

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
(CCSD),

Appellant,

vs.

MARY BRYAN, MOTHER OF ETHAN
BRYAN; AND AIMEE HAIRR, MOTHER
OF NOLAN HAIRR,

Respondents.

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT,
Appellant,

vs.

MARY BRYAN, MOTHER OF ETHAN
BRYAN; AND AIMEE HAIRR, MOTHER
OF NOLAN HAIRR,

Respondents.

No. 73856

FILED

DEC 27 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY S. Young
DEPUTY CLERK

No. 74566

ORDER GRANTING MOTION

Extraordinary circumstances and extreme need having been shown, the opposed motion requesting a third extension of time to file the reply brief (30 days) is granted.¹ NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until January 4, 2019, to file and serve the reply brief. Any additional extensions will be granted only on showing of extraordinary circumstances and extreme need. *Id.* Counsel's caseload normally will not be deemed such a circumstance. *Cf. Varnum v. Grady*, 90 Nev. 374, 528 P.2d 1027 (1974).

¹Respondents' contention that the motion is untimely lacks merit. See NRAP 25(c)(3); NEFCR 8(d).

Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

Dryden, C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas
Allen Lichtenstein
Scott Law Firm