

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT  
(CCSD),

Appellant,

vs.

MARY BRYAN, MOTHER OF ETHAN  
BRYAN; AND AIMEE HAIRR, MOTHER  
OF NOLAN HAIRR,

Respondents.

No. 73856

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
Appellant,

vs.


MARY BRYAN, MOTHER OF ETHAN  
BRYAN; AND AIMEE HAIRR, MOTHER  
OF NOLAN HAIRR,

Respondents.

No. 74566

**FILED**

JUN 21 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY  DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER GRANTING MOTION*

The parties' stipulation extending the time for the filing of the answering brief is treated and granted as a joint motion for an extension of time. *See* NRAP 31(b)(2) (parties may stipulate to one 30-day extension of time from due date established by NRAP 31(a)(1)). Respondents shall have until September 4, 2018, to file and serve the answering brief. No further extensions shall be permitted absent extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974). Failure to timely file the answering brief may result in the imposition of sanctions.

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas  
Allen Lichtenstein  
Scott Law Firm