assistance program; or because the applicant has, in good faith, exercised any right under the Consumer Credit Protection Act. The Federal Agency that administers CMS' compliance with this law is the Federal Trade Commission, Equal Credit Opportunity, Washington, DC 20580.

EXHIBIT 7

Inst #: 201309090001816

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

RPTT: \$1568.25 Ex: # 09/09/2013 10:59:56 AM Receipt #: 1763390

Requestor:

ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC

Recorded By: JACKSM Pgs: 2

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded mail to and Mail Tax Statements to: SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC 5030 Paradise Road, B-214 Las Vegas, NV 89119

A.P.N. No.125-15-811-013

TS No. 11632

TRUSTEE'S DEED UPON SALE

The Grantee (Buyer) herein was: SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC
The Foreclosing Beneficiary herein was: Wyeth Ranch Community Association
The amount of unpaid debt together with costs: \$14,677.80
The amount paid by the Grantee (Buyer) at the Trustee's Sale: \$21,000.00
The Documentary Transfer Tax: \$1,568.25
Property address: 7119 WOLF RIVERS AVE, LAS VEGAS, NV 89131-0139
Said property is in [] unincorporated area: City of LAS VEGAS

Trustor (Former Owner that was foreclosed on): CRISTELA PEREZ

Alessi & Koenig, LLC (herein called Trustee), as the duly appointed Trustee under that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, recorded December 20, 2011 as instrument number 0001246, in Clark County, does hereby grant, without warranty expressed or implied to: SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC (Grantee), all its right, title and interest in the property legally described as: WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2 PLAT LOT 13 BLOCK A, as per map recorded in Book 112, Pages 8 as shown in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County Nevada.

TRUSTEE STATES THAT:

This conveyance is made pursuant to the powers conferred upon Trustee by NRS 116 et seq., and that certain Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, described herein. Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell which was recorded in the office of the recorder of said county. All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices and the posting and publication of the copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied with. Said property was sold by said Trustee at public auction on August 28, 2013 at the place indicated on the Notice of Trustee's Sale.

Ryan Kerbow, Esq.

Signature of AUTHORIZED AGENT for Alessi & Koenig, Llc.

State of Nevada County of Clark)	AUG 2 9 2013
SUBSCRIBED and S	WORN before me	Ryan Kerbow
WITNESS my hand a	nd official seal.	Hedi Hy
(Seal)	NOTARY PUBLIC HEIDI A. HAGEN	(Signature)
	STATE OF NEVADA - COUNTY OF	CLARK .

MY APPOINTMENT EXP. MAY 17, 2017 No: 13-10829-1

STATE OF NEVADA DECLARATION OF VALUE

1. Assessor Parcel Num	ber(s)		
a. <u>125-15-811-01</u>	13		
b.			
С.			
d.			
2. Type of Property:			
a. Vacant Land	b. ✓ Single Fam. Res.		ERS OPTIONAL USE ONLY
c. Condo/Twnhse	d. 2-4 Plex	Book	Page:
e. Apt. Bldg	f. Comm'l/Ind'l	Date of Record	ing:
g. Agricultural Other	h. Mobile Home	Notes:	
3.a. Total Value/Sales Pri	ice of Property	\$ 21,000.00	
	closure Only (value of prop)
c. Transfer Tax Value:	orotare only (talar or prop	\$ 307,403.00	
d. Real Property Transfe	er Tax Due	\$ 1,568.25	
ar reduction of transfer		¥ 1,000.20	
4. If Exemption Claims		a a t a	
	mption per NRS 375.090, S	ection	
b. Explain Reason for	or Exemption:		
	. 1	6 0/	
	entage being transferred: 10		170 0 000 000
_	and acknowledges, under p		
•	•		f their information and belief,
-	-		e information provided herein.
Furthermore, the parties a	agree that disallowance of ar	ıy claimed exempti	on, or other determination of
additional tax due, may re	esult in a penalty of 10% of	the tax due plus int	erest at 1% per month. Pursuant
to NRS 375.030, the Buy	er and Seller shall be jointly	and severally liable	e for any additional amount owed.
Signature	06/1	Capacity: Gran	nto <u>r</u>
	you on the		
Signature		Capacity:	·
SELLER (GRANTOR)			NTEE) INFORMATION
(REQUII	RED)	(I)	REQUIRED)
Print Name: Alessi & Ko	enig, LLC	Print Name: Sf	R Investments Pool 1, LLC
Address:9500 W. Flami	ngo Rd., Ste. 205	Address: 5030	Paradise Road, B-214
City: Las Vegas		City: Las Veg	as
State: NV	Zip: 89147	State: NV	Zip:89119
COMPANY/PERSON I	REQUESTING RECORD	ING (Required if	not seller or buyer)
Print Name: Alessi & Ko		Escrow # N/A I	
Address: 9500 W. Flami			
City: Las Vegas		State:NV	Zip: 89147

AS A PUBLIC RECORD THIS FORM MAY BE RECORDED/MICROFILMED

TAB 2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

40

18

19

20

21

22

__

23

24

25

26

27

28

NPEN LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE

Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. (NV Bar 11681) 3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169 Tel: (702) 948-8565

Tel: (702) 948-8565 Fax: (702) 446-9898

Attorneys for plaintiff Marchai B.T.

10/03/2013 03:02:26 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a limited liability company; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive.

Defendants.

CASE NO.: A-13-689461-C

DEPT. NO.: XXVI

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF ACTION

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that an action has been instituted and is now pending in the District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of, upon the complaint of Marchai B.T. ("Plaintiff"), against the above-named defendants Cristela Perez, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, a limited liability company, U.S. Bank National Association, N.D., a national association that the object of that action is a judicial foreclosure on Plaintiff's lien in first position on the property, securing Plaintiff's loan to the same extent, with interest, on the real property located at: 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89131 with a legal description of:

1	PARCEL I:
2	LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH-UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA.
4	PARCEL II:
5	A NON-EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF
6	THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FORTH IN THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED
7	OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN BOOK 20021004 AS DOCUMENT NO. 01353 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.
8	
9	
11	DATED: October 3, 2013 LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE
12	
13	By: /s/ Benjamin D. Petiprin
14	Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff
15	Marchai B.T.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1 2 3	Attn: Kelly Mitchell Wyeth Ranch HOA PO Box 12117 Las Vegas, NV 89112 Courtesy Copy
4	Wyeth Ranch HOA
5	C/O Complete Association Management Company (CAMCO) PO Box 12117
6	Las Vegas, NV 89112 Courtesy Copy
7	Wyeth Ranch HOA
8	C/O Alessi & Koenig, LLC 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
10	Courtesy Copy
11	Attn: Kelly Mitchell Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Assoc.
12	PO Box 12117 Las Vegas, NV 89112
13	Courtesy Copy
14	Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Association
15	C/O CAMCO PO Box 12117
16	Las Vegas, NV 89112 Courtesy Copy
17	
18	Wyeth Ranch Community Association C/O Alessi & Koenig, LLC
19	9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
20	Courtesy Copy
21	Toscana-Wyeth Ranch Landscape Maintenance Association
22	C/O Ken Williams 1820 E. Sahara STE 101
23	Las Vegas, NV 89104 Courtesy Copy
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	Toscana-Wyeth Ranch Landscape Maintenance Association C/O Ken Williams
2	P.O. Box 12117 Las Vegas, NV 89112
3	Courtesy Copy
4	/s/ Michele Dapello
5	Michele Dapello, an employee of Law Offices of Les Zieve
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
2122	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

TAB 3

VS.

Page 1 of 1

Electronically Filed 11/07/2013 09:06:13 AM

IN THE DISTRICT COURT, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARCHA! B.T., A BANK TRUST

Hearing Date:

CLERK OF THE COURT

CRISTELA PEREZ, AN INDIVIDUAL; SFR

INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, A LIMITED

ASSOCIATION, N.D., A NATIONAL

ASSOCIATION;

LIABILITY COMPANY; U.S. BANK NATIONAL

Plaintiff/Petitioner

CASE NO: A-13-689461-C

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF:

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF ACTION; CIVIL COVER SHEET; COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST; EXHIBITS; AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE; SUMMONS - CIVIL

Defendant/Respondent

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says: That s(he) is now and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen, not an officer of a plaintiff corporation, not a party to nor interested in the above entitled action, has the authority to serve pleadings in the State named below, and is competent to be a witness therein.

On the 4th day of November, 2013, at 11:10 AM, at the address of US Bank Service Center, 4325 17TH Avenue SW, FARGO, Cass County, ND 58103; this affiant served the above described documents upon U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION N.D. by then and there personally delivering 1 true and correct copy(ies) thereof, by then presenting to and leaving the same with Amy Bachmeier, VICE PRESIDENT, REGISTERED AGENT, A white female approx. 40-45 years of age 5'6"-5'8" in height weighing 160-180 lbs with blonde hair and glasses.

No Information was provided or discovered that indicates that the subjects served are members of the U.S. military.

Mark A. Mazaheri, Cass, ND

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this

NOTARY PUBLIC in and for the State of North Dakota

Resigning at:

My Commission Expires:

ろ セミール

CRAIG L MARSHALL Notary Public late of North Dakota

Tracking #: **30078120** SEA FIL

FOR: Zieve, Les Law Offices

REF: 888000604

ORIGINAL AFFIDAVIT OF **SERVICE**

http://classic.abclegal.com/psr/proofs/perlbus_notary.asp?ord=30078120&prf=17&ttl=Affi... 11/4/2013

TAB 4

3 ,

IN THE DISTRICT COURT, EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARCHAI B.T., A BANK TRUST

Hearing Date: CASE NO:

A-13-689461-C

NOV 1 *2 2*013

VS.

CRISTELA PEREZ, AN INDIVIDUAL; SFR **INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, A LIMITED** LIABILITY COMPANY; U.S. BANK NATIONAL **ASSOCIATION, N.D., A NATIONAL ASSOCIATION**;

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE OF:

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF ACTION ENVIOR COURT COVER SHEET; COMPLAINT FOR JUDICIAL FORECLOSURE OF DEED OF TRUST: EXHIBITS: AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE; SUMMONS - CIVIL

Defendant/Respondent

Plaintiff/Petitioner

The undersigned, being first duly sworn, on oath deposes and says: That s(he) is now and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen, not an officer of a plaintiff corporation, not a party to nor interested in the above entitled action, has the authority to serve pleadings in the State named below, and is competent to be a witness therein.

On the 4th day of November, 2013, at 11:10 AM, at the address of US Bank Service Center, 4325 17TH Avenue SW, FARGO, Cass County, ND 58103; this affiant served the above described documents upon U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION N.D. by then and there personally delivering 1 true and correct copy(ies) thereof, by then presenting to and leaving the same with Amy Bachmeier, VICE PRESIDENT, REGISTERED AGENT, A white female approx. 40-45 years of age 5'6"-5'8" in height weighing 160-180 lbs with blonde hair and glasses.

No Information was provided or discovered that indicates that the subjects served are members of the U.S. military.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this

NOTARY PUBILIC in and for the State of North Dakota

Residing at:

My Commission Expires:

3-25-M

CRAIG L NARSHALL Notary Public State of North Date y Comprission Expires March 25, 2010

FOR: Zieve, Les Law Offices

REF: 888000604

ORIGINAL AFFIDAVIT OF

Tracking #: 30078120 SEA FIL



SERVICE

A-13-689461-C AOS Affidavit of Service

TAB 5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

AACC 1 HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10386 2 E-mail: howard@hkimlaw.com DIANA S. CLINE, ESQ. 3 Nevada Bar No. 10580 E-mail: diana@hkimlaw.com 4 JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593 5 E-mail: jackie@hkimlaw.com HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 6 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014 7 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 8 Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust,
Plaintiff,

VS.

CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a limited liability company; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

22 | Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant,

V

MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; and DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive,

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

Case No. A-13-689461-C

Dept. No. XXVI

ANSWER, COUNTERCLAIM, AND CROSS CLAIM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC ("SFR") hereby answers the Plaintiff MARCHAI B.T.'s complaint as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.
- The document referenced in paragraph 2 of the complaint speaks for itself and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the document.
- 3. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations, except that, upon information and belief, Cristela Perez is an individual, residing in Nevada.
- 4. SFR admits that it claims an ownership interest in the subject property pursuant to a recorded foreclosure deed recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201309090001816.
- 5. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.
- 6. The allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR denies the factual allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the complaint.
- The document referenced in paragraph 7 of the complaint speaks for itself, and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the document.
- 8. The document referenced in paragraph 8 of the complaint speaks for itself, and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the document.
- 9. The documents referenced in paragraph 9 of the complaint speak for themselves, and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the documents.
 - 10. The document referenced in paragraph 10 of the complaint speaks for itself, and SFR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

denies any allegations inconsistent with the document.

- 11. The documents referenced in paragraph 11 of the complaint speak for themselves. SFR admits the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the complaint.
- 12. The document referenced in paragraph 12 of the complaint (Trustee's Deed Upon Sale) is not attached to the complaint as Exhibit 6 as stated in paragraph 12. That notwithstanding, the document attached as Exhibit 6 speaks for itself, and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the document. SFR admits that it purchased the subject property for \$21,000.00 at a public foreclosure auction.
- 13. SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.
- 14. The document referenced in paragraph 14 of the complaint (Notice of Intent to Foreclose) is not attached to the complaint as Exhibit 7. That notwithstanding the document attached as Exhibit 7 speaks for itself, and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the document.
- 15. The documents referenced in paragraphs 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of the complaint speak for themselves, and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the documents. Further, the allegations in paragraphs 15, 16, and 17 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as the truth of the factual allegations contained in paragraphs 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19 of the complaint and therefore denies the same.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Judicial Foreclosure of Deed of Trust)

- 16. SFR repeats and realleges its answers to paragraphs 1 through 19 of the complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 17. The allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required; SFR is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the factual allegations contained in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

paragraph 21 of the complaint, and therefore denies said allegations.

18. The allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR denies that Plaintiff has a right under the Deed of Trust to foreclose on the subject property either judicially or nonjudicially.

19. The documents referenced in paragraph 23 of the complaint speak for themselves, and SFR denies any allegations inconsistent with the documents. Further, the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR denies the factual allegations contained therein.

20. The allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the complaint call for a legal conclusion, therefore, no answer is required. To the extent an answer is required, SFR denies that amounts remain due under the Deed of Trust and is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as the truth of the remaining factual allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the complaint and therefore denies the same.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Plaintiff is not entitled to relief from or against SFR, as Plaintiff has not sustained any loss, injury, or damage that resulted from any act, omission, or breach by SFR.
- 3. The occurrence referred to in the Complaint, and all injuries and damages, if any, resulting therefrom, were caused by the acts or omissions of Plaintiff.
- 4. The occurrence referred to in the Complaint, and all injuries and damages, if any, resulting therefrom, were caused by the acts or omissions of a third party or parties over whom SFR had no control.
 - 5. SFR did not breach any statutory or common law duties allegedly owed to Plaintiff.
- 6. Plaintiff's claims are barred because SFR complied with applicable statutes and with the requirements and regulations of the State of Nevada.
 - 7. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred in whole or in part by the applicable statues of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

limitations or repose, or by the equitable doctrines of laches, waiver, estoppel, and ratification.

- 8. Plaintiff is not entitled to equitable relief because it has an adequate remedy at law.
- 9. Plaintiff has no standing to enforce the first deed of trust and the underlying promissory note.
- 10. The first deed of trust and other subordinate interests in the Property were extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale held in accordance with NRS Chapter 116.
- 11. Pursuant to Nevada Rule of Civil Procedure 11, as amended, all possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry at the time of filing this Answer. Therefore, SFR reserves the right to amend this Answer to assert any affirmative defenses if subsequent investigation warrants.

COUNTERCLAIM AND CROSSCLAIM

FOR QUIET TITLE AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC ("SFR"), hereby demands quiet title and requests injunctive relief against Counter-Defendant MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust ("Marchai"); and CRISTELA PEREZ ("Perez") Cross-Defendants U.S. **BANK** and NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D ("U.S. Bank") as follows:

I. **PARTIES**

- 1. SFR is a Nevada limited liability company with its principal place of business in Clark County, Nevada and the current title owner of the property commonly known as 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89131; Parcel No. 125-15-811-013 (the "Property").
- 2. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant, Marchai is a bank trust that may claim an interest in the Property via a 2005 deed of trust securing a loan originated by CMG Mortgage, Inc.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendant, Perez is a Nevada resident and former title owner to the Property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 4. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendant, U.S. Bank is a national association that may claim an interest in the Property via a 2006 deed of trust securing a home equity line of credit.
- 5. Upon information and belief, each of the Cross-Defendants sued herein as DOES I through X, inclusive claim an interest in the Property or are responsible in some manner for the events and action that SFR seeks to enjoin; that when the true names capacities of such defendants become known, SFR will ask leave of this Court to amend this counterclaim and cross-claim to insert the true names, identities and capacities together with proper charges and allegations.
- 6. Upon information and belief, each of the Cross-Defendants sued herein as ROES CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive claim an interest in the Property or are responsible in some manner for the events an happenings herein that SFR seeks to enjoin; that when the true names capacities of such defendants become known, SFR will ask leave of this Court to amend this counterclaim and cross-claim to insert the true names, identities and capacities together with proper charges and allegations.

II. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

SFR Acquired Title to the Property through Foreclosure of an Association Lien with Super **Priority Amounts**

- 7. SFR acquired the Property at a publicly-held foreclosure auction on August 28, 2013 in accordance with NRS 116.3116, et. seq. ("Association foreclosure sale").
- 8. The resulting foreclosure deed to SFR was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201309090001816.
- 9. Wyeth Ranch Community Association (the "Association") had a lien pursuant to NRS 116.3116(1) ("Association Lien") that was perfected at the time the Association recorded its declaration of CC&Rs.
- 10. The Association foreclosure sale was conducted by Alessi & Koenig, LLC, agent for the Association, pursuant to the powers conferred by the Nevada Revised Statutes 116.3116, 116.31162-116.31168, the Association's governing documents (CC&R's) and a Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien which was recorded on December 20, 2011 in the Official Records

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201112200001246.

- 11. As recited in the foreclosure deed, the Association foreclosure sale complied with all requirements of law, including but not limited to, recording and mailing of copies of Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien, and the recording, posting and publication of the Notice of Sale.
- Pursuant to NRS 116.3116(2), the entire Association Lien is prior to all other liens and encumbrances of unit except:
 - (a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration and, in a cooperative, liens and encumbrances which the association creates, assumes or takes subject to;
 - (b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent or, in a cooperative, the first security interest encumbering only the unit's owner's interest and perfected before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent; and
 - (c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit or cooperative.
- 13. NRS 116.3116(2) further provides that a portion of the Association Lien has priority over even a first security interest in the Property:

[the Association Lien] is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien[.]

- 15. Pursuant to NRS 116.1104, the provisions of NRS 116.3116(2) granting priority cannot be waived by agreement or contract, including any subordination clause in the CC&Rs.
- 16. According to NRS 116.1108, real property law principles supplement the provisions of NRS 116.
- 17. Upon information and belief, the Association took the necessary action to trigger the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
- 18. Upon information and belief, no party still claiming an interest in the Property recorded a lien or encumbrance prior to the declaration creating the Association.
- 19. Upon information and belief, SFR's bid on the Property was in excess of the amount necessary to satisfy the costs of sale and the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.

1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110

(702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

- 20. Upon information and belief, the Association or its agent Alessi distributed or should have distributed the excess funds to lien holders in order of priority pursuant to NRS 116.3114(c).
- 21. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants had actual or constructive notice of the requirement to pay assessments to the Association and of the Association Lien.
- 22. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants had actual or constructive notice of the Association's foreclosure proceedings.
- 23. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no individual or entity paid the full amount of delinquent assessments described in the Notice of Default.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant Marchai had actual or constructive notice of the super-priority portion of the Association Lien.
- 25. Upon information and belief, at all relevant times, Counter-Defendant Marchai had internal policies and procedures relating to super-priority liens.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant Marchai knew or should have known that its interest in the Property could be extinguished through foreclosure if it failed to cure the super-priority portion of the Association Lien representing 9 months of assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration for the relevant time period.
- 27. Upon information and belief, prior to the Association foreclosure sale, no individual or entity paid the super-priority portion of the Association Lien representing 9 months of assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association which would have become due in the absence of acceleration for the relevant time period.
- 28. Pursuant to NRS 116.31166, the foreclosure sale vested title in SFR "without equity or right of redemption," and the foreclosure deed is conclusive against the Property's "former owner, his or her heirs and assigns, and all other persons."

Interests, Liens and Encumbrances Extinguished by the Super-Priority Association Lien

29. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendant Perez obtained title to the Property in July

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

of 2004 through a Grant Bargain Sale Deed from Robert D. Rose, Jr.

- 30. On November 9, 2005, CMG Mortgage, Inc. ("CMG") recorded a deed of trust against the Property in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument Nos. 200511090001385 ("First Deed of Trust").
- 31. The First Deed of Trust includes a legal description referencing the Association's declaration of CC&Rs.
- 32. Upon information and belief, the Association was formed and its declaration of CC&Rs was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder prior to the time that the First Deed of Trust and Second Deed of Trust were recorded.
- 33. Upon information and belief, CMG had actual or constructive notice of the Association Lien and NRS 116.3116 before it funded the loan secured by the First Deed of Trust.
- 34. On or about June 05, 2012 CitiMortgage Inc. recorded a Corporate Assignment of Deed Trust wherein CMG assigned all of its rights under the First Deed of Trust to CitiMortgage, Inc. in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201206050003133.
- 35. On or about July 26, 2012, US Bank National Association as trustee for Stanwhich Mortgage Loan Trust ("Stanwhich") recorded an Assignment of Mortgage wherein CitiMortgage, Inc. assigned all of its rights under the October 19, 2005 mortgage to US Bank National Association as trustee for Stanwhich Mortgage in the Official Records of the Clark County Reporter as Instrument 201207260002017.
- 36. On or about August 12, 2013, Plaintiff Marchai caused an Assignment of Deed Trust wherein US Bank National Association as trustee for Stanwhich assigned all of its rights under the October 19, 2005 mortgage to Plaintiff Marchai. The original date of the assignment was March 12, 2013.
- 37. On or about September 30, 2013, Marchai filed a Complaint for Judicial Foreclosure on Deed of Trust despite the fact that their security interest in the Property was extinguished by the foreclosure of the Association Lien.
- 38. Cross-Defendant Perez's ownership interest in the Property was extinguished by the foreclosure of the Association Lien.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

28

39. Cross-Defendant U.S. Bank's security interest in the Property was extinguished by the foreclosure of the super priority portion of the Association Lien.

III. FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Declaratory Relief/Quiet Title Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq., NRS 40.10 & NRS 116.3116)

- 40. SFR repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 39 as though fully set forth herein and incorporates the same by reference.
- 41. Pursuant to NRS 30.010, et. seq. and NRS 40.10, this Court has the power and authority to declare the SFR's rights and interests in the Property and to resolve the Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants' adverse claims in the Property.
- 42. Pursuant to NRS 116.31166, the Association foreclosure sale vested title in the Association "without equity or right of redemption," and the Foreclosure Deed is conclusive against the Property's "former owner, his or her heirs and assigns, and all other persons."
- 43. SFR obtained title to the Property pursuant to a foreclosure deed, which was recorded in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder as Instrument No. 201309090001816.
- 44. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendant Perez, may claim an ownership interest in the Property.
- 45. Upon information and belief, Cross-Defendant US Bank, may claim an ownership interest in the Property.
- 46. Upon information and belief, Counter-Defendant Marchai claims an interest in the Property through the Deed of Trust even after the Association foreclosure sale.
- 47. A foreclosure sale conducted pursuant to NRS 116.31162 116.31168, like all foreclosure sales, extinguishes the title owner's interest in the Property and all junior liens and encumbrances, including deeds of trust.
- 48. Pursuant to NRS 116.3116(2), the super-priority portion of the Association Lien has priority over the First Deed of Trust.
- 49. Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants were duly notified of the Association foreclosure sale and failed to act to protect their interests in the Property, if any legitimately existed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

50. SFR is entitled to a declaratory judgment from this Court finding that: (1) SFR is the title owner of the Property pursuant to the quitclaim deed obtained from the Association; (2) the Association foreclosure deed was valid and enforceable; (3) the Association foreclosure sale extinguished Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants' ownership and security interests in the Property; and (4) SFR's rights and interest in the Property are superior to any adverse interest claimed by Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants.

51. SFR seeks an order from the Court quieting title to the Property in favor of SFR.

IV. SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Preliminary and Permanent Injunction)

- 52. SFR repeats and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1- 53 as though fully set forth herein and incorporate the same by reference.
- 53. Pursuant to NRS 116.31166, the Association foreclosure sale vested title in the Association "without equity or right of redemption," and the Foreclosure deed is conclusive against the Property's "former owner, his or her heirs and assigns, and all other persons."
- 54. On or about August 28, 2013, SFR obtained title to the Property pursuant to a Foreclosure deed from the Association.
- 55. Counter-Defendant Marchai may claim that it maintained an interest in the Property through the First Deed of Trust which was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.
 - 56. Cross-Defendants, Perez and US Bank may claim an ownership interest in the Property.
- 57. A foreclosure sale based on the Deed of Trust is invalid as Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants lost their interest in the Property, if any, at the Association foreclosure sale in 2013.
- 58. Any sale or transfer of title to the Property by Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants would be invalid because their interest in the Property, if any, was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.
- 59. Any attempt to take or maintain possession of the Property by Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants would be invalid because their interest in the Property, if any, was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

60. Any attempt to sell, transfer, encumber or otherwise convey the Property by the Counter-
Defendant and Cross-Defendants would be invalid because their interest in the Property, if any
was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale.

- 61. On the basis of the facts described herein, SFR has a reasonable probability of success on the merits of its claims and has no other adequate remedies at law.
- 62. SFR is entitled to a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction prohibiting Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants from beginning or continuing any eviction proceedings that would affect SFR's possession of the Property.
- 63. SFR is entitled to a preliminary injunction and permanent injunction prohibiting Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants from any sale or transfer that would affect the title to the Property.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

SFR requests judgment against Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants as follows:

- For a declaration and determination that SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC is the 1. rightful owner of title to the Property, and that Counter-Defendant and Cross-Defendants be declared to have no right, title or interest in the Property.
- For a preliminary and permanent injunction that Counter-Defendant and Cross-2. Defendants are prohibited from initiating or continuing foreclosure proceedings, and from selling or transferring the Property;
 - For general and special damages in excess of \$10,000.00 3.
 - For an award of attorney's fees and costs of suit; and 4.

///

24 ///

/// 25

/// 26

///

27

28

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

5. For any further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated this 13th day of November, 2013.

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

/s/Diana S. Cline HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10386 DIANA S. CLINE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580 JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014

Phone: (702) 485-3300 Fax: (702) 485-3301

Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89014 (702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13th day of November, 2013, pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I served via first class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, the foregoing Answer, Counterclaim and Cross-Claim for Quiet Title and Injunctive Relief to the following parties:

Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE 3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorney for Marchai B.T.

/s/ Andrew M. David
An Employee of Howard Kim & Associates

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 HENDERSON, NEVADA 89014 (702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

1	IAFD Howard C. Kim, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 10386 E-mail: howard@hkimlaw.com	
3	Diana S. Cline, Esq.	
	Nevada Bar No. 10580 E-mail: diana@hkimlaw.com	
4	JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593	
5	E-mail: jackie@hkimlaw.com HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES	
6	1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014	
7	Telephone: (702) 485-3300	
8	Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9	DISTRIC	T COURT
10	CLARK COU	NTY, NEVADA
11		
12	MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust,	Case No. A-13-689461-C
13	Plaintiff,	Dept. No. XXVI
14	VS.	
15	CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; SFR	INITIAL APPEARANCE FEE
16	INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a limited liability company; U.S. BANK NATIONAL	DISCLOSURE (NRS CHAPTER 19)
	ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; DOES I through X; and ROE	
17	CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,	
21		
22	Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant,	
23	VS.	
24	MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a	
25	national association; CRISTELA PEREZ, an	
26	individual; and DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive,	
27	Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.	
28		

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110

Pursuant to NRS Chapter 19, as amended by Senate Bill 106, filing fees are submitted for parties appearing in the above-entitled action as indicated below:

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC

\$223.00

TOTAL

\$223.00

DATED November 13th, 2013.

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

/s/Diana S. Cline
Howard C. Kim, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10386
Diana S. Cline, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10580
Jacqueline A. Gilbert, Esq.
Nevada Bar No. 10593
1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110
Henderson, Nevada 89014
Phone: (702) 485-3300
Fax: (702) 485-3301
Attorneys for Plaintiff

TAB 6

How to Chinin

CLERK OF THE COURT

(702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

24

25

26

27

28

HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. 2 Nevada Bar No. 10386 E-mail: howard@hkimlaw.com 3 DIANA S. CLINE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580 E-mail: diana@hkimlaw.com 4 JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. 5 Nevada Bar No. 10593 E-mail: jackie@hkimlaw.com 6 HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 7 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 8 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 10 11 12 MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust, 13 Plaintiff, 14 VS. 15 CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a limited 16 liability company; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national 17 association; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive, 18 19 Defendants. 20 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, 21 Nevada limited liability company, 22 Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant, 23 VS.

MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust; U.S. BANK

national association; CRISTELA PEREZ, an

individual; and DOES I through X; and ROE

CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive,

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

LISP

APN #: 125-15-811-013

1

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No. A-13-689461-C

Dept. No. XXVI

NOTICE OF LIS PENDENS

- 1 -

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

10 11 12 1055 WHITNEY RANCH DRIVE, SUITE 110 13 (702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301 14 15 16 17 18 19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-entitled counter-claim as described in this notice, was commenced on November 13, 2013, in the above-named Court, located at 200 Lewis Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89155, by SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC ("SFR") against MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; and DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive, and any and all persons unknown, claiming any right, title, estate, lien or interest in the real property described in the counter-claim, adverse to SFR'S ownership or any cloud upon SFR'S title thereto. The counter-claim is now pending in the above-named Court.

This counter-claim affects title to specific real property and the right to possession of specific real property situated in Clark County, Nevada, commonly known as 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, NV 89131 legally described as follows:

LOT 13, UNIT 2, BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS PAGE 8, IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

and more particularly described as Clark County Assessor Parcel Number 125-15-811-013.

DATED November 13th, 2013.

HOWARD KIM & ASSOCIATES

/s/Diana S. Cline HOWARD C. KIM, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10386 DIANA S. CLINE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580 JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, Nevada 89014 Phone: (702) 485-3300 Fax: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for Plaintiff

TAB 7

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CCAN
LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE
Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq. (NV Bar 11681)

Attorneys for plaintiff Marchai B.T.

3753 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 200

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

Tel: (702) 948-8565 Fax: (702) 446-9898

CLERK OF THE COURT

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a limited liability company; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, and ROES 1 through 10, inclusive.

Defendants.

CASE NO.: A-13-689461-C

DEPT. NO.: XXVI

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM

Plaintiff and counterdefendant Marchai B.T. ("Marchai"), by and through its attorneys, the LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE, answers the counterclaim of defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("Defendant") as follows:

- 1. Marchai admits the allegations in paragraphs 1-4, 30, 34-36, 38, and 46.
- 2. Marchai denies the allegations in paragraphs 18, 24, 26, 49-50, and 57-63.
- 3. Marchai is without sufficient information and belief to admit or deny the allegations in paragraphs 5-6, 19, 21-23, 25, 27, 29, 31-33, 39, 43-45, and 54, and on that basis denies them.

- 4. There are no allegations to admit or deny in paragraphs 40 and 51-52 and therefore Marchai does not admit or deny anything in those paragraphs.
- 5. The allegations in paragraphs 7, 9-17 (paragraph 14 is omitted in the counterclaim), 20, 28, 41-42, 47-48, and 53 call for a legal conclusion and no response is necessary. To the extent a response is required: Marchai is without sufficient information and belief to admit or deny those allegations and on that basis denies them.
- 6. Marchai admits the allegation that a foreclosure deed recorded as instrument no. 201309090001816 in the Official Records of the Clark County Recorder's Office and denies all other allegations in paragraph 8.
- 7. Marchai admits it filed a complaint for judicial foreclosure on September 30, 2013, and denies all other allegations in paragraph 37.
- 8. Marchai admits the allegation that it maintains an interest in the Property through the First Deed of Trust but denies all other allegations in paragraph 55.
- 9. Marchai admits the allegation that it claims an ownership interest in the Property but denies all other allegations in paragraph 56.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State a Claim)

Defendant fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Statute of Limitations)

All causes of action alleged by Defendant are barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Waiver)

All causes of action alleged by Defendant are barred by the doctrine of waiver, laches, and estoppel.

///

28

1	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	(Unclean Hands)
3	All causes of action alleged by Defendant are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.
4	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	(Statute of Frauds)
6	All causes of action alleged by Defendant are barred by the applicable statute of frauds.
7	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
8	(Equitable Estoppel)
9	The conduct of Defendant bars any relief under the principles of equitable estoppel.
10	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	(NRCP 8)
12	Marchai incorporates by references all affirmative defenses enumerated in Rule 8 of the
13	Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure as though fully set forth herein.
14	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	(Intervening or Supervening Acts)
16	All injuries or damages alleged by Defendant, if any, are a direct and proximate cause of
17	intervening or supervening acts of a person or persons other than Plaintiff and over which
18	Plaintiff did not nor reasonably could have exercised control.
19	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	(Conditions Precedent)
21	Defendants failed to satisfy conditions precedent to bring an action against Plaintiff.
22	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	(Attorney's Fees and Costs)
24	There is no basis for recovery of attorney's fees or costs from Marchai.
25	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	(Lien Priority – NRS 116.3116)
27	Any lien interest purportedly held by Defendant in the property that is the subject of this
28	litigation is inferior to Marchai's first deed of trust interest in the property.
1	

TWEFLTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Reservation of Rights)

All affirmative defenses may not be listed here because facts may exist unknown to Marchai at this time. Marchai reserves its right to amend its answer to add such affirmative defenses in the event further information or investigation warrants it.

Marchai PRAYS FOR JUDGMENT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Defendant takes nothing by way of their counterclaim;
- 2. The counterclaim is dismissed as against Marchai with prejudice;
- 3. For reasonable attorney's fees and costs;
- 4. For any other relief this Court deems proper.

DATED: December 3, 2013 LAW OFFICES OF LES ZIEVE

By: /s/ Benjamin D. Petiprin
Benjamin D. Petiprin, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant
Marchai B.T.

1	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am an employee of the Law Offices of Les Zieve, and not
3	a party to nor interested in the within matter; that on the 3 rd day of December 2013, service of
4	the ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM was made:
5	() by serving the following parties electronically through CM/ECF as set forth below;
6	(X) by depositing a copy in the United States Mail postage prepaid to the parties listed below:
7 8 9	Cristela Perez 7119 Wolf Rivers Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89131-013 Defendant
10 11 12	Diana S. Cline, Esq. Howard Kim & Associates 1055 Whitney Ranch Drive, Suite 110 Henderson, NV 89014 Attorney for Defendant SFR Investment Pool 1, LLC
13 14 15	US Bank National Association, ND 4325 17 th Avenue S.W. Fargo, ND 58103 Defendant
16 17 18	Robert D. Rose Jr. 7119 Wolf Rivers Ave Las Vegas, NV 89131 Courtesy Copy
19 20 21	Robert D. Rose Jr. 17450 Burbank Blvd. #104 Encino, CA 91316 Courtesy Copy
22 23 24	CMG Mortgage, Inc. 3160 Crow Canyon Road, Suite 240 San Ramon, California 94583 Courtesy Copy
25 26 27	Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. P.O. Box 2026 Flint, MI 48501-2026 Courtesy Copy

1	CitiMortgage, Inc
1	1000 Technology Drive O'Fallon, MO 63368-2240
2	Courtesy Copy
3	CitiMortgage, Inc
4	C/O NTC 2100 Alt. 19 North
5	Palm Harbor, FL 34683 Courtesy Copy
6	Attn: Kelly Mitchell
7	Wyeth Ranch HOA
8	PO Box 12117 Las Vegas, NV 89112
	Courtesy Copy
9	Wyeth Ranch HOA
10	C/O Complete Association Management Company (CAMCO)
11	PO Box 12117 Las Vegas, NV 89112
12	Courtesy Copy
13	Wyeth Ranch HOA
14	C/O Alessi & Koenig, LLC
	9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
15	Courtesy Copy
16	Attn: Kelly Mitchell
17	Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Assoc.
18	PO Box 12117 Las Vegas, NV 89112
19	Courtesy Copy
20	Wyeth Ranch Community Association
21	C/O Alessi & Koenig, LLC 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite 205
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89147
22	Courtesy Copy
23	Toscana-Wyeth Ranch Landscape Maintenance Association
24	C/O Ken Williams 1820 E. Sahara STE 101
25	Las Vegas, NV 89104 Courtesy Copy
26	Courtesy Copy
27	
28	

1	Toscana-Wyeth Ranch Landscape Maintenance A C/O Ken Williams	Association
2	2 P.O. Box 12117	
3	Las Vegas, NV 89112 3 Courtesy Copy	
4	4	/s/ Michele Dapello
5	5	Michele Dapello, an employee of Law Offices of Les Zieve
6	6	
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20		
21		
22		
23	23	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	28	

TAB 8

Electronically Filed 12/19/2013 01:42:22 PM

AFFT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

345

- Howard Kim & Associates, Attorneys at Law Diana S. Cline, Esq.

1055 Whitney Ranch Dr., Suite 110 Henderson, NV 89014

State Bar No.: 10580 Attorney(s) for: Plaintiff(s)

Marchai B.T., a Bank Trust

Cristela Perez, an individual; et al

Hun J. Lahren

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No.: A-13-689461-C

Dept. No.: XXVI

Date:

Time:

Defendant(s)

Plaintiff(s)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Jack R. Latham, Jr., being duly sworn deposes and says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age and not a party to or interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. The affiant received _1 copy(les) of the _Summons: Notice of Lis Pendens : Answer, Counterclaim, and Cross claim; Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19) on the 10th day of December, 2013 and served the same on the 11th day of December, 2013 at 2:06 pm by serving the Defendant(s), U.S. Bank National Association, N.D., a national association by personally delivering and leaving a copy at Corporate Office, 425 Walnut Street, Cincinnati, OH 45202 with Jessica Hopkins as Banker an agent lawfully designated by statute to accept service of process.

CELINE M. ESTILL **NOTARY PUBLIC** STATE OF OHIO Recorded in Clermont County My Comm. Exp. 7/11/15

State of Ohio, County of Clermont

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me on this

Affiant: Jack R. Latham, Jr.

WorkOrderNo 1310946

TAB 9

6

8

28

29

30

32

33

34

35

36

Howard Kim & Associates, Attorneys at Law Diana S. Cline, Esq. 1055 Whitney Ranch Dr., Suite 110 Henderson, NV 89014

Attorney(s) for: Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant

State Bar No.: 10580

Jun D. Lahren

Electronically Filed

12/27/2013 01:04:39 PM

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

Case No.: A-13-689461-C

Dept. No.: XXVI

Date: Time:

Marchai B.T., a Bank Trust VS

Cristela Perez, an individual, et al.

Defendant(s)

Plaintiff(s)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, Lana Paige, being duly sworn deposes and says: That at all times herein affiant was and is a citizen of the United States, over 18 years of age, licensed to serve civil process in the State of Nevada under license #604, and not a party to or interested in the proceeding in which this affidavit is made. The affiant received 1 copy(ies) of the: Summons; Notice of Lis Pendens: Answer, Counterclaim, and Cross Claim; Initial Appearance Fee Disclosure (NRS Chapter 19) on the 13th day of December, 2013 and served the same on the 23rd day of December, 2013 at 10:00 am by serving to Defendant, Cristela Perez, an individual by personally delivering and leaving a copy with Bob, Boyfriend (White, Male, Brown Hair age 35, 230 lbs., 6'2"), a person of suitable age and discretion residing at the **Defendant**'s usual place of abode located at **7107 Saddle Back Dr.**.

Las Vegas, NV 89166.

State of Nevada, County of Clark

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me on this

day of

Notary Public D. Watts

December

2013

NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF NEVADA County of Clark D. WATTS

Appt. No. 10-2737 My Appt. Expires Aug. 17, 2014 Affiant Lana Paige

#: R-067806

Legal Process Service WorkOrderNo 1310947

License # 604

TAB 10

then & Low 1 **MSJD CLERK OF THE COURT** DAVID J. MERRILL 2 Nevada Bar No. 6060 DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 3 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Telephone: (702) 566-1935
Facsimile: (702) 993-8841
E-mail: david@djmerrillpc.com
Attorney for WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. 4 5 6 7 8 9 DISTRICT COURT 10 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 11 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935 MARCHAI, B.T., a Nevada business 12 DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. trust, Case No.: A-13-689461-C 13 Plaintiff, Dept. No. XXVI 14 VS. **15** CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; et al. 16 Defendants. 17 AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS 18 19 **MARCHAI, B.T.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT** 20 21 22 23 24 **25 26** 27 i 28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CAPTION	i
TABLE OF	CONTENTSüi
TABLE OF	AUTHORITIESviii
MARCHAI,	B.T.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT1
NOTICE OF	MOTION2
MEMORAN	DUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES3
I. INTRODU	JCTION3
II. STATEM	ENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS7
A.	In 2004, Cristela Perez purchased the property as a second home by obtaining two loans from Countrywide Home Loans, both of which Countrywide secured through the recording of deeds of trust.
В.	In October 2005, Perez refinanced her two loans by obtaining one loan from CMG Mortgage and in January 2006, she obtained a home equity line of credit from U.S. Bank.
C.	In September 2008, Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Association commenced non-judicial foreclosure proceedings against Perez due to the non-payment of her assessments
D.	Wyeth Ranch failed to complete the foreclosure it instituted in 2008, but instead accepted \$2,170.00 in assessment payments from Perez
Е.	Instead of completing the foreclosure it began in 2008, in 2011, Wyeth Ranch started a new foreclosure without rescinding any of the prior notices and continued to accept payments from Perez.
F.	In May 2012, CMG Mortgage assigned its interest in its deed of trust to CitiMortgage and endorsed the note to payable to the order of CitiMortgage

III.

	TABLE OF CONTENTS—CONTINUED			
G.	In July 2012, Wyeth Ranch continued with its foreclosure, and Perez continued to make payments			
H.	In July 2012, CitiMortgage assigned its interest in the deed of trust, and endorsed the note, to U.S. Bank, N.A			
I.	In October 2012, Alessi recorded yet another Notice of Trustee's Sale			
J.	On March 12, 2013, U.S. Bank assigned its interest in the Deed of Trust to Marchai			
K.	In July 2013, Alessi prepared <i>yet another</i> Notice of Trustee's Sale19			
L.	On August 28, 2013, Alessi conducted the foreclosure sale, at which time SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC purchased <i>Alessi's interest</i> in the Property for \$21,000.00			
ARGUM	ENT21			
A.	The Court should enter summary judgment in favor Marchai on its claim for judicial foreclosure based upon Perez's default on the note and deed of trust			
	1. NRS 40.430 et seq. provides for judicial foreclosure in Nevada			
	2. Perez obtained a loan from CMG Mortgage, which is evidence by an InterestFirst Adjustable Rate Note and secured by a Deed of Trust.			
	3. Marchai is entitled to enforce the note and deed of trust			
	a. Marchai is the current beneficiary of the Deed of Trust23			
	b. Marchai is the current payee of the Note23			
	4. Marchai accelerated the amounts due on the loan due to Perez's default24			
В.	The Court should enter summary judgment in favor of Marchai and against SFR on its claim for declaratory relief/quiet title			
iii				

TABLE OF CONTENTS—CONTINUED

1.	assoc "insti satisf	paid more than nine months of iation dues after Wyeth Ranch tuted an action" to enforce its lien, thus ying the "superpriority piece" of the iation's lien.
2.	Trust	ding to the plain language of the ee's Deed Upon Sale, SFR acquired ng at the foreclosure27
3.	super Rancl the fo	if Perez had not satisfied the priority portion of the lien, Wyeth had not properly foreclosure and, thus, reclosure could not extinguish hai's deed of trust
4.	not, c doctri	h Ranch's nonjudicial foreclosure could onsistent with the void for vagueness ine of the due process clause, extinguish hai's deed of trust
	a.	The statutory scheme governing the enforcement of an association's lien is so vague, indefinite, and uncertain that, before the court issued its opinion in SFR , a security interest holder could not reasonably understand that nonjudicial foreclosure of an association lien would_extinguish its property rights
	b.	SFR's interpretation of "institution of an action" and "action" did not provide fair notice consistent with due process as it is an abrupt change from the accepted statutory meaning
		i. SFR's decision to interpret "institution of an action" in NRS 116.3116 differently than the Nevada Legislature has used the same phrase in other statutes failed to give security interest holders fair notice of its meaning
		ii. SFR's conclusion that "action" has different meanings within the same section constitutes an abrupt change in the meaning that failed to provide fair notice

TABLE OF CONTENTS—CONTINUED

	iii.	NRS 116 does not define the event that triggers NRS 116.3116's nine month lookback period or the event that must occur within 3 years for an association to enforce its lien for unpaid assessments.
c.	that exting it does not go interest and confirst s	116 failed to provide fair notice a nonjudicial foreclosure would guish a first security interest as es not provide notice of the erpriority" piece of the lien, did rant the holder of a first security est the right to obtain a payoff of superpriority" piece of the lien, loes not recognize the right of the security interest holder to payoff en
	i.	NRS 116 does not require an association to give notice of the "superpriority" amount of its lien
	ii.	NRS 116 did not provide the right for a first security interest holder or any subordinate lienholder to obtain from the association a statement of the amount required to satisfy the "superpriority" piece of the lien
	iii.	NRS 116 does not recognize a right for anyone other than the unit owner to pay any portion of the lien
d.	conta respe the a bid th collec which	116, as interpreted by SFR, ins conflicting language with ct to the manner of service, and bility of an association to credit ne full amount of its lien and it the full amount of its lien, a renders the statute void for eness.

TABLE OF CONTENTS—CONTINUED

		i.	NRS 116 imposes conflicting requirements concerning service of notices relating to an association's nonjudicial foreclosure.
		ii.	The plain language of NRS 116 allows a homeowners' association to credit bid the full amount of its lien, even though only a portion receives "superpriority" treatment
		iii.	The plain language of NRS 116.31164 allows the homeowners' association to collect the full amount of its lien before any amount falls to any subordinate lienholders
5 .	both t Const mand	116 vic the Unition ate not	to being void for vagueness, NRS blates the due process clauses of ited States and Nevada as on its face as it fails to tice to holders of a security
	a.	notice violat statut	116's failure to require actual to holders of a security interest es due process and renders the facially void and forceable
		i.	Marchai's facial challenge is a legal issue of first impression
			(a) Facial versus as-applied challenges
			(b) The Nevada Supreme Court has not yet considered a facial challenge to NRS 116
		ii.	Due process requires that lienholders receive notice before the foreclosure of real property54

TABLE OF CONTENTS—CONTINUED 1 Statutory "opt in" notice (a) 2 provisions, like those in NRS 116, do not satisfy 3 due process.55 4 NRS 116's opt in **(b)** provisions do not satisfy 5 the minimum notice requirements mandated 6 by the Supreme Court, rendering NRS 116 void and unenforceable.....56 7 8 NRS 116.3116 violates the takings clauses of 6. the United States and Nevada Constitutions, 9 rendering it void and unenforceable.....59 10 This Court should not apply SFR 7. retroactively to permit extinguishment of 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 11 Marchai's Deed of Trust......63 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935 12 Assuming, arguendo, that the nonjudicial DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 8. foreclosure of the Association's lien did not 13 violate due process, and Alessi actually sold Wyeth Ranch's interest, not its own, this 14 Court should void the foreclosure sale as commercially unreasonable......65 **15**66 IV. CONCLUSION 16 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE......68 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 **25** 26 27 vii 28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

IABLE OF AUTHORITIES
Cases
7912 Limbwood Ct. Tr. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 2015 WL 5123317 (Aug. 31, 2015)28
Armstrong v. United States, 364 U.S. 40 (1960)61–62
Benson v. Zoning Bd. of Appeal of Town of Westport, 873 A.2d 1017 (Conn. Ct. App. 2005)37–38
Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp., 112 Nev. 663, 918 P.2d 314 (1996)
Browning v. Dixon, 114 Nev. 213, 954 P.2d 741 (1998)
Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 181 P.3d 670 (2008)
Cable v. State ex rel. Employers Ins. Co. of Nev., 122 Nev. 120, 127 P.3d 528 (2006)
Carrigan v. Comm'n on Ethics, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 95, 313 P.3d 880 (2013)
Cervantes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., 656 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2011)22
Chase Plaza Condo. Ass'n, Inc. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 98 A.3d 166 (D.C. 2014)
Chevron Oil Co. v. Huson, 404 U.S. 97 (1971)63-64
Chicago B & Q.R. Co. v. Chicago, 166 U.S. 226 (1897)59
Christina Tr. v. K & P Homes, 2015 WL 6962860 (D. Nev. Nov. 9, 2015)64–65
City Motel, Inc. v. State ex rel. State Dep't of Highways, 75 Nev. 137, 336 P.2d 375 (1959)28
Connally v. General Constr. Co., 269 U.S. 385, 46 S. Ct. 126 (1926)31, 40–41, 44
Davis Oil Co. v. Mills, 873 F.2d 774 (5th Cir. 1989)56
viii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—CONTINUED
Dennison v. Allen Group Leasing Corp., 110 Nev. 181, 871 P.2d 288 (1994)68
Dewsnup v. Timm, 502 U.S. 410 (1992)61
Eaves v. Bd. of Clark County Comm'rs, 96 Nev. 921, 620 P.2d 1248 (1980)30-31
Edelstein v. Bank of New York Mellon, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 48, 286 P.3d 249 (2012)
Ewing v. Fahey, 86 Nev. 604, 472 P.2d 347 (1970)50
Ezell v. City of Chicago, 651 F.2d 684 (7th Cir. 2011)52
F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, Inc., 132 S. Ct. 2307 (2012)
Gentile v. State Bar of Nev., 501 U.S. 1030, 111 S. Ct. 2720 (1991)
Hamm v. Arrowcreek Homeowners' Ass'n, 124 Nev. 290, 183 P.3d 895 (2008)23
Hernandez v. Bennett-Haron, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 54, 287 P.3d 305 (2012)54
Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project, 561 U.S. 1, 130 S. Ct. 2705 (2010)
In re Frazier, 394 B.R. 399 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2008)29–30, 47
In re Tucker, 441 B.R. 638 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 2010)22
In re Veal, 250 B.R. 897 (9th Cir. BAP 2011)24
John Doe No. 1 v. Reed, 561 U.S. 186 (2010)52
Levers v. Rio King Land & Invest. Co., 93 Nev. 95, 560 P.2d 917 (1977)65–66
Leyva v. National Default Servicing Corp., 127 Nev. Adv. Op. 40, 255 P.3d, 1275 (2011)23–24 ix

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—CONTINUED Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp., Loscombe v. City of Scranton, 902 F. Supp. 2d 532 (M.D. Penn. 2012)30 Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v. Radford, 295 U.S. 555 (1935)60-61 Mayhew v. Nelson, 178 N.E. 921 (Ill. 1931)......32 McCarran Int'l Airport v. Sisolak, McDonald v. D.P. Alexander & Las Vegas Boulevard, LLC, Mennonite Bd. of Missions v. Adams, Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 70 S. Ct. 652 (1949)31, 54, 59 Orr v. Ulyatt, Papchristou v. City of Jacksonville, Reno v. Flores, 507 U.S. 292 (1993).......52 Rodriguez v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 798, 102 P.3d 41 (2004)......54 San Filippo v. Bongiovanni, Seguin v. City of Sterling Heights, 968 F.2d 584 (6th Cir. 1992)..... .52 SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., Small Engine Shop, Inc. v. Cascio, 878 F.2d 883 (5th Cir. 1989)......56, 59 Spinelli v. Immanuel Lutheran Evangelical Congregation, Inc., X

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—CONTINUED

x i	
NRS § 100.11536–3	7
NRS § 100.10530	6
NRS § 100.0953	6
NRS § 52.26010	0
NRS § 40.462	2
NRS § 40.43021–22, 2	5
NRS § 40.0102	5
NRS § 37.01060	0
Nev. Const. art. 1	9
<u>Statutes</u>	
Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 121 P.3d 1026 (2005)	1
Women's Med. Prof'l Corp. v. Voinovich, 130 F.3d 187 (6th Cir. 1997)	3
Will v. Mill Condominium Owners' Ass'n, 848 A.2d 336 (Vt. 2004)	6
Valle del Sol Inc. v. Whiting, 732 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2013)	2
United States v. Williams, 553 U.S. 285, 128 S. Ct. 1830 (2008)	1
United States v. Sec. Indus. Bank, 459 U.S. 70 (1982)60-6	2
Tulsa Prof'l Collection Servs., Inc. v. Pope, 485 U.S. 478 (1988)	i4
Trustees of MacIntosh Condominium Ass'n v. F.D.I.C., 908 F. Supp. 58 (D. Mass. 1995)	;7
State v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 52, 306 P.3d 369 (2013)	i4
State v. Castaneda, 126 Nev. 478, 245 P.3d 550 (2010)30–3	31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—CONTINUED

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES—CONTINUED

1, 21
53
66
49

xiii

MARCHAI, B.T.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

In accordance with N.R.C.P. 56, Marchai, B.T. moves this Court for an order granting summary judgment in its favor and against SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC on the grounds that there exist no genuine issues of material fact and Marchai is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law. Marchai bases this motion on the pleadings and papers on file, the following memorandum of points and authorities, the Appendix of Exhibits to Marchai B.T.'s Motion for Summary Judgment submitted contemporaneously herewith, and any argument heard by the Court.

DATED this 14th day of January 2016.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C.

By:

DAVID J. MERRILL

Nevada Bar No. 6060

10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

(702) 566-1935

Attorneys for MARCHAI, B.T.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145

NOTICE OF MOTION

TAKE NOTICE that Marchai will bring the foregoing Marchai, B.T.'s Motion for Summary Judgment before the above-captioned Court on the $\frac{16}{}$ day of February 2016 at $\frac{9:00}{}$ A.M.

DATED this 14th day of January 2016.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C.

By:

DAVID J. MERRILL

Nevada Bar No. 6060

10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

(702) 566-1935

Attorneys for MARCHAI, B.T.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES I. INTRODUCTION

The first issue presented in this motion is whether Marchai is entitled to summary judgment on its claim for judicial foreclosure. The indisputable answer to this question is yes. Marchai is the holder of a note secured by a deed of trust. The borrower, Cristela Perez, defaulted under the terms of the note and deed of trust and, despite demand, has failed to cure the delinquency. Accordingly, this Court should grant summary judgment in favor of Marchai on its claim for judicial foreclosure.

The second issue presented by this motion is whether this Court should deny SFR's counterclaim for declaratory relief and quiet title and enter summary judgment in favor of Marchai and against SFR. Again, the irrefutable answer to this question is yes.

Although this Court has undoubtedly already confronted several of the hundreds of pending cases concerning the foreclosure of an association's lien and its affect upon a first deed of trust, this case is unique. Here, Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Association "instituted an action" to enforce its lien in either 2008 (when it served Perez with a notice of delinquent assessment), 2009 (when it recorded a notice of default and election to sell), or 2010 (when it recorded a notice of sale). However, after Wyeth Ranch recorded the notice of sale in 2010, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch more than two years of association dues; far in excess of the (at most) nine months of association dues that receive "superpriority" treatment. Because Perez satisfied the superpriority portion of Wyeth Ranch's lien, the foreclosure concerned, at most, the subpriority portion of the lien. Consequently, whatever SFR acquired at the foreclosure sale it acquired subject to Marchai's deed of trust.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

However, even if this Court concludes that Perez did not satisfy the superpriority portion of Wyeth Ranch's lien, that does not end the inquiry.

First, this Court must rely solely upon the deed to determine precisely what interest, if any, SFR acquired. Here, however, the plain language of the deed states that SFR acquired Alessi & Koenig, LLC's "right, title, and interest" in the property. The problem for SFR is that Alessi had no right, title, or interest in the property.

Second, the Nevada Supreme Court has concluded that only the "proper foreclosure" of an association's lien can extinguish a first deed of trust. Here, however, Wyeth Ranch did not properly conduct the foreclosure as it failed to mail the notices to the persons and in the manner required by the statutes.

Third, the Nevada Supreme Court's opinion in SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., has rendered NRS 116.3116 void for vagueness under the due process clauses of both the United States and Nevada Constitutions. For example, due process prohibits abrupt changes in the meaning of a law as they fail to impart fair notice, yet that is precisely what the court has done. The court interpreted "institution of an action" in a manner that directly conflicts with two Nevada statutes that pre-existed NRS 116.3116, both of which make clear that "institution of an action" refers to a judicial action. The court compounded the abrupt change in the law by interpreting the word "action" differently in NRS 116.3116(2) than it means in NRS 116.3116(6), (7), or (10).

The void for vagueness doctrine also prohibits statutes so vague that the parties must guess at their meaning. Although the court concluded "institution of an action" can mean the filing of a complaint or a nonjudicial foreclosure, the court failed to define the "action" in the context of a nonjudicial foreclosure. However, for associations, homeowners, and security interest holders to determine whether the lien has expired under the three-year statute of limitations or the beginning of the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

nine month look back period that comprises the superpriority portion of the association's lien, defining the "action" is critical.

The structure of NRS 116 also failed to give fair notice that the nonjudicial foreclosure of an association's lien could extinguish a first deed of trust. For example, the statute does not require the association to provide notice of the superpriority portion of its lien, did not (at the time) grant the holder of a security interest the right to obtain a breakdown of the lien, and does not recognize the right of the holder of a security interest to pay the lien and prevent the foreclosure. It is beyond the pale to assume that a security interest holder would understand that a statute that provides no notice of the amount required to protect its interest, no right to obtain that amount, and no right to cure the delinquency could still extinguish its property rights.

NRS 116's conflicting language concerning the manner of service and the ability of an association to credit bid and collect the entire amount of its lien also renders the statute void for vagueness. For example, if, as many like SFR suggest, NRS 116 incorporates the service requirements of NRS 107.090, then the manner of service under NRS 107.090 directly conflicts with the manner of service provided in NRS 116. In addition, the plain language of NRS 116 seems to allow an association to "credit bid" the full amount of its lien, but that directly conflicts with SFR's conclusion that only a small portion receives superpriority treatment. Finally, NRS appears to permit the association to collect the full amount of its lien before any money flows to subordinate lien holders, yet this again conflicts with SFR.

In essence, NRS 116 is a poorly drafted statute. The Nevada Supreme Court's attempt to interpret the uninterpretable only highlighted and enhanced the incredibly conflicting, unintelligible language. Due process requires more from a legislature than scratching words on paper and leaving everyone else to figure it

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

out, particularly when those words place millions of dollars, if not hundreds of millions, in deeds of trust at risk. Accordingly, Marchai respectfully asks this Court to declare NRS 116.3116 unconstitutional as void for vagueness.

Fourth, even if this Court can see past the glaring inequity in failing to give holders of a security interest fair notice that their first deed of trust may be at risk from the nonjudicial foreclosure of an association's lien, NRS 116 suffers from another constitutional infirmity. Specifically, NRS 116 fails to satisfy due process, on its face, under both the United States and Nevada Constitutions because it fails to require notice to the holder of a security interest. Instead, the notice provisions of NRS 116 require the holder of a security interest to "opt in" to receive notice. However, "opt in" notice provisions do not satisfy due process. Accordingly, Marchai respectfully requests that the Court declare NRS 116 unconstitutional.

Fifth, NRS 116.3116 also violates the takings clauses of the United States and Nevada Constitutions, rendering it void and unenforceable, as it would extinguish Marchai's property rights in the name of making an association whole on a miniscule amount without providing compensation to Marchai.

Sixth, assuming this Court can overcome the substantial hurdles facing SFR as set forth above, this Court should still refuse to apply SFR retroactively. The United States District Court for the District of Nevada, recently confronted this issue and concluded that SFR should apply prospectively only. Since the foreclosure in this case pre-dated SFR, the foreclosure in this case should have no affect upon Marchai's deed of trust.

Seventh, and finally, this Court can (and should) also declare the sale in this case commercially unreasonable. The association issued numerous notices, many of which were confusing and non-sensical, and failed to rescind all but one of those notices. Ultimately, the foreclosure resulted in a purchase price of less than 7% of

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

the price at which SFR valued the property. As a result, this Court should conclude that the sale was not commercially reasonable.

No genuine issues of material fact exist that prevent this Court from entering summary judgment in favor or Marchai and against SFR. Accordingly, Marchai respectfully requests that the Court grant this motion.

II. STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

In 2004, Cristela Perez purchased the property as a second Α. home by obtaining two loans from Countrywide Home Loans, both of which Countrywide secured through the recording of deeds of trust.

On July 21, 2004, Cristela Perez, a resident of California, purchased from Pulte Homes the property located at 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131 (the "Property") for \$457,545.00.1 Title in the Property, which is located in the Wyeth Ranch community, vested in Perez, a married woman as her sole and separate property.² Perez purchased the Property as a second home.³ To purchase the Property, Perez entered into two loans with Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. one for \$366,000.00 and a second for \$68,631.00—both of which Countrywide secured through the recording of two Deeds of Trust.4

See Decl. of David J. Merrill ("Merrill Decl.") ¶¶ 2-6, attached to the App. of Exs. to Marchai, B.T.'s Mot. for Summ. J. ("App.") as Ex. 1; Aff. of David Alessi as Custodian of Records for Alessi & Koenig (Nov. 10, 2015), attached to the App. as Ex. 2; Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed at 1, 4 (July 21 2004), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-A.

See Ex. 2-A.

See Deed of Trust at 22-23 (July 21, 2004), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-B.

See Deed of Trust, attached to the App. as Ex. 2-B; Deed of Trust at 1-3 (July 21, 2004), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-C.

B. In October 2005, Perez refinanced her two loans by obtaining one loan from CMG Mortgage and in January 2006, she obtained a home equity line of credit from U.S. Bank.

On October 19, 2005, Perez refinanced her two loans with Countrywide by entering into one InterestFirst Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") with CMG Mortgage, Inc. in the amount of \$442,000.00.⁵ On November 9, 2005, CMG Mortgage secured the Note through the recording of a Deed of Trust against the Property.⁶

On April 6, 2006, U.S. Bank, N.A. recorded a Deed of Trust against the Property to secure a home equity line of credit that U.S. Bank extended to Perez in January 2006.⁷

C. In September 2008, Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Association commenced non-judicial foreclosure proceedings against Perez due to the non-payment of her assessments.

The Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Association collected its association dues on the first day of each quarter.⁸ In 2008, Wyeth Ranch collected \$420.00 per quarter—\$140.00 per month—for its association dues.⁹ Perez failed to timely pay dues to Wyeth Ranch on January 1, April 1, or July 1, 2008.¹⁰ Consequently, on September 30, 2008, Alessi & Koenig, LLC, an agent hired by Wyeth Ranch to

⁵ See Decl. of Chaim Freeman ("Freeman Decl.") ¶ 2, attached to the App. as Ex. 3; see also InterestFirst Adjustable Rate Note (Oct. 19, 2005), attached to the App. as Ex. 3-A.

Deed of Trust (Nov. 9, 2005), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-D. Following the refinance of the loan, on November 21, 2005, Countrywide reconveyed its two deeds of trust. See Merrill Decl. ¶¶ 7–8; see also Substitutions of Trustee and Full Reconveyances, attached to the App. as Exs. 1-A & 1-B.

See Deed of Trust (Apr. 6, 2006), attached to the App. as Ex. 4.

⁸ See Wyeth Ranch Homeowners Ass'n Statement to Cristela Perez (Sept. 17, 2008), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-E.

See id.

See id. Perez ultimately made a payment of \$507.60 on April 16, 2008. See Resident Transaction Detail (Apr. 9, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-F.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

collect assessments, commenced foreclosure proceeding against Perez by sending her, via certified mail, a Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien. 11 According to the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, Perez owed Wyeth a total of \$1,425.17, which included collection costs, attorney' fees, late fees, service charges, and interest.¹² Alessi recorded the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien on October 8, 2008.13

In 2009, Wyeth Ranch increased its assessments from \$420.00 per quarter to \$457.50 per quarter; \$152.50 per month. 14

On January 5, 2009, Alessi recorded a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien on behalf of Wyeth Ranch.¹⁵ According to the notice of default, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$3,096.46 as of December 17, 2008.16 On January 7, 2009, Alessi mailed the notice of default by certified mail to Perez, CMG Mortgage, and others.¹⁷

On November 5, 2009, Wyeth Ranch executed an Authorization to Conclude Non-Judicial Foreclosure and Conduct Trustee Sale, in which Wyeth Ranch

¹¹ See Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien (Sept. 30, 2008), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-G.

¹² See id.

See Merrill Decl. ¶ 9; see also Notice of Delinquent Assessment (Lien), attached to the App. as Ex. 1-C.

¹⁴ See Ex. 2-F.

See Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien (Jan. 5, 2009), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-H.

¹⁶ See id.

¹⁷ See Certified Mail Receipts (Jan. 7, 2009), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-I.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

authorized Alessi to proceed with the non-judicial foreclosure of its assessment lien. 18 According to Wyeth Ranch, Perez owed \$3,330.32 in assessments. 19

In 2010, Wyeth Ranch increased its assessments from \$457.50 to \$478.50 per quarter, or \$159.50 per month.20

In accordance with Wyeth Ranch's authorization, on January 14, 2010, Alessi recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale, which set a foreclosure sale for February 17, 2010.21 The Notice of Trustee' Sale stated Wyeth Ranch's intention to foreclose upon the lien recorded on October 8, 2008.22 According to the notice, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$6,964.25 for unpaid assessments.²³ On January 25, 2010, Alessi mailed the Notice of Trustee's Sale by certified mail to Perez, CMG Mortgage, the Ombudsman's Office, and others.²⁴

Wyeth Ranch failed to complete the foreclosure it instituted in D. 2008, but instead accepted \$2,170.00 in assessment payments from Perez.

Despite instituting foreclosure proceedings in 2008 that included the recording of a notice of delinquent assessment, notice of default, and notice of trustee's sale, by January 2010, Wyeth Ranch had still failed to complete the

See Merrill Decl. ¶¶ 10-12; see also Certificate of Custodian of Records Pursuant to NRS 52.260, attached to the App. as Ex. 5; Authorization to Conclude Non-Judicial Foreclosure and Conduct Trustee Sale (Nov. 5, 2009), attached to the App. as Ex. 5-A.

See id.

²⁰ See Ex. 2-F.

²¹ See Notice of Trustee's Sale (Jan. 14, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-J.

²² See id.

²³ See id.

See Certified Mail Receipts (Jan. 25. 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-K.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

foreclosure. Instead, Wyeth Ranch began communicating with Perez about the outstanding assessments and accepted \$2,170.00 in payments from Perez.

For example, on February 3, 2010, Alessi sent a demand to Perez and her husband, Robert Rose, in which Alessi claimed that Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$6,977.61.25 In response to the demand, on February 12, 2010, Rose paid Wyeth Ranch \$900.00, which equates to payment of 6.43 months of association dues.²⁶

Following its receipt of the \$900.00 payment from Perez, on April 13, 2010, Alessi sent Perez a letter proposing a payment plan for the payment of the past due assessments to Wyeth Ranch.²⁷ The payment plan offered by Wyeth Ranch required Perez to pay \$690.78 per month starting in April 2010 through December 2010.28 Although Perez did not make the payments required of her in the payment plan, she did continue to make payments. For example, on May 11, 2010, Perez made a \$300.00 payment to Wyeth Ranch for past due assessments, another 2.14 months' worth of association dues.29

Even though Perez had paid nearly nine months of past due assessments following Wyeth Ranch's commencement of foreclosure proceedings, in July 2013, Wyeth Ranch continued with its foreclosure. On July 13, 2010, Alessi sent Perez a Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification based upon the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien recorded on October 8, 2008, and the Notice of Default and

See Facsimile Cover Letter from Alessi to Rose and Perez (Feb. 3, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-L.

See MoneyGram Money Order from Rose to Alessi (Feb. 12, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-M.

Letter from Alessi to Perez (Apr. 13, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-N. 27

²⁸ See id.

See Western Union Money Order (May 11, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-O.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Election to Sell Recorded on January 5, 2009.30 The Pre-Notice of Trustee' Sale demanded payment from Perez in the amount of \$19,071.21.31

In response to Wyeth Ranch's demand, Perez made another \$805.00 in assessment payments between August 2010 and and March 2011. For example, on August 2, 2010, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch \$250.00.32 Perez followed her August 2, 2010 payment with a payment of \$220.00 on September 29, 2010.33 On November 30, 2010, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch another \$175.00.34 Finally, on March 10, 2011, Perez paid another \$160.00 to Wyeth Ranch.³⁵ Thus, between August 2010 and March 2011, Perez paid another 5.75 months worth of association dues. Accordingly, after Wyeth Ranch commenced its foreclosure proceedings in September 2008, by March 2011 Perez paid a total of \$2,005.00, or 14.32 months' worth of assessments.

On March 9, 2011, Alessi recorded a Rescission of Notice of Trustee's Sale, which rescinded the notice Alessi recorded on January 14, 2011.³⁶

See Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification from Alessi to Perez (July 13, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-P.

³¹ See id.

See Check No. 3395 from Perez to Alessi & Koenig (Aug. 2, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-Q.

See Western Union Money Order from Rose to Alessi (Sept. 29, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-R.

See Western Union Money Order from Perez to Alessi (Nov. 30, 2010), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-S.

See Western Union Money Order from Perez to Alessi (Mar. 10, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-T.

³⁶ See Merrill Decl. ¶ 13; see also Rescission of Notice of Trustee's Sale (Mar. 9, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 1-D. Although the notice claims to rescind the Notice of Trustee's Sale recorded on January 11, 2010, Alessi did not record a Notice of Trustee's Sale on January 11, 2010. Marchai assumes that Alessi meant to state that it rescinded the notice recorded on January 14, 2010, as it does refer to instrument number 2589, which is the January 14, 2010 Notice of Trustee's Sale.

On March 29, 2011, Alessi recorded another Notice of Trustee's Sale based upon the January 5, 2009 Notice of Default and Election to Sell.³⁷ On April 4, 2011, Alessi mailed the Notice of Trustee's Sale by certified mail to Perez, CMG Mortgage, the ombudsman and others.³⁸

On June 2, 2011, Wyeth Ranch executed another authorization to allow Alessi to complete the non-judicial foreclosure and conduct the trustee sale.³⁹ The authorization claimed that Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$4,730.03 in delinquent assessments.⁴⁰ In accordance with the June 2, 2011 authorization from Wyeth Ranch, on July 27, 2011, Alessi sent Perez a Breach of Payment Plan letter claiming that she breached her obligations under the payment plan and that Alessi would continue the foreclosure.⁴¹ In response to the letter, on August 4, 2011, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch another \$165.00.⁴² Thus, since Wyeth Ranch commenced its foreclosure in September 2008, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch a total of \$2,170.00, or 15.5 months of association dues.

On October 1, 2011, Perez defaulted under the terms of her loan from CMG Mortgage. 43

See Merrill Decl. ¶ 14; see also Notice of Trustee's Sale (Mar. 29, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 1-E.

Certified Mail Receipts (Apr. 4, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-U.

Authorization to Conclude Non-Judicial Foreclosure and Conduct Trustee Sale (June 2, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 5-B.

 $^{^{40}}$ See id.

See Breach of Payment Plan letter from Veney to Perez (July 27, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-V.

See Personal Money Order from Perez to Alessi (Aug. 4, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-W.

See Freeman Decl. ¶ 5; see also letter from Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC to Perez (Oct. 3, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 3-E.

566-1935

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

E. Instead of completing the foreclosure it began in 2008, in 2011, Wyeth Ranch started a new foreclosure without rescinding any of the prior notices and continued to accept payments from Perez.

In 2011, Wyeth Ranch charged \$448.50 each quarter (or \$149.50 per month) for assessments.⁴⁴ On November 29, 2011, Alessi sent Perez a lien letter by first class mail to the Property, to which Alessi attached a Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien.⁴⁵ On December 7, 2011, Alessi sent that same letter to the Perez at the Property by Certified Mail.⁴⁶ Interestingly, Alessi did not send the letter to Perez's mailing address as it had done the prior notices.⁴⁷ Confusingly, the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, claims a total amount due from Perez to Wyeth Ranch of \$9,296.56, yet it claims \$9,559.06 was due for "Collection and/or Attorney Fees, assessments, interest, late fees, and service charges," and \$450.00 for collection costs.⁴⁸ On December 20, 2011, Alessi recorded the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, but did not release or rescind the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien it recorded in 2008.49

On January 25, 2012, Alessi followed up with the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien by mailing Perez a Pre-Notice of Default Letter demanding that Perez pay Wyeth Ranch \$9,865.06 in past due assessments.⁵⁰

⁴⁴ See Ex. 2-F.

See Lien Letter from Alessi to Perez (Nov. 29, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-X.

See id.

Compare Ex. 2-X with Ex. 2-U.

See Ex. 2-X. 48

See Notice of Delinquent Assessment (Lien) (Dec. 20, 2011), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-Y; Merrill Decl. ¶ 15; Clark County Recorder Records Search & Order System (Jan. 11, 2016), attached to the App. as Ex. 1-F.

See Pre-Notice of Default letter from Kerbow to Perez (Jan. 25, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-Z.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

Under Homeowners Association Lien, but failed to release or rescind the Notice of Default and Election to Sell it recorded on January 5, 2009.⁵¹ According to the notice, as of February 14, 2012, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$10,625.06 in unpaid assessments.⁵² The notice states that Perez first defaulted on her obligations to Wyeth Ranch in January 2008, yet it states that Alessi prepared the notice pursuant to the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien recorded on December 20, 2011.⁵³ On March 5, 2012, Alessi mailed the notice by certified mail to both the Property and Perez's mailing address, but Alessi provided no evidence that it mailed the notice to CMG Mortgage.⁵⁴ In addition, it appears that Alessi served only Perez by certified mail.⁵⁵
In response to the notice of default, on March 19, 2012, Perez paid Wyeth

On February 28, 2012, Alessi recorded a Notice of Default and Election to Sell

In response to the notice of default, on March 19, 2012, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch \$300.00.⁵⁶ On May 7, 2012, Rose paid Wyeth another \$295.00.⁵⁷ Thus, between March 19 and May 7, 2012, Perez paid \$595.00 in assessments to Wyeth.

See Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien (Feb. 28, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-AA; see also Ex. 1-F.

⁵² See id.

⁵³ See id.

See Notice of Default 10-Day Mailings (Mar. 5, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-BB; see also mailing receipts (Mar. 5, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-AA.

⁵⁵ See id.

See Personal Money Order (Mar. 19, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-CC.

See Personal Money Order (May 7, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-DD.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

F. In May 2012, CMG Mortgage assigned its interest in its deed of trust to CitiMortgage and endorsed the note to payable to the order of CitiMortgage.

On May 25, 2012, Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc., as the nominee for CMG Mortgage, Inc., assigned CMG Mortgage's deed of trust to CitiMortgage, Inc.58 Likewise, CMG Mortgage endorsed the note payable to the order of CitiMortgage.⁵⁹ On June 5, 2012, CitiMortgage recorded a Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust.60

In July 2012, Wyeth Ranch continued with its foreclosure, and G. Perez continued to make payments.

On July 18, 2012, Alessi sent Perez a Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification, in which Alessi demanded that Perez pay Wyeth Ranch \$11,371.07.61 Confusingly, Alessi claims that it sent the Pre-Notice of Trustee's Sale Notification pursuant to the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien recorded on December 20, 2011, and the Notice of Default and Election to Sell recorded nearly three years earlier on January 5, 2009.62 In response to the Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification, on July 26, 2012, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch another \$165.00.63

H. In July 2012, CitiMortgage assigned its interest in the deed of trust, and endorsed the note, to U.S. Bank, N.A.

On July 26, 2012, CitiMortgage assigned the deed of trust that it obtained from CMG Mortgage to U.S. Bank, N.A., as trustee for Stanwich Mortgage Loan

See Freeman Decl. ¶ 3; see also Corporate Assignment of Deed of Trust (June 5, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 3-C.

See Ex. 3-A.

⁶⁰ See Ex. 3-C.

See Pre-Notice of Trustee Sale Notification (July 18, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-EE.

See id.

See Western Union Money Order from Perez and Rose to Alessi (July 26, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-FF.

Trust, Series 2012-6.64 CitiMortgage also signed an allonge, endorsing the note payable to U.S. Bank.65 On July 26, 2012, U.S. Bank recorded the Assignment of Mortgage with the Clark County Recorder.66 On October 3, 2012, Carrington Mortgage Services, LLC, the servicer for the loan assigned to U.S. Bank, sent Perez a Notice of Intent to Foreclose.67 According to the notice, Perez defaulted on the loan on October 1, 2011 and owed U.S. Bank \$36,281.60.68

I. <u>In October 2012, Alessi recorded yet another Notice of Trustee's Sale.</u>

On October 10, 2012, Alessi prepared yet another Notice of Trustee's Sale.⁶⁹ According to the notice, Alessi stated its intention to sell the Property at a foreclosure sale on November 28, 2012.⁷⁰ The notice claims that Alessi will conduct the sale pursuant to the lien recorded on December 20, 2012.⁷¹ According to the notice, Perez owed \$11,656.07.⁷² According to an Affidavit of Service prepared by Daniel Vidovic, on October 21, 2012, he served Perez with a copy of the Notice of Trustee Sale by posting a copy of the Notice of Trustee's Sale on the Property.⁷³ Vidovic also claimed that he posted the Notice of Trustee Sale for twenty

See Assignment of Mortgage (July 26, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-GG.

⁶⁵ See Ex. 3-A.

⁶⁶ See Ex. 2-GG.

⁶⁷ See Ex. 3-E.

See id.

See Notice of Trustee's Sale (Oct. 10, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-HH,

⁷⁰ See id.

See id.

See id.

^{26 73} See Aff. of Service (Nov. 26, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-II.

10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

consecutive days at three public places in the county, but failed to state the date on which he made the posting.⁷⁴ On October 25, 2012, Alessi mailed the Notice of Trustee's Sale by certified mail to Perez at the Property and her mailing address, and to U.S. Bank and the Ombudsman's Office.75 However, Alessi did not send the notice by first class mail.⁷⁶ Finally, on October 31, 2012, Alessi recorded the Notice of Trustee's Sale, but did not rescind the Notice of Trustee's Sale it recorded on March 29, 2011.77

In response to the Notice of Trustee's Sale, on November 13, 2012, Perez made a \$300.00 payment to Wyeth Ranch towards her assessments.⁷⁸

On March 12, 2013, U.S. Bank assigned its interest in the Deed J. of Trust to Marchai.

On March 12, 2013, U.S. Bank assigned its interest in the Deed of Trust to Marchai, B.T., a Nevada business trust, which it recorded with the Clark County Recorder on August 12, 2013.79 Contemporaneously with the assignment, U.S. Bank executed an allonge endorsing the note to Marchai.80

⁷⁴ See id.

See Notice of Trustee's Sale Mailings (Oct. 25, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-JJ.

See id.

See Notice of Trustee's Sale (Oct. 31, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-KK; see also Ex. 1-

See Check No. 2166 from Perez to Alessi & Koenig (Nov. 13, 2012), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-LL.

See Freeman Decl. ¶¶ 1, ; see also Assignment of Deed of Trust (Aug. 12, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 3-F.

See Ex. 3-A.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

 $\mathbf{2}$

K. <u>In July 2013, Alessi prepared yet another Notice of Trustee's</u> Sale.

On July 11, 2013, Alessi executed yet another Notice of Trustee's Sale, in which it claimed that it was the "duly appointed Trustee" pursuant to a lien recorded on December 20, 2011.⁸¹ The notice claimed that Perez owed \$14,090.80 in unpaid assessments.⁸² According to the notice, Alessi intended to sell the Property at a foreclosure sale on August 28, 2013.⁸³ On July 29, 2013, Alessi served the Notice of Trustee's Sale by certified mail upon Perez at the Property and her mailing addresses and upon the Ombudsman's Office, but did not serve anyone by first class mail.⁸⁴ In addition, Alessi did not serve Marchai.⁸⁵ On July 30, 2013, Nevada Legal Support Services, LLC posted the notice at three different public places in the county.⁸⁶ On July 31, 2013, Nevada Legal Support Services posted a copy of the notice on the Property.⁸⁷ That same day, Alessi recorded the notice with the Clark County Recorder, but again failed to rescind the Notice of Trustee's Sale recorded on October 31, 2012.⁸⁸ Nevada Legal News published the Notice of Trustee's sale on August 2, 9, and 16, 2013.⁸⁹

See Notice of Trustee's Sale (July 11, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 5-C.

⁸² See id.

⁸³ See id.

See Notice of Trustee's Sale Mailings (July 29, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-MM.

⁸⁵ See id.

See Aff. of Posting Notice of Sale (July 30, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-NN.

⁸⁷ See Aff. of Service (July 31, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-OO. MBT622.

See Notice of Trustee's Sale (July 31, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-PP.

See Aff. of Publication (Aug. 16, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-QQ.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

5

L. On August 28, 2013, Alessi conducted the foreclosure sale, at which time SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC purchased Alessi's interest in the Property for \$21,000.00.

On August 28, 2013, Alessi conducted a foreclosure sale, ostensibly based upon the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien recorded on December 20, 2011.90 At the foreclosure sale, SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC submitted the winning bid of \$21,000.00.91 According to Alessi, Perez owed Wyeth Ranch \$14,677.80 in assessments at the time of the foreclosure.92 The Declaration of Value asserts that the Property has a "Transfer Tax Value" of \$307,403.00.93

On September 9, 2013, Alessi recorded with the Clark County Recorder a Trustee's Deed Upon Sale.⁹⁴ According to the plain language of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale, Alessi conveyed to SFR all of Alessi's "right, title and interest" in the Property.⁹⁵ However, neither SFR nor Alessi have provided any documents demonstrating that Alessi had any "right, title, or interest" in the Property.

Perez has failed to pay the amounts due and owing on the note.⁹⁶ As of January 14, 2016, Perez owes Marchai a total of \$489,372.77; \$430,113.48 for the unpaid principal balance of the note, \$52,812.81 in interest, \$5,328.48 in late charges, and \$1,118.00 in loan fees.⁹⁷

See Trustee's Deed Upon Sale (Sept. 9, 2013), attached to the App. as Ex. 2-RR.

⁹¹ See id.

^{21 | 92} See id.

⁹³ See id.

^{23 94} See id.

^{24 | 95} See id.

See Freeman Decl. ¶ 7.

⁹⁷ See id.

^{27 ||}

10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C

(702) 566-1935

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III. ARGUMENT

Pursuant to N.R.C.P. 56, summary judgment "shall be rendered forthwith if the pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law."98 Although the Court must view the facts in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party, the nonmoving party must "set forth specific facts demonstrating the existence of a genuine issue for trial or have summary judgment entered against him."99 The nonmoving party may not rely on "the gossamer threads of whimsy, speculation and conjecture."100

- The Court should enter summary judgment in favor Marchai Α. on its claim for judicial foreclosure based upon Perez's default on the note and deed of trust.
 - NRS 40.430 et seq. provides for judicial foreclosure in 1. Nevada.

NRS 40.430 et seq. provides the statutory framework for judicial actions for foreclosure of real mortgages in Nevada and "must be construed to permit a secured creditor to realize upon the collateral for a debt or other obligation agreed upon by the debtor and creditor when the debt or other obligation was incurred."101 In an action for judicial foreclosure, "the judgment must be rendered for the amount found due the plaintiff, and the court, by its decree or judgment, may direct a sale of the encumbered property, or such part thereof as is necessary, and apply the proceeds

⁹⁸ N.R.C.P. 56(c).

⁹⁹ Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 732, 121 P.3d 1026, 1031 (2005).

¹⁰⁰ *Id.* (citations omitted)

¹⁰¹ NRS § 40.430(2) (2015).

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

102

of the sale as provided in NRS 40.462." [A] creditor of a note secured by real property must first pursue judicial foreclosure before recovering from the debtor directly."103

> Perez obtained a loan from CMG Mortgage, which is 2. evidence by an InterestFirst Adjustable Rate Note and secured by a Deed of Trust.

On October 19, 2005, Perez obtained a loan from CMG Mortgage in the original principal amount of \$442,000.00, which is evidenced by the InterestFirst Adjustable Rate Note. On November 9, 2005, CMG Mortgage secured the note through the recording of a Deed of Trust against the Property.

Marchai is entitled to enforce the note and deed of trust. 3.

In 2012, the Nevada Supreme Court held that in order to enforce a deed of trust through foreclosure, the deed of trust and underlying promissory note must be held by the same party.¹⁰⁴ The Court dispelled any notion that "separation" of the note and deed of trust, i.e., that separate parties at one time held an interest in the deed of trust and note, precludes enforcement when the documents are ultimately unified in the same holder. "Indeed, while entitlement to enforce both the deed of trust and the promissory note is required to foreclose, nothing requires those documents to be unified from the point of inception of the loan."105

Id. § 40.430(1) (2015).

McDonald v. D.P. Alexander & Las Vegas Boulevard, LLC, 121 Nev. 812, 816, 123 P.3d 748, **750 (2005).**

¹⁰⁴ Edelstein v. Bank of New York Mellon, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 48, 286 P.3d 249, 260 (2012) (citing Cervantes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., 656 F.3d 1034, 1039 (9th Cir. 2011)).

Edelstein, 286 P.3d at 259 (citing In re Tucker, 441 B.R. 638, 644 (Bankr. W.D. Mo. 2010)).

Marchai is the current beneficiary of the Deed of Trust. previous beneficiary properly assigned its beneficial intere

"To prove that a previous beneficiary properly assigned its beneficial interest in the deed of trust, the new beneficiary can demonstrate the assignment by means of a signed writing." ¹⁰⁶ This requirement parallels the requirements for assignments of a trust deed interest in lands generally, which "must be in writing, subscribed by the party creating, granting, assigning, or declaring the same, or by the party's lawful agent thereunto authorized in writing." ¹⁰⁷ An assignment of a beneficial interest in a deed of trust must further be recorded in the office of the recorder in which the property is located. ¹⁰⁸

a.

Here, CMG Mortgage, through MERS, assigned the Deed of Trust to CitiMortgage, who assigned the Deed of Trust to U.S. Bank, who ultimately assigned the Deed of Trust to Marchai. The assignments satisfy all of the above requirements: they are in writing; subscribed to by the agent of the prior beneficiary; and recorded in Clark County, where the Property is located. Marchai, as the current beneficiary of the Deed of Trust, is entitled to enforce it. 109

b. Marchai is the current payee of the Note.

Article 3 of the Uniform Commercial Code governs transfers of negotiable instruments like promissory notes.¹¹⁰ For a subsequent lender to establish it is entitled to enforce a note, it must "present evidence showing [e]ndorsement of the

Edelstein, 286 P.3d at 260 (citing Leyva v. National Default Servicing Corp., 127 Nev. Adv. Op. 40, 255 P.3d, 1275, 1279 (2011)).

¹⁰⁷ NRS § 111.205(1) (2015).

¹⁰⁸ Id. § 106.210 (2015).

See Edelstein, 286 P.3d at 254 (noting that the beneficiary of a deed of trust is provided a lien interest as security for the underlying debt, subject to the laws on foreclosure and sale) (citing Hamm v. Arrowcreek Homeowners' Ass'n, 124 Nev. 290, 298-99, 183 P.3d 895, 901-02 (2008); Orr v. Ulyatt, 23 Nev. 134, 140, 43 P. 916, 917-18 (1896)).

Edelstein, 286 P.3d at 261 (citing Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1279).

10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

note either in its favor or in favor of [its servicer]."111 When a promissory note is endorsed to another party, the UCC permits a note to "be made payable to bearer or payable to order," depending on the type of endorsement. 112

Here, the Note is payable to the order of Marchai. CMG Mortgage endorsed the Note payable to the order of CitiMortgage. CitiMortgage, then executed an allonge making the Note payable to U.S. Bank, who then executed another allonge making the Note payable to Marchai. Accordingly, Marchai is entitled to enforce the Note.113

Marchai accelerated the amounts due on the loan due to 4. Perez's default.

Perez must pay the principal and interest on the debt evidenced by the Note and failure to make such payments constitutes default and breach of the Note and Deed of Trust. Upon default, the beneficiary of the Deed of Trust must provide notice to Perez of the breach and provide 30 days to cure. If Perez fails to cure, the beneficiary may accelerate the full payment of the Note and invoke the power of sale and any other remedies permitted by law.

Here, Perez failed to make the October 1, 2011 payment on the Note and all payments due thereafter, resulting in default under the terms of the Note and Deed of Trust. On October 3, 2012, the loan servicer mailed Perez the Notice of Intent to Foreclose as required under the plain language of the Deed of Trust. However, Perez failed to cure within the time prescribed, and Marchai elected to accelerate the amounts owed on the Loan as evidenced by filing the Complaint. The unpaid

Edelstein, 286 P.3d at 261 (citing In re Veal, 250 B.R. 897, 921 (9th Cir. BAP 2011); see also Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1279.

¹¹² Leyva, 255 P.3d at 1280 (citing NRS § 104.3109).

¹¹³ See id.

principal balance, together with interest as allowed at the Note, late charges, and loan fees through January 14, 2016 totals \$489,372.77.

Marchai elects to invoke the power of sale and is entitled to a judgment of

Marchai elects to invoke the power of sale and is entitled to a judgment of this Court ordering the Property sold at foreclosure in order to satisfy the amount due and payable. It Finally, Marchai seeks attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this matter from Perez pursuant to that provision in the Deed of Trust.

Accordingly, Marchai respectfully requests that the Court enter summary judgment in its favor on its claim for judicial foreclosure.

B. The Court should enter summary judgment in favor of Marchai and against SFR on its claim for declaratory relief/quiet title.

NRS 40.010 provides that "an action may be brought by any person against another who claims an estate or interest in real property adverse to the person bringing the action, for the purpose of determining such adverse claim." ¹¹⁵ "In a quiet title action, the burden of proof rests with the plaintiff to prove good title in himself." ¹¹⁶ Here, SFR asks the Court to declare that Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure extinguished Marchai's deed of trust and declare good title in favor of SFR. However, SFR cannot prove good title in itself because Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure had no effect upon Marchai's deed of trust.

See NRS § 40.430(1).

¹¹⁵ NRS § 40.010 (2015).

See Breliant v. Preferred Equities Corp., 112 Nev. 663, 669, 918 P.2d 314, 318 (1996).

1. Perez paid more than nine months of association dues after Wyeth Ranch "instituted an action" to enforce its lien, thus satisfying the "superpriority piece" of the association's lien.

NRS 116.3116 grants an association "a lien on a unit for any construction penalty that is imposed against the unit's owner pursuant to NRS 116.31035, any assessment levied against that unit or any fines imposed against the unit's owner from the time the construction penalty, assessment or fine becomes due." An association's lien "is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except:"

- (a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration . . .;
- (b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent . . .' and
- (c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit 118

However, NRS 116.3116(2) also provides:

The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the period budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien 119

In SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., the Nevada Supreme Court concluded that although the association has one lien that includes all "assessments," the lien has two pieces: a superpriority piece, "consisting of the last nine months of HOA dues and maintenance and nuisance-abatement charges" and a

NRS § 116.3116(1) (2011). Because the Legislature has amended NRS 116 several times in the five years between the time Wyeth Ranch initiated the foreclosure and ultimately completed the foreclosure, Marchai will refer to the Court the date of the statute to which it cites.

NRS § 116.3116(2) (2011) (emphasis added).

Id. (emphasis added). When Wyeth Ranch sent Perez the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien in September 2008, the statute granted association's superpriority of only six, not nine, months of dues. See NRS § 116.3116(2) (2003).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

subpriority piece consisting of all other "assessments." 120 According to SFR, the "superpriority" piece of the association's lien has priority over a first deed of trust, but the "subpriority" piece is subordinate. 121 Further, the court held that "institution of an action" in the context of NRS 116.3116(2) includes nonjudicial foreclosure as well as judicial foreclosure. 122 Unfortunately, if "institution of an action" includes the "action" in the context of a nonjudicial foreclosure, SFR failed to define precisely when the "institution of an action" occurs to enable the parties to determine what nine (or six) months of association dues would have priority over a first deed of trust.123 However, regardless of whether this Court concludes that the "institution of an action" begins from service of the notice of delinquent assessment lien, recording of the notice of default, or even recording of the notice of trustee's sale, here, Perez paid far more than nine (or six) months of assessments after Wyeth Ranch instituted the action to enforce the lien.

Wyeth Ranch instituted an action to enforce the lien on September 30, 2008, when it sent Perez a Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, on January 5, 2009, when it first recorded a Notice of Default and Election to Sell Under Homeowners Association Lien, or definitely by January 14, 2010, when it first recorded a Notice of Trustee's Sale. However, between February 3, 2010 and November 13, 2012, Perez paid Wyeth Ranch \$3,230.00 in assessments. Even if this Court uses \$159.50 per month in association dues (the monthly charge for assessments in 2010)—which

¹²⁰ 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d 408, 411 (2014). In September 2008, when Wyeth Ranch sent Perez the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien, NRS 116.3116 limited the "superpriority piece" to six months of association dues. See NRS § 116.3116(2) (2003).

¹²¹ See SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 411.

¹²² *Id.* at 414–15.

¹²³ See id.

s, NEVADA 89145) 566-1935

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

is higher than either 2008 (\$140.00 per month) or 2009 (\$152.50 per month)—Perez still paid Wyeth Ranch 20.25 months of association dues after Wyeth Ranch "instituted an action" to enforce its lien. Consequently, Perez paid the "superpriority piece" of Wyeth Ranch's lien and, thus, Wyeth Ranch could only foreclose on the "subpriority piece." Accordingly, whatever interest SFR purchased at the foreclosure, it did so subject to Marchai's deed of trust. 124

According to the plain language of the Trustee's Deed 2. Upon Sale, SFR acquired nothing at the foreclosure.

When deciding what a party acquires by way of a foreclosure, courts must ascertain the parties' intent. 125 "The parties' intent 'must determine the nature and extent of the estate conveyed,' and 'that intent can be ascertained only from the language of the deed[]' itself."126 Here, the plain language of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale reveals that SFR acquired nothing by way of the foreclosure.

The Trustee's Deed Upon Sale unambiguously states that SFR acquired all of Alessi's "right, title and interest" in the Property. However, Alessi had no right, title, or interest in the Property to convey. Accordingly, the intent of the parties, as ascertained from the language of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale reveals that SFR acquired nothing by way of the foreclosure. 127

¹²⁴ See SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 30, 334 P.3d at 411.

⁷⁹¹² Limbwood Ct. Tr. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., No. 2:13-CV-00506-APG-(GWF), 2015 WL 5123317, at *3 (Aug. 31, 2015).

¹²⁶ Id. (emphasis added) (quoting City Motel, Inc. v. State ex rel. State Dep't of Highways, 75 Nev. 137, 141, 336 P.2d 375, 377 (1959)).

¹²⁷ See id. If SFR contends that the language of the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale conveyed something other than Alessi's alleged interest in the Property, then the burden is upon SFR to ask this Court to reform the deed, which it has failed to do. See id. (granting summary judgment in favor of a lender and against the third-party purchaser at an association's foreclosure sale by enforcing the plain language of the deed when the third-party purchaser failed to seek to reform the deed).

3. Even if Perez had not satisfied the superpriority portion of the lien, Wyeth Ranch did not properly foreclosure and, thus, the foreclosure could not extinguish Marchai's deed of trust.

SFR expressly concluded that "NRS 116.3116(2) gives an HOA a true superpriority lien, proper foreclosure of which will extinguish a first deed of trust." Here, however, Wyeth Ranch did not properly foreclose.

First, despite having two additional mailing addresses for Perez, Alessi mailed the December 2011 Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien to only the Property. However, NRS 116.31162 required Alessi to mail the notice to the Property and to the unit owners' address. 129

Second, although Alessi mailed the Notice of Default and Election to Sell to Perez and others, it does not appear that Alessi mailed the notice to CMG Mortgage, the holder of the deed of trust. In addition, it does not appear that Alessi served any lienholders by certified mail. If SFR contends that NRS 107.090 applies, 130 then failing to mail the notice to CMG Mortgage and failing to mail the notice by certified mail rendered the foreclosure improper. 131

Third, although Alessi served the Notice of Trustee's Sale by certified mail, including upon the Ombudsman, Alessi failed to serve the notice by first-class mail as required by statute. However, courts recognize a distinction between service by first class mail and service by certified mail, return receipt requested. 133

SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 30, 334 P.3d at 419.

¹²⁹ See NRS § 116.31162(1)(a) (2011).

See infra §§ III.B.4–5.

¹³¹ See NRS § 107.090 (2011).

See NRS § 116.311635(1)(b) (2011).

See In re Frazier, 394 B.R. 399, 400 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2008) (recognizing that "there is a difference between first class mail and certified mail" and concluding that attempted service by 29

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Because SFR only recognizes extinguishment of lien based upon a proper foreclosure, Wyeth Ranch's foreclosure had no effect upon Marchai's deed of trust. 134

> Wyeth Ranch's nonjudicial foreclosure could not, 4. consistent with the void for vagueness doctrine of the due process clause, extinguish Marchai's deed of trust.

The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that "No person shall . . . be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."135 Similarly, the Fourteenth Amendment provides that "No state shall... deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." 136 Likewise, Nevada's Constitution also provides that "No person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."137 "[R]ooted in the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments," as well as in the Due Process Clause of Nevada's Constitution, is the "void-for-vagueness doctrine." 138 Although the void for vagueness doctrine originated with respect to criminal laws and expanded to include laws that infringe upon the First Amendment, the void for vagueness doctrine now indisputably covers civil laws that do not touch upon freedom of speech. 139

certified mail, return receipt requested did not comply with a rule that required service by first class mail).

- 134 SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 30, 334 P.3d at 419.
- 135 U.S. Const. amend. V.
 - U.S. Const. amend XIV, § 1.
- 137 Nev. Const. art. 1, § 8, cl. 5.
 - Carrigan v. Comm'n on Ethics, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 95, 313 P.3d 880, 884 (2013) (citing State v. Castaneda, 126 Nev. Adv. Op. 45, 245 P.3d 550, 553 (2010)); see also Eaves v. Bd. of Clark County Comm'rs, 96 Nev. 921, 924-925, 620 P.2d 1248, 1250 (1980) (recognizing the void for vagueness doctrine under Article 1, Section 8 of the Nevada Constitution).
 - See Loscombe v. City of Scranton, 902 F. Supp. 2d 532, 545 (M.D. Penn. 2012) ("Though [the void for vagueness] doctrine grew up within the criminal context, the vagueness principle has been extended to the civil litigation context.") (citing San Filippo v. Bongiovanni, 961 F.2d 1125, 1135 (3d

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A fundamental tenet of due process is that before a party is deprived of life, liberty, or property, the party must receive notice and an opportunity to be heard. 140 The void for vagueness doctrine concerns the "notice" element of due process. 141 Specifically, the void for vagueness doctrine recognizes "that laws which regulate persons or entities must give fair notice of conduct that is forbidden or required."142 A law that does not give a person of "ordinary intelligence fair notice" of what the law forbids or requires is unconstitutionally vague. 143 In other words, due process prohibits laws that require one to "guess at its meaning." 144 Likewise, the void for vagueness doctrine also prohibits a law that "is so standardless that it authorizes or encourages seriously discriminatory enforcement."145

Cir. 1992)); see also F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, Inc., 132 S. Ct. 2307, 2317 (2012) (recognizing that the void for vagueness doctrine applies "[e]ven when speech is not at issue."); Carrigan, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 95, 313 P.3d at 884 (recognizing that the void for vagueness doctrine applies to civil laws).

Browning v. Dixon, 114 Nev. 213, 217, 954 P.2d 741, 743 (1998) (citing Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 313, 70 S. Ct. 652, 656-57 (1949)).

See Fox Television Stations, Inc., 132 S. Ct. at 2317 (recognizing that laws must give fair 141 notice).

Id. at 2317; accord Eaves, 96 Nev. at 924-925, 620 P.2d at 1249.

Carrigan, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 95, 313 P.3d at 884 (citing State v. Castaneda, 126 Nev. Adv. Op. 45, 245 P.3d 550, 553 (2010) (quoting Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project, 561 U.S. 1, 18, 130 S. Ct. 2705, 2718 (2010))).

144 Eaves, 96 Nev. at 923, 620 P.2d at 1249 (citing Connally v. General Constr. Co., 269 U.S. 385, 391, 46 S. Ct. 126, 127 (1926)); Papchristou v. City of Jacksonville, 405 U.S. 156, 162, 92 S. Ct. 839, 843 (1972)).

145 Fox Television Stations, 132 S. Ct. at 2317 (quoting United States v. Williams, 553 U.S. 285, 304, 128 S. Ct. 1830, 1845 (2008)); accord Carrigan, 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 95, 313 P.3d at 884 (citing Castaneda, 126 Nev. Adv. Op. 45, 245 P.3d at 553 (quoting Holder, 561 U.S. at 18, 130 S. Ct. at 2718)).

"While statutes need not be written with mathematical precision, they must be intelligible." ¹⁴⁶ "A law must be complete in all its terms and conditions when it leaves the legislature, so that every person may know, by reading the law, what his rights are and how it will operate when put into execution." ¹⁴⁷ Under the "void for vagueness doctrine," if the Legislature enacts a law that is "impermissibly vague," due process requires the courts to invalidate the law. ¹⁴⁸

In SFR, the Nevada Supreme Court, for the first time, concluded that an association's lien "is a true priority lien" the nonjudicial foreclosure of which "extinguishes a first deed of trust on the property." However, SFR did not address the invalidity of the statute on void for vagueness grounds or whether the beneficiary of a first deed of trust on property encumbered by an association's lien had fair notice (pre-SFR) that a nonjudicial foreclosure of an association's lien would extinguish its deed of trust. Marchai contends that it did not.

a. The statutory scheme governing the enforcement of an association's lien is so vague, indefinite, and uncertain that, before the court issued its opinion in SFR, a security interest holder could not reasonably understand that nonjudicial foreclosure of an association lien would extinguish its property rights.

In relevant part, NRS 116.3116(1) grants an association

a lien on a unit for any construction penalty that is imposed against the unit's owner pursuant to NRS 116.31035, any assessment levied against that unit or any fines imposed against the unit's owner from the time the construction penalty, assessment or fine becomes due. 150

See Valle del Sol Inc. v. Whiting, 732 F.3d 1006, 1020 (9th Cir. 2013) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

See Spinelli v. Immanuel Lutheran Evangelical Congregation, Inc., 515 N.E.2d 1222, 1228 (Ill. 1987) (quoting Mayhew v. Nelson, 178 N.E. 921, 923 (Ill. 1931)); accord Fox Television Stations, Inc., 132 S. Ct. at 2317.

See Fox Television Stations, 132 S. Ct. at 2317.

¹³⁰ Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 2, 334 P.3d 408, 409 (2014).

¹⁵⁰ NRS § 116.3116(1) (2011) (emphasis added).

Further, "[u]nless the declaration otherwise provides, any penalties, fees, charges, late charges, fines and interest charged pursuant to paragraph (j) to (n), inclusive, of subsection 1 of NRS 116.3102 are enforceable as assessments under this section."151 In other words, NRS 116.3116 grants the association a "lien" on all "assessments," which includes any combination of: (1) assessments for common expenses (i.e. HOA dues); (2) fees or charges for the use, rental, or operation of common elements; (3) fees or charges for services provided to the units' owners; (4) fees or charges for services provided to maintain the exterior of a unit or abate a public nuisance on the exterior of a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312;152 (5) charges for late payment of assessments; (6) penalties for a unit owners' failure to adhere to a construction schedule pursuant to NRS 116.310305; (7) fines for violations of the association's governing documents imposed in accordance with NRS 116.31031;153 (8) charges for the preparation and recordation of any amendments to the association's declaration; (9) charges for the preparation and recordation of any statements of unpaid assessments; and (10) fees for preparing and furnishing the documents and certificate required by NRS 116.4109.154 According to SFR, the association's lien is a statutorily-imposed lien that the parties cannot alter or waive by contract. 155

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

151 See id.

The portion of an association's lien that consists of charges incurred pursuant to NRS 116.310312 is commonly referred to as an "abatement lien." However, an "abatement lien" is not a separate lien, but simply a portion of the association's assessment lien. See NRS § 116.3116(1).

¹⁵³ The portion of an association's lien that consists of fines imposed for violations of the association's governing documents is commonly referred to as a "violation lien." However, a "violation lien" is not a separate lien, but simply a portion of the association's assessment lien. See NRS § 116.3116(1).

¹⁵⁴ See NRS § 116.3116(1) (2011); NRS § 116.3102(1)(j)-(n) (2011).

See SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d 408, 418-419 (invalidating a mortgage savings clause contained in a covenant, condition, and restriction that subordinated the association's lien to a

1

2

3

4

With respect to lien priority, NRS 116.3116(2) states that the association's lien "is prior to all other liens and encumbrances on a unit except:"

- (a) Liens and encumbrances recorded before the recordation of the declaration . . .;
- (b) A first security interest on the unit recorded before the date on which the assessment sought to be enforced became delinquent . . .; and
- (c) Liens for real estate taxes and other governmental assessments or charges against the unit ¹⁵⁶

However, NRS 116.3116(2) also contains the following sentence:

The lien is also prior to all security interests described in paragraph (b) to the extent of any charges incurred by the association on a unit pursuant to NRS 116.310312 and to the extent of the assessments for common expenses based on the periodic budget adopted by the association pursuant to NRS 116.3115 which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months immediately preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien 157

SFR concluded that although the association has one lien that includes all "assessments," the lien has two pieces: a superpriority piece, "consisting of the last nine months of HOA dues and maintenance and nuisance-abatement charges" and a subpriority piece consisting of all other "assessments." 158 According to SFR, the "superpriority" piece of the association's lien has priority over a first deed of trust, but the "subpriority" piece is subordinate. 159 Further, the court held that an association may enforce its lien by nonjudicial foreclosure. However, before SFR,

first deed of trust); see also NRS § 116.1104 (noting that the provisions in NRS 116 cannot be waived or modified by contract unless expressly provided for in NRS 116).

- NRS § 116.3116(2) (2011) (emphasis added).
- SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 411.

34

the beneficiary of a first deed of trust would not understand from NRS 116's language that an association's nonjudicial foreclosure would extinguish its interest unless it paid the "superpriority" piece. Thus, the statute deprived security interest holders of fair notice that their interests were in danger and that they needed to protect their interests. Accordingly, Marchai respectfully requests that this Court conclude that NRS 116 is void for vagueness and grant summary judgment in favor of Marchai and against SFR on its counterclaims. 161

- b. SFR's interpretation of "institution of an action" and "action" did not provide fair notice consistent with due process as it is an abrupt change from the accepted statutory meaning.
 - i. SFR's decision to interpret "institution of an action" in NRS 116.3116 differently than the Nevada Legislature has used the same phrase in other statutes failed to give security interest holders fair notice of its meaning.

As set forth above, NRS 116.3116 limits the "superpriority" piece of the association's lien to "the assessments for common expenses . . . which would have become due in the absence of acceleration during the 9 months *immediately* preceding institution of an action to enforce the lien." While SFR has now interpreted this to mean that an association need not file a judicial "action"—although SFR does not define what constitutes the "institution of an action"—given the use of the same phrase in other Nevada statutes to refer exclusively to the filing

See Gentile v. State Bar of Nev., 501 U.S. 1030, 1048, 111 S. Ct. 2720, 2731 (1991) (holding Supreme Court Rule as interpreted by the Nevada Supreme Court void for vagueness because, "absent any clarifying interpretation by the state court, the Rule fails to provide fair notice to those to whom it is directed." (internal quotation marks and citations omitted)); Spinelli v. Immanuel Lutheran Evangelical Congregation, Inc., 515 N.E.2d 1222, 1228 (Ill. 1987) (holding statute governing employer's disclosure obligations unconstitutional because the statute's provisions were so inconsistent and conflicting that an employer of ordinary intelligence "could not determine with reasonable certainty which personnel documents are, or are not, subject to disclosure.")

¹⁶² NRS § 116.3116(2) (2011).

of a civil action, NRS 116.3116 failed to give security interest holders fair notice that a nonjudicial foreclosure of an association's lien could threaten its interest. 163

The Legislature has used the phrase "institution of an action" in two other statutes, both of which mean the commencement of a civil action. For example, the Nevada Unfair Trade Practice Act provides that the Attorney General may commence a civil action for violations of the act. However, with respect to the confidentiality of the Attorney General's investigation, the statute states:

Thus, in NRS 598A.110, "institution of an action" refers to a civil action. 166

Likewise, the Legislature used the phrase "institution of action" to refer to a civil action concerning the leasing of vehicles. Specifically, NRS 100.115 provides for liability against lessors of a commercial vehicle lease for violations of the statute, which liability includes actual damages, statutory damages, and the "costs of the action, together with a reasonable attorney's fee as determined by the court." That same statute also states:

A lessor has no liability under this section for any failure to comply with any requirement imposed under NRS 100.105 if within 15 days after discovering an error, and prior to the institution of an action

See F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, Inc., 132 S. Ct. 2307, 2318 (2012) (recognizing that an "abrupt change" in the meaning of a law fails to provide fair notice and violates due process).

¹⁶⁴ See NRS § 598A.180.

¹⁶⁵ NRS § 598A.110 (emphasis added).

See id.

¹⁶⁷ See §§ NRS 100.095, et seq.

See NRS § 100.115(1)(a)–(c).

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

under this section or the receipt of written notice of the error, the lessor notifies the lessee of the error and makes whatever adjustments in the appropriate account are necessary to insure that the lessee will not be required to pay a charge in excess of the amount actually disclosed or correctly determined. 169

Again, the language refers exclusively to the institution of a civil action. ¹⁷⁰ Even though two other Nevada statutes use "institution of an action" to refer to a civil action, and the court had to presume that the Legislature knew of the language from these statutes when adopting NRS 116.3116, ¹⁷¹ SFR concluded that "institution of an action" meant something different from (or more precisely in addition to) the filing of a civil action. ¹⁷² However, an abrupt change in the interpretation of a law violates the void for vagueness doctrine as it fails to give fair notice. ¹⁷³ Here, SFR's conclusion that the Legislature intended "institution of an action" in NRS 116.3116 to mean something different than "institution of an action" in NRS 598A.110 and NRS 100.115 constitutes an abrupt change for which Marchai did not have fair notice. ¹⁷⁴ In addition, adding to the lack of notice to Marchai and the abrupt change that SFR effected, other court decisions that pre-date SFR concluded that "institution of an action" requires the filing of a lawsuit. ¹⁷⁵ Accordingly, NRS 116.3116 violates the void for vagueness doctrine. ¹⁷⁶

¹⁶⁹ See NRS § 100.115(2) (emphasis added).

¹⁷⁰ See id.

See Cable v. State ex rel. Employers Ins. Co. of Nev., 122 Nev. 120, 125, 127 P.3d 528, 531 (2006) (recognizing that courts must presume that the legislature is aware of similar statutes).

See SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 414-417.

See F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, 132 S. Ct. 2307, 2318 (2012) (recognizing that an "abrupt change" in the meaning of a law fails to provide fair notice and violates due process).

¹⁷⁴ See id.

See Trustees of MacIntosh Condominium Ass'n v. F.D.I.C., 908 F. Supp. 58, 63 (D. Mass. 1995) ("It is uncontested by the parties that a lawsuit is required before a lien for unpaid condominium fees achieves a 'super-priority' status."); Benson v. Zoning Bd. of Appeal of Town of Westport, 873 A.2d 1017, 1022 (Conn. App. 2005) ("[O]ur review of statutes and appellate case law

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ii. SFR's conclusion that "action" has different meanings within the same section constitutes an abrupt change in the meaning that failed to provide fair notice.

Although SFR held that the word "action" in the phrase "institution of an action" includes both a civil action and nonjudicial foreclosure, the remaining instances of the word "action" within NRS 116.3116 refer exclusively to judicial proceedings. 177 In particular, NRS 116.3116 uses the word "action" five times, and in four of those five instances the word "action" refers only to a judicial action. For example, NRS 116.3116(6) states "[t]his section does not prohibit actions to recover sums for which subsection 1 creates a lien," and NRS 116.3116(7) provides that "[a] judgment or decree in any action brought under this section must include costs and reasonable attorney's fees for the prevailing party."178 Likewise, NRS 116.3116(10) provides that, "[i]n an action by an association . . . to foreclose a lien created by this section, the court may appoint a receiver to collect all rents or other income from the unit alleged to be due and owing to the unit's owner before commencement or during pendency of the action."179 Nevertheless, SFR declared that the use of the word "action" in "institution of an action" means something different in NRS 116.3116(2) than it does in NRS 116.3116(6), (7), or (10).180 In light of NRS 116.3116's nearly exclusive use of the word "action" to refer to judicial proceedings,

reveals that 'the institution of an action' has never been held to mean anything other than the filing of a civil action in court.")

See id.; see also Gentile v. State Bar of Nev., 501 U.S. 1030, 1048, 111 S. Ct. 2720, 2731 (1991) (holding a Nevada Supreme Court Rule as interpreted by the Nevada Supreme Court was void for vagueness).

¹⁷⁷ See SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 415 n.5; NRS §§ 116.3116–.31168 (2011).

¹⁷⁸ NRS § 116.3116(6)-(7) (2011) (emphasis added).

¹⁷⁹ NRS § 116.3116(10) (2011) (emphasis added).

SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 415.

NRS 116.3116 did not give fair notice that the word "action" as used in the phrase "institution of an action" referred to some undefined "action" taken in connection with a nonjudicial foreclosure. Again, SFR's conclusion that "action" in NRS 116.3116(2) has a different meaning than the only conceivable meaning to "action" in NRS 116.3116(6), (7), or (10) is an abrupt change for which Marchai had no notice. Therefore, enforcing NRS 116.3116, as interpreted in SFR, violates the void for vagueness doctrine. 182

iii. NRS 116 does not define the event that triggers NRS 116.3116's nine month look-back period or the event that must occur within 3 years for an association to enforce its lien for unpaid assessments.

Although *SFR* concluded that the phrase "institution of an action" contained in NRS 116.3116 means not only the filing of a civil action, but also the institution of some undefined action in the context of a nonjudicial foreclosure, ¹⁸³ neither NRS 116 nor *SFR* define the "institution of an action" in the context of the nonjudicial foreclosure of an association's lien. ¹⁸⁴ Although the filing of a complaint clearly institutes an action or proceeding to enforce the association's lien, if "institution of an action" means something other than filing a complaint, one has to guess when the three-year statute of limitations and the nine-month look-back period begins.

The "superpriority" piece of the association's lien consists of "the last nine months of *unpaid HOA dues* and maintenance and nuisance-abatement charges." 185

See F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, 132 S. Ct. 2307, 2318 (2012) (recognizing that an "abrupt change" in the meaning of a law fails to provide fair notice and violates due process).

See id.

¹⁸³ SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 414-417.

¹⁸⁴ See id.; see also NRS § 116.3116 (2011).

¹⁸⁵ SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 411.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

However, from which date does the "last nine months" look-back period begin? Presumably, the "last nine month" look-back period begins to run on the date the association institutes an action to enforce the lien. 186 However, neither NRS 116.3116 nor SFR define this date. 187 Instead, the statute leaves the determination to the arbitrary conclusion of the association. However, because NRS 116.3116 compels the payment of up to nine months of unpaid HOA dues immediately preceding the institution of an action to satisfy the superpriority portion of the lien, due process requires more specificity. 188 Otherwise, the parties must simply guess as to how much must be paid to satisfy the superpriority portion of the lien. 189

Likewise, the date on which the association institutes an action to enforce the lien may dictate whether the association's lien has expired because NRS 116.3116(5) creates a three-year statute of limitations for the enforcement of the association's lien. Specifically, NRS 116.3116(5) provides that "[a] lien for unpaid assessments is extinguished unless proceedings to enforce the lien are instituted within 3 years after the full amount of the assessments becomes due."190 To add to the confusion, NRS 116.3116(5) uses the word "proceedings" to enforce the lien instead of "institution of an action." 191 Since service of the notice of delinquent

¹⁸⁶ See NRS § 116.3116.

See generally NRS § 116.3116; SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d 408.

F.C.C. v. Fox Broadcasting Stations, 132 S. Ct. 2307, 2317 (2012) (recognizing that the due process clause requires sufficient specificity in the law to prevent the law from arbitrary enforcement).

¹⁸⁹ See Connally v. General Constr. Co., 269 U.S. 385, 391, 46 S. Ct. 126, 127 (1926) ("[A] statute which either forbids or requires the doing of an act in terms so vague that men of common intelligence must necessarily guess at its meaning and differ as to its application, violates the first essential of due process of law.")

¹⁹⁰ NRS § 116.3116(5) (2011) (emphasis added).

¹⁹¹ Compare id. with NRS § 116.3116(2) (2011).

assessment could, arguably, constitute "proceedings to enforce the lien" the lien could ostensibly never expire so long as the association merely mails a notice of delinquent assessment to the unit's owner.¹⁹² Again, the statute leaves unit owners and holders of a security interest subject to arbitrary decisions of the association and guessing as to whether the association's lien expired.¹⁹³ However, due process requires more than abject guessing.¹⁹⁴ Without further specificity concerning what constitutes the "institution of an action," NRS 116.3116 is void for vagueness.¹⁹⁵

- c. NRS 116 failed to provide fair notice that a nonjudicial foreclosure would extinguish a first security interest as it does not provide notice of the "superpriority" piece of the lien, did not grant the holder of a first security interest the right to obtain a payoff of the "superpriority" piece of the lien, and does not recognize the right of the first security interest holder to payoff the lien.
 - i. NRS 116 does not require an association to give notice of the "superpriority" amount of its lien.

Although the statutory provisions concerning the notice of delinquent assessment, the notice of default and election to sell, and the notice of sale all require the association to state the amount of its lien, none of those provisions require the association to provide notice that it will foreclose upon a "superpriority"

¹⁹² See id. § 116.3116(5); see also id. § 116.31162(1)(a).

See Connally, 269 U.S. at 391, 46 S. Ct. at 127 ("[A] statute which either forbids or requires the doing of an act in terms so vague that men of common intelligence must necessarily guess at its meaning and differ as to its application, violates the first essential of due process of law."

See id.; see also F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, 132 S. Ct. 2307, 2317 (2012) ("[T]he void for vagueness doctrine addresses at least two connected but discrete due process concerns: first, that regulated parties should know what is required of them so they may act accordingly; second, precision and guidance are necessary so that those enforcing the law do not act in an arbitrary or discriminatory way.")

See id. (declaring law void for vagueness as it failed to give fair notice); see also Gentile v. State Bar of Nev., 501 U.S. 1030, 1048, 111 S. Ct. 2720, 2731 (1991) (declaring rule void for vagueness "absent any clarifying interpretation" as it failed to give fair notice and could lead to arbitrary enforcement).

piece of the lien or the amount of that "superpriority" piece. ¹⁹⁶ Specifically, NRS 116 states that the notice of delinquent assessment must state "the amount of the assessments and other sums which are due in accordance with subsection 1 of NRS 116.3116"—that is, the amount of the association's lien. ¹⁹⁷ Likewise, the notice of default and election to sell must specify the same amount. ¹⁹⁸ Finally, the notice of sale must expressly state "[t]he amount necessary to satisfy the lien as of the date of the proposed sale." ¹⁹⁹ However, the "amount of the assessments and other sums due" which comprises the association's lien may include not only HOA dues, but also, among other things, construction penalties, fines for violating the association's governing documents, expenses for maintaining the exterior of a unit, and late fees. ²⁰⁰ Unless the association itemizes its lien, neither the unit owner nor a security interest holder can determine the "superpriority" and "subpriority" pieces that comprise the association's lien. ²⁰¹

Moreover, the itemization of the lien is critical to a security interest holder because even though the statute includes various charges and fees as part of the association's lien, the statute limits an association's ability to impose and enforce some charges. For example, "[t]he association may not foreclose a lien by sale based on a fine or penalty for a violation of the governing documents of the association unless: (a) The violation poses an imminent threat of causing a substantial adverse

See NRS §§ 116.31162-.311635 (2011).

NRS § 116.31162(1)(a) (2011) (emphasis added).

NRS § 116.31162(1)(b) (2011).

NRS § 116.311635(3)(a) (2011).

See supra § III.B.4.a.

See id.

effect on the health, safety or welfare of the units' owners or residents of the common-interest community; or (b) the penalty is imposed for failure to adhere to" a construction schedule pursuant to NRS 116.310305.²⁰² Consequently, the association's lien may include amounts on which the association cannot foreclose.²⁰³ Again, unless the association itemizes its lien, one cannot determine whether and for what amounts the association can foreclose. These "conflicting and inconsistent provisions" do not provide notice of what the association's lien consists of, which renders NRS 116 "vague and uncertain and, therefore is unconstitutional in that it violates . . . due process."²⁰⁴

ii. NRS 116 did not provide the right for a first security interest holder or any subordinate lienholder to obtain from the association a statement of the amount required to satisfy the "superpriority" piece of the lien.

The obvious response to the statute's failure to require the association to itemize its lien is to allow a security interest holder to request a breakdown from the association. However, the statute—as it existed at the time of the foreclosure in this case—did not recognize any right in the holder of a deed of trust to obtain a breakdown of the lien. Specifically, NRS 116.3116(8) stated:

²⁰² See NRS § 116.31162(4) (2011).

See id. NRS 116.31162(4) also conflicts with SFR as SFR recognizes only two pieces to an association's lien: a "superpriority" piece and a "subpriority" piece. SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 411. NRS 116.31162(4), however, also recognizes a third piece upon which the association cannot foreclose. See NRS § 116.31162(4).

Spinelli v. Immanuel Lutheran Evangelical Congregation, Inc., 515 N.E.2d 1222, 1228 (Ill. 1987) (declaring void for vagueness a statutory scheme that contained internal inconsistencies and conflicting provisions).

The association, upon written request, shall furnish to a unit's owner a statement setting forth the amount of unpaid assessments against the unit. 205

Notably, the definition of "unit owner" expressly *excludes* a security interest holder.²⁰⁶ Because the statute neither required any notice of any claimed "superpriority amount," nor provided a security interest holder a right to obtain such an amount from the association, NRS 116 did not provide fair notice that a security interest holder needed to protect itself.²⁰⁷ Accordingly, NRS 116 is void for vagueness.²⁰⁸

iii. NRS 116 does not recognize a right for anyone other than the unit owner to pay any portion of the lien.

In addition to its failure to provide notice of the "superpriority" lien amount or to grant a security interest holder any method to obtain such an amount, the statute also does not recognize the right of a security interest holder to satisfy the "superpriority" piece of the association's lien before foreclosure. Specifically, NRS 116 permits an association to non-judicially foreclose its lien if "[t]he unit's owner or his successor in interest has failed to pay the amount of the lien, including costs, fees and expenses incident to its enforcement, for 90 days following the recording of the

NRS § 116.3116(8) (emphasis added). In 2013, the Nevada Legislature amended NRS 116.4109 to require an association to provide a statement to holders of security interests upon written request. See 2013 Nev. Stat. 3794.

NRS § 116.095 ("Unit's owner' means a declarant or other person who owns a unit, or a lessee of a unit in a leasehold common-interest community whose lease expires simultaneously with any lease the expiration or termination of which will remove the unit from the common-interest community, but does not include a person having an interest in a unit solely as security for an obligation.") (emphasis added).

See Connally v. General Constr. Co., 269 U.S. 385, 391, 46 S. Ct. 126, 127 ("[A] statute which either forbids or requires the doing of an act in terms so vague that men of common intelligence must necessarily guess at its meaning and differ as to its application violates the first essential of due process of law.")

See F.C.C. v. Fox Television Stations, 132 S. Ct. 2307, 2317 (2012) (declaring law unconstitutional as it failed to provide fair notice).

notice of default and election to sell."²⁰⁹ Accordingly, the plain language of NRS 116 contemplates that *only* the unit's owner or his successor in interest can satisfy the lien to prevent foreclosure.²¹⁰ Again, the statutory definition of "unit's owner" expressly *excludes* a security interest holder.²¹¹ It is beyond the pale to assume that a security interest holder would understand that a statute that provides no notice of the amount required to protect its interest, no right to obtain that amount, and no right to cure the delinquency could still extinguish its property rights.²¹² Accordingly, this Court should declare that NRS 116.3116 violates due process as it failed to provide fair notice that security interest holders had to protect their property interests from an association's nonjudicial foreclosure.²¹³

d. NRS 116, as interpreted by SFR, contains conflicting language with respect to the manner of service, and the ability of an association to credit bid the full amount of its lien and collect the full amount of its lien, which renders the statute void for vagueness.

A statute that "is so incomplete or conflicting and inconsistent in its provisions that it cannot be executed, will be declared to be inoperative and void."²¹⁴ Here, NRS 116, as interpreted in *SFR*, is conflicting and inconsistent in three ways. First, the statutory scheme contains conflicting requirements concerning service of the notices relating an association's nonjudicial foreclosure. Second, the ability of

See NRS § 116.31162(1)(c) (emphasis added).

²¹⁰ See id.

²¹¹ See NRS § 116.095.

See Fox Television Stations, 132 S. Ct. at 2317 (declaring law unconstitutional as it failed to provide fair notice).

See Gentile v. State Bar of Nev., 501 U.S. 1030, 1048, 111 S. Ct. 2720, 2731 (1991); Spinelli v. Immanuel Lutheran Evangelical Congregation, Inc., 515 N.E.2d 1222, 1228 (Ill. 1987).

²¹⁴ Spinelli, 515 N.E. 2d at 1228.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

the association to credit bid the full amount of its lien conflicts with SFR's conclusion that NRS 116.3116 creates a split lien that the association can foreclose nonjudically. Third, the order of payment set forth in the statute conflicts with SFR's split lien interpretation. Accordingly, these inconsistent provisions render the statute void for vagueness.²¹⁵

> NRS 116 imposes conflicting requirements concerning service of notices relating to an association's nonjudicial foreclosure.

In addition to the problems concerning the interpretation of the word "action" and the statute's failure to provide for notice and an opportunity to act, the notice provisions set forth in NRS 116 are internally inconsistent. Although third-party purchasers—like SFR here—argue that the vague statement in NRS 116.31168 incorporating NRS 107.090 by reference incorporates the service requirements set forth in NRS 107.090, NRS 116 and NRS 107.090 conflict.²¹⁶ Specifically, with respect to the service of a notice of default, NRS 116.31163 provides that "[t]he association or other person conducting the sale shall also mail, within 10 days after the notice of default and election to sell is recorded, a copy of the notice by first-class mail "217 Likewise, with respect to the service of a notice of trustee's sale, the person conducting the sale must "[m]ail, on or before the date of first publication or posting, a copy of the notice by first-class mail "218 Accordingly, the plain language of NRS 116.31163-.311635 in effect at the time of the foreclosure in this

See id.

See SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 418.

²³ 217 NRS § 116.31163 (emphasis added).

²¹⁸ NRS § 116.311635(1)(b) (emphasis added). In 2013, the Legislature amended NRS § 116.311635(1)(b) to require service of the notice of sale by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, but the language in effect at the time of the foreclosure in this case required only first class mail. See 2013 Nev. Stat. 3791. In addition, NRS 116.31163 still requires service of the notice of default only by first class mail, not certified or registered mail. See NRS § 116.31163.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

case required the person conducting an association foreclosure to serve the notice of default and notice of sale by first class mail upon the persons identified in the statute.219

NRS 107.090, however, conflicts directly with NRS 116.31163 and NRS 116.311635, as it requires the trustee to serve both notices by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested. Specifically, with respect to the notice of default, NRS 107.090 requires the trustee to "cause to be deposited in the United States mail an envelope, registered or certified, return receipt requested with postage prepaid, containing a copy of the notice "220 Likewise, the trustee must deposit "in the United States mail an envelope, registered or certified, return receipt requested and with postage prepaid, containing a copy of the notice of time and place of sale, addressed to each person described in subsection 3."221 Therefore, contrary to NRS 116.31163-.311635, NRS 107.090 requires the person conducting the foreclosure to serve the notice of default and notice of sale by registered or certified mail with return receipt requested.²²²

However, courts recognize a distinction between service by first class mail and service by certified mail, return receipt requested.²²³ Thus, the inconsistent

²¹⁹ See id.

See NRS § 107.090(3) (emphasis added).

²²¹ NRS § 107.090(4) (emphasis added).

²²² See NRS § 107.090(3)–(4).

See In re Frazier, 394 B.R. 399, 400 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2008) (recognizing that "there is a difference between first class mail and certified mail" and concluding that attempted service by certified mail, return receipt requested did not comply with a rule that required service by first class mail).

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

provisions concerning the method of service render the statute "inoperative and void."224

> The plain language of NRS 116 allows a ii. homeowners' association to credit bid the full amount of its lien, even though only a portion receives "superpriority" treatment.

In addition to the conflicting notice provisions, NRS 116.31164(2) directly conflicts with SFR. SFR concluded that the association's lien has a "superpriority" piece and a "subpriority" piece.²²⁵ Accordingly, at a foreclosure sale in which an association has both "superpriority" and "subpriority" pieces to its lien, the association should be allowed to credit bid²²⁶ only the "superpriority" portion of its lien. Any contrary interpretation would allow the association to elevate the full amount of its lien (i.e. both the superpriority and subpriority pieces) over a first deed of trust. However, NRS 116 ostensibly provides for this.

Specifically, NRS 116 grants the association the right to "purchase the unit [at the sale] and hold, lease, mortgage or convey it."227 In addition, the statute allows the association to purchase the property at the sale "by a credit bid up to the amount of the unpaid assessments²²⁸ and any permitted costs, fees and expenses incident to the enforcement of its lien."229 In other words, the association can credit

See Spinelli v. Immanuel Lutheran Evangelical Congregation, Inc., 515 N.E.2d 1222, 1228 (Ill. 1987).

See SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 411.

See NRS § 116.31164(2) (2011) (allowing an association to credit bid at a foreclosure sale).

²³ 227 See id.

Again, "assessments" does not simply include unpaid HOA dues, but also includes, among other things, fines for violations, construction penalties, abatement payments, and late fees. See NRS § 116.3116(1) (2011).

See NRS § 116.31164(2) (2011).

⁴⁸

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

bid the full amount of its lien.²³⁰ Thus, the statute's plain language contravenes SFR's "split lien" interpretation because it permits the association to include in its credit bid amounts expressly subordinate to the first security interest (e.g. any amounts over and above nine months of unpaid HOA dues and any abatement costs).²³¹ Further, an association's lien may include fines for violations of the association's governing documents,232 which amounts an association cannot enforce by foreclosure.²³³ Because NRS 116.31164(2)'s plain language conflicts with and is inconsistent with SFR, this Court must declare NRS 116.3116 void for vagueness.²³⁴

> The plain language of NRS 116.31164 allows the iii. homeowners' association to collect the full amount of its lien before any amount falls to any subordinate lienholders.

The comments to the Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act ("UCIOA"), which SFR relied upon when interpreting NRS 116.3116, provide that upon the sale of a unit in a planned community in which the unit owner has a mortgage, but has also failed to pay his assessments, the association gets paid its "superpriority" piece first from the sale proceeds, the mortgage gets paid second, and then the association receives payment for the "subpriority" piece of its lien before any payment to the unit owner.²³⁵ However, NRS 116.31164's plain language ostensibly provides for

²³⁰ See id.

²⁰ 231 See id.

See NRS § 116.3116(1) (2011); NRS 116.3102(1)(m) (2011).

²³³ See NRS § 116.31162(5) (2011).

²³⁴ See Spinelli, 515 N.E.2d at 1228.

See Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act § 2-118, cmt. 10, Example 1B (1982) (recognizing that upon the sale of property in a planned community for \$50,000 in which the unit owner has a \$50,000 mortgage, but failed to pay 12 months of assessments at \$100 per month, the association would receive \$600—its superpriority piece under UCIOA—and the mortgage holder would receive \$49,400, with both the mortgage holder and association taking a \$600 loss each.)

27

28

See id.

payment of the "association's lien" before payment of any other security interests. 236

⁵⁰

to every valid act of the legislature," not rewrite the law. 242 Because NRS 116.31164 conflicts with SFR's interpretation of NRS 116.3116, this Court should declare NRS 116.3116 void for vagueness. 243

5. In addition to being void for vagueness, NRS 116.3116 violates the due process clauses of both the United States and Nevada Constitutions on its face as it fails to mandate notice to holders of a security interest.

NRS 116's failure to require notice to holders of a security interest violates the due process clauses of both the United States and Nevada Constitutions, which renders the statute void and unenforceable.

a. NRS 116's failure to require actual notice to holders of a security interest violates due process and renders the statute facially void and unenforceable.

Another fatal flaw to NRS 116, which the Nevada Supreme Court has not yet addressed, is that none of the notice provisions provide for mandatory notice to the holder of a security interest, despite the fact that SFR has now concluded that an association's nonjudicial foreclosure directly threatens their property rights.

Instead of requiring affirmative notice to the holder of a security interest, NRS 116 provides various "opt-in" provisions that would allow "any person with any interest" to request notice in advance of a foreclosure sale by submitting a written request to the association. Thus, under NRS 116, the affirmative duty is on the holder of a security interest to request notice, not on the association to provide notice. This is true even when the holder of a security interest has a prior recorded interest. Such facially defective notice requirements highlight the constitutional infirmity of NRS 116 and necessitate voiding Wyeth Ranch's sale.

See Spinelli v. Immanuel Lutheran Evangelical Congregation, Inc., 515 N.E.2d 1222, 1228 (Ill. 1987).

²⁴³ See id.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

i. Marchai's facial challenge is a legal issue of first impression.

> Facial versus as-applied challenges. (a)

An as-applied challenge asks a court to declare a statute unconstitutional under the specific facts of a case.²⁴⁴ Conversely, a facial challenge asks a court to declare a statute void because the alleged violation is intrinsic to the statute's terms, and does not depend on its application.²⁴⁵ In other words, the statute at issue is facially unconstitutional because it violated a constitutional right from the day it was enacted.²⁴⁶ Importantly, "individual application of facts do not matter" in a facial challenge and "the plaintiff's personal situation becomes irrelevant. It is enough that '[w]e have only the [statute] itself' and the 'statement of basis and purpose that accompanied its promulgation."247

A facial challenge is distinct from an as-applied challenge where enforcement and particular facts are integral to the claim. In as-applied challenges, the court evaluates whether a facially sound law was applied in an unconstitutional manner to a particular plaintiff.²⁴⁸ Accordingly, facial challenges attack the terms of a statute and as-applied challenges attack its execution.

JA_0175

See Ezell v. City of Chicago, 651 F.2d 684, 698-99 (7th Cir. 2011); Challenge, Black's Law Dictionary (9th ed. 2009) (an as-applied challenge is "a claim that a statute is unconstitutional on the facts of a particular case or in its application to a particular party").

Ezell, 651 F.3d at 698-99; Challenge, Black's Law Dictionary (9th ed. 2009) (a facial challenge is a "claim that a statute is unconstitutional on its face—that is, always operates unconstitutionally").

See Ezell, 651 F.3d at 698-99 (holding that the City Council violated the Second Amendment when it created a gun law mandating firing-range training); Seguin v. City of Sterling Heights, 968 F.2d 584, 589-90 (6th Cir. 1992) (holding that a due process violation occurred when the City Council passed the zoning ordinance at issue).

Ezell, 651 F.3d at 697 (citing Reno v. Flores, 507 U.S. 292 (1993)); see also John Doe No. 1 v. Reed, 561 U.S. 186 (2010) ("The important point is that plaintiff's claim and the relief that would follow . . . reach beyond the particular circumstances of the plaintiffs.").

Women's Med. Prof'l Corp. v. Voinovich, 130 F.3d 187, 193 (6th Cir. 1997) ("If a statute is unconstitutional as applied, the State may continue to enforce the statute in different circumstances

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(b) The Nevada Supreme Court has not yet considered a facial challenge to NRS 116.

The Nevada Supreme Court has not decided a direct facial challenge to NRS 116. In fact, the only time the court considered NRS 116 in the context of due process was in SFR. There, U.S. Bank made an as-applied—not facial—challenge to NRS 116, arguing that "the content of the notice it received" was not specific enough to satisfy due process requirements.²⁴⁹ Nor did the association's notice to U.S. Bank explain "how the beneficiary of the first deed of trust could prevent the superpriority foreclosure sale."250 In its opinion, the court chose not to address the bank's as applied challenge because, "at the pleading stage, we credit the allegations of the complaint that SFR provided all statutorily required notices as true and sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss."251

Accordingly, SFR v. U.S. Bank did not address whether and what type of notice was constitutionally required. Instead, all parties in that case acknowledged that some notice was received regardless of NRS 116's requirements. Indeed, the court had no opportunity to decide any of the numerous facial shortcomings of NRS 116's notice provisions.²⁵²

Here, in contrast, Marchai asserts a direct facial challenge to NRS 116. Consequently, this Court need only evaluate whether the terms of NRS 116 itself violate the Constitution. For the reasons set forth below, NRS 116 is

where it is not unconstitutional, but if a statute is unconstitutional on its face, the State may not enforce the statute under any circumstances.")

249 SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 418.

250 *Id.* at 418–19.

251 Id.; see also Buzz Stew, LLC v. City of N. Las Vegas, 124 Nev. 224, 227-28, 181 P.3d 670, 672 (2008).

See generally SFR, 130 Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d 408; see also Resp't Answering Br., SFR Inv. Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, 2013 WL 9743231, at *23-26.

due process requirements.

ii. Due process requires that lienholders receive notice before the foreclosure of real property.

unconstitutional because its "opt-in" notice provisions do not comply with minimum

Due process requires that "at a minimum, [the] deprivation of life, liberty or property by adjudication be preceded by notice and an opportunity for hearing appropriate to the nature of the case." The United States Supreme Court has established the well-settled rule that state action affecting real property must be accompanied by notice of the action. "An elementary and fundamental requirement of due process in any proceeding which is to be accorded finality is notice reasonably calculated, under all circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." ²⁵⁴

In Mennonite Bd. of Missions v. Adams, the United States Supreme Court elaborated on this fundamental due process obligation, holding that any party with an interest in real property subject to deprivation must receive "actual notice" of the event that causes the deprivation.²⁵⁵ Additionally, "when notice is a person's due, process which is a mere gesture is not due process."²⁵⁶ Moreover, "[n]otice by

Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Tr. Co., 339 U.S. 306, 314 (1950). The Nevada Supreme Court has "consistently relied upon the [United States] Supreme Court's holdings interpreting the federal Due Process Clause to define the fundamental liberties protected under Nevada's due process clause." State v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 129 Nev. Adv. Op. 52, 306 P.3d 369, 377 (2013); Hernandez v. Bennett-Haron, 128 Nev. Adv. Op. 54, 287 P.3d 305, 310 (2012) (holding that "the similarities between the due process clauses contained in the United States and Nevada Constitutions, permit us to look to federal precedent for guidance as we determine whether the procedures utilized in the inquest proceedings regarding officer-involved deaths are consistent with the due process clause set forth in Article 1, Section 8(5) of the Nevada Constitution.") (citing Rodriguez v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 798, 808, 102 P.3d 41, 48 n.22 (2004) (which recognizes that "[t]he language in Article 1, Section 8(5) of the Nevada Constitution mirrors the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.")).

²⁵⁴ Tulsa Prof'l Collection Servs., Inc. v. Pope, 485 U.S. 478, 484 (1988).

²⁵⁵ 465 U.S. 791 (1983).

²⁵⁶ Mullane, 339 U.S. at 314.

(702) 566-1935

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

mail or other means as certain to ensure actual notice is a minimum constitutional precondition to a proceeding which will adversely affect the liberty or property interests of any party, whether unlettered or well versed in commercial practice."257 While the extent of the required diligence may differ depending on the context, Mennonite requires that reasonable steps be taken by the party seeking to convey or burden the real property to provide actual notice to interested parties.²⁵⁸

> Statutory "opt in" notice provisions, like (a) those in NRS 116, do not satisfy due process.

Courts have repeatedly held that "opt-in" notice provisions like those at issue in NRS 116 violate constitutional due process requirements. In the years following the Mullane and Mennonite decisions, attempts to circumvent actual notice requirements when real property was at issue did not pass constitutional muster. Among the most popular was the use of an "opt in" provision—meaning that a state's foreclosure statute would require no notice to interested parties unless the interested party affirmatively requested such notice. In Small Engine Shop, Inc. v. Cascio, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit conducted an indepth analysis of Louisiana's "opt in" clause contained in its real property foreclosure statute and concluded it did not satisfy due process requirements.²⁵⁹ Like the notice provisions of NRS 116, the Louisiana foreclosure statute required an individual or entity to affirmatively request notice by filing a request for notice in the mortgage records of the parish where the property is located.²⁶⁰

²⁵⁷ Mennonite, 462 U.S. at 798 (emphasis added).

²⁵⁸ See Mennonite, 462 U.S. at 795-800.

²⁵⁹ 878 F.2d 883, 893 (5th Cir. 1989).

²⁶⁰ Id. at 885-86.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On appeal, the Fifth Circuit recognized that Louisiana's burden-shifting statute was at the center of the controversy. The court analyzed the validity of the statute through the lenses of *Mennonite* and *Mullane*.²⁶¹ The court ultimately held that the statute "as interpreted by the district court, cannot be squared with Mennonite's allocation of notice burdens."262 Specifically, because in Mennonite "the Supreme Court held that any owner of property subject to deprivation must receive 'notice by mail or other means as certain to ensure actual notice," the state by statute may not "prospectively shift the entire burden of ensuring adequate notice to the property owner regardless of the circumstances."263 NRS 116 is no different, and allows those with property interests the right only to be heard "on demand," which it cannot do. NRS 116's opt in notice provisions violate due process.

> NRS 116's opt in provisions do not satisfy the (b) minimum notice requirements mandated by the Supreme Court, rendering NRS 116 void and unenforceable.

NRS 116 does not include any express or mandatory notice provision requiring notice to the holder of a security interest. While NRS 116 expressly addresses notice requirements in four separate provisions, those notice provisions either do not apply to the holder of a security interest or require the holder of a security interest to opt in and affirmatively request notice. The first such provision, NRS 116.31162, governs the mailing of notice of delinquent assessments, and nowhere requires that an association provide any notice to the holder of a security

²⁶¹ *Id.* at 888.

²⁶² Id. at 890; see also Davis Oil Co. v. Mills, 873 F.2d 774, 787-88 (5th Cir. 1989) (reaching an identical conclusion).

²⁶³ Small Engine Shop, Inc., 878 F.2d at 883-84 (citing Mennonite, 462 U.S. at 797).

interest of the delinquent assessment. Indeed, NRS 116.31162 makes no reference to holders of security interests at all.

The three remaining notice provisions are each opt-in provisions, requiring notice to holders of a security interest only upon its affirmative action seeking notice. First, NRS 116.31163, which governs the mailing of the notice of default and election to sell to certain interested persons, does not require associations to affirmatively provide notice of default and election to sell to holders of security interests. Instead, it is an opt-in provision, requiring notice only to

- 1. Each person who has requested notice pursuant to NRS 107.090 or 116.31168; [and]
- 2. Any holder of a recorded security interest encumbering the unit's owner's interest who has notified the association, 30 days before the recordation of the notice of default, of the existence of the security interest.²⁶⁴

The foregoing does not require mandatory notice to holders of a security interest, and instead shifts the burden to opt in. Conspicuously absent is any language requiring an association to affirmatively notify the holder of a security interest of the default and election to sell, in violation of basic due process requirements.

Next, NRS 116.31165 similarly does not require associations to affirmatively provide notice of the time and place of the foreclosure sale to the holder of a security interest. Rather, it again contains only an opt in provision, requiring notice to "[t]he holder of a recorded security interest or the purchaser of the unit, if either of them has notified the association, before the mailing of the notice of sale, of the existence of the security interest, lease or contract of sale, as applicable." ²⁶⁵

NRS 116.31163 (2011) (emphasis added).

NRS 116.31165 (2011) (emphasis added).

10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145 (702) 566-1935

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Finally, NRS 116.31168 likewise does not require that an association provide notice to the holder of a security interest unless it first requests it. Like the previous two, this provision unconstitutionally shifts the burden to the holder of a security interest, requiring it to "opt in" to receive notice of default and election to sell. In fact, the Legislature drafted NRS 116.31168 to address requests for notice of default and election to sell. The caption reads: "Foreclosure of liens: Requests by interested persons for notice of default and election to sell; right of association to waive default and withdraw notice or proceeding to foreclose."266 In addition to the caption, the text itself plainly refers to requests by interested persons.²⁶⁷

Moreover, even if this provision required mandatory notice to the holder of a security interest of the notice of default and election to sell (and it does not), that alone is insufficient because it fails to address other forms of constitutionally required notice such as the notice of trustee's sale—a document that must be recorded before any sale can take place. The holder of a security interest (and any interested party for that matter) must additionally receive notice of the time and place of sale, and default amounts to cure and prevent foreclosure. Notice of only the breach and intent to sell does not comply with the notice requirements of Mullane, Mennonite, or Small Engine and is insufficient to satisfy basic due process requirements before a party's interest in real property is disposed of.

Indeed, the dissent in SFR v. U.S. Bank acknowledged the potential "due process implications of [the] holding" in the context of the failed as applied challenge there.268 In so doing, the dissent cited to Mullane, noting that "when

²⁶⁶ NRS 116.31168 (2011) (emphasis added.)

²⁶⁷ See id.

¹³⁰ Nev. Adv. Op. 75, 334 P.3d at 422.

notice is a person's due, process which is a mere gesture is not due process. The means employed must be such as one desirous of actually informing the absentee might reasonably adopt to accomplish it." ²⁶⁹

The Nevada Legislature knows how to draft an express notice requirement—it has done so in many places throughout NRS 116. But as to holders of a security interest, NRS 116's notice provisions uniformly shift the burden to request notice, which it cannot do.²⁷⁰ This Court should grant summary judgment in favor of Marchai and against SFR on the counterclaims because NRS 116's notice provisions are unconstitutional and violate due process.

6. NRS 116.3116 violates the takings clauses of the United States and Nevada Constitutions, rendering it void and unenforceable.

The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits "private property be[ing] taken for public use without just compensation."²⁷¹ The Nevada Constitution likewise provides that "[p]rivate property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation having been first made."²⁷² As this Court has concluded, the Takings Clause of the Nevada Constitution is more protective of property rights than is that of the United States Constitution.²⁷³

The Nevada Supreme Court's construction of NRS 116 as extinguishing a first-recorded deed of trust in favor of a homeowners' association's lien to recover several months of assessments is a taking that violates both Constitutions.

²⁶⁹ Id. (citing Mullane v. Cent. Hanover Bank & Trust Co., 339 U.S. 306, 315 (1950)).

See Small Engine Shop, Inc., 878 F.2d at 893.

U.S. Const. amend. V; Chicago B & Q.R. Co. v. Chicago, 166 U.S. 226, 228-29 (1897).

Nev. Const., art. I., Section 8.

²⁷³ McCarran Int'l Airport v. Sisolak, 122 Nev. 645, 670, 137 P.3d 1110, 1127 (2006).

In Sisolak, this Court held that "a per se regulatory taking occurs when a public agency seeking to acquire property for a public use enumerated in NRS 37.010 fails to follow the procedures set forth in NRS 37, Nevada's statutory provision on eminent domain, and appropriates or permanently invades private property for public use without first paying just compensation."²⁷⁴ Yet SFR v. U.S. Bank makes clear that is exactly what NRS 116 does. The foreclosure process does not purport to follow NRS 37 procedures on eminent domain.

Instead, NRS 116 effects a transfer of a property interest from the party otherwise entitled to it—here, a beneficiary under a deed of trust—to the real estate investors snapping up borrowers' homes at association foreclosure sales, and for the stated public purpose of ensuring that associations are made whole on their minimal dues and collections assessments.²⁷⁵ The result is a taking of property without just compensation.

A lien is undeniably "property" within the meaning of the Clause.²⁷⁶ As such, the extinguishment or destruction of a lien can be a taking under the Clause.²⁷⁷ Underscoring the taking present here, the Supreme Court struck down as prohibited a law that, like NRS 116, took banks' security interest in their collateral.²⁷⁸ The *Radford* Court held the Frazier-Lemke Act, which allowed farmers to buy their property at its current appraised value on a deferred payment plan,

²⁷⁴ 122 Nev. at 670, 137 P.3d at 1127.

Chase Plaza Condo. Ass'n, Inc. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., 98 A.3d 166, 177 (D.C. 2014) (noting that the purpose of the super-priority lien is to ensure prompt and efficient enforcement of the association's lien for unpaid assessments).

United States v. Sec. Indus. Bank, 459 U.S. 70, 76-77 (1982).

²⁷⁷ Id. at 77–78.

See Louisville Joint Stock Land Bank v. Radford, 295 U.S. 555 (1935).

4 | in 5 | de 6 | in 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | D | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | P |

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

unconstitutional.²⁷⁹ The Act's infringement of a mortgagee's right to recover full payment before being forced to abandon its security interest was impermissible because that is "the essence" of a mortgage.²⁸⁰ The Court held that that the Act impaired substantive property rights and held that Fifth Amendment eminent domain proceedings and compensation were required to alter the mortgagee's interest in that way.²⁸¹ The Court concluded:

For the Fifth Amendment commands that, however great the nation's need, private property shall not be thus taken even for a wholly public use without just compensation. If the public interest requires, and permits, the taking of property of individual morgagees in order to relieve the necessities of individual mortgagors, resort must be had to proceedings by eminent domain; so that, through taxation, the burden of the relief afforded in the public interest may be borne by the public.²⁸²

Decided during the Great Depression, Radford remains the law.²⁸³

A later Supreme Court case involving liens likewise makes clear that NRS 116 effects a taking.²⁸⁴ In *Armstrong*, where materialmen delivered materials to a contractor for use in constructing navy boats and obtained liens in the vessels pursuant to state law, the Court held that the government committed a taking when it took title to and possession of the property and made it impossible for the materialmen to enforce their liens.²⁸⁵ There, the statute gave the United States

```
<sup>279</sup> Id. at 580–81.
```

 $[|] _{280}$ Id.

Id.

Id. at 601–02.

See Sec. Indus. Bank, 459 U.S. at 78 (citing Radford for approval); Dewsnup v. Timm, 502 U.S. 410, 419 (1992) (same).

²⁸⁴ Armstrong v. United States, 364 U.S. 40, 48 (1960).

Id.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

26

27

28

government the right to recover all unfinished work, including materials, free of encumbrances, to protect the government's property interests. The Supreme Court explained that the "total destruction by the Government of all value of these liens, which constitute compensable property, has every possible element of a Fifth Amendment 'taking'...."286 In other words, the lienholders had compensable property, but "[i]mmediately afterwards, they had none."287 And, "[t]his was not because their property vanished into thin air," but rather because the value of the liens had been destroyed by statutory fiat.²⁸⁸

Although in Armstrong, the government physically acquired the liened property, the United States Supreme Court subsequently clarified that this fact was not material in determining that a taking had occurred.289 Rather, the government's "simply impos[ing] a general economic regulation," which "in effect transfers the property interest from a private creditor to a private debtor" is also a taking.290 And "takings analysis is not necessarily limited to outright acquisitions by the government for itself."291

NRS 116 thus effects a taking by extinguishing Marchai's property right in the name of making association's whole on (minor) CC&R violation assessments and (typically much larger) collection and attorney fees. There is no question that such a taking is for public use. The Legislature, by allowing associations to have priority

²⁸⁶ Id.

²⁸⁷ Id.

²³ 288 Id.

²⁴ Sec. Indus. Bank, 459 U.S. at 77-78.

²⁵ 290 Id. at 78.

²⁹¹ Id. (citing Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp., 458 U.S. 419 (1982)).

for payment, is following a policy concerning the support of associations and the maintenance of common interest communities. Under NRS 116, however, there is no compensation for the mortgage-holder when this public purpose is served, and security worth hundreds of thousands of dollars is taken by law. NRS 116 deprives Marchai (and all holders of security interests) of their interest in real property, thus causing them to bear not only the burden of paying association assessments, but the burden of losing their security interst in real property that is worth many times what the association is owed, while conveying what can only be described as a windfall to the entities purchasing the homes from under Nevada borrowers for pennies on the dollar.

7. This Court should not apply SFR retroactively to permit extinguishment of Marchai's Deed of Trust.

The Nevada Supreme Court issued its opinion in SFR v. U.S. Bank, N.A. on September 18, 2014, which displaced over twenty years of practice with respect to the relationship between first deeds of trust and an association's assessment liens. Consequently, this Court should not apply SFR retroactively to permit extinguishment of Marchai's Deed of Trust.

In Chevron Oil Co. v. Huson, the United States Supreme Court expanded the application of the doctrine of non-retroactivity outside the criminal context, in both constitutional and non-constitutional cases.²⁹² Specifically, the Court stated:

In our cases dealing with the non-retroactivity question, we have generally considered three separate factors. First, the decision to be applied non-retroactively must establish a new principle of law, either by overruling clear past precedent on which litigants may have relied, or by deciding an issue of first impression whose resolution was not clearly foreshadowed. Second, it has been stressed that "we must... weigh the merits and demerits in each case by looking to the prior history of the rule in question, its purpose and effect, and whether retrospective operation will further or retard its operation. Finally, we

²⁹² 404 U.S. 97 (1971).

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

have weighed the inequity imposed by retroactive application, for "[w]here a decision of this Court could produce substantial inequitable results if applied retroactively, there is ample basis in our cases for avoiding 'the injustice or hardship' by a holding of non-retroactivity."293

Here, this Court should not apply SFR retroactively.

"[T]he first factor weighs heavily against the retroactive application of" SFR.²⁹⁴ As SFR recognized, "Nevada's state and federal district courts are divided on whether NRS 116.3116 establishes a true priority lien.²⁹⁵ In addition, "the practice in the real estate industry prior to the announcement" in SFR "was to treat such sales as not extinguishing first mortgages, such that traditional investors would not bother to bid at such sales where the home was worth less than the first mortgage."296

The second factor also supports applying SFR prospectively because "retroactive application of the rule would not further the purpose of the rule," which is to ensure that associations receive the superpriority portions of their liens.²⁹⁷ Here, Wyeth Ranch received from Perez well in excess of the superpriority portion of the lien, plus it received the total outstanding amount of its lien from SFR.

Finally, the third factor also weighs against applying SFR retroactively. Extinguishing a first deed of trust by the foreclosure of a miniscule lien, particularly in a situation in which the notices provided by the statute and the statute itself are

²⁹³ *Id.* at 106–07.

²⁹⁴ See Christina Tr. v. K & P Homes, No. 2:15-CV-01534-RCJ-(VCF), 2015 WL 6962860 at *4 (D. Nev. Nov. 9, 2015).

²⁹⁵ 334 P.3d at 412.

See Christina Tr., 2015 WL 6962860 at *4. 296

See id. at *5.

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

298

unfathomably vague and incomprehensible, would sanction "an extremely, not just a substantially, inequitable result."298

Accordingly, Marchai respectfully requests that the Court grant summary judgment in favor of Marchai and against SFR on its counterclaims.

> Assuming, arguendo, that the nonjudicial foreclosure of 8. the Association's lien did not violate due process, and Alessi actually sold Wyeth Ranch's interest, not its own, this Court should void the foreclosure sale as commercially unreasonable.

In connection with every contract or duty governed by NRS 116, associations must act in good faith.²⁹⁹ Here, assuming arguendo that Alessi sold Wyeth Ranch's interest instead of its own, Wyeth Ranch failed to conduct its foreclosure sale in good faith and in a commercially reasonable manner. In particular, "[a]lthough the price obtained at the sale is not the sole determinative factor, nevertheless, it is one of the relevant factors in determining whether the sale was commercially reasonable.... A wide discrepancy between the sale price and the value of the collateral compels close scrutiny into the commercial reasonableness of the sale."300 For example, in Will v. Mill Condominium Owner's Association, the property was sold at a trustee's sale pursuant to an association's lien for \$3,510.10, which was the amount of the lien, even though the property was worth approximately \$70,000.00 at the time of the sale.301 There, the court held that "as a matter of law, the sale did

See id.

See NRS § 116.1113 (2011).

³⁰⁰ See Levers v. Rio King Land & Invest. Co., 93 Nev. 95, 98-99, 560 P.2d 917, 919-20 (1977) (citations omitted); see also Dennison v. Allen Group Leasing Corp., 110 Nev. 181, 186, 871 P.2d 288, 291 (1994) ("The conditions of a commercially reasonable sale should reflect a calculated effort to promote a sales price that is equitable to both the debtor and the secured creditor. [... An] indication of commercially unreasonable publicity may be found in the price obtained for the equipment and the number of bidders at the public sale.").

⁸⁴⁸ A.2d 336, 338 (Vt. 2004).

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

not conform with the requirements of good faith and commercial reasonableness set forth by § 1-113 of the UCIOA."302 Specifically, the court noted that, even operating under the seller's and the purchaser's mistaken belief that the property was subject to a \$45,000 mortgage, the purchase price was still far below fair market value.³⁰³ The court concluded "the discrepancy [between the purchase price and the fair market value of the unit] suggests that no efforts were made to attain the best price for the unit."304

Here, as in Will, the Property sold at a foreclosure sale for far less than fair market value. According to the Trustee's Deed, SFR paid just \$21,000.00 for a property that SFR valued at \$307,403.00. The fact that SFR acquired the Property for approximately 6.8% of its own valuation "compels close scrutiny into the commercial reasonableness of the sale."305 Based on the foregoing, the Association's foreclosure sale was not commercially reasonable, and the Court should void the sale.

IV. CONCLUSION

No genuine issues of material fact exist that would prevent this Court from entering summary judgment in favor of Marchai on its claims for judicial foreclosure. Likewise, Marchai is entitled to judgment as a matter of law on SFR's counterclaims. Accordingly, Marchai asks this Court to enter a Judgment of Foreclosure and Order of sale that includes:

³⁰² Id. at 342. UCIOA § 1-113 is the uniform code's analogue to NRS 116.1113.

³⁰³ Id.

³⁰⁴ Id. 25

See Levers v. Rio King Land & Invest. Co., 93 Nev. at 99, 560 P.2d at 920; see also Will v. Mill Condominium Owner's Association, 848 A.2d at 342.

4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

2

3

a.	Determining that Marchai holds a valid and enforceable first priority
security inte	rest in the Property pursuant to the Deed of Trust;

- b. Determining that the priority of the rights, ownerships, liens, titles, and demands of SFR, U.S. Bank, and any other lienholders are junior and subject, subordinate, and subsequent to the Deed of Trust;
 - c. Principal, interest, fees and costs in the amount of \$489,372.77;
 - d. Attorney's fees and costs according to proof;
- e. Ordering the Property to be sold in the manner prescribed by law, and that a writ of sale be issued ordering and directing the conduct of such sale;
- f. Actual costs of foreclosure and sale, if any, that Marchai hereafter expends to protect its interest in the Property, together with interest according to proof.

DATED this 14th day of January 2016.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C.

By:

DAVID J. MERRILL Nevada Bar No. 6060

10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150

Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

(702) 566-1935

Attorneys for MARCHAI, B.T.

DAVID J. MERRILL, P.C. 10161 PARK RUN DRIVE, SUITE 150 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89145

5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 14th day of January 2016, a copy of the foregoing Marchai, B.T.'s Motion for Summary Judgment was served electronically to the following through the Court's electronic service system:

Howard Kim & Associate	es
Contact	Email
Diana S. Cline	diana@hkimlaw.com
Sarah Felts	sarah@hkimlaw.com
Tomas Valerio	tomas@hkimlaw.com

Howard Kim & Associates	
Contact	Email
E-Service for Howard Kim	eservice@hkimlaw.com

An employee of David J. Merrill, P.C.

TAB 11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MSJD DIANA CLINE EBRON, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580 E-mail: diana@KGElegal.com JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10593 E-mail: jackie@KGElegal.com KAREN L. HANKS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9578 E-mail: karen@KGElegal.com KIM GILBERT EBRON 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Telephone: (702) 485-3300 Facsimile: (702) 485-3301 Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC Alun J. Chrim

CLERK OF THE COURT

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust, Plaintiff, VS. CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a limited liability company; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive, Defendants. SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Counterclaimant/Cross-Claimant, VS. MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust; U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, N.D., a national association; CRISTELA PEREZ, an individual; and DOES I through X; and ROE

CORPORATIONS I through 10, inclusive,

Counter-Defendant/Cross-Defendants.

Case No. A-13-689461-C

Dept. No. XXVI

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC's MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR") hereby moves for summary judgment against MARCHAI B.T., a Bank Trust ("Marchai" or the "Bank"), pursuant to NRCP 56(c). This Motion is based on the papers and pleadings on file herein, the following points and authorities, the Declaration of JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. ("Gilbert Decl."), attached as **Exhibit A**, the

7625 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, SUITE 110 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89139 (702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Declaration of Paulina Kelso ("Kelso Decl."), attached as Exhibit B, and such evidence and oral argument as may be presented at the time of the hearing on this matter.

NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on 16 day of FEBRUARY, 2016, in Department XXIII of the above-entitled Court, at the hour of 9:00A a.m./p.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, the undersigned will bring SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment before this Court for hearing.

DATED January 14, 2016.

KIM GILBERT EBRON

/s/ Jacqueline A. Gilbert JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. **Introduction**

This case arises from Wyeth Ranch Community Association's (the "Association") foreclosure of real property commonly referred to as 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89131; Parcel No. 125-15-811-013 (the "Property"). Specifically, on August 28, 2013, the Association held a public auction of the Property based on unpaid monthly assessments. Despite being mailed the notice of default and notices of sale, the Bank, the holder of the First Deed of Trust, did nothing to protect its interest in the Property. At the foreclosure sale, SFR was the highest bidder and purchased the Property for \$21,000.00.

On September 30, 2013, the Bank filed a Complaint against SFR seeking Judicial Foreclosure of Deed of Trust. Nevada law is now clear: The Bank's first deed of trust was extinguished by the Association's non-judicial foreclosure sale. See SFR Investments Pool I, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., 130 Nev. ____, ___, 334 P.3d 408, 419 (Nev. 2014). The recitals in the Trustee's Deed Upon Sale provide conclusive proof that the Bank was given notice of the sale and failed to protect their interest. As such, summary judgment in favor of SFR on its claims for quiet title and

permanent injunction is warranted.

II. ALLEGATIONS IN THE COMPLAINT, PUBLIC RECORDS AND STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

Based on the conclusive recitals in the Association foreclosure deed, the Bank was on notice of the Association's lien and foreclosure of the Property but failed to act to preserve its rights. *See* Association foreclosure deed, attached hereto as **Ex. A-10**.

DATE	FACTS
1991	Nevada adopted Uniform Common Interest Ownership Act as NRS 116, including NRS 116.3116(2).
July 21, 2004	Cristela Perez ("Perez") obtained title to the Property through grant bargain sale deed recorded as Instrument No. 200407210003728.
November 9, 2005	First Deed of Trust in favor of CMG Mortgage Inc., recorded as Instrument No. 200511090001385. ²
December 20, 2011	Association recorded notice of delinquent assessments as Instrument No. 201112200001246. ³
February 28, 2012	Association recorded notice of default as Instrument No. 201202280000836. ⁴ The Bank was sent the Association's notice of default. ⁵
June 5, 2012	Assignment transferring the beneficial interest in the First Deed of Trust to CitiMortgage Inc., recorded as Instrument No. 201206050003133. 6
July 26, 2012	Assignment transferring the beneficial interest in the First Deed of Trust to U.S. Bank, National Association, recorded as Instrument No. 201207260001002.
October 31, 2012	Association records an initial notice of foreclosure sale. ⁸ The Bank was sent the Association's notice of sale. ⁹

¹ See Grant Bargain Sale Deed, attached hereto as Ex. A-1.

² See First Deed of Trust, attached hereto as Ex. **A-2**.

³ See, Association Notice of Delinquent Assessments attached hereto as Ex. A-3.

⁴ See Association Notice of Default, attached hereto as Ex. A-4.

⁵ See Exhibit **A-11**.

⁶ See Assignment First Deed of Trust, attached hereto as Ex. A-5.

⁷ See Assignment First Deed of Trust, attached hereto as Ex. A-6.

⁸ See Association Notice of Foreclosure Sale (2012), attached hereto as Ex. A-7.

⁹ See Exhibit **A-11**.

7625 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, SUITE 110 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89139 KIM GILBERT EBRON

(702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

DATE	FACTS
July 31, 2013	Association records a second notice of foreclosure sale. 10 The Bank was sent the Association's notice of sale. 11
August 12, 2013	Assignment transferring the beneficial interest in the First Deed of Trust to MARCHAI, B.T. recorded as Instrument No. 201308120002562. 12
Before August 28, 2013	No release of the super-priority lien was recorded. 13 No lis pendens was recorded by MARCHAI B.T. and/or its predecessor(s) in interest. 14
	Association foreclosure sale took place. 15
August 28, 2013	SFR's manager showed up at the publically advertised sale, was the highest bidder at an auction with multiple bidders, and paid \$12,000.00 on behalf of SFR. ¹⁶
	SFR has no reason to doubt the recitals in the Association foreclosure deed—if there were any issues with delinquency or noticing, none of these were communicated to SFR before the sale. ¹⁷
	Further, neither SFR, nor its manager, have any relationship with the Association besides owning property within the community and bidding on properties at auction. ¹⁸
	Similarly, neither SFR, nor its manager, have any relationship with the Association's agent beyond attending auctions and bidding on properties. 19
September 9, 2013	Association foreclosure deed vesting title in SFR recorded as Instrument No. 201309090001816. ²⁰ The recitals in the foreclosure deed state that the conveyance was made pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes and Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien; and further:
	Default occurred as set forth in a Notice of Default and Election to Sell, which was recorded on in the office of the recorder of said county. All requirements of law regarding the mailing of copies of notices and the posting and publication of the copies of the Notice of Sale have been complied

¹⁰ See Association Notice of Foreclosure Sale (2013), attached hereto as Ex. **A-8**.

¹¹ See Exhibit **A-11**.

¹² See Assignment First Deed of Trust, attached hereto as Ex. **A-9**.

¹³ See Ex. B, Kelso Decl., ¶10.

¹⁴ See id., at $\P6$

¹⁵ See Association Foreclosure Deed, attached hereto as Ex. **A-10**. ¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ See Exhibit B, Kelso Decl., at ¶ 7.

Id. at ¶¶ 8-9.

19 Id. at ¶¶ 8-9

20 See Association Foreclosure Deed, Ex.**A-10**.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

DATE FACTS		
	with. ²¹	
	Nevada Supreme Court issued opinion stating that a first deed of trust is extinguished at a non-judicial foreclosure sale pursuant to NRS	
September 18, 2014	is extinguished at a non-judicial foreclosure sale pursuant to NRS	
_	116.3116.	

The notice of default was mailed to U.S. Bank.²² The notice of default and election to sell was sent to U.S. Bank, MERS, Citimortgage.²³

The Bank fails to allege any facts that would allow this Court to set aside the Association foreclosure sale. Thus, SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment should be granted.

III. **LEGAL ARGUMENT**

Motion for Summary Judgment Standard. **A.**

Summary judgment is appropriate "when the pleadings and other evidence on file demonstrate that no 'genuine issue as to any material fact [remains] and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law." Wood v. Safeway, Inc., 121 Nev. 724, 729, 121 P.3d 1026, 1029 (2005). Additionally, "[t]he purpose of summary judgment 'is to avoid a needless trial when an appropriate showing is made in advance that there is no genuine issue of fact to be tried, and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." McDonald v. D.P. Alexander <u>& Las Vegas Boulevard, LLC</u>, 121 Nev. 812, 815, 123 P.3d 748, 750 (2005) <u>quoting Coray v.</u> Home, 80 Nev. 39, 40-41, 389 P.2d 76, 77 (1964). Moreover, the non-moving party "must, by affidavit or otherwise, set forth specific facts demonstrating the existence of a genuine issue for trial or have summary judgment entered against [it]." Wood, 121 Nev. at 32, 121 P.3d at 1031. The non-moving party "is not entitled to build a case on the gossamer threads of whimsy,

²¹ *Id*.

²² See excerpts from documents produced by Alessi & Koenig, LLC, in response to subpoena, attached to Gilbert Decl. as Exhibit A-11. See specifically, Association proof of mailings of Notice of Default.

²³ <u>Id.</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

speculation, and conjecture." Id. Rather, the non-moving party must demonstrate specific facts as opposed to general allegations and conclusions. LaMantia v. Redisi, 118 Nev. 27, 29, 38 P.3d 877, 879 (2002); Wayment v. Holmes, 112 Nev. 232,237,912 P.2d 816, 819 (1996). Though inferences are to be drawn in favor of the non-moving party, an opponent to summary judgment, like MARCHAI, must show that it can produce evidence at trial to support its claim or defense. Van Cleave v. Kietz-Mill Minit Mart, 97 Nev. 414,417,633 P.2d 1220, 222 (1981).

B. SFR is Entitled to Summary Judgment on its Counterclaims for Quiet Title and Permanent Injunction Because the First Deed of Trust, Was Extinguished by the Association's Non-Judicial Foreclosure Sale.

On September 18, 2014, the Nevada Supreme Court issued its opinion in SFR Investments Pool I, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., concluding that NRS 116.3116(2) gives associations a true superpriority lien, the non-judicial foreclosure of which extinguishes a first deed of trust. 334 P.3d 408, 419 (Nev. 2014). In this case, after the homeowner and MARCHAI failed to pay the assessments, the Association foreclosed on the delinquent assessments in 2013, and thereby extinguished the first deed of trust.

On September 18, 2014, the Nevada Supreme Court issued its opinion in SFR Investments Pool I, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., concluding that NRS 116.3116(2) gives associations a true superpriority lien, the non-judicial foreclosure of which extinguishes a first deed of trust. SFR, 334 P.3d at 419. In this case, after the homeowner and Chase failed to cure the delinquency, the Association foreclosed on the delinquent assessments. In SFR, the Nevada Supreme Court recognized that a foreclosure deed "reciting compliance with notice provisions of NRS 116.31162 through NRS 116.31168 "is conclusive" as to the recitals "against the unit's former owner, his or her heirs and assigns and all other persons." Id. at 411-12 (citing NRS 116.3116.31166(2)). As Judge Susan Johnson found, "[w]hile the homeowners' association and its agent must comply with NRS Chapter 116's foreclosure requirements, no proof of such compliance is required beyond recitals stating as much in the trustee's deed of sale. Bank of New York Mellon Corp. v. SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC, Case No. A-15-712683-C, 2015 WL 4945714 *5 (Nev.Dist.Ct. (Trial Order) (August 19, 2015), citing SFR, 334 P.3d at 411-412.

and fairly" carried out does not mean that a third party purchaser must provide evidence that a security interest holder was provided adequate notice and that the security interest holder was not thwarted in its attempts to cure the super priority lien. In fact, the recitals, in and of themselves are conclusive. "If the trustee's deed recites that all statutory notice requirements and procedures required by law for the conduct of the foreclosure have been satisfied, a rebuttable presumption arises that the sale has been conducted regularly and properly; this presumption is conclusive as to a bona fide purchaser." Moeller v. Lien, 25 Cal.App.4th 822, 831-32 (Cal. Ct. App. 1994) (emphasis added); see also, 4 Miller & Starr, Cal. Real Estate (3d ed. 2000) Deeds of Trust and Mortgages § 10:211, pp. 647-652; 2 Bernhardt, Cal. Mortgage and Deed of Trust Practice (Cont.Ed.Bar 2d ed. 1990) § 7:59, pp. 476-477). The "conclusive proof" standard "cannot be overcome by any additional evidence or argument." Employers Ins. Co. of Nev. v. Daniels, 122 Nev. 1009, 1016 n. 15, 145 P.3d 1024 (2006) (citing Black's Law Dictionary 1223 (8th ed. 2004)). In fact, once conclusive proof is established by statute, "courts will not stop to inquire [whether the presumption is correct or not] ... because the statute does not permit this conclusive proof to be overcome...." Wilson v. Hill, 17 Nev. 401,___, 30 Pac. 1076, 1077 (1888).

In other words, the requirement that an association foreclosure sale be "properly, lawfully

This conclusive proof is key because "[t]he conclusive presumption precludes an attack by the trustor on the trustee's sale to a bona fide purchaser even where the trustee wrongfully rejected a proper tender of reinstatement by the trustor[,]" and even where "the sale price was only 25 percent of the value of the property. . . ." Moeller, 25 Cal.App.4th at 831-33.24 In Nevada, unlike California, the conclusive proof does not require that the purchaser be a BFP to rely on the recitals. See Pro-Max Corp. v. Feestra, 117 Nev. 90, 95, 16 P.3d 1074, 1077-78 (2001), opinion reinstated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

28

²³

²⁴ 25

²⁶

²⁷

²⁴ However, and while MARCHAI is precluded from having the foreclosure sale declared void, MARCHAI may still recover damages from the trustee conducting the sale. Munger v. Moore, 11 Cal.App.3d 1, 89 Cal.Rptr. 323 (1970). In other words, MARCHAI's remedy, if one is required, is damages. To the extent that MARCHAI suggests, even by inference, that taking title subject to the first deed of trust is an option, the statute does not provide such an option. Unless MARCHAI can demonstrate actual fraud, unfairness, or oppression by the purchaser at the publically advertised and held auction, the purchaser should not be subject to any acts that would set aside its unencumbered deed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

on reh'g (Jan. 31, 2001) (holding that no limitation of bona fide purchaser can be read into a statute providing a conclusive presumption). As such, SFR need only present the deed and its recitals as evidence that the sale was properly, lawfully, and fairly conducted.²⁵

The Hon. Philip Pro granted summary judgment in favor of a purchaser at an association sale in a similar case. Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 80 F.Supp.3d 1131 (D.Nev. 2015). When faced with almost identical recitals as those in this case, the Bourne Valley court recognized the recitals in the foreclosure deed, i.e. "that there was a default, the proper notices were given, the appropriate amount of time ha[d] elapsed . . . and notice of the sale was given," met the burden of showing the required notices were sent to the lender. Id. at 1135.

In addition, the Hon. Linda Bell granted summary judgment in favor of SFR for the same reasons cited by Judge Pro. See SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Case No. A-13-682296-C, 2015 WL 4501851 (Nev.Dist.Ct. July 21, 2015). Specifically, Judge Bell found that the recitals were conclusive against the bank, and unless the bank could present "evidence of fraud, oppression or unfairness related to the foreclosure sale or some other legal ground for setting aside the sale, summary judgment in favor of SFR [was] appropriate because the Association complied with NRS 116 and therefore title vested in SFR." Id. at *5. Put simply, where there were no irregularities in the proceedings of the sale, the sale cannot be set aside. Moeller, 25 Cal. App.4th at 833. There needs to be finality to a foreclosure sale, so that buyers will attend and bid, without the continued threat of lawsuits challenging their title. There is a sanctity and finality to foreclosure sales where the deed contains the conclusive recitals. Cf. Moeller, 25 Cal.App.4th at 833. In the present case, The Bank cannot challenge the conclusive recitals as against SFR, and therefore based on the conclusive proof of the recitals alone, SFR is entitled to summary judgment.

Even if the conclusive proof of the recitals is not enough, (which it is), it is undisputed that MARCHAI's predecessor in interest, U.S. Bank, was mailed all the necessary notices with respect to the Association sale. Despite receiving all the required notices, the Bank and/or its predecessor

²⁵ Although, as set forth more fully below, Sec. D, SFR is a bonafide purchaser for value.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

in interest did absolutely nothing to protect its interest. As such, according to the Nevada Supreme Court's binding interpretation of NRS 116.3116(2), because the Bank and/or its predecessor in interest did not cure the deficiency after notice of the properly conducted Association foreclosure sale, the first deed of trust was extinguished. Therefore, summary judgment in favor of SFR is appropriate.

C. SFR is Entitled to Summary Judgment on its Counterclaims for Quiet Title and Permanent Injunction Because the Non-Judicial Foreclosure Sale Vested Title in SFR Without Equity or Right of Redemption.

The association foreclosure sale vested title in SFR "without equity or right of redemption." ²⁶ SFR, 334 P.3d 408, 419 (Nev. 2014) citing NRS 116.31166(3). As the dissent in SFR explained, "the owner, as well as the first security, will have no right to redeem the property under the majority's holding." Id. citing NRS 116.31166(3) and Bldg. Energetix Corp. v. EHE, LP, 129 Nev. _, ____, 294 P.3d 1228, 1233 (2013) (recognizing that there is no right to redeem after a Chapter 107 non-judicial foreclosure sale because a sale under that chapter 'vests in the purchaser the title of the grantor and any successors in interest without equity or right of redemption" (quoting NRS 107.080(5)).

This is consistent with long-standing Nevada non-judicial foreclosure law that "[i]f the sale is properly, lawfully and fairly carried out, [the Bank] cannot unilaterally create a right of redemption in [itself]." Golden v. Tomiyasu, 79 Nev. 503, 518, 387 P.2d 989, 997 (1963). Nevada law does not allow MARCHAI or the Court to create a redemption period to save MARCHAI from its own inaction. As such, SFR is entitled to a declaration from this Court that the first deed

sales without equity or right of redemption vest the purchaser with absolute title:

[T]he law authorizing the mortgagee to sell is, in our opinion, so thoroughly settled that it cannot now admit of a question. Such being the right of the mortgagee, it follows as a necessary consequence that the purchaser from him obtains an absolute legal title as complete, perfect and indefeasible as can exist or be acquired by purchase; and a sale, upon due notice to the mortgagor, whether at public or private sale, forecloses all equity of redemption as completely as a decree of court.

In re Grant, 303 B.R. 205, 209 (Bankr. D. Nev. 2003) (quoting Bryant v. Carson River Lumbering Co., 3 Nev. 313, 317–18 (1867)) (emphasis added).

²⁶ According to the Nevada Supreme Court,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

of trust was extinguished by the Association foreclosure sale, and SFR should have title quieted in its name.

While Not Required, Even if there were Irregularities with the Sale, these D. Cannot be Imputed to SFR Because SFR is a Bona Fide Purchaser.

Nevada law does not require that SFR be a bona fide purchaser, therefore even if there were any irregularities with the Association sale, as long as SFR did not participate in causing the irregularities, they cannot be imputed to SFR. However, in this case, SFR is a bona fide purchaser. A BFP purchases real property: (i) for value; and (ii) without notice of a competing or superior interest in the same property. Berge v. Fredericks, 95 Nev. 183, 185, 591 P.2d 246, 247 (1979). A "purchaser for value" is one who has given "valuable consideration" as opposed to receiving the property as a gift. Id. at 186-187, 591 P.2d at 248; Allen v. Webb, 87 Nev. 261, 266, 485 P.2d 677, 680 (1971)("A specific finding of what the consideration was may be implied from the record."). As discussed supra, Sec. B, finality in foreclosure sales to bona fide purchasers is a must to avoid chilling the bidding. These continued attacks by the lenders on the association sales causes the very issues with price that the lenders then complain of in their attacks on commercial reasonableness. See Sec. E, infra.

In the present case, SFR paid valuable consideration for the Property at the public foreclosure sale. At the time of the sale, SFR had no notice of a competing or superior interest in the Property where the public records showed only that (1) a deed of trust was recorded after the Association perfected its lien by recording its declaration of CC&Rs; and (2) there was a delinquency by Perez, which resulted in the Association instituting foreclosure proceedings, and after complying with NRS Chapter 116, was going to sell the Property at a public auction. Between the date of the Notice of Sale was recorded (May 7, 2013), and the date SFR purchased the Property (September 17, 2013), the Bank never recorded a lis pendens or other document alleging any problems with the foreclosure process or the foreclosure sale. Ex. 1, \P 6 and 10.

Additionally, SFR has no relationship with the Association or Alessi & Koenig, LLC ("Alessi"), except as a purchaser of Property. Ex. 2, ¶¶ 8 and 9. Therefore, nothing known to the Association or Absolute about any purported irregularities in the foreclosure process could be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

deemed known by SFR. Nevertheless, the Bank has not alleged any facts or introduced admissible evidence that SFR had any knowledge precluding it from BFP status, other than an impotent deed of trust.

Even if MARCHAI could present some credible evidence that SFR somehow knew that MARCHAI's interest was superior for some reason other than MARCHAI's faulty interpretation of the NRS Chapter 116, MARCHAI would still have to prove that SFR was not a BFP and that SFR somehow induced the Association to fraudulently sell the Property to SFR. Bailey v. Butner, 64 Nev. 1, 8-9, 176 P.2d 226, 229-230 (1947). There is absolutely no evidence of fraud, and therefore SFR is entitled to summary judgment.

SFR is Entitled to Summary Judgment on its Counterclaims for Quiet Title **E.** and Permanent Injunction Because the Foreclosure Sale Was Commercially Reasonable.

It is anticipated MARCHAI will claim that SFR is not entitled to summary judgment because of the price paid for the Property. This argument, however, is fatally flawed for two reasons. First, there is no requirement in NRS 116.3116 through 116.31168 that the foreclosure sale price be commercially reasonable. The Nevada Supreme Court has held when interpreting a statute: "where the language of a statute is plain and unambiguous, and its meaning clear and unmistakable, there is no room for construction, and the courts are not permitted to search for its meaning beyond the statute itself." Pro-Max Corp. v. Feenstra, 117 Nev. 90, 95, 16 P.3d 1074, 1077 (2001) (interpreting NRS §106.240). In Pro-Max, the district court read the statute as providing protection for bona fide purchasers. The Nevada Supreme Court held that the statute was clear and unambiguous, and the addition of a requirement of bona fide purchaser status before the application of the statute was an improper limitation. The court stated that at the time of the statute's enactment the legislature could have added language limiting the application of the statute to bona fide purchaser, but it did not. Thus, the Nevada Supreme Court held that there could be no addition to the statute of a bona fide purchaser requirement. Id. at 95, 1078. NRS §116.31164 and §116.31166 are clear and unambiguous. Neither contain a requirement that the sale be "commercially reasonable" nor that the purchaser at the sale satisfy the requirements of a "bona

fide purchaser."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Second, a commercial reasonableness analysis does not mean comparing the price paid to value. The Nevada Supreme Court has held that commercial reasonableness of an association foreclosure sale deals with analyzing the sale process, and whether fraud, unfairness or oppression brought about the low price. Long v. Towne, 98 Nev. 11, 14, 639 P.2d 528, 530 (1982) (refusing to unwind a sale where the mortgage had been fully paid and the property was sold at an association foreclosure sale for \$3,000). The Long Court relied on what had long been the law in Nevada regarding forced sales under a deed of trust, citing with favor Golden v. Tomiyasu, 79 Nev. 503, 504 (Nev. 1964): "mere inadequacy of price, without proof of some element of fraud, unfairness or oppression as accounts for and brings about the inadequacy of price is not sufficient to support a judgment setting aside the sale." Long, 98 Nev. at 13, 639 p.2d at 530; see Bourne Valley, 80 F.Supp. at 1136. The Golden Court explained examples of irregularities, such as

[S]everal lots have been sold in bulk where they could have been sold separately, or sold in such manner that their full value could not be realized; if bidders have been kept away; if any undue advantage has been taken to the prejudice of the owner of the property; or he has been lulled into a false security; or if the sale has been collusively or in any other manner conducted for the benefit of the purchaser, and the property has been sold at a greatly inadequate price...

Golden, at 516.

The Nevada Supreme Court upheld this ruling in Lowden Investment Company v. General Electric Credit Company, 103 Nev. 374, 741 P.2d 806 (1987). There, when upholding a district court judge's finding that a sale of a jet was commercially reasonable, the Court noted that the record showed that "GECC advertised the sale in ten different publications...[t]he advertising complied with the provisions of Section 0504(3), which require public notice...[t]welve registered bidders attended the auction." <u>Id.</u> at 377. As evidenced by both the <u>Golden Court and Lowden</u> Court, Nevada law is clear: commercial reasonableness is not judged by the price paid, but by the sale process, and if the sale process was fair and not fraudulent, price alone will never be sufficient to unwind a sale.

In the present case, there is absolutely no evidence that any irregularities in the sale process brought about the SFR bid. Instead, the Association complied with all of Nevada's foreclosure

KIM GILBERT EBRON

7625 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, SUITE 110 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89139 (702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

laws under NRS 116.31162 through NRS 116.31168. The Association's sale was publically noticed, as required by statute. Multiple bidders attended the auction. Finally, neither the homeowner nor MARCHAI paid the full amount to cure the lien before the sale. Here, viewing the transaction as a whole, the sale was commercially reasonable, and as a matter of law, the Bank cannot rely on SFR's bid as evidence that it was not.

Undoubtedly, MARCHAI will attempt to argue that the sale could not possibly be reasonable in light of the discrepancy between the price paid by SFR and the market value of the Property. However, as stated above, price alone is never enough. Furthermore, market value has no applicability to a forced sale situation. Bourne Valley, 80 F.Supp.3d at at 1136; BFP v. Resolution Trust Corporation, 512 U.S. 1247, 114 S.Ct. 1757 (1994).

As the Bourne Valley Court recognized, when assessing commercial reasonableness of an association sale, the material facts affecting the specific market at that time must be considered, including the split in the courts as to the interpretation of NRS 116.3116(2), and whether there was evidence of fraud, oppression or unfairness:

The commercial reasonableness here must be assessed as of the time the sale occurred. Wells Fargo's argument that the HOA foreclosure sale was commercially unreasonable due to the discrepancy between the sale price and the assessed value of the property ignores the practical reality that confronted the purchaser at the sale. Before the Nevada Supreme Court issued SFR Investments, purchasing property at an HOA foreclosure sale was a risky investment, akin to purchasing a lawsuit. Nevada state trial courts and decisions from the United States District Court for the District of Nevada were divided on the issue of whether HOA liens are true priority liens such that their foreclosure extinguishes the first deed of trust on the property. SFR Investments, 334 P.3d at 412. Thus, a purchaser at an HOA foreclosure sale risked purchasing merely a possessory interest in the property subject to the first deed of trust. This risk is illustrated by the fact that title insurance companies refused to issue title insurance policies on titles received from foreclosures of HOA super priority liens absent a court order quieting title. (Mot. to Remand to State Court (Doc. #6, Decl. of Ron Bloecker.) Given these risks, a large discrepancy between the purchase price a buyer would be willing to pay and the assessed value of the property is to be expected.

Bourne Valley, 80 F.Supp.3d at 1136.

Likewise, in BFP, the United States Supreme Court was analyzing whether the price received at a mortgage foreclosure sale was less than "reasonably equivalent value" under the bankruptcy code. Just like CitiMortgage in this case, the Chapter 11 debtor argued that because

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

[M]arket value, as it is commonly understood, has no applicability in the forcedsale context; indeed, it is the very antithesis of forced-sale value. 'The market value of ... a piece of property is the price which it might be expected to bring if offered for sale in a fair market; not the price which might be obtained on a sale at public auction or a sale forced by the necessities of the owner, but such a price as would be fixed by negotiation and mutual agreement, after ample time to find a purchaser, as between a vendor who is willing (but not compelled) to sell and a purchaser who desires to buy but is not compelled to take the particular ... piece of property.' In short, 'fair market value' presumes market conditions that, by definition, simply do not obtain in the context of a forced sale.

Id. at 537-538, quoting Black's Law Dictionary 971 (6th ed. 1990).

The Court recognized that property sold in a forced-sale context i.e. a foreclosure, "is simply worth less [because] [n]o one would pay as much to own such property as he would pay to own real estate that could be sold at leisure and pursuant to normal marketing techniques." Id. at 539. As the Court further noted,

Unlike most other legal restrictions, however, foreclosure has the effect of completely redefining the market in which the property is offered for sale; normal free-market rules of exchange are replaced by the far more restrictive rules governing forced sales. Given this altered reality, and the concomitant inutility of the normal tool for determining what property is worth (fair market value), the only legitimate evidence of the property's value at the time it is sold is the foreclosuresale price itself.

Id. at 548-549 (emphasis in original).

While the BFP analysis related to a mortgage foreclosure sale, other Courts have extended the BFP analysis to tax-defaulted sales of real property with adherence to requirements of state law. See In re Tracht Gut, LLC, 503 B.R. 804, 815-818 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 2014); T.F. Stone v. Harper, 72 F.3d 466 (5th Cir. 1995); Kojima v. Grandote Int'l Ltd. Co, 252 F.3d 1146 (10th Cir. 2001). Regardless of the type of sale, however, the analysis still aptly explains how market value

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

cannot be compared to a forced sale transaction.

Judge Bell, ruling in favor of SFR on a motion for summary judgment, summed this concept up nicely,

It is also worth noting that the commercial reasonableness of the HOA foreclosure sale must be assessed at the time the sale occurred. The sale here took place prior to the Nevada Supreme Court issuing SFR v. U.S. Bank. Prior to SFR v. U.S. Bank, purchasing property at an HOA foreclosure sale was likened to purchasing a lawsuit. Because Nevada's state and federal courts were divided on the issue of whether HOA liens were true priority liens, purchasers risked buying homes subject to a lender's first deed of trust. The concerns raised by the unsteady foundation of the law, coupled with the fact that title insurance was nearly impossible to obtain on HOA foreclosed properties, drove the purchase prices of HOA foreclosed homes far lower than 'fair market value.' The HOA foreclosure sale of the [subject] property was no different in that sense. Thus, the low price paid may have in fact been the reasonable price considering the questionable nature of the interest purchased.

SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. Wells Fargo, Case No. A-13-682296-C, 2015 WL 4501851 *11 (Nev.Dist.Ct. July 21, 2015) (Trial Order). The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals similarly rejected arguments by lenders that motions to dismiss could be affirmed on the basis of commercial unreasonableness, because "inadequacy of price, however gross, is not in itself a sufficient ground' for setting aside a sale without "proof of some element of fraud, unfairness or oppression" causing the low price, which the bank had not identified. LVDG Series 125 v. Welles, No. 14-15859 at ¶ 3 (Memorandum order of reversal and remand) (9th Cir. Aug. 27, 2015)²⁷ (quoting Brunzell v. Woodbury, 85 Nev. 29, 32, 449 P.2d 158, 159 (1969) (internal citations omitted); see also, Kal-Mor-USA, LLC v. Bank of America, N.A., No. 13-16591 at ¶ 2 (Memorandum order of reversal and remand) (9th Cir. August 27, 2015)²⁸ (same).

In the present case, MARCHAI wants to compare market value to the winning SFR bid value at a foreclosure auction, when the two have no bearing on one another and cannot be compared. The BFP Court clearly explained how market value has no applicability to a forced sale transaction. Additionally, both Judge Pro and Judge Bell clearly explained why the price paid at

²⁷ Available at http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/memoranda/2015/08/27/14-15859.pdf

²⁸ Available at http://cdn.ca9.uscourts.gov/datastore/memoranda/2015/08/27/13-16591.pdf

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

an Association foreclosure sale is reasonable, even though it was below market value, when the context of the sale is considered. Accordingly, Nevada law requires something more than mere inadequacy of price to find a sale commercially unreasonable and void the sale. As such, summary judgment in favor of SFR is warranted.

F. SFR is Entitled to Summary Judgment on its Counterclaims for Quiet Title and Permanent Injunction Because Extinguishment is Not Unfair or Oppressive.

Even if MARCHAI were to seek equitable relief, they would not come to court with clean hands—they have not done equity. Transaero Land & Dev. Co. v. Land Title Co. of Nev., Inc., 108 Nev. 997, 1001, 842 P.2d 716 (1992). The analysis of circumstances surrounding the foreclosure sale to determine if they were unfair or oppressive, requires the Court to also consider MARCHAI's action, or in this case, inaction. It is not unfair or oppressive for MARCHAI to lose its security interest if it had left the procedures to protect itself untouched. As the Nevada Supreme Court explained in SFR, MARCHAI could have paid the lien before the Association sale. "The inequity [the Bank] decries is thus of its own making." SFR, 334 P.3d at 414. Not only could MARCHAI have paid the lien before the sale, it could have filed a lis pendens or instituted some action against the Association to challenge the sale. Instead, MARCHAI did nothing with respect to the sale. As such, it is not unfair or oppressive to require MARCHAI to suffer the loss when it could have taken any number of actions to protect itself before the gavel dropped.

Even if the result seems harsh, this Court should not disregard the plain language of NRS 116.3116. See In re Fountainbleu Las Vegas Holdings, 128 Nev. ___, ___, 289 P.3d 1199, 1212, (2012) ("We have recognized that...equitable principles will not justify a court's disregard of statutory requirements."). "When a statute is clear, unambiguous, not in conflict with other statutes and is constitutional, the judicial branch may not refuse to enforce the statute on public policy grounds. That decision is within the sole purview of the legislative branch." Id. The Association's lien here is not unlike the mechanics' lien in Fountainbleu. Both are given priority over mortgages, and both are typically small in value when compared to the subordinate mortgages. There is no

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

question that a mechanic's lien, if property foreclosed, extinguishes mortgages.²⁹ There is also no question that if this result is somehow inequitable it cannot be set aside. Id. Based on this, SFR is entitled to summary judgment.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above, the Court should enter summary judgment in favor of SFR, stating that SFR is the title holder of the Property and that MARCHAI's deed of trust was extinguished when the Association foreclosed its lien containing super priority amounts.

DATED January 14, 2016.

KIM GILBERT EBRON

/s/ Jacqueline A. Gilbert JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10580 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110 Las Vegas, Nevada 89139 Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

²⁹ Banks have argued that mechanics' liens must be judicially foreclosed. That is true. However, the Legislature knew how to provide explicit procedures for foreclosing liens and, in the case of association liens, determined that it would allow non-judicial foreclosure, protecting all junior interests through the noticing requirements of NRS 107.090 as adopted in NRS 116.31168.

7625 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, SUITE 110 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89139 (702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 14th day of January 2016, pursuant to NCRP 5(b), I served via the Eighth Judicial District Court electronic filing system, the foregoing PLAINTIFF's MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, to the following parties:

<u>Se</u>	lect All Select No	<u>one</u>
David J. Meri	rill P.C.	
Name	Email	Select
David J. Merr	ill david@dimorril	
David J. Men	ill <u>david@dimerril</u>	lpc.com 💢

/s/ Alan G. Harvey

An employee of Kim Gilbert Ebron

EXHIBIT A

KIM GILBERT EBRON

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89139

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF JACQUELINE A. GILBERT IN SUPPORT OF SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

I, Jacqueline A. Gilbert, Esq., declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with Kim Gilbert Ebron, formerly Howard Kim & Associates, admitted to practice law in the State of Nevada.
- I am counsel for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC ("SFR") in this action. 2.
- I make this declaration in support of SFR's Motion for Summary Judgment. 3.
- I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below based upon my review of 4. the documents produced in this matter, except for those factual statements expressly made upon information and belief, and as to those facts, I believe them to be true, and I am competent to testify.
- I am knowledgeable about how Kim Gilbert Ebron maintains its records associated 5. with litigation, including litigation in this case.
- In connection with this litigation, I reviewed the Clark County Recorder's website 6. for records relating to 7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue, Las Vegas Nevada 89131; Parcel No. 125-15-811-013 (the "Property"), as well as copies of the relevant recorded documents my office obtained by downloading the recorded documents from the Clark County Recorders website.
- Upon information and belief, attached hereto as Exhibit A-1 is a true and correct 7. copy of the Grant, Bargain, Sale Deed.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit A-2 is a true and correct copy of the First Deed of Trust 8. as received from MARCHAI, B.T. in Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures.
- 9. Upon information and belief, attached hereto as Exhibit A-3 is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Delinquent Assessment Lien.
- Upon information and belief, attached hereto as Exhibit A-4 is a true and correct 10.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

copy	of	the	Association's	Notice	of	Default	and	Election	to	Sell	Under
Home	eowi	ners .	Association Lie	en.							

- Upon information and belief, attached hereto as **Exhibit A-5** is a true and correct 11. copy of the Assignment of the First Deed of Trust to CitiMortgage, Inc.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit A-6 is a true and correct copy of the Assignment of the 12. First Deed of Trust to U.S. Bank, N.A., as received from MARCHAI, B.T. in Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures.
- Upon information and belief, attached hereto as Exhibit A-7 is a true and correct 13. copy of the 2012 Association Notice of Trustee Sale.
- Upon information and belief, attached hereto as Exhibit A-8 is a true and correct 14. copy of the 2013 Association Notice of Trustee Sale.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit A-9 is a true and correct copy of the Assignment of the 15. First Deed of Trust to MARCHAI, B.T., as received from MARCHAI, B.T. in Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit A-10 is a true and correct copy of the Association 16. Trustee's Foreclosure Deed, as received from MARCHAI, B.T. in Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit A-11 are true and correct copies of the Proof of 17. Mailings of Notice of Default as produced by the Alessi & Koenig, LLC, in response to subpoena.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated this 14th day of January, 2016.

> /s/ Jacqueline A. Gilbert Jacqueline A. Gilbert

EXHIBIT A-1

07/21/2004 13:47:29 T20040064542

Req. LAWYERS TITLE OF NEVADA

Frances Deane

Clark County Recorder Pss 4

Assessor's Parcel Number: 125-15-811-013

Escrow Number: 04011017GR

Affix R. P. T. T. \$2,315.40

Recording Requested by:

Lawyers Title of Nevada, Inc.

Please mail tax statements to:

After Recording, mail to:

Cristela Perez

7119 Wolf Rivers Avenue

Las Vegas, NV 89131

The area to the right is provided for the recorder's office

GRANT, BARGAIN, SALE DEED

For a valuable consideration, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, PN II, Inc. a Nevada Corporation d/b/a Pulte Homes of Nevada do(es) hereby Grant, Bargain, Sell and Convey to

CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY

the following described real property situate in the City of Las Vegas County of Clark State of Nevada

SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF FOR THE COMPLETE LEGAL DESCRIPTION

SUBJECT TO:

- Taxes for the fiscal year 20 04-2005.
- Rights of way, reservations, restrictions, easements and 2. conditions of record.

Together will all tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining, and the reversion and reversions, remainder and remainders, rents, issues profits thereof.

Dated this 1946 da	y of July	
PN H, Inc. a Nevada Corp		lomes of Nevada
Laloude of con	and	
Roberta Leonard Lawful A	.gent	
STATE OF NEVADA		
On 19, 19, 2004 before me, a Notary Public personally known (or proventies of the subscribed)	ed) to me to be the per to the above instrume	iwful Agent rson nt who
acknowledged that she ex	ecuted the instrument.	
(NOTARY PL	JBLIC)	
Notary Publ	ERGMAN ic State of Nevada 3-81586-1 cp. Apr. 15, 2007	

EXHIBIT "A"

PARCEL I.

Lot Thirteer (13) in Block "A" of WYE'ff RANCH - UNIT 2, on file in Book 112 of Plats, Page 8, in the Office of the County Recorder of Clark County, Nevada.

PARCEL II:

A non-exclusive easement for ingress, egress, use and enjoyment of the Common Lots as shown on the above map, and as set forth in the Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions recorded October 4, 2002 in Book 20021004 as Document No. 01353, and as the same may be amended from time to time.

STATE OF NEVADA	
DECLARATION OF VALUE FORM	
1. Assessor Parcel Number(s)	
a) 125-15-811-013	
b)	
c)	
d)	
2. Type of Property:	FOR RECORDER'S OPTIONAL USE ONLY
a) [Vacant I and b) [Single Family Residence	Book: Page:
c) Condo/ Fownhouse d) 2-4 Plex	Date of Recording:
e) Apartment Juilding 1) Commercial/Industrial	Notes:
g) [Agricultural h) [Mobile Home i) [Other	14000
1) [] Onici	
a manuficular Dries of Property	\$457,545.00
3. Total Value/Sales Price of Property	arty) \$ 0.00
Deed in Lieu of Foreclosure Only (value of prop	\$ H5754000 \$ 2315.40
Transfer Tax Value:	c 2315.40
Real Property Transfer Tax Due	300000
4. If Exemption Claimed:	
a. Transfer Tax Exemption per NRS 375.090, S	Section
b. Explain Reason for Exemption:	
•	
The undersigned declares and acknowledges NRS 375.060 and NRS 375.110, that the informatio information and belief, and can be supported by doc information provided herein. Furthermore, the partiexemption, or other determination of additional tax due plus interest at 1% per month. Pursuant to NRS jointly and severally liable for any additional amount. Signature: Signature: Signature: Capa	umentation if called upon to substantiate the est agree that disallowance of any claimed due, may result in a penalty of 10% of the tax 375.030, the Buyer and Seller shall be at owed.
Signature: 7 2000 Capa	city; Roberta Econato, Lawtor Agent to 174 ii. ii.e.
Signature: Capa Capa	ienty action
	STORY AND A REPORT INTEGRAL AND TON
SELLER (GRANTOR) INFORMATION	BUYER (GRANTEE) INFORMATION
(REOURED)	Print Name: Cristela Parez
Print Name: PKII INC, a Nevada COEP	Print Name: Cristola Vores
Address: 1635 Village Center Cir. #250	Address: 7719 WOIF RIVERS
City: Las Vegas	City: Las Vacas
State: NV Zip: 89134	State: 121 Zip: 439131
State. 144 Esp. 65.151	
COMPANY/PERSON REQUESTING RECORD	ING (required if not seller or buyer)
D. A. Maria Languaga Title of Mayada Inc.	Escrow#: GR
Print Name: Lawyers Title of Nevada, Inc.	Escrow #: Jane Grey/ Maria Rampa
Address: 1645 Village Center Cir. #291	174010 to the course country to the country of the
City: Las Vegas State: NV Zip: 89134	

AN ADDITIONAL RECORDING FEE OF \$1.00 WILL APPLY FOR EACH DECLATATION OF VALUE FORM PRESENTED TO CLARK COUNTY, EFFECTIVE JUNE 1, 2004.

EXHIBIT A-2

20051109-0001385

Assessor's Parcel Number: 125-15-811-013

When recorded mail to:

CMG MORTGAGE, INC.

3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240

SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583

Loan No.: 32501493

Mail Tax Statements to:

CRISTELA PEREZ INQ. 7119 WOFE RIVERS AVENUE LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89131

Prepared By:

Recording Requested By:

Fee: \$38.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

11/09/2005

09:44:04

T20050204478

Requestor:

FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE

Frances Deane

KGP

Clark County Recorder

Pgs: 22

629028-611 [Space Above This Line For Recording Data]

DEED OF TRUST

MIN 1000724-0032501493-7 MERS TELEPHONE: (888) 679-6377

DEFINITIONS

Words used in multiple sections of this document are defined below and other words are defined in Sections 3, 11, 13, 18, 20 and 21. Certain rules regarding the usage of words used in this document are also provided in Section 16.

- (A) "Security Instrument" means this document, which is dated October 19, 2005, together with all Riders to this document.
- (B) "Borrower" is CRISTELA PEREZ, A MARRIED WOMAN, AS HER SOLE AND SEPARATE PROPERTY. Borrower is the trustor under this Security Instrument.
- (C) "Lender" is CMG MORTGAGE, INC.. Lender is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of CALIFORNIA. Lender's address is 3160 CROW CANYON ROAD, SUITE 240, SAN RAMON, CALIFORNIA 94583.
- (D) "Trustee" is FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE AGENCY OF NEVADA.
- (E) "MERS" is Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. MERS is a separate corporation that is acting solely as a nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns. MERS is the beneficiary under this Security Instrument. MERS is organized and existing under the laws of

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannic Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 1 of 18

nyemerid

Delaware, and has an address and telephone number of P.O. Box 2026, Flint, MI 48501-2026, tel. (888) 679-MERS.

- (F) "Note" means the promissory note signed by Borrower and dated October 19, 2005. The Note states that Borrower owes Lender Four Hundred Forty Two Thousand And 00/100 Dollars (U.S. \$ 442,000.00) plus interest. Borrower has promised to pay this debt in regular Periodic Payments and to pay the debt in full not later than November 1, 2035.
- (G) "Property" means the property that is described below under the heading "Transfer of Rights in the Property."
- (H) "Loan" means the debt evidenced by the Note, plus interest, any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note, and all sums due under this Security Instrument, plus interest.
- (1) "Riders" means all Riders to this Security Instrument that are executed by Borrower. The following Riders are to be executed by Borrower [check box as applicable]:

[X]	Adjustable Rate Rider	[]	Condominium Rider	[]	Second Home Rider
[]	Balloon Rider	[]	Planned Unit Development Rider	[]	1-4 Family Rider
[]	VA Rider	[]	Biweekly Payment Rider	[]	Other(s) [specify]

- (J) "Applicable Law" means all controlling applicable federal, state and local statutes, regulations, ordinances and administrative rules and orders (that have the effect of law) as well as all applicable final, non-appealable judicial opinions.
- (K) "Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments" means all dues, fees, assessments and other charges that are imposed on Borrower or the Property by a condominium association, homeowners association or similar organization.
- (L) "Electronic Funds Transfer" means any transfer of funds, other than a transaction originated by check, draft, or similar paper instrument, which is initiated through an electronic terminal, telephonic instrument, computer, or magnetic tape so as to order, instruct, or authorize a financial institution to debit or credit an account. Such term includes, but is not limited to, point-of-sale transfers, automated teller machine transactions, transfers initiated by telephone, wire transfers, and automated clearinghouse transfers.
- (M) "Escrow Items" means those items that are described in Section 3.
- (N) "Miscellaneous Proceeds" means any compensation, settlement, award of damages, or proceeds paid by any third party (other than insurance proceeds paid under the coverages described in Section 5) for: (i) damage to, or destruction of, the Property; (ii) condemnation or other taking of all or any part of the Property; (iii) conveyance in lieu of condemnation; or (iv) misrepresentations of, or omissions as to, the value and/or condition of the Property.
- (O) "Mortgage Insurance" means insurance protecting Lender against the nonpayment of, or default on, the Loan.
- (P) "Periodic Payment" means the regularly scheduled amount due for (i) principal and interest under the Note, plus (ii) any amounts under Section 3 of this Security Instrument.
- (Q) "RESPA" means the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (12 U.S.C. Section 2601 et seq.) and its implementing regulation, Regulation X (24 C.F.R. Part 3500), as they might be amended from time to time, or any additional or successor legislation or regulation that governs the same subject matter. As used in this Security Instrument, "RESPA" refers to all requirements and restrictions that are

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 2 of 18

imposed in regard to a "federally related mortgage loan" even if the Loan does not qualify as a "federally related mortgage loan" under RESPA.

(R) "Successor in Interest of Borrower" means any party that has taken title to the Property, whether or not that party has assumed Borrower's obligations under the Note and/or this Security Instrument.

TRANSFER OF RIGHTS IN THE PROPERTY

The beneficiary of this Security Instrument is MERS (solely as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) and the successors and assigns of MERS. This Security Instrument secures to Lender: (i) the repayment of the Loan, and all renewals, extensions and modifications of the Note; and (ii) the performance of Borrower's covenants and agreements under this Security Instrument and the Note. For this purpose, Borrower irrevocably grants and conveys to Trustee, in trust, with power of sale, the following described property located in the County [Type of Recording Jurisdiction] of CLARK [Name of Recording Jurisdiction]:

LOT 13 IN BLOCK A OF WYETH RANCH- UNIT 2, AS SHOWN BY MAP THEREOF ON FILE IN BOOK 112 OF PLATS, PAGE 8 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA. A NON- EXCLUSIVE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS, EGRESS, USE AND ENJOYMENT OF THE COMMON LOTS AS SHOWN ON THE ABOVE MAP AND AS SET FOURTH IN THE DECLARATION OF COVENANTS, CONDITIONS AND RESTRICTIONS RECORDED OCTOBER 4, 2002 IN BOOK 20021004 AS THE SAME MAY BE AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.

Parcel ID Number: 125-15-811-013

LF MQ ~ 7119 WOFE RIVERS AVENUE which currently has the address of

[Street]

LAS VEGAS [City], Nevada 89131 [Zip Code] ("Property Address"):

TOGETHER WITH all the improvements now or hereafter erected on the property, and all easements, appurtenances, and fixtures now or hereafter a part of the property. All replacements and additions shall also be covered by this Security Instrument. All of the foregoing is referred to in this Security Instrument as the "Property." Borrower understands and agrees that MERS holds only legal title to the interests granted by Borrower in this Security Instrument, but, if necessary to comply with law or custom, MERS (as nominee for Lender and Lender's successors and assigns) has the right: to exercise any or all of those interests, including, but not limited to, the right to foreclose and sell the Property; and to take any action required of Lender including, but not limited to, releasing and canceling this Security Instrument.

BORROWER COVENANTS that Borrower is lawfully seised of the estate hereby conveyed and has the right to grant and convey the Property and that the Property is unencumbered, except for

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 3 of 18

encumbrances of record. Borrower warrants and will defend generally the title to the Property against all claims and demands, subject to any encumbrances of record.

THIS SECURITY INSTRUMENT combines uniform covenants for national use and non-uniform covenants with limited variations by jurisdiction to constitute a uniform security instrument covering real property.

UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender covenant and agree as follows:

1. Payment of Principal, Interest, Escrow Items, Prepayment Charges, and Late Charges. Borrower shall pay when due the principal of, and interest on, the debt evidenced by the Note and any prepayment charges and late charges due under the Note. Borrower shall also pay funds for Escrow Items pursuant to Section 3. Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument shall be made in U.S. currency. However, if any check or other instrument received by Lender as payment under the Note or this Security Instrument is returned to Lender unpaid, Lender may require that any or all subsequent payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument be made in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer.

Payments are deemed received by Lender when received at the location designated in the Note or at such other location as may be designated by Lender in accordance with the notice provisions in Section 15. Lender may return any payment or partial payment if the payment or partial payments are insufficient to bring the Loan current. Lender may accept any payment or partial payment insufficient to bring the Loan current, without waiver of any rights hereunder or prejudice to its rights to refuse such payment or partial payments in the future, but Lender is not obligated to apply such payments at the time such payments are accepted. If each Periodic Payment is applied as of its scheduled due date, then Lender need not pay interest on unapplied funds. Lender may hold such unapplied funds until Borrower makes payment to bring the Loan current. If Borrower does not do so within a reasonable period of time, Lender shall either apply such funds or return them to Borrower. If not applied earlier, such funds will be applied to the outstanding principal balance under the Note immediately prior to foreclosure. No offset or claim which Borrower might have now or in the future against Lender shall relieve Borrower from making payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument or performing the covenants and agreements secured by this Security Instrument.

2. Application of Payments or Proceeds. Except as otherwise described in this Section 2, all payments accepted and applied by Lender shall be applied in the following order of priority: (a) interest due under the Note; (b) principal due under the Note; (c) amounts due under Section 3. Such payments shall be applied to each Periodic Payment in the order in which it became due. Any remaining amounts shall be applied first to late charges, second to any other amounts due under this Security Instrument, and then to reduce the principal balance of the Note.

If Lender receives a payment from Borrower for a delinquent Periodic Payment which includes a sufficient amount to pay any late charge due, the payment may be applied to the delinquent payment and the late charge. If more than one Periodic Payment is outstanding, Lender may apply any payment received from Borrower to the repayment of the Periodic Payments if, and to the extent that, each payment can be paid in full. To the extent that any excess exists after the payment is applied to the full payment of one or more Periodic Payments, such excess may be applied to any late charges

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 4 of 18

due. Voluntary prepayments shall be applied first to any prepayment charges and then as described in the Note.

Any application of payments, insurance proceeds, or Miscellaneous Proceeds to principal due under the Note shall not extend or postpone the due date, or change the amount, of the Periodic Payments.

3. Funds for Escrow Items. Borrower shall pay to Lender on the day Periodic Payments are due under the Note, until the Note is paid in full, a sum (the "Funds") to provide for payment of amounts due for: (a) taxes and assessments and other items which can attain priority over this Security Instrument as a lien or encumbrance on the Property; (b) leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any; (c) premiums for any and all insurance required by Lender under Section 5; and (d) Mortgage Insurance premiums, if any, or any sums payable by Borrower to Lender in lieu of the payment of Mortgage Insurance premiums in accordance with the provisions of Section 10. These items are called "Escrow Items." At origination or at any time during the term of the Loan, Lender may require that Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any, be escrowed by Borrower, and such dues, fees and assessments shall be an Escrow Item. Borrower shall promptly furnish to Lender all notices of amounts to be paid under this Section. Borrower shall pay Lender the Funds for Escrow Items unless Lender waives Borrower's obligation to pay the Funds for any or all Escrow Items. Lender may waive Borrower's obligation to pay to Lender Funds for any or all Escrow Items at any time. Any such waiver may only be in writing. In the event of such waiver, Borrower shall pay directly, when and where payable, the amounts due for any Escrow Items for which payment of Funds has been waived by Lender and, if Lender requires, shall furnish to Lender receipts evidencing such payment within such time period as Lender may require. Borrower's obligation to make such payments and to provide receipts shall for all purposes be deemed to be a covenant and agreement contained in this Security Instrument, as the phrase "covenant and agreement" is used in Section 9. If Borrower is obligated to pay Escrow Items directly, pursuant to a waiver, and Borrower fails to pay the amount due for an Escrow Item, Lender may exercise its rights under Section 9 and pay such amount and Borrower shall then be obligated under Section 9 to repay to Lender any such amount. Lender may revoke the waiver as to any or all Escrow Items at any time by a notice given in accordance with Section 15 and, upon such revocation, Borrower shall pay to Lender all Funds, and in such amounts, that are then required under this Section 3.

Lender may, at any time, collect and hold Funds in an amount (a) sufficient to permit Lender to apply the Funds at the time specified under RESPA, and (b) not to exceed the maximum amount a lender can require under RESPA. Lender shall estimate the amount of Funds due on the basis of current data and reasonable estimates of expenditures of future Escrow Items or otherwise in accordance with Applicable Law.

The Funds shall be held in an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality, or entity (including Lender, if Lender is an institution whose deposits are so insured) or in any Federal Home Loan Bank. Lender shall apply the Funds to pay the Escrow Items no later than the time specified under RESPA. Lender shall not charge Borrower for holding and applying the Funds, annually analyzing the escrow account, or verifying the Escrow Items, unless Lender pays Borrower interest on the Funds and Applicable Law permits Lender to make such a charge. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on the Funds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on the Funds. Borrower and Lender can

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 5 of 18

Initials: ______

agree in writing, however, that interest shall be paid on the Funds. Lender shall give to Borrower, without charge, an annual accounting of the Funds as required by RESPA.

If there is a surplus of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall account to Borrower for the excess funds in accordance with RESPA. If there is a shortage of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the shortage in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments. If there is a deficiency of Funds held in escrow, as defined under RESPA, Lender shall notify Borrower as required by RESPA, and Borrower shall pay to Lender the amount necessary to make up the deficiency in accordance with RESPA, but in no more than 12 monthly payments.

Upon payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall promptly refund to Borrower any Funds held by Lender.

4. Charges; Liens. Borrower shall pay all taxes, assessments, charges, fines, and impositions attributable to the Property which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, leasehold payments or ground rents on the Property, if any, and Community Association Dues, Fees, and Assessments, if any. To the extent that these items are Escrow Items, Borrower shall pay them in the manner provided in Section 3.

Borrower shall promptly discharge any lien which has priority over this Security Instrument unless Borrower: (a) agrees in writing to the payment of the obligation secured by the lien in a manner acceptable to Lender, but only so long as Borrower is performing such agreement; (b) contests the lien in good faith by, or defends against enforcement of the lien in, legal proceedings which in Lender's opinion operate to prevent the enforcement of the lien while those proceedings are pending, but only until such proceedings are concluded; or (c) secures from the holder of the lien an agreement satisfactory to Lender subordinating the lien to this Security Instrument. If Lender determines that any part of the Property is subject to a lien which can attain priority over this Security Instrument, Lender may give Borrower a notice identifying the lien. Within 10 days of the date on which that notice is given, Borrower shall satisfy the lien or take one or more of the actions set forth above in this Section

Lender may require Borrower to pay a one-time charge for a real estate tax verification and/or reporting service used by Lender in connection with this Loan.

5. Property Insurance. Borrower shall keep the improvements now existing or hereafter crected on the Property insured against loss by fire, hazards included within the term "extended coverage," and any other hazards including, but not limited to, earthquakes and floods, for which Lender requires insurance. This insurance shall be maintained in the amounts (including deductible levels) and for the periods that Lender requires. What Lender requires pursuant to the preceding sentences can change during the term of the Loan. The insurance carrier providing the insurance shall be chosen by Borrower subject to Lender's right to disapprove Borrower's choice, which right shall not be exercised unreasonably. Lender may require Borrower to pay, in connection with this Loan, either: (a) a one-time charge for flood zone determination, certification and tracking services; or (b) a one-time charge for flood zone determination and certification services and subsequent charges each time remappings or similar changes occur which reasonably might affect such determination or certification. Borrower shall also be responsible for the payment of any fees imposed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency in connection with the review of any flood zone determination resulting from an objection by Borrower.

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 6 of 18

If Borrower fails to maintain any of the coverages described above, Lender may obtain insurance coverage, at Lender's option and Borrower's expense. Lender is under no obligation to purchase any particular type or amount of coverage. Therefore, such coverage shall cover Lender, but might or might not protect Borrower, Borrower's equity in the Property, or the contents of the Property, against any risk, hazard or liability and might provide greater or lesser coverage than was previously in effect. Borrower acknowledges that the cost of the insurance coverage so obtained might significantly exceed the cost of insurance that Borrower could have obtained. Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 5 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

All insurance policies required by Lender and renewals of such policies shall be subject to Lender's right to disapprove such policies, shall include a standard mortgage clause, and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee. Lender shall have the right to hold the policies and renewal certificates. If Lender requires, Borrower shall promptly give to Lender all receipts of paid premiums and renewal notices. If Borrower obtains any form of insurance coverage, not otherwise required by Lender, for damage to, or destruction of, the Property, such policy shall include a standard mortgage clause and shall name Lender as mortgagee and/or as an additional loss payee.

In the event of loss, Borrower shall give prompt notice to the insurance carrier and Lender. Lender may make proof of loss if not made promptly by Borrower. Unless Lender and Borrower otherwise agree in writing, any insurance proceeds, whether or not the underlying insurance was required by Lender, shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such insurance proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such insurance proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such proceeds. Fees for public adjusters, or other third parties, retained by Borrower shall not be paid out of the insurance proceeds and shall be the sole obligation of Borrower. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the insurance proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such insurance proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

If Borrower abandons the Property, Lender may file, negotiate and settle any available insurance claim and related matters. If Borrower does not respond within 30 days to a notice from Lender that the insurance carrier has offered to settle a claim, then Lender may negotiate and settle the claim. The 30-day period will begin when the notice is given. In either event, or if Lender acquires the Property under Section 22 or otherwise, Borrower hereby assigns to Lender (a) Borrower's rights to any insurance proceeds in an amount not to exceed the amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, and (b) any other of Borrower's rights (other than the right to any refund of unearned premiums paid by Borrower) under all insurance policies covering the Property, insofar as such rights are applicable to the coverage of the Property. Lender may use the insurance proceeds

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddic Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 7 of 18

either to repair or restore the Property or to pay amounts unpaid under the Note or this Security Instrument, whether or not then due.

- 6. Occupancy. Borrower shall occupy, establish, and use the Property as Borrower's principal residence within 60 days after the execution of this Security Instrument and shall continue to occupy the Property as Borrower's principal residence for at least one year after the date of occupancy, unless Lender otherwise agrees in writing, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, or unless extenuating circumstances exist which are beyond Borrower's control.
- 7. Preservation, Maintenance and Protection of the Property; Inspections. Borrower shall not destroy, damage or impair the Property, allow the Property to deteriorate or commit waste on the Property. Whether or not Borrower is residing in the Property, Borrower shall maintain the Property in order to prevent the Property from deteriorating or decreasing in value due to its condition. Unless it is determined pursuant to Section 5 that repair or restoration is not economically feasible, Borrower shall promptly repair the Property if damaged to avoid further deterioration or damage. If insurance or condemnation proceeds are paid in connection with damage to, or the taking of, the Property, Borrower shall be responsible for repairing or restoring the Property only if Lender has released proceeds for such purposes. Lender may disburse proceeds for the repairs and restoration in a single payment or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. If the insurance or condemnation proceeds are not sufficient to repair or restore the Property, Borrower is not relieved of Borrower's obligation for the completion of such repair or restoration.

Lender or its agent may make reasonable entries upon and inspections of the Property. If it has reasonable cause, Lender may inspect the interior of the improvements on the Property. Lender shall give Borrower notice at the time of or prior to such an interior inspection specifying such reasonable cause.

- 8. Borrower's Loan Application. Borrower shall be in default if, during the Loan application process, Borrower or any persons or entities acting at the direction of Borrower or with Borrower's knowledge or consent gave materially false, misleading, or inaccurate information or statements to Lender (or failed to provide Lender with material information) in connection with the Loan. Material representations include, but are not limited to, representations concerning Borrower's occupancy of the Property as Borrower's principal residence.
- 9. Protection of Lender's Interest in the Property and Rights Under this Security Instrument. If (a) Borrower fails to perform the covenants and agreements contained in this Security Instrument, (b) there is a legal proceeding that might significantly affect Lender's interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument (such as a proceeding in bankruptcy, probate, for condemnation or forfeiture, for enforcement of a lien which may attain priority over this Security Instrument or to enforce laws or regulations), or (c) Borrower has abandoned the Property, then Lender may do and pay for whatever is reasonable or appropriate to protect Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including protecting and/or assessing the value of the Property, and securing and/or repairing the Property. Lender's actions can include, but are not limited to: (a) paying any sums secured by a lien which has priority over this Security Instrument; (b) appearing in court; and (c) paying reasonable attorneys' fees to protect its interest in the Property and/or rights under this Security Instrument, including its secured position in a bankruptcy proceeding. Securing the Property includes, but is not limited to, entering the Property to make repairs, change locks, replace or board up doors and windows, drain water from pipes, eliminate building or other code violations or dangerous conditions, and have utilities turned on or off. Although Lender may

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 8 of 18

take action under this Section 9, Lender does not have to do so and is not under any duty or obligation to do so. It is agreed that Lender incurs no liability for not taking any or all actions authorized under this Section 9.

Any amounts disbursed by Lender under this Section 9 shall become additional debt of Borrower secured by this Security Instrument. These amounts shall bear interest at the Note rate from the date of disbursement and shall be payable, with such interest, upon notice from Lender to Borrower requesting payment.

If this Security Instrument is on a leasehold, Borrower shall comply with all the provisions of the lease. If Borrower acquires fee title to the Property, the leasehold and the fee title shall not merge unless Lender agrees to the merger in writing.

10. Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain the Mortgage Insurance in effect. If, for any reason, the Mortgage Insurance coverage required by Lender ceases to be available from the mortgage insurer that previously provided such insurance and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to obtain coverage substantially equivalent to the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, at a cost substantially equivalent to the cost to Borrower of the Mortgage Insurance previously in effect, from an alternate mortgage insurer selected by Lender. If substantially equivalent Mortgage Insurance coverage is not available, Borrower shall continue to pay to Lender the amount of the separately designated payments that were due when the insurance coverage ceased to be in effect. Lender will accept, use and retain these payments as a non-refundable loss reserve in lieu of Mortgage Insurance. Such loss reserve shall be non-refundable, notwithstanding the fact that the Loan is ultimately paid in full, and Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such loss reserve. Lender can no longer require loss reserve payments if Mortgage Insurance coverage (in the amount and for the period that Lender requires) provided by an insurer selected by Lender again becomes available, is obtained, and Lender requires separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance. If Lender required Mortgage Insurance as a condition of making the Loan and Borrower was required to make separately designated payments toward the premiums for Mortgage Insurance, Borrower shall pay the premiums required to maintain Mortgage Insurance in effect, or to provide a non-refundable loss reserve, until Lender's requirement for Mortgage Insurance ends in accordance with any written agreement between Borrower and Lender providing for such termination or until termination is required by Applicable Law. Nothing in this Section 10 affects Borrower's obligation to pay interest at the rate provided in the Note.

Mortgage Insurance reimburses Lender (or any entity that purchases the Note) for certain losses it may incur if Borrower does not repay the Loan as agreed. Borrower is not a party to the Mortgage Insurance.

Mortgage insurers evaluate their total risk on all such insurance in force from time to time, and may enter into agreements with other parties that share or modify their risk, or reduce losses. These agreements are on terms and conditions that are satisfactory to the mortgage insurer and the other party (or parties) to these agreements. These agreements may require the mortgage insurer to make payments using any source of funds that the mortgage insurer may have available (which may include funds obtained from Mortgage Insurance premiums).

As a result of these agreements, Lender, any purchaser of the Note, another insurer, any reinsurer, any other entity, or any affiliate of any of the foregoing, may receive (directly or indirectly)

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 9 of 18

amounts that derive from (or might be characterized as) a portion of Borrower's payments for Mortgage Insurance, in exchange for sharing or modifying the mortgage insurer's risk, or reducing losses. If such agreement provides that an affiliate of Lender takes a share of the insurer's risk in exchange for a share of the premiums paid to the insurer, the arrangement is often termed "captive reinsurance." Further:

- (a) Any such agreements will not affect the amounts that Borrower has agreed to pay for Mortgage Insurance, or any other terms of the Loan. Such agreements will not increase the amount Borrower will owe for Mortgage Insurance, and they will not entitle Borrower to any refund.
- (b) Any such agreements will not affect the rights Borrower has if any with respect to the Mortgage Insurance under the Homeowners Protection Act of 1998 or any other law. These rights may include the right to receive certain disclosures, to request and obtain cancellation of the Mortgage Insurance, to have the Mortgage Insurance terminated automatically, and/or to receive a refund of any Mortgage Insurance premiums that were unearned at the time of such cancellation or termination.
- 11. Assignment of Miscellaneous Proceeds; Forfeiture. All Miscellaneous Proceeds are hereby assigned to and shall be paid to Lender.

If the Property is damaged, such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property, if the restoration or repair is economically feasible and Lender's security is not lessened. During such repair and restoration period, Lender shall have the right to hold such Miscellaneous Proceeds until Lender has had an opportunity to inspect such Property to ensure the work has been completed to Lender's satisfaction, provided that such inspection shall be undertaken promptly. Lender may pay for the repairs and restoration in a single disbursement or in a series of progress payments as the work is completed. Unless an agreement is made in writing or Applicable Law requires interest to be paid on such Miscellaneous Proceeds, Lender shall not be required to pay Borrower any interest or earnings on such Miscellaneous Proceeds. If the restoration or repair is not economically feasible or Lender's security would be lessened, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower. Such Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

In the event of a total taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due, with the excess, if any, paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is equal to or greater than the amount of the sums secured by this Security Instrument immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the sums secured by this Security Instrument shall be reduced by the amount of the Miscellaneous Proceeds multiplied by the following fraction: (a) the total amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value divided by (b) the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value. Any balance shall be paid to Borrower.

In the event of a partial taking, destruction, or loss in value of the Property in which the fair market value of the Property immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value is less

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 10 of 18

than the amount of the sums secured immediately before the partial taking, destruction, or loss in value, unless Borrower and Lender otherwise agree in writing, the Miscellaneous Proceeds shall be applied to the sums secured by this Security Instrument whether or not the sums are then due.

Opposing Party (as defined in the next sentence) offers to make an award to settle a claim for damages, Borrower fails to respond to Lender within 30 days after the date the notice is given, Lender is authorized to collect and apply the Miscellaneous Proceeds either to restoration or repair of the Property or to the sums secured by this Security Instrument, whether or not then due. "Opposing Party" means the third party that owes Borrower Miscellaneous Proceeds or the party against whom Borrower has a right of action in regard to Miscellaneous Proceeds.

Borrower shall be in default if any action or proceeding, whether civil or criminal, is begun that, in Lender's judgment, could result in forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. Borrower can cure such a default and, if acceleration has occurred, reinstate as provided in Section 19, by causing the action or proceeding to be dismissed with a ruling that, in Lender's judgment, precludes forfeiture of the Property or other material impairment of Lender's interest in the Property or rights under this Security Instrument. The proceeds of any award or claim for damages that are attributable to the impairment of Lender's interest in the Property are hereby assigned and shall be paid to Lender.

All Miscellaneous Proceeds that are not applied to restoration or repair of the Property shall be applied in the order provided for in Section 2.

- 12. Borrower Not Released; Forbearance By Lender Not a Waiver. Extension of the time for payment or modification of amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument granted by Lender to Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower shall not operate to release the liability of Borrower or any Successor in Interest of Borrower. Lender shall not be required to commence proceedings against any Successor in Interest of Borrower or to refuse to extend time for payment or otherwise modify amortization of the sums secured by this Security Instrument by reason of any demand made by the original Borrower or any Successors in Interest of Borrower. Any forbearance by Lender in exercising any right or remedy including, without limitation, Lender's acceptance of payments from third persons, entities or Successors in Interest of Borrower or in amounts less than the amount then due, shall not be a waiver of or preclude the exercise of any right or remedy.
- 13. Joint and Several Liability; Co-signers; Successors and Assigns Bound. Borrower covenants and agrees that Borrower's obligations and liability shall be joint and several. However, any Borrower who co-signs this Security Instrument but does not execute the Note (a "co-signer"): (a) is co-signing this Security Instrument only to mortgage, grant and convey the co-signer's interest in the Property under the terms of this Security Instrument; (b) is not personally obligated to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) agrees that Lender and any other Borrower can agree to extend, modify, forbear or make any accommodations with regard to the terms of this Security Instrument or the Note without the co-signer's consent.

Subject to the provisions of Section 18, any Successor in Interest of Borrower who assumes Borrower's obligations under this Security Instrument in writing, and is approved by Lender, shall obtain all of Borrower's rights and benefits under this Security Instrument. Borrower shall not be released from Borrower's obligations and liability under this Security Instrument unless Lender agrees

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 11 of 18

to such release in writing. The covenants and agreements of this Security Instrument shall bind (except as provided in Section 20) and benefit the successors and assigns of Lender.

14. Loan Charges. Lender may charge Borrower fees for services performed in connection with Borrower's default, for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees. In regard to any other fees, the absence of express authority in this Security Instrument to charge a specific fee to Borrower shall not be construed as a prohibition on the charging of such fee. Lender may not charge fees that are expressly prohibited by this Security Instrument or by Applicable Law.

If the Loan is subject to a law which sets maximum loan charges, and that law is finally interpreted so that the interest or other loan charges collected or to be collected in connection with the Loan exceed the permitted limits, then: (a) any such loan charge shall be reduced by the amount necessary to reduce the charge to the permitted limit; and (b) any sums already collected from Borrower which exceeded permitted limits will be refunded to Borrower. Lender may choose to make this refund by reducing the principal owed under the Note or by making a direct payment to Borrower. If a refund reduces principal, the reduction will be treated as a partial prepayment without any prepayment charge (whether or not a prepayment charge is provided for under the Note). Borrower's acceptance of any such refund made by direct payment to Borrower will constitute a waiver of any right of action Borrower might have arising out of such overcharge.

- Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower or Lender in connection with this Security Instrument must be in writing. Any notice to Borrower in connection with this Security Instrument shall be deemed to have been given to Borrower when mailed by first class mail or when actually delivered to Borrower's notice address if sent by other means. Notice to any one Borrower shall constitute notice to all Borrowers unless Applicable Law expressly requires otherwise. The notice address shall be the Property Address unless Borrower has designated a substitute notice address by notice to Lender. Borrower shall promptly notify Lender of Borrower's change of address. If Lender specifies a procedure for reporting Borrower's change of address, then Borrower shall only report a change of address through that specified procedure. There may be only one designated notice address under this Security Instrument at any one time. Any notice to Lender shall be given by delivering it or by mailing it by first class mail to Lender's address stated herein unless Lender has designated another address by notice to Borrower. Any notice in connection with this Security Instrument shall not be deemed to have been given to Lender until actually received by Lender. If any notice required by this Security Instrument is also required under Applicable Law, the Applicable Law requirement will satisfy the corresponding requirement under this Security Instrument.
- 16. Governing Law; Severability; Rules of Construction. This Security Instrument shall be governed by federal law and the law of the jurisdiction in which the Property is located. All rights and obligations contained in this Security Instrument are subject to any requirements and limitations of Applicable Law. Applicable Law might explicitly or implicitly allow the parties to agree by contract or it might be sitent, but such silence shall not be construed as a prohibition against agreement by contract. In the event that any provision or clause of this Security Instrument or the Note conflicts with Applicable Law, such conflict shall not affect other provisions of this Security Instrument or the Note which can be given effect without the conflicting provision.

As used in this Security Instrument: (a) words of the masculine gender shall mean and include corresponding neuter words or words of the feminine gender; (b) words in the singular shall

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 12 of 18

mean and include the plural and vice versa; and (c) the word "may" gives sole discretion without any obligation to take any action.

- 17. Borrower's Copy. Borrower shall be given one copy of the Note and of this Security Instrument.
- 18. Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

- 19. Borrower's Right to Reinstate After Acceleration. If Borrower meets certain conditions, Borrower shall have the right to have enforcement of this Security Instrument discontinued at any time prior to the earliest of: (a) five days before sale of the Property pursuant to any power of sale contained in this Security Instrument; (b) such other period as Applicable Law might specify for the termination of Borrower's right to reinstate; or (c) entry of a judgment enforcing this Security Instrument. Those conditions are that Borrower: (a) pays Lender all sums which then would be due under this Security Instrument and the Note as if no acceleration had occurred; (b) cures any default of any other covenants or agreements; (c) pays all expenses incurred in enforcing this Security Instrument, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, property inspection and valuation fees, and other fees incurred for the purpose of protecting Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument; and (d) takes such action as Lender may reasonably require to assure that Lender's interest in the Property and rights under this Security Instrument, and Borrower's obligation to pay the sums secured by this Security Instrument, shall continue unchanged. Lender may require that Borrower pay such reinstatement sums and expenses in one or more of the following forms, as selected by Lender: (a) cash; (b) money order; (c) certified check, bank check, treasurer's check or cashier's check, provided any such check is drawn upon an institution whose deposits are insured by a federal agency, instrumentality or entity; or (d) Electronic Funds Transfer. Upon reinstatement by Borrower, this Security Instrument and obligations secured hereby shall remain fully effective as if no acceleration had occurred. However, this right to reinstate shall not apply in the case of acceleration under Section 18.
- 20. Sale of Note; Change of Loan Servicer; Notice of Grievance. The Note or a partial interest in the Note (together with this Security Instrument) can be sold one or more times without prior notice to Borrower. A sale might result in a change in the entity (known as the "Loan Servicer") that collects Periodic Payments due under the Note and this Security Instrument and performs other mortgage loan servicing obligations under the Note, this Security Instrument, and Applicable Law.

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 13 of 18

There also might be one or more changes of the Loan Servicer unrelated to a sale of the Note. If there is a change of the Loan Servicer, Borrower will be given written notice of the change which will state the name and address of the new Loan Servicer, the address to which payments should be made and any other information RESPA requires in connection with a notice of transfer of servicing. If the Note is sold and thereafter the Loan is serviced by a Loan Servicer other than the purchaser of the Note, the mortgage loan servicing obligations to Borrower will remain with the Loan Servicer or be transferred to a successor Loan Servicer and are not assumed by the Note purchaser unless otherwise provided by the Note purchaser.

Neither Borrower nor Lender may commence, join, or be joined to any judicial action (as either an individual litigant or the member of a class) that arises from the other party's actions pursuant to this Security Instrument or that alleges that the other party has breached any provision of, or any duty owed by reason of, this Security Instrument, until such Borrower or Lender has notified the other party (with such notice given in compliance with the requirements of Section 15) of such alleged breach and afforded the other party hereto a reasonable period after the giving of such notice to take corrective action. If Applicable Law provides a time period which must clapse before certain action can be taken, that time period will be deemed to be reasonable for purposes of this paragraph. The notice of acceleration and opportunity to cure given to Borrower pursuant to Section 22 and the notice of acceleration given to Borrower pursuant to Section 18 shall be deemed to satisfy the notice and opportunity to take corrective action provisions of this Section 20.

21. Hazardous Substances. As used in this Section 21: (a) "Hazardous Substances" are those substances defined as toxic or hazardous substances, pollutants, or wastes by Environmental Law and the following substances: gasoline, kerosene, other flammable or toxic petroleum products, toxic pesticides and herbicides, volatile solvents, materials containing asbestos or formaldehyde, and radioactive materials; (b) "Environmental Law" means federal laws and laws of the jurisdiction where the Property is located that relate to health, safety or environmental protection; (c) "Environmental Cleanup" includes any response action, remedial action, or removal action, as defined in Environmental Law; and (d) an "Environmental Condition" means a condition that can cause, contribute to, or otherwise trigger an Environmental Cleanup.

Borrower shall not cause or permit the presence, use, disposal, storage, or release of any Hazardous Substances, or threaten to release any Hazardous Substances, on or in the Property. Borrower shall not do, nor allow anyone else to do, anything affecting the Property (a) that is in violation of any Environmental Law, (b) which creates an Environmental Condition, or (c) which, due to the presence, use, or release of a Hazardous Substance, creates a condition that adversely affects the value of the Property. The preceding two sentences shall not apply to the presence, use, or storage on the Property of small quantities of Hazardous Substances that are generally recognized to be appropriate to normal residential uses and to maintenance of the Property (including, but not limited to, hazardous substances in consumer products).

Borrower shall promptly give Lender written notice of (a) any investigation, claim, demand, lawsuit or other action by any governmental or regulatory agency or private party involving the Property and any Hazardous Substance or Environmental Law of which Borrower has actual knowledge, (b) any Environmental Condition, including but not limited to, any spilling, leaking, discharge, release or threat of release of any Hazardous Substance, and (c) any condition caused by the presence, use or release of a Hazardous Substance which adversely affects the value of the Property. If Borrower learns, or is notified by any governmental or regulatory authority, or any private party,

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 14 of 18

that any removal or other remediation of any Hazardous Substance affecting the Property is necessary, Borrower shall promptly take all necessary remedial actions in accordance with Environmental Law. Nothing herein shall create any obligation on Lender for an Environmental Cleanup.

NON-UNIFORM COVENANTS. Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

22. Acceleration; Remedies. Lender shall give notice to Borrower prior to acceleration following Borrower's breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument (but not prior to acceleration under Section 18 unless Applicable Law provides otherwise). The notice shall specify: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given to Borrower, by which the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration of the sums secured by this Security Instrument and sale of the Property. The notice shall further inform Borrower of the right to reinstate after acceleration and the right to bring a court action to assert the non-existence of a default or any other defense of Borrower to acceleration and sale. If the default is not cured on or before the date specified in the notice, Lender at its option, and without further demand, may invoke the power of sale, including the right to accelerate full payment of the Note, and any other remedies permitted by Applicable Law. Lender shall be entitled to collect all expenses incurred in pursuing the remedies provided in this Section 22, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of title evidence.

If Lender invokes the power of sale, Lender shall execute or cause Trustee to execute written notice of the occurrence of an event of default and of Lender's election to cause the Property to be sold, and shall cause such notice to be recorded in each county in which any part of the Property is located. Lender shall mail copies of the notice as prescribed by Applicable Law to Borrower and to the persons prescribed by Applicable Law. Trustee shall give public notice of sale to the persons and in the manner prescribed by Applicable Law. After the time required by Applicable Law, Trustee, without demand on Borrower, shall sell the Property at public auction to the highest bidder at the time and place and under the terms designated in the notice of sale in one or more parcels and in any order Trustee determines. Trustee may postpone sale of all or any parcel of the Property by public announcement at the time and place of any previously scheduled sale. Lender or its designee may purchase the Property at any sale.

Trustee shall deliver to the purchaser Trustee's deed conveying the Property without any covenant or warranty, expressed or implied. The recitals in the Trustee's deed shall be prima facie evidence of the truth of the statements made therein. Trustee shall apply the proceeds of the sale in the following order: (a) to all expenses of the sale, including, but not limited to, reasonable Trustee's and attorneys' fees; (b) to all sums secured by this Security Instrument; and (c) any excess to the person or persons legally entitled to it.

23. Reconveyance. Upon payment of all sums secured by this Security Instrument, Lender shall request Trustee to reconvey the Property and shall surrender this Security Instrument and all notes evidencing debt secured by this Security Instrument to Trustee. Trustee shall reconvey the Property without warranty to the person or persons legally entitled to it. Such person or persons shall pay any recordation costs. Lender may charge such person or persons a fee for reconveying the

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS

Form 3029 1/01

Page 15 of 18

Property, but only if the fee is paid to a third party (such as the Trustee) for services rendered and the charging of the fee is permitted under Applicable Law.

- 24. Substitute Trustee. Lender at its option, may from time to time remove Trustee and appoint a successor trustee to any Trustee appointed hereunder. Without conveyance of the Property, the successor trustee shall succeed to all the title, power and duties conferred upon Trustee herein and by Applicable Law.
- 25. Assumption Fee. If there is an assumption of this loan, Lender may charge an assumption fee of U.S. \$ 4,420.00.

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mac/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS
Form 3029 1/01

Page 16 of 18

Witnesses:	A	
		(Seal) Tower

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Security Instrument and in any Rider executed by Borrower and recorded with it.

NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

COUNTY OF		
This instrument was acknowledged before me on _CRISTELA PEREZ	10-20-05	by

My Commission Expires: 05.31.09



NEVADA-Single Family-Fannie Mae/Freddie Mac UNIFORM INSTRUMENT WITH MERS Form 3029 1/01

Page 18 of 18

FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER

(LIBOR One-Year Index (As Published In The Wall Street Journal)- Rate Caps)

THIS FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER is made this 19th day of October. 2005, and is incorporated into and shall be deemed to amend and supplement the Mortgage, Deed of Trust, or Security Deed (the "Security Instrument") of the same date given by the undersigned ("Borrower") to secure Borrower's Fixed/Adjustable Rate Note (the "Note") to CMG MORTGAGE, INC. ("Lender") of the same date and covering the property described in the Security Instrument and located at:

THE NOTE PROVIDES FOR A CHANGE IN BORROWER'S FIXED INTEREST RATE TO AN ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE. THE NOTE LIMITS THE AMOUNT BORROWER'S ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE CAN CHANGE AT ANY ONE TIME AND THE MAXIMUM RATE BORROWER MUST PAY.

ADDITIONAL COVENANTS. In addition to the covenants and agreements made in the Security Instrument, Borrower and Lender further covenant and agree as follows:

A, ADJUSTABLE RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES

The Note provides for an initial fixed interest rate of **5.000**%. The Note also provides for a change in the initial fixed rate to an adjustable interest rate, as follows:

4. ADJUSTABLE INTEREST RATE AND MONTHLY PAYMENT CHANGES (A) Change Dates

The initial fixed interest rate I will pay will change to an adjustable interest rate on the **FIRST** day of **November**, **2010**, and the adjustable interest rate I will pay may change on that day every 12th month thereafter. The date on which my initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate, and each date on which my adjustable interest rate could change, is called a "Change Date."

(B) The Index

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER - WSJ One-Year LIBOR - Single Family - Famile Mac Uniform Instrument

Form 3187 6/01

(Page 1 of 4)

usc3187

32501493

Beginning with the first Change Date, my adjustable interest rate will be based on an Index. The "Index" is the average of interbank offered rates for one-year U.S. dollar-denominated deposits in the London market ("LIBOR"), as published in *The Wall Street Journal*. The most recent Index figure available as of the date 45 days before each Change Date is called the "Current Index." If the Index is no longer available, the Note Holder will choose a new index that is based upon comparable information. The Note Holder will give me notice of this choice.

(C) Calculation of Changes Before each Change Date, the Note Holder will calculate my new interest rate by adding Two and One-Fourth percentage points (2.250%) to the Current Index. The Note Holder will then round the result of this addition to the nearest one-eighth of one percentage point (0.125%). Subject to the limits stated in Section 4(D) below, this rounded amount will be my new interest rate until the next Change Date. The Note Holder will then determine the amount of the monthly payment that would be sufficient to repay the unpaid principal that I am expected to owe at the Change Date in full on the Maturity Date at my new interest rate in substantially equal payments. The result of this calculation will be the new amount of my monthly payment.

(D) Limits on Interest Rate Changes

The interest rate I am required to pay at the first Change Date will not be greater than 10.000% or less than 2.250%. Thereafter, my adjustable interest rate will never be increased or decreased on any single Change Date by more than two percentage points from the rate of interest I have been paying for the preceding I2 months. My interest rate will never be greater than 10.000%.

(E) Effective Date of Changes

My new interest rate will become effective on each Change Date. I will pay the amount of my new monthly payment beginning on the first monthly payment date after the Change Date until the amount of my monthly payment changes again.

(F) Notice of Changes The Note Holder will deliver or mail to me a notice of any changes in my initial fixed interest rate to an adjustable interest rate and of any changes in my adjustable interest rate before the effective date of any change. The notice will include the amount of my monthly payment, any information required by law to be given to me and also the title and telephone number of a person who will answer any question I may have regarding the notice.

B. TRANSFER OF THE PROPERTY OR A BENEFICIAL INTEREST IN BORROWER

1. Until Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER - WSJ One-Year LIBOR - Single Family - Famile Mac Uniform Instrument

Form 3187 6/01

(Page 2 of 4)

bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law.

If Lender exercises this option, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

2. When Borrower's initial fixed interest rate changes to an adjustable interest rate under the terms stated in Section A above, Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument described in Section B1 above shall then cease to be in effect, and the provisions of Uniform Covenant 18 of the Security Instrument shall be amended to read as follows:

Transfer of the Property or a Beneficial Interest in Borrower. As used in this Section 18, "Interest in the Property" means any legal or beneficial interest in the Property, including, but not limited to, those beneficial interests transferred in a bond for deed, contract for deed, installment sales contract or escrow agreement, the intent of which is the transfer of title by Borrower at a future date to a purchaser.

If all or any part of the Property or any Interest in the Property is sold or transferred (or if Borrower is not a natural person and a beneficial interest in Borrower is sold or transferred) without Lender's prior written consent, Lender may require immediate payment in full of all sums secured by this Security Instrument. However, this option shall not be exercised by Lender if such exercise is prohibited by Applicable Law, Lender also shall not exercise this option if: (a) Borrower causes to be submitted to Lender information required by Lender to evaluate the intended transferee as if a new loan were being made to the transferee; and (b) Lender reasonably determines that Lender's security will not be impaired by the loan assumption and that the risk of a breach of any covenant or agreement in this Security Instrument is acceptable to Lender.

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER - WSJ One-Year LIBOR - Single Family - Fannie Mae Uniform Instrument

Form 3187 6/01

(Page 3 of 4)

Initials: CV.

To the extent permitted by Applicable Law, Lender may charge a reasonable fee as a condition to Lender's consent to the loan assumption. Lender also may require the transferee to sign an assumption agreement that is acceptable to Lender and that obligates the transferee to keep all the promises and agreements made in the Note and in this Security Instrument. Borrower will continue to be obligated under the Note and this Security Instrument unless Lender releases Borrower in writing.

If Lender exercises the option to require immediate payment in full, Lender shall give Borrower notice of acceleration. The notice shall provide a period of not less than 30 days from the date the notice is given in accordance with Section 15 within which Borrower must pay all sums secured by this Security Instrument. If Borrower fails to pay these sums prior to the expiration of this period, Lender may invoke any remedies permitted by this Security Instrument without further notice or demand on Borrower.

BY SIGNING BELOW, Borrower accepts and agrees to the terms and covenants contained in this Fixed/Adjustable Rate Rider.

(Seal)	(Seal)
-Borrowe	CRISTELA PEREZ -Borrower
(Seal	(Seal)
(Seal_	-Borrower

MULTISTATE FIXED/ADJUSTABLE RATE RIDER - WSJ One-Year LIBOR - Single Family Famic Mac Uniform Instrument Form 3187 6/01

(Page 4 of 4)

EXHIBIT A-3

Inst #: 201112200001246

Fees: \$17.00 N/C Fee: \$0.00

12/20/2011 09:12:32 AM Receipt #: 1012628

Requestor:

ALESSI & KOENIG LLC (JUNES

Recorded By: MJM Pgs: 1

DEBBIE CONWAY

CLARK COUNTY RECORDER

When recorded return to:

ALESSI & KOENIG, LLC 9500 W. Flamingo Rd., Suite 205 Las Vegas, Nevada 89147 Phone: (702) 222-4033

A.P.N. 125-15-811-013

Trustee Sale # WR-7119-A

NOTICE OF DELINQUENT ASSESSMENT (LIEN)

In accordance with Nevada Revised Statutes and the Association's Declaration of Covenants, Conditions and Restrictions (CC&Rs) of the official records of **Clark** County, Nevada, **Wyeth Ranch Community Association** has a lien on the following legally described property.

The property against which the lien is imposed is commonly referred to as 7119 Wolf Rivers Ave, Las Vegas, NV 89131 and more particularly legally described as: Lot 13 Block A Book 112 Page 8 in the County of Clark.

The owner(s) of record as reflected on the public record as of today's date is (are): Cristela Perez

The mailing address(es) is: P.O. Box 750158, Las Vegas, NV 89136

The total amount due through today's date is: \$9,296.56. Of this total amount \$9,559.06 represent Collection and/or Attorney fees, assessments, interest, late fees and service charges. \$450.00 represent collection costs. Note: Additional monies shall accrue under this claim at the rate of the claimant's regular monthly or special assessments, plus permissible late charges, costs of collection and interest, accruing subsequent to the date of this notice.

Date: November 29, 2011

By:

Ryan Kerbow, Esq. of Alessi & Koenig, LLC on behalf of Wyeth Ranch Community

Association

State of Nevada County of Clark

SUBSCRIBED and SWORN before me November 29, 2011

(Seal)

GINA GAP.CIA
Notary Public State of Nevada
No. 11-4750-1
My Appt. Exp. March 30, 2015

(Signature)