## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BRYAN FERGASON,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA
Respondent.

APPELLANT'S

MATTHEW D. CARLING
51 East 400 North, Bldg. #1
Cedar City, Utah 84720
(702) 419-7330 (Office)
Attorney for Appellant

Appellant,

Supreme Court No.: 74469

District Court Case No.: 06C228752-3

Electronically Filed

Jun 06 2018 03:40 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

## APPELLANT'S APPENDIX - VOLUME XX - PAGES 4750-4805

STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Counsel for Respondent

ADAM PAUL LAXALT
Attorney General
100 North Carson Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
Counsel for Respondent

## INDEX Fergason, Bryan

Document	Page No.
Affidavit of Bryan Fergason filed on 11/02/2015	4675-4676
Affidavit of Daimon Monroe filed on 12/04/2015	4590-4691
Agreement to Testify filed on 3/19/2007	0956-0970
Amended Criminal Jury List filed on 5/20/2008	1388
Amended District Court Jury List filed on 5/29/2008	1511
Amended Indictment filed on 12/15/2006	0018-0029
Amended Indictment filed on 5/01/2008	1111-1122
Amended Judgment of Conviction filed on 4/6/2009	4319-4323
Amended Notice of Motion on Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial filed on 9/18/2007	1017
Amended Order Appointing Counsel and Allowing Interim Billing filed on 10/19/2007	1023
Application and Order for Transcripts filed on 5/16/2016	4717
Bench Memorandum filed on 5/28/2008	1470-1475
Bench Warrant Issued filed on 5/12/2008	1366
Bench Warrant Return filed on 11/25/2008	1627
Bond filed on 1/22/2007	0613-0615
Bond filed on 1/8/2007	0609-0612
Case Appeal Statement filed on 12/04/2008	1663-1665
Case Appeal Statement filed on 12/22/2011	4562-4563
Case Appeal Statement filed on 5/18/2009	4326-4328
Case Appeal Statement filed on 9/2/2016	4801-4803
Case Appeal Statement filed on 9/23/2011	4492-4493
Certificate of Mailing filed on 2/5/2007	0678
Certificate of Service by Facsimile filed on 3/30/2009	4312
Certificate of Service filed on 5/7/2008	1246
Clerk's Certificate Judgment Affirmed filed on 6/4/2010	4366-4370
Defendant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 1/31/2007	0617-0622
Defendant's Motion for Production of Discovery filed on 5/7/2008	1193-1200
Defendant's Motion in Limine Re: ROP Detectives, Surveillance and	+
Detection of ROP Detectives and Flyer filed on 5/3/2008	1139-1142
Defendant's Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of Recorded Telephone	
Calls filed on 5/7/2008	1204-1210
Defendant's Motion in Limine to Exclude/Preclude Evidence Attributed	
o Co-Defendants from being Admitted During Trial against the	
Defendant, Bryan Fergason filed on 5/7/2008	, 1201-1203
Defendant's Motion to Join Co-Defendant Bryan Fergason's Motions	
iled on 5/8/2008	1281-1282
Defendant's Motion to Join Co-Defendant Daimon Monroe's Motions	

- 2 -

filed on 5/8/2008	1283-1284
Defendant's Motion to Quash Bench Warrant and for O.R. Release or in the Alternative Bail Reduction filed on 12/18/2006  Defendant's Motion to Sever on Order Shortening Time filed on 4/25/2008	
Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea filed on 3/17/2009	4280-4295
Defendant's Witness List filed on 5/21/2008	1433
District Court Jury List filed on 5/12/2008	1367
District Court Jury List filed on 5/21/2008	1435
Ex Parte Application for Authorization of Fees in Excess of the Statutory	1435
Amount Authorized by NRS 7.125 and 7.145 filed on 6/6/2007	0996-1000
Ex Parte Application for Excessive Investigator filed on 10/4/2007	1019-1021
Ex Parte Motion for Employment and Payment of Investigator filed on 2/8/2007	0681-0687
Ex Parte Motion to Allow Fees in Excess of Statutory Maximum for	0081-0087
Attorney on Court Appointed Case, First Interim Billing filed on 11/06/2007	1029-1031
Ex Parte Motion to Appoint Investigator filed on 2/28/2007	0867-0868
Ex Parte Order Allowing Fees in Excess of Statutory Maximum for	0007-0808
Attorney on Court Appointed Case, First Interim Billing filed on 11/06/2007	1024
Ex Parte Order Allowing Fees in Excess of Statutory Maximum for	1
Attorney on Court Appointed Case, First Interim Billing filed on 6/5/2008	1525
Ex Parte Order Appointing Investigator filed on 2/28/2007	0834-0835
Fifth Amended Indictment filed on 11/10/2008	1612-1614
Findings of Fact. Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 8/16/2016	4784-4791
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order filed on 11/09/2011	4511-4535
Fourth Amended Indictment filed on 5/21/2008	1448-1459
Guilty Plea Memorandum/Agreement filed on 11/10/2008	1615-1623
Guilty Plea Memorandum/Agreement filed on 3/6/2007	0945-0955
ndictment filed on 12/13/2006	0001-0012
ndictment Warrant filed on 12/13/2006	0015
ndietment Warrant filed on 12/13/2006	0016
ndictment Warrant filed on 12/13/2006	0017
ndictment Warrant Return filed on 12/19/2006	0036-0037
ndictment Warrant Return filed on 12/19/2006	0038-0039
nstructions to the Jury filed on 5/20/2008	1389-1425
nstructions to the Jury filed on 5/29/2008	1476-1510
oinder to Motions filed on 5/7/2008	1225-1227
udgment of Conviction filed on 1/5/2009	1670-1671
udgment of Conviction filed on 10/3/2008	1585-1587
	1000-1007

	See the second s	
1	Judgment of Conviction filed on 11/4/2008	1604-1609
2	Motion for Return of Seized Property Pursuant to NRS 179.1173. 179.1164 (1a), and 179.1175 filed on 9/29/2011	4494-4503
3	Motion for a 90 day Continuance to Reply to State's Answer to Petition	4494-4503
9.80	for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 8/2/2011	4445-4449
4	Motion for Return of Seized Property filed on 2/13/2009	4154-4164
5	Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of Evidence that the Defendant Committed Burglary in the Instant Case filed on 5/7/2008	1239-1243
6	Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of Expert Testimony, or Evidence of	1
7	Value for the Property at Issue filed on 5/7/2008	1235-1238
8	Motion in Limine to Bar the Admission of Recorded Telephone Calls filed on 5/8/2008	1274-1280
	Motion in Limine to Exclude any Testimony Regarding Defendant	В
9	Holmes' Prior Arrests and/or Criminal History as well as any	
10	Circumstances Surrounding those Events filed on 5/8/2008	1269-1273
	Motion to Amend Indictment filed on 4/29/2008	1094-1100
1	Motion to Continue Trial filed on 9/13/2007	1011-1015
2	Motion to Dismiss Conspiracy to Commit Burglary and/or Possession of Stolen Property Charges filed on 5/8/2008	1249-1268
3	Motion to Dismiss Possession of Stolen Property Charges filed on 5/6/2008	1184-1192
4	Motion to Disqualify District Attorney's Office and Sandra Digiacomo as	
_	prosecutor due to Conflict of Interest filed on 5/3/2008	1133-1138
5	Motion to Quash Bench Warrant and Set Bail filed on 7/11/2008	1530-1532
6	Motion to Sever filed on 4/24/2008	1052-1063
	Motion to Strike Language in Count 1 and Count 13, of Amended	
7	Indictment filed on 5/7/2008	1228-1234
8	Motion to Suppress filed on 5/6/2008	1160-1183
_	Motion to Suppress filed on 5/7/2008	1211-1224
9	Motion to Suppress filed on 5/8/2008	1283-1315
,	Motion to Suppress Telephone Recordings filed on 5/3/2008	1126-1132
0	Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record for Daimon Monroe filed on 5/14/2007	0005 0001
ı	Motion to Withdraw as Counsel filed on6/3/2008	0985-0991
2	Nevada Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/ Judgment Affirmed filed on	1520-1523
	12/21/2010	4371-4383
3	Nevada Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/ Judgment Dismissed filed on 6/26/2012	4573-4578
5	Nevada Supreme Court Clerks Certificate/Judgment Remanded filed on 5/15/2012	4597-4572
	Notice and Order to Transfer Bail filed on 12/13/2006	0013-0014
5	Notice of Appeal filed on 11/19/2008	1625-1626
7	Notice of Appeal filed on 5/18/2009	4329-4330
t:	Notice of Appeal filed on 9/2/2016	4804-4805
8	Notice of Appeal filed on12/04/2008	1661-1662

Notice of Department Reassignment filed on 1/23/2008	1034
Notice of Entry filed on 8/18/2016	4792-4800
Notice of Entry of Decision and Order filed on 10/20/2011	4506-4508
Notice of Entry of Decision and Order filed on 11/16/2011	4536-4561
Notice of Entry of Order filed on 1/5/2007	0042-0045
Notice of Entry of Order filed on 6/17/2008	1527-1529
Notice of Expert Witnesses filed on 4/23/2008	1035-1051
Notice of Habitual Criminality filed on 4/30/2008	1001-1104
Notice of Hearing filed on 4/18/2012	4564-4566
Notice of Intent to Forfeit filed on 5/15/2008	1380-1381
Notice of Intent to Forfeit filed on 5/15/2008	1382-1383
Notice of Motion and Motion for Release of Evidence to Victim's and/or	1502 1505
Auction by LVMPD filed on 12/04/2008	1628-1660
Notice of Motion and Motion for Release of Evidence to Victims, and/or	1.020.1000
Auction by LVMPD and filed on 8/4/2008	1533-1539
Notice of Motion and Motion for Release of Property to Victim Richard	1005-1557
Fosterson filed on 8/19/2008	1542-1562
Notice of Motion filed on 8/2/2011	4444
Notice of Receipt of Bond Transfer filed on 1/24/2007	0616
Notice of Witnesses filed on 5/5/2008	1151-1159
Notice of Witnesses filed on 5/8/2008	1324-1326
Opposition to Defendant Fergason's Motion in Limine to Bar the	1324-1320
Admission of Recorded Telephone Calls filed on 5/9/2008	1327-1329
Opposition to Defendant Fergason's Motion to Strike Language in Count	1327-1329
1 and Count 13 of Amended Indictment filed on 5/9/2008	1346-1350
Opposition to Defendant Fergason's Motion to Suppress	1330-1338
Opposition to Defendant Ferguson's Motion to Sever filed on 4/28/2008	1090-1093
Opposition to Defendant Holmes Motion to Dismiss Conspiracy to	1030-1033
Commit Burglary and/or Stolen Property Charges filed on 5/9/2008	1359-1361
Opposition to Defendant Holmes Motion to Sever filed on 4/28/2008	1086-1089
Opposition to Defendant Holmes' Motion in Limine to Bar the Admission	1000-1069
of Recorded Telephone Calls filed on 5/9/2008	1343-1345
Opposition to Defendant Monroe's Motion to Suppress Telephone	1343-1343
Recordings filed on 5/9/2008	1339-1342
Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine RE: ROP Detectives filed on	1337-1342
5/9/2008	1364-1365
Opposition to Defendant's Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of	1304-1303
Evidence filed on 5/9/2008	1362-1363
Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea filed on	1302-1303
3/27/2009	4298-4311
Opposition to Motion in Limine to Exclude Precluded Evidence	
Attributed to Co-Defendant's From being Admitted During Trial against	
he Defendant, Bryan Fergason filed on 5/8/2008	1316-1318
Order Amending Indictment filed on 5/1/2008	1123-1124

/ Wh ===================================	
Order Appointing Counsel filed on 2/6/2007	0679
Order Appointing Council States 2/7/2007	0680
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea filed on	0000
7/17/2009	4324-4325
Order Denying Motion for Return of Seized Property filed on 4/17/2009	4317-4318
Order filed on 10/18/2011	4504-4505
Order filed on 11/03/2011	4509-4510
Order filed on 2/1/2007	0677
Order filed on 2/14/2007	0688-0689
Order filed on 7/3/2007	1001-1006
Order filed on 8/13/2008	1540-1541
Order filed on 8/23/2008	1565-1566
Order for Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 6/16/2011	4443
Order for production of Inmate - Bryan Fergason, aka Bryan Michael	1113
III Fernache RAC #06001 Blad au 4/6/2016	4715-4716
Order for Production of Inmate Bryan Fergason filed on 8/19/2008	1563-1564
Order for Production of Inmates filed on 5/21/2007	0993-0995
Order of Appointment filed on 11/14/2008	1624
Order of Appointment filed on7/11/2012	4579
Order of Hourly Appointment filed on 1/31/2007	0623
Order Setting Briefing Schedule and Hearing Date filed on 11/17/2015	4677-4689
Order Setting hearing Date filed on 9/1/2007	1009-1010
Order to Appoint Investigator and Investigative filed on 10/16/2007	1022
Order to Withdraw filed on 6/16/2008	1526
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and Motion to Dismiss filed on	1520
2/1/2007	0650-0676
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 2/1/2007	0624-0649
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 2/28/2007	0836-0866
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 5/7/2007	0974-0982
Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 6/9/2011	4384-4442
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed on 5/19/2008	1384-1387
Proposed Jury Instructions Not Used at Trial filed on5/28/2008	1460-1469
Receipt of Copy filed on 11/06/2007	1028
Receipt of Copy filed on 12/18/2006	0035
Receipt of Copy filed on 2/15/2007	0833
Receipt of Copy filed on 3/17/2009	4296
Receipt of Copy filed on 3/27/2009	4297
Receipt of Copy filed on 4/25/2008	1084
Receipt of Copy filed on 4/25/2008	1085
Receipt of Copy filed on 5/14/2007	0992
Receipt of Copy filed on 5/21/2008	1434
Receipt of Copy filed on 5/7/2008	1244 ———
Receipt of Copy filed on 5/7/2008	1245
Receipt of Copy filed on 5/7/2008	1247

Receipt of Copy filed on 5/7/2008	1248
Receipt of Copy filed on 5/9/2007	0983
Receipt of Copy filed on 5/9/2007	0984
Receipt of Copy filed on 6/3/2008	1524
Receipt of Copy filed on 8/8/2007	1007
Receipt of Copy filed on 8/8/2007	1008
Receipt of Copy filed on 9/13/2007	1016
Receipt of Copy filed on 9/19/2007	1018
Receipt of Copy filed on 9/30/2008	1567
Receipt of Exhibits filed on 4/26/2007	0973
Reply to State's Opposition to Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea filed on 3/30/2009	4313-4316
Reply to State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ	
of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 2/19/2016	4703-4714
Request for Rough Draft Transcript filed on 12/04/2008	1666-1668
Request for Rough Draft Transcripts filed on 9/29/2009	4348-4350
Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 2/14/2007	0690-0759
Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 2/14/2007	0760-0832
Return to Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 3/6/2007	0872-0944
Second Amended Indictment filed on 3/6/2007	0869-0871
Second Amended Indictment filed on 5/13/2008	1368-1379
Second Order to Appoint Investigator and Investigative Fees filed on	
5/2/2008	1125
Sentencing Memorandum filed on 9/30/2008	1568-1584
State's Notice of Motion and Motion in Limine filed on 11/3/2008	1592-1596
State's Notice of Motion and Motion to Admit Evidence of Other Crimes.	35=
Wrongs or Bad Acts filed on 11/3/2008	1588-1591
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for a 90 day Continuance to	16
Reply to the State's Answer to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas	
Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 8/9/2011	4488-4491
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Return of Seized Property	03:
filed on 2/27/2009	4174-4279
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Possession of Stolen	
Property Charges filed on 5/8/2008	1319-1323
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Disqualify the District	
Attorney's Office and Sandra Digiacomo as Prosecutor due to Conflict of	
Interest filed on 4/30/2008	1105-1110
State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Suppress Evidence Obtained	2/60:52 4/5
Pursuant to Search Warrants filed on 5/9/2008	1351-1358
State's Response to Defendant's Supplement to Post-Conviction Petition	MAKER ENGLES
for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 8/9/2011	4450-4487
State's Response to Defendant's Supplemental Petition for Writ of	1200001 100-000
Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on1/15/2016	4692-4702
Stipulation and Order Extending Time filed on 4/17/2007	0971-0972
Stipulation and Order filed on 12/28/2006	0040-0041

Supplement to Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 11/06/2007	1025-1027
Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed on 11/07/2008	1610-1611
Supplemental Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) filed on 11/2/2015	4580-4674
Third Amended Indictment filed on 5/21/2008	1436-1447
Trial Order filed on 12/10/2007	1032-1033
Verdict filed on 5/29/2008	1512-1519
Verdict filed on 5/20/2008	1426-1432

## TRANSCRIPTS

Document	Page No.
Transcript - All Pending Motions, Arraignment, Motion to Withdraw	N 320 8
filed on 2/5/2009	4131-4153
Transcript - Calendar Call filed on 2/5/2009	4077-4100
Transcript - Defendant's Motion to Quash Bench Warrant and Set Bail filed on 7/21/2009	4331-4338
Transcript - Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea filed on 10/2/2009	4355-4358
Transcript - Entry of Plea filed on 10/5/2009	4359-4365
Transcript - Evidentiary Hearing May 6, 2016 filed on 6/28/2016	4718-4783
Transcript - Grand Jury Indictment filed on 1/20/2009	4068-4076
Transcript - Grand Jury Volume 1 filed on 1/8/2007	0046-0226
Transcript - Grand Jury Volume 2 filed on 1/8/2007	0227-0346
Transcript - Grand Jury Volume 3 filed on 1/8/2007	0377-0590
Transcript - Grand Jury Volume 4 filed on 1/8/2007	0591-0608
Transcript - Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed on 2/5/2009	4101-4130
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 1/20/2009	3633-3649
Transcript - Sentencing filed on 2/26/2009	4165-4173
Transcript – State's Motion for Release of Evidence to Victims and/or Auction by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department filed on 1/20/2009	2692-2705
Transcript - State's Motion for Release of Property filed on 1/20/2009	2706-2711
Transcript – State's Motion to Amend Indictment Defendant Holmes' Motion to Sever Defendant Fergason's Motion to Sever filed on 1/20/2009	2290-2298
Transcript - State's Motion to Amend Indictment, Defendant Holmes' Motion to Sever, Defendant Fergason's Motion to Sever and Calendar Call filed on 9/29/2009	4339-4347
Transcript - Status Check filed on 1/20/2009	2981-2983
Transcript - Status Check: Compliance, Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea filed on 10/2/2009	4351-4354

Franscript - Status Check; Verify Trial Dates filed on 1/20/2009	2285-2289
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 1 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	2984-3238
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 1 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	3650-3714
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 2 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	0941-2284
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 2 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	1672-1940
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 3 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	2380-2602
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 3 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	3793-4067
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 4 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	3623-3632
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 4 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	3715-3792
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 5 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	2603-2691
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 5 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	3239-3622
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 6 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	2796-2980
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 6 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	2299-2379
Transcript - Trial by Jury Day 7 Volume 1 filed on 1/20/2009	2712-2795

] 

```
came up dealing with that at a preliminary hearing.
    That's when he was in the Clark County Detention Center.
    There was a lot of times before his first appearance in
    district court where I was able to do talk to him to
    person at the jail, but I do remember there was -- :
    want to say there was a point in time where he went up
    to prison, but we get him back down here, because !
 8
    remember I get him clothes to be booked back into the
   Clark County Detention Center. It's been so long I
10
   can't give you an amount of time, but I know there were
   definitely multiple times that I was past talking to
11
12 him.
13
             In discussing any pretrial offers, did you
   ever tell Mr. Fergason that he wouldn't get a life
15
   sentence if convicted?
16
        Α
             No.
17
             During pretrial discussions did you ever talk
18
   about the ranges of punishment that were possible if
. 19
   convicted?
20
        A
             I want to say yes because he had -- he had a
21
   protty good exposure. He -- at that time, Mr. Diglacomo
   was the habitual repeat offender deputy. I think she
22
23
   was team chief at that point, our swe was at the ROPE
24
   team. And whenever the ROPE team got the file, het to
25
   cast anything bad upon Judge Kephart, but if you saw
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 [caharmendariz.gr@gmail.com

```
Judge Kephart had the file, usually life was always
   playing around there. Ms. DiGiacomo was a little bit
   more willing to discuss smalls but she -- the fact that
    she had her hands on the case suggests that he had
    exposure to habitual, so I'm sure -- I gor't think !
   would have talked to him about life without, but I'm
   sure I would have talked to him about the large habitual
 8
   exposure that he did face.
             After conviction and prior to sentending, did
 9
         0
   you ever meet with Mr. Fergason?
11.
             I would like to say that I did, but I cannot
   be for sure because it was so many years ago, and 3
   honestly cannot remember whether they were pulling him
13
   back up and down to NDOC at that particular time on the
   case that Judge Wal! had revoked him on, and then he had
15
   another case that Frank Kocks represented him on that he
36
   had already been adjudicated on, so I know sometimes
17
   that would have played a dynamic, so I cannot say for
ואַר
   sure or not the level of discussion prior to sentencing
19
201
   I had with him face to face.
21
             Do you recall any communication either by
   phone or post regarding the potential ranges of
22
23
   punishment at sentencing?
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (792) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com

probably knowing be, is if I red a small on the daple

I think the discussion that I had to him,

```
with a chance I might be able to get it run together
with the other case that Mr. Kocka had represented him
on. I'm sure there was probably a discussion that if he
didn't take that deal he was probably facing life in
prison.
```

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

F 625/803 RS

Did you have an opportunity to do over the 0 presentence investigation report with Mr. Pergason order to sentencing?

A Usually we get that so close to the sentencing date it's not a situation at that time that you could sit down and go over it with him. I do know that he had 12 the other presentence investigation report that was on Mr. Kocka's case that I think he probably had a copy of. So I don't know. I think I may have provided him a copy prior to sentencina, but like I sala, sametimes we were handed those a couple days before the hearing date.

0 Do you recall meeting with Mr. Fergason the day of sentencing?

A Well, yeah. I women have to kee to him in court. He would have been present it court for the sentencing, and I would have probably talked to him then to explain what was going on, and at that point if I had a copy of the presentence itymen and in record presented 24 for him, it was my standard to give them a copy of it because it assists when they have to go back up if they

> Leah Armendariz, RPR, CFF, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 Idaharmendarik.cr@gna i...um

```
have to go through a classification process.
  2
             And do you recall it Mr. Fergason raised any
    concerns about the presentence investigation report the
    day of sentencing?
 5
         A
              No, I do not.
              Do you recall discussing with Mr. Fergason any
 6
         0
    issues regarding miligation?
 8
              No, Mr. Fergason's main concern, which was a
   driving, I want to say, defense along in the case was
10
   the Fourth Amendment stop issue, which had been a big
   issue that had been raised quite a bit through there.
11
12
   know there was a lot of discussion. He was concerned
   about the forfeiture of items that worder shows as
13
   evidence, but there really wasn't any discussion accurt
14
   mitigation as opposed to I think he still was, I want to
15
16
   say, shellshocked as to how fast the jury came back with
   convictions even at that date of sontending.
18
             Do you recall having any discussion with
19
   Mr. Fergason as to why both co-defendants were being
20
   sentenced on the same day?
21
        A
             No.
22
        0
             Was that odd to your
23
        A
             No.
24
             I want to draw your attention to the first day
        0
   of trial after jury selection. Opening statements.
                                                         Mici
```

Leah Armendar'z, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 Teaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com Participant to Strategic Lay 1911 6 3 5

Michigan Research Company and Company

```
the State show pictures, a picture of the defendant in
    an opening statoment on the screen?
  2
  3
              That I cannot recall. But I would say if the
    State did show a picture, it would not be unusual in how
    the State probably on this case would have done an
    opening statement.
 7
         0
              Have you had an opportunity to read
   Mr. Fergason's supplemental poultion in this matter?
 8
 9
              No, I have not.
        A
10
             And are you aware that he's alleging that the
   State showed a picture in opening with "quilty" across
11
?2 his face?
13
             Now that you're telling me that, I'm aware of
        A
   that allegation. I would say if they did do that in
14
   opening, I would have been jumping up or my feet
15
   objecting, and if the judge had overruled my objections,
16
   I would have demanded that a copy of the PowerPoint
17
   presentation for opening be included into the record. I
18
   believe that did happen during the clusing PowerFoint
19
20
   though.
2:
        0
             And do you recall if there were any sound
22
   effects in the opening presentation?
23
        Λ
             No, . dan't recall.
24
             MR. CARLING: I'll pass the witness.
25
             THE COURT: So shead with cross.
```

Leah Armondariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #92; (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@qmail.com

FEO 1250 H

```
1
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
  2
    BY MS. DIGIACOMO:
  3
              With regard to the opening statement, so if
    the State had put up a picture of the -- your client,
    Mr. Monroe, Robert Holmes, and on top of each one of
    those pictures had stamped "quility" in rea on each one
 6
    of those pictures with a sound effect of a stamp, would
    that have caught your attention?
 8
 9
         A
             Yes.
10
              All right. Would you have objected?
         0
11
         A.
             Oh, yes.
12
             Okay. And, like you said, you would have
         0
13
   wanted a copy of that to be made part of the record?
             Absolutely because the specing statement is
14
        A
   just the theory of the state's case. I would have
15
   claimed that that irreparably tainted the jury.
16
17
             All right. Do you recall during opening
78
   statements when the defendant's ploture may have been
   put up or something had happened during the opening
19
20
   statement that your client was upset and trying to get
   your attention about hey, they can't do that, that kind
21
221
   of thing?
23
        A
             No.
24
             Now, but you do recall it happening in the
25
   closing?
```

Leah Armendariz, RFR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 Leaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com

```
1
              Unfortunately, while I'm not in agreement with
  2
    that, I mean, it's closing. The testimony is the
    testimony, and honestly, you've done that before an
  3
    closing statements when we've had a trial together, so
  4
    yeah, that's kind of part and parcel.
  5
              Have you ever known me in the trials we've had
  6
    together do it in opening statement?
 8
         A
              No.
 9
              All right. Now, let's discuss the offers.
         0
    You had Mr. Fergason's case from the inception, correct?
10
11
         Α
              Yes.
12
              So that would have been somewhere around the
   end of September, beginning of October 2006 -- well, JeL
13.
   me stop. If the car stop case was end of
14
   September 2006, when would you have been retained:
15
16
              I got retained pretty quickly. He was in
        11
   custody because I remember pushing -- I remember pushing
17
   the JP to try to get him out of custody because we were
18 (
19
   trying to get him out of custody.
20
             Right. But at the time that he had the new
        0
21
   case, he also was penging revocation in front of Judge
22
   Wall?
23
        A
             Yes.
24
             All right. Do you recall discussing offers
   then that entailed closing the revocation case but the
25.
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #321 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@graii.com

```
defendant would have to testify against Mr. Monroe in
    the car stop case?
  2
  3
              That was usually a discussion that -- I know
         A
    that's what was requested very frequently. I know that
    I had discussed that with Mr. Fergason back way at the
 6 start before everything else kind of avolved, and he was
    completely unwilling to do that, but at that point, we
 8 thought it was literally only a car stop. We thought it
   was only a can stop where he was a passunger in a
   minivan that was priven by the ex-derendant, and we
10
11
   thought it was much, much less than what it turned out
12
   to be.
13
             Okay. What you're referring to in the
   beginning of November 2006 scarch warrants were executed
   on homes and storage units, et cetera, and that's where
15
16
   the case we're dealing with today came from?
17
        A
             Yes.
18
             All right. New, I want or take you so read
   spring of 2008 before going to trial on this case. No
19
   you recall did the car stop case go toward the beginning
20
   of the year, the one Mr. Kooka had?
21
22
        A
             Yes.
23
             All right. So the defendant had already been
        0
241
   convicted?
25
             Yes.
```

lean Armendariz, k.s. 188, 1887 (702) 406-8347 Leaharmendariz.or@gmail.com

```
1
              And actually before this case went to trial he
         Q.
    had already been sentenced, correct?
  2
  3
         A
              Yes.
  4
         0
              So if any requiliations were discussed course.
    trial, we already knew he was already in prison un
    another case?
         A
              Yes.
 8
              All right. And, in tact, during the time that,
         0
   we went to trial, did you have to get the defendant
   remanded here so he wasn't in the prison while we were
10
1
   qoing to trial?
12
              Yeah, I reeded to have the down here housed at
13
    the Clark County Detention Center.
24
        0
              And that would only last through conviction by
15
   the fury?
16
        Λ
              Yes.
17
             All right. And then he would have gone back
        0
18
   to prison to await his sentencing in your case?
19
             Depending on how the prison picks them up and
        A
   stuff like that, yes.
20
21
             Okay. So if he's in prison during that time,
   you wouldn't even have the opportunity to see him face
22
   to face unless you drave to where he was being housed in
23
24
   the prison?
25
        Λ
             Right. And I can't remember if he was done
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 Leaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com

33 CAS 52 TANK NO TO TANKS 4-3854

```
with classification or not at that particular time,
    which would have -- even if I had driver up there, I
  2
    wouldn't have been able to see him face to face.
 4
         0
              All right. Now, at the time, probably April
    of 2008, about a month before going to trial in this
   case, do you recall that there were three defendants
    that were still being prosecuted?
 8
        A
              Yes. Mr. Fergason, Mr. Monroe, and
   Mr. Holmes.
10
        0
              Ms. Trevarthen and the timal po-defendant had
    already pled and taken a deal, correct?
1]
12
         A
              Yes.
13
              All right. So at that time when all three
   defendants were still in the same case, was the State
14
   willing to make you as offer alone without dealing the
15
161
   other defendants?
17
              I think I was talking to you to see if ; could
        A
18
   get you an offer.
19
        O
             And I said no, correct?
20
        A
             Probably.
21
             Well, let's go to how you got these cases
        0
22
            Do you recall how you got these cases severed?
   severed.
23
        A
             Yes. And you were really pissed.
24
             Yes and how did you get the cases severed!
        Q
25
        A
             I represented at that time a Mr. Sutierrez.
```

Leab Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCS #921 (702) 406-8347 leabarmendariz.or@gmail.com

\$5.5

(f) 10 84 10 84 10

Faraver to be ...

```
And Mr. Gutierroz had an active very serious case in the
    system that I want to say was tracking before
  2
    Judge Mosley, I want to say. It's been a long time.
  3
    And Mr. Gutierrez, without my knowledge, wore a wire to
    participate in conversations with Daimon Monroe, and
 5
 6 based upon information that Mr. Gutierrez was later
    claiming about what he obtained in this wire, I had
   concerns that if Mr. Gutierrez was called, not
 3
   necessarily by the State but by Mr. Monroe's ocunsel, I
    would be standing up in the middle of trial and having
10
   to withdraw mid trial because there was no way I could
11
   effectively represent Mr. Fergason and maintain
12
13
   representing Mr. Gutierrez at the same time.
14
             And I always had the belief that if I got him
   away from Daimon Monroe and 1 got him separated from
16
   Daimon Monroe, I has a stronger charge at, one, getting
   an offer from you, the State, because now we were having
17
   to do the trial again, and two, if I got him away from
18
   Mr. Monroe, there was a chance I downed get him to take a
191
20
   deal.
21
             All right. So the case that you were talking
   about with Mr. Gutierrez, that's the murder-for-hire
22
231
   case, correct?
24
        A
             Correct.
25
             All right. So when you were bringing your
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 Jeaharmendariz.or∄gm=11.com

Percy steers to it is

```
motion because of this issue, I guess orally, there was
    a discussion with the judge and yourself and mysel;
  2
    regarding the situation. Do you remember that?
  3
  1
         A
              Yes.
  5
         Q
              All right. And that was in April of 20083
  6
         A
             Yes.
  7
              All right. And ever Lhough the State stated
         \circ
   it would not go into the murder for hire regardless,
 8
    Whatsoever, the Court still did sever the case.
10
         A
              Yes.
11
              And at that time, now that your defendant is
    taken out of the picture of the original trial, did the
12
13
    State make you an offer?
14
        A
              Yes.
15
        Q
              And what was that offer, if you recall?
16
        A
              I want to say -- I can't remember. I think
   the offer definitely was at least one burglary, and ?
17
. 8
   think one or two other felonies. Small Ambicaal. |
   want to say I think it was no opp to concurrent between
19
   the counts but right to argue as to concurrent or
20
   consecutive to the other case that to was a repay
21
   serving time on, and that diminished the exposure a lot
221
23
   and that I felt that he was in a much better position
   where I could probably get where it was a 5 to 12 and
24
25 maybe make the argument to run it somether with the
```

leah Armendariz, Rik, Ukk, CCk #82 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.or@gmail.com

```
other case because it was kind of part and parcel about
    what was going on.
  2
  3
              All right. Now, because you got the case
    severed, that was probably the bost deal you retriged
    were going to get for your diffent at that time.
  6
              Wasn't going to get anything better.
  7
              All right. Now, that was approximately --
 8
    well, strike that.
 9
              The trial for Mr. Forgason, that went
10
    immediately after the trial concluded for Mr. Monroe,
11
    correct?
22
             case was submitted to the fary. I want to say
        A
   in the afternoon, the day before, and Judge Bell wanted
13
    us to kick off with jury selection the next day.
15
              Correct. So is it fair to say you had for the
        0
   offer that was made after you got the case success of y
   severed, that offer was made and you had time to discuss
17
   it at least for weeks before you went to trial if it was
18
   made the end of April, beginning of May and your tria!
19
   didn't go until the end of May?
20
21
             No, I think what it was, was I think the offer
        Α
22
   came. There was discussion but not a lot firmed up,
   because I believe there was roughly, I want to say, 150
23
24
   to 200 withesses subgrenage plus over 1, but exalt his
   that you and your co-commsel were putting together to
25
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com

Does seed to be

e sake war

```
get ready to go to trial. ! think that there was some
    discussion that you were going to extend an offer, but
 2
 3
    it really wasn't finned up.
 4
              When I got the actual firmed up offer that I
   was able to start communicating with Mr. Fergason as to
 6 the real parameters, I want to say I think we had loss
    than a day for him to make the call or not. Because :
   was very hopeful that we weren't going to go immediately
 8
   back-to-back on things. Because I know you were really
   unavailable, realistically, during Daimon Monroe's trial
10
11
   because you were dealing with over 100 witnesses and
12 over 1,000 exhibits. So I know there wasn't a lot of
   discussion them as to finalization. But I think I
13
14
   started discussing with Mr. Formason that there was an
15
   offer coming. I think he also wanted to wait to see
16
   what happened with Mr. Monroe's trial.
17
             But is it fair to say before Mr. Monroe's
18
   trial began, you had an idea of what the general offer
19
   would have been?
20
        A
             Yes.
21
             All right. And you began discussing that with
22
   your dijent to see if he wanted to take it, but
   obviously there's no firm offer at that point to discuss
23
24
   with him?
25
        A
             Yes.
```

Leah Armendaniz, APR, PR4, CCR #927 (702) 406-8347 Teaharmendaniz.dr@gmail.com

Disease com to said t

```
1
              All right. Did he ever indicate to you that,
         0
    hey, if you give me a small habitual I will take 113
 2
 3
         A
              No.
 4
         Q.
              He never said that?
 5
         Α
             No.
 6
              No, has. Did -- once the jury came back with
         0
   Mr. Monroe, did it come back quickly or did it take a
   while?
 8
 9
             I want to say the jury went out late in the
        A
10
   afternoon. We started jury selection the next morning
   first thing, and I believe that 'uny met, and I menumber
11
12 that we had to stop proceedings for the jury, think
   Judge Bell actually had the jury go into another judge
13
14
   to take the verdict, and I think we had a quick break
   for the verdict to come in because that verdict came in
15
16
   literally, I believe, the first day of jury selection on
17
   ours.
18
             All right. But you had gotten an offer co-
19
   discuss with -- you said you had donton an offer and
   discussed with your client after the jury trial had
20
21
   concluded for Mr. Monroe that you didn't have a lot of
   time to discuss with him before we began?
22
23
             Well, I went and talked to -- because he was
   in the Clark County Detention Jenner, so I believe I
24
25
   went to talk to him to say, ckay, this is it, and you
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.or@gmasl.com

the commence of the commence o

```
don't have a lot of time, and we're starting
    immediately. And he didn't want to malk the deal
    because he thought that -- ne wanted to see what was
  3
    going to happen with Mr. Monroe's case. He wanted to
    see how long it was going to take for the jury.
  6
              He still felt -- we still had miscussions
    about the Fourth Amendment issue could be an
   appellateable issue and just talking about the variety
 8
   of dynamic of taking the deal, not taking the deal,
   going to trial, and that jury same back roal fast, and 1
10
   think you withdrew the offer right at the start of jury
11
12
   selection.
13
             All right. Start of jury selection, or could
         0
   it be possible it was before witnesses began?
14
15
        A
             Possibly.
16
             All right. But, still, as we were beginning
17
   the trial for Mr. Fergason, there was still the
   possibility of an offer, he has record time to think
18
19
   about it?
20
        A
             Yes, but he didn't have a lot of time because
21
   I think we had the jury scated by the end of the day.
             Okay. But fair to say he referred to mult be
22
        0
   found out what Mr. Monroe's jury did, or se didn't want
23
24
   to taken an offer before?
25
             He didn't even accept it after he found out
        Λ
```

Imah Assandasiz, kin, n-k, non pipzi (702) 406-8347 idaliasmeddariz izigmani dan Foresant to ken sin sin sin kin ana dan san

```
Mr. Monroe's jury convicted him.
  2
              All right. Now, any of the offers that were
  3
   discussed or possible negotiations that were discussed
    after the case was severed in Apr' 1 27000 cm 11 too cmia:
 5
   went, did any of these entail, any of that discussion
   ever entail that the defendant would have to testify
    against Mr. Monroe?
 8
             No. Case the whole if has come up with the
   murder-for-hire pict, there was never any discussion he
   had to testify. At that point, it was just him taking a
10
11
   negotiation.
12
             Okay. So now when you were saying, may, if
   you could limit his exposure, get him a small tabitual,
13
14
   et cetera, you thought that was, I guess, in his
15
   interest somewhat, because he was looking at a life
...6
   sentence.
17
        A
             Yes.
18
        0
             And he knew that?
19
        A
             Yes.
20
             And you discussed that with him:
        0
21
             Yes.
        Λ
22
             MS. DiGIACOMO: I have nothing further, Your
23
   Honor.
24
             THE COURT: Any regimeet? Let me ask you, how
25
   much time of redirect do you have?
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com

EXTERIOR OF MANY PORTS A FLORIA OF A PAGE

```
1
              MR. CARLING: One question.
  2
              THE COURT: Okay.
  3
                      REDIRECT FXAMINATION
  4
    BY MR. CARLING:
 5
              After the cases were severed and you received
   that offer, I believe you said a small habitual with a
    few felonies, did you have an apportunity to discuss
    that with Mr. Fergason?
 8
 9
        A
              Yes.
:0
             And do you recal! the dynamics or what was
   discussed during that conversation?
11
12
             Mr. Fergason didn't want to take an offer. He
   maintained his innocence. He wanted to keep the Fourth
13
   Amendment issue alive. I think : even had a discussion
14
   it was a constitutional issue so it could still have
15
   some parameters that it could still be alive. He
16
17
   maintained his innocence all along.
18
             He -- I think those was a lot of discussions
19
   about the dynamics of the defense, and I think -- ! want
20
   to say -- I'm trying to remember when the conspiracy --
23
   there was a conspiracy charge that got added in late,
   but before Mr. Monroe went to trial, that deals with the
22
   car stop that essentially tied a lot more together, but
231
24
   Mr. Fergason was very adament about his innocence,
25
   adamant about some of the stuff that was seized. They
```

Leah Armandariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #321 (702) 406-8347 leaharmondariz.or@gmail.com

THE DESCRIPTION NOTICE

```
seized his bank accounts and froze them. They seized
    safe deposit boxes in his name. He -- even up to after
    trial he was concerned about stuff that -- can you get
    some of the staff that the State didn't present as
    evidence back.
  5
              I think ever if he leaned over in the middle
  6
    of trial and said that he would take the seal, I
    probably could have really had a discussion with
 8
   Ms. DiGiacomo about taking the deal because it would
    have saved her a lot more witnesses, redoing another
11
   hundred witnesses over again.
12
              MR. CARLING: I'll pass.
13
             THE COURT: Any further recross?
             MS. DiClacomo: No. Your Honor. Thank you.
14
15
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
16
   You're excused.
17
             Do you have any other witnesses?
18
             MR. CARLING: Court's indulgence.
19
             THE COURT: While you're discussing, Let me
20
   take one quick break. I have a jury out that has a
21
   question. I'll be back in about five minutes.
22
             (Recess taken from 2:40 p.m. to
23
             2:46 p.m.)
24
             THE COURT: Counsel, as you have any other
25
   witnesses?
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-834/ leaharmendariz.sr@gmail.com

tiks leads to the line

```
1
              MR. CARLING: No further withesses.
              THE COURT: Boes the Store have any further
  2
  3
    witness?
  4
              MS. DiGIACOMO: No. Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Do you want to do any Final
   arguments at this point in time?
 6
 7
              MR. CARLING: Well, Your Honor, on the issue
   of the PowerPoint, I'm going to submit it. It's going
 8
   to be a credibility issue, Your Honor, there us to who
   the Court is going to believe.
10
11
             As far as preparing for sentencing and the
12 advice, I think we have contradicting testimony.
13 Mr. Fergason states that he didn't receive those
14 officers. If he received a small habitual he would have
15
   taken it. I know Ms. Cruz stated that she did,
   especially after bifurcation she received an offer and
16
17
   she did discuss it with him. It seems locidal he
   probably would have taken it is in was harming a life
18
   sentence if it was presented to him, so I will argue
19
20
   that it wasn't presented.
21
             THE COURT: All right.
22
             MR. CARLING: And '!! supplit.
23
             THE COURT: Anything from the State?
24
             MS. DiGIACOMO: Yes, Your Honor. I think one
   thing you have to look at, who has got the incentive to
25.
```

leah Armerdariz, Rik, CKK, CUK #925 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.or@gmail.com

```
possibly not be truthful there? It's the defendant who
  1
  2
    wants to get out of his sentence.
  3
              Judge Cruz clearly stated there were offers.
    They were presented to Fim. Exact - possible of what the
    defendant said. Defendant said there was hever an offer
 5
 6 at pretrial, which wasn't true. Defendant said it
    always included testifying against the defendant, which
   wash't true, and it hoese't oven make sense in the
 8
   trials were severed and the defendant -- the
 0
    co-defendant had already been convicted.
10
11
             With regard to the PowerPoint, she clearly
   said it was done in closing, I remember that, and had it
12
13
   been done in opening, I would have notally -- I would
   have objected. I would have asked for it to be made
14
15
   part of the record.
16
             And I would submit a system in the ways
17
   happened, because, you know, defendant got on the stand
   and said, oh, it happened in opening, and it was quilty
18
   above all of our pictures. ! tried to tell my attorney
19
20
   and she shooshed me.
22
             Again, I'm going to refer the Court back to
   the defendant's pro per petition, Page 13, where he says
22
23 it should be noted that in closing the state put up a
   picture of the pellitation and them and a
24
   computer-generated "duility" stamp placed across it.
                                                        So
```

Teah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com

```
I think the defendant, what he's testified to today and
  1
    what they have turned this around into, it's
  2
    self-serving so, I would supply to you that the position.
  3
    should be denied.
  5
              THE COURT: All right. Anything further?
  6
              MR. CARLING: No.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. We did have an
 8
   opportunity to -- as I said previously, as to Points A
   and B or 1 and 2, I didn't find that they presented a
10
   basis for granting the petition in view of the facts and
   arguments as outlined in those points. We did have a
11
12
   limited hearing Loday, through the testimony of the
   defendant and testimony of his counsel.
13
14
             Essentially for the grounds and basis
   discussed by course! fust new from the State, I don't
15
   find a Point D or Ground Number 4. Ms. Cruz -- Ms. Cruz
16
   was infinitive that there would have been -- there was
17
18 no PowerPoint slides with the defendant's picture that
   came up in the opening statement with the words "quilty"
19
   superimposed on top of the picture, and it is a good
20
21
   point the State raises that the defendant's original
   petition only makes reference to this occurring in
22
23
   closing, which Ms. Cruz does remomner at that point in
24
   time. So I find that the facts here, looking at the --
   everything established that it did not happen in
25
```

Lean Armendariz, PPR, 498, 400% 4921 (702) 406-8347 .eaharmendarlz.or@gmail.com TO THE REPORT OF THE PARTY.

7.

```
opening, the flashing pictures with the superimposed
  2
    "quilty."
  3
              As to C, Ground 3, It seems very clear that
    Ms. Cruz did not ever say that the defondant would not
    receive a life sentence. It would be unusual for a
    defense attorney to do that, that she did discuss a
    small habitual sentence with the defendant that he
   maintained his innocence throughout the process and that
 8
   the -- as counsel for the state notes, that makes sonse
   in terms of the small habitual without the requirement
10
   of testimony once the one defendant had been found
12
   quilty.
13
              Looking at the various bases for credibility
14
   determination, that would include issues of bias or
   prejudice from whether his reasonableness -- reasonable
16
   in light of all of the facts involved in the case, and
   it would make sense that there would be an offer without
17
   a requirement of testimony and before -- before the
18
19
   trial as Mrs. Cruz outlined in that the defendant
20
   rejected it. So I would find also that Bround 3 -- or
2.
   Point C does not justify a petition, granting a petition
22
   in this case.
23
             Does the State want to prepare an order for
24
   the Court?
25
             MS. DiGIACOMO: It would be finings of facts
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CPR, CCR #921 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@qmast.com

fort throught to the true

```
and conclusions of law.
              THE COURT: Right.
  2
  3
              MS. DiGIACOMO: Yes.
  4
              THE COURT: Okay. That's going to be the
    order of the Court.
  6
             Anything else?
 7
              MR. CARLING: Yes, one housekeeping. On the
   original pro per petition, can we get that included in
 8
    the findings of fact, derials on all of those for the
 9
10
    record?
11
             MS. DiGIACOMO: And we would do that. I thick
   we address that in our supplemental opposition, all the
12
13
   different points.
14
             MR. CARLING: Oksy.
15
             THE COURT: Year, Go ahead.
16
             MS. DiGIACOMO: That's fine.
             THE COURT: All right. Anything else?
17
18
             MR. CARLENG: No.
19
             MS. DiGIACOMO: Enank you.
20
             THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, everybody.
21
             (The proceedings were concluded at
22
             2:52 p.m.)
23
24
25
```

lear Acmendar 7, RTF, TSF, TTS #52 (702) 406-8347 leabarmondariz.organi.com

```
1
                      REPORTER'S CHAPTEROATE
  2
    STATE OF NEVADA )
  3
                     83
    COUNTY OF CLARK )
  4
  5
                   1, Loaf Armondariz, The e21, Max, Sec, ec.
   hereby certify that I took down in Stenotype all of the
    proceedings had in the before-entitled matter at the
   time and place indicated and that thereafter said
 8
    shorthand notes were transcribed int. Lypowriting by me
   and that the foregoing transcript constitutes a full,
   true, and accurate record of the proceedings had.
11
12
                   IN THE WITNESS WHEREOF, I have never to
   set my hand and affixed my signature it, the County of
13
   Clark, State of Nevada, this 28th day of June, 2016.
14
15
             Leah B. Amenda Armendaria 271
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Leah Armendariz, RPR, CRR, CCR #927 (702) 406-8347 leaharmendariz.cr@gmail.com

	5	p [4] 16/24 39/21 46/15 55/20
BY MR. CARLING: [7] 7/3 7/16 12/23	3 50 [7] 2/21	always [4] 27/21 34/1 43/14 53/7
13/12 29/18 31/4 50/3	51 (11 2/9	am [1] 3/17
BY MS, DIGIACOMO; [2] 14/19 38/1	5 I T	- Amendment [3] 36/10 48/7 50/14
MR. CARLING: [26] 4/11 4/19 4/22	<u> </u>	_  amount [1] 33/10
5/12 5/15 6/5 6/12 6/24 12/16 12/20	702 [2] 2/5 2/10	another [6] 5/8 32/25 34/16 41/6 47/
13/9 14/17 30/2 30/9 31/2 37/23 49/26	8	- 51/10
51/11 51/17 51/25 52/6 52/21 54/5		answering [1] 24/11
56/6 56/13 56/17	8065 [1] 2/10	any [41] 3/12 7/6 7/18 7/21 8/6 9/11
MS. DIGIACOMO: [23] 3/17 3/23 3/25		9/22 10/9 11/3 11/12 11/14 11/15 12/
4/6 5/3 5/10 5/19 6/8 7/9 12/14 12/18	89101 [1] 2/4	12/9 13/15 13/24 14/4 19/19 21/23
13/5 29/15 30/4 49/21 51/13 52/3	19	- 28/3 29/17 30/4 31/12 33/13 34/21
52/23 55/24 56/2 56/10 56/15 56/18		36/2 36/6 36/14 36/18 37/21 41/4 49/
MS. DUSTIN-CRUZ: [1] 6/10	921 [3] 1/25 57/5 57/16	49/5 49/5 49/9 49/24 51/13 51/17 - 51/24 52/2 52/5
THE BAILIFF: [1] 7/12	A	anybody [3] 8/23 13/15 20/8
THE CLERK: [2] 6/21 30/19	able [4] 33/4 35/1 42/3 46/5	anything [12] 5/9 13/20 20/16 25/22
THE COURT: [44] 3/2 3/22 3/24 4/2 4/7 4/17 4/21 5/1 5/6 5/11 5/13 6/11	about [59]	28/10 28/20 33/25 45/6 52/23 54/5
6/14 7/1 7/7 7/10 7/13 12/15 12/21	above [1] 53/19	56/6 56/17
13/7 13/11 29/16 30/3 30/5 30/11	Absolutely [1] 38/14	anywhere [1] 6/2
30/25 37/24 49/23 50/1 51/12 51/14	accept [1] 48/25	apologize [1] 4/16
51/18 51/23 52/1 52/4 52/20 52/22	accounts [1] 51/1	appearance [2] 31/1 / 33/3
54/4 54/6 56/1 56/3 56/14 56/16 56/19		appellateable [1] 48/8
THE WITNESS: [1] 30/23	across [21] 3/16 13/23 13/23 14/7	approximately [2] 32/19 45/7
	14/24 15/2 15/3 16/7 16/21 16/25 17/2	
• C	17/11 17/13 17/15 17/21 17/25 18/6	April 2008 [1] 49/4
-oOo [1] 1/5	18/11 18/16 37/11 53/25	are [6] 4/17 4/20 14/2 29/23 32/23
	active [1] 43/1	37/10
<u> </u>	actual [1] 46/4	argue [4] 31/24 32/9 44/20 52/19
06C228752-3 [1] 1/1	actually [4] 8/4 23/19 41/1 47/13	argument [3] 14/9 14/10 44/25
1	'adamant [2] 50/24 50/25	arguments [2] 52/6 54/11
<del></del>	add [1] 13/9	Armendariz [3] 1/25 57/5 57/16
1,000 [2] 45/24 46/12	added [1] 50/21	around [4] 31/16 34/2 39/12 54/2
10 [1] 4/25	addition [1] 8/6	arrested [1] 19/11
100 [2] 31/25 46/11	address [1] 56/12	as [26] 3/19 4/5 5/16 6/21 9/24 10/6
12 [1] 44/24	adjudicated [1] 34/17	30/19 32/9 36/13 36/15 36/16 36/19
1299193 [1] 1/9	admit [1] 24/18	44/20 46/5 46/13 48/16 51/4 52/9
13 [1] 53/22 14 [1] 2/17	advice [7] 4/16 5/1 5/21 6/3 10/3 12/9	52/11 52/11 54/8 54/8 54/11 55/3 55/9 55/19
150 [1] 45/23	52/12 advised [1] 5/23	ask [2] 28/25 49/24
f:41 [2] 1/18 3/1	affixed [1] 57/13	asked [4] 19/23 21/22 24/5 53/14
	after [25] 6/10 9/3 9/10 11/23 11/23	asking [1] 19/9
2	11/24 20/16 21/25 22/7 22/15 22/25	assists [1] 35/25
20 [4] 8/1 8/10 27/23 28/9	23/11 24/4 27/10 32/6 34/9 36/25	assuming [3] 8/17 8/24 8/24
200 [2] 2/4 45/24	45/10 45/16 47/20 48/25 49/4 50/5	assured [1] 26/6
2006 [6] 19/10 27/12 27/18 39/13	51/2 52/16	attempts [1] 11/12
39/15 40/14	afternoon [2] 45/13 47/10	attention [3] 36/24 38/8 38/21
2008 [8] 19/10 19/19 27/14 27/18	again [5] 7/18 14/13 43/18 51/11	attorney [36] 2/3 5/17 5/18 7/22 7/23
40/19 42/5 44/5 49/4	53/21	8/11 9/4 9/7 9/11 9/16 9/22 10/3 10/12
2016 [3] 1/17 3/1 57/14	against [11] 14/14 19/13 19/15 20/5	10/23 11/13 11/16 12/4 12/7 12/9
28th (1) 57/14	20/6 21/18 29/10 29/12 40/1 49/7 53/7	13/24 17/17 19/2 20/20 21/3 21/9 22/5
29 [1] 2/18	ago [6] 23/11 23/20 24/16 24/18 24/19	
923 [1] 2/5	34/12	29/13 53/19 55/6
1:40 [1] 51/22	agree [1] 4/4	altorney-client [1] 5/17
1:46 p.m [1] 51/23	agreement [1] 39/1	audible [2] 14/15 14/22
:52 p.m [1] 56/22	ahead [6] 7/2 7/14 13/12 31/1 37/25	Avenue [1] 2/4
1	58/15	await [1] 43/18
777 888	alarm [1] 29/4 alive (2) 50/14 50/16	aware [2] 37/10 37/13 away [2] 43/15 43/18
A fair mina	alive [2] 50/14 50/16	THE STATE OF THE S
Talle with a	all [65] allegation [2]   5/24 37/14	8
	alleging [1] 37/10	8-r-y-a-n [1] 6/25
<u></u>	almost [1] 18/17	back [17] 25/9 32/7 33/7 33/8 34/14
00 [1] 2/9	alone [2] 18/2 42/15	35/25 36/16 40/5 41/17 46/9 46/9 47/6
46-8065 [1] 2/10	along (2) 36/9 50/17	47/7 48/10 51/5 51/21 53/21
	already [13] 15/22 16/2 16/4 20/17	back-to-back [1] 46/9
77-2923 [1] 2/5	alleady [15] 15/22 16/2 16/4 20/17	
77- <b>292</b> 3 [1]  2/5 th [1]  3/10	29/5 34/27 40/23 41/2 41/5 41/5 42/11	background [1] 25/15 bad (2] 6/3 33/25

BRYAN [5] 1/9 2/16 6/14 6/18 6/25 2 [1] 28/19 Building [1] 2/9 co [13] 17/17 13/19 14/25 15/6 15/20 bank [1] 51/1 burglary [1] 44/17 18/12 19/13 32/4 36/19 40/10 42/10 based [2] 10/3 43/6 45/25 53/10 bases [1] 55/13 co-counsel [1] 45/25 basis [2] 54/10 54/14 C-r-u-z [1] 30/25 co-defendant [6] 11/17 15/20 32/4 be [40] 4/17 6/4 6/13 8/2 10/1 12/6 C-y-n-t-h-i-a [1] 30/25 40/10 42/10 53/10 12/7 14/1 16/11 16/13 16/20 16/23 C228752 [1] 3/5 co-defendants [5] 13/19 15/6 18/12 16/24 18/9 22/20 24/21 25/23 30/21 call [6] 5/18 6/14 26/1 30/8 30/10 46/7 32/10 33/8 34/12 35/1 37/4 37/18 19/13 36/19 called [2] 6/4 43/8 38/13 40/12 43/10 48/7 48/14 50/16 co-defendants' [1] 14/25 calling [2] 3/4 6/1 come [8] 22/10 25/1 25/13 26/4 28/12 51/21 52/9 53/1 53/14 53/23 54/4 55/5 came [23] 9/8 11/11 23/7 23/11 23/19 30/13 47/7 47/15 55/17 55/25 56/4 23/21 24/1 24/6 24/7 24/8 24/13 25/9 comes [2] 7/12 22/23 bear [1] 5/24 27/2 28/25 33/1 36/16 40/16 45/22 comfortable [1] 31/10 because [36] 5/5 8/14 8/25 11/6 16/16 47/8 47/15 48/10 49/8 54/19 coming [1] 46/15 19/1 20/20 20/24 21/2 21/7 22/20 27/3 can [9] 7/9 19/23 30/8 31/8 31/9 31/14 comment [1] 22/17 31/21 33/7 33/20 34/12 35/25 38/14 32/19 51/3 56/8 communicating [1] 46/5 39/17 39/18 43/11 43/17 44/1 45/1 can't [5] 32/22 33/10 38/21 41/25 communication [1] 34/21 45/3 45/23 46/7 46/9 46/11 47/15 44/16 completely [1] 40/7 47/23 48/3 48/20 49/15 51/9 53/17 cannot [5] 31/20 34/11 34/13 34/18 computer [1] 53/25 been [25] 6/19 11/7 11/9 20/17 21/25 37/3 computer-generated (1) 53/25 30/17 31/19 33/9 34/17 35/20 36/10 car [8] 11/10 21/24 39/14 40/2 40/8 concern [4] 13/24 14/4 18/14 36/8 36/11 37/15 38/18 39/12 39/15 40/23 40/9 40/20 50/23 concerned [4] 17/20 22/19 36/12 51/3 41/2 42/3 43/3 46/19 53/10 53/13 Carling [6] 2/8 2/8 2/17 2/18 2/20 2/21 concerns [5] 9/22 11/3 12/3 36/3 43/8 54/17 55/11 cart [1] 6/1 concluded [3] 45/10 47/21 56/21 before (57) 1/16 5/22 6/1 6/4 9/6 9/17 case [53] 1/1 3/5 5/22 6/8 9/2 9/9 11/9 concludes [1] 30/6 9/17 9/18 10/13 10/17 10/23 11/3 11/5 18/11 19/1 19/5 19/9 19/16 20/10 conclusions [1] 56/1 11/6 11/10 11/10 11/25 12/2 12/8 19/2 20/11 21/24 25/19 32/3 32/24 32/25 concurrent [2] 44/19 44/20 19/9 19/20 20/1 20/16 20/19 21/20 34/4 34/15 34/16 35/2 35/13 36/9 37/5 consecutive [1] 44/21 21/23 23/5 26/11 26/13 26/15 26/19 38/15 39/10 39/14 39/21 39/25 40/2 27/3 27/10 27/15 28/22 29/2 29/21 conspiracy [3] 16/17 50/20 50/21 40/16 40/19 40/20 41/1 41/6 41/18 constitutes [1] 57/10 30/1 33/3 35/16 39/3 40/6 40/19 41/1 42/6 42/14 43/1 43/21 43/23 44/9 constitutional [1] 50/15 42/5 43/2 45/13 45/18 46/17 47/22 44/21 45/1 45/3 45/12 45/16 48/4 49/4 contact [2] 10/6 11/12 48/14 48/24 50/22 55/18 55/18 57/7 55/16 55/22 contemplating [1] 31/20 before-entitled [1] 57/7 cases [5] 28/7 42/21 42/22 42/24 50/5 contradicting [1] 52/12 began [4] 46/18 46/21 47/22 48/14 cast [1] 33/25 conversation [1] 50/11 beginning [7] 14/12 19/15 39/13 40/14 caught [1] 38/8 conversations [1] 43/5 40/20 45/19 48/16 cause [1] 29/4 convicted [15] 8/3 8/12 20/17 21/25 being [6] 15/25 17/4 18/10 36/19 CCR [3] 1/25 57/5 57/16 23/7 26/2 29/20 29/24 29/24 30/1 41/23 42/7 Codar [1] 2/9 33/15 33/19 40/24 49/1 53/10 belief [1] 43/14 cedarlegal [1] 2/10 conviction [7] 3/7 9/3 9/10 9/16 10/7 believe [9] 31/18 31/19 37/19 45/23 Center [4] 33/2 33/9 41/13 47/24 34/9 41/14 47/11 47/16 47/24 50/8 52/10 certain [1] 31/21 convictions [1] 36/17 Bell [4] 8/22 8/22 45/13 47/13 CERTIFICATE [1] 57/1 copy [6] 35/13 35/14 35/23 35/24 bench [1] 17/8 certify [1] 57/6 . 37/17 38/13 best [1] 45/4 cetera [4] 5/22 25/16 40/15 49/14 corpus [1] 3/7 better [3] 7/15 44/23 45/6 chance [4] 10/25 35/1 43/16 43/19 correct [42] 4/7 9/12 14/7 15/20 15/25 between [11] 9/13 9/13 19/10 21/24 charge [2] 29/8 50/21 16/1 16/3 16/5 16/12 16/18 16/19 17/5 23/2 25/7 25/8 27/18 28/8 31/25 44/19 chief [2] 2/3 33/23 17/8 17/22 17/23 18/12 18/13 19/16 bias [1] 55/14 choice [1] 12/12 20/13 20/22 20/22 22/8 22/25 23/8 bifurcation (1) 52/16 City [1] 2/9 23/9 24/2 24/22 25/10 25/12 25/13 big [2] 13/22 36/10 26/8 26/17 27/16 29/10 39/10 41/2 claimed [1] 38/16 bit [7] 4/14 5/3 5/8 32/12 32/14 34/2 claiming [1] 43// 42/11 42/19 43/23 43/24 45/11 45/15 36/11 clarification [1] 8/21 could [23] 16/11 16/13 16/23 16/24 blind [2] 19/7 19/7 CLARK [8] 1/4 3/1 33/2 33/9 41/13 18/9 22/11 24/21 24/23 28/3 29/7 blue [2] 26/24 28/18 47/24 57/3 57/14 32/13 32/13 35/10 42/17 43/11 43/19 Bobby [1] 15/8 clarkcountyda.com [1] 2/5 44/24 48/7 48/13 49/13 50/15 50/16 booked [1] 33/8 classification [2] 36/1 42/1 both [1] 36/19 clear [1] 55/3 counsel [15] 3/11 3/14 3/20 7/2 7/9 boxes [1] 51/2 clearly (2) 53/3 53/11 21/6 23/6 30/8 31/1 43/9 45/25 51/24 break [2] 47/14 51/20 clerk [2] 6/16 30/14 54/13 54/15 55/9 Brian [1] 3/5 Iclient [6] 5/17 38/4 38/20 45/5 46/22 counts [2] 31/25 44/20 brief [1] 12/8 47/20 COUNTY [8] 1/4 3/1 33/2 33/9 41/13 briefing [1] 3/19 close [1] 35/9 47/24 57/3 57/13 bring [2] 22/10 24/14 closing [13] 14/9 14/16 17/25 18/16 couple [4] 31/23 32/8 32/23 35/16 bringing [1] 43/25 18/20 37/19 38/25 39/2 39/4 39/25 court [15] 1/3 8/12 8/14 12/13 22/5 brings [1] 22/24 53/12 53/23 54/23 24/4 31/17 33/4 35/20 35/20 44/9 brought [3] 22/13 22/14 24/6 clothes [1] 33/8 52/10 53/21 55/24 56/5

die [1] 32/15 1 [6] 14/12 39/13 39/14 45/19 45/20 different [2] 32/23 56/13 48/21 Court's [1] 51/18 DiGiacomo [7] 2/3 2/17 2/21 32/5 credibility [2] 52/9 55/13 entail [2] 49/5 49/6 33/21 34/2 51/9 criminal [1] 28/15 entailed [1] 39/25 dilemma [1] 32/23 cross [5] 2/17 2/21 14/19 37/25 38/1 entitled [1] 57/7 diminished [1] 44/22 Cross-Examination [4] 2/17 2/21 ERIC [1] 1/16 direct [5] 2/17 2/20 7/3 20/19 31/4 14/19 38/1 especially [1] 52/16 disagree [1] 4/11 CRR [2] 57/5 57/16 ESQ [2] 2/3 2/8 discuss [17] 7/21 8/6 9/3 9/6 10/13 CRUZ [18] 2/19 5/18 6/1 18/15 30/10 essentially [2] 50/23 54/14 20/23 32/7 32/17 34/3 39/9 45/17 established [1] 54/25 30/11 30/16 30/24 31/6 31/6 31/9 46/23 47/19 47/22 50/7 52/17 55/6 52/15 53/3 54/16 54/16 54/23 55/4 et [4] 5/22 25/16 40/15 49/14 discuss with [1] 47/19 55/19 even (14) 10/24 18/10 20/15 28/12 discussed [16] 10/9 20/21 21/3 21/8 28/17 36/17 41/22 42/2 44/7 48/25 custody [3] 39/17 39/18 39/19 27/1 27/18 28/13 29/13 40/5 41/4 50/14 51/2 51/6 53/8 CYNTHIA [9] 2/19 5/18 6/7 18/14 47/20 49/3 49/3 49/20 50/11 54/15 19/23 30/16 30/24 31/7 31/9 ever [13] 8/11 19/5 19/10 22/17 25/20 discussing [7] //24 33/13 36/6 39/24 26/1 33/14 33/17 34/10 39/6 47/1 49/6 46/14 46/21 51/19 55/4 discussion [16] 9/21 34/19 34/24 35/3 D-u-s-t-l-n [1] 30/25 everybody [1] 56/20 36/12 36/14 36/18 40/3 44/2 45/22 DA [1] 31/22 everything [3] 22/11 40/6 54/25 46/2 46/13 49/5 49/9 50/14 51/8 Dalmon (9) 15/8 15/13 20/6 27/5 29/20 evidence [3] 6/2 36/14 51/5 discussions [4] 11/15 33/17 48/6 43/5 43/15 43/16 46/10 evidentiary [3] 1/14 3/6 3/9 50/18 Daimon's [2] 17/15 18/8 evolved [1] 40/6 district [4] 1/3 2/3 31/17 33/4 date [7] 8/14 23/7 24/4 25/8 35/10 Exact [1] 53/4 do [43] 4/11 9/21 12/10 13/3 13/20 35/16 36/17 exactly [2] 26/12 28/21 14/9 17/10 19/23 21/9 24/24 25/24 examination [13] 2/17 2/17 2/18 2/20 day [21] 9/19 9/20 9/23 9/25 9/25 10/7 31/6 32/15 33/5 34/21 35/11 35/17 2/21 2/21 7/3 14/19 20/20 29/18 31/4 10/17 10/19 11/25 12/10 12/13 35/18 36/2 36/5 36/6 36/18 37/14 37/21 36/4 36/20 36/24 45/13 45/14 46/7 38/1 50/3 38/17 38/21 38/24 39/7 39/24 40/7 47/16 48/21 57/14 examined [2] 6/20 30/18 40/19 42/6 42/22 43/18 44/3 49/25 Except [1] 3/18 days [1] 35/16 50/10 51/17 51/24 52/5 52/5 55/6 excuse [2] 25/8 29/25 deal [17] 19/4 19/5 19/23 20/2 21/14 56/11 57/5 21/15 28/2 28/3 35/4 42/11 43/20 45/4 excused [2] 30/7 51/16 does [4] 52/2 54/23 55/21 55/23 48/2 48/9 48/9 51/7 51/9 executed [1] 40/14 doesn't [1] 53/8 dealing [5] 4/23 33/1 40/16 42/15 exhibits [2] 45/24 46/12 doing [2] 14/2 14/2 46/11 explain [1] 35/22 don't [24] 6/15 8/17 8/18 8/18 8/19 9/1 dealt [1] 50/22 exposure [5] 33/21 34/5 34/8 44/22 13/9 18/17 18/20 20/8 21/11 23/16 49/13 decision [1] 10/2 23/16/23/17 23/19 24/16 26/24 27/2 defendant [34] 1/10 2/7 3/21 4/9 5/17 extend [1] 46/2 28/16 34/5 35/14 37/23 48/1 54/15 5/24 6/3 6/5 6/10 11/17 15/20 32/4 extended [1] 32/5 dane [6] 37/5 39/3 41/25 53/12 53/13 37/1 40/1 40/10 40/23 41/9 42/10 44/11 49/6 53/1 53/5 53/5 53/6 53/7 down [5] 33/7 34/14 35/11 41/12 57/6 53/9 53/10 53/17 54/1 54/13 55/4 55/7 draw [1] 36/24 F-e-r-g-a-s-o-n [1] 7/1 driven [2] 40/10 42/2 face [19] 3/17 14/7 16/14 16/22 17/1 55/11 55/19 driving [1] 36/9 17/2 17/11 17/13 17/15 17/21 18/16 defendant's [7] 3/6 3/10 3/16 38/18 drop [1] 24/25 53/22 54/18 54/21 34/8 34/20 34/20 37/12 41/22 41/23 defendants [8] 13/19 15/6 18/12 19/13 dropped [1] 25/2 42/3 42/3 drove [1] 41/23 faces [1] 18/7 36/19 42/6 42/14 42/16 during [17] 9/21 13/1 13/21 14/9 14/10 facing [2] 35/4 57/18 defendants' [1] 14/25 14/10 \*5/4 16/17 18/16 33/17 37/19 fact [4] 17/7 34/3 41/8 56/9 defense [5] 3/12 3/20 36/9 50/19 55/6 38/17 38/19 41/8 41/21 46/10 50/11 facts [4] 54/10 54/24 55/16 55/25 definitely [2] 33/11 44/17 DUSTIN [5] 2/19 5/18 18/15 30/16 failing [2] 3/12 3/15 delay [1] 32/12 30/24 delivered [1] 24/24 fair [3] 45/15 46/17 48/22 DUSTIN-CRUZ [4] 2/19 5/18 30/16 demanded [1] 37/17 far [1] 52/11 30/24 fast [3] 32/3 36/16 48/10 denials [1] 56/9 dynamic [2] 34/18 48/9 faulting [1] 24/22 denied [1] 54/4 dynamics [3] 32/24 50/10 50/19 Department [1] 1/8 feel [2] 11/14 31/9 feet [1] 37/15 Depending [1] 41/19 felonies [4] 31/24 32/8 44/18 50/7 deposit [1] 51/2 each [5] 15/2 15/3 31/8 38/5 38/6 felt [3] 44/23 45/4 48/6 deputy (2) 2/3 33/22 ear [1] 5/15 FERGASON [31] 1/9 2/16 3/5 6/14 detectives [2] 27/8 27/9 earlier [2] 21/2 21/6 6/18 6/25 7/5 7/18 29/20 31/18 32/7 Detention [4] 33/2 33/9 41/13 47/24 early [1] 31/16 32/17 32/20 33/14 34/10 35/7 35/17 determination [1] 55/14 East [1] 2/9 36/2 36/6 36/19 40/5 42/8 43/12 45/9 did [77] effect [1] 38/7 46/5 46/14 48/17 50/8 50/12 50/24 didn't [29] 4/14 9/11 11/5 11/14 12/5 offectively [1] 43/12 16/14 22/20 24/14 24/24 25/1 25/3 effects [1] 37/22 Fergason's [3] 36/8 37/8 39/10 25/6 25/13 25/20 25/23 25/25 28/25 eight [3] 24/16 24/18 24/22 few [3] 9/8 9/8 50/7 32/11 35/4 45/20 47/21 48/2 48/20 either [1] 34/21 48/23 48/25 50/12 51/4 52/13 54/9 figure [1] 28!1/ else [5] 13/15 13/17 40/6 56/6 56/17 figured [1] 12/6

granting [2] 54/10 55/21 NORABLE [1] 1/16 Ground [7] 3/11 3/14 3/19 4/13 54/16 file [2] 33/24 34/1 hopeful [1] 46/8 55/3 55/20 filed [2] 29/10 29/12 hoping [1] 32/12 grounds [1] 54/14 final [2] 42/10 52/5 horse [1] 6:1 guess [3] 5/9 44/1 49/14 housed [2] 41/17 41/23 finalization [1] 46/13 guilty [29] 3/16 13/22 14/6 14/11 find [4] 54/9 54/16 54/24 55/20 housekeeping [2] 5/16 56/7 14/13 15/1 15/2 15/10 15/22 16/2 16/7 how [18] 4/18 4/24 9/6 10/22 21/23 findings [1] 56/9 16/14 16/21 16/25 17/2 17/11 17/21 24/12 27/2 32/19 36/16 37/4 41/19 fine [3] 6/11 7/10 56/16 17/25 18/6 18/11 18/16 25/9 37/11 42/21 42/22 42/24 48/5 49/24 finings [1] 55/25 38/6 53/18 53/25 54/19 55/2 55/12 firm [1] 46/23 huh [1] 47/6 Gutierrez [7] 42/25 43/1 43/4 43/6 firmed [3] 45/22 46/3 46/4 hundred [1] 51/11 43/8 43/13 43/22 first [12] 6/5 6/24 9/15 10/6 11/22 hyphen [1] 30/25 20/13 30/22 32/1 33/3 36/24 47/11 47/16 habeas [1] 3/7 l'd [2] 25/21 26/21 five [1] 51/23 habitual [21] 3/24 4/6 7/25 8/9 27/22 141 [12] 5/2 5/4 5/8 6/8 12/16 12/17 flashing [1] 55/1 28/5 28/6 28/14 29/9 31/20 32/9 33/22 13/12 30/10 37/24 51/12 51/21 52/22 follows [2] 6/21 30/19 34/5 34/7 44/18 47/2 49/13 50/6 52/14 I'm [23] 3/25 5/20 8/17 13/6 19/9 21/1 foregoing [1] 57/10 55/7 55/10 forfeiture [1] 36/13 24/11 24/13 24/16 25/1 25/3 26/11 had [77] 28/21 29/4 31/25 34/5 34/6 35/3 37/13 found [4] 4/1 48/23 48/25 55/11 hadn't [2] 15/22 26/25 39/1 50/20 52/8 53/21 four (9) 11/8 15/1 15/1 15/2 18/4 18/5 half [1] 4/16 I've [1] 12/21 19/19 21/20 21/23 hand [3] 6/16 24/24 57/13 idea [2] 291/46/18 Fourth [3] 36/10 48/7 50/13 hand-delivered [1] 24/24 immediately [3] 45/10 46/8 48/2 Frank [1] 34/16 handed [2] 23/19 35/16 incarcerated [1] 11/8 frequently [1] 40/4 hands [1] 34/4 incentive [1] 52/25 FRIDAY (2) 1/17 3/1 happen [4] 25/6 37/19 48/4 54/25 inception [1] 39/10 front [1] 39/21 happened [6] 13/25 17/19 38/19 46/16 Incident [1] 11/10 froze [1] 51/1 53/17 53/18 include [1] 55/14 full [1] 57/10 happening [2] 13/20 38/24 included [5] 28/5 28/7 37/18 53/7 56/8 further [9] 5/8 5/10 29/16 30/3 49/22 has [2] 51/20 52/25 indicate [1] 47/1 51/13 52/1 52/2 54/5 have [82] indicated [2] 8/2 57/8 having [5] 6/19/30/17/36/18/43/10 indictment [1] 13:11 43:17 indulgence [1] 51:18 gave [3] 10/3 23/15 25/5 he [90] ineffective [2] 3/11 3/14 general (1) 46/18 he's [4] 29/3 37/10 41/21 54/1 Infinitive [1] 54/17 generated [1] 53/25 head [1] 32/22 Information [2] 25/15 43/6 get [33] 4/13 4/14 6/7 7/25 8/4 16/14 hearing [10] 1/14 3/6 3/9 3/10 3/13 5/8 initial [2] 31/17 32/16 20/2 22/3 24/12 27/5 27/5 28/2 28/3 21/2 33/1 35/16 54/12 innocence [4] 50/13 50/17 50/24 55/8 29/7 32/4 33/14 35/1 35/9 38/20 39/18 held [1] 11/16 instructed [1] 3/21 39/19 41/9 42/18 42/24 43/19 44/24 her [18] 4/16 6/8 6/9 8/22 14/1 16/7 45/5 45/6 46/1 49/13 51/3 53/2 56/8 interest [2] 3/13 49/15 16/14 16/14 16:21 22/17 23/2 25/3 interview [1] 27/9 getting [5] 14/2 26/16 27/21 27/22 25/5 25/20 27/3 28/25 34/4 51/10 interviewing [1] 27/4 here [10] 4/20 5/2 6/7 7/8 25/21 30/13 investigation [5] 10/17 35/7 35/12 give [13] 5/2 8/16 8/23 8/25 10/20 12/9. 33/7 41/10 41/12 54/24 35/23 36/3 26/7 26/18 28/12 29/3 33/10 35/24 hereby [1] 57/6 involved [2] 21/18 55/16 47/2 hereunto [1] 57/12 rreparably [1] 38/16 given [3] 5/22 6/3 18/17 hey [6] 22/18 25/21 26/4 38/21 47/2 is [29] 3/5 3/14 4/4 5/9 5/17 6/1 7/11 gmail.com [1] 2/10 9/12 13/7 13/8 14/7 16/13 18/11 22/21 go [25] 5/5 6/5 7/2 7/14 9/9 13/12 him [39] 7/14 32/14 33/4 33/7 33/8 25/22 27/23 28/5 29/12 32/23 34/25 19/24 27/15 31/1 31/8 31/9 33/4 35/6 33/12 34/6 34/7 34/13 34/15 34/16 38/14 39/2 44/11 45/15 46/17 47/25 35/11 35/25 36/1 37/25 40/20 42/21 34:20 34:24 35:2 35:11 35:14 35:19 52/10/53/16/54/20 44/8 45/20 48/1 46/8 47/13 56/15 35/21 35/24 39/18 39/19 41/12 41/22 isn't [1] 20/10 going (39) 4/17 4/19 5/9 5/17 5/20 42/3 43/14 43/15 43/18 43/19 46/7 issue [18] 4/4 4/24 5/14 5/25 7/31 7/22 9/25 10/20 12/19 12/22 13/6 46/24 47/22 47/25 49/1 49/10 49/13 12/20 12/21 12/23 22/20 36/10 36/11 19/21 21/18 21/24 22/20 25/4 27/5 49/20 52/17 52/19 53/4 44/1 48/7 48/8 50/14 50/15 52/7 52/9 28/3 29/3 32/10 32/24 32/25 35/22 hire [3] 43/22 44/8 49/9 issues [5] 4/8 4/19 25/19 36/7 55/14 40/19 41/11 42/5 45/2 45/5 45/6 46/2 his (20) 3/13 17/2 17/11 20/13 30/1 it [140] 46/8 48/4 48/5 48/10 52/8 52/8 52/10 33/3 37/12 41/18 44/22 49/13 49/14 53/21 56/4 it's [22] 3/19 5/9 13/10 16/6 16/23 50/13 50/17 50/24 51/1 51/2 53/2 19/20 19/24 20/1 21/25 24/16 24/21 gone [1] 41/17 54/13 55/8 55/15 24/23 25/12 25/13 31/19 33/9 35/10 good [2] 33/21 54/20 Holmes [7] 15/8 15/15 18/8 20/7 20/9 39/2 43/3 52/8 53/1 54/2 got [27] 3/23 10/19 12/19 12/21 13/23 38/5 42/9 items [1] 36/13 22/15 23/3 23/7 24/3 25/2 31/15 32/16 Holmes' [1] 1//1 its [2] 13/1 16/6 33/7 33/8 33/24 39/16 42/21 42/22 homes [1] 40/15 43/14 43/15 43/18 45/3 45/16 46/4 honeatly [2] 34/13 39/3 50/21 52/25 53/17 Jail [3] 9/8 25/4 33/5 Honor [11] 4/12 4/21 5/20 12/17 13/11 gotten [3] 32/3 47/18 47/19 49/23 51/14 52/4 52/7 52/9 52/24 JOHNSON [1] 1/16

35/15 38/12 41/20 25 29/20 38/5 40/1 42/8 43/5 43/15 liked [1] 11/6 JP [1] 39/18 43/16 43/19 45/10 47/7 47/21 49/7 limit [1] 49/13 judge [19] 6/12 8/18 8/19 8/22 8/22 50/22 Ilmited [1] 54/12 26/7 30/10 31/6 33/25 34/1 34/15 Monroe's [9] 15/13 20/11 43/9 46/10 37/16 39/21 43/3 44/2 45/13 47/13 literally [2] 40/8 47/16 46/16 46/17 48/4 48/23 49/1 little [5] 5/3 5/8 32/12 32/14 34/2 47/13 53/3 month [7] 11/24 22/7 22/14 22/24 logica! [1] 52/17 23/11 24/4 42/5 Judge Mosley [1] 43/3 long [8] 4/18 24/19 26/13 31/8 31/19 jumping [1] 37/15 months [3] 19/19 21/20 21/23 33/9 43/3 48/5 June [1] 57/14 moot [1] 4/17 longer [1] 15/20 jury [26] 23/8 25/8 26/2 32/6 36/16 more [9] 12/20 12/21 24/24 31/10 look [4] 10/16 11/5 11/6 52/25 31/15 32/14 34/3 50/23 51/10 36/25 38/16 41/15 45/12 45/14 47/6 looked [1] 11/7 47/9 47/10 47/11 47/12 47/13 47/16 morning [1] 47/10 looking [6] 3/8 29/4 29/5 49/15 54/24 47/20 48/5 48/10 48/11 48/13 48/21 Mosley [1] 43/3 55/13 Most [1] 4/23 48/23 49/1 51/20 lot [14] 32/6 32/11 32/14 33/3 36/12 just [28] 3/19 4/15 4/21 5/15 5/23 6/7 motion [4] 8/15 26/11 26/12 44/1 44/22 45/22 46/12 47/21 48/1 48/20 8/21 9/10 10/6 14/12 14/13 18/2 19/7 motions [2] 27/16 28/23 50/18 50/23 51/10 23/10 23/20 24/1 24/5 25/3 25/19 move [1] 7/14 Mr [8] 2/17 7/18 2/20 2/21 43/1 43/8 26/24 28/2 28/18 30/13 38/15 48/8 48/18 49/10 54/15 43/13 43/22 ma'am [2] 30/12 51/15 Mr. [56] 7/5 7/18 19/15 20/11 21/19 justify [1] 55/21 made [9] | 14/11 14/22 22/1 22/2 38/13 21/25 29/20 31/18 32/5 32/7 32/17 45/16 45/17 45/19 53/14 32/20 33/14 33/21 34/10 35/2 35/7 mail [7] 23/15 23/18 23/24 24/1 24/7 35/13 35/17 36/2 36/6 36/8 36/19 37/8 keep [1] 50/13 24/8 24/13 38/5 39/10 40/1 40/5 40/21 42/8 42/8 Kephart [2] 33/25 34/1 mailed [1] 25/2 kick [1] 45/14 42/9 42/25 43/4 43/6 43/9 43/12 43/19 main [3] 4/11 12/22 36/8 45/9 45/10 46/5 46/14 46/16 46/17 kind [10] 14/4 19/22 21/14 28/2 28/3 maintain [1] 43/12 47/7 47/21 48/4 48/17 48/23 49/1 49/7 32/15 38/21 39/5 40/6 45/1 maintained [3] 50/13 50/17 55/8 50/8 50/12 50/22 50/24 52/13 knew [3] 29/9 41/5 49/18 know [30] 4/12 8/17 8/19 8/20 9/1 12/5 make [13] 4/15 11/12 12/12 14:4 19/22 Mr. DiGiacomo [2] 32/5 33/21 22/11 28/1 42/15 44/13 44/25 46/7 18/18 18/18 18/20 18/22 21/11 22/17 Mr. Fergason [25] 7/5 7/18 29/20 53/8 55/17 31/18 32/7 32/17 32/20 33/14 34/10 25/23 27/2 28/16 29/5 31/21 31/21 makes [2] 54/22 55/9 32/22 33/10 34/17 35/11 35/14 36/12 35/7 35/17 36/2 36/6 36/19 40/5 42/8 many [2] 32/20 34/12 40/3 40/4 46/9 46/12 52/15 53/17 43/12 45/9 46/5 46/14 48/17 50/8 matter [6] 5/16 7/5 7/19 31/12 37/8 50/12 50/24 52/13 knowing [1] 34/25 57/7 Mr. Fergason's [3] 36/8 37/8 39/10 knowledge [1] 43/4 Matthew [2] 2/8 2/8 known [2] 31/8 39/6 Mr. Gutierrez [3] 42/25 43/4 43/6 may [10] 1/17 3/1 6/9 6/13 8/7 19/19 Kocka [3] 34/16 35/2 40/21 Mr. Holmes [1] 42/9 35/14 38/18 45/19 45/20 Mr. Kocka [2] 35/2 40/21 Kocka's [1] 35/13 maybe [4] 18/9 23/15 24/25 44/25 Mr. Kocka's [1] 35/13 me [41] 7/23 7/24 8/4 8/14 8/14 8/16 Mr. Monroe [12] 19/15/21/19 21/25 8/25 9/24 10/20 10/20 14/3 18/20 19/7 large [4] 28/14 31/21 31/22 34/7 38/5 40/1 42/8 43/19 45/10 47/7 47/21 19/12 22/13 23/15 23/19 23/24 24/10 49/7 50/22 LAS [3] 1/3 2/4 3/1 25/1 25/2 25/5 25/8 25/13 26/4 26/18 last [5] 3/8 6/24 19/19 30/22 41/14 Mr. Monroe's [7] 20/11 43/9 46/16 27/4 28/17 28/22 29/1 29/25 31/14 46/17 48/4 48/23 49/1 late [2] 47/9 50/21 34/25 37/13 39/6 39/14 47/2 49/24 Mrs. [2] 31/6 55/19 later [2] 24/22 43/6 51/19 53/20 57/9 law [2] 2/8 56/1 Mrs. Cruz [2] 31/6 55/19 mean [1] 39/2 leading [3] 12/15 13/7 13/8 Ms [2] 2/17 2/21 meant [1] 23/24 Leah [3] 1/25 57/5 57/16 Ms. [13] 6/1 18/15 18/15 30/10 31/9 meet [2] 32/20 34/10 leaned [1] 51/6 34/2 42/10 51/9 52/15 54/16 54/16 least [3] 18/22 44/17 45/18 meeting (1) 35/17 54/23 55/4 meetings [1] 9/11 leeway [1] 5/3 Ms. Cruz [9] 6/1 18/15 30/10 31/9 memorandum [11] 4/14 4/19 4/24 52/15 54/16 54/16 54/23 55/4 lengthy [1] 12/12 11/20 12/6 22/4 22/24 24/3 24/12 25/6 Ms. DiGiacomo [2] 34/2 51/9 fess [2] 40/11 46/6 25/14 let [4] 23/21 39/13 49/24 51/19 Ms. Dustin [1] 18/15 memory [2] 3/8 24/21 Ms. Trevarthen [1] 42/10 let's [5] 5/12 5/14 7/14 39/9 42/21 met [1] 47/11 letter [1] 10/12 much [5] 10/22 40/11 40/11 44/23 mid [1] 43/11 level [1] 34/19 49/25 middle [3] #9/7 43/10 51/6 Lewis [1] 2/4 mug [1] 18/5 might [3] 7/25 16/20 35/1 multiple [1] 33/11 lieu [1] 19/21 life [30] 3/22 4/5 7/24 8/3 8/5 8/12 8/16 Mine [1] 18/8 murder [3] 43/22 44/8 49/9 minivan [1] 40/10 8/23 8/25 9/25 10/21 26/7 26/16 26/18 murder-for-hire [2] 43/22 49/9 minute [3] 10/24 23/10 30/13 my [19] 3/8 4/16 4/20 5/8 7/23 8/13 26/25 27/5 27/21 28/12 28/14 29/3 minutes (1) 51/21 29/5 29/6 29/7 33/14 34/1 34/6 35/4 13/19 18/18 18/21 19/13 19/24 32/22 mistaken [5] 16/12 16/13 16/20 16/23 49/15 52/18 55/5 35/24 37/15 37/16 43/4 53/19 57/13 16/24 57/13 light [1] 55/16 mitigation [3] 10/9 36/7 36/15 like [14] 14/3 14/11 19/6 21/20 22/17 myself [1] 44/2 moment [1] 23/20 25/4 25/21 25/21 25/22 29/4 34/11 Monroe [18] 15/8 19/15 20/6 21/19

N 45/21 46/2 46/4 46/15 46/18 46/23 ticular [2] 34/14 42/1 47/18 47/19 48/11 48/18 48/24 50/6 name [5] 6/23 6/24 30/22 30/22 51/2 pass [4] 12/17 14/18 37/24 51/12 50/12 52/16 53/5 55/17 passenger [1] 40/9 narrow [1] 5/5 offered [2] 20/16 29/1 narrowed [1] 3/20 past [2] 25/16 33/11 offers [9] 7/6 7/19 31/12 31/14 33/13 pending [2] 15/24 39/21 NDOC [1] 34/14 39/9 39/24 49/2 53/3 necessarily [1] 43/9 people [2] 27/4 27/7 officers [1] 52/14 need [2] 5/7 6/15 per [2] 53/22 56/8 Offices [1] 2/8 needed (2) 41/12 48/18 percent [1] 31/25 often [1] 9/6 needs [2] 6/4 6/5 perhaps [1] 10/13 oh [2] 38/11 53/18 person [2] 15/3 33/5 negotiation [1] 49/11 okay [51] 4/22 5/13 7/18 13/14 15/22 petition [11] 3/6 3/11 3/12 37/8 53/22 negotiations [3] 19/21 41/4 49/3 15/24 16/11 16/24 17/4 17/10 17/17 NEVADA [6] 1/4 1/6 2/4 3/4 57/2 57/14 54/3 54/10 54/22 55/21 55/21 56/8 17/20 18/6 18/22 18/25 19/14 20/1 never [23] 8/2 8/23 10/9 19/12 19/20 petitioner [1] 53/24 20/13 21/1 21/6 21/12 22/3 22/12 phone [1] 34/22 21/16 22/1 22/2 23/6 25/7 26/4 27/5 22/14 22/23 23/5 23/20 23/25 24/5 picks [1] 41/19 27/18 27/20 28/3 28/9 28/9 28/13 29/2 24/8 24/14 25/7 25/14 25/20 26/1 26/6 picture (20) 13/4 14/6 15/11 15/13 29/13 47/4 49/9 53/5 27/25 28/11 28/18 28/24 29/12 38/12 15/15 15/17 16/7 16/15 17/24 18/2 new [1] 39/20 40/13 41/21 47/25 48/22 49/12 50/2 18/3 37/1 37/4 37/11 38/4 38/18 44/12 news [1] 27/11 56/4 56/14 56/20 53/24 54/18 54/20 next [3] 30/8 45/14 47/10 once [3] 47/6 49/8 55/11 pictures [16] 12/25 13/15 13/21 13/23 no [84] one [24] 4/21 11/9 12/20 12/21 15/3 14/13 14/25 15/1 15/2 15/7 16/16 18/4 noise [1] 14/12 15/3 17/13 18/10 18/21 18/22 28/22 37/1 38/6 38/7 53/19 55/1 nonhabitual [1] 28/2 31/15 32/25 38/5 38/6 40/21 43/16 pissed [1] 42/23 Nope [1] 10/15 44/17 44/18 50/1 51/20 52/24 55/11 place [1] 57/8 North [1] 2/9 56/7 placed [1] 53/25 not (53) 3/20 3/21 4/4 5/9 5/23 7/23 only [8] 11/9 18/10 20/2 28/4 40/8 8/21 10/24 11/9 12/12 14/16 16/21 Plaintiff [2] 1/7 2/2 40/9 41/14 54/22 16/25 18/9 18/18 19/3 21/3 21/13 planning [1] 4/18 oOo [1] 1/5 21/22 21/23 23/22 24/17 25/1 25/3 play [2] 5/15 5/25 opening [29] 13/1 13/17 14/5 14/10 played [1] 34/18 25/12 25/24 26/7 26/11 26/16 28/21 14/11 14/15 14/21 15/4 16/7 16/15 players (1) 16/17 29/3 29/24 31/25 32/6 32/14 33/24 17/21 18/4 18/19 36/25 37/2 37/6 playing [1] 34/2 34/19 35/10 36/5 37/4 37/9 39/1 42/1 37/11 37/15 37/18 37/22 38/3 38/14 plea [1] 19/21 43/8 44/8 45/22 46/7 48/9 53/1 54/25 38/17 38/19 39/7 53/13 53/18 54/19 plead [2] 19/7 19/7 55/4 55/4 55/21 pleading [1] 32/8 note [1] 4/15 opinion [3] 18/18 18/21 18/23 Please [3] 6/23 30/21 30/21 noted [1] 53/23 opp [1] 44/19 pied [3] 15/22 16/2 42/11 notes [3] 3/8 55/9 57/9 opportunity [8] 10/16 11/19 32/17 plot [1] 49/9 nothing [4] 6/20 29/16 30/18 49/22 35/6 37/7 41/22 50/7 54/8 notice [1] 29/9 plus [1] 45/24 opposed [2] 4/5 36/15 point [14] 5/4 5/21 13/8 33/6 33/23 November [2] 27/12 40/14 opposite [1] 53/4 35/22 40/7 46/23 49/10 52/6 54/16 November 2006 [2] 27/12 40/14 opposition [1] 56/12 54/21 54/23 55/21 now [24] 5/23 8/17 9/10 10/2 18/15 opt [1] 32/9 Point C [1] 55/21 19/14 21/5 22/3 23/5 24/8 26/6 27/14 orally [1] 44/1 points [4] 3/10 54/8 54/11 56/13 37/13 38/24 39/9 40/18 42/4 43/17 order [2] 55/23 56/5 44/11 45/3 45/7 49/2 49/12 54/15 position [1] 44/23 original [3] 44/12 54/21 56/8 Number [2] 3/5 54/16 possession [1] 29/8 other [11] 8/7 18/11 31/8 35/2 35/12 possibility [4] 27/21 27/22 28/14 numerous [1] 19/23 42/16 44/18 44/21 45/1 51/17 51/24 48/18 NV [1] 3/1 our [2] 53/19 56/12 possible [15] 8// 9/3 9/22 10/3 16/13 ours [1] 47/17 20/21 20/23 21/8 24/21 24/23 27/19 out [18] 5/4 6/7 7/15 26/24 27/6 28/17 29/14 33/18 48/14 49/3 object (3) 3/15 5/21 13/6 28/18 31/22 32/6 39/18 39/19 40/11 possibly [2] 48/15 53/1 objected [2] 38/10 53/14 44/12 47/9 48/23 48/25 51/20 53/2 objecting [1] 37/16 post [4] 3/7 9/18 10/7 34/22 outcomes [1] 7/21 potential [2] 7/21 34/22 Objection [1] 12/15 outlined [2] 54/11 55/19 potentially [1] 31/24 objections [1] 37/16 outside [1] 6/8 PowerPoint [9] 3/15 4/9 16/18 17/22 obtained [1] 43/7 over [8] 9/9 35/6 35/11 45/24 46/11 obviously [1] 46/23 37/17 37/19 52/8 53/11 54/18 46/12 51/6 51/11 prefer [2] 7/8 31/6 occurring [1] 54/22 overrule [2] 12/16 13/12 prejudice [1] 55/15 October [1] 39/13 overruled [1] 37/16 preliminary [1] 33/1 October 2006 [1] 39/13 prepare [1] 55/23 odd [1] 36/22 prepared [3] 5/5 11/20 35/23 off [4] 24/25 25/2 32/22 45/14 p.m [5] 1/18/3/1/51/22/51/23/56/22 preparing [1] 52/11 offender [1] 33/22 page [3] 2/15 4/21 53/22 present [3] 3/12 35/20 51/4 offer [45] 19/6 19/10 19/12 19/14 Page 13 [1] 53/22 19/21 20/25 21/15 21/21 22/1 22/2 presentation [2] 37/18 37/22 parameters [2] 46/6 50/16 presented [4] 52/19 52/20 53/4 54/9 28/4 28/7 31/16 31/16 31/23 32/1 32/2 parcel [2] 39/5 45/1 presentence [5] 10/17 35/7 35/12 32/3 32/4 32/5 32/16 42/15 42/18 part [4] 38/13 39/5 45/1 53/15 35/23 36/3 43/17 44/13 44/15 44/17 45/16 45/17 participate [1] 43/5 pretrial [9] 7/6 7/19 8/15 26/11 27/15

8/7 25/15 31/11 52/13 55/5 17 47/9 47/25 48/22 50/20 55/4 received [4] 19/20 50/5 52/14 52/16 saying [6] 8/21 10/13 20/15 21/1 pretrial... [4] 28/23 33/13 33/17 53/6 receiving [1] 4/5 27/22 49/12 pretty [3] 24/13 33/21 39/16 Recess [1] 51/22 says [1] 53/22 previously [1] 54/8 record [8] 6/2 6/24 30/23 37/18 38/13 screen [2] 13/1 37/2 printed [1] 3/16 53/15 56/10 57/11 search (1) 40/14 prior [10] 7/22 9/11 11/13 31/11 32/20 recross [2] 30/4 51/13 seated [2] 30/21 48/21 34/9 34/19 35/7 35/15 41/4 red [2] 13/22 38/6 security [1] 7/11 prison [11] 11/8 11/9 27/6 33/7 35/5 redirect [7] 2/18 2/21 29/17 29/18 see [15] 5/12 7/9 11/19 22/23 23/2 41/5 41/10 41/18 41/19 41/21 41/24 49/24 49/25 50/3 23/7 24/6 26/4 41/22 42/3 42/17 46/15 privilege [1] 5/17 redaing [1] 51/10 46/22 48/3 48/5 pro [2] 53/22 56/8 refer [1] 53/21 seeing [1] 18/15 probably [14] 5/9 11/23 34/25 35/3 reference [2] 4/10 54/22 seek [1] 9/25 35/4 35/13 35/21 37/5 42/4 42/20 referring [1] 40/13 regard [5] 3/18 5/3 5/21 38/3 53/11 seems [2] 52/17 55/3 44/24 45/4 51/8 52/18 seized (3) 50/25 51/1 51/1 probation [1] 32/24 regarding [5] 8/12 9/22 34/22 36/7 selection [6] 36/25 45/14 47/10 47/16 problem [1] 6/6 44/3 48/12 48/13 proceed [1] 10/2 regardless [1] 44/8 self [1] 54/3 proceedings [4] 47/12 56/21 57/7 regards (1) 4/25 self-serving [1] 54/3 57/11 rejected [3] 31/18 48/22 55/20 sense [3] 53/8 55/9 55/17 process (2) 36/1 55/8 sent [3] 23/18 23/24 23/25 remanded [1] 41/10 property [1] 29/8 remember [18] 21/9 23/17 24/25 27/3 sentence [36] 3/22 4/5 4/10 7/24 7/25 prosecuted [1] 42/7 27/3 32/1 33/5 33/8 34/13 39/17 39/17 8/5 8/9 8/12 8/17 8/23 9/1 9/25 10/21 protect [1] 3/13 41/25 44/3 44/16 47/11 50/20 53/12 12/6 25/9 26/7 26/17 26/18 26/25 27/5 provide [1] 10/12 54/23 27/21 27/23 28/2 28/6 28/12 28/14 provided [1] 35/14 repeat [1] 33/22 29/3 29/5 29/6 29/7 33/15 49/16 52/19 PSI [2] 10/25 11/3 report [5] 10/17 35/7 35/12 35/23 36/3 | 53/2 55/5 55// pulling [1] 34/13 Reported [1] 1/25 sentenced [5] 8/3 10/1 29/25 36/20 punishment [7] 10/4 19/1 20/22 20/24 REPORTER'S [2] 1/13 57/1 41/2 21/8 33/18 34/23 represent [1] 43/12 sentences [5] 8/7 11/16 27/19 28/20 pushing [2] 39/17 39/17 represented [3] 34/16 35/2 42/25 29/14 put [5] 15/10 16/7 38/4 38/19 53/23 representing [1] 43/13 sentencing [51] 3/13 4/14 4/19 4/24 putting (3) 6/1 18/11 45/25 requested [1] 40/4 9/4 9/12 9/14 9/17 9/19 9/20 9/23 10/1 10/7 10/10 10/14 10/18 10/19 10/23 require [1] 5/9 requirement [2] 55/10 55/18 11/4 11/5 11/6 11/13 11/20 11/20 question [6] 13/10 13/14 21/5 21/10 11/25 12/1 12/2 12/8 12/10 22/4 22/24 response [1] 6/6 50/1 51/21 rest [2] 4/1 4/3 23/3 23/8 24/3 24/12 25/6 25/8 25/14 questioning [2] 21/7 23/6 retained [2] 39/15 39/16 26/2 34/9 34/19 34/23 35/8 35/9 35/15 questions [5] 4/16 4/20 4/21 4/25 30/3 revocation [3] 32/24 39/21 39/25 35/18 36/21 36/4 36/17 41/18 52/11 quick [2] 47/14 51/20 revoked [1] 34/15 separated [1] 43/15 quickly [3] 32/6 39/16 47/7 right [71] September [2] 39/13 39/15 quite [1] 36/11 Robert [6] 15/15 16/25 18/8 20/7 20/9 September 2008 [1] 39/15 38/5 serious [1] 43/1 room [1] 25/5 serving [2] 44/22 54/3 raise [2] 6/15 12/3 ROPE [2] 33/23 33/24 set [4] 3/6 3/9 5/8 57/13 raised [2] 36/2 36/11 roughly [1] 45/23 sever [1] 44/9 raises [1] 54/21 severed [10] 20/11 32/3 42/22 42/22 RPR [3] 1/25 57/5 57/16 range [5] 8/1 8/10 19/1 27/23 32/10 run [2] 35/1 44/25 42/24 45/4 45/17 49/4 50/5 53/9 ranges (8) 8/7 9/4 10/4 20/21 20/23 she [78] 21/8 33/18 34/22 she's (2) 8/18 12/7 Rather [1] 5/14 safe [1] 51/2 shellshocked [1] 36/16 read (8) 10/25 12/6 22/4 22/11 22/13 said [37] 11/7 14/21 14/24 19/24 20/19 shooshed [4] 14/3 17/18 18/20 53/20 22/15 23/21 37/7 21/1 21/7 21/10 21/20 21/22 22/3 shorthand [1] 57/9 ready [2] 31/2 46/1 23/10 23/23 24/6 26/4 26/6 26/20 shots [1] 18/5 real [2] 46/6 48/10 26/24 27/4 27/20 28/18 29/3 31/22 should [5] 10/13 12/5 12/7 53/23 54/4 realistically (1) 46/10 35/15 38/12 42/19 47/4 47/19 50/6 shouldn't [1] 14/1 realized (1) 11/7 51/7 53/5 53/5 53/6 53/12 53/18 54/8 show [6] 12/25 13/14 13/17 17/24 37/1, really [9] 12/5 22/19 24/17 32/12 5/18 37/4 36/14 42/23 46/3 46/9 51/8 same (4) 18/3 36/20 42/14 43/13 showed [5] 13/3 14/6 14/13 16/16 reason [1] 11/14 Sandra [1] 2/3 37/11 reasonable [1] 55/15 sandra.digiacomo [1] 2/5 showing [1] 13/21 reasonableness [1] 55/15 saved [1] 51/10 shown [1] 36/13 recall [26] 6/9 9/21 11/15 13/3 13/20 saw [6] 11/21 11/22 23/11 23/21 24/1 signature [1] 57/13 16/10 16/11 17/10 17/13 32/19 34/21 33/25 sir [6] 7/13 16/9 19/9 20/10 24/5 30/7 35/17 36/2 36/6 36/18 37/3 37/21 say [30] 5/25 12/19 12/22 25/21 31/20 sit [2] 7/8 35/11 37/23 38/17 38/24 39/24 40/20 42/6 32/5 32/7 32/22 33/6 33/20 34/11 sits [1] 7/12 42/22 44/15 50/10 34/18 36/9 36/16 37/3 37/14 43/2 43/3 situation [2] 35/10 44/3 receive [10] 3/22 4/10 7/5 7/18 7/23 44/16 44/19 45/12 45/15 45/23 46/6 slides [2] 3/16 54/18

subsequent [1] 32/2 y're [1] 9/24 successfully [1] 45/16 thing [4] 38/22 47/11 49/8 52/25 smail [11] 31/20 31/24 32/9 34/25 suggest [1] 6/4 things [2] 4/11 46/9 44/18 47/2 49/13 50/6 52/14 55/7 suggests [1] 34/4 think [40] 7:15 18:19 20/8 22/20 23/18 55/10 superimposed [2] 54/20 55/1 23/18/23/19/24/16/31/23/32/8/32/11 smalls [1] 34/3 supplemental [2] 37/8 56/12 33/22 34/5 34/24 35/13 35/14 36/15 50 [71] supposed [2] 14/3 25/23 42/17 44/16 44/18 44/19 45/21 45/21 some [8] 19/22 21/14 28/1 32/13 46/1 sure [15] 9/1 22/11 24/13 24/17 25/1 46/1 46/6 46/13 46/15 47/12 47/14 50/16 50/25 51/4 25/3 26/11 28/21 29/23 31/25 34/5 48/11 48/18 48/21 50/14 50/18 50/19 something (4) 5/7 8/16 28/8 38/19 34/7 34/12 34/19 35/3 51/6 52/12 52/24 54/1 56/11 sometimes [2] 34/17 35/15 swear [2] 6/16 30/14 thinking [2] 23/23 23/25 somewhat [1] 49/15 sworn [3] 6/13 6/19 30/17 this [41] 3/5 3/9 3/9 5/21 6/3 7/5 7/19 somewhere [2] 7/25 39/12 system [1] 43/2 8/14 10/13 11/10 11/11 12/8 13/6 13/8 sooner [1] 6// 13:8 13:25 14:2 14:2 18:11 19:1 19:9 sorry [1] 3/25 21/2 21/24 22/38 25/21 25/21 31/32 sound [4] 14/15 14/22 37/21 38/7 table [2] 28/10 34/25 32/25 37/5 37/8 40/19 41/1 42/5 43/7 speak [1] 25/20 tainted [1] 38/16 44/1 47/25 52/6 54/2 54/22 55/22 specifically [2] 3/20 24/5 take [16] 5/15 21/14 28/4 28/8 28/10 57/14 spell [2] 6/23 30/22 35/4 40/18 43/19 46/22 47/2 47/7 thoroughly [1] 11/1 spend [1] 10/22 47/14 48/5 50/12 51/7 51/20 those [9] 4/8 31/14 35/16 38/6 38/7 spoke [3] 8/11 8/22 9/15 taken [6] 42/11 44/12 48/24 51/22 49/5 52/13 54/11 56/9 spring [2] 27/14 40/19 52/15 52/18 though [5] 16/20 17/4 18/10 37/20 88 [1] 57/3 takes [2] 5/24 5/25 44/7 stamp [15] 13/22 14/11 14/12 14/13 taking [4] 48/9 48/9 49/10 51/9 thought [8] 4/12 4/13 18/8 40/8 40/8 14/22 15/10 16/7 16/14 16/21 16/25 talk [7] 25/1 32/14 32/15 33/4 33/17 40/11 48/3 49/14 17/2 17/11 17/21 38/7 53/25 47/25 48/2 thought that [1] 48/3 stamped [3] 13/23 14/6 38/6 talked [9] 8/19 19/2 29/2 31/17 34/6 three [2] 42/6 42/13 stamps [1] 15/2 34/7 35/19 35/21 47/23 through [4] 36/1 36/11 41/14 54/12 stand [6] 5/19 5/25 6/15 7/12 7/15 talked to [1] 47/23 throughout [1] 55/8 53/17 talking [16] 4/15 8/8 8/9 8/15 8/18 tied [1] 50/23 standard [1] 35/24 27/3 27/15 27/24 28/11 28/19 28/22 time [53] 3/5 9/15 10/22 11/9 11/22 standing [2] 30/13 43/10 28/22 33/11 42/17 43/21 48/8 12/3 12/5 12/8 15/19 17/7 18/15 18/17 start [5] 21/24 40/6 46/5 48/11 48/13 team [3] 33/23 33/24 33/24 18/18 18/22 19/11 19/18 19/19 21/23 started [4] 26/14 26/15 46/14 47/10 tell [6] 8/11 24/10 28/17 31/14 33/14 23/2 24/19 26/2 31/15 31/19 32/6 starting [1] 48/1 53/19 32/11 32/13 32/15 33/6 33/10 33/21 state [39] 1/6 3/4 5/4 6/23 7/6 7/19 telling [1] 37/13 34/14 35/10 39/20 41/8 41/21 42/1 12/25 13/3 13/14 13/17 14/6 14/9 terms [2] 4/3 55/10 42/4 42/13 42/25 43/3 43/13 44/11 15/10 16/6 16/16 17/24 18/11 30/21 testified [13] 6/21 9/10 10/6 14/5 16/4 44/22 45/5 45/17 47/22 48/1 48/18 31/12 37/1 37/4 37/5 37/11 38/4 42/14 18/25 20/3 23/5 23/20 24/1 24/3 30/19 48/20 49/25 52/6 54/24 57/8 43/9 43/17 44/7 44/13 51/4 52/2 52/23 54/1 times [7] 9/8 9/8 11/8 19/23 32/20 53/23 54/15 54/21 55/9 55/23 57/2 testifies [2] 6/3 6/10 33/3 33/11 57/14 testify [6] 6/19 19/14 30/17 40/1 49/6 to 200 [1] 45/24 state's [2] 3/15 38/15 49/10 today [3] 40/16 54/1 54/12 stated [3] 44/7 52/15 53/3 testifying [3] 19/12 21/18 53/7 together [7] 11/16/35/1/39/4/39/7 statement [15] 12/13 13/1 13/18 14/5 testimony [14] 16/6 19/20 19/24 20/2 44/25 45/25 50/23 14/16 14/16 14/21 15/4 37/2 37/6 38/3 22/1 28/5 29/13 39/2 39/3 52/12 54/12 told [16] 3/21 4/5 4/9 7/23 8/4 8/14 38/14 38/20 39/7 54/19 54/13 55/11 55/18 8/14 8/16 8/22 8/25 9/24 10/20 14/1 statements [3] 36/25 38/18 39/4 than [5] 5/14 24/24 31/15 40/11 46/7 17/17 26/16 26/18 states [1] 52/13 thank [10] 5/11 6/12 30/5 30/6 31/3 Tonya [3] 15/8 15/17 15/19 statute [1] 28/15 31/11 51/14 51/15 56/19 56/20 Tonya's [2] 18/9 18/9 stay [1] 30/13 that [274] too [2] 17/3 28/17 Stenotype [1] 57/6 that's [38] 4/7 5/23 6/8 6/11 7/10 8/8 took [2] 5/22 57/6 step [2] 6/8 7/9 8/8 8/13 9/1 9/24 10/19 11/8 12/7 tooken [1] 28/6 still [11] 15/24 36/15 42/7 42/14 44/9 12/22 16/10 16/11 17/19 18/19 19/3 top [7] 15/10 15/13 15/15 15/17 32/22 48/6 48/6 48/16 48/17 50/15 50/16 19/4 21/1 21/3 21/13 21/22 22/22 38/5 54/20 atolen [1] 29/8 22/22 23/21 23/23 24/9 28/16 28/25 totally [1] 53/13 stop [11] 11/10 21/24 36/10 39/14 33/2 39/5 40/4 40/15 43/22 56/4 56/16 toward [1] 40/20 39/14 40/2 40/8 40/9 40/20 47/12 them [4] 4/23 35/24 41/19 51/1 towards [1] 19/15 then [9] 14/3 17/17 18/6 34/15 35/21 tracking [1] 43/2 storage [1] 40/15 39/25 41/17 46/13 53/24 transcribed [1] 57/9 straight [1] 31/22 theory (1) 38/15 transcript [2] 1/13 57/10 strike [1] 45/8 there [72] Trevarthen [3] 15/9 15/19 47/10 stronger [1] 43/16 there's [5] 5/7 6/2 16/21 16/25 46/23 Trevarthen's [1] 15/17 stuff [4] 41/20 50/25 51/3 51/4 thereafter [1] 57/8 trial (74) stuff that [1] 51/3 these (3) 28/23 42/21 42/22 trials (2) 39/6 53/9 submit [4] 52/8 52/22 53/16 54/3 they [16] 5/1 10/20 14/1 14/2 14/12 tried [4] 15/25 17/4 18/10 53/19 submitted [2] 22/4 45/12 27/4 34/13 35/25 35/25 37/14 38/21 true [6] 20/10 21/4 23/22 53/6 53/8 subpoensed [1] 45/24 50/25 51/1 53/4 54/2 54/9 57/11

was [215] [7] 6/13 6/16 7/15 26/7 30/14 47/2 wasn't [17] 5/5 14/3 15/24 17/4 17/20 57/19 truth [6] 6/19 6/20 6/20 30/17 30/18 20/8 20/22 22/19 31/21 36/14 41/10 willing [4] 21/14 28/8 34/3 42/15 30/18 45/6 46/3 46/12 52/20 53/6 53/8 wire [2] 43/4 43/7 truthful [1] 53/1 way [2] 40/5 43/11 withdraw [1] 43/11 try [3] 10/20 12/3 39/18 we [42] 3/9 4/13 4/15 5/7 5/18 6/9 6/13 withdrew [1] 48/11 trying [8] 19/22 28/1 28/1 28/16 32/4 8/15 15/6 19/23 28/19 28/21 28/21 within [4] 8/9 16/17 19/19 27/23 38/20 39/19 50/20 31/15 32/4 32/12 33/7 35/9 35/15 without [7] 19/12 19/24 34/6 42/15 turned [2] 40/11 54/2 39/18 40/7 40/8 40/10 41/5 41/9 41/10 43/4 55/10 55/17 two [4] 4/8 4/10 43/18 44/18 43/17 46/6 46/8 47/10 47/12 47/14 witness [9] 2/15 7/12 7/15 12/16 14/18 type [1] 10/9 30/9 37/24 52/3 57/12 47/22 48/6 48/16 48/21 52/12 54/7 typewriting [1] 57/9 54/11 56/8 56/11 56/12 witnesses [8] 45/24 46/11 48/14 51/10 we're [4] 5/2 27/14 40/16 48/1 51/11 51/17 51/25 52/1 we've [3] 31/8 39/4 39/6 won't [4] 8/16 8/25 26/18 28/12 unavailable [1] 46/10 waeks [1] 45/18 word [1] 3/16 under (2) 3/24 28/14 well [12] 4/20 5/2 13/9 24/7 24/9 31/15 words [1] 54/19 understanding [1] 8/13 35/19 39/13 42/21 45/8 47/23 52/7 wore [1] 43/4 Unfortunately [1] 39/1 work [1] 7/15 went [28] 11/8 18/25 19/3 19/4 19/9 unit (3) 24/25 25/2 25/4 19/11 19/18 20/1 20/13 20/16 20/20 worried [2] 20/8 26/19 units [1] 40/15 20/24 21/2 21/7 21/13 23/3 30/1 32/6 worry [1] 26/25 unless [2] 21/18 41/23 33/6 41/1 41/9 45/9 45/18 47/9 47/23 would [66] untii [8] 5/24 6/2 19/11 23/8 26/2 47/25 49/5 50/22 wouldn't [4] 8/4 33/14 41/22 42/3 45/20 48/22 49/4 were [52] 4/9 4/10 4/15 4/18 8/15 writ [1] 3/7 unusual [3] 13/20 37/4 55/5 10/20 11/16 15/6 15/6 19/11 21/8 written [2] 17/25 18/6 unwilling [1] 40/7 23/25 25/9 26/20 27/4 28/21 28/21 wrong [1] 25/22 up [32] 7/8 7/9 7/12 7/14 15/2 16/15 29/5 31/14 32/4 33/10 33/18 34/13 18/4 18/11 18/17 25/4 25/20 27/2 X 35/15 36/19 37/21 39/18 40/14 41/4 28/13 28/25 30/13 33/1 33/6 34/14 XX [1] 1/8 41/10 42/6 42/7 42/14 42/23 43/17 35/25 37/15 38/4 38/19 41/19 42/2 43/21 43/25 45/5 45/25 46/2 46/9 43/10 45/22 46/3 46/4 49/8 51/2 53/23 46/11 48/16 49/2 49/3 49/12 50/5 53/3 yeah [15] 4/1 4/3 13/12 13/22 22/13 53/4 53/9 56/21 57/9 upon [2] 33/25 43/6 weren't [6] 20/15 26/19 26/22 28/19 22/22 24/20 27/13 27/17 27/20 29/24 upset [3] 14/3 18/19 38/20 35/19 39/5 41/12 56/15 36/13 46/8 us [1] 45/14 what [46] 4/4 4/9 4/16 8/8 8/13 9/1 year [1] 40/21 use [1] 3/15 11/11 12/5 12/7 12/10 16/10 16/11 years [5] 8/10 24/16 24/18 24/22 usually (3) 34/1 35/9 40/3 34/12 17/19 19/23 20/7 21/1 21/3 21/22 Utah [1] 2/9 yes [82] 22/18 22/18 23/23 25/18 25/23 27/7 yes-or-no [1] 13/10 27/23 28/21 28/25 29/4 31/14 35/22 40/4 40/11 40/13 43/7 44/15 45/2 you [276] variety [1] 48/8 you're [11] 8/21 18/10 20/15 26/16 45/21 46/16 46/18 48/3 48/23 50/10 various [1] 55/13 27/16 30/7 31/2 37/13 40/13 51/16 53/4 53/16 54/1 54/2 VEGAS [3] 1/3 2/4 3/1 What's [1] 21/5 51/19 verdict [3] 47/14 47/15 47/15 you've [2] 12/19 39/3 whatever [1] 31/9 versus [1] 3/4 very [8] 31/16 32/2 32/5 40/4 43/1 46/8 whatsoever [1] 44/9 your [90] when [33] 8/19 9/15 9/24 10/1 10/20 yourself [1] 44/2 50/24 55/3 11/7 11/22 13/25 19/10 20/19 21/6 video [1] 12/25 22/23 23/3 23/3 23/5 23/20 25/5 25/9 view [1] 54/10 26/10 27/4 27/9 28/11 29/12 33/2 visit [3] 25/3 25/5 25/13 35/25 38/18 39/4 39/15 42/13 43/25 visited [1] 25/7 46/4 49/12 50/20 visiting [1] 25/4 whenever [2] 31/1 33/24 voice [2] 13/24 18/14 where [10] 8/19 32/25 33/4 33/6 40/9 voiced [3] 18/18 18/21 18/23 40/15 41/23 44/24 44/24 53/22 W WHEREOF [1] 57/12 Whereupon (2) 6/17 30/15 wait [1] 46/15 whether [9] 3/20 4/4 5/23 16/21 16/25 waive [1] 5/17 21/22 32/10 34/13 55/15 walked [1] 9/18 which [8] 3/11 26/12 36/8 36/10 42/2 walking [1] 10/1 53/6 53/7 54/23 Wall [2] 34/15 39/22 while [4] 39/: 41/10 47/8 51/19 want [22] 32/5 32/7 33/6 33/20 36/9 who [5] 13/17 15/6 52/9 52/25 53/1 36/15 36/24 40/18 43/2 43/3 44/16 whoa [1] 29/4 44/19 45/12 45/23 46/6 47/9 48/2 whole [4] 6/19 11/10 30/17 49/8 48/23 50/12 50/19 52/5 55/23 whom [1] 20/5 wanted [9] 6/7 28/4 38/13 45/13 46/15 whose [1] 18/6 46/22 48/3 48/4 50/13 why [13] 4/24 11/16 13/9 14/2 18/20 wants [1] 53/2 19/3 19/4 21/13 28/12 28/16 28/17 warrant [1] 17/8 28/19 36/19 warrants [1] 40/14

Electronically Filed 08/16/2016 01:25:14 PM

Ţ FCL STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney CLERK OF THE COURT Nevada Bar #001565 3 STEVEN S. OWENS Chief Deputy District Attorney 4 Nevada Bar #004352 200 Lewis Avenue 5 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff. 11 -VS-CASE NO: 06C228752-3 12 BRYAN FERGASON. DEPT NO: XX aka Bryan Michael Fergason, #1299193 13 Defendant. 14 15 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 16 DATE OF HEARING: MAY 6, 2016 17 TIME OF HEARING: 1:30 P.M. 18 19

THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable ERIC JOHNSON, District Judge, on the 6th day of May, 2016, the Petitioner present and represented by MATTHEW D. CARLING, the Respondent being represented by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, Clark County District Attorney, by and through SANDRA DIGIACOMO, Chief Deputy District Attorney, and the Court having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

20.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

On December 13, 2006, BRYAN FERGASON, aka Bryan Michael Fergason (hereinafter "Defendant") was charged by way of Indictment with the following: COUNT 1 - Conspiracy to Possess Stolen Property and/or to Commit Burglary (Gross Misdemeanor -

 NRS 205.275, 199.480); and COUNTS 2-27 – Possession of Stolen Property (Felony – NRS 205.275). On February 1, 2007, Defendant filed a pre-trial Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. The State filed its Return on February 14, 2007. The Court denied Defendant's pre-trial Petition on November 8, 2007.

On April 25, 2008, Defendant filed a Motion to Sever. The State filed its Opposition on April 28, 2008. On May 1, 2008, the Court granted Defendant's Motion.

On May 7, 2008, Defendant filed a Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of Evidence that the Defendant Committed Burglary in the Instant Case. The State filed its Opposition on May 8, 2008. On May 12, 2008, the Court granted in part and denied in party Defendant's Motion. After further pre-trial litigation, Defendant's jury trial commenced on May 21, 2008.<sup>2</sup> On May 29, 2008, the jury found Defendant guilty of: COUNT 1 – Conspiracy to Possess and/or Commit Burglary; COUNTS 2, 5-6, 12, 18-21, 25, & 27 – Possession of Stolen Property, Value \$250.00 or more; COUNTS 4, 7-11, 13-17, 22-24, & 26 – Possession of Stolen Property, Value \$2,500.00 or more. The jury found Defendant not guilty of COUNT 3.

On October 1, 2008, Defendant was adjudicated guilty and sentenced to: COUNT 1 – 12 months in the Clark County Detention Center ("CCDC"); COUNT 2 – 20 years to Life in the Nevada Department of Corrections ("NDC"); COUNTS 4-14 – 20 years to Life in the NDC, COUNTS 1-2 & 4-14 to run concurrent to each other; COUNTS 15-27 – 20 years to Life in the NDC, COUNTS 15-27 to run concurrent with each other, but consecutively to COUNTS 1-2 & 4-14 and Defendant's convictions in Case Number C227874. Fergason received zero days credit for time served. The Judgment of Conviction was filed on November 4, 2008. Defendant filed a Notice of Appeal on December 4, 2008.

On April 6, 2009, the Court filed an Amended Judgment of conviction, wherein, the minimum term on COUNTS 4-14 & 15-27, was amended from 20 years to 10 years.

On December 15, 2006, the State filed an Amended Indictment, containing the same charges.

28 //

On August 4, 2010, the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed Defendant's judgment of conviction. Remittitur issued on December 14, 2010.

On June 16, 2011, Defendant filed a pro per post-conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition"). The State filed its Response on August 9, 2011. On August 25, 2011, the Court denied Defendant's Petition. Defendant filed a Notice of Appeal on September 22, 2011. The Court filed its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order on November 9, 2011. April 6, 2012, the Nevada Supreme Court ordered the denial of Defendant's Petition reversed and remanded the case back for appointment of counsel, without reaching the merits of any other claims.

On May 15, 2012, Matthew Carling, Esq., was appointed as counsel. On November 2, 2015, Defendant filed a Supplemental post-conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Supplement"). The State filed its Response on January 15, 2015. Defendant filed his Reply on February 19, 2016.

This Court heard arguments on the Petition and Supplement on March 29, 2016, and set the matter for a limited Evidentiary Hearing on Defendant's issues C & D, but not as to A & B, for May 6, 2016.

And the Court now denies Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

Defendant raised four claims of ineffective assistance of counsel before the Court, arguing that counsel was ineffective for: (a) failing to object to certain testimony of Tonya Trevarthen, (b) failing to investigate and present evidence regarding Trevarthen's testimony about Defendant not having contact with Damion Monroe from 2001-2006, (c) for not presenting a defense at Defendant's sentencing hearing, and (d) for failing to object during the State's opening statement.

After the reading the briefs and hearing argument on March 29, 2016, the Court found no merit to Claims A & B, but did order an Evidentiary Hearing on Claims C & D. At that hearing, Defendant and his trial counsel, the Honorable Cynthia Dustin-Cruz, testified to those claims.

Defendant originally testified that trial counsel told him that the Court would not sentence him to Life in prison, and that he was looking more at five to 20 years. Evidentiary Hearing ("EH") at 7-8. He went on to say that his decision to proceed to trial was partly based on counsel's advisement of the possible range of punishment, but that he would have taken any offer that did not require him to testify against co-defendants. EH, at 10. However, Ms. Cruz testified that she never told Defendant that he would not get a Life sentence if he was convicted. Id., at 33. Furthermore, the State did make an offer that did not require Defendant's testimony after the conviction of Mr. Monroe. Id., at 45-49. In fact, once Defendant's trial was severed from Mr. Monroe's trial and Monroe was convicted, counsel discussed with him, in detail, that the plea offer that would be in his best interest, which included a small habitual. Id. However, Defendant refused to accept any plea deal. Id.

Defendant also claimed that between trial and sentencing, he did not have any contact with counsel, aside from her mailing him a sentencing memorandum and, additionally, was not afforded the opportunity to read the Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI) Report before sentencing. *Id.*, at 10-11, 23-24. However, Defendant made no attempts to contact counsel between trial and sentencing. *Id.*, at 12.

Defendant testified further that counsel did not advise him at all on what to do at sentencing. Id., at 26. Ms. Cruz testified that while she did not recall if he had any direct contact with Defendant prior to the day of sentencing, she was certain that she gave him a copy of the PSI on the day of sentencing and met with him to discuss what was going on. Id., at 35-36. Additionally, though she could not recall discussing particular mitigating factors with Defendant, Ms. Cruz testified that the main concern for Defendant was about forfeiture of items that were not shown as evidence at trial. Id., at 36.

Additionally, Defendant testified about the State's opening argument at trial and claimed that in its PowerPoint slides, the State had pictures of Defendant and his co-defendants and all of the photos had the word "Guilty" stamped across the faces in red lettering. *Id.*, at 13. He claims to have pointed this out to trial counsel, but that she ignored it and did not object. *Id.*, at 14.

Ms. Cruz convincingly refuted that testimony, noting that while she could not recall if the State showed photos during opening arguments, she was adamant that if photos would have had the word "Guilty" across faces of the Defendant and co-defendants, she would have, "been jumping on my feet objection, and if the judge had overruled my objections, I would have demanded that a copy of the PowerPoint presentation for opening be included in the record." Id., at 37.

Defendant's claims regarding counsel's failure to objection and investigate fall well short of demonstrating ineffective assistance of counsel. Counsel's tactical decisions are virtually unchallengeable absent extraordinary circumstances. *Doleman v. State*, 112 Nev. 843, at 846, 921 P.2d 280 (1996). Courts must determine whether counsel made a "sufficient inquiry into the information . . . pertinent to his client's case," *Id.*, and then whether counsel made "a reasonable strategy decision on how to proceed with his client's case." *Id.*, (citing *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. at 690-91, 104 S. Ct. at 2066).

During pre-trial litigation, trial counsel filed a Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of Evidence that eventually came out in Trevarthen's testimony. And the Court found that that evidence would be admissible. Reporter's Transcript ("RT"), 5/2/2008, at p. 33-34. Pursuant to the Court's ruling, counsel did not objection to Trevarthen's testimony. Further, counsel did express concern, outside the presence of the jury, about Trevarthen's generalized comments of other crimes, but noted that she did not want to draw the jury's attention to the statements. RT, 5/27/2008, at 221-223.

When a defendant contends that his attorney was ineffective because she did not adequately investigate the case, he must show how a better investigation would have rendered a more favorable outcome probable. *Molina v. State*, 120 Nev. 185, 192, 87 P.3d 533, 538 (2004). That defendant must allege with specificity what the investigations would have revealed and how it would have altered the outcome of the trial. *United States v. Porter*, 924 F.2d 395, 397 (1st Cir. 1991) (quoting *United States v. Green*, 882 F.2d 999, 1003 (5th Cir. 1989).). And a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel alleging a failure to properly

investigate will fail where the evidence or testimony sought does not exonerate or exculpate the defendant. Ford v. State, 105 Nev. 850, 854, 784 P.2d 951, 953 (1989).

During State's questioning, Trevarthen testified that she saw Defendant "pretty often," at least few times a week. RT, 5/27/2008, at p.198. She then clarified that it was not a few times a week the entire time she knew him, but at least the last year or so. Id. Afterward, outside the presence of the jury, counsel discussed with the Court her intention to question Trevarthen about the timeframe when Defendant was not around. Id. at p.224. However, counsel explained that she did not want to go into the details of why because Defendant was not around due to his having drug issues at that time and Monroe did not want to work with him. Id. The Court stated that it would allow counsel to question Trevarthen without opening the door, but it had to be narrowed to whether there were a few months, during which Defendant was not around, but nothing more specific. Id. During cross-examination, counsel did just that:

COUNSEL:

And then going back to when you – regarding Mr. Fergason coming past, wasn't there a period of time, and we're going to stick with a yes or no. Wasn't there a period of time during the timeframe when you said in 2001 when you first met him to 2006 when he started being around more frequently, wasn't there a period of time that he wasn't coming around?

TREVARTHEN: Yes

RT, 5/27/2008 p. 239.

Defendant has failed to make any showing regarding what further investigation would have revealed regarding that time and how that would have rendered a more favorable outcome. To the contrary, the record created outside the presence of the jury shows that further inquiry would not only not exonerate or exculpate Defendant, but would have been detrimental. Additionally, counsel's strategic decision was a tactical decision. <u>Doleman</u>, 112 Nev. at 846, 921 P.2d at 280.

27 //

28 //

28 //

Counsel's handling of the Trevarthen testimony was objectively reasonable and was not ineffective and Defendant has not demonstrated prejudice. Both of those claims are denied.

As to Defendant's third claim, the Court, finding Ms. Cruz to be more credible than Defendant, finds that trial counsel did not ever say that the defendant would not receive a life sentence. Further, the Court does find that, before Defendant's trial and after Mr. Monroe was convicted, the State would make an offer that did not require Defendant's testimony. Defendant was informed of that offer and advised that it was in his best interest, and he chose to decline it.

Moreover, Defendant's reliance on Brown v. State, 110 Nev. 846, 877 P.2d 1071, to support his claim that counsel was ineffective is misplaced. In Brown, trial counsel not only failed to request that the defendant's sentences run concurrently, a statutory possibility that was available, but also failed to present any mitigating evidence. Id. 110 Nev. at 850, 877 P.2d at 1074. Also, counsel testified that he was unaware that the defendant's sentence could run concurrently and evidence indicated that counsel was not even aware of what the minimum available sentence was. Id. In this case, unlike Brown, counsel was not only well aware of the minimum sentence, but argued for the imposition of the minimum sentence and for the sentences run concurrent. And finally, Ms. Cruz presented mitigating factors.

Defendant has failed to demonstrate deficiency or prejudice. This claim is denied.

Lastly, this Court finds that there are no grounds on which Defendant can succeed on his final claim. Trial counsel was adamant in her testimony that if photos on the State's opening PowerPoint would have flashed the word "guilty" across any faces, she would have acted accordingly. Additionally, the State has correctly pointed out that Defendant's first Petition makes no mention of this occurring at opening, and only notes it happening during closing arguments. The Court finds that no photos with the word "guilty" superimposed were shown during State's opening argument. Defendant's last claim is denied.

1	ORDER
2	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Petition for Post-Conviction Relief
3	shall be, and it is, hereby denied.
4	DATED this 12 day of August, 2016.
5	>V
6	DISTRICT WODGE
7 8	STEVEN B. WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney Nevada Bar #001565
9	Nevada Bar #001505
10	BY STEVENS. OWENS
11	Chief Deputy District Attorney Nevada Bar #004352
12	
13	
14	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
15	I certify that on the 8th day of August, 2016, I e-mailed a copy of the foregoing
16	proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order to:
17	MATTHEW D. CARLING, Esq.
18	cedarlegal@gmail.com
19	BY K. Sohnow
20	R! JOHNSON' Secretary for the District Attorney's Office
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	AR/SSO/rj/M-1
	380

## COPY

Electronically Filed 08/18/2016 CLERK OF THE COURT

NEO

BRYAN FERGASON,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

2 3

1

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

Case No: 06C228752-3 Petitioner.

Dept No: XX

Respondent

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF FUNDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 16, 2016, the court entered a decision or order in this matter, a true and correct copy of which is attached to this notice.

You may appeal to the Supreme Court from the decision or order of this court. If you wish to appeal, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this court within thirty-three (33) days after the date this notice is mailed to you. This notice was mailed on August 18, 2016.

STEVEN D. GRIERSON, CLERK OF THE COURT

/s/ Chaunte Pleasant

Chaunte Pleasant, Deputy Clerk

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on this 18 day of August 2016. I placed a copy of this Notice of Entry in:

- ☑ The bin(s) located in the Regional Justice Center of: Clark County District Attorney's Office Attorney General's Office - Appellate Division-
- The United States mail addressed as follows:

Bryan Fergason # 96803 P.O Box 650

Indian Springs, NV 89070

Matthew D. Carling, Esq. 1100 S. 10th Street Las Vegas. NV 89101

/s/ Chainte Pleasant

Chaunte Pleasant, Deputy Clerk

Electronically Filed 08/16/2016 01:25:14 PM

1 FCL STEVEN B. WOLFSON 2 Clark County District Attorney CLERK OF THE COURT Nevada Bar #001565 3 STEVEN S. OWENS Chief Deputy District Attorney . 4 Nevada Bar #004352 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2212 (702) 671-2500 5 6 Attorney for Plaintiff 7 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 9 THE STATE OF NEVADA. 10 Plaintiff, 11 -VS-CASE NO: 06C228752-3 12 BRYAN FERGASON. DEPT NO: XX aka Bryan Michael Fergason, #1299193 13 Defendant. 14 15 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 16 DATE OF HEARING: MAY 6, 2016 17 TIME OF HEARING: 1:30 P.M. 18 THIS CAUSE having come on for hearing before the Honorable ERIC JOHNSON, 19 District Judge, on the 6th day of May, 2016, the Petitioner present and represented by 20. MATTHEW D. CARLING, the Respondent being represented by STEVEN B. WOLFSON, 21 Clark County District Attorney, by and through SANDRA DiGIACOMO, Chief Deputy 22 District Attorney, and the Court having considered the matter, including briefs, transcripts, 23 arguments of counsel, and documents on file herein, now therefore, the Court makes the 24 following findings of fact and conclusions of law: 25 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 26 On December 13, 2006, BRYAN FERGASON, aka Bryan Michael Fergason 27 (hereinafter "Defendant") was charged by way of Indictment with the following: COUNT 1 28 - Conspiracy to Possess Stolen Property and/or to Commit Burglary (Gross Misdemeanor -

W:1200612006FtZ1801106F21801-FCL-(FERGASON\_BRYAN)-601.DOCX

NRS 205.275, 199.480); and COUNTS 2-27 – Possession of Stolen Property (Felony – NRS 205.275). On February 1, 2007, Defendant filed a pre-trial Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. The State filed its Return on February 14, 2007. The Court denied Defendant's pre-trial Petition on November 8, 2007.

On April 25, 2008, Defendant filed a Motion to Sever. The State filed its Opposition on April 28, 2008. On May 1, 2008, the Court granted Defendant's Motion.

On May 7, 2008, Defendant filed a Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of Evidence that the Defendant Committed Burglary in the Instant Case. The State filed its Opposition on May 8, 2008. On May 12, 2008, the Court granted in part and denied in party Defendant's Motion. After further pre-trial litigation, Defendant's jury trial commenced on May 21, 2008. On May 29, 2008, the jury found Defendant guilty of: COUNT 1 – Conspiracy to Possess and/or Commit Burglary; COUNTS 2, 5-6, 12, 18-21, 25, & 27 – Possession of Stolen Property, Value \$250.00 or more; COUNTS 4, 7-11, 13-17, 22-24, & 26 – Possession of Stolen Property, Value \$2,500.00 or more. The jury found Defendant not guilty of COUNT 3.

On October 1, 2008, Defendant was adjudicated guilty and sentenced to: COUNT 1 – 12 months in the Clark County Detention Center ("CCDC"); COUNT 2 – 20 years to Life in the Nevada Department of Corrections ("NDC"); COUNTS 4-14 – 20 years to Life in the NDC, COUNTS 1-2 & 4-14 to run concurrent to each other; COUNTS 15-27 – 20 years to Life in the NDC, COUNTS 15-27 to run concurrent with each other, but consecutively to COUNTS 1-2 & 4-14 and Defendant's convictions in Case Number C227874. Fergason received zero days credit for time served. The Judgment of Conviction was filed on November 4, 2008. Defendant filed a Notice of Appeal on December 4, 2008.

On April 6, 2009, the Court filed an Amended Judgment of conviction, wherein, the minimum term on COUNTS 4-14 & 15-27, was amended from 20 years to 10 years.

On December 15, 2006, the State filed an Amended Indictment, containing the same charges.

The same day in man court of Third Amended Indictment, containing the same charges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The same day, in open court, a Third Amended Indictment, which included only Defendant.

On August 4, 2010, the Nevada Supreme Court affirmed Defendant's judgment of conviction. Remittitur issued on December 14, 2010.

On June 16, 2011, Defendant filed a pro per post-conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition"). The State filed its Response on August 9, 2011. On August 25, 2011, the Court denied Defendant's Petition. Defendant filed a Notice of Appeal on September 22, 2011. The Court filed its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order on November 9, 2011. April 6, 2012, the Nevada Supreme Court ordered the denial of Defendant's Petition reversed and remanded the case back for appointment of counsel, without reaching the merits of any other claims.

On May 15, 2012, Matthew Carling, Esq., was appointed as counsel. On November 2, 2015, Defendant filed a Supplemental post-conviction Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Supplement"). The State filed its Response on January 15, 2015. Defendant filed his Reply on February 19, 2016.

This Court heard arguments on the Petition and Supplement on March 29, 2016, and set the matter for a limited Evidentiary Hearing on Defendant's issues C & D, but not as to A & B, for May 6, 2016.

And the Court now denies Defendant's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus.

Defendant raised four claims of ineffective assistance of counsel before the Court, arguing that counsel was ineffective for: (a) failing to object to certain testimony of Tonya Trevarthen, (b) failing to investigate and present evidence regarding Trevarthen's testimony about Defendant not having contact with Damion Monroe from 2001-2006, (c) for not presenting a defense at Defendant's sentencing hearing, and (d) for failing to object during the State's opening statement.

After the reading the briefs and hearing argument on March 29, 2016, the Court found no merit to Claims A & B, but did order an Evidentiary Hearing on Claims C & D. At that hearing, Defendant and his trial counsel, the Honorable Cynthia Dustin-Cruz, testified to those claims.

18<sub>.</sub> 

 Defendant originally testified that trial counsel told him that the Court would not sentence him to Life in prison, and that he was looking more at five to 20 years. Evidentiary Hearing ("EH") at 7-8. He went on to say that his decision to proceed to trial was partly based on counsel's advisement of the possible range of punishment, but that he would have taken any offer that did not require him to testify against co-defendants. EH, at 10. However, Ms. Cruz testified that she never told Defendant that he would not get a Life sentence if he was convicted. *Id.*, at 33. Furthermore, the State did make an offer that did not require Defendant's testimony after the conviction of Mr. Monroe. *Id.*, at 45-49. In fact, once Defendant's trial was severed from Mr. Monroe's trial and Monroe was convicted, counsel discussed with him, in detail, that the plea offer that would be in his best interest, which included a small habitual. *Id.*. However, Defendant refused to accept any plea deal. *Id.* 

Defendant also claimed that between trial and sentencing, he did not have any contact with counsel, aside from her mailing him a sentencing memorandum and, additionally, was not afforded the opportunity to read the Pre-Sentence Investigation (PSI) Report before sentencing. *Id.*, at 10-11, 23-24. However, Defendant made no attempts to contact counsel between trial and sentencing. *Id.*, at I2.

Defendant testified further that counsel did not advise him at all on what to do at sentencing. Id., at 26. Ms. Cruz testified that while she did not recall if he had any direct contact with Defendant prior to the day of sentencing, she was certain that she gave him a copy of the PSI on the day of sentencing and met with him to discuss what was going on. Id., at 35-36. Additionally, though she could not recall discussing particular mitigating factors with Defendant, Ms. Cruz testified that the main concern for Defendant was about forfeiture of items that were not shown as evidence at trial. Id., at 36.

Additionally, Defendant testified about the State's opening argument at trial and claimed that in its PowerPoint slides, the State had pictures of Defendant and his co-defendants and all of the photos had the word "Guilty" stamped across the faces in red lettering. *Id.*, at 13. He claims to have pointed this out to trial counsel, but that she ignored it and did not object. *Id.*, at 14.

Ms. Cruz convincingly refuted that testimony, noting that while she could not recall if the State showed photos during opening arguments, she was adamant that if photos would have had the word "Guilty" across faces of the Defendant and co-defendants, she would have, "been jumping on my feet objection, and if the judge had overruled my objections, I would have demanded that a copy of the PowerPoint presentation for opening be included in the record." *Id.*, at 37.

Defendant's claims regarding counsel's failure to objection and investigate fall well short of demonstrating ineffective assistance of counsel. Counsel's tactical decisions are virtually unchallengeable absent extraordinary circumstances. *Doleman v. State*, 112 Nev. 843, at 846, 921 P.2d 280 (1996). Courts must determine whether counsel made a "sufficient inquiry into the information . . . pertinent to his client's case," *Id.*, and then whether counsel made "a reasonable strategy decision on how to proceed with his client's case." *Id.*, (citing *Strickland v. Washington*, 466 U.S. at 690-91, 104 S. Ct. at 2066).

During pre-trial litigation, trial counsel filed a Motion in Limine to Bar Admission of Evidence that eventually came out in Trevarthen's testimony. And the Court found that that evidence would be admissible. Reporter's Transcript ("RT"), 5/2/2008, at p. 33-34. Pursuant to the Court's ruling, counsel did not objection to Trevarthen's testimony. Further, counsel did express concern, outside the presence of the jury, about Trevarthen's generalized comments of other crimes, but noted that she did not want to draw the jury's attention to the statements. RT, 5/27/2008, at 221-223.

When a defendant contends that his attorney was ineffective because she did not adequately investigate the case, he must show how a better investigation would have rendered a more favorable outcome probable. *Molina v. State*, 120 Nev. 185, 192, 87 P.3d 533, 538 (2004). That defendant must allege with specificity what the investigations would have revealed and how it would have altered the outcome of the trial. *United States v. Porter*, 924 F.2d 395, 397 (1st Cir. 1991) (quoting *United States v. Green*, 882 F.2d 999, 1003 (5th Cir. 1989).). And a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel alleging a failure to properly

#

Counset's handling of the Treverthen testimony was objectively reasonable and was not ineffective and Defendant has not demonstrated prejudice. Both of those claims are denied.

As to Defendant's third claim, the Court, finding Ms. Cruz to be more credible than Defendant, finds that trial counsel did not ever say that the defendant would not receive a life sentence. Further, the Court does find that, before Defendant's trial and after Mr. Monroe was convicted, the State would make an offer that did not require Defendant's testimony. Defendant was informed of that offer and advised that it was in his best interest, and he chose to decline it.

Moreover, Defendant's reliance on Brown v. State, 110 Nev. 846, 877 P.2d 1071, to support his claim that counsel was ineffective is misplaced. In Brown, trial counsel not only failed to request that the defendant's sentences run concurrently, a statutory possibility that was available, but also failed to present any mitigating evidence. Id. 110 Nev. at 850, 877 P.2d at 1074. Also, counsel testified that he was unaware that the defendant's sentence could run concurrently and evidence indicated that counsel was not even aware of what the minimum available sentence was. Id. In this case, unlike Brown, counsel was not only well aware of the minimum sentence, but argued for the imposition of the minimum sentence and for the sentences run concurrent. And finally, Ms. Cruz presented mitigating factors.

Defendant has failed to demonstrate deficiency or prejudice. This claim is denied.

Lastly, this Court finds that there are no grounds on which Defendant can succeed on his final claim. Trial counsel was adamant in her testimony that if photos on the State's opening PowerPoint would have flashed the word "guilty" across any faces, she would have acted accordingly. Additionally, the State has correctly pointed out that Defendant's first Petition makes no mention of this occurring at opening, and only notes it happening during closing arguments. The Court finds that no photos with the word "guilty" superimposed were shown during State's opening argument. Defendant's last claim is denied.

1	ASTA			Street & Blown
2	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ.			CLERK OF THE COURT
3	Nevada Bar No. 007302			VELAN OF THE COURT
4	1100 S. Tenth Street			
5 6		NV 89101		
	Telephone	: (702) 419-7330		
7 8		(702) 446-8065		
9	CenarLega	l@gmail.com		
10	PRVAN: E	·Petitioner/Defenda ERGASON	nt	
10	DK I AIN F	ERGASON		
11				
12			DISTRIC	T COURT
13	0			NTY, NEVADA
14			OLIMA COUL	VII, NEVADA
15			**	***
16	(I)			
17				
18	CONTRACTOR			
	BRYANI	FERGASON,		Case No.: 06C228752-3
	1		Petitioner,	Dept. No.: XX
	E1161114			-
	-1/S-			
1	THE STA	TE OF NEVAD	Δ	
8		ILL OT MINAND	Λ,	N
			Respondent.	
19				
20			CASE APPEAL	STATEMENT
21			(NRAP	
22				10 000000
23	1.	Name of appe	ellant filling this o	case appeal statement:
24	20 West			
25 26	BRYAN FERGASON			
27	•	*********	· 발 · 사람 ·	
28	2.	formuly the ju	dge issuing the	decision, judgment, or order appealed
29		from:		78- 1580(99)
10		Eric Johnson		
31		The Journal		
12	3. Identify all parties to the proceedings in the district court:			ediage in the disular
3		, pa	to the prote	course in me district collis.
4		Bryan Fergason	Ŀ	
9		85		

3	4.	Identify all parties involved in	this appeal:	
5		Bryan Fergason		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		The State of Nevada		
8 9	5.	Name, law firm, address, and telephone number of all counsel on appeal and party or parties whom they represent:		
`		MATTHEW D. CARLING	STEVEN S. OWENS	
		Nevada Bar #007302	Chief Deputy District Attorney	
		1100 S. Tenth Street	Nevada Bar #004352	
		Las Vegas, NV 89101	P.O. Box 552212	
		(702) 419-7330	Las Vegas, NV 89101-2212	
		Counsel for Appellant,	Counsel for Appeller,	
		Bryan Fergason	State of Nevada	
6. Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed counsel in the district court: Appointed		represented by appointed or retained		
	<ol> <li>Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retaine counsel on appeal: Appointed</li> </ol>		presented by appointed or retained	
	8.	Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the date of entry of the district court order granting su leave: N/A		
12 13	9.	Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court:		
25	Indictment filed December 13, 2006.			
1	Dated this 2 <sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2016.			
20		CARI	ING LAW OFFICE, PC	
20			atthew D. Carling	
			THEW D. CARLING, ESQ.	
		Nevac	a Bar No.; 007302	
		Court-	Appointed Attorney for Defendant,	
		BRYA	N FERGASON	

] 2	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
3	I hereby certify that, on this 2nd day of September, 2016, I sent a true and correct	1		
4	copy of the above CASE APPEAL STATEMENT to the following parties:			
5	Steven B. Wolfson, Esq.			
6	Clark County District Attorney			
	Post Conviction Unit			
7 8 9	Jennifer. Garcia@clarke-unmala.com	0		
10 11	CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC	0		
12	/s/ Matthew D. Carling			
13	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ.			
14	Court-Appointed Attorney for Defendant,			
15	BRYAN FERGASON	3		
8				

1		Alun to blum	
2 3	MATTHEW D, CARLING, ESQ.		
3	Nevada Bar No. 007302	CLERK OF THE COURT	
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	The state of the s		
9	Jon - versioner / Extendent		
10	BRYAN FERGASON		
11			
12	DISTRI	CT COURT	
13	CLAIM COU	NTY, NEVADA	
14	i 1		
15	The state of the s	***	
16			
17			
18	· I	¥ par analy to	
	BRYAN FERGASON,	Case No.: 06C228752-3	
	Petitioner,	Dept No.: XX	
	-vs-	is a second of the second of t	
	1565/61		
	THE STATE OF NEVADA,		
	n		
10	Respondent,	w r	
19	Harris Ha		
20	KANS - 197000	2	
21	NOTICE	OF APPEAL	
22			
23			
24	TO: THE STATE OF NEVADA		
25	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT A	TTORNEY CLARK COUNTY NEVADA	
26	STEVEN B. WOLFSON, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA and DEPARTMENT 20 OF THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE		
27	STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK.		
28		THE COUNTY OF CLARK	
29	NOTICE is hereby given that DDS/A37.	TERCASONI	
0.5550	130 TICE IS HOLODY given that BK TAIN I	ERGASON, presently incarcerated at High	
30	Desert State Prison, Indian Springs, Nevada, ap	peals to the Supreme Court of the State of	
	1	ia	
	I		
		[10]	

1	Nevada from the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order denying his Petition for a Writ			
2	of Habeas Corpus (Post-Conviction) issued on or about August 18, 2016.			
3	DATED this 2 <sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2016.			
5	T .	THE CANADA SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SAN SA		
6	1	CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC		
7		/s/ Matthew D. Carling		
7 8	1	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ.		
9	0.	Nevada Bar No.: 007302		
10	8	10. 00/302		
11	DECLARATION OF MAILING			
12	MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ., hereby declares that he is, and was when the herein			
13	described mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age; that on the 2 <sup>nd</sup>			
14	day of September, 2016, Declarant deposited in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, a			
15	copy of the Notice of Appeal in the above-mention case, enclosed in a sealed envelope upon			
16	which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to the following:			
	Bryan Fergason (#96803)	Steven B. Wolfson, Esq.		
	HDSP	Clark Count District Attorney		
	P.O. Box 650	200 Lewis Avenue		
	Indian Springs, Nevada 89070-0650	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
17	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.			
8	Executed on the 2nd day of Septem	her 2016		
19	7.53.53			
20		CARLING LAW OFFICE, PC		
21	88	J. T. C. T.		
22		/s/ Matthew D. Carling		
23		MATTHEW D. CARLING, ESQ.		
24	ki .	Nevada Bar No.: 007302		
.5		NE WAS ACCESSED A SALESTON		
6				
		n e		
		1		