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CLERK OF THE COURT

CASE NO: C-15-305949-1
DEPT NO: XXI

INDICTMENT

The Defendants) above named, CALVIN THOMAS ELAM, accused by the Clark County Grand Jury of the crime (s) of CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT KIDNAPPING (Category B Felony - NRS 200.310, 200.320, 199.480 - NOC 50087); FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.310, 200.320, 193.165 - NOC 50055); ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.471 - NOC 50201); UNLAWFUL USE OF AN ELECTRONIC STUN DEVICE (Category B Felony - NRS 202.357 - NOC 51508); BATTERY WITH INTENT TO COMMIT SEXUAL ASSAULT (Category A Felony - NRS 200.400.4 - NOC 50157); SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category A Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.165 NOC 50097); ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON (Category B Felony - NRS 200.364, 200.366, 193.330, 193.165 - NOC 50121); and OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON (Category B

Felony - NRS 202.360-NOC 51460), committed at and within the County of Clark, State of Nevada, on or about the 10th day of March, 2015, as follows:

## COUNT 1 - CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT KIDNAPPING

did then and wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously conspire with an unidentified coconspirator to commit a kidnapping.

## COUNT 2 - FIRST DEGREE KIDNAPPING WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously, seize, confine, inveigle, entice, decoy, abduct, conceal, kidnap, or carry away ARRIE WEBSTER, a human being, with the intent to hold or detain the said ARRIE WEBSTER against her will, and without her consent, for the purpose of committing substantial bodily harm against her and/or for the purpose of committing a sexual assault and/or to kill the said ARRIE WEBSTER, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a shotgun and/or stun gun and/or broomstick or other unknown object and/or leather belt, Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by providing counsel and/or encouragement and by entering into a course of conduct whereby Defendant restrained the said ARRIE WEBSTER and Defendant and/or an unidentified coconspirator beat the said ARRIE WEBSTER with a broomstick or other unknown object and/or leather belt, and/or pointed a shotgun at her and/or used a stun gun on her and/or used the broomstick to sexually assault or attempt to sexually assault ARRIE WEBSTER, and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

## COUNT 3 - ASSAULT WITH A DEADLY WEAPON

did wilfully, unlawfully, feloniously and intentionally place another person in reasonable apprehension of immediate bodily harm and/or did willfully and unlawfully attempt to use physical force against another person, to-wit: ARRIE WEBSTER, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a shotgun, by pointing said shotgun at the said ARRIE WEBSTER and/or placing the shotgun in her mouth, Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime;
and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by providing counsel and/or encouragement and by entering into a course of conduct whereby Defendant restrained the said ARRIE WEBSTER and Defendant and/or an unidentified co-conspirator pointed a shotgun at the said ARRIE WEBSTER and/or placed the shotgun in her mouth, and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

## COUNT 4 - UNLAWFUL USE OF AN ELECTRONIC STUN DEVICE

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully and feloniously use an electronic stun device on another person, to-wit: ARRIE WEBSTER, for any purpose other than self-defense, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by providing counsel and/or encouragement and by entering into a course of conduct whereby Defendant restrained the said ARRIE WEBSTER and Defendant and/or an unidentified co-conspirator used a stun device about her body; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime. COUNT 5 - BATTERY WITH INTENT TO COMMIT SEXUAL ASSAULT
did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously use force or violence upon the person of another, to-wit: ARRIE WEBSTER, with intent to commit sexual assault, by beating her with a broomstick or other unknown object and/or beating her with a leather strap and/or by using a stun gun on the said ARRIE WEBSTER, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by providing counsel and/or encouragement and by entering into a course of conduct whereby Defendant and/or an unknown co-conspirator pointed a shotgun at ARRIE WEBSTER and/or beat her with a broomstick or other unknown object and/or beat her with a leather strap and/or used a stun gun on her, during the course of which Defendant and/or an unidentified co-conspirator used a broom stick and/or other unknown object to penetrate or attempt to penetrate the anus of the said ARRIE WEBSTER; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

## COUNT 6 - SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously sexually assault and subject ARRIE WEBSTER, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by inserting a broomstick or other unknown object in the anus of the said ARRIE WEBSTER, against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that ARRIE WEBSTER was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a broomstick or other unknown object and/or a leather belt and/or a shotgun and/or a stun gun, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that this crime be committed, by providing counsel and/or encouragement and by entering into a course of conduct whereby Defendant restrained the said ARRIE WEBSTER, Defendant and/or an unknown coconspirator pointed a shotgun at ARRIE WEBSTER and/or beat her with a broomstick or other unknown object and/or beat her with a leather strap and/or used a stun gun on her, during the course of which Defendant and/or an unidentified co-conspirator used a broomstick and/or other unknown object to penetrate the anus of the said ARRIE WEBSTER; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

## COUNT 7 - ATTEMPT SEXUAL ASSAULT WITH USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON

did then and there wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously attempt to sexually assault and subject ARRIE WEBSTER, a female person, to sexual penetration, to-wit: by pulling down the pants of the said ARRIE WEBSTER and/or forcing her to pull down her pants, and attempting to insert a broom stick or other unknown object into her anus against her will, or under conditions in which Defendant knew, or should have known, that ARRIE WEBSTER was mentally or physically incapable of resisting or understanding the nature of Defendant's conduct, with use of a deadly weapon, to-wit: a broomstick or other unknown object and/ot a leather belt and/or a shotgun and/or a stun gun, the Defendant being criminally liable under one or more of the following principles of criminal liability, to-wit: (1) by directly committing this crime; and/or (2) by aiding or abetting in the commission of this crime, with the intent that
this crime be committed, by providing counsel and/or encouragement and by entering into a course of conduct whereby Defendant restrained the said ARRIE WEBSTER, Defendant and/or an unknown co-conspirator pointed a shotgun at ARRIE WEBSTER and/or beat her with a broomstick or other unknown object and/or beat her with a leather strap and/or used a stun gun on her, during the course of which Defendant and/or an unidentified co-conspirator used a broomstick to attempt to penetrate the anus of the said ARRIE WEBSTER; and/or (3) pursuant to a conspiracy to commit this crime.

## COUNT 8 - OWNERSHIP OR POSSESSION OF FIREARM BY PROHIBITED PERSON

 did wilfully, unlawfully, and feloniously own, or have in his possession and/or under his custody or control, a firearm, to-wit: a shotgun, the defendant being a convicted felon, having in 2006, been convicted of Possession of Controlled Substance with Intent to Sell, in Case No. C217256, in the Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County, a felony under the laws of the State of Nevada.DATED this /L 4 ay of April, 2015.
STEVEN B. WOLFSON
Clark County District Attorney
Nevada Bar \#001565

BY


ENDORSEMENT: A True Bill

Names of witnesses testifying before the Grand Jury:
DEMANELIAN, JERI, SANE
GENTILE, ELIZABETH, 1116 N. JONES, LVN
NELSON, JASON, LVMPD P\#6825
WEBSTER, ARRIE, c/o CCDA/VWAC, 200 LEWIS AVE., LVN

Additional witnesses known to the District Attorney at time of filing the Indictment:
CARDENAS, ELIAS, LVMPD P\#6826
COLLINS, MAURICE, LVMPD P\#4719
COR-LV ANIMAL CONTROL, 3300 STEWART AVE., LVN
CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, CCDC
CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, LVMPD DISPATCH
CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS, LVMPD RECORDS
FOX, DEBRA, 1421 N. JONES, LVN
GROVER, BRADLEY, LVMPD P\#4934
KROENING, BRIAN, LVMPD P\#9660
LONG, KRISTEN, LVMPD P\#6845
MATLOCK, RONALD, LVMPD P\#6708
TAYLOR, CARL, 1204 N. JONES, LVN
WEIRAUCH, THEODORE, LVMPD P\#7465
WHITE, ANTHONY, 900 N. JONES, LVN
THE STATE OF NEVADA, Plaintiff,
vS.
CALVIN THOMAS ELAM, Defendant.
APPEARANCES :
FOR THE STATE:
ELISSA LUZAICH, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney
FOR THE DEFENSE:
THOMAS A. ERICSSON, ESQ.
RECORDED BY: SUSIE SCHOFIELD, COURT RECORDER TRANSCRIBED BY: JD REPORTING, INC.
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LAS VEGAS, CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA, JUNE 21, 2017, 9:27 A.M. (Outside the presence of the panel of prospective jurors.)

THE COURT: I like to do it the old-fashioned way, not the new way. So what that means is I seat -- we'll have two alternates. Seat 14 in the box. I question. State questions. You question. Once they're passed for cause, the perempts are exercised publicly. So, like, State would thank and excuse Chair 3. The next in --

MR. ERICSSON: Oh, they're done publicly. Okay. THE COURT: Right.
-- next in order takes Chair 3, and then I question. State questions. You question. Obviously you'll have nine challenges. One and only one must be used on the alternate chairs, which are 6 and 7. I don't tell them there's even alternates until the very, very end --

MR. ERICSSON: I --
THE COURT: -- I don't care in which order you do it.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
THE COURT: So say you want to use your third challenge on an alternate chair, you challenge let's say No. 6 -- that's the first alternate -- that -- then next in order in the audience takes the alternate chair. Does that make sense?

MR. ERICSSON: So just the number -- the alternates
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are in chairs 6 and 7?
THE COURT: Yeah. And No. 1 is the bottom corner.
So 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 --
MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
THE COURT: -- are the chairs. And then if you --
MS. LUZAICH: And 8 on top? That one?
THE COURT: Yeah.
-- if you waive a challenge, it's not a waiver of your subsequent challenges. So we go through all of them.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
THE COURT: So say you waive 6. I'll say challenge
7. Are you fine with that method of selection?

MR. ERICSSON: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. State, any objections to that method of jury selection?

MS. LUZAICH: No.
THE COURT: All right. I do kind of the general questions -- you ever been accused of a crime; the victim of a crime, what they do for a living, that kind of thing. Does either side want me to ask any particular questions? Of course you folks will also have the opportunity to question. So.

MR. ERICSSON: No, nothing.
THE COURT: Okay. Does either side not want that information? If they've been victims of crime?

MS. LUZAICH: Yes. No, we do.
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THE COURT: Okay. Because if you don't want it, I don't need it.

MS. LUZAICH: No. I do.
THE COURT: Also, what else? Oh, yes. So no other questions or no objections to the method of jury selection?

MR. ERICSSON: What was I going to -- I was going to ask you something. Oh. Do we have the rolls yet on who's coming up, or are they bringing that up?

THE COURT: No, he brings those up.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
THE COURT: And then there apparently was a recent offer of negotiation. So would you state the offer, Mr. Ericsson.

MR. ERICSSON: Yes, Your Honor. This morning Ms. Luzaich offered that the defendant could plead pursuant to the Alford decision to one count of first-degree kidnapping -which carries a sentence of either 5 to 15 years, or a 5 to life sentence -- plus one count of ex-felon in possession of firearm, which carries a 1 to 6 sentence with the State retaining the right to argue. And as of this morning, Mr. Elam has rejected that offer.

THE COURT: And that's the best offer that's been made in this case; is that right?

MR. ERICSSON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Elam, you heard your lawyer,

JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1
4

Mr. Ericsson, just state what the most recent offer was.
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Is that right?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And did you have an opportunity to discuss that offer with your lawyer Mr. Ericsson?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And is it your desire to reject that offer and proceed to trial?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you understand that once we start selecting the jury, if you change your mind, the State doesn't have to reoffer that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions for me?
THE DEFENDANT: No.
THE COURT: Before I proceed. So just to be clear on the record, it's your desire to reject that offer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Anything else we need to put on the record before Kenny brings the jury up?

MS. LUZAICH: No.
MR. ERICSSON: No, Your Honor.
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THE COURT: Okay. I'll be back out in a minute, as soon as he's got somebody here.
(Proceedings recessed 9:33 a.m. to 9:46 a.m.)
(Outside the presence of the panel of prospective jurors.)
THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Luzaich, it's probably going to be closer to 11:00 when we take our break. Is that okay? Just because --

MS. LUZAICH: Can somebody just let District Court 6 know?

THE COURT: Sure. Are you going to send an e-mail?
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
THE COURT: She's e-mailing the clerk.
All right. Good enough. Let's get going.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
(Panel of prospective jurors entering 9:54 a.m.)
THE COURT: I think we need one more chair.
All right. Everyone can be seated.
All right. Court is now in session. This is the time for Case No. C305949, plaintiff, State of Nevada, versus Calvin Thomas Elam, defendant. Let the record reflect the presence of the State through the deputy district attorney, Elissa Luzaich, the presence of the defendant Calvin Elam, along with his counsel Tom Ericsson, the officers of the court, and the ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury panel.

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. You are in
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Department 21 of the Eighth Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada. My name is Valerie Adair, and I am the presiding Judge. You have been summoned here today to serve as jurors in a criminal jury trial.

In a moment, counsel for the State will introduce herself to you. She will tell you briefly the nature of the State's case, and she will give you the names of any witnesses who may be called to testify in this matter. Please listen very carefully to the names of the witnesses as they are read to you.

Ms. Luzaich.
MS. LUZAICH: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Lisa Luzaich. I represent the State of Nevada. Over the next few days, if you are chosen, you're going to hear about an incident that occurred on March 10 of 2015, in the area of Jones and Owens, specifically at an apartment at 1108 North Jones.

On that date, the defendant brought Arrie Webster into his apartment, accused her of stealing his dogs, and then hogtied her and along with another unknown individual hit her over the course of time with a belt, with a stun gun, tied up -- tied her up with twine and a hose, hit her with a broom and potentially sexually assaulted her with a broom as well.

You're going to hear from some but not all of the following witnesses. I'm going to read to you a long list of
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witnesses, and while I promise you you won't hear from all of them, if you don't hear from them, you will hear about them. So in no real order, from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Detective Elias Cardenas; Detective Sergeant Maurice Collins; Heather Gouldthorpe of Metro's crime lab; Bradley Grover, also of Metro's crime lab, a crime scene analyst; Officer Brian Kroening; Detective Kristen Long; Detective Ronald Matlock; Detective Jason Nelson; Cassandra Robertson from Metro's crime lab; and Detective Theodore Weirauch.

Not from the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, you may hear from somebody from animal control. You'll hear from Jeri Dermanelian, who is a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner and works with UMC hospital; Kunta Patterson; Debra Fox; Elizabeth Gentile, who also you'll hear her referred to as Annie; Joanique Mack; Amanda Magro [phonetic]; Carl Taylor; Arrie Webster, who I mentioned; Anthony White; and Jessica Williams.

Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Luzaich. Ladies and gentlemen, in a moment, counsel for the defense will introduce himself to you, along with his client. An accused in a criminal case is never required to call any witnesses or to present any evidence; however, if there are any witnesses who may be called, those names will be given to you at this time.
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Mr. Ericsson.
MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Tom Ericsson. I represent Calvin Elam. He has pled not guilty to the charges that the State has brought against him.

In addition to the witnesses that Ms. Luzaich has identified, there are two additional witnesses that may be called. They are Gina Lucio [phonetic] -- she's with \(A M R\), the ambulance service -- and Zachary King. He's a paramedic with AMR medical services.

Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ericsson.
Ladies and gentlemen, in a moment, the court clerk, Ms. Jill Chambers, is going to call the role of the panel of prospective jurors. When your name is called, please answer present or here.

Please be aware that everything that is said during these proceedings is recorded. You'll see these little black boxes on the banisters throughout the courtroom. I tell you that because when you're speaking, please make sure you speak up loudly and clearly so that everything you say can be accurately recorded.

The lady here in the orange sweater is Ms. Susie Schofield. She is our official court recorder. It is her job to make sure that everything that is said during these
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proceedings is recorded and an accurate transcript is prepared thereafter. So please make sure you're speaking up loudly and clearly so that Ms. Schofield can do her job.

Ms. Chambers, if you would please call the roll. (Roll called.)

THE COURT: All right. Is there anyone whose name was not called?

\section*{(No response.)}

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the questioning of the jury at the beginning of the case is done under oath. If all of the ladies and gentlemen from the prospective jury panel would please rise, the clerk is now going to administer the oath to you.
(Panel of prospective jurors sworn.)
THE CLERK: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
Ladies and gentlemen, we are about to commence examination of prospective jurors in this case. During this process, you will be asked questions bearing on your ability to sit as fair and impartial jurors. The Court, the lawyers and everyone involved in this case are all deeply interested in having this matter tried by a jury composed of 14 open-minded people who are completely neutral and who have no bias or prejudice toward or against either side. In order for us to accomplish this, it is necessary for me to ask you some
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questions. The attorneys will also be given the opportunity to ask you some questions.

Please understand that it is not our desire to pry unnecessarily into your personal lives, although the questioning can at times become quite personal. Our only objective is to ascertain whether there is any reason why any of you cannot serve as completely fair and impartial jurors if you are selected to serve in this matter.

It is important that you understand the significance of full, complete and honest answers to all of the questions we are about to ask you. I caution you not to try to hide or withhold anything touching upon your qualifications to serve as a juror in this matter, as that fact could tend to contaminate your verdict and subject you to further inquiry even after you have been discharged as a juror.

Your decision if you are selected to serve in this case should be based upon all of the evidence presented during the trial and not upon any preconceived bias or prejudice.

I'm going to conduct a general examination of all of you. That's the ladies and gentlemen seated in the jury box, as well as the ladies and gentlemen seated in the audience. Following my preliminary questions, I'll be asking more specific questions of the ladies and gentlemen seated in the jury box, and that will be followed by questions from the attorneys.
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If you wish to respond to any of my preliminary questions in the affirmative, please raise your hand, and when I call on you, please state your name and your badge number for the record. If you are seated in the audience when I call on you, please stand up so that we make sure we can hear you.

Is there anyone who is acquainted with the deputy district attorney prosecuting this case? Is anyone acquainted with Ms. Lisa Luzaich? Does anyone think they know Ms. Luzaich?

All right. I see no hands.
Does anyone believe they may know the defendant in this matter, Calvin Elam? Does anyone think they may know Mr. Elam?

I see no hands.
Does anyone believe they may know defense counsel Tom
Ericsson? Does anyone think they may know Mr. Ericsson?
Does any --
Yes, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: I think I know --
THE COURT: Sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: I might --
THE COURT: Your name and badge number, please.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Donald Warren.
THE COURT: And your badge number, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Donald Warren, zero --
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THE COURT: All right. And you think you may know Mr. Ericsson.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: I may know him from
church.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Or might have seen him
there.
THE COURT: All right. So you think you might recognize him, but you don't know him personally --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- is that correct?
Okay. And you're not on any church committees with him --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No.
THE COURT: -- or anything of that nature?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Have a seat.
Does anyone else think they may know Mr. Ericsson?
All right. I see no other hands.
All right. Does anyone think they may know or know of any of the witnesses who may be testifying in this matter? Did anyone recognize the names of any of the witnesses whose names were read by either Ms. Luzaich or Mr. Ericsson? Does anyone think they may know one of the witnesses in this case?
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All right. I see no hands.
This case is expected to last through the end of this week, meaning through Friday. We normally break around 5:00 p.m., and it's expected to go into next week. We would be off for the weekend, but we should be finishing up Tuesday of next week. We anticipate finishing up by Tuesday. It could go a little bit later, or we could end a little bit earlier -- by Monday -- but we anticipate finishing up by Tuesday.

I understand that that's an inconvenience for people. Obviously we live in a community where many people work in the casino industry or the service industry or the construction industry. They may not be making their full wages. They may not be making their tip income if they serve as jurors. I simply cannot excuse people from jury service because they're going to be missing work or because they won't be making their full income, their full wages.

Having said that, is there anyone for whom serving as a juror would constitute an extreme or an undue hardship? Is there anyone for whom service would constitute an extreme or undue hardship?

Are you raising your hand?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Did you raise your hand as well in Chair 1?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Yeah, I was just -- I
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have a question.
THE COURT: All right. And you are Ms. Herbert?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Yes.
THE COURT: And what was your question?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: I pick up my kids every day at 5:00 o'clock. So --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: -- that would be kind of (inaudible).

THE COURT: How old are your kids?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: 5 and 7.
THE COURT: All right. And where do you pick them up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: South end of Las Vegas.
THE COURT: Okay. Meaning are they with a babysitter
or --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: They're at day care, and (inaudible).

THE COURT: Okay. You are --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: So I have to pick them up by a certain time.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you -- is the father of the children in the picture or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Yeah, he is.
THE COURT: Okay.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: He works his one. He gets off later, and then he goes to school after. So. THE COURT: Okay. Where's your -- where does your husband work or the father?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: RE/MAX.
THE COURT: Okay. RE/MAX the realty company?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Is he a realtor?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: No, he's a property manager.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: And he gets off after (inaudible).

THE COURT: Do you have other family, like your mother? The kids' grandparents?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: I do, but they all work, too, and it's just me and him pretty much with the kids (inaudible).

THE COURT: Okay. All right. And you said they're what? In Safekey?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Yeah. It's, like, after school, like a summer camp -- my daughter -- and my son's at day care.

THE COURT: Okay. A commercial day care center? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Uh-huh.
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THE COURT: What time does that commercial day care center close?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: At 6:00.
THE COURT: At 6:00. Okay.
And then, sir, you raised your hand in the back?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: I have mediation for a relocation of my kids on Monday.

THE COURT: Okay. And your name and badge number, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Robert Nelson, badge number is 161.

THE COURT: All right. Is that a mediation through family court here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And what time is the mediation on Monday?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: 9:00 a.m.
THE COURT: All right. And obviously you don't know how long that's going to take?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: No.
THE COURT: All right. Anyone else in the box?
All right. I see no other hands.
I saw a couple of hands on this side of the room.
Yes, the gentleman in the plaid shirt.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Hi.
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THE COURT: Your name and badge number, please. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: It's Matthew Brown, 0170. I run a small business, a tour business, and I do have kayak tours on the river where people have booked -- families -- a month in advance, and I'm on a budget, and I -- I'm the guide. So they've already booked them out. I've got five tours lined up for the week, and that's, like, \(\$ 2,000\). That would be just devastating if I didn't have that -- that income.

THE COURT: All right. And are you -- do you have any employees who also give the tours or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Not at the moment. I have drivers on the full day, but I -- I execute all the guides with the national park.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I'm the guide, yeah.
Yeah.
THE COURT: So you're the person in the boat?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I'm the person in the kayak, on the paddleboard. So I'm the instructor.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: I'm the guide.
THE COURT: And the drivers, what do they -- they just drive?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Well, when they have full days. I have five half-day tours this week where I pick them
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up from the hotels, take them out to the river, to the national park or Willow Beach, and then take them back, and they've paid me and already booked -- some of these three weeks out.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: You know, from England.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 170: Thank you.
THE COURT: Have a seat. And I saw another couple of hands.

Yes, ma'am, your name and badge number.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: Your Honor, Dr. Sharon Jones-Forrester, Badge 189.

THE COURT: All right. And what is your hardship? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: I have a conflict. I have a Nevada State Bar hearing scheduled. They're scheduled every six months, and it's for all day Tuesday of this week, half day Wednesday of this week.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: And I'm also a clinical neuropsychologist that does both clinical and civil forensic work.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, the State Bar hearing, are you a witness or are you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: Ma'am, I'm actually -oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm on the accommodations
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committee. So I'm part of the hearing committee.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: And we will not
unfortunately have quorum if I'm not there.
THE COURT: Okay. And so you're -- obviously I'm not going to ask you too many details, but so you're one of the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: I'm one of the -- I'm one of the hearers.

THE COURT: Right. Exactly. So this would be a disciplinary proceeding or a disbarment proceeding for a particular attorney --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: It's actually an --
THE COURT: -- is that correct?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: -- accommodations
committee hearing for the State Bar.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: So they have several potential State Bar applicants who have requested academic accommodations.

THE COURT: I see.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: And those hearings are scheduled. We have 14 applicants scheduled, so full day Tuesday, half day to potentially full day on Wednesday.

THE COURT: These are applicants who haven't taken their bar exam yet?
\[
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: That's correct. They're pending the bar. So --

THE COURT: Okay. And those would be people with attention disorders and things of that nature that might need to be in a private room. Is that the kind of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: Yes, Your Honor, both academic accommodations for physical and psychiatric disabilities.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. Have a seat.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 189: Thank you.
THE COURT: And please give the microphone to the lady next to you who also raised her hand.

Yes, ma'am. Your name and badge number.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Hi, Your Honor. My name Cynthia Kozmary.

THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: My badge number is 0192. It doesn't sound as pressing as these, but I do have prepaid airline tickets for a vacation on Friday to Tuesday.

THE COURT: All right. And do you have a copy of those tickets?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: I do.
THE COURT: Okay. Can you just show that to the bailiff.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 192: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Have a seat.
And did I see any other hands on that side of the room? I think there's one other hand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Your Honor, my name is Sandra Pierre-Louis, and my badge number is 13-0326. I am a nurse practitioner, now currently working for Care Mall [phonetic], and right now I'm the manager there. We do not have any staff this morning, pretty much had to cancel all my patients, and we don't have any staff right now at the moment to hold, and we do the geriatric populations. So they need wound care and all of that. So right now I'm pretty much holding everybody behind.

THE COURT: Okay. And you work where?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Care Mall.
THE COURT: Okay. So do you go around to patient's homes or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: No. They come to us in the clinics.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yeah.
THE COURT: And then do you work under a physician, I'm assuming?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: No. No, right now I'm not the State of Nevada. So pretty much we run the clinic.
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The nurse practitioner run the clinics.
THE COURT: Okay. And I'm assuming there are other nurse practitioners who also --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: They --
THE COURT: -- who also work at the clinic --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: Yeah, they're --
THE COURT: -- is that correct?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: They're all -- we have -it's, like, four of us right now.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: And then so we have three clinics each in one clinic. So my clinic is at Tenaya by Mountain View.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: And I don't have anybody right now.

THE COURT: You're the only nurse practitioner at that clinic?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 326: At the -- that clinic, that facility. We have another one that comes for two days, but schedule's already filled out.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Have a seat.

Oh, and I'm sorry, the lady next to you.
Yes, ma'am. Your name and badge number.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 329: Karin Backlund.
THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 329: 0329. I have prepaid
vacations leaving Saturday.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 329: One week.
THE COURT: And is that on -- do you have an airline ticket?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 329: I have the confirmation with me, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. If you would just have a seat and then show that to the bailiff, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 329: Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
And I think I saw a hand or two on this side of the room.

And the gentleman right there. Yes, sir. Your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: My name's Eric Glenn, Badge No. 376. I start a new job this Thursday, and I do not have rent money. I'm a bartender. I rely on tips.

THE COURT: Okay. And where do you work as a bartender?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: I'm between jobs. I start at Miller's Alehouse on Thursday.
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THE COURT: Okay. And I don't mean to pry, but how long have you been out of work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: About a week and a half. THE COURT: Okay. And where were you working prior

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: I was at Benihana's on The Strip at Fashion Show.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: I recently did an event at the EDC. I've actually been up for about 22 hours. I don't get paid from there for about three weeks.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, sir. And you said you start your job at Miller's Alehouse on Thursday? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 376: The 22nd, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Have a seat.
And the gentleman --
Yes, sir. Your name and badge number.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: Anthony Ivester, Badge No. 0345.

THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: I got a prepared airline
ticket for work that I'm leaving on Thursday morning.
THE COURT: Okay. And when are you coming back? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: Probably the same day. THE COURT: Okay. And what kind of work do you do? JD Reporting, Inc.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: We are negotiating the contract with the bricklayers local union here.

THE COURT: Okay. So are you like a business manager for the bricklayers or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: Yeah. I'm secretary-treasurer.

THE COURT: Okay. And you have a negotiation where? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: In Arizona, Phoenix. THE COURT: All right. Sir, and do you have confirmation of your flight?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: All right. If you'd get that and please show it to the bailiff. Sir, have a seat.

And I saw another hand on that side of the room. All right. Yes, ma'am. Your name and badge number. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: My name is Alvar Rubio, and I -- I'm sorry. I'm so nervous. 0401. Me and my husband, we both work. We have three little boys, and I'm the one that dropped them off at 7:00 o'clock in the morning. Right now they're on a all year round, which I hate it. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Year-round school?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: Yeah. And I have to drop them off at 7:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: Okay. We don't start at 7:00.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: I know. I have to pick
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them up from the bus stop. The bus stop is like two blocks away from my house.

THE COURT: Okay. What time are they finished? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: The bus stop stops at 3:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: All right. And what kind of work do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: I do cocktails.
THE COURT: All right. And where do you work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: At the Link.
THE COURT: All right. And what shift do you work? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: 8:00 to 4:00.

THE COURT: How do you pick your kids up at the bus stop if you're working at 4:00?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: Well, they stay at my neighbor's sometimes, or sometimes they go home, and they just wait.

THE COURT: Okay. So sometimes they have to stay with the neighbor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: Yeah, like, I put --
THE COURT: -- until you get --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: -- early out.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: Or, like, this morning, my husband, he was late for work to get the kids ready.
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THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: And if the neighbor -the neighbor works, too. If she's around, she'll, like, pick them up. Otherwise, my kids, they have to walk home.

THE COURT: Okay. And how old are the kids?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: 7 and 10.
THE COURT: Okay. And what kind of work does your husband do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: He works at the Station
Casinos. He's a manager.
THE COURT: A manager.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: In what division?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: Engineer department.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, ma'am.
Have a seat.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 401: Thank you.
THE COURT: And I think I saw another lady who raised her hand.

Yes, ma'am. Your name and badge number.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes, my name is Rosemarie Quiroga, Badge No. 3096.

THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: My work week ends on Monday, and I'm off on Tuesday and Wednesday.
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THE COURT: Okay. What --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: So --
THE COURT: What work do you do, ma'am?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: PBX operator for the
Stratosphere.
THE COURT: And are you married? Domestic partner or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: No.
THE COURT: Just single?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. So your normal days off are Tuesday and Wednesday?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 396: And Wednesday, yes.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
And I saw another hand.
Have a seat.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: Good morning, Your Honor.
My name is Yolanda Edwards, Badge No. 0381.
THE COURT: Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: I have two things. I have a doctor's appointment on the 23rd, and I might be summonsed to court in Chicago, Illinois, for my dad's property.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: I will have an answer for the summons by Wednesday I was told from the lawyer.
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THE COURT: Okay. So is the probate case in Chicago? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: We didn't go through probate. I have a so-called sibling, who's a squatter. The property sold but the people who are buying it didn't put all the money down until she's out.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any legal papers that you brought with you from the case in Chicago?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: I didn't, but I'll be more than happy when --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: -- to bring those.
THE COURT: And then you said you have a doctor's appointment?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: Yes, ma'am.
THE COURT: And I don't mean to pry, but what type of a physician?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: PMS.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. And that's scheduled for when?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: The 23rd.
THE COURT: What time?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 381: I think it's at 3:00, if I'm not mistaken. It's late in the afternoon I do know.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
And I didn't see any other hands on that side of the
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room I don't believe.
All right. Thank you. If you'd just return the microphone to the bailiff.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes, sir.
THE MARSHAL: You don't need the microphone. You can --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Oh, okay. All right.
THE COURT: And you can stay seated.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Sorry.
THE COURT: But your name and badge number, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Irvin Guerrero, and it's
0160, and I have -- I'm taking online graduate courses.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: So will I get to use the
Internet if I was picked as a juror to --
THE COURT: I'm sorry. What was your badge number?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: 0160.
THE COURT: All right. And you're Mr. Guerrero?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: All right. And you said you're taking Internet classes.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yeah.
THE COURT: And so you want to be able to access the Internet --
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: (Inaudible.)
THE COURT: -- during your service as a juror?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: And I also start a new
job tomorrow.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: And I have orientation tomorrow.

THE COURT: What's your new job?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: I'm a teacher. I'll be starting teaching adults who -- international students (inaudible).

THE COURT: Okay. Where are you teaching? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: At Uceda school. It's on Desert Inn and Eastern.

THE COURT: Okay. And you're teaching -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: International students. THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Foreign exchange. THE COURT: Are you teaching English as a second language?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 160: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Okay. And you said your orientation for that job is tomorrow?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: And all right. Thank you.
All right. I don't see any other hands.
And, everyone, I'm happy to listen to -- I don't want to say I'm happy to listen to your hardships, but I don't mind listening to everyone's difficulties; however, just so people know, everyone who has raised their hand will not be excused.

And, sir, in the front row, your name and badge number.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Corey Abiva, Badge No. 0150.

THE COURT: All right. Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: I have -- this my last week of summer school. It's the final week, and I have -- I go to school Monday to Friday, 8:00 to 12:00.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: And I'm just trying to finish it.

THE COURT: Okay. And where do you go to school?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Nevada State -- Nevada State College.

THE COURT: All right. And what are you studying?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Kinesiology. I go to UNLV, but I'm just taking summer classes there.

THE COURT: Okay. And you said your exams are this
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week?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Yes. So it's tomorrow and Thursday.

THE COURT: All right. How many classes are you taking?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: I'm just taking one.
THE COURT: And they're giving you two exams?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Yes.
THE COURT: For one class?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Yes. Because it's six weeks for a 16-week course.

THE COURT: Okay. Two written exams?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: No, not two written. One physical -- one clinical and one a written exam.

THE COURT: When is the written exam?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: Thursday.
THE COURT: And the one tomorrow?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: It's the clinical one.
THE COURT: All right. All right. Is there anyone who is not a United States citizen? Anyone who is not a United States citizen?
(No response.)
THE COURT: Anyone who has been previously convicted of a felony crime? Anyone who has been previously convicted of a felony crime?
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All right. Ladies and gentlemen, from time to time --

THE MARSHAL: Judge, we have one, Judge.
THE COURT: Oh, yes, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yeah.
THE COURT: Your name and badge number.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Stevie Love, and my badge number is 0333.

THE COURT: And, Mr. Love, you said you've been convicted of a felony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yes, in 1987.
THE COURT: And where was that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: In Nevada.
THE COURT: All right. And the felony for which you were convicted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Possession of a
controlled substance.
THE COURT: Okay. And have you had your rights restored or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: That I don't know.
THE COURT: All right. Were you on probation for that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yes, three years probation.

THE COURT: Okay. And were you honorably discharged, JD Reporting, Inc.
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or do you know?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yes, I did the three years. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And then you were discharged, and that's the extent of your knowledge about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 333: Yeah, that's it. Yeah. Uh-huh.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. Have a seat.
All right. I see --
THE MARSHAL: We have another hand, Judge.
THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry.
Yes, ma'am. Your name and badge number, please.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: Shasta Rogers, 350.
THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: So convicted of a felony.
THE COURT: Felony.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: 1999.
THE COURT: Okay. And I don't mean to pry, but what felony were you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: It was forgery and theft. THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: Here in Las Vegas.
THE COURT: And did you serve a term of probation? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: Three years probation. THE COURT: Okay. And were you discharged from the JD Reporting, Inc.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: I was honorably.
THE COURT: -- honorably?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And have you done anything to seal your record or have your rights restored --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 150: I have not.
THE COURT: -- or anything like that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: No.
THE COURT: Nothing.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 350: Huh-uh.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. Give the microphone back to the bailiff.

Anyone else?
All right. I see no other hands.
Ladies and gentlemen, from time to time I will confer with counsel either up here at the bench or in the hallway. When I do that, feel free if you want to stand up and stretch in place. I know the chairs are very uncomfortable. Or if you need water, there are pitchers, and just get the bailiff's attention.

Counsel, I'll see you in the back hallway, and if you'd exit through that door there.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: All right. At this time, Badge No. 150, JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1
37

Mr. Abiva, you are excused from these proceedings. You will be receiving a summons for jury service in the future, and the bailiff will direct you from the courtroom. Thank you.

Badge No. 161, Mr. Nelson, you are excused from these proceedings; however, you will be receiving a summons for jury service in the future. Thank you, and the bailiff will direct you from the courtroom.

Badge No. 170, Mr. Brown, you are excused from these proceedings. You will be receiving another summons for jury service in the future.

Badge No. 189, Ms. Jones-Forrester, you are excused from these -- or Dr. Jones-Forrester, you are excused from these proceedings. You will be receiving a summons for jury service in the future. Thank you.

Badge No. 192, Ms. Kozmary, you are excused from these proceedings. You will be receiving a summons for jury service in the future.

Badge No. 329, Ms. Backlund, you are excused from these proceedings. You will be receiving a summons for jury service in the future.

Badge No. 333, Mr. Love, you are excused. Thank you, sir.

Badge No. 345, Mr. Ivester, you are excused from these proceedings. You will be receiving a summons for service in the future.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 345: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Badge No. 350, Ms. Rogers, you are excused. Thank you.

And at this time, Badge No. 145, Ms. Herbert, I'm going to ask you to go ahead and have a seat in the audience, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 145: Okay.
MS. LUZAICH: Did you miss one, Judge?
THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Counsel, approach. (Conference at the bench not recorded.)

THE COURT: Badge 376, Mr. Glenn, you are excused from these proceedings. You'll be receiving a summons at a later date.

Badge No. 160, Mr. Guerrero-Vizcarra, if you would please have a seat in the audience.

If the court clerk would please call up the next prospective jurors to take the empty chairs in the jury box.

THE CLERK: Donald Warren.
Jenny Chenh.
Meagan Maynard.
And, Patricia Lawson.
THE COURT: All right. Good morning. Is it Mr. Warren?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.
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THE COURT: And you thought you might recognize Mr. Ericsson from church; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: That is correct.
THE COURT: And do you think you've seen him recently at church, or would that have been a number of years past?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: It -- it was in the past.
THE COURT: Okay. And what part of -- have you gone to the same church for a number of years?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No --
THE COURT: And by church, I mean the physical
location of the church, not the religion.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: I've been -- I've moved across the west side of the valley a few different times. So. THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: There's a chance we could have crossed paths somewhere along the way.

THE COURT: Okay. So you've attended various church locations --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.
THE COURT: -- is that fair?
Okay. But you've been in the same --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: (Unintelligible.)
THE COURT: -- church meaning religion for -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.

THE COURT: -- a number of years?
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Okay. And is there anything about the fact that you think Mr. Ericsson may have attended the same church or may be a member of the same religion as you are that would cause you to automatically, you know, favor Mr. Ericsson or his client? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No, there isn't.

THE COURT: Okay. So you can be fair and
impartial --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.
THE COURT: -- to both sides?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And what do you do for a living, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: I'm a staff accountant for a CPA.

THE COURT: All right. So are you a CPA or no? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No, I'm not.

THE COURT: Okay. You work under a CPA?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you married? Domestic partner?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Divorced.
THE COURT: Or okay. What type of work did your ex-wife do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: She's a chef.
THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: One.
THE COURT: Grown or still living at -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: She's 8 years old. THE COURT: Oh. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: And she's with her mom right now.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. And if you'd hand the microphone to the gentleman next to you.

Good morning, sir. What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I am a full-time college student right now.

THE COURT: All right. Where do you go to school? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: UNLV.

THE COURT: And what are you studying?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Computer engineering. THE COURT: Okay. And how far along are you in your studies?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I just finished my first year.

THE COURT: Okay. And are you working for the summer or anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah, a summer job.
THE COURT: And what are you doing?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I'm an electrician
apprentice at General Republic Electric.
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THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner or significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Single.
THE COURT: Nothing. And any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No.
THE COURT: All right. And if you'd hand the microphone to the young man next to you.

Good morning.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Hi.
THE COURT: What do you do for living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I just work part time at In-N-Out Burger -- so the fast-food industry.

THE COURT: All right. And are you going to school?
Planning --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah. I'm part time at CSN.

THE COURT: All right. And what are you studying at CSN?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Just my associate of arts.

THE COURT: Okay. And what are you hoping to do with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I'm looking to get a job in the casino industry once I turned 21.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been a JD Reporting, Inc.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Just a couple years. THE COURT: And when did you graduate from high school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: 2015.
THE COURT: And that was here in town?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yep.
THE COURT: Okay. Are you married, domestic partner? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Just a girlfriend.

THE COURT: Okay. What does she do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: She also works with me.
THE COURT: Okay. At In-N-Out Burger?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yep.
THE COURT: And any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Nope.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you'd hand the microphone to the young lady next to you.

Good morning. What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: I'm a table game dealer.
THE COURT: All right. And do you work on The Strip or downtown?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: The Strip.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Married.
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THE COURT: And what does your husband do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: He's a dealer also.
THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
THE COURT: How many and the age range?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Two, 14 and 15.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And if you'd hand the microphone to the young man next to you.

Good morning. What do you do for living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I am a home health care nurse for my grandmother who is disabled.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any formal training in nursing or CNA or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I took a three-month course to help her with all of her medical equipment, to understand how to use all of it.

THE COURT: Okay. Was that like a CNA course, or what kind of a course was it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: It was the one that she had a home health care nurse beforehand, and I just took the same courses from him.

THE COURT: Oh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: And then just kind of took over.

THE COURT: Okay. Was it a formal --
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No.
THE COURT: Okay. He just taught you what to do? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Right.

THE COURT: And so you take care of your grandmother? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: In her home. Who's taking care of her now?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: My other grandmother who can't be there all the time.

THE COURT: Okay. And you look very young. When did you finish high school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I got my general
education diploma this January.
THE COURT: Okay. Good for you. And are you married, domestic partner?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I'm single.
THE COURT: All right. Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And if you'd hand the microphone to the lady next to you.

Good morning.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Good morning.
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I'm a teacher.
THE COURT: With the Clark County School District?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
THE COURT: And what do you teach?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Second-grade.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: General Ed.
THE COURT: So it's all subjects, correct?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: And then are you married, domestic partner, significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Divorced.
THE COURT: What does your ex-husband do? What kind of work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: He's a bartender.
THE COURT: Okay. Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
THE COURT: How many and what's the age?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: One. She's 11.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. If you'd hand the microphone to the gentleman next to you.

Good morning. What do you do for a living, sir?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Good morning. I'm a security associate.

THE COURT: All right. And do you work for a security company or for a business or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: For a business.
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THE COURT: Okay. What type of a business?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: A hospitality timeshare. THE COURT: Okay. And just briefly, what do you do as a security associate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I handle calls. I do customer service. Associate is just a term they use instead of officer.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: So we could do customer service calls as well --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: -- like, answer phones --
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: -- monitor the cameras, that sort of thing.

THE COURT: Do you -- is this timeshare, is this like a physical timeshare property on The Strip or downtown?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: It's off The Strip, yeah.
THE COURT: It's off The Strip?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: A block east.
THE COURT: It's what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: One block east of The
Strip.
THE COURT: Okay. So are you a uniformed security officer?

JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1 48

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes, I am.
THE COURT: Do you carry a firearm?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: No, I don't.
THE COURT: Okay. So you have to sometimes respond to what? Calls of disturbances or violence? That kind of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Sure. Anything from domestic to customer calls, such as lost property, any type of incident in the hotel.

THE COURT: Okay. How long have you been working as a security officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Fourteen years.
THE COURT: Okay. All at the same property or have you worked at different properties?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: For the same company, but mostly at that one location.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you have much interaction with the police? It would be Metro I'm assuming based on your location.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Do you have much interaction with the police in your job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Not daily but we do have interactions. There's times that we have to call them.

THE COURT: Okay.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: You know, to make an arrest, that sort of thing.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever had to come to court and testify as a witness in any kind of a case as a result of something that has transpired on the property?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: No.
THE COURT: Nothing like that. Do you ever have to fill out voluntary statements or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Often, yes.
THE COURT: Often. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: All right. And are you married, domestic partner or significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I am married.
THE COURT: And what does your wife do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: She's a restaurant manager.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: No.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
And we'll turn to the gentleman in the corner.
And good morning. What do you do for living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: I'm a stay-at-home dad.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you married?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
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THE COURT: What does your wife do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: A registered nurse.
THE COURT: She's an RN. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
THE COURT: And what kind of a facility does she work
for?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Valley Hospital.
THE COURT: Oh. She works at Valley Hospital. Okay.
And you're a stay-at-home dad. How many kids do you have?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Four.
THE COURT: And the age range?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: They are 21 to 29. Yeah.
THE COURT: 21 to 29?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yeah.
THE COURT: And they're still --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: They're all grown up now.
THE COURT: Okay. Well, what do you do all day?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Stay at home. Cook.
THE COURT: Are any of your children still living at home, or they've all moved out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: One is left then.
THE COURT: Okay. And is there any, like, issue with disability or anything like that where you have to provide care?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: No.
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THE COURT: Where are you from originally?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Philippines.
THE COURT: Okay. How long have you lived in the United States?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Probably 18 years.
THE COURT: 18 years. Okay. Have you ever worked since you've been in the United States, or was your wife working and you took care of --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Probably a year. I worked a year.

THE COURT: A year.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: And then --
THE COURT: That was it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: -- 17 years no job.
THE COURT: Okay. What did you do for the year you worked?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: I worked at the hospital, too, in the supply department.

THE COURT: In the supply department. And where did you live in the Philippines? Where are you from? Manila or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: No, it's in the province.
THE COURT: In the province?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you, sir. And if you'd hand the microphone to the lady --
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Good morning. What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I'm a restaurant manager.
THE COURT: All right. And is that, you know, like a freestanding restaurant, or is it a restaurant in one of the hotel-casinos or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: McDonald's.
THE COURT: McDonald's. Okay. And are you married, domestic partner, significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Single.
THE COURT: Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Two.
THE COURT: And how --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: 12 and 19.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And if you'd hand the microphone to the lady next to you.

Good morning.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Hi.
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Executive assistant, slash, manager.

THE COURT: For what kind of a company or business?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: A real estate and appraisals.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing that?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Eleven years.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you married? Domestic partner?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I'm divorced, and I have a domestic partner now.

THE COURT: All right. And what does your domestic partner do for work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: He's an appraiser.
THE COURT: Okay. Does he work for the same company as you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Oh, yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. I have 17, almost 18 and 23.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd hand the microphone to the lady next to you.

Good morning. What do you do for living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I work at Quest Diagnostics. I'm an anatomic pathology quality assurance manager.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any special training or licensing that enables you to do that job, or is it kind of just experience?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: A college degree and a board certification --
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THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: -- in cytotechnology. THE COURT: All right.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Cytotechnologist, study of cells. So I look at slides under the microscope.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you double check the work of others to make sure their diagnosis is correct? Is that what you're doing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I do do that, but it's more making sure we're following regulations of --

THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner or significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Married.
THE COURT: And what does your husband do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: He's a project manager for a construction company.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes, a 6-year-old boy.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And if you'd hand the microphone to the gentleman next to you.

Good morning, sir. What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I'm retired.
THE COURT: From what type of work?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I worked for a national home builder.
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THE COURT: Okay. Doing what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Senior construction manager.

THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner or significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Married.
THE COURT: And what does your wife do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: She is the operations manager for a pharmaceutical research facility.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's here in town?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. And have you -- do you have any children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: My wife does.
THE COURT: Okay. Any that are young enough that are still living in your home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No. They moved to Portland.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And if you'd hand the microphone to the lady next to you.

Good morning, ma'am. What do you do for living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I'm a transportation investigator for the school district.

THE COURT: All right. And what is -- what is that job?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I work out with other entities when we have accidents with our buses or situations where we have to respond to broke down buses, student issues, things of that matter.

THE COURT: Okay. And how long have you been doing that job?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Going on a year in that position, bus driver with the school district six years, so a total of almost seven.

THE COURT: And then as an investigator, do you have any much -- any interaction with the police or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: All the time.
THE COURT: Okay. That's if there's an accident?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: You do your own internal investigation -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. I write reports.

THE COURT: -- and law enforcement may do their investigation; is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah, we work cohesively to -- you know, with our reports and pictures, and if our drivers are cited, we have internal discipline that happens. So I have reports that I write for that.

THE COURT: Okay. And you said sometimes there might be issues on the bus. Would that be an issue between students or between the bus driver and a student, that kind of thing?

JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1
57

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: All of the above.
THE COURT: Okay. In the year that you've been doing your job, anything with the bus driver and a student that has resulted in an investigation by law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: There is. I pull camera footage for the buses. So if need be but depending on if I'm assigned to it to watch it --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: -- it -- we can be
summoned for investigative court hearings.
THE COURT: Okay. Have you so far ever had to come to court and testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Not yet.
THE COURT: Okay. And have you in your job had to fill out voluntary statements or made a taped statement or anything like that with the police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No, not regarding any criminal.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So mostly it's traffic accidents and that kind of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Any civil cases where you had to be a witness because somebody sued the school district, you know, as a result of an accident or, you know, that the bus hit a car or a student on the bus was injured? Anything like that?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Usually what happens with us is we gather all of our information, write a report. It all gets submitted to our risk management.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: So they deal with that part of it.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: But if there is anything regarding, like drivers that we have to investigate for criminal actions, then, yeah, we would be involved.

THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner or significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Married.
THE COURT: And what does your husband do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He's a pool technician.
THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Two girls, 12 and 9.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Okay.
THE COURT: And if you can the microphone to the gentleman next to you.

Good morning, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Good morning.
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I'm a graphic designer.
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THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner or significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Single.
THE COURT: Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No.
THE COURT: All right. Have any of you ever served as a juror before? By show of hands, do you have any prior jury service?

All right. Just one of you.
And, sir, with the --
Gentleman behind you can give you the microphone.
How long ago were you a juror?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Approximately two years ago.

THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: It was.
THE COURT: Do you recall if it was a civil case or a criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Criminal.
THE COURT: All right. And don't tell me what the verdict was, but did the jury in the case reach a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: No.
THE COURT: All right. Did you actually go in the back and deliberate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: We did.
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THE COURT: All right. But you weren't able to reach a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: That is correct.
THE COURT: And were you the foreperson of the jury?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: No, I wasn't.
THE COURT: All right. No one else has ever served as a juror before?
(No response.)

THE COURT: All right. Have any of you ever been arrested, charged or accused of crime? Any of you ever been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?

All right. I see one hand.
Yes, ma'am. Tell me about your situation.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, I was falsely
accused by my ex-husband's girlfriend at the time --
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: -- who he wound up marrying.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So anyway. It was -I'll make a long story short. It was actually in court. I handed my ex-husband some mail. It was certified mail. So I handed it to him, and she wound up accusing me of, like, hurting her in the face or something crazy, did a false police report, and, like, months later they wound up sending a letter

JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1 61
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THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So I went to the court and did all that stuff, but it was thrown out.

THE COURT: Okay. And was that here in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.

THE COURT: And the incident where you handed the letter, did that occur in family court?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And then you got a letter. Was it from the city attorney's office, or was it from the Clark County District Attorney's office? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I think it was the district attorney because I had to come here.

THE COURT: Okay. To this building. And did you hire a lawyer, or did you just show up by yourself or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I hired a lawyer.
THE COURT: Okay. And you said the case was thrown out.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, yeah. It -basically I was told to watch an anger management video and that if I didn't do anything for, like, six months, they were going to just throw it out.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And discharge it, and JD Reporting, Inc.
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THE COURT: Okay. And so there was never a trial or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
THE COURT: Okay. And before you got the letter from the district attorney's office, did any police officers try to contact you or interview you or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
THE COURT: Okay. What are your feelings as to how that matter was handled in the system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, I was upset because I was never really even questioned until we got to court, and actually I never was really questioned even in court because it basically got -- you know, I had to keep coming back and keep coming back. And then finally we were supposed to have trial, and then it just never happened. So it was just frustrating that I had to deal with that, and then it was just so long, and so I was that -- I was upset with the system for basically not even talking to me, just throwing a crime at me.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you recall who the Judge was?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
THE COURT: Okay. Because there's Las Vegas Municipal Court and Justice Court in this building. So but you think it was the district attorney's office that sent you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes. I think it was
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THE COURT: Okay. All right. Now, Ms. Luzaich works for the same office. She works for the Clark County District Attorney's Office. Is there any -- should she be worried that you have bad feelings or anything like that against her office?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Fair enough.
Anyone else who's been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?
(No response.)
THE COURT: I'm going to expand that question and ask if any of you have anyone you're close to -- a member of your family, extremely close friend, a spouse, significant other -who has been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?

All right. We'll start with you since you're already holding the microphone.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: My son but it was in juvie.

THE COURT: Okay. And that was here in Clark County?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: And what was he accused of?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Burglary.
THE COURT: Okay. Was it a business burglary or a residential burglary?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. He was accused of JD Reporting, Inc.
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THE COURT: Okay. So his father accused him of burglarizing his house?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And the case was prosecuted in juvenile court down there on East Bonanza --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: -- is that right?
Okay. And did your -- did you hire a lawyer for your son --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: -- or was the -- you did. Okay. And what happened? Was there a contested hearing, or was the matter resolved? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: He pled guilty.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And so he was sentenced for, like, six months or whatever in juvie.

THE COURT: Okay. So did he -- was he in custody in juvie or was he -- did he have, like, a probation officer -- a juvenile probation officer? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: He actually was in custody in the juvie jail place.

THE COURT: Okay.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And then for, like, I think it was about six months, and then after that he had probation for -- I don't remember -- another six months or something.

THE COURT: Okay. So he was actually incarcerated on it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Oh, yeah.
THE COURT: And that was -- was that down on East
Bonanza, or did he --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: The juvie court.
THE COURT: Okay. So he was in custody down there on East Bonanza for six months?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. And what are your feelings about how that case was handled in the system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I felt it was actually pretty fair.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: They had witnesses, questioned him, questioned everybody. I mean, he had got -- he had stolen his father's truck, and they had given him a ticket.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So it was kind of -- and JD Reporting, Inc.
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brought it back to the house. So it was kind of hard to not find him not guilty.

THE COURT: Okay. And then do you recall what law enforcement agency it was? Was it Las Vegas Metro or Henderson police? North Las Vegas police? Do you recall?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, the crime happened in Las Vegas --

THE COURT: Okay. So Metro probably.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: -- in the Northwest.
Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So they actually -- they interviewed me. They interviewed him, and then they round up his friend had stated he had held a gun that they had stolen. So he ratted my son out, and they all just both went -- went to jail for it.

THE COURT: Okay. But you think it was handled fairly overall?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah. I mean, everything was questioned. There was video evidence. You know, everything was -- that was done well.

THE COURT: Okay. Did we have another hand?
All right. And, ma'am, tell me about your situation.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Okay. So when you were
asking about allegations possibly. My ex-husband had called
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CPS on me. Do you include that?
THE COURT: Okay. And was that when you were already split up or divorced?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I was already remarried. My ex-husband had beat me up in front of my children and was arrested on domestic violence.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: So the next morning, when he was released from jail, he called CPS on me -- in basically revenge.

THE COURT: Okay. And did CPS come out and talk to you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: They did. Uh-huh.
THE COURT: All right. Did they talk to your children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Is that yes? For the taped record.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: And what was it? What happened with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: It was just -- what is it? Dismissed or whatever.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I had all my court documents showing from the divorce all the way through all the JD Reporting, Inc.
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family court, all of the hearings for child support, all that stuff, all the warrants that he had.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: So I cooperated with
them. They came out, did an interview and dismissed it. So.
THE COURT: And they just closed the case?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: And you never had a go to court -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.

THE COURT: -- or hire a lawyer or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, we're just going to take a quick recess, and then when we come back, we'll finish up with that question. Let's just go ahead and take a recess till about a little after 11:15, 11:20.

During the brief recess, a couple of things. First of all, you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with each other or with anyone else. Anyone else would include members of your family and your friends. You may tell them that you are participating in jury selection for a criminal jury trial, but please do not discuss anything else relating to this matter.

Additionally, you're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, any person or
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subject matter relating to the case. Don't do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. You are not to engage in any kind of social networking on any subject concerning these proceedings. That means until you've been discharged by me you're not to engage in any Twittering or Facebooking on any subject concerning these proceedings, and finally, please don't form or express an opinion on the case.

I'd like you all to return to the seats that you're currently sitting in. So please remember where you're sitting. Additionally, court personnel, the attorneys -everyone other than the uniformed bailiffs -- are prohibited from speaking directly with members of the jury and the prospective jurors. So should you see one of us during the break, please don't think that we're being unfriendly or antisocial. We are simply prohibited by the rules of ethics from speaking directly with you. To do so could contaminate your verdict.

If you'd all collect your things and follow our bailiff, Officer Hawkes, through the double doors.
(Panel of prospective jurors recessed 11:04 a.m.)
THE COURT: Okay. We'll take our break.
(Proceedings recessed 11:05 a.m. to 11:19 a.m.)
(Outside the presence of the panel of prospective jurors.) THE COURT: If everybody's ready, Kenny, bring them back.
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MR. ERICSSON: We're ready.
MS. LUZAICH: When are you -- how long are you going to take for lunch? I don't care when, just --

THE COURT: Like maybe an hour and ten minutes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
THE COURT: Just to get them back through the gate, although who would want to leave the building? I feel bad that they have to walk from the garage in the heat.

MS. LUZAICH: The woman that we just talked to, Maynard, she was telling somebody who's not wearing a badge, Oh, I just got put into the box, and she's upset. So I don't know if her boyfriend is here with her.

THE COURT: Oh.
(Panel of prospective jurors entering 11:21 a.m.)
(In the presence of the panel of prospective jurors.)
THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session. The record should reflect the presence, excuse me, of the State through the deputy district attorney, the presence of the defendant and his counsel, the officers of the court and the ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury panel.

And, Ms. Hine, before we took our break, we were talking to you, and you mentioned the situation with CPS. Has anyone close to you been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: My husband when he was 19
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THE COURT: Your current husband?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: For what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Possession with intent.
THE COURT: Okay. And was that before you knew him? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So he just -- do you know if he was convicted of anything or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He spent 30 days in jail.
THE COURT: Okay. Was the case resolved? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I mean, I think it was closed. I mean, as far as any records they're -- they're so old.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: And if you'd hand the microphone to
the --
And, sir, you raised your hand.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes. My sister and
brother-in-law were arrested and convicted of -- my brother-in-law was making meth, and --

THE COURT: All right. And did that happen here in Clark County?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. How long ago was that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Approximately 20 years ago.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you know if Metro was the arresting agency?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: It was Henderson.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: What happened was my -- I had a younger niece and nephew at the time that called my sister, and my brother-in-law is a Henderson police officer, and he immediately went in there and swooped them out of that situation, and ultimately I got custody. My brother-in-law and sister were convicted. He was making meth in one of those weekly motel --

THE COURT: Okay. So you have one brother-in-law who's a Henderson police officer --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- and another brother-in-law who's a -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Correct.

THE COURT: -- who was at least a meth cook?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And -- and your sister was also arrested?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.
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THE COURT: Okay. And do you know if that case was resolved, or was there a trial or what happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah. They both were sent to prison.

THE COURT: Okay. So they both pled guilty as far as you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah.
THE COURT: Was it a resolution, or did they have a trial in front of a jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I don't think -- I think they pled out.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: And it -- and they
pleaded guilty.
THE COURT: Okay. So both of them wound up in prison for some time?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Correct.
THE COURT: Some period of time. Okay. And are you still -- are you close with your sister?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: My sister has passed away.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did you ever visit her in prison or write her letters or anything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Oh, yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. Was that just out in North Las JD Reporting, Inc.
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Vegas, the women's prison out there?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah. And then he went to Indian Springs.

THE COURT: Okay. And did you ever visit with him or correspond with him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I don't have nothing to do with him.

THE COURT: Okay. Any feelings as to how they were treated in the system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I think ultimately it saved my sister's life. My sister wouldn't have been in that situation if it wasn't for him.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: And it put her back -before she passed away, she got her life back on track.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: She spent, like, a year and a half in prison. He spent maybe four or -- four to five.

THE COURT: Okay. So you feel like at least for your sister it was a good thing, a positive thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah. It got her out of -- like I said, she wouldn't have been there if it wasn't for him, and I think it ultimately saved her life at the time, you know.

THE COURT: Okay. Did they split up after the
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criminal case, after they went to prison?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No. She passed away in 2002. So.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: So they patched things up. He got out of prison before she passed away.

THE COURT: Okay. Did he turn his life around, too, after he got out of prison?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Ultimately, yeah.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I haven't had much
contact with him for many years, but last I heard he was doing okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else have anyone they're close to that's been arrested, charged or accused of a crime? (No response.)

THE COURT: Have any of you ever been the victim of a crime? Anyone ever been the victim of a crime?

All right. Yes, ma'am. Ms. Hine.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Do you count domestic violence as --

THE COURT: I do.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: -- a victim. Okay.
THE COURT: All right. So you had mentioned an incident with domestic violence --
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: -- and your ex-husband.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: Was there just one incident or more than one?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Only one that was reported, obviously he was arrested for.

THE COURT: Okay. Were there other incidents that you didn't report?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Right.
THE COURT: Okay. And why not? Why didn't you report those other incidents?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Trying to keep the family together.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Just, you know, not trying to go that route.

THE COURT: Okay. And the time he was arrested, were charges filed against him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. He has his domestic violence charge.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you have to go to court and testify, or what happened with the case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I was summoned to, you know, obviously go. I did not have to testify. I wrote a
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THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: -- stating what happened.
I have had to go to other court hearings, obviously child support, stuff like that. I have written letters, but I don't want to do that anymore because of issues with him and repercussions. So.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.
THE COURT: So you're afraid of him retaliating? Is
that --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah. He's very
unpredictable.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: So you have had some -- you've raised the issue of violence in family court --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: -- proceedings, and then the criminal case involving the domestic violence, you never actually had to testify in court?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No, I did not have to get that far.

THE COURT: Okay. So he probably pled guilty to something?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He -- yeah, I think he just ended up doing a plea deal thing with them.

THE COURT: Okay. But he does have the domestic violence conviction. Is that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Any feelings as to how that domestic violence matter was handled in the system, whether by law enforcement? The Court? The prosecutor? Defense attorney?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He was arrested immediately after it happened.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He spent less than 24
hours in jail, but it took a whole year before he was convicted.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I had to get a TPO for six months, and I just really never felt safe with the whole situation.

THE COURT: Okay. Was it the kind of thing where they'd have a court date and then it would be continued, or did they just set the court date for the trial way off in advance, or do you have any sense of why it took so long?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: It was both.
THE COURT: Okay.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: And then he would push it out because he wouldn't show up with the proper whatever it was because he would always keep -- he's really good at procrastinating and drawing things out. So he would purposely not show up with pictures and ask for another court date, or he didn't have the funds or whatever. So he -- it was just always something, or he wouldn't show up.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you feel like he manipulated the system, or do you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- feel like the Judge maybe should've said, no, we're going forward or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: A little bit of both.
THE COURT: -- do you have any feelings on that?
Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: We've had the same issue in child support court as well.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He just won't show up, and so like right now they've contacted me to ask for whereabouts because he has a warrant for his arrest. So, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's for unpaid child support?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah. Right.
THE COURT: Okay. So it sounds like you're a little JD Reporting, Inc.
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frustrated with the delays and these --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Most definitely.
THE COURT: And that's in both family court and criminal court?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else that's been the victim of a crime?

And before you turn the microphone, I have one other question. Did you ever have to seek medical attention for any of the injuries inflicted on you by your ex-husband?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes, I had to go to the hospital after it happened. I had a possible concussion, but contusions. I had bruises all over my face.

THE COURT: Okay. So you actually went to the emergency room?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes, I did.
THE COURT: Were you transported by ambulance, or did you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: They wanted to transport me, but I had two -- my two girls there, and they had witnessed it. So I didn't want to leave them in the care of their grandparents. So I waited until he was taken.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: And I was released to leave, and then I took myself. I had a neighbor drive me. JD Reporting, Inc.
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THE COURT: Okay. But no long term other than maybe no long-term physical effects; is that fair. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. Uh-huh. THE COURT: And I saw another hand. Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I was a victim of identity theft.

THE COURT: Okay. Did that happen here in Clark
County, or do you know?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: And how did you learn you were the victim of identity theft? What happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I wound up getting a letter from I believe it was the post office, and it -basically it said that there was some identity -- there was some mail stolen and things like that. Actually, I think I had it twice because I had one from somebody that I think she was -- it was related to medical. So she had my medical card and my picture ID.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And I found out that one -- well, I get -- I start getting calls from the --

THE COURT: Doctor --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: -- credit companies -THE COURT: Oh.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: -- saying, you know, you have to pay, and I had never used them, and I'm, like, what the heck is this. So that's how I figured out something was happening. So I then filed a police report, and so eventually this guy had found the copy of my insurance card and my picture ID in a FedEx downtown here, and he mailed it to me, and he said I think you left this here, and it was a picture of somebody else on my -- on my picture.

THE COURT: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So and then of course they had everything, all the medical information. So they had my social, everything. So that's how they did that, and then I -- I eventually found out that they caught her. Everybody that went to that medical center, they were all -- a lot of them were victims. So the police wound up contacting me, and I never had to go to trial or anything.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I think they convicted her. I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. So but you never had to go to court or anything. Were you out any money yourself, or did the credit card companies all make good on it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah, they all did.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah. I had to -- I had
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THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: But I had another incident where they did mail fraud, and they got into my mailbox and stole a whole bunch of stuff, and I found out because of the post office, and then I guess they had it in a apartment, and the police had raided the apartment and found everybody's mail, so including mine. So I found out about that, too, but I never had to go to court on these.

THE COURT: Any feelings as to how law enforcement handled those two situations, whether it was the, I guess, the postal inspector or police department?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, I was surprised that they were able to catch them and just how they did it. So, I mean, I thought it was pretty good because I would've never thought that I -- that they would find these people that had done that to me. So I was actually impressed because I really thought we'll never hear --

THE COURT: Nothing would ever happen with it.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah. That's what I
thought. I'm like, you know, I'll never know what happened or who did this to me, and the other one wasn't, like, that serious either. Well, I shouldn't say that. I mean, I didn't get -- I got affected because I was upset about it.
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THE COURT: Sure.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: They actually wound up getting into my bank account because they had everything. So they put a check in, and then they pulled the money out, and so the --

THE COURT: Did your bank make good on that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So you, yourself, weren't out any money, but it was stressful?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah. It was stressful, but, yeah --

THE COURT: Understandable.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: -- both times it didn't hurt me financially.

THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else that's been the victim of a crime?

No one else.
All right. I'm going to expand that last question and ask if any of you have anyone close to you, spouse, significant other, very close friend, member of your family who has been the victim of a serious crime.

All right. I see one hand. Yes, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: My mom. She owns a convenience store, and, I mean, she gets robbed all the time. THE COURT: Okay.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: But a year ago, one of her clerks was shot. So we had to deal with the police and all that.

THE COURT: Okay. Was the clerk killed?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, he wasn't. He survived.

THE COURT: Okay. So does your mom -- when the convenience store has been robbed, has your mom actually ever been there and actually been one of the victims of the robbery, or is it employees are robbed, and then she learns about it and has to respond? Do you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: When it's been with a weapon, thankfully she's never been there because it happens overnight.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: So it has been her
clerks.
THE COURT: Okay. How many times, if you know, has her convenience store been robbed?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I don't know. It just got robbed, like, two months ago again.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: So it's maybe more than five with the weapon.

THE COURT: Okay. And where is that located? You
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don't have to give me the physical address, but, I mean, is it Las Vegas? North Las Vegas?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: East Las Vegas.
THE COURT: East Las Vegas. Okay. Now, when the clerk was shot, was anyone ever apprehended in connection with that shooting?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what happened with that case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: They're all juveniles, and I'm not sure up to date, but I know they were in juvie.

THE COURT: Okay. And is the clerk okay, or was -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: He's got a lot of, like, short-term memory loss and a lot of physical issues now.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Disability.
THE COURT: And then the other times your mom's business has been robbed, has anyone ever been caught in connection with those other robberies?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes. Most times.
THE COURT: Most of the time?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. And has your mom had to go to court and testify or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No.
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THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what's happened with those cases?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, I don't.
THE COURT: Okay. Has your mom shared with you any feelings or opinions as to how the police have handled investigating these various robberies?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
THE COURT: And what is --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: She has very close relationship with Metro.

THE COURT: Okay. Does she feel like they do a good job or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Any feelings about the District Attorney's Office that's prosecuted the cases?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Not that I know of.
THE COURT: The juvenile division? Adult division?
All right. Anyone else who's --
Yes, sir, in the back.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: My niece suffered an assault and battery at a party about three years ago where she was sucker punched, and the person that did it -- it was a guy -- wasn't initially charged and arrested, but he eventually was, and I think he -- put on probation for it.

THE COURT: Okay. Was he an adult or juvenile?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I believe he's -- oh, I think he's probably a juvenile, probably around 19, 20.

THE COURT: Okay. So he probably would've -- young, but in the adult system maybe. And then your niece, how old was she when this happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Approximately the same age.

THE COURT: Okay. Did she have to go to the hospital --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes.
THE COURT: -- or receive any medical treatment?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay. And did she have any kind of long, you know, prolonged symptoms or treatment or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Nothing extensive, but it was headaches and bruising initially after it happened.

THE COURT: Okay. Did she -- she got hit in the face or hit in the head?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yeah, right in the face. Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Okay. And it sounds like you feel like they maybe delayed a little bit too much with prosecuting the case --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yeah, they didn't -THE COURT: -- or what are your feelings?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: He got off light in my opinion.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: He got on probation, and that was it. Nothing else came of it, no restitution, no -nothing like that.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if he was ordered to pay restitution?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I don't recall that it ever came up.

THE COURT: Okay. So you feel like he should've had -- if he got probation, he should've had to do more? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Oh, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And was there ever a civil case pursued against this person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Not that I know of.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else have anyone that
they're close to? Yes, the lady in the middle there.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: My parents' home was robbed.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Years ago.
THE COURT: Was anybody home?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: No. My dad came in when they were running out the back.
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THE COURT: Okay. And was that here in Southern -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.

THE COURT: Clark County. How long ago did that happen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Twenty-five years ago. THE COURT: Okay. So he came in, and there were people still burglarizing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: They were running out the back door.

THE COURT: Okay. Did he confront them --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: No.
THE COURT: -- or did they confront him? Do you -I'm assuming he reported it to law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Do you know if anybody was ever caught? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Not to my knowledge.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what kind of investigation they did in terms of do you know if there was fingerprinting done or anything like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Not a hundred percent sure.

THE COURT: Okay. And the lady next to you also raised her hand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: My son was a victim. He was abused by my other son. So I was on both sides.
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THE COURT: Okay. And when your son was abused, was that physical abuse or sexual abuse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: It was sexual.
THE COURT: Okay. How old was your son who was
abused at the time?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: When -- when I found out, he was like 8.

THE COURT: Okay. And did he disclose to you, or how did you find out?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: My ex-husband, well, when we were married, walked in and saw -- saw it.

THE COURT: Okay. And then your son who was the perpetrator, how old was he?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: He was five years older.
THE COURT: Okay. So about --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: 13.
THE COURT: -- 13. All right. And did you -- was law enforcement involved in this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah. I had to call. I had to call them myself.

THE COURT: Okay. And then do you know if your son was prosecuted in the juvenile system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
THE COURT: And that was here in Clark County? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
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THE COURT: Okay. And was there a contested hearing where your other son had to testify, or was the case resolved? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: My other son pled guilty. THE COURT: Okay. And was he placed on probation, or was he --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. Actually he went to juvie, and then I -- at the time I was pleading with everybody in the court to have him get rehabbed. So they sent him to Desert Willow.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So he could get help.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And so he was there for, like, a year because it's based on your performance.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And then after that he went to a step-down program for, like, another year, and then was slowly introduced back to our home.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you feel like that was effective? The treatment, did that help him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I do. I mean, not a hundred percent, but a lot better than before.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Because he was having a
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THE COURT: And has he had any other negative contacts with the justice system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah. That was the same one that robbed his father.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. In terms of the case involving your two sons, how do you feel from both perspectives that was handled in the system?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, it was definitely hard to be on both sides, but I felt like it was -- it was handled well. I mean, the police handled it really well, talked to him, interviewed him. It wasn't like too harsh, but it was definitely authoritative. So I felt that was good, and then I was happy that they got him treatment instead of punishing him.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So I was pleased with that, and so it, to me, it seemed like it was really good on that side of it, on my one son. My other son, he got -- he got treatment. They have those court programs that they help them out.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So he got therapy, and he got a lot of help, too.

THE COURT: On the victim side?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: On the victim side, and the courts help with that as well.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So I saw both sides, and on that I was actually really pleased.

THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else that has someone close to them?

All right. I see no other hands. That concludes my questions.

Ms. Luzaich, you may follow up with any questions you may have for the panel.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Equipment in the courts are dangerous. Sorry.

Good morning, everybody. This is the time that is set for jury selection. What we want, what both sides want is a jury that is going to be fair and impartial to both sides, and unfortunately, because we don't know any of you, the only way we can learn whether or not we believe you would be a good fit for the case is to ask what could be some personal questions, as you've noticed by what the Judge has asked so far.

So I don't mean to offend anybody, and if I do ask anything that's offensive, I do apologize in advance, but like I said, all we want are jurors that are going to sit and listen fairly to both sides.
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We have an interesting panel here today. This is the Socratic method. So if nobody's going to volunteer, just be aware that when I asked questions, I will pick on volunteers if nobody volunteers themselves.

So who here knows what it would take to be a good juror? Anybody?

Okay. So I'm going to pick on the younger ones who have just recently gotten out of school.

Mr. Macko, Badge No. 148. What do you think? What -- what would it take to be a good juror in any kind of case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: You'd obviously have to be telling the truth, like your own opinion. You're not biased.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Not biased. Do you watch court stuff on TV ever?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Rarely.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Did you take government in school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So did you learn a little bit about the court process?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you know what a juror does?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Somewhat, yeah.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Neither going to be -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: A little bit, no. MS. LUZAICH: Okay. As a juror, what you're going to do if you're selected is listen to what all of the witnesses have to say, look at any evidence that might be submitted, and then you ultimately have to make a decision. Did the State of Nevada, that's me, did we prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed the crimes he's charged with? And in order to do that, you're going to listen to a whole lot of witnesses, and one thing that you're going to have to do is evaluate credibility.

Have you ever had to do that before? Evaluate the credibility of people.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that something that you think that you could try to do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I could try, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: How might you do that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Evaluate the credibility?
MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. Decide whether or not --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: You have to --
MS. LUZAICH: -- people are telling the truth basically.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: You'd have to listen to both sides of the story, and give your unbiased, you know,
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Is that something that you think that you could do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah.
THE COURT: And I, you know, in life we think well, you know, there's two -- if people are having an argument, there are two sides to the story, but in a criminal case, it's only the State that has the burden of proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

The defense, as I said already, they don't have to do anything. They don't have to prove they didn't do it. The State has to prove that the defense -- defendant committed the crimes charged, and if they don't prove it beyond a reasonable doubt, he's entitled to a verdict of not guilty. So I just wanted to clear that up, that you don't necessarily hear both sides. Because in a criminal proceeding, it's only the State that has the burden of proving anything, and if, for whatever reason, the jury feels that the State didn't prove it beyond a reasonable doubt, then the correct verdict and the verdict to which a defendant is entitled is not guilty.

MS. LUZAICH: You know, can we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
THE COURT: Is there anybody who has a problem with that concept, that the defense in a criminal case doesn't have JD Reporting, Inc.
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to do anything, that under our constitutional system it's the State that has the burden of proving a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt?

All right. I see no hands.
Is there anybody who is close either as a friend or a neighbor or a family member with someone who works as a police officer or somebody who works in law enforcement?

Okay. We've got a number of hands. So we're going to start with the young man in the front row.

And, sir, who do you know that's in law enforcement?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: My mother is a dispatcher.

THE COURT: Okay. So she works -- she's a 9-1-1 dispatcher.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: She works for the dispatch of the tribe that I think it's north of here.

THE COURT: Okay. She works for the --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: For the tribal police.
THE COURT: The tribal police. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yeah.
THE COURT: Is she a member of the tribe or --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And that would be the Paiute tribe; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I believe so.
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THE COURT: Okay. So is that when something happens on the reservation she would dispatch tribal police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yeah, she handles the 9-1-1 calls dispatching the police. She does it all by herself.

THE COURT: Okay. How long has she done that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: She's been there, I believe, nine months.

THE COURT: Okay. What did she do before that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: She was a calls person who called and sold furniture over the phone.

THE COURT: Okay. So nothing related to law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Not at all.
THE COURT: Okay. And I'm guessing then she works closely with tribal police officers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Is it the kind of thing where they, you know, come to your home for the, you know, barbecues and that sort of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about the fact that somebody's a police officer that would cause you to automatically give their testimony more weight or credibility, or do you feel like you could keep an open mind and evaluate
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And the lady next to you had raised her hand.

Yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Both my sister and brother-in-law are parole officers for juveniles.

THE COURT: Okay. So they work for, if you know, the Division of Youth and Family Services?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I don't. But my brother-in-law is in detention, and my sister is out in the field.

THE COURT: Okay. And they work here in Clark County for --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. How long have they been doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I think about three years, three, four years.

THE COURT: All right. And what did they do before that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I don't know what he did because that's how they met, but my sister helped my mom at the business.
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THE COURT: Okay. And do they talk about their work very much or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about the fact that somebody works in law enforcement that would cause you to automatically believe or give their testimony more credibility, or can you keep an open mind and consider it just like you would the testimony of anybody else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I have an open mind.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
And, sir, did you raise your hand?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes. I have three uncles, all in the State of New York, that are in law enforcement.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: One's a detective with
the Nevada State -- I'm sorry New York State Troopers.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: And then the other one is a corrections officer for the State of New York.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: And then the third one just retired as also a correction officer at the same prison.

THE COURT: All right. And was he -- the two corrections officers, they work in the prison system in New
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York; is that right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: That's correct. Yeah. THE COURT: Okay. And then the detective with the -you said the New York Highway Patrol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yeah, the state troopers.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you know what kind of crimes he -- I'm sorry, he investigates? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Pretty much all of them. He lives in a rural area.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: So he does homicides and burglaries and, you know, whatever else there is.

THE COURT: Drugs, whatever comes in?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And the two that are prison
guards, is that -- do you know if they worked at, like, low security, minimum, maximum-security facilities? Do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: It's not minimum and it's not maximum. So.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: So it's kind of like in a -- I don't know what they call it, like a middle security. THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. And is
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there anything about the fact that somebody's a police officer that would cause you to automatically believe their testimony or give it more weight or credibility, or can you keep an open mind and consider it just like you would the testimony of anybody else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I can keep an open mind. THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

I saw, I think, the gentlemen behind you. Yes, sir.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah, my brother-in-law was a Henderson police officer.

THE COURT: That's right. We talked about that.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: He recently retired about
a year and a half ago.
THE COURT: Okay. What kind of work did he do with the Henderson police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: He did mainly patrol, but I think earlier in his career he was undercover, did some undercover work.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know if that was like vice or narcotics, or do you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I think narcotics, but I'm not a hundred percent sure.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, is there anything about -same question to you -- the fact that somebody's a police
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officer that would cause you to automatically believe their testimony, or can you keep an open mind and evaluate it just like you would the testimony --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Keep an open mind.
THE COURT: All right. Now, you heard me talking to the young man in the front row about the fact that the State has the burden of proving a defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you have any problem with that concept? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No, none at all.

THE COURT: Okay. And if the State fails to do that, the defendant is entitled to a verdict of not guilty. Any problem with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No.
THE COURT: Now, let's just say you're selected to serve on the jury and you don't think the State proved it. You vote to acquit. Are you going to have any problem at the -- I don't know -- the Fourth of July family barbecue or whatever telling your brother-in-law who is a retired Henderson police officer, hey, I sat on a jury, and the State didn't prove it? I voted not guilty --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No, not at all. I wouldn't have a problem with that.

THE COURT: Nothing about that that's going to hinder you in any way?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No.
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THE COURT: All right. I saw some other hands. Yes, ma'am.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: My -- it's not direct family, but we are very close contact, we hang out quite a bit is my husband's cousin. He's a naval police.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: But he just moved back to
Vegas, and he's getting ready to go on with Henderson police. THE COURT: Okay. Has he been to the academy yet, or has he been --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: He's in the process of all the paperwork to get started.

THE COURT: Okay. So he's been hired --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- but he hasn't started yet?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: So as far as you know, he's going to have
to do the police academy?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Right.
THE COURT: Okay. And you said he was a naval
investigator?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: A naval police.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Where was he based?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: In Washington.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Seattle area.
THE COURT: And do you know what kind of crimes he investigated?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I think he was mainly patrolling the base.

THE COURT: Okay. Did he talk about his work very much with you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Not too much.
THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything about the fact that somebody is a police officer that would cause you to automatically give their -- you know, believe them or give their testimony more weight or credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I feel that they're held to a higher standard of, you know, telling the truth.

THE COURT: Okay. Because of their job?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Is that yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
THE COURT: For the record.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Sorry.
THE COURT: Do think it's fair though that police officers are like any other people in any other occupation -doctors, lawyers, accountants, you know, dealers -- some are
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good at their job, and some maybe aren't quite as good at their jobs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes, I agree.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I agree.
THE COURT: Okay. Same question I asked the
gentleman next to you. You know, do you have any problem with the fact that the State has the burden of proof in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.
THE COURT: And that if the State fails to prove the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, he's entitled to a verdict of not guilty?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No, I'm fine with that.
THE COURT: Okay. Now, you know the Fourth of July is coming up. Would you have any problem telling your husband's cousin at the next family event, you know, I sat on a jury, and the State didn't prove the defendant's guilt, and I voted not guilty. Would you have any reluctance or difficulty sharing that information with your family member?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. Huh-uh.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else that has -- I think we --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: I have a cousin who's undercover. I think it's narcotics, but --

THE COURT: Okay. Is that here in town?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Yes.
THE COURT: Is he with Metro?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Metro, yes.
THE COURT: Are you close with this cousin?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: A little bit, not --
THE COURT: Not -- okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Yeah.
THE COURT: Does he -- are you close enough where he shares stories about his work with you at all or -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Not really, no.
THE COURT: Not really. Anything about the fact that somebody's a police officer that would cause you automatically to give their weight -- I'm sorry, to give their testimony more weight or credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: No.
THE COURT: Can you keep an open mind and consider it just like you would the testimony of anybody else?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 161: Yes.
THE COURT: And I think the lady next to you had raised her hand.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: My neighbor is a -- like a, kind of management in the police force.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: -- in our local area.
THE COURT: Is that Metro?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Whatever is in the northwest.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Is that Metro?
THE COURT: You're not North Las Vegas though, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No.
THE COURT: Northwest. Okay. Are you, you know, do you socialize with this neighbor, barbecues, that sort of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No, mostly just say hi -THE COURT: Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: -- for like five years.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: We've talked a little bit when he used to do undercover work for sexual crimes, children.

THE COURT: Okay. So he talked to you a little bit about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yeah. That was, like -that was years ago when he did that.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: And so he'd -- he'd say a couple things, not too much because he keeps that stuff mostly to himself.

THE COURT: Okay. And you think now he's in management?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yeah.
THE COURT: And any other hands in the back row? I think the fellow in front of you directly had raised his hand.

And, yes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I just have a couple of close friends who are cops, and then my neighbor's like pretty high up at Metro. I think they're all Metro actually.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, your close friends who are police officers, are they people you went to school with or -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: They both worked at In-N-Out with me before.

THE COURT: Okay. And now they're at Metro, you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, I think so.
THE COURT: Okay. So I'm assuming they're relatively new to the police department?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, within the last -well, one I think has been three or four years, and the last one's been under two years.

THE COURT: Okay. Are they still uniform patrol officers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah. Yeah.
THE COURT: Do they talk about their work with you very much?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: One does, not like a lot of details, just like he has a lot of stories.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: So, yeah.
THE COURT: From things that happened when he's -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.

THE COURT: -- out on patrol. Anything about the fact that somebody's a law enforcement officer that would cause you to automatically believe their testimony, or can you consider it, keep an open mind --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I mean, it wouldn't be, like, automatic. Like, I want to believe that they have, like, higher, like, credibility.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: But, I mean, I would try to keep an open mind, but that's just the way I see it, to be honest.

THE COURT: Okay. They have higher credibility why? Because they've chosen to be police officers or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah. Because I think that, like, they need to have, I mean, like, or a lot of respect and be, like, reliable --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: -- and that's it, situations like that.
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THE COURT: Okay. And that's obviously a good attribute to have if you're a police officer?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah. But I wouldn't have any problem telling them about like -- like if the -- if they didn't prove them guilty.

THE COURT: Beyond a reasonable doubt?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you think it's fair that in policing or law enforcement, just like in any other job some people are better at their jobs, some people are more ethical than other people? Whether it's lawyers, doctors, police officers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: No, I think it's the same. Like there are good cops, and some people aren't as good.

THE COURT: Okay. Does anybody else not have that view, that, you know, some police officers are better than other police officers and that you have to evaluate each police officer or detective, whatever the case may be individually?

All right. I see -- I see no other hands.
Is there anybody -- let me ask this. In our system of justice, a person who is accused of a crime is presumed innocent unless and until proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there anybody who has a problem with that concept? Is there anyone who doesn't agree with that basic premise?
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You look a little confused.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No, I'm fine with it. THE COURT: Okay. All right.

I see no hands.
Ms. Luzaich, you may resume your questioning of the panel.

MS. LUZAICH: All right. How about Mr. Warren, Badge No. 166 (unintelligible). What do you think it would take to make somebody a good juror? What does a good juror need to be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Someone that's open, listens to both sides of the case, and off the testimonies that are given, can make a correct decision on whether the guy is either guilty or not guilty or something like that. That's the way I would see it.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. People keep talking about, you know, both sides. It is very possible that you're not going to hear two sides of the story.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Right.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that okay?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: That's okay with me.
MS. LUZAICH: I'm the only one in this building that has the burden of proof. I have to prove the case. They don't have to do anything. I mean --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Okay.
MS. LUZAICH: -- Mr. Ericsson can sit there and, you
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know, do his child's homework if he wants, but that's okay with you, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: I have to do all the proving?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So if you don't hear a second side, can you still keep an open mind and hold me to my burden?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes, you can.
MS. LUZAICH: And you can?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes, I can. Yes, I can.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Well, would you consider yourself to be a fair and open-minded person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes, I am.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have any experience, or do you know anybody that has any experience with being in a, like, really scary situation?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: I do not.
MS. LUZAICH: Nobody. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Does anybody -- has anybody themselves been in a really scary situation before?

Like, Ms. Garcia, you talked about your mom's, you know, clerks and stuff.

Has anybody been -- and I'm sorry.
Ms. Hine you were. Okay. Ms. Hine, for just a
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second, and I know this is not pleasant to talk about. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.

MS. LUZAICH: So I'm sorry. But you talked about there was one time that it was reported, but there were other times that the situation occurred. Was there ever a weapon used?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Objects in the house. MS. LUZAICH: For example?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: A chair, keyboard being thrown at me, shoved up against the wall into furniture, things like that.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You were scared?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah, of course. MS. LUZAICH: But you didn't call the police sometimes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No, I didn't at those times.

MS. LUZAICH: You said keeping the family peace.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Based off of at the time of religious, you know, trying not to make the situation worse. I was very much into, you know, the way I was living with my religion. So I was trying to keep the peace and keep the family together and all that good stuff.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Was it hard to talk about what happened?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: It was just kept to myself and maybe a couple of friends that were close to me, but the family really didn't know about it. Yeah, it was kept pretty private.

MS. LUZAICH: The one time that you did report it, you had to give a statement to police?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that a yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. I'm sorry.
MS. LUZAICH: Sorry. The court recorders -- there's a no-button, yes-button, no huh-uh-button. And did they ask you to describe everything that happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah. I had to write a statement when we went to the criminal court case, and I had to write, you know, like a victim statement of what occurred.

MS. LUZAICH: Right. But the night that it happened, you were upset about what occurred?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I had just -- I called the police, and they came and arrested him, so based off of what I had told them I didn't have to write anything at that time.

MS. LUZAICH: Nothing at all?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Huh-uh.
MS. LUZAICH: Was it hard to talk about it that night while you were upset?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Oh, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Did you find that later it was easier, maybe a little bit to talk about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. It was still -- it was still really hard.

MS. LUZAICH: Did you find yourself maybe not describing everything that occurred while you were upset? Does that make sense?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No, I described everything that occurred.

MS. LUZAICH: And were you able to describe everything?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Oh, yeah. Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Who else? Anybody else been in a scary situation?

Mr. Macko, Badge No. 148. What kind of scary situation were you in?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I was at the park one night with my girlfriend a while ago, my ex-girlfriend, and there was a lot of males fighting in the parking lot, and we were sitting in our car, and we were about to leave, and after a couple of seconds there were shots going off, and actually my truck got shot.

MS. LUZAICH: That's pretty scary.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah.
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MS. LUZAICH: Did you talk to the police about that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Afterwards, yes. MS. LUZAICH: Did they give you the opportunity to like to -- like, tell me everything that happened?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah, I wrote a statement and talked to them and everything.

MS. LUZAICH: Did you find that you were able to get every piece of information in your statement, or did you later realize that, oh, maybe I forgot to say something?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Most of it. Later on it kind of came back to me a little bit more.

MS. LUZAICH: When you had time to --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah, like process everything because it was quite scary, you know.

MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. Did you find that you were focused on anything in particular while it was happening?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Just getting out of there as fast as I could, you know, and staying safe.

MS. LUZAICH: And you said that your car was actually --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah, I have a bullet hole in my car, yeah.

MS. LUZAICH: But neither one of you were hurt?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Well, that's fortunate. Did you ever
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have to go to court because of that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Were people actually arrested that night?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I have no idea.
MS. LUZAICH: Did you ever follow up, try to follow up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: For the most part a little bit. I mean, they had posted a video of them actually doing it online, and it got taken down, and we found it, and we showed it to the police, and that's all the last thing I heard of it.

MS. LUZAICH: Did later did you and your girlfriend talk about what had occurred?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: A little bit but for the most part we are both there, you know, so not much.

MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. So you didn't like kind of compare stories?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Well, right after, yeah, but not after that, no.

MS. LUZAICH: As you compared stories right after, did you find that your description of the incident wasn't exactly the same?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Not a hundred percent exactly, but for the most part, yeah.
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MS. LUZAICH: Right. But there were little differences?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Did that surprise you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Is there anybody who has been in a situation where they were with somebody, but perceived it differently?

Okay. Let me ask that in a different way. Is there anybody who thinks that that would be unusual for two people to view one incident and perceive it differently?

Mr. Bohac.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes. I would think --
MS. LUZAICH: Badge number, sorry, 151. What would you think about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I would think, no, because it depends completely on your experiences.

MS. LUZAICH: And when you say you would think no, no you don't think that's odd?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: So if it was a situation, if I was sitting in a car with my girlfriend and my car was shot, and it was different that way, and her story was different than mine, of course we were both scared. So we're not going to remember everything the same.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So that makes sense to you.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you think also that maybe two people who view the same incident find different things about that incident important to them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes. And I think that does based on your experience. So if nobody was shot from this, then I would say it's important. Your perspective is keeping yourself safe. So both of you are just -- you're grateful that you're both alive, didn't get hurt, but on the same side, if somebody was hurt, you would be more focused on what happened to that rather than what's happening around you or what happened to you.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You've got a pretty good head on your shoulders. How long have you been out of school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Two years.
MS. LUZAICH: You mentioned that while you're taking care of your grandmother you had to take a course to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: It was training with the person who was taking care of her to know how to take care of her.

MS. LUZAICH: Oh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Because she could not pay for him anymore. So she needed someone to pay for that.

MS. LUZAICH: Can I ask you what is her disability?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: She broke her hip and her
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femur. So she has movement impairment.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. What do you do for her?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I help her go to the bathroom, shower, wake up and go to bed. Usually everything else she's more independent now.

MS. LUZAICH: Uh-huh. Is she getting better every
day, like --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: She's getting better but it's getting in and out of things that's the hard thing.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Is there going to come a time that she's going to be okay and won't need your help anymore? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Later on down the road hopefully.

MS. LUZAICH: I mean like weeks, months, years?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Probably a couple years. MS. LUZAICH: What are you going to do when you don't take care of grandma anymore?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I want to be in agriculture.

MS. LUZAICH: Are you going to go to school for that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Who's taking care of grandma while you're here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: My -- well, she's my great-grandmother. My grandmother, and she's over there just
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while I'm here.
MS. LUZAICH: Just while you're here. Okay. Now, this trial could go into next week. What happens if you are selected to sit as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I would have to find a different avenue for that, for my grandmother to have care while I'm here.

MS. LUZAICH: Is there another avenue available?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Hopefully I'll be able to find one if I'm selected.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. But you understand this is important.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Correct.
MS. LUZAICH: So you would try?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Oh, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Winn, what do you think about what -- Badge No. 163 -- what do you think about what Mr. Bohac and I were just talking about? Two different people watch one incident yet they don't describe it the same?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: It happens all the time. MS. LUZAICH: Well, what might cause that in your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Perception. In a moment of anxiety, what you perceive what you see, what they think
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they see, you compare notes. While it's 80 percent on point maybe, the other 20 percent could be, well, gee, I think I saw this. Well, no, you know, because my wife and I witnessed a car accident, and for the most part we were on point, but it's, like, gee, I think I saw this. Well, no, I think I saw this. MS. LUZAICH: And because the two of you saw or described something different, you wouldn't say that either one of you was lying, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No, it's just what we saw.

MS. LUZAICH: In your mind is there a difference between -- well, okay. If you each saw -- described something different, is one of you necessarily mistaken?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No. No. It's -- it's not a right or wrong. It's just what you perceive.

MS. LUZAICH: Different people perceive things differently. Would you agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: Does anybody have an issue with that? Would anybody disagree with that? Different people perceive things differently?

Would you also agree that you perceive things based upon your own makeup, you know, what it is about you that makes you you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I don't know that I can
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say that. It's -- it's either I saw it or I didn't. It's not an internal. It's a recognition.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Anybody else have an opinion about that?

Ms. Lawson, Badge No. 171, what do you think about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I think what he said. Is that what you mean? Well, that's his opinion, and I think people will react differently based on stress, and, like you said, what they've experienced in the past and how they process things.

MS. LUZAICH: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Kind of their makeup.
MS. LUZAICH: Some people are either more or less educated. Some people are more or less street savvy. Would you agree with that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And is all of that something that in your mind enters into how you might perceive things?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: I'm going to go sideways for a minute, too. What do you think makes somebody a good juror, or what do you think would make a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Having an open mind, listening to all of the facts and, you know, analyzing it and
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coming up with your -- your opinion.
MS. LUZAICH: Would you consider yourself to be an open-minded person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Some people are really good at speaking in public. Some people are terrible. Where would you put yourself in that scheme of things.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I've gotten better. I used to be petrified, but --

MS. LUZAICH: Are you somebody that can express your opinion when you feel like you need to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Usually.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And not everybody does. There's nothing wrong with that, of course. Let's say you are selected as a juror in this case. You go back in the deliberation room and you and the other jurors are talking about what you have seen. It comes time to take a vote. Eleven of them feel one way. You feel the other way. It doesn't matter which, just you feel the other way. How would you handle that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I would stay with my -how I felt. If I was the only one, I would still voice my opinion.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Would you try and explain to them why you think they are mistaken?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes. I mean, I would
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present the facts and how I feel and why I have that opinion. MS. LUZAICH: Will you keep an open mind and listen to what they have to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Now, is it possible if they were able to point to something that either you misheard or didn't hear, is it possible that you could change your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: But if you're sure, will you stick to your guns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: You won't change your mind just to change your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Different people react to the same set of circumstances differently. Would anybody disagree with that? Anybody ever see somebody laugh at a funeral? Different reactions are different.

Ms. Maynard, you're kind of going hmm. Badge No. 168, I think. I have the worst handwriting ever.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: What do you think about that?
Different people react differently to the same set of circumstances?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I agree just from my life, watching different people, my own experience of reacting differently, definitely people's emotions, their life history, what they've gone through, their experiences, all that has a play in their reaction, and I've never been in the room where everybody's reacted the same. There's always somebody that reacts differently.

MS. LUZAICH: And is that something that you'll take into consideration when you evaluate the testimony of witnesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Oh, of course.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You seem to have a really varied experience with the criminal justice system. Based on everything that you have observed personally, do you think the system works?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I wouldn't say a hundred percent, but -- but I see that it does work in a lot of circumstances. I feel like it works probably a little more than it doesn't work, but I don't think it works a hundred percent.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Does anything work a hundred percent?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No, absolutely not, and I'm not a black-and-white thinker. So I know there's always gray.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Are you somebody who expresses their opinions easily?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah. I'm from New York. MS. LUZAICH: (Unintelligible.)

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: So in the city. So pretty much New Yorkers are usually a little opinionated.

MS. LUZAICH: They're very opinionated. I too am from New York.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: What brought you here?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I left at 17 from high school, and I hated the cold, and I wound up in Southern California first and then wound up over here because it was cheaper than Southern California.

MS. LUZAICH: Very true.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: And I've been here a very long time.

MS. LUZAICH: You had talked a little bit about the situation where your ex-husband's new girlfriend, slash, wife accused you. You said that time had gone by, and all of a sudden you got a letter. Do you think that something else should've happened before that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, yeah. I feel like I should have been questioned by police or even the district attorney. I feel like I just got kind of summoned without even
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hearing my side and accused. So I was shocked that nobody came and asked me what happened, and it's kind of funny because I had also filed the restraining order against her. We both had one against each other because of threats that she made. So it was just the way it turned around on me was just -- I wasn't happy with that part of it, and I just was shocked that -- that I got a -- that I got a letter, and that was how I got brought into court.

MS. LUZAICH: You said that it was in justice court that you had to go to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Criminal. It was criminal.

MS. LUZAICH: Right. But --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Oh, here, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: There's court in the city, and court in the county.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: It was here.
MS. LUZAICH: They're both in this building.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Oh.
MS. LUZAICH: You know, and the Judge asked you a little bit. If it was in justice court, somebody from my office would have been the one handling the prosecution. I don't think I do that kind of stuff. So it was probably not me, but you're not going to hold that against me, are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No, of course not.
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MS. LUZAICH: And, you know, lawyers ask silly
question sometimes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: You won't hold it against the State of
Nevada that you think that your case should have been handled better. I mean, you could just keep that outside of your --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Well, yes, because I saw it work at the same time with, you know, my children and with seeing other people, circumstances. So, no, it's for me is -I'm not biased.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Good. Thank you. You said that your neighbor is a -- you think he's in management at Metro, that he used to work undercover with kids sex investigation. Who -- who's your neighbor?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Troy is his first name. I don't even know his --

MS. LUZAICH: (Unintelligible.)
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: That -- I think that's probably right.

MS. LUZAICH: Would he talk to you about the actual investigations that he did or just kind of general --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: He didn't give me, like, too specifics. Like he might tell me what happened, but of course he wouldn't give me names or anything like that, but because I had run into a sex offender that was after my
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children. So I wound up talking to him, and he told me some stories. So.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And I'm sorry. Did you say a sex offender was trying to get your children?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yeah, trying to groom, groom my children, and I didn't realize it.

MS. LUZAICH: How? How were -- how was the person doing that? Like computer or in person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No, it was in person. It was in church, and he was doing it with a lot of children, but I didn't really realize. He was in youth groups, and he tried to run them, and he acted like he was caring, and we found out. One of my friends looked it up, and found out he was a sex offender, but he actually was, like, asking to take my kids out and take them to church and asking if my kids would sleep over, and they were in the pool playing. So, like, and because I had the experience from both sides of my children, I understand the grooming and the sex offenders. So I -- he got -- he totally was trying that.

MS. LUZAICH: And you talked to your neighbor about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I did.
MS. LUZAICH: And did he cause an investigation to --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. Because there wasn't really anything that he had done, you know, that -- the offense
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was done years ago, and so the current offense -- it was the iPhone -- was offensive. They weren't criminal. So he couldn't press charges.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. But you kept your kids away from him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Oh, yeah, totally.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you very much.
Ms. Chenh, Badge No. 167, what do you think about different people react differently to the same set of circumstances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: I'm sorry. I don't know how to explain it.

MS. LUZAICH: You don't know how to explain what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: It's really -(unintelligible) communication is just basic. It's okay, not, like --

MS. LUZAICH: Do you have a hard time with English?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: For the last couple of hours that we've been sitting here, have you understood most of what's been going on?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Not about the case. I'm not really understand, but just a -- some, yeah.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You said that you deal table games?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Which game do you deal?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: All the game, like blackjack, baccarat.

MS. LUZAICH: How long have you lived here?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: 19 years.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
THE COURT: Where are you from originally?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Vietnam.
THE COURT: And you said you'd been here 19 years?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: In Vegas.
THE COURT: Okay. How long in the United States?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: 37 years.
THE COURT: Okay. And did you go to school at all here in the United States?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah, just high school, not --

THE COURT: You went to high school?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah. No college.
THE COURT: Okay. And did you go to high school here in Las Vegas or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: California.
THE COURT: In California. Did you graduate from high school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
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THE COURT: Okay. So you received a high school diploma?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
THE COURT: And then did you move here to Las Vegas after high school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: No.
THE COURT: What did you do when you graduated from high school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: I work.
THE COURT: Doing what?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Like -- it's like a
warehouse.
THE COURT: In a warehouse?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.
THE COURT: And then I think Ms. Luzaich may have asked this, and if she did I apologize. What game do you deal?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Table, like blackjack, baccarat.

THE COURT: Okay. So you had to go to dealer school, I'm assuming?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
THE COURT: Other than high school and dealer school, did you go to any other school here in the United States?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: No.
THE COURT: Okay. That was it. All right.
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I'm sorry, Ms. Luzaich. I interrupted. MS. LUZAICH: Oh, no, no. Thank you.

THE COURT: You may proceed.
MS. LUZAICH: Ms. Chenh, we're going to hear from some expert witnesses in this case that are going to talk about DNA and fingerprints. Are you going to be able to follow that testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: I guess.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. I asked you a few minutes ago about your opinion on whether or not you think different people react differently to the same set of circumstances. Did you understand what I meant when I asked that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Um --
MS. LUZAICH: And I'm sorry. I mean no disrespect.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Well, I don't know how I say it. So.

MS. LUZAICH: Do you have a harder time speaking than you do understanding?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Or communicating than speaking?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah. Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: You understand what I say. You just
don't how to explain it to me?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah. I'm -- yeah, just can't explain. Yeah. I understand what you say.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you think -- well, you have two kids that are 14 and 15; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you ever have to resolve disputes or arguments between them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Never? They never fight.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: They always talk back, but we talk in Chinese.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Have you ever been faced with a situation where, you know, one of them hit the other one, and the other one -- and says they didn't or something like that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.

MS. LUZAICH: Or one of them takes something of the others, and the other one gets mad about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: (No audible response.)
MS. LUZAICH: How do you resolve that? How do you handle that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: I usually yell at them. MS. LUZAICH: Okay. If you -- if you don't know which one of them did something wrong, how would you handle that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: I just say don't do it. Yeah.

THE COURT: So you speak Chinese in the home?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.
THE COURT: And but you're from Vietnam?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.
THE COURT: Is your husband Chinese?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. So when you came from Vietnam, did you come to the United States speaking both Chinese and Vietnamese?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: No. Because my parents are Chinese.

THE COURT: Okay. So they spoke Chinese in the home? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.

THE COURT: And did you speak Vietnamese and -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: No.

THE COURT: Just Chinese?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Just Chinese.
THE COURT: I see. And then your husband is also
Chinese speaking?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
THE COURT: And your kids speak Chinese?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yes.
THE COURT: And I'm assuming they speak English, too, because --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- they're in school?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I just wanted to clear that up.

Go on, Ms. Luzaich.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Mr. Osorio, how are you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: 157. What do you think about that?
Two people react differently or different people react differently to the same set of circumstances.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: I agree.
MS. LUZAICH: You agree.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Why might that be?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Because if you're scared you act differently.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you think that people are also different just based on how they were raised?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And is that something that might cause them to react to certain circumstances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You mentioned that you are a -you have been a stay-at-home dad?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes, ma'am.
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MS. LUZAICH: Once your kids stopped going to -- or stopped needing a dad at home, you didn't work after that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: No. I -- there's no need for me to work. My wife told me to just stay at home.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you have hobbies?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Going to the casino, I guess.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Fair enough. Do you like to gamble?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: A little bit.
MS. LUZAICH: Yeah. What do you play?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Just a Blackjack and Pai Gow.

MS. LUZAICH: Do you do it on The Strip? Do you go to the casinos on The Strip?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: No. No, just a local casino.

MS. LUZAICH: Near the house?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: You have how many kids? Four kids? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.

MS. LUZAICH: What do your kids do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: My oldest is a registered nurse.

MS. LUZAICH: Like his mom or daughter?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes. Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Sorry.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: And then my second one is working at a restaurant, a cook. My third one is in medical school, and the last one is graduating next year as a teacher. MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Which one still lives at home? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: The one going to UNLV. MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And studying -- is that the one --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Education. Yeah. MS. LUZAICH: -- in medical school?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Education.
MS. LUZAICH: Oh, education.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: So you said your wife is a registered nurse at Valley Hospital?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Where -- what kind of nurse? Like,
what does she do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: ICU.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that what she's always done?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Does she talk to you about work at home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: A little bit, yeah.
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MS. LUZAICH: A little bit about her patients and what she does?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: What about your child that's a nurse?
What does -- what does that --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: No. No.
MS. LUZAICH: You don't know?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: No.
MS. LUZAICH: There's going to be a nurse that is going to come into this trial and testify. Now, you know you're not allowed to talk to your wife about the trial, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So you won't go home and ask your wife, hey, this is what the nurse said. What do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Probably I'll ask her.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Now, the Judge has said you're not allowed to do that. Okay. You got to promise us that you won't -- you won't do that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Okay. Okay.
MS. LUZAICH: Can you promise us that you won't do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And then can you really not do it? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
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MS. LUZAICH: You can tell her all about it after the trial is done. Do you think that you will listen to the nurse any differently than any of the other witnesses because your wife is a nurse?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: No. No.
MS. LUZAICH: You'll just listen to what she has to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes. Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Just the same as you will all the other witnesses?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You're not having fun talking to me, are you?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Not really.
MS. LUZAICH: That's okay. The honesty is good. Are you somebody that can express their opinion in a room full of people that you don't know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: I guess not.
MS. LUZAICH: Can you try?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yeah. I think I'm the same as Ms. Chenh, not very --

MS. LUZAICH: Ms. Chenh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: -- not very good in expressing himself.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you speak another language JD Reporting, Inc.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yeah. We speak Tagalog at home.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you understand everything that I'm saying?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yeah. Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: I mean, it sure sounds like you do.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Is it difficult for you to just express --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: -- what you want?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Will you try to do it if you're selected, back in the jury deliberation room?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: I'll try.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So just like I asked Ms. Lawson earlier, if you were back in the deliberation room, and you're talking to the other jurors and 11 of them feel one way and you feel the other way, how are you going to handle that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: I stick to my decision.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So if you're right, you're going to stick to your guns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Probably I listen to them too, but I'll stick to my guns.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You'll listen to what they have to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And if maybe they're able to point something out that you missed, is it possible that you could change your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Oh, yeah. Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: But you won't change your mind just to change it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And if it's Friday and it's five to 5:00 and everybody wants to go home, you won't change it just because of that, will you? If you're sure you're right, you'll still stick to your guns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: I want to go home.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. All right. And you know what, there are no wrong answers here. There are only honest answers, and that's okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Thank you.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you. Ms. Lugo, Badge No. 158, what do you think about different people perceive the same event differently? Is that possible in your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: It is possible.
MS. LUZAICH: And is that something that you could understand?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And they have happened to you in the past?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: You know, running a restaurant you deal with a lot of different people, and different people, you know, things can make this way for me. It depends on the culture, and we got to understand, you know, everybody's different. Something for me is normal. For the other person it can be not normal.

MS. LUZAICH: Because people are different, that's why they perceive things the way they do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Perceive things differently. Correct.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So as a manager at McDonald's, I bet you have to resolve a lot of disputes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: On a daily basis. How do you go about doing that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Through the years, you know, I started with McDonald's since I was 18. I be -- I've been learning a lot of stuff. They sent us to a school for that, too because you have to be able to understand people because you work with them every single day. Everybody has situations, and sometimes we think, you know, like they leave -- they have to leave problems outside, but the reality
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of the matter they don't. So dealing with people you have to balance yourself and be fair, open mind, and in the end, you have to, like, work with both.

I mean, and when it comes to the performance, nothing you can do, but sometimes the situation you really have to put your thoughts together and make a good decision, you know. Because at the end of the day, they're your people.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And all those skills that you've learned over these years, will you use those skills when you're evaluating the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Of course.
MS. LUZAICH: -- evidence here?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you think that you would be a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I think I will, yeah. Because, you know, I'm not the type of person that I overreacting. I like to listen and not come out with a conclusion, like just because, you know. I will be myself, and nothing change that, you know.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You all haven't heard the necessarily the charges yet. Some of the charges you're going to hear about our first-degree kidnapping with use of a deadly weapon, sexual assault with use of a deadly weapon, assault with use of a deadly weapon. There's a shotgun involved. Is JD Reporting, Inc.
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there anything that you are expecting to see in a trial like that, Ms. Lugo?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Evidence.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And in your mind, what is
evidence?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: (No response.)
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have to be able to reach out and touch evidence?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Not necessarily.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: But, I mean, it has to be something, like, it -- it will convince me, you know, that it was -- what it is a case to pursue.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Does there have to be -- I mean, can you -- can you listen to testimony, listen to what people have to say --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: -- and if you believe them, can that be enough to convince you potentially?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I would say 80 percent, yeah.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So you need more than just what people are going to say?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Uh-huh. Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Why?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Because you have to be neutral. You know, like, you know, sometimes things might look like, you know, but does the final decision or -- that's what it is, but, I mean, it can be a small pieces. It can be detailed. It can be something, you know, like you have to really deep analyze it to find out, you know, because I don't know. It's tricky sometimes.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. The Judge is going to read the law to you at the end of the case, you know, all the -statutes, the law, everything that you've got to follow, and she's going to describe for you what beyond a reasonable doubt means.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Are you going to follow the law that the Judge reads?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yeah, I will because, you know, that's why they're the Judge, and we have to stick on the law.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: You know, we cannot just come up with something on our own, you know.

MS. LUZAICH: Of course. You're not going to hold me to a higher burden than the Judge tells you --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Exactly. No.
MS. LUZAICH: No?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Just checking.
Mr. Coulombe -- did I get that right? 149. Nobody ever gets my name right. So I always try to get people's names right. What do you think about that? The Judge is going to -well, is there anything that you're expecting to see?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Nothing in particular.
MS. LUZAICH: Nothing?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: No.
MS. LUZAICH: The Judge is, like I said, going to read the law to you. What if there's a law that you don't agree with?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I'm not sure. Like, I
have to be in the situation I guess.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Well, I mean, you're going to swear an oath to uphold the law, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: If you don't agree with one of the laws, one of the rules that the Judge reads to you, will you follow it anyway?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Are you sure?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes, I would.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You recently, I assume, took government in school?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Did you learn much about the courts? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: A little bit.

MS. LUZAICH: Obviously it's been a long time since I took government.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I mean, it's only been a few years, but, I mean, I don't remember everything.

MS. LUZAICH: Uh-huh. Do you watch court stuff on TV?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Never.
MS. LUZAICH: Not at all?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: None that I -- yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: How about CSI? Do you watch CSI?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Not at all?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: No.
MS. LUZAICH: What do you watch?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: A lot of other stuff.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
THE COURT: Sports?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Of course. You mentioned that you've got some friends at Metro.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that a yes?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Just the court recorder, like I said, yes-button, no-button, no uh-huh-button. They talk to you a little bit about what they do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: One of them does because I am closer with him. He's my brother's, like, really close friend, so, but nothing, like, specific, just like stories. MS. LUZAICH: For example?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Just, like -- like, calls he got from someone, like, somebody somewhere being robbed and being connected to another robbing that happened, like, in the past in the same place, just stuff like that, but I can't remember anything. He doesn't tell me any names, any -- the actual place.

MS. LUZAICH: All right. No. Of course, not. Just situations?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, situations.
MS. LUZAICH: So, for example, I showed up out at this robbery case, or, for example, I showed up at this domestic violence call, that kind of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Does he make it sound exciting?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah. I mean, he's young, wants it to be fun. I'm sure it is. I'm sure it's also scary at the same time.
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MS. LUZAICH: That was my next question.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Does he ever talk about, you know, hey,
I put my life on the line every day?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Not as much. MS. LUZAICH: No?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Like it's there when it's
like a, like, a bad story, but.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. He obviously has to carry a weapon, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have any experience with guns?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: No, I do not.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have any friends other than your police officer friends that have experience with guns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: My brother, his
girlfriend, like her family are all -- they all have their own, I guess, weapons.

MS. LUZAICH: For what purpose?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Just, I guess, it makes them feel safer. They have their CCW.

MS. LUZAICH: So for protection --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, for protection. MS. LUZAICH: -- (unintelligible) hunting.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, nothing like that,
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just for protection. They feel better about it.
MS. LUZAICH: And how do you feel about that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I mean, I would, too.
They're trying to get me -- he wants to take me, like, shooting one time, but I still haven't gone, but, I mean, I'm not opposed to it. I believe if someone, like, wants to feel safer, and they want to go through that process, then they can. MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So keeping a gun for protection is a good thing. What about another reason?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Like, for hunting or for, like, are you talking about, like, (unintelligible) --

MS. LUZAICH: (Unintelligible) bad purposes.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Bad purposes, no, that's not a good thing.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Obviously I don't support
that.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you think you would be a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, I do.
MS. LUZAICH: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Just because, I mean, I do my best to listen to both sides. Like sometimes it's hard, and I feel like, I mean, I wouldn't want to miss any evidence, and I'd try to keep an open mind, but, I mean, honestly I
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can't -- I've never done it before, and I wouldn't be able to tell you a hundred percent.

MS. LUZAICH: Will you try?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I would try, yes.
MS. LUZAICH: All that matters.
Is there anybody here who has feelings against guns, who thinks that people shouldn't have guns?

Oh, not one person.
Who here does have guns?
Lots of people. Okay. Mr. Meacham, 155, why do you have a gun?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: For home defense.
MS. LUZAICH: Home protection?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Did you go to a class or anything to learn how to use it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: No, I didn't, but I do know how to use them.

MS. LUZAICH: You know how to use them because --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I've been to the shooting target. My dad was a former U.S. Army, he taught me when I was young -- a young age. When I bought my own place, I decided I wanted, you know, something for home defense, and I bought one handgun at the time, and then I bought another one since.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And then you said your dad had
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the experience. Did he teach you how to use it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: He did. He showed me how to use them.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Did he teach you that you should always keep it locked up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: He did, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: And do you keep it locked up?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Not always, no.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have kids?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: When I sleep I have it, you know, where I can reach it if I need it.

MS. LUZAICH: Do have kids?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: I do not.
MS. LUZAICH: And you said when you sleep you keep it where you can reach it.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 155: Yes, if I need it. You know, it's, like, if someone broke into the house and I heard it, I wouldn't want to have to go find my lockbox, open it up. If I go on vacation, of course, I'm going to lock it up.

MS. LUZAICH: Thank you very much.
Mr. Sullivan, did you say you have a gun as well?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Oh, no, I don't.
MS. LUZAICH: You do not, Mr. Sullivan, 165. Why do you not?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I don't know. I've shot JD Reporting, Inc.
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guns before. My friends always have guns. I've been skeet shooting, but I don't own my own gun. I've been actually thinking about it to be honest with you, but I haven't gone through with it yet.

MS. LUZAICH: But it's not any kind of philosophical, moral or religious reason that you don't have a gun?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Oh, not at all. No.
MS. LUZAICH: And do you think that it's okay to have guns for home protection?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: And your friends that have guns, do they keep them locked up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: It's kind of, like, what he had said. It's -- yeah, they have a safe, but they'll leave it out at night, you know, to grab it easily in case something happens.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You talked a little bit about your sister and brother-in-law and their issue. Because of what they went through and your family I assume went through because of them, do you have strong feelings about drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: About people who use drugs?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I have my opinion, yeah. Uh-huh.

MS. LUZAICH: And that is?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Well, obviously I believe it's a sickness if you're a drug abuser, but I look at it more along those lines.

MS. LUZAICH: When you say that it's a sickness, is it something that you can overcome --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Oh, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: -- in your mind?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that a yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes. Oh, yes. Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Remember yes-button, no-button.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Sorry. Do you think that based on what you've seen is there a difference between users of, like, things like methamphetamine and possibly marijuana?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I think it's a harder road obviously. Meth is a lot different than marijuana. I know people who do marijuana.

MS. LUZAICH: Like friends --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: -- or just acquaintances.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Friends.
MS. LUZAICH: And do you have a problem with that necessarily?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No, I don't. I don't do JD Reporting, Inc.
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it myself, but I don't have a problem with it.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So if somebody were to come in here and talk to you about the fact that maybe they used marijuana or something else, can you listen to that person objectively?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: You won't say, hey, you smoked pot, or you've used drugs. I can't believe anything you say because of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Oh, not at all. I wouldn't think that way.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you. Oh, and you said that your brother-in-law retired from Henderson.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: And he was undercover for a bit?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Early in his career he was undercover. I remember when he had to get, like, a little piercing up here and all kinds of stuff. I think it was in the drug narcotics section doing some -- I don't know all the details. He wouldn't tell me, but --

MS. LUZAICH: Uh-huh. Okay. So you and he are friendly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Pretty close?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yeah.
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MS. LUZAICH: But he wouldn't give you details about things that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Oh, on occasion. I've known him for 25 years, you know, but he's told stories over the years, you know, different things, nothing, you know, in great detail, but, you know, he -- you know, like suicides he's had to -- I think those were the ones that impacted him the most.

MS. LUZAICH: Did he ever work robberies or sexual assaults that you know?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I'm sure robberies, but I don't know about sexual assaults.

MS. LUZAICH: Did he ever talk to you about robberies?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No.
MS. LUZAICH: The Judge asked you this I know, but simply because he's a police officer isn't going to view the way you -- or affect the way you view any police officers that come testify, correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No.
MS. LUZAICH: And you'll evaluate their testimony the same as you would any other witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Uh-huh.
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MS. LUZAICH: Ms. Hine, 164, you said you have a gun? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I have four.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Well, when I left my ex-husband, I was, number one, single mom with two girls. So I was nervous being on my own, and so I got one, my first one, and then I've collected throughout the last five years, and I do have my CCW.

MS. LUZAICH: So protection?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Pretty much.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Not for an illicit purpose?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Unless it's needed. My life or my kids.

MS. LUZAICH: That's still protection.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: It'll be -- yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: So you have no problem with people who have guns for protection?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.
MS. LUZAICH: What do you think about people who have guns for other reasons, illicit purposes?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: They shouldn't have them. MS. LUZAICH: And you said you have a CCW?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
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MS. LUZAICH: So is that a yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: So you went through a class, learned how to use it --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes --
MS. LUZAICH: -- stuff like that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: -- and just did a
renewal, too.
MS. LUZAICH: And are your guns locked up?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: What about your kids? Did you teach
them --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: They go to the gun range with me, and I teach them. They do shoot.

MS. LUZAICH: And they learned gun safety and --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: That's very important --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yes. I want them to know in case they come across a friend or a gun that's in somebody else's house, and they know how to disarm it.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Mr. Winn, did you say that you have a gun?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: 28.
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MS. LUZAICH: Not that you're counting. For what purpose?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I'm a gun collector. I belong to a gun club, competition shooting, home defense.

MS. LUZAICH: And because you do all of that you went to a class to learn how to use guns --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: I do have a CCW.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And do you keep your guns all locked up?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No, I do not.
MS. LUZAICH: Um --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: The ones for home defense are not locked up.

MS. LUZAICH: Are there children in your home?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: No.
MR. ERICSSON: Your Honor, may we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Who does not have guns?
Ms. Garcia-Hatton, 152, why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Just financial reasons, but I've been wanting to get one.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: But just financially right now it's not a priority.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And to get one, you would get one for home protection?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. But there's nobody here who for whatever reason is morally opposed to people who have guns?

People are kind of nodding their heads, no.
Is there anybody here who has any strong opinions or feelings about people who use drugs?

Mr. Bohac, 151.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: My father used to be a meth cook and he worked with the cartels. So I've had my experience of being scared, running. Like, it's just not something that I personally think is good.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Drugs are never good.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Right.
MS. LUZAICH: I'm here to tell you that. When you said that he was a meth cook and ran with the cartels, was he prosecuted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: He was.
MS. LUZAICH: When the Judge asked if you knew anybody that was accused of a crime --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I don't like to talk about my dad.

MS. LUZAICH: Oh, I'm sorry. Just very quickly, quickly. Because of the experience that you had -- well, he JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1 165
was prosecuted?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you think that the police and the court system acted appropriately?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Oh, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So you have no bad feelings towards police --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: No.
MS. LUZAICH: -- towards prosecutors, towards the court because of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Not at all.
MS. LUZAICH: Because of all of that, clearly you don't like drugs. Can you listen to somebody who says that maybe they have used drugs and evaluate that person's testimony objectively?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: You won't listen to what that person has to say and say I can't believe anything you say simply because you've used drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Correct.
MS. LUZAICH: Will you give that person a fair chance?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
Anybody else strong feelings about drugs? People who
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use drugs? Anything at all?
(No response.)
MS. LUZAICH: Mr. Macko, 148, you have any friends who use -- have used drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: My brother-in-law has his card, his --

MS. LUZAICH: Marijuana card?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: What do you think about that? Is that a good thing? A bad thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: It's his choice. I'm neutral about it. I mean, if he wants to use, he can. It's legal now. So, you know, I don't have any.

MS. LUZAICH: What about when it was not legal?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: I don't know. I mean, obviously they shouldn't be doing it because it's illegal, but, you know, if they choose to do it, that's their choice.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So if somebody comes in here and talks about the fact that they have been using, can you listen to that person objectively?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: You won't say I can't believe anything you have to say simply because you have used drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: No. I'll listen to them.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you. Does anybody know
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anybody that has been the victim of any kind of sexual offense? A couple of people.

Okay. Ms. Maynard, we talked about your kids, Maynard, Badge No. -- I'm trying to do so good for the court recorder -- 168. But your -- your son did not have to testify; is that correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No.
MS. LUZAICH: And that was a good thing for you, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Definitely.
MS. LUZAICH: And you feel that the Court treated both of your kids fairly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Yes, definitely.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
Who else? Somebody --
Ms. Garcia-Hatton, 152.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes. My best friend's children, all three of them were molested by one of her kid's father.

MS. LUZAICH: A kid's father. Was that ever reported?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes. This happened a year ago.

MS. LUZAICH: Oh. Is it ongoing right now in court?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes, I think. I think
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they have a court date set. I'm not sure when, but yeah, it's ongoing.

MS. LUZAICH: And when you say a court date is set, in cases there are two different hearings. There's a probable cause at preliminary hearing and then a trial, which is where we are right now. Do you know if they're closer to trial or closer to that early hearing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I honestly don't know. I know he is -- he is in jail because all the evidence came back positive. So they're just waiting I think for trial to convict him or --

MS. LUZAICH: So do you know have any of the kids had to testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, not yet. Well, they did talk to the detective, but they haven't testified in court.

MS. LUZAICH: So they interviewed?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: And that -- is that a yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And that's all?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
Anybody else know somebody who's been a victim of a sexual offense?

Ms. Hine.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I didn't know we were getting all this kind of personal, but my husband was sexually abused when he was a child.

MS. LUZAICH: Do you know if it was reported? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No. My husband never reported it.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
Does anybody think that it would be embarrassing to come into a room full of strangers and have to talk about something like that?

A couple of people are nodding their heads.
Mr. Sullivan, tell me about that.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Well, it's personal. So I'm sure that it's uncomfortable for the person, especially if you get any kind of graphic detail.

MS. LUZAICH: And do you think that that embarrassment might affect the way somebody appears maybe on the witness stand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: I'm sure it could be very emotional.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Earlier I asked if anybody was expecting anything. You say you expect it could be very emotional. Do you think it has to be very emotional?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: No. It goes back to perception question. It's all how people view it, how it
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affected them, what was their focus, how directly did it affect that person.

MS. LUZAICH: So if somebody comes in here and talks about it, but is not emotional, how -- how are you going to evaluate that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: People handle things in different ways. Some people already went through that portion of it and are not as emotional about it since it's been -- it sounds like it's a couple years now since that happened. Maybe they're not as emotional about it at this moment, but they were then.

MS. LUZAICH: Do you think different things may upset an individual?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Sure. I'm sure certain things could trigger an emotional event.

MS. LUZAICH: Or something might upset them more than that even?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that a yes?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 165: Yes. Sorry.
MS. LUZAICH: Mr. Winn, 163, what do you think about that? Might it be embarrassing to talk about that in a room full of strangers?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Yeah, I think it would be very difficult.
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MS. LUZAICH: Are you expecting to see anything now that you know that that is going to happen?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: My expectation is neutral. It's all going to be up to the individual and how they perceive themselves today, their ability to be communicative about their personal experience. I have no preconceived notion how someone's going to communicate what happened to them.

MS. LUZAICH: But do you agree that different people communicate their experiences differently as well?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Just like every one of us is explaining things different to you today.

MS. LUZAICH: You're very articulate. Would you agree that not everybody is as articulate as you are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: And is that something that you'll also take into consideration?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 163: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: Ms. Lawson, what about yourself? Badge No. 171. Do you think that that might be embarrassing to talk about?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Do think that somebody is necessarily going to get emotional when they talk about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Not necessarily.
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MS. LUZAICH: And is that okay in your mind? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes. Uh-huh. MS. LUZAICH: Are you expecting to see anything in this trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Probably some emotional things. I don't know.

MS. LUZAICH: What if you don't see any emotion whatsoever?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: That's fine. I'm just going to listen to, you know, the case and, I mean, time's passed and everybody handles things differently.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you watch CSI?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: If I'm at my mom's. She is addicted to it, but --

MS. LUZAICH: Oh, is she?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: -- not -- I don't watch a lot of TV, but I've seen it, but -- Mark Harmon's cute. Just kidding. Is that -- is that.

MS. LUZAICH: Is that NCIS?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: NCIS, one of those.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So that's when you watch with your mom?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Who in here watches CSI?
Oh, I was going to say there has to be somebody.
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Ms. Maynard, you watch CSI?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Not all the time, but if it's on at that time, then I do. I mean --

MS. LUZAICH: There are a bunch of different CSIs.
Which one do you watch?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: I've watched all
different ones. I don't -- I'm not, like, religious about always watching it, but if it's on, that actually draws my attention, and the court issues, all that just gets my interest. So if it's on, I'll watch it, but I won't, like, run to the TV to watch it.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Would it surprise you to know that CSI is fake?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: No. It's acting. It's drama.

MS. LUZAICH: It's scripted.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 168: Definitely.
MS. LUZAICH: Mr. Macko, you're laughing.
Would anybody here be surprised to know that CSI is fake? None of those things happen in real life. Would anybody be surprised?

Mr. Warren, you don't look happy about that. Do you watch CSI ever?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: No, I do not watch CSI. (Unintelligible) me and a friend of mine were watching it when
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it first came out a long time ago, and they had a case on there, and he goes, Nope, that's not how they do it so right then you knew.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So you know it's not real?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yeah, right then I knew. MS. LUZAICH: Is anybody expecting any of those wild and crazy things that we see on CSI to come out in our trial? Okay. Nobody is saying yes.
Sorry. My handwriting is awful, and I'm trying to read it.

Ms. Garcia-Hatton, No. 152, your mom owns the convenience store. Is it one store or multiple?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: She has one.
MS. LUZAICH: Does she ever work there, or did she just own it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: She works there.
MS. LUZAICH: Often? I mean, does she have, like -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: She's there every day. MS. LUZAICH: Oh, she is. Okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: She's in charge. I mean, she does the paperwork and cash, but she has the clerks who run the front. She's in the back.

MS. LUZAICH: Has she ever been the one that's been robbed, held up in the --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: She's been robbed, but
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nothing happened to her thankfully.
MS. LUZAICH: That's very fortunate.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Has she ever been robbed at weapon
point? At gunpoint --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Not knifepoint, yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Knifepoint?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Did the police ever catch the person who did that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Did she ever have to go to court to testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, she didn't.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that the only time that she had reported one to herself where it was actually somebody --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: With a weapon yes, but, I mean, she gets robbed all the time, I mean, without weapons. So cops come all the time.

MS. LUZAICH: The clerk that was shot recently, you said that somebody was caught?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Did the clerk have to go to court to testify?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: I don't know.
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MS. LUZAICH: Do you follow that at all or -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 152: No, just when it happened, but I actually just talked to my mom about it the other day, and she hasn't kept up with the family or anything. They caught the -- they were all a group of juveniles, and that's all I know.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Is there anybody who for whatever reason cannot sit in judgment of somebody? Moral? Philosophical? Religious? Any reason whatever? Because what you have to do as a juror is listen to all of the evidence, the testimony and decide whether or not the State of Nevada has proven its case beyond a reasonable doubt. Is there anybody who for whatever reason cannot sit in judgment?

Seeing no hands.
Mr. Bohac, if you were me representing the State of Nevada, would you want you as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Me knowing myself?
MS. LUZAICH: Uh-huh. Knowing everything you know about yourself.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I feel like I can be impartial and listen to what you would have to say based on the case.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And I'm sorry to bring up your
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age again, but you are a little younger than some of the other jurors. So if you're in the back and everybody else is older and you're younger, you're still going to be able to express your opinion, right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You won't let them kind of beat you down?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Oh, no.
MS. LUZAICH: But if you were able to -- or if they were able to point to something that maybe you missed, would you possibly change your mind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: It depends on what they pointed out, but it's a possibility.

MS. LUZAICH: It's possible, but if you're sure you're right, you're going to stick to your guns?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: If I'm sure, I'll let everyone else know I'm sure and stick to what I know.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Coulombe, sorry, you, too are also a little young. Are you going to be able to hold your own back there if all the other jurors are older?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Stick to your guns if you're sure you're right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes.
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MS. LUZAICH: And if it's 11 to 1, it's five to
5:00 on Friday, you're the one, you're not going to change your mind just because you want to go home?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So if you were me and you
represented the State of Nevada, would you want you as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I mean, I can try to keep an open mind. That's about it. Like --

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. That's fair enough.
And then, Mr. Macko, you knew it was coming to you next.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: If the other 11 are older than you, are you going to be able to hold your own back there?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Of course.
MS. LUZAICH: So if you were me representing the State of Nevada, would you want you as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 148: Because I'm an honest person. I'm impartial, and I look at things how they are, and that's how I make my judgments. There's no bias.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
And just because I don't want everybody to think that I discriminate based on age, Mr. Warren, if you were me representing the State of Nevada, would you want you as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes, I would.
MS. LUZAICH: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Because I am impartial, and I do have an open mind for what you are presenting.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So if somebody comes in here and talks about the fact that they may have been using drugs at some point in time, can you listen to that person objectively --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes, I can.
MS. LUZAICH: -- and give that person a fair chance?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 166: Yes, I can.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
And then, finally, Mr. Osorio.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: If you were me representing the State of Nevada, would you want you as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Pretty much -- pretty much the same with them. I'm not biased. I'm open-minded.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You're going to keep your open mind until you hear everything that's presented in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 157: Yes.

MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
So, everybody, if you listen to the facts of this case, the witnesses, the evidence, and if you were convinced that the State proved its case beyond a reasonable doubt, is there anybody who could not say the word guilty? Is there anybody --

Ms. Hine.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: I just have a question.
MS. LUZAICH: Sure.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Like, I don't really feel like I want to be responsible for somebody's conviction. So --

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Here, can we pass the mic down.
You don't want to be responsible for somebody's
conviction.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Well, first why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Just I feel that's left to the man upstairs. Like, I don't want to be involved.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Well, here now, remember this.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: That you're going to go back there, and it's not just you. There are going to be 12 of you who are
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making a -- you know, who are talking about it and forming opinions and ultimately coming down with a verdict.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: So all you're going to do, if you're selected, is decide did the State prove the case beyond a reasonable doubt. I mean, that's literally all you have to do.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Uh-huh. I just would rather have a neutral stand on it. Like, I don't -- I don't -I'm not one way or the other just because of my personal experiences with abuse and stuff like that. I just don't want to deal with it. That's my opinion on it.

MS. LUZAICH: Well, I understand that, but, I mean, you recognize that one of the rights that we have and responsibilities that we have as citizens here is to sit on juries to vote and -- do you vote?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Really?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Huh-uh.
MS. LUZAICH: How come?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: The way I was brought up religiously. I just never have gotten involved with politics.

MS. LUZAICH: Does your not wanting to sit in judgment have --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Religious factors.
MS. LUZAICH: -- religious grounding --
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: -- or --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Well, thank you.
Anybody else who couldn't say the word guilty if they were -- if they were convinced beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant committed the crimes he was charged with?
(No response.)

MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Can we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
THE COURT: We're going to go ahead and excuse you from this department. There are 32 departments in this building. Some of -- about half are doing civil cases. You might be better suited for that type of a case, that doesn't involve guilty or not guilty as a battle between individuals or corporations. So I'm going to excuse you from these proceedings.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 164: Thank you.
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the rest of us are going to take our lunch break. We will be in recess for the lunch break until 2:10.

During the lunch break you are reminded that you're not to discuss the case or anything relating to the case with
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each other or with anyone else. You're not to read, watch or listen to any reports of or commentaries on the case, person or subject matter relating to the case. Do not do any independent research by way of the Internet or any other medium. Do not engage in any social networking like Facebook or Twitter on any subject concerning these proceedings, and please do not form or express an opinion on the case.

When you go into the hallway, our bailiff, Officer Hawkes, will give you direction on where to meet after the lunch break. Also, if you have any questions about where to eat for lunch or anything like that, please address Officer Hawkes in the hallway. Also, once again, I'm going to ask you all to return to the same chairs where you're seated. So please remember where you're seated so you can sit there again.

If everyone would please collect your things and follow Officer Hawkes through the double doors, we'll see you back after the lunch break.
(Panel of prospective jurors recessed 1:08 p.m.)
THE COURT: Do you have a lot of questions?
MR. ERICSSON: You know what, it really depends on how they respond.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ERICSSON: I would imagine a half hour.
THE COURT: And you were passing the rest of the panel for cause, correct?
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MS. LUZAICH: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. So your -- I was assuming you were done and -- okay. We'll put up the next one in order. I'll question her.

And then you can question whoever is up.
And then, Mr. Ericsson, you can do the 14.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
MR. ERICSSON: And I'm sorry, what time are we supposed to be back? I wasn't --

THE COURT: 2:10.
MR. ERICSSON: 2:10.
MS. LUZAICH: What time are you going to start
tomorrow, Judge? Do you know?
THE COURT: You know, our criminal calendar isn't that long. So hopefully 10:30, 10:45.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay.
THE COURT: Probably closer to 10:45.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
(Proceedings recessed 1:10 p.m. to 2:15 p.m.)
(Panel of prospective jurors entering 2:16 p.m.)
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Can we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
THE COURT: All right. Court is now back in session.
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The record should reflect the presence of the State, the defendant and his counsel, the officers of the court, and the ladies and gentlemen of the prospective jury panel.

Everyone can be seated.
And if the court clerk would please call up the next prospective juror.

THE CLERK: Sihan Chen.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
THE CLERK: If you could come sit in that empty seat in the back row, please.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Got it.
THE COURT: Counsel, approach.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
THE COURT: Good afternoon. Is it Mr. Chen?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Good afternoon.
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I -- I don't do anything for a living for now. I just recently graduated.

THE COURT: From?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: From UC Santa Barbara.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
THE COURT: And what were you studying at UC Santa Barbara?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I studied economics and
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accounting there.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you looking for work, or are you going to graduate school, or what's the plan?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I'm taking CPA exams right now.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: And I just started looking for jobs June. So, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. So you hope to work as a CPA I'm assuming?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And how many of the -- what is it? Four exams or five exams?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: It was total four exams. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you completed all the exams yet?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I passed two, and I just completed the next two. I'm just waiting on the grades.

THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner, significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, I'm not married, and I'm single.

THE COURT: Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No.

JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1 187

THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: It was -- I came to the room, but I wasn't, like, selected last time. So, yeah. THE COURT: Okay. And have you or anyone close to you ever been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Nope, negative.
THE COURT: What about the victim of a crime? Have you been the victim of a crime ever?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Nope.
THE COURT: And has anyone close to you been the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No.
THE COURT: Okay. You heard, I'm assuming, us talking about the State -- the fact that the State has the burden of proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you have any problem with that concept or with holding the State to that burden?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I don't think I have any problems with that. Yeah.

THE COURT: Did you hear either me or Ms. Luzaich ask any of the other jurors a question that you thought you'd have to raise your hand and respond to in the affirmative if you were sitting up here in the box?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Not in particularly. THE COURT: Okay.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: And I -- and I agree with a lot of the questions that she asked about, like, perceptions, how people receive perception differently.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yeah.
THE COURT: Do you have any close friends or family that have ever worked in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Nope.
THE COURT: Nothing. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Nothing, yeah.
THE COURT: Are you from here originally? Is that why you're here since --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No. I was -- I was born in China, and at my age of 15 I moved to Las Vegas.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: And I went to Durango High School. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel like you're the kind of person that would make a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I would like to think so, yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And what qualities or attributes do you have that you feel would make you a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I think I'm fair, and as long as there's sufficient appropriate avenues presented to
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prove that it's beyond the reasonable doubt, then -- then I would. That would prove. It's more based on, like, evidence provided than my opinions.

THE COURT: Okay. I have no other questions.
Ms. Luzaich.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Good afternoon, Mr. Chen.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Good afternoon.
MS. LUZAICH: You said you agreed with most of my questions about perception.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: So you can see how two different people can watch or see an event and maybe describe it differently or perceive it differently?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And you think that different people might react differently to the same set of circumstances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And you don't have a problem with that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No. Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have any opinions one way or another about people who use drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No.
MS. LUZAICH: So you can listen to somebody who has used drugs --
\[
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: -- and still evaluate them objectively?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have any opinions about guns?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, not -- I don't have any strong opinion about guns.

MS. LUZAICH: Do you have a gun?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, I don't.
MS. LUZAICH: Because?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Because I -- because I don't -- I don't think it's necessary at this point in my life. It's --

MS. LUZAICH: You don't want one?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: And I don't want to run into other situations. It's very less likely anything could happen, but I think it would be a good idea to have one in the future just to be, you know, precautious.

MS. LUZAICH: For protection?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes, for protection. Yes.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you think that it is potentially embarrassing to talk about having been sexually abused or assaulted?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes, I would like to think so.
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MS. LUZAICH: Is that something that you would take into consideration when somebody testifies?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, I don't think so because people describe it differently, and it doesn't have to be to describe it in a very emotional way to, you know, to show that it's real. It's really up to the person how -- how she feels or how he feels. Yeah.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Are you expecting anything in particular in this trial?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Not really, but since you asked, I would like to think that there might be some evidence or witness, like, come up and relate it to those question. That's what I'm expecting.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You're definitely going to hear from a lot of witnesses.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Okay.
MS. LUZAICH: Or people who are testifying, providing testimony. Do you think that, in your opinion, does there have to be something that you can touch?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, as long as it can be proved, and as long as the evidence is -- has credibilities. So then, yeah, it doesn't have to be touched.

MS. LUZAICH: When you say evidence has credibility, you mean witnesses? People have credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: People or -- or the - or JD Reporting, Inc.
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the institution providing the evidence. For example, if you said it's a third-party, third-party confirmation a third-party provided compared to some evidence that is provided from -from, you know, from myself.

MS. LUZAICH: Oh, okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: So that's what I mean. Yeah.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you watch CSI or any of those shows?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No, I don't.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So you wouldn't be surprised or offended to learn that CSI --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: No.
MS. LUZAICH: -- is fake?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Not at all.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And this is actually for everybody. Is there anybody who thinks that if somebody does something foolish, something that you or I might not do that they're not entitled to the same protection of the law as you and I are?

What do you think about that, Mr. Chen?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I think they're all
entitled to the rights or the law. If he did something stupid back then, it doesn't -- it doesn't mean that she shouldn't deserve the equal rights or, you know. So.
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MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Mr. Bohac, what do you think about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: I think everybody should be treated fairly dependent on circumstances shouldn't really matter.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you.
And then, Ms. Lugo, if somebody commits a crime on
Tuesday, on Thursday can they still be the victim of a crime?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yes, until they don't
prove that whatever wrong.
MS. LUZAICH: I'm sorry?
You know, can you send the microphone down.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I will say, yes, until
they prove he's guilty or not.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Let's just say, for example, on Tuesday Susie commits a crime, and she's going to get, you know, her whatever. On Thursday, if someone does something bad to Susie, can she be the victim?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: She can --
MS. LUZAICH: Can she come to court and can you
listen to her despite the fact that she did something bad earlier?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yeah, I will because there's going to be two cases.

MS. LUZAICH: Two separate --
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: She's a victim, two separate cases.

MS. LUZAICH: -- situations?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Situations.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. So if somebody commits a crime, they're still entitled to the same protection under the law as you and I are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: A right, yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Does anybody disagree with that?
Thank you.
Judge, I would pass the panel for cause.
THE COURT: Counsel, approach, please.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Luzaich.
Mr. Ericsson, you may question the panel.
MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. I hope that you're still hanging in there as far as not being too tired. I know it's already been a long process, and I'm going to be asking a bunch of questions, just as Ms. Luzaich did.

And one of the things that is interesting about being in jury trials is there's a lot on the line in these cases, but there's also times where there'll be levity. There'll be laughing. There'll be joking about things, but I want to begin prefacing my questions by emphasizing that this is a critically
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important proceeding that you may be asked to participate in. And Ms. Luzaich, she asked a lot of questions looking for people who she thinks can be fair to the State. Obviously I am representing Mr. Elam, and my interests and his are to find people who can be fair to the defense, and I obviously don't know what's in your hearts, what's in your minds. We can only ask that you be truthful. As was indicated a number of times, there is no wrong answer other than an answer that is not truthful.

There are people who can be perfectly good
witnesses -- jurors on a civil case, and they just don't have the mindset or the background to be the proper type of person for a jury on a criminal case. There are people who have had experiences in their past that would make them -- it would be very difficult to be unbiased towards somebody who has been accused of a crime.

I'm going to go through a number of questions kind of related to that, and you've -- you've sworn under oath that you will tell the truth, and I just ask you that you do that. If there are things that I ask about that make you think of something else that is related, I would hope that you would bring that up so that both sides can have a fair jury for this very important trial.

There have been a lot of questions asked about perception, and whether two people can be involved and perceive
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the same event and have different perceptions of what happened, and I think most of you agree that that certainly can happen and that they both can be telling what they think is the truth.

I want to ask you though about this, this spin on this. I have a 16-year-old son who was -- had his learner's permit. He was driving. My wife was a passenger, and they were at an intersection, and there was a car crash in front of them, and they pulled over, and they waited for the cops to come, and they filled out statements about what they had seen.

And that night I asked my son, you know, what had happened and what he had written down, and they were at an intersection where the speed limit was 30 miles an hour each direction, and he said, dad, that car was going so fast. He was going, like, a hundred miles an hour, and I said, Did you write down that the car was going a hundred miles an hour, and he said, yeah, it was flying, and my wife, who's been driving, like, 25 years longer than the young man with the learner's permit, she had estimated that the speed was 45 in the 30 , and that's what she had written down.

My question to you is would you all agree that although people can have different perceptions, that it's certainly possible that one person is wrong even though they truly believe that what they said is correct, and one person is closer to the actual truth? Does everybody agree with that concept?
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Do you understand and agree that people can get up on the stand and testify to something that they in their heart think -- think is true, but they are simply incorrect about their perceptions? Does that make sense to everybody?

Okay. The -- one of the things that you're going to -- and I don't like to bring this up because it's -- it's a difficult situation. Obviously, Mr. Elam, he's an African American, and there are some people due to whatever experiences, upbringing, that they view other races differently than those that they're more used to, and I need to ask each of you is there anything in your background that would cause you to have difficulties in being completely fair and unbiased towards Mr. Elam who's an African American?

And as I ask that, I know that that's a very difficult question to answer in the affirmative in a group setting like this, but we all know that some people carry that, and if you do, there's no judgment from me, but we need to know that so that we can have jurors who are appropriate for this type of case? Is there anybody here that would hold it against Mr. Elam based on -- or hold -- let me rephrase the question. Anybody who feels that they might be biased against Mr. Elam because of his race?

Okay. There were a number of questions asked about testimony from police officers, and I want to specifically start with -- and I'm not sure how to pronounce your last name.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Coulombe.
MR. ERICSSON: How do you pronounce it?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Coulombe.
MR. ERICSSON: Can we get you the -- it's right in front of -- behind you.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, it's Coulombe.
MR. ERICSSON: Coulombe. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah. It's French. So it's complicated.

MR. ERICSSON: So you indicated that you've got several friends who serve on the police department?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yes.
MR. ERICSSON: I think you indicated three. And when you were talking about evaluating police officer testimony, and I wrote this down. If I misquote you, correct me, but I think you had said that you think that police should be held to higher credibility.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I don't think that's true for everyone. I just, like, personally, like, I want to think that. Like, I mean, just, like, because they're cops, like, I just feel as if they, like, should have higher credibility. I'm not saying that's the case all the time.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Okay. And I just want to, you know, make sure that I understand kind of where you're -- so if someone gets up on the stand to testify, and they're in a
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uniform, and they're working for the police department, would you hold that person's testimony in a higher regard based on the position that they hold versus a lay witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I mean, not really, no. MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And explain your thought process.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah. I just feel, like, I honestly would a little bit, but I wouldn't, like -- it wouldn't be, like, a deciding factor for me, and I would definitely listen to both sides. It's just, like, if a cop's up there, then you -- I don't know. I just feel as if -- I'm just being honest.

MR. ERICSSON: And that's all we're asking for. Let me -- I'm going to ask more questions about that, but there are some people who have had bad experiences with police officers who, you know, come in and say if it's a police officer, I'm less likely to believe them because, you know, my background. I've had bad experiences, and then certainly there are --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, it's all about experience.

MR. ERICSSON: Right. There are lots of people who have had good, nothing but positive experiences with police. They've helped them, and -- and they would evaluate their testimony differently. Because they -- because of their position, they believe that they would be more likely to be
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MR. ERICSSON: -- but when we're determining who is appropriate to sit on a jury, it is relevant, okay.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: Yeah, no, I agree.
MR. ERICSSON: And just be as truthful as you can. Do you feel that you would hold a police officer's testimony in higher regard because of his or her position --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: I would say, yes, like a tiny bit.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And I appreciate -- appreciate your answer.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 149: No problem.
\(\operatorname{MR}\). ERICSSON: And this is -- you're going to hear from a lot of police, well, not a lot, but a number of police officers in this case. Is there anybody else who has the same feeling, that they would because of the person's position hold the testimony of a police officer in higher regard because of his or her position?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: I think I would, too. I mean just because he is a police officer, that would lend him more credibility than the other, but I believe that it's an immaterial amount, but it would be considered. I mean, it's the fact that he's a police, that he lends a little bit more credibility, but I don't think that's going to impact our
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judgment, you know, saying that because he's a police officer, so everything he does is right, and that is not correct. Yeah.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And for the record, it's Mr. Chen --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Yes.
MR. ERICSSON: -- who is now speaking. So if it came down to there were two people telling you conflicting things, and one was a police officer and the other wasn't, all other things being equal, would you hold the police officer's testimony in a higher regard?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: If everything else equal then, yes, but I would certainly have the doubts about -- about the things because I can't just purely conclude, make the conclusion because, you know, I need some sort of proof to make that judgment.

MR. ERICSSON: So is it accurate just you would evaluate everything to determine that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: Right.
MR. ERICSSON: But --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 172: But not based -- based on purely because he is officer.

MR. ERICSSON: Right. Okay.
And I'll ask that hypothetical of the rest of the panel. If you have two witnesses and one's a police officer, the other is not, all the other factors in your evaluation are JD Reporting, Inc.
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the same about their testimony, would any others of you hold the police officer's testimony in a higher regard?

It's Mr. Bohac, right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Bohac, yes. I think it completely depends on the evidence because one person can say one thing. Another person can say something else. Whether they have the credibility or not. It depends on what the evidence is to support what they are saying.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: So I don't believe they would have more credibility, but I think they have more opportunities to be credible, to build the notion that they are credible.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. So with that are you saying that when you're evaluating the testimony of a police officer you would also be evaluating his or her experience and things like that --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Correct.
\(\operatorname{MR}\). ERICSSON: -- as you're determining the credibility or lack of credibility of the testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 151: Correct.
MR. ERICSSON: Would you all agree that there are cases where the police have a good-faith belief that they have the correct suspect, but they are mistaken? Is everybody comfortable with that? That police officers can make mistakes
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based on information that's given to them from other witnesses and that they can arrest people for crimes that they did not commit? Is everybody completely comfortable and in agreement with that?

Ms. Lawson, can we get the microphone to you. So I believe that you indicated that you have a cousin who works for the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Yes.
MR. ERICSSON: And it sounds like you have a somewhat close relationship with him or her?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: When we were younger much closer, but since he is working with Metro not really.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: I mean, I see him occasionally, but not -- we're not very close.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And this question has been asked of others, and if it's been asked of you, I apologize, but one of the concerns is that if people have close or just family members or friends who work for the police department that it would be difficult or uncomfortable if they were to later be in a conversation and indicate that they found somebody not guilty of a serious crime. Tell me what your thoughts are if you were to determine that the State has not met its burden of proof and you were to vote for a not guilty verdict in this case and how that would be with interacting
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with your family?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: There would be no issues. MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And why is that? Explain that to me.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: It's based on what I learned, the evidence I saw and what I believe to be true, and it's my judgment. It's my decision. So regardless of what they may think or, you know, if -- I would think they would be upset that I didn't side with the State or whatever. That would not influence me.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: In my decision.
MR. ERICSSON: Thank you. Ms. Lugo.
Can you pass the microphone (unintelligible)?
Have you been a victim or there at -- at your store during a robbery attempt?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Fortunately, no. No. I never see any. No. Luckily, no.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Did you say unfortunately, no?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: No. I said,
"Fortunately, no".
MR. ERICSSON: Oh. "Fortunately, no." Okay. Okay. Very good.

I think a few of you have indicated that in your past you've been accused of things that you didn't do. Does
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everybody agree that sometimes people have motivations to come up with a -- allegations of criminal conduct where the crime did not occur? Is everybody comfortable with that? That they -- that just because somebody comes in and says that a crime occurred will you all carefully analyze all of the evidence, the testimony as well as the physical evidence, to determine whether or not you believe the State has met its burden?

The last general question \(I\) have is the reverse of what Ms. Luzaich asked you about being a proper jury -- or juror for the State. Again, I don't know what's in your hearts, but do each of you feel that you -- if you were sitting at the defense table that you would be comfortable with somebody with your life experience and background sitting as a juror on this case?

And if I can either get an affirmative nod of the head or a shake of the head no. So I'll ask of all of you as a group. Do each of you feel that if you were sitting at the defense table you would be comfortable with you sitting as a juror on this case?

Everyone is nodding their head except for Ms. Chenh.
And if I can ask you a few questions. How are you doing this afternoon?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Good.
MR. ERICSSON: Good. As you are thinking about
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possibly being selected to serve as a juror on this case, how do you feel about that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Well, it's better that you have a chance later because I don't want involved in the case that I don't understand.

MR. ERICSSON: And I appreciate that answer. It seems to me that you understand most of what's going on in here.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Uh-huh.
MR. ERICSSON: But is it accurate to say that there are some things that are maybe legal language that you don't follow?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 167: Like, the legal case I don't really know about it. Yeah.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Hold that microphone for one moment.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Can I answer.
MR. ERICSSON: Yes. Do you want to respond?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: The same thing happened to me. You know, like -- like this kind of conversations. It's easy to hold it, but I would say, you know, like, for example, for, like, those terminologies that you guys use or, like, doctors use or, like, because of the higher speaking language, I don't think I'm comfortable with that too. So that's how I feel.
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THE COURT: Has anybody used a word -- words today that you didn't understand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Not today. I'm just thinking, you know, maybe when the case going, you know, like --

THE COURT: Because we're not looking for 14 lawyers who will automatically know all the legal terms that somebody might -- might use.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: That's the only concern that I have, you know, the terminologies that I never hear before because, you know, English is not my first language.

THE COURT: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I mean, I understand a lot, and I speak a lot, but I'm saying, you know --

THE COURT: Right --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: -- when it goes something higher --

THE COURT: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: I don't know if I'm going to be able to catch it.

THE COURT: Well let me tell you this. Jurors are permitted to ask questions of the witnesses. So if a witness were to use a word that maybe you did not understand, would you promise me that you'd write down the question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Oh, sure.
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THE COURT: What did you mean by that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Yeah.
THE COURT: Or I don't know that word.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 158: Okay. If that's the -- I
mean, (unintelligible) for myself, I won't do it.
THE COURT: All right. Go on, Mr. Ericsson.
MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor. May we approach?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
THE COURT: Ms. Chenh in Seat 4, we're going to go
ahead and excuse you from these proceedings. All right. Thank you.

MR. ERICSSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: Counsel, approach.
MR. ERICSSON: Sorry.
MS. LUZAICH: Sure.
(Conference at the bench not recorded.)
THE COURT: All right. Subject to our conversation at the bench, pass for cause?

MR. ERICSSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Ericsson.
And if the court clerk would please call up the next prospective juror.

THE CLERK: Gary Anderson.
\[
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THE COURT: Good afternoon.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Hi.
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Computer programmer.
THE COURT: All right. And do you just program on your own, or do you work for a business or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I work for an
entertainment company, casinos.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partner or significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I live with my girlfriend.

THE COURT: What does she do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: She works with healthcare insurance.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you -- what does she do, sell insurance, or is she an adjustor or a claims adjustor --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: She deals with the denials when people get done with their benefits and -- lots of different things that they have to keep legal in line when they're dealing with benefits and denial benefits and things like that.

THE COURT: Okay. And then do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.

THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.
THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been
arrested, charged or accused of a crime?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Not that I can think of. THE COURT: Okay. I saw you thinking there. What about the victim of a crime? Have you been the victim of a crime, or has someone close to you been the victim of a serious crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I had my car broken into a couple times at the train station when I left it there and lived in New York.

THE COURT: Okay. Back when you lived in New York? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. And they what, broke people -somebody broke into it and stole property -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.

THE COURT: -- from inside your car?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah.
THE COURT: Did you report that to the police?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I think I did.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I called the insurance
company. There wasn't much they could do about it.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: And after the second time
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I decided to move because I didn't feel that safe there.
THE COURT: So you moved from New York here to Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: To New Jersey at the time.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, you've heard me, I'm sure, talking to some of the other prospective jurors about the fact that the State has the burden of proving the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you have any problems or issues with that concept?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.
THE COURT: Okay. Any problem holding the State to that burden and voting not guilty if they fail to meet it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.
THE COURT: Conversely, any issues with voting guilty if you feel after due consideration and deliberation that they have met their burden of proof?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.
THE COURT: Did you hear me, Ms. Luzaich or Mr. Ericsson ask any of the other jurors a question that you thought as you sat in the audience, oh, if I were up there, I'd have to raise my hand and answer that question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah, like about people who use drugs.

THE COURT: Okay.
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: That kind of thing. I grew up in an alcoholic family, and I just kind of have a personal thing against people kind of about -- who use drugs, and until a couple years ago, I didn't quite realize it, and I lived up in California, went to a party with some people, and they were doing the vaping and stuff like that, and I just real had a negative reaction to that, and I realized I just -- that kind of thing, it's just I think less of people who use recreational drugs.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. And is that the same for people who use alcohol or use alcohol excessively?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Excessively, yeah, I would say so.

THE COURT: But not, like --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: But in general no.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you own firearms?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
THE COURT: Many firearms or --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: One.
THE COURT: One. Is that for home protection or hunting or sport or --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Home protection.
THE COURT: Okay. And any friends or family in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: No.
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THE COURT: No one. Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I used to be a
correctional officer many years ago just part-time.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: But other than that, no.
THE COURT: Where was that that you worked as a
correctional officer?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: At the time it was called Purdy Treatment Center for Women.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: It was the Washington
State Women's Correctional Center.
THE COURT: All right. And was that like the prison? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.

THE COURT: It was post trial, correct?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes, it's --
THE COURT: They'd already been convicted.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: -- real prison. Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And how long did you do that for? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Oh, not long, like about six months. It was -- I had graduated from college. It was a two weeks on, two weeks off. People on training relief, I would take their jobs so I'd work in the units. I'd work in max. I'd do this and that.

THE COURT: Okay. I don't have any other questions JD Reporting, Inc.
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for you, but Ms. Luzaich can follow up.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Hi, Mr. Anderson.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Hi.
MS. LUZAICH: You said that because of the experience that you had growing up that if somebody is using recreational drugs you think less of them?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah, I think so.
MS. LUZAICH: Is that how you would --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes. Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you mean that if somebody comes into court and testifies and says that they have used illegal drugs that you're automatically going to disbelieve what they say? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah, I think I would tend to be unbiased in that way.

THE COURT: Do you mean biased?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah. Sorry. That is what I meant. I would have a bias there.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Do you think that people who use drugs can also be truthful?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you think that drug use can impact a person's ability to perceive and recall events?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I think it can, yes. THE COURT: Go on, Ms. Luzaich. Sorry.
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MS. LUZAICH: I would challenge.
THE COURT: All right. I'll see counsel up here. (Conference at the bench not recorded.)

THE COURT: Let me ask you this: if someone were to testify as a witness in this case and indicate in their testimony, or you learned through other evidence that that person was a user of recreational drugs, would you automatically disbelieve that person's testimony, or could you consider it with an open mind and weigh the drug use as one of other factors in determining that person's credibility?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I would listen to what they had to say.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you automatically discredit it, or, like I said, could you -- could you consider the drug use and other factors to determine whether or not it was credible?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: I would --
THE COURT: Or not credible.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah, I would take into account the drug use in determining the credibility of it.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: But I would listen to what they had to say.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Ericsson.
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Oh, did you have follow up, Ms. Luzaich?
MS. LUZAICH: Yeah.
THE COURT: Sure.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
No, not to beat a dead horse or anything, so it's possible that you could accept what that person has to say. Is that what you mean?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: It's possible, but I wouldn't be unbiased.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You're biased --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Right. Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: -- but it's, I mean, like, everything
is possible, I guess, one would say.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah.
MS. LUZAICH: If you were --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: It's hard for me to say definitely one-way or the other.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. You know, like I said, there are no wrong answers here, only honest answers. So I appreciate that. If you were me representing the State of Nevada, would you want you, knowing what you know about yourself, to sit on this jury?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Maybe not.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. And like I said --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Just because of some of
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the issues here.
MS. LUZAICH: I appreciate your honesty. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Mr. Ericsson, you may question the witness -- I'm sorry, juror.

MR. ERICSSON: You're almost done, I think. But let me just follow up that when you say possibly not being comfortable if you were a prosecutor and having you sit on this jury, are there things other then that you would have some negative evaluation of someone who is using illegal drugs and evaluating their testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Not any other than I've said, but then there was the other issue about the sexual stuff that it was mentioned before because, like, I know people who have been sexually assaulted, and I have some memories of my own of similar things like that. So when I started hearing them talking about that \(I\) just really got -- really started getting tweaked about that.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. So is it fair to say that in your heart you feel that this isn't the proper type of case for you to sit as a juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Probably not. Yeah.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Thank you.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: Yeah.
THE COURT: Submitted?
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MR. ERICSSON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. We're going to go ahead and excuse you from this proceedings. As you already heard, there are 32 departments. A little over half of them probably are in civil session. So you may be reassigned to a department handling a civil matter.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 174: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
And if the court clerk would please call up the next prospective juror.

THE CLERK: Mike -- Michael Wasinger.
THE COURT: Good afternoon. What do you do for a
living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I'm the Assistant Vice
President of Internal Communications at Credit One Bank.
THE COURT: And are you married, domestic partner -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Domestic partner.

THE COURT: And what does that person do?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: He is a purchaser at
Clark County School District.
THE COURT: Okay. And do you have any children? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
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THE COURT: Have you or anyone close to you ever been arrested, charged, or accused of a crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about that.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: In my early 20s I was arrested for suspicion of driving under the influence.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: And I sought legal counsel and plead to a lesser charge.

THE COURT: All right. Was that here in Clark County?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No. It was in Kansas City, Missouri.

THE COURT: All right. And so you had a plea negotiation in the case, and what did you plead to, a reckless or something like that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Any feeling as to how you were treated in the system, whether it was law enforcement, the prosecutor, the judge?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Not really. Everything was --

THE COURT: It was fair?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: -- fair and fine. Yes. THE COURT: Anything else -- anything involving a JD Reporting, Inc.
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family member or close friend, close -- person close to you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a crime? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.

THE COURT: Has someone close to you been the victim of a serious crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
THE COURT: Did you hear any of us -- me, Ms. Luzaich or Mr. Ericsson -- ask any of the other potential jurors a question that as you sat in the audience you thought I'll have to raise my hand on that one if I were sitting in the box?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, I did not.
THE COURT: Nothing. Do you have any friends or family who work in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No, I do not.
THE COURT: Nothing. All right. Do you feel like you're the type of person who would make a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes, I do.
THE COURT: And tell me why?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I believe I'm fair, impartial, basic understanding of responsibilities of the court.

THE COURT: Okay. So can you keep an open mind if you're selected in this case until you've heard all of the evidence presented?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I believe so.
THE COURT: All Right. Thank you.
Ms. Luzaich.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Good afternoon.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Hi.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have any strong opinions about drugs, people who use drugs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No strong opinions, no. MS. LUZAICH: Mild opinions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Mild opinions, but nothing as severe as the previous gentleman.

MS. LUZAICH: So if you hear from an individual who says that she has used drugs in the past, can you just listen to her testimony objectively and evaluate it the same as you would any other witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I believe so. Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And, I mean, obviously you'd take that into consideration?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
MS. LUZAICH: But you don't discard what she has to say simply because of that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I would not discard simply on that basis.

MS. LUZAICH: Do you think that somebody who does
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something foolish, something you or I might not do, is still entitled to the same protection under that law that you and I are?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Absolutely.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have any opinions -- strong
opinions -- about guns, people who own guns or have guns?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: No.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you have a gun?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: We have guns in our household, yes.

MS. LUZAICH: For protection?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: And hunting, yes.
MS. LUZAICH: Would you agree that different people perceive things differently?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And that's something that you would take into consideration?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Yes.
MS. LUZAICH: And different people react differently to a particular set of circumstances?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
MS. LUZAICH: So if an individual gets up there and testifies and does not react the way that you think your sister or your mother or your second cousin might have, you're not going to automatically discount that person's testimony?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Correct.
MS. LUZAICH: If you were me, would you want you as a juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I believe so.
MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Pass for cause.
THE COURT: Mr. Ericsson.
MR. ERICSSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good afternoon, sir.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Good afternoon.
MR. ERICSSON: I apologize. I know you said your title, but I didn't catch it. What kind of work do you do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Internal communications.
MR. ERICSSON: And what does generally that mean?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Communicating to and for employees of an organization.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And what's the highest level of education you have?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I have a master's degree.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And what's that in?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I have an MBA in --
emphasis in marketing.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. You've obviously been here for a long time sitting through all these questions, and we're now, you know, coming towards the end, but all of the others have
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been asked lots of questions, and I -- I want to focus on a few things, but if you can kind of help me understand how you would perceive us at the defense table having somebody like you sitting as a juror. So are you completely comfortable with the constitutional right that Mr . Elam has to have a jury that at this stage presumes that he is innocent?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I am comfortable with that, yes.

MR. ERICSSON: And tell me what's your -- why is that? Why do you think that is appropriate?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I mean, I have a basic fundamental agreement with the constitution and the rights set forth, you know, that we're all entitled to the same rights as -- being innocent until proven guilty.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. What are your feelings about testimony from law enforcement officers, and how would you evaluate their testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: I mean, I believe I'd evaluate it the same as I'd evaluate other testimony given the, you know, whatever the, you know, the basis of what they're saying, and I can't really say with past experience, but I believe that I would hold them to the same level of credibility.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. So is it accurate to say that because they're a law enforcement officer their position would
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not cause you to give them more weight for their testimony than a layperson?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Perhaps. I mean, similar to what some of the other folks have said, you know, you would have a slight initial reaction to want to give them more credibility because they are a member of law enforcement, but not ultimately, and that would be the only thing.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And I want to then focus on that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: Okay.
MR. ERICSSON: Why is it that you feel that you would initially give them -- their testimony more weight because of their position in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: You know, I believe that for the most part law enforcement is here to serve and protect, and my experiences have always been relatively positive with law enforcement.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: In terms of doing the right thing and things like that.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. So then because of their position they would start out on a higher credibility level that a non law enforcement witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 175: That's fair to say. Yes.
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MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Your Honor, I would challenge him for cause.

THE COURT: Counsel approach.
(Conference at bench not recorded)
THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Ericsson?
MR. ERICSSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. State, you may exercise your first challenge.

MS. LUZAICH: Thank you, Your Honor. The State would thank and ask the Court to excuse Juror Badge No. 148, Mr. Macko. Thanks.

THE COURT: Sir, thank you for being here and your willingness to serve as a juror. You are excused at this time. And if the clerk would please call up the next prospective juror.

THE CLERK: Amber Baldwin.
THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Baldwin.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Hello.
THE COURT: What do you do for a living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'm a teacher.
THE COURT: With the Clark County School District?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.
THE COURT: And what grade level so you teach? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Third grade.

THE COURT: And that's kind of all subjects?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And are you married, domestic partners, significant --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Single.
THE COURT: None of the above.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Correct.
THE COURT: Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.
THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: A couple years ago.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.
THE COURT: And was that here in Clark County?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you recall if it was a civil case or a criminal case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I believe it was a civil case.

THE COURT: Okay. So it was a lawsuit between -PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh.

THE COURT: Do you recall what it was about?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I want to say it was a traffic incident.

THE COURT: Okay. Somebody was hurt in a traffic accident?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh. Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And don't tell me what the verdict was, but did the jury in that case reach a verdict?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.
THE COURT: Did you go in the back and deliberate? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.

THE COURT: Okay. What happened? Was the case resolved?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. It was, like, dismissed after a couple of days.

THE COURT: Okay. So what, the judge or the bailiff said --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Correct.
THE COURT: -- oh, you guys can go home now?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And have you or anyone close to you ever been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.
THE COURT: How about the victim of a crime?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.
THE COURT: Have you ever been the victim of a crime? Nothing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.
THE COURT: What about someone close to you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.
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THE COURT: No. Okay. Did you hear me or the lawyers ask any of the other jurors a question that, as you sat in the audience, you thought, oh, I'd have to answer that one if I were sitting up in the jury box?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: When you talked about the drug use and --

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: -- the alcohol use.
THE COURT: Okay. What are you feelings about that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I'm kind of, just because of my religious beliefs, a little apprehensive about that kind of behavior and what happens.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you in your own personal life experienced a close friend or family member who has had an issue or problem with drugs or alcohol?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I had a family member.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel that just because somebody uses drugs or alcohol that they're automatically not credible, or do you believe that somebody could be telling the truth even if they're an alcohol or drug abuser?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: What are your feelings on that?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I feel that they're more JD Reporting, Inc.
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not credible.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you feel they're always not credible or sometimes might be credible and sometimes might not be?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh. Sometimes might not be.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Is that one of the factors that you can consider among other factors to determine whether or not somebody is a credible witness?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Possibly.
THE COURT: Okay. What do you mean possibly?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I would have to hear the other evidence and circumstances.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So kind of look to see whether their testimony is corroborated by other evidence. Is that something you would look to?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Correct.
THE COURT: All right. Do you feel like you're the kind of person who would make a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I do. Yeah (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me why? What qualities do you have that would make you a good juror?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I would listen to the evidence and outweigh the evidence.
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THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Luzaich, you may follow up.

MS. LUZAICH: Thank you.
Good afternoon, Ms. Baldwin.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Good afternoon.
MS. LUZAICH: You mentioned that your religious beliefs cause you apprehension when talking about people and their drug and alcohol habits?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Correct.
MS. LUZAICH: And I mean no disrespect when I say this, but how does your religious beliefs play into it?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Just because I don't believe in, you know, taking drugs and alcohol and things like that. So I might be a little bit more, you know, leery of their testimony if I heard that.

MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do you think that -- Mr. Anderson, when he was sitting here earlier, he said that he would be biased --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: -- that he couldn't be objective when he listens to somebody who is going to talk about using alcohol, drugs -- actually his was drugs, not alcohol.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Okay.
MS. LUZAICH: Do you think that you might feel the same way?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I might.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Do your religious beliefs also kind of inhibit your ability to sit in judgment of somebody? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Inhibit my ability, possibly. Yes. Uh-huh.

MS. LUZAICH: Some people just can't sit in judgment.
Some can. Some can't. And if you're one of those, we just need to know. That's all.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh.
MS. LUZAICH: You think?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I think so.
MS. LUZAICH: Okay. Thank you very much.
THE COURT: And, you know, sometimes when we say
judgment, the jury doesn't impose the sentence.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Right. Correct.
THE COURT: That's the job of the Court if, and only if, the jury finds the defendant guilty. The job of the jury is to listen to the evidence --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Correct.
THE COURT: -- listen to the instructions on the law which I give at the conclusion of the case, and then decide whether or not the State has met it's burden of proof, whether they've proven the defendant committed the crimes charged beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you think you would be able to do that?
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PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Possibly.
THE COURT: Okay. When you say possibly, where do you feel --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I just --
THE COURT: -- or what do you feel you'd have trouble doing or wouldn't be able to do?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I mean, it kind of depends on, like, what they were doing. I don't know if -what they were involved in, and if it's something, like, criminal or illegal, I don't know. I would tend to kind of veer away from that or be more -- I don't know -- maybe -- I don't want to say judgmental, but possibly judgmental.

THE COURT: Okay. So you feel that because of your religious beliefs you might actually judge the person more harshly than somebody who didn't share your religious beliefs?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Uh-huh. Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And again, the function of the jury isn't to pronounce sentence. It's just to determine whether or not the State has proven the defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Correct.
THE COURT: How do you feel that your religious beliefs could impact your ability to do that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Well, I would listen to the testimony and try to come up with a fair enough verdict
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that I -- a fair verdict listening to the evidence.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Ericsson.
MR. ERICSSON: Good afternoon, ma'am.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Good afternoon.
MR. ERICSSON: I want to go back to one of the earlier questions and just confirm this. You indicated that you thought that you could be a good juror on this type of a case; is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I would try my best.
Yes.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Kind of a standard question that both sides ask, do you feel that if you were Ms. Luzaich at the prosecution table or here at the defense table that you would be comfortable with somebody with your mindset and background sitting as a juror on this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes. I guess.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And I can tell you're thinking. You kind of hesitated in your response. Explain your answer. PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I think I would be fair listening to the evidence.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. What type of college degree do you have? What did you study in college?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I have a master's in education.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. And what was your undergrad

JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1 235

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: It was in communications.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. One of the things that the Judge may tell you in the instructions is that in the United States we all have a constitutional right to testify at a trial if we want to or to not testify if we are charged with a crime, if we choose. Would you be comfortable if Mr. Elam decided not to testify in this trial? Would you hold that against him?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: No.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. So you're comfortable with the constitutional right that we have to not testify and that a jury cannot use that decision against the person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.
MR. ERICSSON: Okay. What are your thoughts about evaluating law enforcement testimony?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: I would listen to the evidence and see how it's presented. I think I could be fair.

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. So do you hold the belief that police officers are like all of us, that they can make mistakes and they can be correct?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Sure.
MR. ERICSSON: And do you feel that you would be able to evaluate their testimony like any other witness that might take the stand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 176: Yes.

JD Reporting, Inc.
State vs Elam / 2017-06-19 / Day 1 236

MR. ERICSSON: Okay. Great. Thank you very much. Pass for cause.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Defense's first. MR. ERICSSON: Your Honor, the defense would thank and excuse Juror 171, Patricia Lawson.

THE COURT: Ms. Lawson, thank you very much for being here and your willingness to serve as a juror.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 171: Thank you.
THE COURT: You are excused at this time.
And if the clerk would please call up the next prospective juror.

THE CLERK: Cody Roper.
THE COURT: Good afternoon. What do you do for a
living?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I work for Community
Ambulance.
THE COURT: What do you do for them?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I'm an EMT.
THE COURT: All right. And are you married, domestic partner, significant other?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Single.
THE COURT: All right. Any children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No.
THE COURT: How long have you been working as an EMT? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: One month.
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THE COURT: Okay. What did you do before that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I worked for a company called Rocky Research.

THE COURT: And what did you do with them?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I was a technician. They work under government contract. So --

THE COURT: All right. In the one month you've worked as an EMT, have you had to respond to any crimes, or has it been mainly, like, heart attacks and car accidents and that sort of thing?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: More medical.
THE COURT: All medical?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Mostly, yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. Any interaction or much
interaction with the police at all?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Yeah.
THE COURT: Under what kinds of circumstances?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: A lot of Legal 2000s.
THE COURT: Okay. And you said no children?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No children.
THE COURT: Have you ever been a juror before?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No.
THE COURT: Have you are anyone close to you ever been arrested, charged or accused of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No.
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THE COURT: How about the victim of a crime? Have you ever been the victim of a crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No.
THE COURT: All right. Has anyone close to you been the victim of a serious crime?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No.
THE COURT: Do you have any friends or family who work or have worked in law enforcement?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: No.
THE COURT: Did you hear any of us ask -- me, Mr. Ericsson, Ms. Luzaich -- a question of any of the other jurors that you thought, oh, I'd have to raise my hand and respond in the affirmative to that question?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: Well, the one thing that I'm concerned about is that I work nights.

THE COURT: Okay.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: And they asked if I would be a good juror, and my thing is I'm dead tired right now.

THE COURT: Right.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: I worked all night last night. I've been up since 11:00 yesterday. So --

THE COURT: Yeah. We ask --
PROSPECTIVE JUROR NO. 177: -- I'm worried about coming here and being -- I mean, I'm out of it right now.

THE COURT: Right.
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