ALVERSON, TAYLOR, **MORTENSEN & SANDERS** KURT R. BONDS, ESO. Nevada Bar #6228 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89149 (702) 384-7000 efile@alversontaylor.com Attorneys for Third-Party Defendant Copper Ridge Community Association **Electronically Filed** 12/4/2017 4:23 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Electronically Filed Jan 03 2018 03:54 p.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

DISTRICT COURT

CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiffs.

VS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

U.S. BANK, N.A., a national banking association as Trustee for the Certificate Holders of U.S. Bank Asset Securities Corporation, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-AR4, a Nevada non-profit corporation and LUCIA PARKS, an individual; DOES I through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive,

Defendants.

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

VS.

U.S. BANK, N.A., a national banking association as Trustee for the Certificate Holders of U.S. Bank Asset Securities Corporation, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-AR4; NV West Servicing, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, as Trustee for

NASHVILLE TRUST 2270; DOES I-X; and **ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,**

Defendants.

Consolidated Case Nos. A-13-678814-C A-13-688734-C

Dept No.: XXXI

CASE APPEAL STATEMENT

KB/23108

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149 (702) 384-7000

1 U.S. BANK, N.A., a national association, as Trustee for the Certificate Holders of U.S. Bank 2 Asset Securities Corporation, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-AR4, 3 Counterclaimant. 4 VS. 5 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a Nevada б limited liability company, 7 Counter Defendant. 8 U.S. BANK, N.A., a national association, as Trustee for the Certificate Holders of U.S. Bank 9 Asset Securities Corporation, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-AR4. 10 Third-Party Plaintiff, 11 VS. 12 NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC., a 13 Nevada corporation; COPPER RIDGE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-14 profit corporation; 15 Third-Party Defendants. 16 17 CASE APPEAL STATEMENT 18 1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: 19 Copper Ridge Community Association 20 2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from: 21 The Honorable Joanna S. Kishner 22 23 3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant: 24 Appellant: Copper Ridge Community Association 25 Counsel: Kurt Bonds, Esq., Alverson Taylor Mortensen & Sanders 26 6605 Grand Montecito Parkway, Suite 200 27 Las Vegas, NV 89149 28 ///

1	4.	Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel, if known,
2		for each respondent:
3		Respondent: U.S. Bank, N.A., a national banking association as Trustee for the certificate Holders of Wells Fargo Asset securities Corporation, Mortgage
4		Pass-Through Certificates, series 2006-AR4
5		Trial Counsel: John Delikanakis, Esq. Daniel S. Ivie, Esq.
6		Snell & Wilmer LLP
7		3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 110 Las Vegas, NV 89169
8		Respondent: NV West, Servicing LLC, as Trustee for Nashville Trust 2270
9		respondent. 144 West, Betvioling BBS, as Trustee for trust vine Trust 2270
10		Trial Counsel: Michael F. Bohn, Esq. Adam R. Tippiedi, Esq.
11		Law Offices of Michael F. Bohn, Esq. Ltd. 376 E Warm Springs Rd # 140
12		Las Vegas, NV 89119
13	5.	Indicate whether any attorney identified above in response to question 3 or 4 is not
14		licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42:
002- 188 (20 <u>2</u>)		N/A; All attorneys are licensed in the State of Nevada.
17	6.	Indicate whether appellant was represented by appointed or retained counsel in the district court:
18		Appellant was represented by retained counsel in the District Court.
19		
20	7.	Indicate whether appellant is represented by appointed or retained counsel on appeal:
21		Appellant is represented by retained counsel on appeal.
22	8.	Indicate whether appellant was granted leave to process in forma pauperis, and the
23	.	date of entry of the district court order granting such leave:
24		Appellant is not proceeding in forma pauperis.
25 26	9.	Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):
		The original complaint was filed on March 22, 2013.
27		The original complaint was fried on water 22, 2013.
28	111	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

111

111

111

///

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

This case began as a quiet title following Plaintiff SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's purchase of the subject property at a homeowner's association foreclosure conducted pursuant to NRS 116 et. seq. The Property in question is located within the Copper Ridge Community Association. Shortly after SFR obtained title to the Property the Bank sought to foreclose on its first deed of trust and SFR filed a complaint for quiet title, seeking injunctive relief to stop the Bank's sale. The district court entered an order denying the injunction, dismissing SFR's complaint, and expunging the lis pendens on the Property on June 10-11, 2013. The Bank had orally postponed its sale and before SFR appealed, the Bank foreclosed. The Nevada Supreme Court reversed the district court's dismissal. SFR brought a new action for quiet title and the two cases were consolidated.

The prior unit owner had filed bankruptcy. The association sale took place while the automatic stay was in place. However, US Bank, who knew about the foreclosure, did not object to the "violation" at the time or any time thereafter, until SFR sought retroactive annulment of the stay. The Bankruptcy Court granted the retroactive annulment, finding that equity law with SFR and not the Bank who failed to raise the violation during the bankruptcy case. The district court, despite the Order Granting Retroactive Annulment, ignored the effect of that order, and used the stay violation in balancing equities. Based on the price paid at auction, which was not influenced by the bankruptcy, and the stay violation, the court vacated the association sale and concluded the Bank's foreclosure sale was valid.

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court docket number of the prior proceeding:

SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC v. U.S. Bank, N.A., as Trustee, Case No. 63614

12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:

No.

28

KB/23108

N:\kurt.grp\CLIENTS\23100\23108\pleading\Case Appeal Statement.doc