

P.O. Box 7000
CARSON CITY NV 89702
Appellant - pro-per

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CARY J. PICKETT)
)
)
Appellant)
)
THE STATE OF NEVADA)
)
Respondents)

No. 75042

APPELLANTS REQUEST
FOR AN ORDER

FILED

APR 19 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *[Signature]*
DEPUTY CLERK

Come now Appellant in pro-per and respectfully moves this Court for an order directing the State of Nevada to respond to Appellants Correspondences or in the alternative an order referring this matter to Mediation. Appellants request is made in good faith unsure if the Supreme Court has a mediation program similar to programs offered in the Federal Court of Appeals.

Appellant submitted a letter to the State of Nevada dated March 5, 2018 requesting a teleconference to discuss the possibility of resolving the matter now pending in this Court between the parties, but has received no response. Appellant fears that the State would have responded to an attorney's request as a professional courtesy, but failed to respond to his request due to his pro-per status.

Appellant believes that his letter (Attached) to the State of Nevada was professional, reasonable and sought a very viable and plausible resolution.


RECEIVED
APR 19 2018

ELIZABETH A. BROWN
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
DEPUTY CLERK

18-15169

Therefore appellant prays that in the interest of judicial economy appellant respectfully ask this Court to grant his motion, and issue an order directing the state of Nevada to respond to Appellant's correspondence or in the alternative issue an order referring this matter to mediation, so that the parties might discuss or resolve this matter between the parties themselves.

DATED THIS 18TH DAY OF APRIL 2018


GARY J. PICKETT #57594

P.O. BOX 7000

CARSON CITY NV, 89707

Appellant in proper

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Pursuant to NRCP Rule 5(b), I hereby certify that on this date I did serve a true and correct copy of the foregoing document: REQUEST FOR AN ORDER, upon the following:

Dated this 18th day of April, 2018

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTY
200 LEWIS AVE
LAS VEGAS NV, 89155


AND

BY: CARY PICKETT 57891
P.O. Box 7000
CARSON CITY NV 89707
Appellant
In Proper Person

AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO NRS 239B.030

** I certify that that the foregoing document DOES NOT contain the social security number of any persons.

4/18/18
(Date)


(Signature)

To: NOREEN Demeritz (Chief Deputy D.A.)

March 5, 2018

Fr: CARY PICKETT #57591
P.O. Box 7000 (NCCC)
Carson City NV, 89702

Sub: (meet and confer telephonic conference requested)

Case No: D.C. C262523 S.C. 75042

Dear Chief Deputy,

I write respectfully requesting a telephonic conference hoping to discuss the; motion for modification of sentence I filed, and the pending appeal in the above referenced case number.

I believe there are misconceptions concerning my motivation for filing the motion as well as the proposed modification that was requested.

First I did not file the motion in an attempt to relitigate my case or to usurp the proper legal channels. I wholeheartedly believe the mitigating information attached to my motion should have been presented and considered at my sentencing, and that there was some confusion that caused the information to be withheld.

Secondly in the states opposition to the motion to modify, it was argued that I proposed that restitution I was ordered to pay be modify so that I would pay less than I owe. I may have articulated my proposal poorly, the fact is I sought to have the financial obligations separated and defined. I was seeking in actuality the financial obligation structured in a

MANNER where I would immediately assume ten thousand dollar's of the less than fifteen thousand dollars from the original judgement.

I suggested the possibility that the court might impose a five thousand dollar fine and five thousand dollar's in restitution for a total of ten thousand dollars, or the court could have determined ten thousand dollars in restitution, however the financial obligation of ten thousand dollars I was proposing to pay in open court to demonstrate my earnest desire to atone for my wrongdoings part financial compensation part by incarceration.

I am in no way trying to offend or disrespect your office or the District Court. I only seek to reach a positive resolution for my wrong in a manner favorable to all parties concerned. I only ask that your office consider scheduling a telephonic conference call to discuss this matter further.

Respectfully submitted

Cary Pickett 57591

P.O. Box 7000 NWCC

CARSON CITY NV 89702