1	James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027 JJP@pisanellibice.com	
2	Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534 TLB@pisanellibice.com	
3	Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695 DLS@pisanellibice.com	Electronically Filed
4	PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300	Nov 21 2017 10:41 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702.214.2100	Clerk of Supreme Court
6	Robert L. Shapiro, Esq. (pro hac vice admi	tted)
7	RS@glaserweil.com GLASER WEIL FINK HOWARD	
8	AVCHEN & SHAPIRO LLP 10250 Constellation Boulevard, 19th Floor	
9	Los Angeles, California 90067 Telephone: 310.553.3000	
10	Mitchell J. Langberg, Esq., Bar No. 10118 mlangberg@bhfs.com	
11 12	BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER & SCH 100 North City Parkway. Suite 1600	IRECK LLP
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Telephone: 702.382.2101	
14	Attorneys for Wynn Resorts, Limited,	
15	IN THE SUPREME COURT O	F THE STATE OF NEVADA
16	IN THE BUT REME COURT O	THE STATE OF NEVADA
17	WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED,	Case No. 74500
18	Petitioner, vs.	District Court Case No. A-12-656710-B
19	THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT	
20	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE	WYNN RESORTS, LIMITED'S MOTION TO FILE VOLUMES OF
21	COUNTY OF CLARK; AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH	ITS APPENDIX UNDER SEAL AND TO REDACT PORTIONS OF ITS
22	GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, DEPT. XI,	PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR
23	Respondent,	ALTERNATIVELY PROHIBITION
24	VAZUO OVADA UNIVEDGAI	
25	KAZUO OKADA, UNIVERSAL ENTERTAINMENT CORP., AND ARUSE USA, INC.,	
26	Real Parties in Interest.	
27		
.,.		

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Wynn Resorts, Limited ("Wynn Resorts") hereby moves to file volumes of its Appendix under seal and redact portions of its Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Alternatively Prohibition ("Petition"). More specifically, Wynn Resorts seeks permission to submit the following Volumes of its Appendix under seal: I-V, VII-XI, XIII, XV-XXII, XXVI-XXX, XXXII-XL, and XLII-XLIII. Wynn Resorts brings this motion pursuant to Part VII of the Supreme Court Rules Governing Sealing and Redacting Court Records. SRCR 3(4) permits sealing or redacting records when it furthers a protective order entered under NRCP 26(c).

The District Court entered the Wynn Parties' Protective Order with Respect to Confidentiality ("Protective Order") on February 14, 2013, and it governs the process by which parties to this action may designate information as Confidential or Highly Confidential as defined therein. Both Wynn Resorts' Petition and the Appendix contain information the parties designated Confidential or Highly Confidential pursuant to the Protective Order, and the District Court ruled should be redacted and/or sealed. As such, Wynn Resorts respectfully requests this Court grant its request to seal Volumes I-V, VII-XI, XIII, XV-XXII, XXVI-XXX, XXXII-XL, and XLII-XLIII of its Appendix and to redact certain portions of its Petition.

II. RELEVANT FACTS

The Protective Order entered on February 14, 2013 governs the use and disclosure of Confidential and Highly Confidential information in this case, including the filing of such information with the Court. It requires the filing of any documents that summarize or quote from Highly Confidential or Confidential information be submitted with a Motion to Seal and Redact. (Ex. 1 ¶ 13.) The Protective Order permits the parties to designate materials that contain "information that constitutes, reflects, or discloses nonpublic information, trade secrets, know-how, or other financial, proprietary, commercially sensitive, confidential business, marketing,

regulatory, or strategic information (regarding business plans or strategies, technical data, and nonpublic designs)" as Confidential. (Id. \P 4.) Additionally, it allows for the designation of materials as Highly Confidential if "the disclosure of which would create a substantial risk of competitive, business, or personal injury to the Producing Party." (Id. \P 5.) Any information designated as Confidential or Highly Confidential and filed with the Court may be kept under seal and/or redacted upon motion of a party. (Id. \P 9.) If a Party wants to challenge a particular designation, the Protective Order sets forth the procedure to do so. (Id. \P 18.) The materials retain their Confidential or Highly Confidential designation unless and until the parties agree otherwise or the District Court issues an order removing the designation. (Id.)

III. ANALYSIS

A. Standards for Sealing or Redacting Court Records or Exhibits.

Part VII of the Supreme Court Rules provides that records submitted to this Court may be submitted in redacted or sealed form, subject to further order. The Court will keep the documents redacted or under seal if there is an appropriate basis under SRCR 3(4). SRCR 3(4) permits the sealing or redaction of the record when justified by compelling privacy or safety interests that outweigh the public interest in access to the court record. Furthermore, the public interest in privacy outweighs the public interest in open court records when the sealing or redaction furthers a protective order entered under NRCP 26(c). SRCR 3(4)(b).

B. Wynn Resorts' Request to Seal Certain Volumes of its Appendix Furthers the Purpose of the Protective Order Entered by the District Court.

Each document Wynn Resorts seeks to file under seal contains information designated Confidential or Highly Confidential pursuant to the Protective Order, and ordered redacted or sealed by the District Court. The discussion below identifies (1) the title of each document containing Confidential or Highly Confidential information, (2) the specific portions of the document that were ordered redacted

and/or sealed by the District Court, (3) the date the District Court granted the underlying motion to redact and/or seal, and (4) the location (by bates number) of the Confidential or Highly Confidential information within the Appendix.

1. The Aruze Parties' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Set of Requests for Production to Wynn Resorts, Limited.

On April 28, 2015, the Okada Parties filed their Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Set of Requests for Production to Wynn Resorts, Limited. On June 4, 2015, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact page 10 of the motion and seal Exhibits 3, 5-6, 33-34, and 36. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. I, 00144, 00223-00250; App. Vol. II-III, 00427-00527; App. Vol. IV, 00768-00790, 00794-00924.

2. Wynn Resorts, Limited's Opposition to the Okada Parties' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Sets of Requests for Production.

On May 19, 2015, Wynn Resorts filed its Opposition to the Okada Parties' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Sets of Requests for Production. On June 4, 2015, the District Court granted Wynn Resorts' unopposed motion to seal Exhibits 2 and 3 thereto. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. V, 01049-01084.

3. The Aruze Parties' Reply in Support of their Motion to Compel.

On May 28, 2015, the Okada Parties filed their Reply in Support of their Motion to Compel. On June 4, 2015, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 5-6 and 11 of the reply and seal Exhibits 37-42. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. VII, 01674-01675, 01680, 01702-01732.

4. The Wynn Parties' Motion for Relief from Order Granting The Aruze Parties' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Sets of Requests for Production.

On December 8, 2015, Wynn Resorts filed its Motion for Relief from Order Granting The Aruze Parties' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Sets of Requests for Production. On January 8, 2016, the District Court granted Wynn Resorts' unopposed motion to redact pages 4, 6, 9, 11, and 13-19 of the motion and seal Exhibits B-K. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. VIII, 01841, 01843, 01846, 01848, 01850-01856, 01868-01976.

5. The Aruze Parties' Opposition to the Wynn Parties' Motion for Relief from Order Granting The Aruze Parties' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Sets of Requests for Production.

On December 21, 2015, the Okada Parties filed their Opposition to the Wynn Parties' Motion for Relief from Order Granting The Aruze Parties' Motion to Compel Supplemental Responses to their Second and Third Sets of Requests for Production. On February 2, 2016, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 5, 7, and 9-10 of the opposition and seal Exhibits 1-3. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. VIII, 01981, 01983, 01985-01986; App. Vol. VIII-IX 01996-02021.

6. The Aruze Parties' Motion to Compel Production of Wynn Resorts, Limited's Improperly Redacted Documents, and Motion for Sanctions and Attorney's Fees.

On April 20, 2016, the Okada Parties filed their Motion to Compel Production of Wynn Resorts, Limited's Improperly Redacted Documents, and Motion for Sanctions and Attorney's Fees. On May 26, 2016, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 7 and 9-11 of the motion and seal Exhibits D, F-J, and L-M. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. IX, 02034, 02036-02038, 02111-02112; App. Vol. X, 02283-02387; App. Vol. X-XI, 02498-02510.

7. The Aruze Parties' Status Report Regarding the May 26, 2016 Hearing.

On May 25, 2016, the Okada Parties filed their Status Report Regarding the May 26, 2016 Hearing. On July 1, 2016, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact page 3 of the status report and seal Exhibits A-C. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XIII, 03149, 03154-03162.

8. The Aruze Parties' Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Production of Wynn Resorts, Limited's Improperly Redacted Documents, and Motion for Sanctions and Attorney's Fees.

On August 26, 2016, the Okada Parties filed their Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Production of Wynn Resorts, Limited's Improperly Redacted Documents, and Motion for Sanctions and Attorney's Fees. On September 15, 2016, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 4, 8-11, and 13-14 of the reply and seal Exhibits P and S-T. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XV, 03584, 03588-03591, 03593-03594, 03601-03608, 03650-03747.

9. Defendants' Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order Granting in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel.

On April 4, 2017, the Okada Parties filed their Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order Granting in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel. On May 1, 2017, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 10 and 26 of the motion and seal Exhibits A, G, J, N, R, and T. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XVI, 03897, 03913; App. Vol. XLII, 10294-10351, 10384-10391, 10410-10417; App. Vol. XLII-XLIII, 10472-10514; App. Vol. XLIII, 10527-10529, 10613-10615.

10. Wynn Resorts' Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order Granting in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel.

On April 14, 2017, Wynn Resorts filed its Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order

Granting in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel. On May 1, 2017, the District Court		
granted Wynn Resorts' unopposed motion to redact pages 5-6, 9, 17-18, 20, 24, and		
26 of the opposition and seal Exhibits 1, 5-17, and 19. The redacted portions of the		
filing appear here: App. Vol. XVI, 03922-03923, 03926, 03934-03935, 03937,		
03941, 03943, 03950-03954; App. Vol. XVI-XVII 03990-04191; App. Vol. XVII,		
04196-04204.		

11. Defendants' Reply in Support of Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order Granting in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel.

On April 25, 2017, the Okada Parties filed their Reply in Support of Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order Granting in Part Defendants' Motion to Compel. On May 1, 2017, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 3-10 of the reply. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XVII, 04207-04214.

12. Defendants' Motion to Compel Wynn Resorts, Limited to Produce Documents and Information Responsive to Discovery Requests Related to Defendants' Motion for Sanctions.

On June 12, 2017, the Okada Parties filed their Motion to Compel Wynn Resorts, Limited to Produce Documents and Information Responsive to Discovery Requests Related to Defendants' Motion for Sanctions. On July 3, 2017, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 8-10 of the motion and seal Exhibits A-B thereto. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XVIII, 04258-60, 04264-04340.

13. Wynn Resorts, Limited's Opposition to the Okada Parties' Motion to Compel and Countermotion to Compel (1) Responses to Requests for Production, (2) Answers to Interrogatories, (3) Answers to Deposition Questions, and (4) Sanctions.

On June 22, 2017, Wynn Resorts filed its Opposition to the Okada Parties' Motion to Compel and Countermotion to Compel (1) Responses to Requests for Production, (2) Answers to Interrogatories, (3) Answers to Deposition Questions, and

(4) Sanctions. On July 3, 2017, the District Court granted Wynn Resorts' unopposed motion to redact pages 2-6 and 8-19 of the opposition/countermotion and seal Exhibits 3-7, 9, and 16. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XVIII, 04358-04362, 04364-04375; App. Vol. XVIII-IXX, 04403-04529; App. Vol. IXX, 04532-04572, 04638-04662.

14. Defendants' Motion to Compel Further Deposition of Wynn Resorts, Limited's NRCP 30(B)(6) Designee and Superseding Motion to Compel Wynn Resorts, Limited to Produce Documents and Information Responsive to Discovery Requests (Re Motion for Sanctions).

On June 26, 2017, the Okada Parties filed their Motion to Compel Further Deposition of Wynn Resorts, Limited's NRCP 30(B)(6) Designee and Superseding Motion to Compel Wynn Resorts, Limited to Produce Documents and Information Responsive to Discovery Requests (Re Motion for Sanctions). On July 3, 2017, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 7-11 of the motion and seal Exhibits A-D. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. IXX, 04679-04683; App. Vol. IXX-XX, 04687-04825.

15. Wynn Resorts, Limited's Opposition to the Okada Parties' Motion to Compel Further Deposition of Wynn Resorts, Limited's NRCP 30(B)(6) Designee and Superseding Motion to Compel Wynn Resorts, Limited to Produce Documents and Information Responsive to Discovery Requests (Re Motion for Sanctions).

On June 30, 2017, Wynn Resorts filed its Opposition to Okada Parties' Motion to Compel Further Deposition of Wynn Resorts, Limited's NRCP 30(B)(6) Designee and Superseding Motion to Compel Wynn Resorts, Limited to Produce Documents and Information Responsive to Discovery Requests. On July 3, 2017, the District Court granted Wynn Resorts' unopposed motion to redact pages 2 and 4-8 of the opposition and seal Exhibit 1. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XX, 04827, 4829-04833; App. Vol. XX-XXI, 04841-05112.

16. Aruze Parties' Reply in Support of their Motion to Compel and Opposition to Wynn Resorts, Limited's Countermotion to Compel (Re Motion for Sanctions).

On July 5, 2017, the Okada Parties filed their Reply in Support of their Motion to Compel and Opposition to Wynn Resorts, Limited's Countermotion to Compel (Re Motion for Sanctions). On October 17, 2017, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 3-4, 6-7, 9-14, 16-17, and 19 of the reply and seal Exhibits B-I, K-L, S, and U. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XXI, 05115-05116, 05118-05119, 05121-05126, 05128-05129, 05131, 05147-05247; App. Vol. XXII, 05251-05296, 05347-05370, 05377-05379.

17. Defendants' Post-Hearing Brief in Support of Defendants' Motion for Sanctions.

On September 29, 2017, the Okada Parties filed their Post-Hearing Brief in Support of Defendants' Motion for Sanctions. On October 9, 2017, the District Court granted the Okada Parties' unopposed motion to redact pages 5, 9-11, and 20 of the brief and seal Exhibits 6-7, 12, 14, 20-24, 29-49, 51-54, 56-58, 60, 6276, 78-79, and 87-105. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XXVI, 06290, 06294-06296, 06305; App. Vol. XXVII-XXVIII, 06726-06753; App. Vol. XXVIII-XXX, 06754-07499; App. Vol. XXXII, 07786-07806; App. Vol. XXXII, 07987-08046; App. Vol. XXXIII-XXXVI, 08203-08757; App. Vol. XXXVI, 08763-08826, 08832-08834, 08847-08849; App. Vol. XXXVII-XXXVII, 08981-09249; App. Vol. XXXVIII, 09266-09321; App. Vol. XXXVIII-XXXIX, 09405-09536.

18. Wynn Resorts' Response to the Post-Hearing Brief in Support of the Okada Parties' Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order Granting in Part Okada Parties' Motion to Compel.

On October 13, 2017, Wynn Resorts filed its Response to the Post-Hearing Brief in Support of the Okada Parties' Motion for Sanctions Against Wynn Resorts, Limited for Failure to Comply with Order Granting in Part Okada Parties' Motion to

Compel. On November 20, 2017, the District Court granted Wynn Resorts' unopposed motion to redact pages 4-8, 11-12, 14-18, 20, 22, 25, and 27-31 of the brief and seal Exhibits 1, 3-4, and 7. The redacted portions of the filing appear here: App. Vol. XXXIX, 09540-09544, 09547-09548, 09550-09554, 09556, 09558, 09561, 09563-09567, 09572-09589, 09597-09605; App. Vol. XL, 09953-09965.

C. The Petition Should be Redacted.

Pursuant to SRCR 3(4) and 3(5), the Court should allow the redaction of the Petition where it summarizes and/or discusses Highly Confidential and/or Confidential information and/or documents discussed above that was subject to redacted or sealing in the District Court. The redactions prevent the disclosure of the Confidential or Highly Confidential information quoted within the Petition. Therefore, Wynn Resorts requests the proposed redactions to its Petition be granted.

IV. CONCLUSION

Wynn Resorts respectfully requests that this Court permit it to file the Petition in redacted form and to submit the unredacted writ under seal. Wynn Resorts also respectfully requests an order allowing it to file Volumes I-V, VII-XI, XIII, XV-XXII, XXVI-XXX, XXXII-XL, and XLII-XLIII of its Appendix under seal.

DATED this 20th day of November, 2017.

PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By: /s/ Debra L. Spinelli
James J. Pisanelli, Esq., Bar No. 4027
Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
Debra L. Spinelli, Esq., Bar No. 9695
400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Attorneys for Petitioner Wynn Resorts, Limited

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I HEREBY CERTIFY that I am	an employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC, and
3	that on this 20th day of November,	2017, I electronically filed and served by
4	electronic mail and United States Mai	1 a true and correct copy of the above and
5	foregoing WYNN RESORTS, LIMIT	ED'S MOTION TO FILE VOLUMES OF
6	ITS APPENDIX UNDER SEAL A	ND TO REDACT PORTIONS OF ITS
7	PETITION FOR WRIT OF M	MANDAMUS OR ALTERNATIVELY
8	PROHIBITION properly addressed to	the following:
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	J. Stephen Peek, Esq. Bryce K. Kunimoto, Esq. Robert J. Cassity, Esq. HOLLAND & HART LLP 9555 Hillwood Drive, Second Floor Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorneys for Kazuo Okada J. Randall Jones, Esq. Mark M. Jones, Esq. Ian P. McGinn, Esq. KEMP, JONES & COULTHARD, LLP 3800 Howard Hughes Pkwy, 17th Floor Las Vegas, NV 89169 David S. Krakoff, Esq. Benjamin B. Klubes, Esq. Joseph J. Reilly, Esq. BUCKLEY SANDLER LLP 1250 – 24th Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20037 Attorneys for Universal Entertainment Corp.; Aruze USA, Inc. Donald J. Campbell, Esq. J. Colby Williams, Esq. CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS 700 South 7th Street Las Vegas, NV 89101	William R. Urga, Esq. JOLLEY URGA WOODBURY HOLTHUS & ROSE 330 S. Rampart Blvd., Suite 380 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Mark E. Ferrario, Esq. Tami D. Cowden, Esq. GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 3773 Howard Hughes Parkway, #400 Las Vegas, NV 89169 James M. Cole, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K. Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Scott D. Stein, Esq. SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP One South Dearborn St. Chicago, IL 60603 Daniel F. Polsenberg, Esq. Joel D. Henriod, Esq. Abraham G. Smith, Esq. LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Pkwy, Ste. 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Real Party in Interest Elaine Wynn
26	Attorneys for Stephen Wynn	

Steve Morris, Esq. Rosa Solis-Rainey, Esq. MORRIS LAW GROUP **SERVED VIA HAND-DELIVERY** The Honorable Elizabeth Gonzalez Eighth Judicial District court, Dept. XI 411 E. Bonneville Avenue, Suite 360 Regional Justice Center 200 Lewis Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89155 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Attorneys for Defendants Respondent /s/ Kimberly Peets An employee of PISANELLI BICE PLLC