#### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY; AND COPPER RIDGE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION,

Appellants,

Respondents.

vs.

U.S. BANK, N.A., A NATIONAL BANKING ASSOCIATION AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATE HOLDERS OF WELLS FARGO ASSET SECURITIES CORPORATION, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-AR4; AND NV WEST SERVICING, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, AS TRUSTEE FOR NASHVILLE TRUST 2270,

Case No. 74532 Electronically Filed District Nov 09 2048 02:36 p.m. A-13-672822406th A. Brown A-13-68366840f Supreme Court

## APPEAL From the Eighth Judicial District Court The Honorable Joanna S. Kishner

# RESPONDENT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY BRIEF

Andrew M. Jacobs (Nevada Bar No. 12787) Kelly H. Dove (Nevada Bar No. 10569) SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 784-5200 Facsimile: (702) 784-5252

ajacobs@swlaw.com kdove@swlaw.com

Attorneys for Respondent U.S. Bank, N.A. a national banking association as Trustee for the Certificate Holders of Wells Fargo Asset Securities Corporation, Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2006-AR4

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

SFR concedes that it raised a brand-new argument in its Reply Brief that U.S. Bank should have an opportunity to address. Indeed, SFR does not oppose U.S. Bank's Motion as much as it requests further briefing to which SFR is not entitled. However, SFR's failure to raise its so-called jurisdictional arguments in its Opening Brief does not justify a new round of briefing. When a party raises a new argument in a Reply Brief, the Court may strike it, decline to consider it, or allow the respondent to file a sur-reply brief addressing the next argument. By moving for leave to file a sur-reply brief, U.S. Bank took the only action contemplated under the law when a party raises a new issue in a reply. Any claim that the parties could have simply agreed to a new briefing schedule is spurious, as no rule authorize parties to stipulate to allow additional briefing before this Court. As such, the Court should grant the Motion, accept U.S. Bank's narrow sur-reply brief that addresses the new (and waived) issue SFR raised in its Reply Brief, and decline to allow any further briefing in this case.

# DATED: November 9, 2018

#### SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

### /s/ Kelly H. Dove

ANDREW M. JACOBS (NV Bar No. 12787) KELLY H. DOVE (NV Bar No. 10569) SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Attorneys for Respondent U.S. Bank, N.A. a national banking association as Trustee for the Certificate Holders of Wells Fargo Asset Securities Corporation, Mortgage Pass-

Through Certificates, Series 2006-AR4

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury, that I am over the age of eighteen (18) years, and I am not a party to, nor interested in, this action. On November 9, 2018, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing RESPONDENT'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY **BRIEF** upon the following by the method indicated: **BY E-MAIL:** by transmitting via e-mail the document(s) listed above to the e-mail addresses set forth below and/or included on the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case. BY OVERNIGHT MAIL: by causing document(s) to be picked up by an overnight delivery service company for delivery to the addressee(s) on the next business day. **BY U.S. MAIL:** by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at Las Vegas, Nevada addressed as set forth below: BY ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION: submitted to the above-X

entitled Court for electronic filing and service upon the Court's Service List for the above-referenced case.

/s/Ruby Lengsavath

An Employee of SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

4852-9994-3546