Electronically Filed 11/9/2017 1:31 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT 1 **NED** LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES, ESQ. F. Peter James, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10091 Peter@PeterJamesLaw.com 3 3821 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 4 702-256-0087 702-256-0145 (fax) 5 Counsel for Plaintiff 6 **DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION** 7 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 8 WILLIAM DIMONACO, CASE NO. : D-16-539340-C DEPT. NO.: Q 9 Plaintiff, NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE 10 **OF CUSTODY** VS. 11 ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO, 12 Defendant. 13 14 /// 15 /// 16 /// 17 /// 18 111 19 111 20 /// 1 of 3

Docket 74696 Document 2017-44630

1	Please take notice that the attached Decree of Custody was entered on
2	November 9, 2017.
3	Dated this day of November, 2017
4	The state of the s
5	LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES F. Peter James, Esq.
6	Nevada Bar No. 10091
7	3821 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102
8	702-256-0087 Counsel for Plaintiff
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
17	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this day of November, 2017, I caused the above and foregoing document entitled **NOTICE OF ENTRY OF DECREE OF**CUSTODY to be served as follows:

pursuant to EDCR 8.05(A), EDCR 8.05(F), NRCP 5(b)(2)(D) and Administrative Order 14-2 captioned "In the Administrative Matter of Mandatory Electronic Service in the Eighth Judicial District Court," by mandatory electronic service through the Eighth Judicial District Court's electronic filing system;

[] pursuant to EDCR 7.26 / NEFCR 9, to be sent via facsimile / email;

to the attorney(s) / party(ies) listed below at the address(es), email address(es), and/or facsimile number(s) indicated below:

Steven M. Altig, Esq. 601 South 7th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 702-385-7227 702-385-5351 (fax) steven@adraslaw.com Counsel for Defendant

By: Original By:

An employee of the Law Offices of F. Peter James, Esq., PLLC

ORCINAL

Electronically Filed 11/9/2017 10:11 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **DECC**

LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES, ESQ.

F. Peter James, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 10091

Peter@PeterJamesLaw.com

3821 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

702-256-0087

702-256-0145 (fax)

Counsel for Plaintiff

6

5

DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

8

9

7

WILLIAM DIMONACO,

Plaintiff,

10 VS.

ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO,

Defendant.

12

13

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Dismissed - Want of Prosecution Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal Default Judgmer ☐ Transferred

] Other

☐ Disposed After Trial Start

Trial Dispositions:

Non-Trial Dispositions:

Settled/Withdrawn: ☐ Without Judicial Conf/Hrg ☐ With Judicial Conf/Hrg □ By ADR

Judgment Reached by Trial

CASE NO. : D-16-539340-C

DEPT. NO.: O

DECREE OF CUSTODY

Hearing Date: June 21, 2017 Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

This matter came before the Court on the 21st day of June, 2017 for a Non-Jury Trial. F. Peter James, Esq. appeared with Plaintiff, William DiMonaco (hereinafter "Dad"). Steven M. Altig, Esq. appeared with Defendant, Adriana Ferrando (hereinafter "Mom"). The Honorable Bryce C. Duckworth presided over the matter.

The parties had numerous stipulations, but requested that the Court decide several matters.

1 of 13

RECEIVED

OCT 12 2017

FAMILY COURT DEPARTMENT O

Case Number: D-16-539340-C

The Court, having read the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard argument, having heard from the parties, having heard from third parties in the courtroom, having heard the stipulations of the parties, being well advised in the premises, and for sufficient cause shown, hereby finds and orders as follows:

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Dad is now and has been an actual bona fide resident of the State of Nevada and has actually been domiciled in the State of Nevada for more than six weeks prior to the commencement of this action.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that it has complete jurisdiction in the premises, both as to the subject matter thereof as well as the parties hereto.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Dad and Mom were never married to each other.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Dad and Mom have one child together, to wit: Grayson Ashton DiMonaco-Ferrando (born August 12, 2014 (hereinafter "the child"); the parties have no other minor children together, no adopted children together, and, Mom is not currently pregnant with Dad's child.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Dad is the child's natural father.

Mom and Dad signed an affidavit of paternity as to the child, which has not been revoked. Dad is listed as the child's natural father on the child's birth certificate.

The child bears Dad's surname. Dad has held the child out to the world as his natural child. Mom does not contest that Dad is the child's natural father.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child has resided in the State of Nevada for at least six months prior to the filing of the Complaint. As such, this Court has the necessary UCCJEA jurisdiction to enter orders as to child custody and visitation. Nevada is the child's home state and state of habitual residence.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the parties have stipulated to having joint legal custody and joint physical custody of the child. This arrangement is in the child's best interest.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the visitation schedule delineated is in the child's best interest. Mom proposed a visitation schedule that spits weekends. Setting a visitation schedule that splits the weekends is not in the child's best interest. While it may be feasible due to the child not yet being in school, from a planning standpoint, it does not work as the parents would not have full weekends. (Video Record at 14:12:00).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that, under the case law (Lewis v. Hicks and Rodgers v. Rodgers), a spouse has a community property interest in the other spouses income, which may be used to offset a child support award. (Video Record at 14:15:00, 14:25:00). Dad offered that Mom's husband makes

approximately \$120,000.00 per year (\$79,029.00 regular pay + \$20,843.14 in overtime pay + \$20,897.75 in other pay = \$120,769.89 or \$10,064.16 gross monthly income—benefits are not included in this calculation). (See Exhibits filed June 19, 2017 at W DIMONACO 000039; see also Video Record at 14:23:15, 14:29:20). The Court inquired as to what Dad's girlfriend earns. Dad's counsel stated that his girlfriend contributes \$500 per month to his household and that her actual income is not relevant as they are not married. The Court stated "what is good for the goose is good for the gander." (Video Record at 14:24:50). Dad's girlfriend stated that she makes \$47,000.00 per year salary (which is a gross monthly income of \$3,916.67). (Video Record at 14:30:20). Using a Wright v. Osburn calculation to determine child support only imputing Mom's prior income upon her, the child support obligation from Dad to Mom is \$550.00. (Video Record at 14:27:10). Another deviation factor the Court may consider is the relative income of the parties (NRS 125B.080(9)). (Video Record at 14:27:20). The total household income of each side is germane to the Court's calculation of child support. (Video Record at 14:28:15). The Court acknowledged that Dad wants further discovery into Mom's household income, but the Court stated it could attempt to determine that issue today and resolve the need for further discovery into this issue. (Video Record at 14:28:35). household incomes appear to be \$109,400 on Dad's side (Dad and his girlfriend)

and \$120,769 on Defendant's side without imputing income on Mom—with an approximate \$11,000 higher income in Mom's household. (Video Record at 14:31:25). The Court accepts the offers of proof as to Dad's girlfriend's income and as to Mom's husband's income. (Video Record at 14:32:55). The Court inquired if any party needed further discovery into the household income issue, but the parties stated that they did not need further discovery. (Video Record at 14:33:25). The Court inquired of the parties and confirmed that both parties are providing health insurance for the child, which shall continue. (Video Record at 14:35:20). The Court is utilizing the deviation factor of relative income of the parties to look at the additional income the parties receive from their significant others. (Video Record at 14:35:35). The Court is imputing \$2,143.72 in gross monthly income upon Mom, which is essentially her 2014 income recomputed to a full yearly figure. This is her earning capacity. (Video Record at 14:35:50). Dad's gross monthly income is \$5,200.00, which makes his obligation \$936.00; whereas, Mom's obligation is \$386.00, which results in a Wright v. Osburn calculation of \$550.00. (Video Record at 14:36:30). Based on the relative income of the parties and given the \$10,000 difference in incomes (between Mom's husband by himself and Dad and his girlfriend combined), the Court is going to give a downward deviation in the sum of \$100.00, thus making Dad's child support obligation \$450.00 per month. (Video Record at 14:36:42).

Q

arrears, the law is discretionary as to a reasonable amount—and not that the statutory formula be applied retroactively. Mom alleges that Dad did not pay for September, October, and November 2016 and that Dad did make payments prior to then, albeit not at the amount eventually ordered by the Court. As payments were made up through August 2016, the Court is not going to revisit that issue. The Court will apply the December 2016 child support amount (\$650.00) to September, October, and November 2016. The parties shall work out any overpayments and give credit for the same. (Video Record at 15:01:00 – 15:04:45).

Therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, Mom and Dad shall have joint legal custody and joint physical custody of the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the parties shall have the following regular visitation with the child:

Mom shall have regular visitation with the child from Monday at 8:00 am
or drop off at school if school is in session until Wednesday at 8:00 am or
drop off at school if school is in session;

Dad shall have regular visitation with the child from Wednesday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session until Friday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session; however, if Dad is working on Wednesday, the child shall remain with Mom until Dad gets out of work—this provision is only for Wednesdays; Wednesdays are still days designated to Dad (Video Record at 14:51:20);

• The parties shall alternate the weekends, which shall be defined as beginning Friday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session and concluding Monday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session; For clarity, Mom has the weekend of June 23, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Court's standard holiday and visitation schedule shall control. A copy of the same is attached hereto as Ex. 1. The parties may agree to deviate from the schedule, as they are free to agree to deviate as to any visitation schedule. (Video Record at 14:57:25). Holiday visitation time shall take precedence over all other visitation time, and vacation visitation time shall take precedence only over regular visitation time. (Video Record at 15:00:12).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that either party may designate other parties to drop off / collect the child. The Court

ð

expects that when a party designates another to collect the child, that parent would notify the other in advance. (Video Record at 14:56:40).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, Dad shall provide the transportation of the child for child exchanges. Currently, Dad drives nearby Mom's house on the way to and from his work. (Video Record at 14:55:00). In the event that Dad is unable to provide the transportation, then the parties shall communicate in advance to designate an alternate individual to do the transporting.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS 125C.0045(6):

Penalty for violation of order: The abduction, concealment or detention of a child in violation of this order is punishable as a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child

in a foreign country. The parties are also put on notice of the following provisions of NRS 125C.0045(8):

If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.

Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties shall be bound by the provisions of NRS 125C.006, which states:

- 1. If primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall, before relocating:
 - (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and

- (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal; or
- (b) For the purpose of harassing the relocating parent.
- 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section before the court enters an order granting the parent primary physical custody of the child and permission to relocate with the child is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, based upon the findings herein, Dad shall pay Mom monthly child support in the amount of \$450.00 effective May 1, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Dad's child support obligation for the months of September, October, and November 2016 shall be calculated at the rate of \$650.00 per month. The arrears of \$1,950.00 shall be payable at the rate of \$50.00 per month until paid in full. The parties shall work out any overpayments. (Video Record at 15:04:10).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that both parties shall continue to provide the medical / health insurance for the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that any of the child's unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic, surgical, and other health-related expenses shall be equally divided by the parties pursuant to the 30/30 rule. The 30/30 rule provides that the party paying any unreimbursed medical expenses has thirty (30) days from the date the expense is paid to forward

proof of payment to the opposing party. If that party does not timely forward the proof of payment, then the Court may construe that the party waived the right to be reimbursed for that expense. Upon receipt of a timely-forwarded proof of payment of an unreimbursed medical expense, the receiving party has thirty (30) days to reimburse the paying party one-half of the expense or to object to the expense. If the receiving party does not either object to the expense or reimburse the paying party for half of the expense, then that party is subject to sanctions for contempt of court. (Compare Complaint for Custody at ¶ 13 with Answer at 1:23).

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the provisions of NRS 31A and 125.007 apply regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that either party may request a review of child support pursuant to NRS 125B.145.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that each side shall bear his / her own attorney's fees and costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that each party shall provide their social security numbers on a separate form to the Court and to the Welfare Division of the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130, and/or NRS 125.230. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and shall

HOLIDAY AND VACATION PLAN

Department Q

The Court encourages parents to communicate regarding holiday and vacation time with their children. The following Holiday and Vacation Plan is a "default" schedule where parents are unable to otherwise agree. Any deviation therefrom should be memorialized in writing with both parents' signatures. Holidays/Special Occasions take precedence over residential time and Vacation time. Unless otherwise ordered, reference to a "school" schedule for the purpose of defining a Holiday or Special Occasion shall be defined by the Clark County, Nevada School District Schedule. (See www.ccsd.net)

THREE DAY HOLIDAYS (Holiday visitation begins when school gets out on the day preceding the holiday weekend (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school) and continues until 9:00 a.m. on the day following the holiday weekend or when the children are scheduled to resume school.)	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Martin Luther King Day	Mom	Dad
Presidents' Day	Dad	Mom
Memorial Day	Mom	Dad
Labor Day	Dad	Mom
Nevada Admission Day	Mom	Dad

EXTENDED HOLIDAYS	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Thanksgiving: The holiday visitation shall begin when school gets out on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school) and continue until school is scheduled to resume (or 9:00 a.m. if the children are not in school). The parent exercising this time is responsible for all transportation for the children.	Mom	Dad
Christmas/Winter Break: Winter break shall be divided equally between the parents, with the first half commencing when the children get out of school to begin the Winter Break (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school), and continue until December 26 at 10:00 a.m. The second half shall commence on December 26 at 10:00 a.m. and continue until school is scheduled to resume (or 9:00 a.m. if the children are not in school).		
First Half	Dad	Mom
Second Half	Mom	Dad

EXTENDED HOLIDAYS, cont'd.	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Easter/Spring Break: The holiday visitation shall begin when school gets out on the last day of school (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school) and continue until school is scheduled to resume (or 9:00 a.m. if the children are not in school). The parent exercising this time is responsible for all transportation for the children.	Dad	Mom

SPECIAL OCCASIONS (Special Occasions begin at 9:00 a.m. on the individual day and continue until 9:00 p.m. on the same day)	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Mother's Day	Mom	Mom
Father's Day	Dad	Dad
Children's Birthdays	Dad	Mom

Summer/Track Break Vacations

Each parent shall be entitled to one (1) vacation each year with the children for a period not to exceed two (2) consecutive weeks (unless otherwise agreed to in writing). Each parent shall designate his/her respective vacation plans by May 1st of each year. The dates shall be conveyed to the other party in writing by way of certified mail. If there is a conflict in dates, the parent who designates the vacation first (as verified by the certified mail stamp) will prevail as to the vacation time. Neither party shall schedule vacation time during the other party's holiday time or during time the children are scheduled to be in school.

Electronically Filed 11/9/2017 10:11 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1 **DECC** LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES, ESQ. F. Peter James, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10091 3 Peter@PeterJamesLaw.com 3821 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 4 702-256-0087 702-256-0145 (fax) 5 Counsel for Plaintiff 6 DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION 7 CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 8 WILLIAM DIMONACO, CASE NO. : D-16-539340-C DEPT. NO.: Q 9 Plaintiff, **DECREE OF CUSTODY** 10 VS. 11 ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO, 12 Defendant. Hearing Date: June 21, 2017 Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m. 13 14 This matter came before the Court on the 21st day of June, 2017 for a Non-15 Jury Trial. F. Peter James, Esq. appeared with Plaintiff, William DiMonaco (hereinafter "Dad"). Steven M. Altig, Esq. appeared with Defendant, Adriana 16 17 Ferrando (hereinafter "Mom"). The Honorable Bryce C. Duckworth presided 18 over the matter. 19 The parties had numerous stipulations, but requested that the Court decide 20 several matters.

 ○ Other ☐ Dismissed - Wart of Prosecution ☐ Involuntary (Statutory) Dismissal ☐ Default Judgmer ☐ Transferred ☐ Disposed After T

Settled/Withdrawn: ☐ Without Judicial Conf/Hrg ☐ With Judicia Conf/Hrg □ By ADR

Judgment Reached by Trial

Non-Trial Dispositions:

Trial Dispositions:

1 of 13

OCT 12 2017

Docket 74696

Case Number: D-16-539340-C

The Court, having read the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard argument, having heard from the parties, having heard from third parties in the courtroom, having heard the stipulations of the parties, being well advised in the premises, and for sufficient cause shown, hereby finds and orders as follows:

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS that Dad is now and has been an actual bona fide resident of the State of Nevada and has actually been domiciled in the State of Nevada for more than six weeks prior to the commencement of this action.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that it has complete jurisdiction in the premises, both as to the subject matter thereof as well as the parties hereto.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Dad and Mom were never married to each other.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Dad and Mom have one child together, to wit: Grayson Ashton DiMonaco-Ferrando (born August 12, 2014 (hereinafter "the child"); the parties have no other minor children together, no adopted children together, and, Mom is not currently pregnant with Dad's child.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that Dad is the child's natural father.

Mom and Dad signed an affidavit of paternity as to the child, which has not been revoked. Dad is listed as the child's natural father on the child's birth certificate.

The child bears Dad's surname. Dad has held the child out to the world as his natural child. Mom does not contest that Dad is the child's natural father.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the child has resided in the State of Nevada for at least six months prior to the filing of the Complaint. As such, this Court has the necessary UCCJEA jurisdiction to enter orders as to child custody and visitation. Nevada is the child's home state and state of habitual residence.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the parties have stipulated to having joint legal custody and joint physical custody of the child. This arrangement is in the child's best interest.

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the visitation schedule delineated is in the child's best interest. Mom proposed a visitation schedule that spits weekends. Setting a visitation schedule that splits the weekends is not in the child's best interest. While it may be feasible due to the child not yet being in school, from a planning standpoint, it does not work as the parents would not have full weekends. (Video Record at 14:12:00).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that, under the case law (*Lewis v. Hicks* and *Rodgers v. Rodgers*), a spouse has a community property interest in the other spouses income, which may be used to offset a child support award. (Video Record at 14:15:00, 14:25:00). Dad offered that Mom's husband makes

approximately \$120,000.00 per year (\$79,029.00 regular pay + \$20,843.14 in overtime pay + \$20,897.75 in other pay = \$120,769.89 or \$10,064.16 gross monthly income—benefits are not included in this calculation). (See Exhibits filed June 19, 2017 at W DIMONACO 000039; see also Video Record at 14:23:15, 14:29:20). The Court inquired as to what Dad's girlfriend earns. Dad's counsel stated that his girlfriend contributes \$500 per month to his household and that her actual income is not relevant as they are not married. The Court stated "what is good for the goose is good for the gander." (Video Record at 14:24:50). Dad's girlfriend stated that she makes \$47,000.00 per year salary (which is a gross monthly income of \$3,916.67). (Video Record at 14:30:20). Using a Wright v. Osburn calculation to determine child support only imputing Mom's prior income upon her, the child support obligation from Dad to Mom is \$550.00. (Video Record at 14:27:10). Another deviation factor the Court may consider is the relative income of the parties (NRS 125B.080(9)). (Video Record at 14:27:20). The total household income of each side is germane to the Court's calculation of child support. (Video Record at 14:28:15). The Court acknowledged that Dad wants further discovery into Mom's household income, but the Court stated it could attempt to determine that issue today and resolve the need for further discovery into this issue. (Video Record at 14:28:35). The household incomes appear to be \$109,400 on Dad's side (Dad and his girlfriend)

and \$120,769 on Defendant's side without imputing income on Mom-with an approximate \$11,000 higher income in Mom's household. (Video Record at 14:31:25). The Court accepts the offers of proof as to Dad's girlfriend's income and as to Mom's husband's income. (Video Record at 14:32:55). The Court inquired if any party needed further discovery into the household income issue, but the parties stated that they did not need further discovery. (Video Record at 14:33:25). The Court inquired of the parties and confirmed that both parties are providing health insurance for the child, which shall continue. (Video Record at 14:35:20). The Court is utilizing the deviation factor of relative income of the parties to look at the additional income the parties receive from their significant others. (Video Record at 14:35:35). The Court is imputing \$2,143.72 in gross monthly income upon Mom, which is essentially her 2014 income recomputed to a full yearly figure. This is her earning capacity. (Video Record at 14:35:50). Dad's gross monthly income is \$5,200.00, which makes his obligation \$936.00; whereas, Mom's obligation is \$386.00, which results in a Wright v. Osburn calculation of \$550.00. (Video Record at 14:36:30). Based on the relative income of the parties and given the \$10,000 difference in incomes (between Mom's husband by himself and Dad and his girlfriend combined), the Court is going to give a downward deviation in the sum of \$100.00, thus making Dad's child support obligation \$450.00 per month. (Video Record at 14:36:42).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that, as to constructive child support arrears, the law is discretionary as to a reasonable amount—and not that the statutory formula be applied retroactively. Mom alleges that Dad did not pay for September, October, and November 2016 and that Dad did make payments prior to then, albeit not at the amount eventually ordered by the Court. As payments were made up through August 2016, the Court is not going to revisit that issue. The Court will apply the December 2016 child support amount (\$650.00) to September, October, and November 2016. The parties shall work out any overpayments and give credit for the same. (Video Record at 15:01:00 – 15:04:45).

Therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, Mom and Dad shall have joint legal custody and joint physical custody of the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the parties shall have the following regular visitation with the child:

Mom shall have regular visitation with the child from Monday at 8:00 am
or drop off at school if school is in session until Wednesday at 8:00 am or
drop off at school if school is in session;

- Dad shall have regular visitation with the child from Wednesday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session until Friday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session; however, if Dad is working on Wednesday, the child shall remain with Mom until Dad gets out of work—this provision is only for Wednesdays; Wednesdays are still days designated to Dad (Video Record at 14:51:20);
- The parties shall alternate the weekends, which shall be defined as beginning Friday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session and concluding Monday at 8:00 am or drop off at school if school is in session; For clarity, Mom has the weekend of June 23, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that the Court's standard holiday and visitation schedule shall control. A copy of the same is attached hereto as Ex. 1. The parties may agree to deviate from the schedule, as they are free to agree to deviate as to any visitation schedule. (Video Record at 14:57:25). Holiday visitation time shall take precedence over all other visitation time, and vacation visitation time shall take precedence only over regular visitation time. (Video Record at 15:00:12).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that either party may designate other parties to drop off/collect the child. The Court

expects that when a party designates another to collect the child, that parent would notify the other in advance. (Video Record at 14:56:40).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, pursuant to stipulation of the parties, Dad shall provide the transportation of the child for child exchanges. Currently, Dad drives nearby Mom's house on the way to and from his work. (Video Record at 14:55:00). In the event that Dad is unable to provide the transportation, then the parties shall communicate in advance to designate an alternate individual to do the transporting.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN of the following provision of NRS 125C.0045(6):

Penalty for violation of order: The abduction, concealment or detention of a child in violation of this order is punishable as a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130. NRS 200.359 provides that every person having a limited right of custody to a child or any parent having no right of custody to the child who willfully detains, conceals or removes the child from a parent, guardian or other person having lawful custody or a right of visitation of the child in violation of an order of this court, or removes the child from the jurisdiction of the court without the consent of either the court or all persons who have the right to custody or visitation is subject to being punished for a category D felony as provided in NRS 193.130.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the terms of the Hague Convention of October 25, 1980, adopted by the 14th Session of the Hague Conference on Private International Law apply if a parent abducts or wrongfully retains a child

in a foreign country. The parties are also put on notice of the following provisions of NRS 125C.0045(8):

If a parent of the child lives in a foreign country or has significant commitments in a foreign country:

The parties may agree, and the court shall include in the order for custody of the child, that the United States is the country of habitual residence of the child for the purposes of applying the terms of the Hague Convention as set forth in subsection 7.

Upon motion of one of the parties, the court may order the parent to post a bond if the court determines that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child outside the country of habitual residence. The bond must be in an amount determined by the court and may be used only to pay for the cost of locating the child and returning him to his habitual residence if the child is wrongfully removed from or concealed outside the country of habitual residence. The fact that a parent has significant commitments in a foreign country does not create a presumption that the parent poses an imminent risk of wrongfully removing or concealing the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties shall be bound by the provisions of NRS 125C.006, which states:

- 1. If primary physical custody has been established pursuant to an order, judgment or decree of a court and the custodial parent intends to relocate his or her residence to a place outside of this State or to a place within this State that is at such a distance that would substantially impair the ability of the other parent to maintain a meaningful relationship with the child, and the custodial parent desires to take the child with him or her, the custodial parent shall, before relocating:
 - (a) Attempt to obtain the written consent of the noncustodial parent to relocate with the child; and

- (a) Without having reasonable grounds for such refusal; or
- (b) For the purpose of harassing the relocating parent.
- 3. A parent who relocates with a child pursuant to this section before the court enters an order granting the parent primary physical custody of the child and permission to relocate with the child is subject to the provisions of NRS 200.359.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that, based upon the findings herein, Dad shall pay Mom monthly child support in the amount of \$450.00 effective May 1, 2017.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Dad's child support obligation for the months of September, October, and November 2016 shall be calculated at the rate of \$650.00 per month. The arrears of \$1,950.00 shall be payable at the rate of \$50.00 per month until paid in full. The parties shall work out any overpayments. (Video Record at 15:04:10).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that both parties shall continue to provide the medical / health insurance for the child.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that any of the child's unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic, surgical, and other health-related expenses shall be equally divided by the parties pursuant to the 30/30 rule. The 30/30 rule provides that the party paying any unreimbursed medical expenses has thirty (30) days from the date the expense is paid to forward

proof of payment to the opposing party. If that party does not timely forward the proof of payment, then the Court may construe that the party waived the right to be reimbursed for that expense. Upon receipt of a timely-forwarded proof of payment of an unreimbursed medical expense, the receiving party has thirty (30) days to reimburse the paying party one-half of the expense or to object to the expense. If the receiving party does not either object to the expense or reimburse the paying party for half of the expense, then that party is subject to sanctions for contempt of court. (*Compare* Complaint for Custody at ¶ 13 with Answer at 1:23).

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the provisions of NRS 31A and 125.007 apply regarding the collection of delinquent child support payments.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that either party may request a review of child support pursuant to NRS 125B.145.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that each side shall bear his / her own attorney's fees and costs.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that each party shall provide their social security numbers on a separate form to the Court and to the Welfare Division of the Department of Health and Human Services pursuant to NRS 125B.055, NRS 125.130, and/or NRS 125.230. Such information shall be maintained by the Clerk in a confidential manner and shall

1	not be part of the public record. The p	parties shall update the information filed	
2	with the Court and the Welfare Division	of the Department of Human Resources	
3	within ten (10) days should any of that information become inaccurate.		
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, A	ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Mr.	
5	James shall prepare the Order with Mr.	Altig to countersign.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDO	GED, AND DECREED.	
7	Dated this day of October, 2017		
8	NOV 0 6 2017	MINT	
9	$\overline{\Gamma}$	DISTRICT COURT JUDGE MP	
10	Respectfully submitted by:	Approved as to form and content by:	
11	711		
12	LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES		
13	F. Peter James, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 10091	Steven M. Altig, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 6879	
14	3821 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102	601 South 7 th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
	702-256-0087	702-385-7227	
15	Counsel for Plaintiff	Counsel for Defendant	
16		/	
17			
18			
19			
20			

HOLIDAY AND VACATION PLAN

Department Q

The Court encourages parents to communicate regarding holiday and vacation time with their children. The following Holiday and Vacation Plan is a "default" schedule where parents are unable to otherwise agree. Any deviation therefrom should be memorialized in writing with both parents' signatures. Holidays/Special Occasions take precedence over residential time and Vacation time. Unless otherwise ordered, reference to a "school" schedule for the purpose of defining a Holiday or Special Occasion shall be defined by the Clark County, Nevada School District Schedule. (See www.ccsd.net)

THREE DAY HOLIDAYS (Holiday visitation begins when school gets out on the day preceding the holiday weekend (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school) and continues until 9:00 a.m. on the day following the holiday weekend or when the children are scheduled to resume school.)	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Martin Luther King Day	Mom	Dad
Presidents' Day	Dad	Mom
Memorial Day	Mom	Dad
Labor Day	Dad	Mom
Nevada Admission Day	Mom	Dad

EXTENDED HOLIDAYS	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Thanksgiving: The holiday visitation shall begin when school gets out on the Wednesday preceding Thanksgiving (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school) and continue until school is scheduled to resume (or 9:00 a.m. if the children are not in school). The parent exercising this time is responsible for all transportation for the children.	Mom	Dad
Christmas/Winter Break: Winter break shall be divided equally between the parents, with the first half commencing when the children get out of school to begin the Winter Break (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school), and continue until December 26 at 10:00 a.m. The second half shall commence on December 26 at 10:00 a.m. and continue until school is scheduled to resume (or 9:00 a.m. if the children are not in school).		
First Half	Dad	Mom
Second Half	Mom	Dad

EXTENDED HOLIDAYS, cont'd.	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Easter/Spring Break: The holiday visitation shall begin when school gets out on the last day of school (or 3:00 p.m. if the children are not in school) and continue until school is scheduled to resume (or 9:00 a.m. if the children are not in school). The parent exercising this time is responsible for all transportation for the children.	Dad	Mom

SPECIAL OCCASIONS (Special Occasions begin at 9:00 a.m. on the individual day and continue until 9:00 p.m. on the same day)	ODD YEAR	EVEN YEAR
Mother's Day	Mom	Mom
Father's Day	Dad	Dad
Children's Birthdays	Dad	Mom

Summer/Track Break Vacations

Each parent shall be entitled to one (1) vacation each year with the children for a period not to exceed two (2) consecutive weeks (unless otherwise agreed to in writing). Each parent shall designate his/her respective vacation plans by May 1st of each year. The dates shall be conveyed to the other party in writing by way of certified mail. If there is a conflict in dates, the parent who designates the vacation first (as verified by the certified mail stamp) will prevail as to the vacation time. Neither party shall schedule vacation time during the other party's holiday time or during time the children are scheduled to be in school.

Electronically Filed 10/04/2016 08:56:30 AM

Alun	1. Chum
------	---------

CLERK OF THE COURT

ANSC
STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 006879
Adras & Altig, Attorneys at Law
601 S. Seventh Street
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
(702) 385-7227
Email: steven@adraslaw.com
Attorney for Defendant/Counterclaimant

DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

WILLIAM DIMONACO,)	CASE NO. D-16-539340-C DEPT. NO. Q
Plaintiff/Counterdefendant,		
VS.		
ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO,)	
Defendant/Counterclaimant.	<i>)</i>	

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY AND COUNTERCLAIM

COMES NOW, Defendant/Counterclaimant, ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO, [hereinafter, Defendant], by and through her attorney, STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ., and for her Answer to the Complaint for Custody on file herein, hereby admits, denies and alleges as follows:

- 1. Answering Paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, and 13 of the Complaint on file herein this answering Defendant hereby admits each and every allegation contained therein.
- 2. Answering Paragraphs 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, and 15 of the Complaint on file herein this answering Defendant hereby denies each and every allegation contained therein.

3. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint on file herein this answering Defendant hereby denies that the Plaintiff has held himself out to the world as the child's natural father and the Defendant hereby admits each and every other allegation contained therein.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays Plaintiff take nothing by way of her Complaint on file herein.

COUNTERCLAIM

COMES NOW Defendant/Counterclaimant, ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO [hereinafter, Defendant], by and through his attorney, STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ., and for her Counterclaim against Plaintiff/Counterdefendant, WILLIAM DIMONACO [hereinafter, Plaintiff] alleges as follows:

- 1. That for more than six (6) weeks immediately preceding the commencement of this action, Defendant has been physically present and domiciled in, and an actual bona fide resident of the County of Clark, State of Nevada.
 - 2. That Plaintiff and Defendant were never married.
- 3. That there is one minor child born the issue of the parties hereto, to wit: GRAYSON ASHTON DiMonaco-Ferrando born August 12, 2014.
 - 4. That the Plaintiff is the natural father of the parties' minor child.
- 5. That the Plaintiff has emotionally abandoned the minor child and the Defendant hereby reserves the right to amend this court action to include an allegation for the termination of the Plaintiff's parental rights.
- 6. That the Defendant is a fit and proper parent to be awarded sole legal custody of the parties' minor child.
- 7. That the Defendant is a fit and proper parent to be awarded primary physical custody of the parties' minor child subject to the Plaintiff's right of supervised visitation.
 - 8. That child support should be set pursuant to Nevada law.
- 9. That the Plaintiff should be compelled to pay child support arrears in an amount to be determined by this Court.

7

8 9

11

12

10

13 14

15 16

18

17

19

20

22 | ///

23

24 ///

25 | ///

26 || ///

27

28

- That the Plaintiff should be required to maintain health insurance coverage for the 10. parties' minor child.
- That the parties should share in any unreimbursed medical, dental, optical 11. orthodontic, and mental health expenses pursuant to the 30/30 rule.
- That the Defendant should be awarded the tax deduction for the child in each and 12. every year.
- That there are expenses associated with the birth of the parties' minor child of 13. which the Plaintiff should be compelled to pay half as well as half of other expenses associated with the child, including but not limited to a crib, clothing, car seats, and other associated expenses for which the Plaintiff agreed to pay.
- 14. That the Plaintiff requested that the Defendant file paperwork to terminate the Plaintiff's parental rights. That the Defendant requested assurances that the Plaintiff wished to pursue that course of action before retaining the services of an attorney. The Plaintiff assured the Defendant that he wished to pursue that course of action and again requested that the Defendant retain the services of an attorney to this end. The Defendant did in fact retain the services of an attorney to prepare the termination of parental rights paperwork. The Plaintiff then refused to sign the paperwork and initiated this legal action. The Plaintiff should be compelled to reimburse the Defendant her fees and costs for the termination action in the approximate amount of \$2,500.00.

1///

- 15. That the Defendant should be awarded her attorney's fees and costs in this action.

 WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for judgment of this Court as follows:
- 1. That the Court grant the relief as set forth in the Defendant's Counterclaim; and
- 2. For such other and further relief as to the Court seems just and proper in the

premises.

DATED this _____day of October, 2016.

STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 006879

Adras & Altig, Attorneys at Law

601 S. Seventh Street

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

(702) 385-7227

<u>VERIFICATION</u>
STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss: COUNTY OF CLARK)
ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO, being first duly sworn according to law, deposes
and says:
That she is the Defendant/Counterclaimant in the above-entitled matter; and that she has
read the foregoing Answer to Complaint and Counterclaim and knows the contents thereof, and
the same is true of her own knowledge except as to those matters stated therein upon information
and belief, and as to those matters she believes them to be true.
Executed this _3_ day of October, 2016. ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN before me
On this 20 day of October, 2016. SARAH HUGAR Notary Public, State of Nevada Appointment No. 11-4393-1
My Appl. Expires Apr 25, 2019
Notary Public in and for said County and State

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF CLARK)

On this day of October, 2016, before me, the undersigned Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared, ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO, known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing Answer to Complaint and Counterclaim and who acknowledged to me that she did so freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein stated.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

State of Nevada, County of Clark

SARAH HUGAR Notary Public, State of Nevada Appointment No. 11-4399-1 My Appt. Expires Apr 25, 2019

Notary Public in and for said County and State

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Adras & Altig Attorneys at Law, and that on the

4th day of October, 2016, I elected to E-SERVE a true and correct filed stamped copy of
the foregoing Answer to Complaint for Custody and Counterclaim, to the following:

F. Peter James, Esq.

Email: peter@peterjameslaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

An Employee of Adras & Aling Attorneys at Law

EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA FAMILY COURT COVER SHEET

D-16-539340-C

CASE NO.	(To be assigned by the Clerk's Office)

Do you or any other party case(s) in the F	amily Court or V YES	Juveni [or child) have any o le Court in Clark C] NO ide of this form			e(s) or past
		TY INFORMATIO		4444. (37 17 27 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	(C. B.	A.V	190 2 4
Plaintiff/Peti	tioner			fendant/Respondent	/Co-re	inioner/ w a	ruzpecedem
Last Name: DiMonaco	ddle Name:			ne: Ferrando ne: Adriana		Iiddle Name: D	avina
First Name: William Mi- Home Address: 7200 West Washington				ddress: 6917 Leon Avenu		iladio i (aliio.	aviiia
City, State, Zip: Las Vegas, Nevada 89				ite, Zip: Las Vegas, Neva			
Mailing Address: SAA	120	•		Address: SAA			
City, State, Zip:			City, Sta	ite, Zip:			
	of Birth: Ma r	ch 28, 1986	Phone #	702-767-9879	Ι	Date of Birth: M	arch 2, 1983
Attorney Info	mation			Attorn	ey Info	rmation	
Name: F. PETER JAMES		Bar No. 1009 1	Name:				Bar No:
Address: 3821 WEST CHARLESTON I	BLVD., SUI	TE 250	Address				
City, State, Zip: LAS VEGAS, NEVADA			City, Sta	ate, Zip:			
Phone #: 702-256-0087	·-····································	-	Phone #	:			
DOMESTIC Marriage Dissolution	OTHER Adoptio	DOMESTIC RELAT PETITIONS n -Minor		GUARDIANSHI Guardianship of an A	P	☐ Summary	ROBATE Administration
□ Annulment □ Divorce –No minor child(ren) □ Divorce –With minor child(ren) □ Foreign Decree □ Joint Petition –No minor child(ren) □ Joint Petition – With minor child(ren) □ Separate Maintenance	Child Comment Mental I Mental I Name Comment Paternity Permissi Support Tempora Termina	hange y ion to Marry - Other ary Protective Order (1 ation of Parental Rights on (Non-Divorce)	,	Person Estate Person and Estate Guardianship of a M Person Estate Person and Estate Guardianship Trust		Special A Set Aside Trust/Con	servatorships idual Trustee orate Trustee
MISC, JUVENILE PETITIONS			IV-I	CHILD SUPPORT PET	ITIONS		
☐ Work Permit ☐ Emancipation		☐ DA – UIFSA		☐ Child	l Support l	In State IV-D	
List children involved	in this case	e (If more than 3 c	hildren,	please enter the inforr	nation o	on the revers	e side)
Last Name		First Name		Middle Name		e of Birth	Relationship
DiMonaco-Ferrando Grayson			Ashton	Aug.	12, 2014	Son	
2.							
3.			A				
F. PETER JAMES Printed Name of Preparer		Signatur		ODO NOV		G- Date	8-206

Printed Name of Preparer evised 07/01/12

Revised 07/01/12 Eighth Judicial District Court Pursuant to NRS 3.275

Supply the followin	g information about any o	other proceeding (check all that a	pply):
Divorce [Temporary Protective Orde	ers (TPO) 🔲 Custo	dy/Child Support	
UIF	SA/URESA Paternity	☐ Juvenile Court	Other	
	Please Pr	int		
List full nan	ie of all adult parties involved		Case number of other	Approximate date of last order in
Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	proceeding(s)	other proceeding(s)
^{1.} DiMonaco	William		D-13-479268-Z	May 13, 2013
2.				
3.				
4.				
If children	were involved (other than those	listed on front page), p	olease provide:	
Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Date of Birth	Relationship
1. DiMonaco	McKenna	Rose	May 24, 2011	Daughter
2.				
3.				<u> </u>
4.				
5. 6.				
7.				
8.				
	Children involved in this case (co		600 B	
Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Date of Birth	Relationship

Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Date of Birth	Relationship
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				

THIS INFORMATION IS REQUIRED BY NRS 3.025, NRS 3.223, NRS 3.227, NRS 3.275, NRS 125.130, NRS 125.230, And will be kept in a confidential manner by the Clerk's Office.

COMC 1 LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES, ESQ. **CLERK OF THE COURT** F. Peter James, Esq. 2 Nevada Bar No. 10091 Peter@PeterJamesLaw.com 3821 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 250 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 702-256-0087 702-256-0145 (fax) 5 Counsel for Plaintiff 6 DISTRICT COURT, FAMILY DIVISION 7 **CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA** 8 CASE NO. : D-16-539340-CWILLIAM DIMONACO, DEPT. NO.: 9 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR CUSTODY** 10 VS. 11 ADRIANA DAVINA FERRANDO, 12 Defendant. 13 COMES NOW Plaintiff, William DiMonaco, by and through his counsel, F. Peter James, 14 15 Esq., who, as and for a Complaint for Custody, hereby alleges and requests relief as follows: 1. That Plaintiff, for a period of more than six weeks immediately preceding the filing of 16 17 this action, has been and now is an actual, bona fide resident of the State of Nevada, County of Clark, and has been actually physically present and domiciled in Nevada for 18 more than six (6) weeks prior to the filing of this action. 19 2. That Plaintiff and Defendant were never married to each other. 20 21 3. That there is one minor child at issue, to wit: Grayson Ashton DiMonaco-Ferrando 22 (born August 12, 2014 (hereinafter "the child"); the parties have no other minor 23

children	together,	no	adopted	children,	and,	Defendant	("Mom")	is	not	currently
pregnant	with Plain	ntiff	's child.							

- 4. That the child has resided in the State of Nevada since his birth; thus, Nevada is the home state of the child and his state of habitual residence.
- 5. Plaintiff is the natural father of the child. Plaintiff signed an affidavit of paternity as to the child, the same has not been revoked, and Plaintiff is listed as the child's natural father on the child's birth certificate. The child bears Plaintiff's surname. Plaintiff has held the child out to the world as his natural child.
- 6. To Plaintiff's knowledge, custody of the child has not been adjudicated in any other court proceeding.
- 7. The parties are fit and proper persons to be awarded joint legal custody of the child, and should be awarded the same.
- 8. The parties are fit and proper persons to be awarded joint physical custody of the child, and should be awarded the same.
- 9. Defendant has unreasonably restricted Plaintiff's access to the child. Defendant has, in great part, frustrated Plaintiff's efforts to develop a meaningful relationship with the child, though Plaintiff has managed to develop a strong bond with the child nonetheless.
- 10. Child support should be set pursuant to Nevada law, subject to appropriate deviations under NRS 125B.080(9).
- 11. The Court should set a joint physical custody visitation schedule. As Plaintiff has another child from a different relationship, the visitation schedule for the present child should follow that schedule so the children can have more time with each other to bond.

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 12. Plaintiff should maintain the child's health insurance if the same is available through his employer at a reasonable cost.
- 13. The child's unreimbursed medical, dental, optical, orthodontic, and mental health expenses should be equally born by each party subject to the 30/30 rule. The 30/30 rule provides that the party paying any unreimbursed medical expenses has thirty (30) days from the date the expense is paid to forward proof of payment to the opposing party. If that party does not timely forward the proof of payment, then that party waives the right to be reimbursed for that expense. Upon receipt of a timely-forwarded proof of payment of an unreimbursed medical expense, the receiving party has thirty (30) days to reimburse the paying party one-half of the expense or to object to the expense. If the receiving party does not either object to the expense or reimburse the paying party for half of the expense, then that party is subject to sanctions for contempt of court.
- 14. The parties should alternate claiming the tax deduction for the child.
- 15. Plaintiff should be awarded attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for a Judgment as follows:

- 1. That the Court grant the relief requested in this Complaint; and
- 2. For such other relief as the Court finds to be just and proper.

day of September, 2016

LAW OFFICES OF F. PETER JAMES

F. Peter James, Esq.

Dated this

Nevada Bar No. 10091 3821 W. Charleston Blvd., Suite 250

Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

702-256-0087 Counsel for Plaintiff

VERIFICATION 1 William DiMonaco hereby declares and states as follows: 2 That I am the Plaintiff in the above entitled action. 1. 3 2. That I have read the foregoing Complaint for Custody and know the contents 4 thereof. 5 3. That the same is true of my own knowledge, except for those matters therein 6 contained stated upon information and belief, and as to those matters I believe 7 them to be true. 8 Those factual averments contained in said document are incorporated herein 9 4. as if set forth in full. 10 I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the 5. 11 foregoing is true and correct. 12 13 14 WILLIAM DIMONAC STATE OF NEVADA) 15 SS: COUNTY OF CLARK 16 Subscribed and Sworn to before me by William DiMonaco 17 this _\(\) day of September, 2016 18 19 NOTARY PUBLIC in and for said County and State 20 21 22 23

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INDICATE FULL CAPTION:

WILLIAM DIMONACO,
Appellant,

V.

ADRIANA FERRANDO,
Respondent.

No. 74696

Dec 27 2017 02:16 p.m.

DOCKETING Stizabethran Brown
CIVIL APPERADS Supreme Court

GENERAL INFORMATION

Appellants must complete this docketing statement in compliance with NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, identifying issues on appeal, assessing presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, scheduling cases for oral argument and settlement conferences, classifying cases for expedited treatment and assignment to the Court of Appeals, and compiling statistical information.

WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to fill out the statement completely or to file it in a timely manner constitutes grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

A complete list of the documents that must be attached appears as Question 27 on this docketing statement. Failure to attach all required documents will result in the delay of your appeal and may result in the imposition of sanctions.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. See <u>KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman</u>, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.

1. Judicial District EIGHTH	$Department \ \underline{Q}$
County CLARK	Judge DUCKWORTH
District Ct. Case No. <u>D-16-539340-C</u>	
2. Attorney filing this docketing statemen	t:
Attorney F. PETER JAMES, ESQ.	Telephone <u>702-256-0087</u>
Firm LAW OFFICE OF F. PETER JAMES, ES	SQ., PLLC
Address 3821 WEST CHARLESTON BLVD., S LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102	SUITE 250
Mis vicins, ite viibii 00102	
Client(s) APPELLANT, WILLIAM DIMONAC	<u>U</u>
If this is a joint statement by multiple appellants, add the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompfiling of this statement.	
3. Attorney(s) representing respondents(s) :
Attorney STEVEN M. ALTIG, ESQ.	Telephone <u>702-385-7227</u>
Firm ADRAS & ALTIG	
Address 601 SOUTH 7TH STREET LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101	
Client(s) RESPONDENT, ADRIANA FERRAN	IDO
Attorney	Telephone
Firm	
Address	
Client(s)	

4. Nature of disposition below (check	all that apply):
▼ Judgment after bench trial	□ Dismissal:
☐ Judgment after jury verdict	☐ Lack of jurisdiction
☐ Summary judgment	☐ Failure to state a claim
☐ Default judgment	☐ Failure to prosecute
\square Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief	☐ Other (specify):
\square Grant/Denial of injunction	☐ Divorce Decree:
\square Grant/Denial of declaratory relief	\square Original \square Modification
☐ Review of agency determination	🗷 Other disposition (specify): Custody Decree
5. Does this appeal raise issues conce	erning any of the following?
☐ Child Custody	
☐ Venue	
☐ Termination of parental rights	
9 1 1	this court. List the case name and docket number sently or previously pending before this court which
None.	
	other courts. List the case name, number and s in other courts which are related to this appeal
	T T

(e.g., bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition:

None, other than the district court case from which the appeal lies.

8. Nature of the action. Briefly describe the nature of the action and the result below:
This is custody action. The parties resolved custody (legal and physical). At trial, the district court resolved the child support and collateral issues.
9. Issues on appeal. State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal (attach separate sheets as necessary):
Whether the district court erred in its child support determinationspecifically if the district court erred in imputing the income of Appellant's girlfriend upon him in a Lewis v. Hicks / Rodgers v. Rodgers determination.
10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. If you are aware of any proceedings presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket numbers and identify the same or similar issue raised:
None known.

11. Constitutional issues. If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?
□ N/A
\square Yes
× No
If not, explain:
12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
☐ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (identify the case(s))
\square An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
☐ A substantial issue of first impression
☐ An issue of public policy
\square An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
\square A ballot question
If so, explain:

13. Assignment to the Court of Appeals or retention in the Supreme Court. Briefly
set forth whether the matter is presumptively retained by the Supreme Court or assigned to
the Court of Appeals under NRAP 17, and cite the subparagraph(s) of the Rule under which
the matter falls. If appellant believes that the Supreme Court should retain the case despite
its presumptive assignment to the Court of Appeals, identify the specific issue(s) or circum-
stance(s) that warrant retaining the case, and include an explanation of their importance or
significance:

This matter is presumptively assigned to the Count of Appeals. See NRAP 17(b)(11).

Appellant is not requesting that the Supreme Court retain the case.

14. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last? <u>1</u>

Was it a bench or jury trial? Bench

15. Judicial Disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal? If so, which Justice?

N/A.

TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

16. Date of entry of	written judgment or order appealed from Nov 9, 2017
If no written judg seeking appellate	ment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for review:
17. Date written no	otice of entry of judgment or order was served Nov 9, 2017
Was service by:	
\square Delivery	
Mail/electronic	c/fax
18. If the time for f (NRCP 50(b), 52(b),	iling the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion , or 59)
(a) Specify the the date of	type of motion, the date and method of service of the motion, and filing.
☐ NRCP 50(b)	Date of filing
☐ NRCP 52(b)	Date of filing
□ NRCP 59	Date of filing
	pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration may toll the a notice of appeal. <i>See</i> AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev, 245 0).
(b) Date of entr	ry of written order resolving tolling motion
(c) Date writte	n notice of entry of order resolving tolling motion was served
Was service	by:
\square Delivery	
□ Mail	

19. Date notice of appeal filed Dec 6, 2017		
<u> </u>	ty has appealed from the judgment or order, list the date each filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:	
N/A.		
20. Specify statute or rue.g., NRAP 4(a) or other	ale governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal,	
NRAP 4(a)		
	SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY	
21. Specify the statute of the judgment or order a (a)	or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review appealed from:	
▼ NRAP 3A(b)(1)	□ NRS 38.205	
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(2)	□ NRS 233B.150	
☐ NRAP 3A(b)(3)	\square NRS 703.376	
☐ Other (specify)		
(b) Explain how each auth	ority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:	
NRAP 3A(b)(1) applies to	the Decree as it is a final order.	

22. List all parties involved in the action or consolidated actions in the district court: (a) Parties:
Plaintiff, William DiMonaco (Appellent) Defendant, Adriana Ferrando (Respondent)
(b) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, <i>e.g.</i> , formally dismissed, not served, or other:
N/A.
23. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims, or third-party claims and the date of formal disposition of each claim.
Each party requested only standard custody matterslegal custody, physical custody, child support, visitation, and health insurance.
24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action or consolidated actions below?
25. If you answered "No" to question 24, complete the following:(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below:

(b) Specify the parties remaining below:
(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b)?
\square Yes
\square No
(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment?
\square Yes
\square No
26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):

27. Attach file-stamped copies of the following documents:

- The latest-filed complaint, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims
- ê Any tolling motion(s) and order(s) resolving tolling motion(s)
- ê Orders of NRCP 41(a) dismissals formally resolving each claim, counterclaims, cross-claims and/or third-party claims asserted in the action or consolidated action below, even if not at issue on appeal
- ê Any other order challenged on appeal
- è Notices of entry for each attached order

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

William DiMonaco	F. Peter James, Esq.
Name of appellant	Name of counsel of record
Dec 26, 2017	/s/ F. Peter James
Date	Signature of counsel of record
Clark County, Nevada	
State and county where signed	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	The following are listed on the Master Service List and are served via the
3	Court's electronic filing and service system (eFlex):
4	Steven Altig, Esq. Counsel for Respondent