

Burden on Judicial Review

- NRS 239.0113: If the confidentiality of a public book or record is at issue in a judicial or administrative proceeding *and* the governmental entity that has legal custody of the public book or record asserts that the public book or record is confidential, *the government agency has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the book or record, or a part thereof, is confidential.*
 - Applies in administrative proceedings, if both elements of the statute are met.
 - Burden is only on the governmental entity, and this burden is a preponderance of the evidence.

EX-103

SB74 (2013)

- The agency shall, upon request, prepare the copy of the public record and shall not require the person who has requested the copy to prepare the copy himself or herself. NRS 239.010(4)(b).

AB31 (2013)

- The head of each agency of the Executive Department shall designate one or more employees of the agency to act as records official for the agency.
- The records official shall carry out the duties imposed pursuant to NRS 239 and NAC 239 with respect to a request to inspect or copy a public book or record of the agency.

AB31 (2013)

□ The State Library and Archives Administrator, in cooperation with the Attorney General, shall prescribe:

- The form for a request by a person to inspector or copy a public book or record of an agency;
- The form for written notice required to be provided by an agency pursuant to NRS 239.0107(1);
- By regulation, the procedures with which a records official must comply in carrying out his or her duties.

Forms and Procedures

- ▣ Each agency of the Executive Department shall make available on any website maintained by the agency on the Internet or its successor the forms and procedures prescribed by the State Library and Archives Administrator and the Attorney General. AB31 (2013).

▣ Go to:

http://nsla.nv.gov/Records/Public_Records/
Public_Records/ for more information.

ENV3107

Questions??

10/1/18

Exception: Court Reporter Transcripts

- ▣ The requirements of NRS 241.035(2) does not
 - Prohibit a court reporter from charging a fee to the agency for any services relating to the transcription of a meeting; or
 - Require a court reporter who transcribes a meeting to provide a copy of any transcript, minutes or audio recording of the meeting prepared by the court reporter to a member of the public at no charge.
- ▣ Check NRS 239.053.

LVRJ094

List of Fees

- ☐ The agency must prepare and maintain a list of its fees for providing public records, which should be posted in a conspicuous place in each of its offices. NRS 239.052(3).
- In lieu of posting the list of fees for providing public records request, the agency may post the location at which a list of each fee that the agency charges to provide a copy of a public record may be obtained.
- ☐ The agency's list of fees must also include per page fee for court reporter transcripts. NRS 239.053(2).

LVRJ095

Waiving Fees

- Should an agency wish to waive a portion or all of its fee for providing records, the agency must adopt a written policy and post notice of this policy in a conspicuous place in each of its offices. NRS 239.052(2).

Policy and Procedure

- ▣ **Practice Tip:** Develop a public records policy now delineating the agency's policy and procedure related to the handling of public records requests, including the fees charged for records requests and any fee waiver policy.

Risks of Non-Disclosure

- ▣ If a state agency decides not to disclose requested records and the issue is litigated and the agency loses, the requestor is entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorney's fees in pursuing the court action. NRS 239.011.
- ▣ It is important that the agency and its decision maker(s) recognize that an incorrect decision to withhold requested records may be costly.
- ▣ **Practice Tip:** Not all requested records should be released. It is important to ensure that the agency and its decision maker(s) understand that there are potential risks in denying a records request and such requests should not be denied arbitrarily or without careful consideration and a solid legal position supporting the denial.

LYRJ098

Good Faith Immunity

- ▣ The NPRA provides immunity from damages for disclosure or refusal to disclose information as long as the public officer or employee is acting in good faith. NRS 239.012.
- ▣ If the agency and its decision maker discloses or fails to disclose requested information in "good faith," even if the decision is later found to be incorrect, the agency and the decision maker(s) are immune from liability for damages incurred by either the requestor or the person whom the information concerns.

LYR0099

Good Faith Immunity: It is For the Public Officer or Employee!

- ❑ **Practice Tip:** To receive this good faith immunity, the agency itself, *not legal counsel*, should make the decision regarding the disclosure of information.

Judicial Review in State District Court

- Pursuant to NRS 239.011, if a public records request is denied by the agency, the requestor may apply to the district court in the county where the book or record is located for an order:
 - Permitting the requestor to inspect or copy the book or record; or
 - Requiring the agency who has legal custody or control of the public book or record to provide a copy to the requestor.

1VRJ101

Judicial Review (cont.)

- ▣ This matter is given priority over other civil matters to which priority is not given by other statutes.
- ▣ If the requester prevails, he or she is entitled to his or her costs and reasonable attorney's fees from the governmental entity having custody of the book or record.
- ▣ A writ of mandamus is the proper remedy to compel the disclosure of public records. *See DR Partners*, 116 Nev. 616, 6 P.3d 465 (2000).

General Tips and Reminders

❑ Written Response Required Unless Readily Available

- An agency must respond in writing to records requests by not later than the end of the fifth business day after the request is received. NRS 239.0107(1).
 - Options are (1) provide copy, (2) allow inspection, (3) it is confidential, and (4) we need more time, and (5) do not have it.
- If a public book or record is readily available, in lieu of a written response the agency shall allow the requestor to inspect or copy or receive a copy of the record.

- ## ❑ Practice Tip: Put procedures in place now to ensure that public records requests are handled within the time period required in order to avoid any future problems.

LVRJ055

Verbal Records Requests

- Please note that the NPRA allows both written and oral public records requests. NRS 239.0107(1). Thus, it is important to ensure that the agency has appropriate procedures in place such that oral requests for records are logged and/or handled appropriately under the NPRA.

Extraordinary Requests MUST be in writing.

- ❑ Pursuant to recent changes in the 2013 session, all extraordinary requests must be in writing. *See* NRS 239.055.
 - For more information about the definition for “extraordinary,” please review the manual for state agencies published by Library and Archives.
- ❑ Non-extraordinary requests may be oral and may not be ignored or required to be submitted in writing.

LVRJ087

Legal Custody ≠ Ownership

• Possession of a records may not equal Legal Custody.

- Legal custody is defined in NAC 239.041 and means:
 - “all rights and responsibilities relating to the maintenance of and access to a record or series of records are vested in an office or department of a local governmental entity and the official or head of the department is charged with the care, custody and control of that record or series of records.”
 - “The term does not include the ownership of the record.”

LVRI083

Where Is It?

- ❑ If the record is not in the legal custody of the agency, the agency must provide the requestor with written notice of that fact and provide the name and address of the government agency that has custody of the record, if known.
- ❑ If it has been destroyed or transferred to State Archives pursuant to records retention schedule, inform requestor.

13VRJ089

Verbal Discussions to Clarify or Discuss Request

- ▣ Agencies may a verbal discussion with the requestor about the records request to clarify or otherwise discuss the request. However, the final notification pursuant to NRS 239.0107(1) about the status of the record must be in writing. The agency should keep a copy of this notification for its records.
- ▣ The agency should document in writing, e.g., by letter to the requestor, any verbal discussions that it has with the requestor that clarify, narrow, or otherwise alter the original records request.

Recovering Actual Costs

- An agency may recover its actual costs in providing a copy of a public record to the requestor. NRS 239.052.
- ****NEW**** The fee for providing a copy of a public book or record in the custody of a law library operated by a governmental entity must not exceed 50 cents per page. NRS 239.052(4).
- All county clerks are also now limited to charging no more than 50 cents per page for copies of court records. (Previously, the limit was \$1 per page.)
See AB 31 (2013).

Actual Costs (NRS 239.055)

- ▣ Providing copies of public records to the public is deemed part of the agency's regular duties. Thus, these costs generally may include only actual costs incurred in responding to the records request, such as those for toner, paper, and postage, and not employee time in responding to the request, unless the request is extraordinary.
- ▣ ****NEW**** The fee for extraordinary use may not exceed 50 cents a page.

****NEW** No Charge for Minutes and Recordings of Meetings**

- ☐ *Minutes of public meetings are public records.* Minutes or audiotape recordings of the meetings must be made available for inspection by the public within 30 working days after the adjournment of the meeting and *a copy of the minutes or audio recordings must be made available to a member of the public upon request at no charge.* NRS 241.035(2) (emphasis added).

Reno Newspapers v. Sheriff

(cont.)

▫ The Court held that the identity of a holder of a concealed firearms permit and records of any post-permit investigations, suspensions, or revocations of such permits are public records subject to disclosure and that any confidential information in the records should be redacted before disclosure.

- Rule: Whenever possible, redact and provide.
 - Confidential information such as social security numbers, financial information, drivers' license numbers, dates of birth, etc., should be redacted.

LVRJ076

High Burden on Government

- ▣ The Court indicated that the governmental entity's burden is to prove its interest in nondisclosure "clearly" outweighs the public's right to access, and the governmental entity cannot meet this burden with hypothetical concerns.

LVRJ077

Reno Newspapers, Inc. v. Jim Gibbons, Governor (2011)

- Internal governmental entity policies that do not have the force and effect of law do not constitute specific authority justifying withholding the requested record under the NPRA.
- The governmental entity *generally* must provide a log to the requestor describing each individual withheld record. *Gibbons*, 127 Nev. Adv. Op. at 12.
- The governmental entity may be exempt from providing a log to the requestor if the governmental entity can demonstrate that the requestor has sufficient information to meaningfully contest the claim of confidentiality without a log.

LVBJ078

Withheld Records Log

- This log should contain “a general factual description of each record withheld and a specific explanation for nondisclosure.” *Gibbons*, 127 Nev. Adv. Op. at 13.
- The explanation should include specific authority supporting the nondisclosure of the record and a reason why this authority supports the governmental entity’s claim of confidentiality. “[A] string of citations to a boilerplate declaration of confidentiality” does not satisfy the governmental entity’s requirements under the NPRA. *Gibbons*, 172 Nev. Adv. Op. at 16 (citing NRS 239.0107(1)(d)(2)).

PERS of Nevada v. Reno Newspapers, Inc. (2013)

- ❑ The Court “begins its analysis of claims of confidentiality under the [NPPRA] with a presumption in favor of disclosure.”
- ❑ “The state entity bears the burden of overcoming this presumption of openness by proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the requested records are confidential.”
- ❑ “The state entity may either show that a statutory provision declares the record confidential, or, in the absence of such a provision, ‘that its interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs the public’s interest in access.’” (quoting *Gibbons*, 266 P.3d at 628).
- ❑ Exceptions to disclosure must be construed narrowly.

CVR0080

PERS of Nevada v. Reno Newspapers, Inc. (cont.)

- PERS raised concerns regarding identity theft and elder abuse in releasing the records requested.
 - Provided statistics indicating that Nevada is the third leading state in the number of fraud complaints to the Federal Trade Commission and the sixth leading state in the number of identity theft complaints.
- The Court stated “[b]ecause PERS failed to present evidence to support its position that disclosure of the requested information would actually cause harm to retired employees or even increase the risk of harm, the record indicates that their concerns were merely hypothetical and speculative.”
 - Thus, the district court correctly balanced the interests involved in favor of disclosure.

LVRJ081

A Duty to Create Requested Records?

- ▣ The Court strongly implied that governmental entities do not have a duty to create new documents in response to a public records request, even if the data or information needed to create that document is already owned or maintained by the governmental entity.
PERS, 129 Nev. Advance Opinion 88, at 9-10.
- The Court made no explicit such holding, however.
- In a footnote, the Court cites to Ohio cases holding that in general a governmental entity does not have a duty to create a record in response to a public records request.

Attorney General Opinions

- ☐ There are many and most are older and provide the same framework as the case law, which now controls.
- ☐ Unpublished letter AGO that states that drafts are not public records.
 - Coincides with definition in NAC 239.705, definition of "official state record." No obligation to keep drafts under retention schedule. *See* NAC 239.711.
- ☐ If you have questions about an Attorney General Opinion on public records, please ask your legal counsel.
- ☐ You can search Attorney General Opinions at ag.nv.gov

LVRJ083

Attorney General Opinion Requests

□ If you have a specific public records question that is not addressed in the statutes or case law and you want an Attorney General Opinion, please talk to your assigned legal counsel and consider making the request.

□ Local governments may request Attorney General Opinions.

LVRJ084

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CLARK COUNTY OFFICE OF THE
CORONER/MEDICAL EXAMINER,

Appellant,

vs.

LAS VEGAS REVIEW-JOURNAL,

Respondent.

Electronically Filed
Jun 22 2018 12:26 p.m.
Elizabeth A. Brown
Clerk of Supreme Court

Appeal from the Eighth Judicial
District Court, the Honorable
Jim Crockett Presiding

JOINT APPENDIX

Volume 4, Bates Nos. 675–717

Marquis Aurbach Coffing
Micah S. Echols (Bar No. 8437)
10001 Park Run Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
T: (702) 382-0711 F: (702) 382-5816
mechols@maclaw.com

Steven B. Wolfson
District Attorney
Laura C. Rehfeldt (Bar No. 5101)
Deputy District Attorney
500 South Grand Central Pkwy, 5th Flr.
P.O. Box 552215
Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2215
T: (702) 455-4761 F: (702) 382-5178
laura.rehfeldt@clarkcountyda.com
*Attorneys for Appellant, Clark County
Office of the Coroner/Medical Examiner*

McLetchie Shell, LLC
Margaret A. McLetchie (Bar No. 10931)
Alina M. Shell (Bar No. 11711)
701 East Bridger Ave., Suite 520
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
T: (702) 728-5300 F: (702) 425-8220
maggie@nvlitigation.com
alina@nvlitigation.com
*Attorneys for Respondent,
Las Vegas Review-Journal*

INDEX TO JOINT APPENDIX

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		LOCATION
Public Records Act Application Pursuant to NRS 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus (filed 07/17/17)		Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 1–11
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Public Records Act Application Pursuant to NRS 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus (filed 07/17/17)		Vol. 1, Bates No. 12
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	April 13, 2017 Emails between Las Vegas Review-Journal and Clark County Coroner’s Office Regarding Nevada Public Records Act Request	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 13–27
2	April 13, 2017 Las Vegas Review-Journal’s Email to Clark County District Attorney’s Office	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 28–31
3	April 14, 2017 District Attorney’s Office Response Email to Las Vegas Review-Journal with Attorney General’s Opinion 82-12	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 32–39
4	May 23, 2017 Letter from Las Vegas Review-Journal to Clark County Coroner’s Office and Clark County District Attorney’s Office	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 40–44
5	May 26, 2017 Email with Response Letter from Clark County District Attorney’s Office to Las Vegas Review-Journal	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 45–88
6	May 31, 2017 Email from Coroner’s Office to Las Vegas Review-Journal	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 89–92
7	June 12, 2017 Email Chain between Clark County District Attorney and Las Vegas Review-Journal	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 93–98

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		LOCATION
Appendix of Exhibits in Support of Public Records Act Application Pursuant to NRS 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus (cont.)		
Exhibit	Document Description	
8	July 9, 2017 Emails between Las Vegas Review-Journal and Clark County District Attorney's Office	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 99–106
9	July 11, 2017 Email from Coroner's Office with Sample Redacted Files	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 107–143
Memorandum in Support of Application Pursuant to NRS 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Application for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (filed 08/17/17)		Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 144–161
Attorney Margaret A. McLetchie's Declaration in Support of Memorandum in Support of Application Pursuant to NRS 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Application for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (filed 08/17/17)		Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 162–163
Exhibits to Attorney Margaret A. McLetchie's Declaration in Support of Memorandum in Support of Application Pursuant to NRS 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Application for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief		
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Order Granting Writ of Mandate in District Court Case No. A-17-750151-W (filed 02/22/17)	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 164–172
2	Order on Motion for Summary Judgment and Countermotion for Summary Judgment in District Court Case No. A543861 (filed 01/07/09)	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 173–195

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		LOCATION
Response to Petition and Memorandum Supporting Writ for Mandamus for Access to Autopsy Reports of Juvenile Deaths (filed 08/30/17)		Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 196–224
Exhibits to Response to Petition and Memorandum Supporting Writ for Mandamus for Access to Autopsy Reports of Juvenile Deaths		
Exhibit	Document Description	
A	Declaration of John Fudenberg (dated 08/30/17)	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 225–234
B	Assembly Bill No. 57, 79th Session (Nov. 2017)	Vol. 1, Bates Nos. 235–237
Reply to Response to Petition and Memorandum in Support of Application Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Application for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (filed 09/07/17)		Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 238–255
Exhibits to Reply to Response to Petition and Memorandum in Support of Application Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Application for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief		
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Minutes of the Meeting of the Assembly Committee on Government Affairs, 79th Session (March 8, 2017)	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 256–291
2	Minutes of the Senate Committee on Government Affairs, 79th Session (April 26, 2017)	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 292–319

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		LOCATION
Supplement to Reply to Response to Petition and Memorandum in Support of Application Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Application for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief (filed 09/25/17)		Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 320–323
Exhibits to Supplement to Reply to Response to Petition and Memorandum in Support of Application Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus/Application for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief		
Exhibit	Document Description	
3	Copies of White Pine County Coroner’s Reports	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 324–369
4	Copies of Lander County Sheriff’s Office Reports	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 370–397
Minutes of September 28, 2017 Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandamus (filed 09/28/17)		Vol. 2, Bates No. 398
Transcript of September 28, 2017 Hearing on Petition for Writ of Mandamus		Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 399–427
Notice of Entry of Order with Order Granting Petitioner LVRJ’s Public Records Act Application Pursuant to Nev. Rev. Stat. 239.001/Petition for Writ of Mandamus (filed 11/09/17)		Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 428–442
Notice of Appeal (filed 11/28/17)		Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 443–444
Case Appeal Statement (filed 11/28/17)		Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 445–447
Petitioner Las Vegas Review-Journal’s Motion for Attorney’s Fees and Costs (filed 11/29/17)		Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 448–460

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		LOCATION
Exhibits to Petitioner Las Vegas Review-Journal's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs		
Exhibit	Document Description	
1	Declaration of Margaret A. McLetchie (dated 11/29/17)	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 461–464
2	Attorney Fees by Date	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 465–471
3	Attorney Fees by Biller	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 472–478
4	Attorney Costs and Expenses	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 479–480
5	Declaration of Kathleen Jane England (dated 11/29/17)	Vol. 2, Bates Nos. 481–486
Respondent's Opposition to Las Vegas Review-Journal's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs (filed 12/14/17)		Vol. 3, Bates Nos. 487–506
Exhibits to Respondent's Opposition to Las Vegas Review-Journal's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs		
Exhibit	Document Description	
A	Study of Nevada Laws Governing Public Books and Records, Bulletin No. 93-9 (September 1992)	Vol. 3, Bates Nos. 507–544
B	Legislative History of 1993 Assembly Bill 365 with Selected Exhibits	Vol. 3, Bates Nos. 545–581
C	Notice of Entry of Decision with Decision in District Court Case No. A-14-711233-W (filed 08/23/17)	Vol. 3, Bates Nos. 582–586

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION		LOCATION
Exhibits to Respondent's Opposition to Las Vegas Review-Journal's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs (cont.)		
Exhibit	Document Description	
D	Website Instructions of Washoe County Coroner and Elko County Sheriff's Office Coroner Regarding Obtaining an Autopsy Report	Vol. 3, Bates Nos. 587–592
Reply to Respondent's Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs (filed 01/04/18)		Vol. 3, Bates Nos. 593–607
Exhibits to Reply to Respondent's Opposition to Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs		
Exhibit	Document Description	
6	Legislative History of 1993 Assembly Bill 365 with Additional Selected Exhibits	Vol. 3, Bates Nos. 608–674
7	March 26, 2015 Public Records Presentation	Vol. 4, Bates Nos. 675–717
8	March 20, 2017 Public Records Request Letter to City of Henderson	Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 718–720
9	April 4, 2017 City of Henderson Public Records Response	Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 721–731
Minutes of January 11, 2018 Hearing on Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs (filed 01/11/18)		Vol. 5, Bates No. 732
Transcript of January 11, 2018 Hearing on Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs		Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 733–744
Notice of Entry of Order with Order Granting Motion for Stay of District Court Order and Order Shortening Time (filed 01/12/18)		Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 745–749

DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	LOCATION
Notice of Entry of Order with Order Granting Petitioner Las Vegas Review-Journal's Motion for Attorney's Fees and Costs (filed 02/01/18)	Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 750–765
Notice of Appeal (filed 02/05/18)	Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 766–767
Case Appeal Statement (filed 02/05/18)	Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 768–771
Docket of Case No. A-17-758501-W	Vol. 5, Bates Nos. 772–773

EXHIBIT 7

PUBLIC RECORDS

Sarah A. Bradley
Deputy Attorney General
Summary of the Nevada Public Records Act
Prepared for Carson City
March 26, 2015

LVRJ067

Nevada Public Records Act (NPRA)

- ❑ Codified in NRS Chapter 239.
- ❑ All state agency records are public unless declared confidential by law. NRS 239.010.
- ❑ Under the NPRA, open government is the rule.

LYR068

General Premise of NPRA

- ▣ All public books and public records of governmental entities must remain open to the public unless otherwise declared confidential by law. NRS 239.010(1).
- ▣ Many confidentiality provisions now specified in NRS 239.010(1) plus catch-all phrase: “and unless otherwise declared by law to be confidential.”
- ▣ Confidentiality provisions from the NAC are not included, but still have the force and effect of law and should be included in the “catch-all” in NRS 239.010(1).
 - “A properly adopted substantive rule establishes a standard of conduct which has the force of law.” *State ex rel. Tax Comm’n v. Safeway*, 99 Nev. 626, 630, 668 P.2d 291, 294 (1983).

Court Decisions

- ▣ *Donrey of Nevada v. Bradshaw*, 106 Nev. 630, 798 P.2d 144 (1990).
- ▣ *DR Partners v. Bd. of County Comm'rs*, 116 Nev. 616, 6 P.3d 465 (2000).
- ▣ *City of Reno v. Reno Gazette-Journal*, 119 Nev. 55, 63 P.3d 1147 (2003).
- ▣ *Reno Newspapers v. Sheriff*, 234 P.3d 922, 126 Nev., Adv. Op. 23 (July 1, 2010).
- ▣ *Reno Newspapers, Inc. v. Jim Gibbons, Governor of the State of Nevada*, 266 P.3d 623, 127 Nev., Adv. Op. 79 (Dec. 15, 2011).
- ▣ *Civil Rights for Seniors v. Administrative Office of the Courts*, 313 P.3d 216, 129 Nev., Adv. Op. 80 (Oct. 31, 2013).
- ▣ *Public Employees' Retirement System of Nevada v. Reno Newspapers, Inc.*, 313 P.3d 221, 129 Nev., Adv. Op. 88 (Nov. 14, 2013).
- ▣ *Blackjack Bonding, Inc. v. Las Vegas Metro. Police Dept.*, 131 Nev., Adv. Op. 10 (March 5, 2015).

LVR0070

General Premise of NPRA

The NPRA favors transparency in government and open access to governmental entity records, and the provisions of the NPRA must be construed liberally in order to maximize the public's right of access to government records. *Reno Newspapers, Inc. v. Jim Gibbons, Governor of the State of Nevada*, 127 Nev. Adv. Op. 79, at 5 (2011).

- "The Legislature has declared that the purpose of the NPRA is to further the democratic ideal of an accountable government by ensuring that public records are broadly accessible." *Gibbons*, 127 Nev. Adv. Op. 79, at 5 (2011) (citing NRS 239.001(1)).

Donrey of Nevada v. Bradshaw (1990)

- Balancing test articulated by the Court, Court later refers to it as *Bradshaw* balancing test
 - Used by government entities to determine whether there is an exception to the Nevada Public Records Act (NPPRA) that justifies the withholding of a requested record.
 - This test involves balancing the governmental entity's public policy interest in withholding the document against the general policy in favor of open government.

LVRJ072

Access to Public Records = a “Fundamental Right”?

a In *DR Partners*, the Court said any limitation on the general disclosure requirements of NRS 239.010 must be based upon a balancing or “weighing” of the governmental entity’s interests in non-disclosure against the general policy in favor of open government and the requestor’s “fundamental right” to access public records.

CVR073

Burden on Government When Withholding Records

After conducting the *Bradshaw* balancing test, the burden is upon the governmental entity to explain why the records requested should not be furnished, with specific evidence justifying the withholding of the records.

LYR3074

Reno Newspapers v. Sheriff (2010)

- ▣ The 2007 legislative amendments affected the *Bradshaw* balancing test.
 - Now, a narrower interpretation of private or governmental interests promoting nondisclosure to be weighed against the policy for an open and accessible government.
- ▣ “A mere assertion of possible endangerment does not clearly outweigh the public interest in access to . . . records.”

LVRJ075