

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CRISTINA PAULOS,

Appellant,

vs.


FCH1, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED
LIABILITY COMPANY; LAS VEGAS
METROPOLITAN POLICE
DEPARTMENT, A GOVERNMENT
ENTITY; JEANNIE HOUSTON; AN
INDIVIDUAL; AND AARON BACA, AN
INDIVIDUAL,

Respondents.

No. 74912

FILED

FEB 22 2019

ELIZABETH L. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY: 
DEPUTY CLERK

*ORDER DIRECTING TRANSMISSION OF EXHIBIT AND GRANTING
MOTION*

In response to this court's order entered January 29, 2019, the clerk of the district court has informed this court that he is unable to transmit "Exhibit 6 to the motion for summary judgment filed by respondent Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department on January 6, 2016" because the exhibits are labeled by letter. Respondents note in their motion that "If Exhibit 6 is unavailable, Exhibit B to the LVMPD Respondents' May 19, 2015, Motion to Dismiss or, Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment is a viable alternative since it is a verbatim copy of the same footage."

Accordingly, the district court clerk shall have 30 days from the date of this order to transmit to the clerk of this court a video recording, Exhibit B to the LVMPD Respondents' May 19, 2015, "Motion to Dismiss or, Alternatively, Motion for Summary Judgment" in case number A-15-

716850-C. If the district court clerk is unable to transmit this exhibit, the clerk shall so notify this court, in writing, within the same time period.

Cause appearing, the motion for an extension of time to file the reply brief is granted. NRAP 31(b)(3)(B). Appellant shall have until March 13, 2019, to file and serve the reply brief. Failure to file a timely reply brief may be treated as a waiver of the right to file a reply brief. NRAP 28(c).

It is so ORDERED.

 C.J.

cc: Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP/Las Vegas
Blut Law Group, APC
Marquis Aurbach Coffing
Moran Brandon Bendavid Moran
Eighth District Court Clerk