### IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

SHARATH CHANDRA, ADMINISTRATOR, NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVISION,

Appellant,

VS.

MELANI SCHULTE; AND WILLIAM SCHULTE,

Respondents.

Electronically Filed Feb 13 2019 08:10 a.m. Elizabeth A. Brown Clerk of Supreme Court

District Court No. D-12-458809-D

Case No. 75477

### JOINT APPENDIX – VOLUME III of III

AARON D. FORD
Nevada Attorney General
DAVID J. POPE (Bar No. 8617)
Chief Deputy Attorney General
DONALD J. BORDELOVE (Bar No. 12561)
Deputy Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
555 E. Washington Avenue, Suite 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101

Telephone: (702) 486-3094 Fax: (702) 486-3416 dbordelove@ag.nv.gov Attorney for Appellant AMBERLEA DAVIS, ESQ. Law Offices of Amberlea Davis 415 S. Sixth Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Telephone: (702) 440-8000 amber@sheismylawyer.com Attorney for Respondent

### **Case No. 75477**

### INDEX TO JOINT APPENDIX

Appellant, SHARATH CHANDRA, ADMINISTRATOR, NEVADA REAL ESTATE DIVISION, by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby submits this JOINT APPENDIX as follows:

DESCRIPTION	VOL.	BATES NUMBERS
Amended Decree of Divorce Nunc Pro Tunc, filed April 3, 2017	I	JA0028-JA0043
Complaint and Notice of Hearing, filed June 11, 2013	I	JA0006-JA0013
Complaint for Divorce, filed February, 10, 2012	I	JA0001-JA0005
Final Judgment in Favor of 1341 Minuet, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 18, 2017	I	JA0061-JA0063
Final Judgment in Favor of 1701 Empire Mine, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 18, 2017	I	JA0055-JA0057
Final Judgment in Favor of 2861 Marathon, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 25, 2017	I	JA0079-JA0081
Final Judgment in Favor of 5524 Rock Creek, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 18, 2017	I	JA0064-JA0066

Final Judgment in Favor of 5609 San Ardo, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 18, 2017	I	JA0067-JA0069
Final Judgment in Favor of 8216 Peaceful Canyon, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 23, 2017	I	JA0076-JA0078
Final Judgment in Favor of 9521 Sierra Summit, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 18, 2017	I	JA0070-JA0072
Final Judgment in Favor of Cherish, LLC and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 18, 2017	I	JA0058-JA0060
Final Judgment in Favor of Melani Schulte and Against William R. Schulte, filed May 23, 2017	I	JA0073-JA0075
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Decree of Divorce, filed July 8, 2013	I	JA0014-JA0025
Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order, filed October 11, 2013	I	JA0026-JA0027
Motion to Amend Decree of Divorce Nunc Pro Tunc; To Enforce Decree of Divorce and Related Relief, filed February 13, 2017	III	JA0277-JA0386
Notice of Appeal, filed March 22, 2018	II	JA0273-JA0276
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 1701 Empire Mine, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0219-JA0224
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to Cherish, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0225-JA0230

Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 1341 Minuet, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0231-JA0236
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 5524 Rock Creek, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0237-JA0242
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 5609 San Ardo, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018.	II	JA0243-JA0248
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 9521 Sierra Summit, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0249-JA0254
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0255-JA0260
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 8216 Peaceful Canyon, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0261-JA0266
Notice of Entry of Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 2861 Marathon, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 21, 2018	II	JA0267-JA0272

Notice of Motion and Order for an Order Shortening Time for a Hearing on the Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment From ERRF Fund to Melani Schulte as Successor in Interest to 5609 San Ardo, LLC or in the Alternative a Hearing with Oral Arguments Set in the Ordinary Course, filed November 17, 2017	I	JA0127-JA0137
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 1701 Empire Mine, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	I	JA0183-JA0186
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to Cherish, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	I	JA0187-JA190
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 1341 Minuet, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	I	JA0191-JA0194
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 5524 Rock Creek, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	II	JA0195-JA0198
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 5609 San Ardo, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	II	JA0199-JA0202
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 9521 Sierra Summit, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	II	JA0203-JA0206

Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to Melani Schulte Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	II	JA0207-JA0210
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 8216 Peaceful Canyon, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	II	JA0211-JA0214
Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research and Recovery Fund and to the Successor in Interest to 2861 Marathon, LLC Melani Schulte, filed February 20, 2018	II	JA0215-JA0218
Order From April 3, 2017 Hearing Granting Final Judgment Against William R. Schulte, filed May 18, 2017	I	JA0044-JA0054
Petitioners' Consolidated Reply to Real Estate Division's Answer in Opposition to Verified Petitions, filed November 28, 2017	I	JA0142-JA0148
Real Estate Division's Answer in Opposition to Verified Petitions for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund, filed November 16, 2017	I	JA0138-JA0141
Transcript Re: All Pending Motions, taken November 30, 2017	I	JA0149-JA0182
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0082-JA0086
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0087-JA0091

Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0092-JA0096
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0097-JA0101
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0102-JA0106
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0107-JA0111
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0112-JA0116
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0117-JA0121
Verified Petition for an Order Directing Payment Out of the Education Research Recovery Fund Pursuant to NRS 645.841 to 645.8494 Inclusive, filed October 25, 2017	I	JA0122-JA0126

Dated: February 12, 2019.

AARON D. FORD Attorney General

By: / s / Donald J. Bordelove

Donald J. Bordelove (Bar. No. 12561)

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that I am an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Nevada, and that on 12<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2019, I filed this completed docketing statement via this Court's electronic filing system.

The following participants in this case are registered electronic filing systems users and will be served electronically:

Amberlea Davis, Esq. Law Offices of Amberlea Davis 415 S. Sixth Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101

The following participants in this case are not registered electronic filing systems users and will be served via United States mail, first class, postage prepaid:

William R. Schulte 8252 Nice Court Las Vegas, NV 89129

> / s / Marilyn Millam an employee of the Office of the Nevada Attorney General

CLERK OF THE COURT

# CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

CASE NO.: D-12-458809-D
DEPT. NO.: I

Date of Hearing: 04/10/17
Time of Hearing: 10:30 AM
Oral Argument Requested: Yes

# MOTION TO AMEND DECREE OF DIVORCE NUNC PRO TUNC: TO ENFORCE DECREE OF DIVORCE AND RELATED RELIEF

NOTICE: YOU ARE REQUIRED TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THIS MOTION WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND TO PROVIDE THE UNDER-SIGNED COUNSEL WITH A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION. FAILURE TO FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE WITH THE CLERK OF THE COURT WITHIN TEN (10) DAYS OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS MOTION MAY RESULT IN THE REQUESTED RELIEF BEING GRANTED BY THE COURT WITHOUT HEARING PRIOR TO THE SCHEDULED HEARING DATE.

COMES NOW Plaintiff, Melani Schulte, by and through her attorney, John T. Kelleher, Esq., of the law firm of KELLEHER & KELLEHER, and hereby files this Motion to Amend Decree of Divorce Nunc Pro Tune, to Enforce Decree of Divorce and related relief.

/// /// ///

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

# KELLEHER & KELLEHER LLC MS. FIFFMAND. STREET, SUPE. 223 HENDERSON, NAVAN 19911

€

₿

### POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

\*\*\*

### STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

Plaintiff Melani Schulte ("Melani") and Defendant William R. Schulte were divorced in Clark County, Nevada on July 8, 2013. For purposes of this motion, the following paragraphs of the Decree of Divorce are relevant:

- 1. Paragraph 18: IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED, that Melani is awarded the Sabreco business, in its current state, as her sole and separate property. If any lawsuit judgments are issued against Sabreco arising from missing monies prior to Melani taking over in the Fall of 2012, and by his own express admission at trial, William shall be assigned those judgments and debts as his sole and separate debts if he is found liable as an individual. If Sabreco, as a business entity, is found liable, then the business shall bear those debts (See Decree of Divorce, page 3, lines 6-9).
- 2. Paragraph 28: IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Melani shall be awarded Schulte Properties as her sole and separate property along with any and all debts and encumbrances associated with the 32 real properties. There still remain payments owed in the Bankruptcy Order to the secured creditors. The Court is aware that both parties are both liable to the bankruptcy creditors. However, with Melani being awarded the 32 properties as her sole and separate property, she shall hold William harmless from any debts associated with the 32 properties (See Decree of Divorce, page 4, lines 11-15).
- 3. Paragraphs 30-31: In her Pretrial Memorandum, Melani disclosed three checks totaling \$1,800.00 plus one check in the amount of \$7,500.00 belonging to Schulte Properties for bank fraud reimbursement. IT IS

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Melani shall be awarded the four checks totaling \$9,300.00 as her sole and separate property because Schulte Properties is awarded to her solely. The checks belong to Schulte Properties, and with Melani being awarded this business, she shall retain the reimbursement checks and may apply the funds towards the business debts properties (See Decree of Divorce, page 4, lines 20-27).

A. DEFENDANT SHOULD BE SOLELY LIABLE FOR CERTAIN SABRECO DEBTS ARISING FROM FRAUD AND MISMANAGEMENT OF SABRECO SECURITY DEPOSITS AND RENTS (¶ 18 of the Decree of Divorce).

As the Court will recall, Defendant operated the business known as Sabreco, a property management group, during the parties marriage. Not only did Defendant operate the business, but he was the corporate broker for Sabreco and was a licensed property manager for the Sabreco properties.

Defendant admitted he signed the leases and collected the monies to these properties. In conjunction with the parties' divorce, a forensic accounting was conducted on the Schulte/Sabreco properties. The forensic audit discovered over \$2,900,000 in unaccounted funds in the Sabreco Operating Trust Account and over \$200,000 missing from the Security Deposit Trust account. It also found Defendant had paid himself more than \$100,000.00. Defendant blamed the non-licensed bookkeeper, Mae Fafaleos, for any and all discrepancies. Ms. Fafaleos was indicted and was facing felony embezzlement charges, but died before trial. Regardless, Defendant had a duty as a ficensed real estate broker and property manager to supervise his employee. Melani filed a report with the Nevada Attorney General and Nevada Real Estate Division and the Real Estate Division ultimately revoked Defendant's broker's license and property management permit for failing to properly reconcile the monthly trust accounts, refund monies to the tenants and failure to supervise the bookkeeper, among other charges.

ï.

Ş

2.7

At trial, this Court ordered that Defendant should be personally responsible for any judgments issued against Sabreco as a result of the embezzled and mismanaged funds that occurred while Defendant was operating Sabreco. Since the trial, Melani has personally been sued for the actions Defendant undertook as broker for Sabreco; therefore, in regards to Paragraph 18 of the Decree of Divorce, Melani is asking for two specific requests for relief:

The Decree of Divorce should be Amended to Clarify Defendant should be held solely and personally liable for any and all debts or liabilities arising from his Fraud, Misrepresentation and Deceit as broker of Sabreco

There are 23 Schulte properties/cases that were specifically affected by Defendant's actions. These properties are listed in Exhibit 1 to this Motion'. Specifically, these properties were managed by Sabreco and not Melani. Defendant signed the leases for these properties as the broker of Sabreco, collected the security deposits and rents, and then deposited those monies to Sabreco accounts instead of accounts corresponding to the individual properties or LLCs. Defendant failed to return or pay that money to the owners of those properties. Melani is now getting contacted by people trying to collect these security deposits from these accounts. Since the funds were misappropriated, there is not sufficient funds in those accounts with which to refund the security deposits and/or rents due or bounced checks reimbursement. As a result, Melani is being forced to refund those monies from other sources which she should not have to do.

Melani has the backup documentation to support what Defendant has wrongfully done. The Nevada Real Estate Commission has already found he

Properties 1-20 are all Schulte LLCs. Properties 21 and 22 listed at the bottom of Exhibit 1 are referred to as the Arciszewski and Hammond properties, respectively. In these two cases, the owners of the properties filed lawsuits against Melani. Melani was ordered to pay rents or security deposits that had been collected by Sabreco as referenced above. Therefore, Melani has now paid the litigants the sum of \$1,556.00 and \$1,764.00, respectively. Melani is requesting she be reimbursed by Defendant the sum of \$3,220.00 for these two properties. Melani is being sued personally on the 23rd property, referred to as the Dagger property, which is still a pending matter.

б

₿.

committed these violations regarding the mismanagement of these funds (See Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 2). The documents are voluminous but Melani is willing to provide them if the Court wishes to review them. Defendant has received all of the documentation previously as part of the small claims actions that Melani attempted to file for each of these properties. Unfortunately, the small claims court instructed Melani to file this action with the District Court through this divorce case.

Melani needs specific court orders and judgments stating Defendant is solely responsible for any funds resulting from the embezzlement and his mismanagement of the 23 properties/cases prior to Melani taking control of the properties in 2012 so that Defendant can properly be held personally liable for those funds and so Melani has a court order to protect herself from tenants and owners wrongfully seeking reimbursement from her.

With these orders, Melani can seek restitution from Defendant pursuant to NRS 645.844. She is also asking this Court to retain jurisdiction over this issue in case she cannot obtain restitution from Defendant. In that case, Melani would need additional Orders from this Court directing Melani to seek payment and restitution from the Nevada Real Estate Education, Research and Recovery Fund (ERRF) for the unpaid damages.

# 2. The Decree of Divorce should be amended to clarify Melani will not be liable or responsible for any Sabreco debts arising out of Defendant's mismanagement.

The final sentence of Paragraph 18 of the Decree of Divorce states, "[i]f Sabreco, as a business entity, is found liable, then the business shall bear those debts." Defendant has been wrongfully representing to the tenants and owners that Melani is responsible for these monies as she is now the owner of the Sabreco business. While the Order should be clear on its face, Melani is nevertheless having difficulties convincing people that she is not responsible for those Sabreco debts. Therefore, Melani is requesting the final sentence of Paragraph 18 be amended to

state:

1

2.

3

4.

S

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2017-10-25

If Sabreco, as a business entity, is found liable for any business debts arising out of Defendant's mismanagement prior to Melani taking over in the Fall of 2012, then William R. Schulte or Sabreco shall bear those debts and Melani will not be responsible for, or be held personally liable for those debts.

- THE DECREE OF DIVORCE SHOULD BE AMENDED TO SPECIFY THE 32 PROPERTIES AWARDED TO MELANI IN PARAGRAPH 28 В. OF THE DECREE OF DIVORCE AND DEFENDANT SHOULD BE ORDERED TO EXECUTE RELEASES FOR EACH OF THE PROPERTIES AUTHORIZING MELANI TO DEAL WITH THE LENDERS FOR ANY AND ALL MORTGAGES ON THE PROPERTIES
- Ĭ., The Decree of Divorce should be Amended to Specify the 32 properties Awarded to Melani in Paragraph 28 of the Decree of Divorce

As set forth in Paragraph 28 of the Decree of Divorce, Melani was awarded 32 real properties from Schulte Properties as her sole and separate property. Unfortunately, some of the mortgages on these 32 properties were in Defendant's name personally. As a result, Melani is having difficulty communicating with mortgage companies, banks and lenders because her name is not on the mortgage accounts. Melani needs to have access to account statements so she can learn the status of the accounts, find out who owns the loans, and make payment arrangements where applicable. A specified Order or an Amended Decree of Divorce would be very helpful. The 32 properties that would need to be specified in the Order are set forth in the list attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

2. Defendant should be Ordered to Execute Releases for each of the 32 properties Awarded to Melani in Paragraph 28 of the Decree of Divorce Anthorizing Melani to Deal with the Lenders, Servicers and Successors for Any and All Mortgages on the Properties and to Make Financial Decisions Regarding Said Loans, Insurance and Modifications

Melani is asking this Court to Order Defendant to execute 32 release forms. one for each of the 32 properties, authorizing Melani to have access to any and all mortgage accounts on the properties, transferring information to Melani and enabling her to communicate and deal with the banks and make decisions including, but not

JA0282

3.

limited to, financial, insurance and future litigation<sup>2</sup>. An example of the release form is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

She is also requesting one additional release be executed by Defendant authorizing delivery of mail addressed to William R. Schulte from the United States Postal Service to a mailbox located at the United Parcel Service (a copy of the release is attached hereto as **Exhibit 5**). Currently, many of the mortgage account statements are being mailed directly to Defendant or to Defendant's prior addresses because the accounts are in his name only. Melani cannot change the address or receive the mail because those mailings are not being sent to Melani (or in some cases, not even to Defendant). Melani has no access or knowledge the documents have been mailed. Thus, Defendant should be ordered to execute the release and forward any mailings he receives that pertain to Sabreco and Schulte Properties directly to Melani.

### a. NRCP Rule 70

It is anticipated that Defendant will not cooperate with signing release forms. Alternatively, Melani is asking this Court to exercise its authority under NRCP 70 to authorize a court clerk to sign the necessary documents on Defendant's behalf for each property. NRCP Rule 70 states as follows:

If a judgment directs a party to execute a conveyance of land or to deliver deeds or other documents or to perform any other specific act and the party fails to comply within the time specified, the court may direct the act to be done at the cost of the disobedient party by some other person appointed by the court and the act when so done has like effect as if done by the party. On application of the party entitled to performance, the clerk shall issue a writ of attachment or sequestration against the property of the disobedient party to compel obedience to the judgment. The court may also in proper cases adjudge the party in contempt. If real or personal property is within the State, the court in lieu of directing a conveyance thereof may enter a judgment divesting the title of any party and vesting it in others and such judgment has the effect of a conveyance executed in due form of law. When any order or judgment is for the delivery of possession, the party in whose favor it is entered is entitled to a writ of execution or assistance upon application

The Court should note that many of the properties have more than one mortgage attached to the property. At last count, there were a total of approximately 76 mortgages for the 32 properties.

to the clerk.

1.

Q.

1.3

2017-10-25

C. THE DECREE OF DIVORCE SHOULD BE AMENDED TO AWARD MELANI ANY AND ALL UNCLAIMED FUNDS FROM THE NEVADA STATE TREASURY FOR ANY FUNDS DUE TO SCHULTE PROPERTIES, SABRECO, MELANI AND WILLIAM R. SCHULTE, BILL SCHULTE, WILLIAM R. SCHULTE, AND/OR W R SCHULTE

As referenced in Paragraphs 30-31 of the Decree of Divorce, Melani was awarded the proceeds totaling \$9,300.00 in the form of various checks disbursed as reimbursement for bank fraud. Those funds and other reimbursements are being sent directly to the property address or Defendant's former address and Melani is not there to receive the funds in the mail. The funds then get sent back to the bank who forwards the money to the State Treasury as "unclaimed funds." Since the Decree of Divorce was filed, there are additional unclaimed funds currently at the Nevada State Treasury in the name of "Melani and William R. Schulte," "Sabreco," "W R Schulte," "Bill Schulte," and/or "William R. Schulte" that Melani is unable to claim because the Decree of Divorce does not specify Melani is entitled to the funds.

Likewise, Melani is anticipating future unclaimed funds being sent to the Nevada State Treasury under these same circumstances as "unclaimed funds" from various Schulte Properties. In conjunction with being awarded the Schulte properties, Melani is rightfully entitled to claim these funds and payments and is requesting Paragraphs 30-31 be amended to clarify that Melani be awarded any unclaimed funds from the Nevada State Treasury in the name of "Schulte Properties," "Melani and William R. Schulte," "Bill Schulte," "Sabreco," "W R Schulte" and/or "William R. Schulte" for any and all of the Schulte properties and accounts including any unknown future claims and including, but not limited to, Claim #5489561 in the amount of \$666.87; Claim #6047495 in the amount of \$557.35; Claim #6047502 in the amount of \$122.40; Claim # 6047510 in the amount of \$150.00.

Defendant should be ordered to sign and execute releases for these unclaimed funds (copies of the releases are attached hereto as Exhibit 6).

23

24

25

26

27

28

2017-10-25

3



### # # +

### LEGAL ANALYSIS

### A. THE DECREE OF DIVORCE SHOULD BE AMENDED

NRS 125,040 states in pertinent part as follows:

2. The court may make any order affecting property of the parties, or either of them, which it may deem necessary or desirable to accomplish the purposes of this section. Such orders shall be made by the court only after taking into consideration the financial situation of each of the parties.

米米米米

Î()

JA0285

# KELLEHER & KELLEHER LLC 40%, STEPHANE STREET, SUSTERIOR (PRO) 584-394.

¢

3.0

1.2

2017-10-25

### NRCP RULE 60. RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT OR ORDER

(a) Clerical Mistakes. Clerical mistakes in judgments, orders or other parts of the record and errors therein arising from oversight or omission may be corrected by the court at any time of its own initiative or on the motion of any party and after such notice, if any, as the court orders. During the pendency of an appeal, such mistakes may be so corrected before the appeal is docketed in the appellate court, and thereafter while the appeal is pending may be so corrected with leave of the appellate court.

(b) Mistakes; Inadvertence; Excusable Neglect; Newly Discovered Evidence; Fraud, Etc. On motion and upon such terms as are just, the court may relieve a party or a party's legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b); (3) fraud (whether heretofore denominated intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation or other misconduct of an adverse party; (4) the judgment is void; or, (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged, or a prior judgment upon which it is based has been reversed or otherwise vacated, or it is no longer equitable that an injunction should have prospective application. The motion shall be made within a reasonable time, and for reasons (1), (2), and (3) not more than 6 months after the proceeding was taken or the date that written notice of entry of the judgment or order was served. A motion under this subdivision (b) does not affect the finality of a judgment or suspend its operation. This rule does not limit the power of a court to entertain an independent action to relieve a party from a judgment, order, or proceeding, or to set aside a judgment for fraud upon the court. Writs of coram nobis, coram vobis, audita querela, and bills of review and bills in the nature of a bill of review, are abolished, and the procedure for obtaining any relief from a judgment shall be by motion as prescribed in these rules or by an independent action.

Based on the above rule, this Court has discretion at any time to correct or amend orders due to oversights, omissions, or unanticipated circumstances. Melani is not able to execute her duties as owner of Sabreco and Schulte Properties without more specific language in the Decree of Divorce. In this instance, the Decree of Divorce should be amended as follows:

### A. Paragraph 18:

1. The Decree of Divorce should be amended to clarify Defendant William R. Schulte should be held solely and personally liable for any debts or liabilities arising from his fraud, misrepresentation and deceit as broker of Sabreco;

JA0286

Q)

1.3

1.9

2017-10-25

2.	The Court should retain jurisdiction over this issue in case Melani
	needs to seek restitution through the Nevada Real Estate
	Education, Research and Recovery Fund (ERRF) for the unpaid
	damages;

- 3. The Decree of Divorce should be amended to clarify Melani will not be personally liable or responsible for Sabreco debts arising out of Defendant's mismanagement prior to her taking over the business in the Fall of 2012.
- 4. Defendant should be ordered to pay Melani the sum of \$1,556.00 as reimbursement for the Arciszewski matter which Melani has already paid using her own funds.
- 5. Defendant should be ordered to pay Melani the sum of \$1,764.00 as reimbursement for the Hammond matter which she has been ordered to pay as referenced above.

### B. Paragraph 28:

- 1. The Decree of Divorce should be amended to specify the 32 properties awarded to Melani in Paragraph 28 of the Decree of Divorce;
- 2. Defendant should be ordered to execute releases for each of the 32 properties awarded to Melani in Paragraph 28 of the Decree of Divorce authorizing Melani to deal with the lenders, servicers and successors for any and all mortgages on the properties and to make decisions on matters including, but not limited to, financial, insurance, loan, modifications, and future litigation. Melani to have access to the mortgage lenders and any and all account information for any and all mortgages on the properties.
- Defendant should be ordered to execute a release from the United
   States Postal Service to the United Parcel Service forwarding all

1.4

- 18

2017-10-25

mailed	documents	pertaining	to	Sabreco	and	the	Schulte
properti	es that are co	arrently in th	ie I.	Defendant	s nan	ne.	

- 4. In the event Defendant receives any mailed documents pertaining to Sabreco and the Schulte properties, he should be ordered to immediately forward those mailings directly to Melani.
- In the event Defendant fails to execute the releases, the Court Clerk should be authorized to execute the releases on Defendant's behalf.

### C. Paragraphs 30-31:

1. The Decree of Divorce should be amended to clarify that Melani be awarded any unclaimed funds from the Nevada State Treasury in the name of "Melani and William R. Schulte," "Sabreco," "William R. Schulte," "Bill Schulte" and/or "W R Schulte" for any and all of the Schulte properties and/or accounts including any unknown future claims and including, but not limited to, Claim #5489561 in the amount of \$666.87; Claim #6047495 in the amount of \$557.35; Claim #6047502 in the amount of \$122.40; Claim # 6047510 in the amount of \$150.00.

## B. DEFENDANT SHOULD BE ADMONISHED TO COMPLY WITH JOINT LEGAL CUSTODY PROVISIONS

C. EDCR 5.501(a) Compliance

2017-10-25

XXX.

### **CONCLUSION**

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiff, Melani Schulte, respectfully requests this Court grant her Motion in its entirety.

DATED this 13 day of Felomia, 2017.

KELLEHER & KELLEHER, LLC



JOHN T. KELLEHER, ESO Nevada Bar No. 6012 40 S. Stephanie Street, Suite #201 Henderson, Nevada 89012 Attorneys for Plaintiff

# EXHIBIT "1"

Season Lives	<b>%</b>	<b>*</b> ⊅'	164 15		Mo Ang	AD.	May .	A STATE OF THE STA	
IPPOSCOPINY CREEK AND	HONTH LAS HEADS	200	8031 Re-20-710-082	BON DADAR ON ITS DISCOURT CREEK LEE	10,400,00		\$2,400.80	\$1,500.00	22,340.00
2614 SWEET LENAM	WATHLES WESS		89031 24-29-455-083	244 58671 1.51.286, 1.1.2	\$10,750,000	8034	\$10,746.EC	<b>***</b>	2000
5524 ROCK CREEK LANE	LAS VEGAS	Š	99 03 X 23 0166	33% RECK CREEK, ELC	\$6,720.10	\$4.720.00	\$13,440,000	3150.00	\$4,590,00
322 CRITINAM 51	145 456.85		55123 138-08-810-124	322 CREENMAN LLC	\$6,960.08	\$8.80	\$2,960.00	SI KOUDE	40,260.00
9020 FLATHER RIVER CT	LAS VEGAS	*	8907 83-08-23-045	ACCO FEMANTE AND ALC	36.575.00	\$1,860.00	22.75.0%	\$1,625.38	\$10,400.00
34 WRET 51		*	311 1378 341 555 012-90-041 2508	B&MKI IIC	#0.592.7I	2002	10553	\$1,850,00	\$12.402.11
276 MANZANTA BANCH LANG	HERRERSON	500	16052 178-20-311-036	276 SAMZAWITA RANCH LLC	23,675,000	\$4,900.00	\$2,575.00	31350.00	\$10.02.00
SS OSTRICH FERM CT	LAS VECAS		80123 RT-27-78-246	SORTH EREIL	\$157.00	2000	SECTION .	\$1,650.00	2000
HOT HOMETOWN!	MERSEASON.	<b>**</b>	59074 TS-09-713-046	CHESCA LLC	\$10.455.00	80.00	\$10,455.00	11.595.00	12.64.000
an brain ale	185 VEGAS		892 W-2-78-013	4720 BREKTEK LLC	\$7,645,30	\$0.00	\$7,465.00	***************************************	19,15,00
229 SUREY MEDOWS NY. HENERSON		***	2002 118-19-112-018	2290 SHRREY MEADOWS, LLC	\$4,849.00	<b>81.00</b>	\$9,849,00	\$1750.60	\$11,599,80
eds pertul captum dr	LAN VEGAS	****	80.518-12-413-058	8216 PEKEFUL CAKYOK, ILC	20.03	\$9.065.00	\$1,065.00	\$1650.00	20075.00
26 844 110 8 98			00-012-01-11 x1000	2561 KARSTHOR, LLC.	\$9,528.75	\$0.08	\$9.528.75	\$1700.00	#123.73
95.11 SETHA SUMME AVE		<b>**</b>	300 AP 400 AP 500 AP	953 SERRA SURVE LLC	39,441.00	\$	\$9,443.00	1,6900	#E83.00
2460 AVENDA CORTES	HENDERSON		W 8604 112 19 515-038	2460 AVENZA CORTES, U.C.	\$\$ 262.38	100	\$2.22	\$1,25.00g	\$9.512.33
207 exothem st	HENCESON		89074 773-01-819-046	KEP SAR, LLC	\$3,524,00	\$3,624.00	<b>31748</b> (8)	\$295.00	\$8.543.00
1992 COND FALLS AVE	LAS VEGAS	<b>200</b>	89723177-28-211-846	DOZEN PALS LE	<b>37.70.00</b>	8	\$6,285.88	\$1,250.00	30,555.00
THE CAPPAGE ARECOM			8904 178-04-310-052	BOR 17-04-312-02. IN EAST ME. II.C.	*******	20.00	\$250.00	\$2,350.00	38.300.00
5609 SAM ARDO PL	AS WEEK		3910 134 00 214 001	311 208 855 655	200	\$9,202.88	26,202,00	3,200,00	20,400.00
1183 CLONCRIME CT	L&S ¥£6.£S	2	123-515-47-113-631	BECORDER IC	\$1,628,74	\$6,380,30	\$\$.050.7¢	\$2,53.86	\$1050X
Anisswell cese	NOT RECLUBING COSTS	88	<b>2</b>	Fee Ameral	\$0.80	88	2000	\$1556.03	\$1556.00
Lisa & Kammen	NO WALKING COSTS	8	¥.**	Be knount	<b>*</b>	\$0.00	200	1176400	X.74.00
		:		Selection Comme	A. 36 / AL.	629 BEE SH	#138.206.256 #22.026.85K #407.200.05	7.00 mmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm	

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

EXHIBIT "2"

OCT 11 2013

\*\*

2

4

5

ő

7

8

10

11

12

14 15

> 16 17

18 19

50

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

39

BEFORE THE NEVADA REAL ESTATE COMMISSION

GAIL J. ANDERSON, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY, STATE OF NEVADA,

Petitioner.

V8.

W. R. SCHULTE,

Respondent.

Case No. RES 12-06-30-400

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order

## FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

A hearing on the above matter was held on July 16-16, 2013 before the Nevada Real Estate Commission (Commission). W. R. Schulte (Respondent) personally appeared and testified at the hearing. Respondent waived his right to legal counsel and entered into stipulations with the State. Christopher Eccles, Deputy Attorney General, appeared on behalf of the State.

After hearing the testimony and arguments, and having considered the evidence introduced and being fully advised, the Commission enters the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order. Under Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) and Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) Chapter 645, the Commission has legal jurisdiction and authority over this matter.

### FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon all the evidence and testimony presented during the hearing, the Commission finds that there is substantial evidence in the record to establish each of the following Findings of Fact:

- State's Exhibit 1, stamped 1-193, was admitted into evidence by stipulation.
- Respondent admitted allegations 1-23 in the Complaint for Disciplinary Action (Complaint).

JA 7

JA0293

Attention Constable Office

70

26

BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE COMMISSION [11]

Case No. RES 12-06-30-400

STATE OF NEVADA

GAIL J. ANDERSON, Administrator, REAL ESTATE DIVISION, DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS & INDUSTRY. STATE OF NEVADA.

Petitioner.

¥\$.

W. R. SCHULTE.

Respondent.

COMPLAINT AND NOTICE OF HEARING

The Real Estate Division of the Department of Business and Industry, State of Nevada ("Division"), by and through its counsel, Catherine Cortex Masto, Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Christopher Eccles, Deputy Attorney General, hereby notifies Respondent W. R. Schulte ("Respondent") of an administrative hearing before the Nevada Real Estate Commission ("Commission") which hearing will be held pursuant to Chapters 233B and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"). The purpose of the hearing is to consider the allegations stated below and to determine if the Respondent should be subject to an administrative penalty as set forth in NRS 645.633 and/or NRS 645.630 and/or NRS 622.400, and the discipline to be imposed, if violations of law are proven,

### JURISDICTION

Respondent was at all relevant times mentioned in this Complaint licensed as a real estate broker by the Division under license number 8.0026569 CORP, and as a property manager under permit number PM.0126569.BKR, and is therefore subject to the jurisdiction of the Division, the Commission, and the provisions of NRS Chapter 645 and NAC Chapter 645,

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

Respondent, at the relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, was licensed as a real estate broker, license number 8.0026569 CORP from January 4.1992, and the license expired, not renewed on December 31, 2012, and is currently inactive.

3

.

\$

ő

`>

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

30

21

22

33

24

- 2. Respondent, at the relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, was licensed as a property manager under permit number PM.0126569.BKR from June 15, 1999 to December 31, 2012, and the permit expired, not renewed on December 31, 2012, and is currently closed.
- Respondent is subject to the jurisdiction of the Division, the Commission, and the provisions of NRS Chapter 645 and NAC Chapter 645.
- 4. Respondent, at the relevant times mentioned in this Complaint, was the real estate broker and property manager for Sabreco, Inc., a Nevada corporation ("Sabreco").
- 5. On July 10, 2012, Melani Schulte filed a Statement of Fact with the Division regarding Respondent's involvement in misappropriating client money.
- 6. A Forensics Expert Witness Report dated June 18, 2012, provides that the total expected Sabreco security deposits for 180 properties is \$279,908, but since the ending balance in the security deposit trust account (ending 2994) was \$75,750.14, there is an apparent deficiency of at least 204,157.86.
- Respondent had signatory authority over the Sabreco security deposit trust account, operating account (ending 3000) and general account.
- 8. The Division received a Statement of Fact from Debra Dillon on August 2, 2012. Dillon owns real property located at 11062 Zarod Rd., Las Vegas NV 89135. Sabreco managed Dillon's property. The rent check that Respondent sent to Dillon for July 2012 was returned for insufficient funds. Dillon is owned approximately \$2,650.
- 9. The Division received a Statement of Fact from Thomas and Jeanne Molina on August 10, 2012. Molina owns real property located at 9379 Rowland Heights Ct., Las Vegas, NV 89178. Sabreco managed Molina's property. The rent check that Respondent sent to Molina for July 2012 was returned for insufficient funds. Molina is owed approximately \$1,320.

25

36

27

•

2

3

4

Š

*(* )

 $\gamma$ 

8

Ė

10

33

12

13

J.

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

 $^{\circ}A$ 

2.5

	10.	The Division received a Statement of Fact from Kevin and Eva Lowe on Augus
20,	2012.	Lowe owns real property located at 4719 Grand Ridge Ct., Las Vegas, NV 89147
Sab	reco n	panaged Lowe's property. The rent check that Respondent sent to Lowe for July
201	2 was	returned for insufficient funds. Lowe is owed approximately \$1,323 in rent. a \$1,700
seci	urity de	eposit, and a \$250 maintenance reserve.

- 11. The Division received a Statement of Fact from Bertran Wagnor on August 27, 2012. Molina owns four real properties located in Las Vegas at: 1830 N. Buffalo Dr., #2072; 794 Calico Vista Blvd., #207; 2451 N. Rainbow Blvd., #1158; and 6400 N. Charleston Blvd., #2004. Sabreco managed Wagnor's properties. Wagnor is owed approximately \$7,015 in rent, security deposits, and maintenance reserve.
- The Division received a Statement of Fact from Nathan and Judy Wagnor on August 29, 2012. They own two real properties in Las Vegas located at: 7624 Pacific Hills, Bldg. 39, Unit 104; and 2451 N. Rainbow Blvd., Bldg. 18, Unit 1120. Sabreco managed the Wagnor's properties. The Wagnors are owed approximately \$4,375 in rent, security deposits, and maintenance reserve.
- 13. The Division received a Statement of Fact from Steven Rogers on September 13, 2012. Rogers owns four real properties in Las Vegas located at: 1249 Pacific Terrace Dr.; 8321 Sky Canyon; 8245 Cactus Canyon; and 3821 Birchview. Sabreco managed Rogers' properties. Respondent sent several rent checks to Rogers that were returned for insufficient funds. Rogers is owed approximately \$5,670 in rent, security deposits, and maintenance reserve.
- The Division received a Statement of Fact from Charles Wells on September 20, 2012. Wells owns two real properties in Las Vegas located at: 1920 by Point, and 11057 Desert Dove. Sabreco managed the Wells' properties. Wells is owed approximately \$3,999 in rent, security deposits, and maintenance reserve.

26 27

28 II

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

7

8

9.

10

13

12

3 3

43

15

16

17

18

19

7()

71

22

23

1,3

35

26

27

28

- 15. The Division received a Statement of Fact from Nancy Shapiro and Joe McIntyre on October 11, 2012. They owned real property located at 2704 Cool Lilac in Henderson. NV. Sabreco managed their property. They are owed approximately \$4,270.50 in rent and
- The Division received a Statement of Fact from Dimitrios Demopoulos on November 13, 2012. Demopoulos was the tenant at 3681 Spring Day Court, Las Vegas, NV 89147. On August 30, 2012, Demopoulos gave Sabreco his 30 day notice to vacate, but he was told that they no longer managed this property. Sabreco did not return Demopolios's \$1,395 security deposit.
- 17. Respondent pre-signed checks for the operating account and the security deposit account.
- 8 Respondent and his bookkeeper had access to pre-signed checks for the operating account and the security deposit account.
- Respondent gave his bookkeeper authority over banking activities such as 19. posting of funds received, depositing funds in the bank, disbursing checks to owners and vendors, preparing reconciliations of the accounts.
- 20. Respondent failed to supervise his bookkeeper and the operation of his business.
- 21. Respondent did not submit annual reconciliations to the Division for the years 2009, 2010, and 2011.
  - 22. Respondent did not balance the trust account at least monthly.
  - 23 Respondent did not remit money to clients as described hereinabove.
  - 24. Respondent actions constitute gross negligence or incompetence

### VIOLATIONS

Respondent has committed the following violations of law:

25. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Debra Dillon.

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

į

2

3

ş,

5

6

7

Ŋ

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

14

25

"A

	26.	Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Thomas and
and the same of the last	Jeänne Molin	<b>3</b> .

- 27. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Kevin and Eva Lowe.
- 28. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Bertran Wagnor.
- 29. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Nathan and Judy Wagnor.
- 30. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Steven. Rogers.
- 31. Respondent violated NRS 845.830(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Charles. Wells.
- 32. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Nancy Shapiro and Joe McIntyre.
- 33. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(f) by failing to remit money to Dimitrios Demopoulos
- 34. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(g)(1) by failing to balance Sabreco's trust account at least monthly.
- 35. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(g)(2) by failing to submit to the Division an annual accounting of Sabreco's trust account for the year 2009.
- 36 Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(g)(2) by failing to submit to the Division an annual accounting of Sabreco's trust account for the year 2010.
- 37. Respondent violated NRS 645.630(1)(g)(2) by failing to submit to the Division an annual accounting of Sabreco's trust account for the year 2011.
- 38. Respondent violated NRS 645,633(1)(h) pursuant to NAC 645,605(1) and (6) by his grossly negligent or incompetent actions.
- 39. Respondent violated NAC 645.600(1) by failing to supervise his bookkeeper and / or failing to supervise the operation of his business.

À

S. Wasnay Conserving Diffee

25

2017-10-25

### **DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED**

- 40. Pursuant to NRS 645.630 and NRS 645.633, the Commission is empowered to impose an administrative fine of up to \$10,000 per violation against Respondent and further to suspend, revoke or place conditions on the license of Respondent.
- 41 Additionally, under NRS Chapter 622, the Commission is authorized to impose costs of the proceeding upon Respondent, including investigative costs and attorney's fees, if the Commission otherwise imposes discipline on Respondent.
- 42. Therefore, the Division requests that the Commission take such disciplinary action as it deems appropriate under the circumstances.

### NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that a disciplinary hearing has been set to consider the Administrative Complaint against the above-named Respondent in accordance with Chapters 233B and 645 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and Chapter 645 of the Nevada Administrative Code.

THE HEARING WILL TAKE PLACE on July 16, 2013 commencing at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the Commission is able to hear the matter, at The Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Room 4401, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 and via video conference to The Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Room 3137, Carson City, Nevada 89701. The meeting will continue on July 17, 2013 at The Grant Sawyer Building, 555 East Washington Avenue, Room 4401, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 and via video conference to The Legislative Building, 401 South Carson Street, Room 3137, Carson City, Nevada 89701, and on July 18, 2013 at The Grant Sawyer Building, Gaming Control Board, 555 E. Washington Avenue, Room 2450, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 and via video conference to The Gaming Control Board, 1919 College Parkway, Carson City, Nevada 89706.

STACKED CALENDAR: Your hearing is one of several hearings scheduled at the same time as part of a regular meeting of the Commission that is expected to last from July 16 through July 18, 2013. Thus, your hearing may be continued until later in the day or

Ó

Ø

from day to day. It is your responsibility to be present when your case is called. If you are not present when your hearing is called, a default may be entered against you and the Commission may decide the case as if all allegations in the complaint were true. If you need to negotiate a more specific time for your hearing in advance because of coordination with out of state witnesses or the like, please call Rebecca Hardin, Commission Coordinator (702) 486-4074.

YOUR RIGHTS AT THE HEARING: except as mentioned below, the hearing is an open meeting under Nevada's open meeting law, and may be attended by the public. After the evidence and arguments, the commission may conduct a closed meeting to discuss your alleged misconduct or professional competence. A verbatim record will be made by a certified court reporter. You are entitled to a copy of the transcript of the open and closed portions of the meeting, although you must pay for the transcription.

As the Respondent, you are specifically informed that you have the right to appear and be heard in your defense, either personally or through your counsel of choice. At the hearing, the Division has the burden of proving the allegations in the complaint and will call witnesses and present evidence against you. You have the right to respond and to present retevant evidence and argument on all issues involved. You have the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, and cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter retevant to the issues involved.

You have the right to request that the Commission issue subpoenas to compet witnesses to testify and/or evidence to be offered on your behalf. In making the request, you may be required to demonstrate the relevance of the witness' testimony and/or evidence. Other important rights you have are listed in NRS 645.680 through 645.990, NRS Chapter 233B, and NAC 645.810 through 645.875.

The purpose of the hearing is to determine if the Respondent has violated NRS 645 and/or NAC 645 and if the allegations contained herein are substantially proven by the

	,}	evidence presented and to further dete	ermine what administrative penalty is to be assesse	ď	
	2		uant to NRS 645.633 and/or NRS 645.630.		
	3	DATED this $\int \int f dx$ day of	<u> 10ne</u> , 2013.	and and and and and	
Abbitmey General's Oblice 555 E. William Suite 1960 Les V. AV-89101	4		State of Nevada	Phinappoologic	
	5		Department of Business and Industry Real Estate Division	APPENDENCE	
	6			***************************************	
	7		By Call J. Anderson, Administrator	***************************************	
	8		2501 East Sahara Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89104-4137		
	9		(702) 486-4033		
	10		CATHERINE CORTEZ MASTO Altorney General	************	
				established to the state of the	
	12		By Childh Tal	***************************************	
			ChristopKef Eccles Deputy Attorney General	***************************************	
	4	****	555 East Washington Ave., Ste. 3900 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	***************************************	
	15		(702) 486-3105 Attorneys for Real Estate Division		
	17				
				************	
	9				
	20			************	
	5.5			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	22				
	23				
	24			: !	
	25				
	26				

EXHIBIT "3"

JA0303

	PROPERTY ADDRESS	APN
1	9500 ASPEN GLOW DR, LAS VEGAS NV 89134-0134	138-19-515-038
2	2460 AVENIDA CORTES, HENDERSON NV 89074-6349	178-19-110-070
3	4710 BRENTLY PL, LAS VEGAS NV 89122-7588	161-22-710-013
4	7873 BRIDGEFIELD LN, LÁS VEGAS NV 89147-5099	163-21-617-059
5	509 CANYON GREENS DR, LAS VEGAS NV 89144	138-30-712-004
6	3322 CHELTENHAM ST, LAS VEGAS NV 89129-7227	138-08-810-124
7	3383 CLOVERDALE CT, LAS VEGAS NV 89117-3951	163-16-515-031
8	1624 DESERT CANYON CT, LAS VEGAS NV 89128-7900	138-21-415-018
9	3729 DISCOVERY CREEK AVE, NORTH LAS VEGAS NV 89031	124-30-710-098
10	1392 ECHO FALLS AVE, LAS VEGAS NV 89123-6377	177-26-211-146
11	1701 EMPIRE MINE DR, HENDERSON NV 89014-4081	178-04-310-052
12	9020 FEATHER RIVER CT, LAS VEGAS NV 89117-2367	163-08-213-045
13	1013 GOLDEN HAWK WAY, LAS VEGAS NV 89108-1841	138-26-214-039
14	4521 W LA MADRE WAY, NORTH LAS VEGAS NV 89031-0243	124-31-410-052
15	8562 LAMBERT DR, LAS VEGAS NV 89147-5269	163-21-418-003
1.5	276 MANZANITA RANCH LN, HENDERSON NV 89012-2186	178-20-311-036
17	2861 MARATHON DR, HENDERSON NV 89074-2497	177-13-210-013
18	5218 MISTY MORNING DR, LAS VEGAS NV 89118-0600	163-26-610-009
19	10317 NEOPOLITAN PL, LAS VEGAS NV 89144	137-25-612-074
20	956 OSTRICH FERN CT, LAS VEGAS NV 89123-4050	177-27-711-248
21	8216 PEACEFUL CANYON DR, LAS VEGAS NV 89128-7926	138-21-415-056
22	6091 PUMPKIN PATCH AVE, LAS VEGAS NV 89142-0791	161-03-413-041
23	5709 RIDGETREE AVE, LAS VEGAS NV 89107-1529	138-25-316-008
24	5524 ROCK CREEK LN, LAS VEGAS NV 89130-1956	125-36-110-041
25	922 SADDLE HORN DR, HENDERSON NV 89002-9536	179-28-411-023
26	5609 SAN ARDO PL, LAS VEGAS NV 89130-5187	138-01-216-001
27	9521 SIERRA SUMMIT AVE, LAS VEGAS NV 89134-0113	138-19-610-008
28	1528 SPLINTER ROCK WAY, NORTH LAS VEGAS NV 89031-1617	124-28-314-011
29	1194 STORMY VALLEY RD, LAS VEGAS NV 89123-3171	177-22-612-037
30	2290 SURREY MEADOWS AVE, HENDERSON NV 89052-2335	178-19-712-019
31	2614 SWEET LEILANI AVE, NORTH LAS VEGAS NV 89031-0693	124-29-615-080
32	2525 VIA DI AUTOSTRADA, HENDERSON NV 89074-6381	178-19-111-022

2017-10-25 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

EXHIBIT "4"



Consumer Debtor Attorneys 403 S. Washington Street Post Office Box 1000 Shelby, North Carolina 28151-1000 Office: (704)487-9616 Fax: (888) 870-1647 Webpage: www.maxgardner.com

O. Max Gardner, III Atroniey at Law 🕒 Kerry L. Balentine, Of Courisel

### AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE ACCOUNT INFORMATION

To Whom It May Concern:

This shall serve as formal notice that the undersigned hereby authorizes O. Max Gardner III and MaxGardnerLaw, PLLC; and RR Compliance Consulting and Roberto Rivera, to assist in requesting information, providing notice of errors, negotiating in my behalf, delivering any necessary documents, and pursuing any claims pursuant to the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act and the Truth in Lending Act. The undersigned hereby requests and authorizes my current servicer, as well as any other servicer, to release or otherwise provide MaxGardnerLaw, PLLC and RR Compliance Consulting, any public and non-public information contained in my loan account, which may include but is not limited to: loan balances, final payoff statements, loan status, payment history, payment activity, and/or property information.

William R. Schutte
Loan No.:
Signature(s): William R. Schulte
State of Nevada County of Clark
This instrument was acknowledged before me on by William R. Schulte.
Notary

ACCENTRATE OF THE EARLY SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATE OF THE SERVICE OF



uro Melani Schalter, 9811 W Charleston Blyd, Ste 2/381, Luc Venni, NV 89117

29 September 2016

ln Re:

9500 ASPEN GLOW DR

LAS VEGAS NV 89134-0134

William R. Schulte, SSN:

Loan

Please be advised, that per our divorce and bankrupicy. Melani Schulte is solely responsible for the debt associated with the property. Therefore, I authorize you to communicate all information with her about the loan. Further, I authorize Melani Schulte's agents (including the employees and agents of the Law Offices Max Gardner, Max Gardner Law, PLLC, RR Compliance Consulting and the Law Offices of Amberlea Davis) to obtain, share, release, discuss, and otherwise provide to and with each other public and non-public personal information contained in or related to my morigage loan.

This information may include (but is not limited to) the name, address, telephone number, social security number, credit score, credit report, income, government monitoring information, loss mitigation application status, account balances, program eligibility, and payment activity of the Borrower. I also understand and consent to the disclosure of my personal information and the terms of any agreements under the Making Home Affordable or Hardest His Fund Programs by Servicer or State HFA to the U.S. Department of the Treasury or their agents in connection with their responsibilities under the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act.

Please send all mail directly to Meloni Schulte at 9811 W Charleston Blvd, Ste 2-351, Las Vegas, NV 89117. If you include my name on the mail, you must also include c/o Melani Schulte. You are authorized to make those changes immediately.

Sincerely,

William R. Schulte

State of Nevada County of Clark	
This instrument was acknowledged before me on	by William R; Schulte.
Notary	

**EXHIBIT "5"** 

### United States Postal Service® Application for Delivery of Mail Through Agent See Privacy Act Statement on Reverse

£	*******	# ## *** *****************************
1. Oate		
į		

in consideration of delivery of my or our (firm) mail to the agent named below, the addressee and agent agree, (1) the addressee or the agent must not like a change of address order with the Postal Service\*\* upon termination of the agency relationship, (2) the transfer of mail to another address is the responsibility of the addresses and the agent; (3) all mail delivered to the agency under this authorization must be prepaid with new postage when redeposited in the mails; (4) upon request the agent must provide to the Postal Service all addresses to which the agency transfers mail; and (5) when any information required on this form changes or becomes obsolete, the addressee(s) must file a revised application with the Commercial Mail Receiving Agency (CMRA).

NOTE: The applicant must execute this form in duplicate in the presence of the agent. his or her authorized employee, or a notary public. The agent provides the original completed signed PS Form 1583 to the Postal Service and relains a duplicate completed signed copy at the CMRA business location. The CMRA copy of PS Form PS 1583 must at all times be available for examination by the posimister (or designee) and the Postal Inspection Service. The addressee and the agent agree to comply with all applicable Postal Service rules and regulations relative to delivery of mail through an agent. Failure to comply will subject the agency to withholding of mail from delivery until corrective action is taken.

and the same to the state of the same of t

2. Name in Vision Applicant's stall Will Be Received for Delivery to Agent. (Complete a separate PS Form 1583 for EACH applicant. Spouses may complete and sign one PS Form 1583. Two items of valid identification apply to each spouse, include dissimilar information for either spouse in appropriate		32 Address to be Used for Delivery (Include PMB or #sign.) 9811 W CHARLESTON BLVD, STE #2-351		
gos)	e alkalan albu, earen.	36. CHY LAS VEGAS	13c State NV	3a. ZIP + 48 69117
4. Applicent authorizes delivery to and in care of:	***************************************	This authorization is extensive undersigned(s):	d in include restricted de	livery mad for the
a. Name.				
William R. Schulte				
b. Address (AG., 9811 W CHARLESTON BLVD, STE # smed, apt./sle. ro.)				
c. City at State (a. ZIP + 4				
As the real to the same of the	9117	and the second s	mentanistration est participation de la principal de la princi	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
ë. Name of Applicani WILLIAM R. SCHULTE		7a. Applicant Home Address (N 8552 NICE COURT		
8. Two types of identification are required. One must contain a private addressee(s). Social Security cards, credit cards, and birth the are unacceptable as identification. The agent must write in identification. Subject to vertication.	cemicales	76, City LAS VEGAS 78, Applicant Telephane Numba	NV	76. XIP + 4 89129
· 호,		9 Name of Firm or Corporation	30783243.11	
<b>\$</b> .		10a. Business Address (No., si	reel, spi/sle, no).	
Marie Marie Commission		106. Giy	10c. State NV	10a, ZIF+4
Acceptable identification includes: valid driver's license or state non-driver's identification card; armed forces, government, university, or recognized corporate identification card; presport, alien registration card or certificate of naturalization; carrient tease, managege or Deed of Trust; order or vehicle registration card; or a hours or vehicle insurance policy. A photocopy of your identification may be religiated by agent for verification.		10¢. Businees Telephone Numb	, , , ,	
		11. Type at Business		
12. If applicant is a firm, name each mumber whose mail is to be of minors receiving mail at their delivery address.)	delivered (All	names listed must have verifiable	e dentination. A guardi	en must usi the name
18, His CORPORATION, Give Names and Addresses of its Office	:/8	14. If business name (corporation name of county and state, a		en registered, give
Warning: The furnishing of false or misleading information on this imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including mailipie demages	form or omis	i gion of material information may r Stes),	esult profiminal sanction	ns (including lines an
15, Signature of Agent/Notary Public		16. Signature of Applicant (if fire	n or corporation, applica	lion must be signed

PS Form 1583, December 2004 (Page 1 of 2) (7830-01-000-9365)

This form on internet at www.usps.com®

# EXHIBIT "6"

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS



State of Nevada Office of the State Treasurer Nevada Uncialmed Property 555 E Washington Ave., Suite 4200 Lan Vegas, NV 89101-1070 Phone (702) 455-4140

Official Use Only - D	O NOT USE THIS SPACE
Claim \$ 6047910	
Date claim sont:	
Claim is: Approved	Denied
Fo: \$	Date
	Naministrator
Plasse note that our affice v fax at sumsii.	vili not accept original claim forms via
į.	

Website: http://NevadaTreasurer.gov CLAIM FOR PROPERTY PRESUMED ABANDONED MELANI SCHULTE 9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD STE 2-351 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 If you conducted business with the reporting entity or were associated with the address in socition A before, you may be entitled to in you concuted obstass wan the reporting string or were associated with the project. For additional documentation that may be needed, see alleghed instruction sheet. Prease allow up to 120 days for processing and payment. There is no cost to claim property reported to this agency. To get started:

1. Fill out sections 8 completely.
2. EACH CLAIMANT MUST SIGN IN SECTION C IN ORDER FOR THE CLAIM TO BE PROCESSED. Your signature(s) must its NOTARIZED If the claim amount is \$500.00 or greater. All claims containing stock or sale deposit bux CONTRIES must be signed in the presence of a notify public and notifized.
RETURN THE ORIGINAL CLAIM FORM TO THE ADDRESS LISTED AT THE TOP OF THIS CLAIM ALONG WITH EACH OF THE FOLLOWING: A copy of your government issued photo iD. A copy of your social security used or a document showing your name and social security number. If available, a cupy of any encument(s) showing proof you were associated with the address(es) fisted in section A 0,0000 Total Cash Claimed \$150,00 Total Shares Claimed Property ID Name of Business That Reported Property Property Cash Value | Propinty Description BARR OF AMÉRICA \$150,00 CKO1 - CASHIER'S CHECKS Address Associated with Procetty Name of Gungary) as listed with the State Treasurer SSNÍ UNKNOVAN UNKNOVAN. Relationship to Owner Listed in Section A: Owner Daytime Phone (702) 276-9904 Name(s): SCHILLTE MELANI SS# or FERMS: Mailing Aggressi 5011 W. CHARLESTON BLVD STE 2-351 EmphisieLanischie.Te.e1@GMAIL.COM City, State, Zip: LAS VEGAS NV 89117 Change of Audies of Hyour making violess changes, passe common our office immediately, otherwise, your threshold to making to the address titled above to Affilia vila Under penalties of parties, I certify that the information provided for this claim farm is time, and all supporting documentation presented is aither columns or true unalityed copies of the originals. Upon payment of this thaim, said chalmant will indemnify and sold harmless the State of Nevada. Officers and limployees from any distribute, distrib or losses of any kind resulting in playment of the above described property to the claimant under the provisions of Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) Chapter 120A Co-Owner's Signature Cisto and a Statistical Subscribed and swom balara ma this \_\_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_\_day of NOTABY STAKE \_Commission Excites Teachers' School Supplies Reimbursement Assesut Denation By onecking this box you are authorizing the Sists of Neveda to docate your Linctofmed Properly identified in the claim above, that is less

mus 5800, to the Teachers' Bohoot Supplies Reimbursement Administ per SR 133. Once your claim has been approved, the hinds will be \*Note" The entire amount of this claim under \$500 will be donated.



State of Nevada Office of the State Treasurer Nevada Unclaimed Properly 595 E Washington Ave., Suite 4200 Las Vegas, NV 89101-1070 Phone: (702) 486-4140

Website: http://www.NevadaTreasurer.gov

OFFICIAL USE ONI	LY - DO NOT USE THIS SPACE
Date Claim Sent: 9/27/	2016
Claim is:Approve	

Administrator

Pienze note that our office will not escapt claim

forms yla fax or emalf.

#### CLAIM FOR PROPERTY PRESUMED ABANDONED

ATTN: MELANI SCHULTE -SABRECO WR SCHU 9811 W CHARLESTON DLVD,

SUITE 2351 LAS VEGAS NV 89117

if you conducted business with the reporting orbity or were associated with the address in section A-below, you may be entitled to this property. Fig. additional documentalism that may be unused, see alleshed instruction single. Please allow up to 120 days for processing and payment. There is no exert to claim property reported to this agency. To get spread:

- FIN out syction B completely.
  EACH CLAIMANT MUST SIGN IN SECTION C IN ORDER POR THE CLAIM TO BE PROCESSED. Your signstom(s) must be NOTARIZED II the
  claim amount is \$500.00 or greater. All claims containing stack or safe depose too contents must be signed in the presence of a noticy public and colement.
- 3. RETRIN THE ORIGINAL CLAIM FORM TO THE ADDRESS LISTED AT THE TOP OF THIS CLAIM ALONG WITH EACH OF THE FOLLOWING:

  - A copy of your government beand photo IO

    A copy of your species accuracy and or a document aboving your name and such security number.

    If available, a copy of a document(a) showing topol that you were nescribed with the endepotiest listed in section A below,

The same of the sa	.0000		Total Cash Claimed	\$1,333.74
2.5 Principals Surgical International Name of Business That Reported Property			Prope	rty 1D: 590256448
114 Franklis Tax-Exempt Money Fund 3318 Quality Dr 18T Flr. Po 60X 2258 Bancho Cordova. Ca 96741-2258			Property Description MISC OUTSTANDING CHECKS	
Name of Oxida(s) SCHILLTE WILLIAM R	1	SDSAKA WAR Property CE RANCH RO IGA	LAS VEGAS, NV 89108-3700 LAS VEGAS, NV 89108-3700	SO AN
SCHIRTE MELANG  Name of Environs That Reported Property			***************************************	rty iD: 9953884653
Fund 1 referencent foreclosure review payment out buclaimed property, p o box 2258		, ,	Properly Description MISC CHITSTANGING CHECKS	
Farirale T, MN 65621 Name of Condu(s) SCHULTE VRLIAM R 6560	Address Ass	sixialed with Preparty	LAS VEGAS, NV 80129	60
SCHOLLE METWALERN	100000		OCTION	SÖ
Name of Beeness That Reported Proporty Fund 1 Independent Forect. Deute beview payment		Property Cash Value	Property Description	ny in: 6962844684
ger Unclamed Property. P o Box 2758 Paribault wassert		£900'00	MISC OUTSTANDING CHECKS	
Neme of Comer[e] SCHULTE WILLIAM R SEN SCHULTE MELANI SEN	Address Ass 8252 NISE S	seciated valla Property.	LAS VEGAS, NV 80129	\$0 50
Execute actions				1802
Relationship to Owner Listed in Section A: Corporate				
Hemie(s): ATTR: MEI.AM SCHULTE - SABRECO WR SC Melling Audress: 9811 W CHARLESTON BLVD, SUITE 2:		Sayline Phone:	(702)275-9904	***************************************
City, State, Zip: Las Vegas, NV 89117	**************	Email: MELANIK	THUXOZOGE	*************
Change of Address: If your mailing address changes, places cost above	actour office	annuclately; otherwise	, your check will be mailed to the act	trees toted
OST (Revision 2018)0015)				

## EXHIBIT 7

1	AFFIDAVIT OF MELANI SCHULTE
2	STATE OF NEVADA )
3	) 35.
4	COUNTY OF CLARK  Melani Schulte, being first duly sworn, deposes and states:
5	1. That I am a competent witness to testify to the matters contained herein and do so of my
6	own personal knowledge, except as to those items on information and belief, and as to
7	those matters I believe the same to be true.
È	2. I am the Plaintiff in this action and have read the above and foregoing Motion, and all
þ	factual statements set forth therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.
30	3. That I incorporate all factual statements herein as though restated in their entirety,
1.1	particularly the section entitled, "Statement of the Eacts" in this affidavit pursuant to
12	NRCP 10.
13-	FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NAUGHT.
14	DATED this 3 day of FEBRUARY, 2017.
15 16	nela Skille
17	MELANI SCHULTE
18	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this
19	3 day of February 2.2017.
20	Ciem Anceson Notice Page 1
21	NOTADY DUDLIC in A Service County and State
22.	TOTAL FORM III AND THE SAID COUNTY AND CHARLES COMMISSION IN
23	
24	
25	

# DISTRICT COURT FAMILY DIVISION CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA

The land Callette	and the state of t
MYAMA CAMMITU	Case No. <u>D12-459209</u> -D
Plaintiff/Petitioner	Dept
* William Schoolfe/	
Defendant/Respondent	MOTION/OPPOSITION FEE INFORMATION SHEET
Notice: Motions and Oppositions filed after entry of a f subject to the reopen filing fee of \$25, unless specifically	inal order issued pursuant to NRS 125, 125B or 125C are
Oppositions filed in cases initiated by joint petition may	be subject to an additional filing fee of \$129 or \$57 in
accordance with Senate Bill 388 of the 2015 Legislative	
Step 1. Select either the \$25 or \$0 filing fee in	
\$25 The Motion/Opposition being filed with OR-	n this form is subject to the \$25 reopen fee.
i k	h this form is not subject to the \$25 reopen
	ed before a Divorce/Custody Decree has been
entered.	d estable to adjust the granum of shild support
established in a final order.	d solely to adjust the amount of child support
The Motion/Opposition is for reconstruction.	sideration or for a new trial, and is being filed
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	it or decree was entered. The final order was
entered on  Under Excluded Motion (must specified)	, ,
13 Office excluded Motion (must speci	(y)
***************************************	······································
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in	the box below.
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in	······································
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  50 The Motion/Opposition being filed with \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being filed.	the box below. In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition.
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed wit \$57 fee because:  \$1 The Motion/Opposition is being fil \$2 The party filing the Motion/Opposition	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed wit \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being fil The party filing the Motion/Opposi- OR- \$129 The Motion being filed with this form	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. It is previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.  This subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed wit \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being fil  The party filing the Motion/Opposition  The party filing the Motion/Opposition  The Motion being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final opposition.	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. It is previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.  This subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed wit \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being file The party filing the Motion/Opposition  OR-  \$129 The Motion being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final or one-	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. It is previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.  This subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  So The Motion/Opposition being filed wit \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being file The party filing the Motion/Opposition The motion being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final of OR-  The Motion/Opposition being filing wan opposition to a motion to modify,	the box below. In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ad in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. It is not subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion order. It is form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed with \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being filed. The party filing the Motion/Opposition for the party filing the Motion/Opposition being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final opposition to a motion to modify, and the opposing party has already party has	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the add in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. It is previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.  It is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion addr.  If this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion id a fee of \$129.
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in \$50. The Motion/Opposition being filed with \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being filed. The party filing the Motion/Opposition for to modify, adjust or enforce a final or one.  \$129 The Motion being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final or one.  \$57 The Motion/Opposition being filing wan opposition to a motion to modify, and the opposing party has already passed.  Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step I and Step 3.	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. In the previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.  It is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion order.  If this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion id a fee of \$129.
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in  \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed with \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being filed. The party filing the Motion/Opposition for the party filing the Motion/Opposition being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final opposition to a motion to modify, and the opposing party has already party has	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. In the previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.  It is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion order.  If this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion id a fee of \$129.
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in \$50 The Motion/Opposition being filed with \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final of OR.  \$57 The Motion/Opposition being filing we an opposition to a motion to modify, and the opposing party has already passed. Add the filing fees from Step I and Step 1.	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the ed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. In the previously paid a fee of \$129 or \$57.  It is subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion order.  If this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion id a fee of \$129.
Step 2. Select the \$0, \$129 or \$57 filing fee in \$0 The Motion/Opposition being filed with \$57 fee because:  The Motion/Opposition is being filed with this form to modify, adjust or enforce a final of the motion of the Motion/Opposition being filing we an opposition to a motion to modify, and the opposing party has already passed as \$100.  Step 3. Add the filing fees from Step I and Step 1.  The total filing fee for the motion/opposition I \$100.  \$129 \$129 \$134.	the box below.  In this form is not subject to the \$129 or the sed in a case that was not initiated by joint petition. It is inference in the subject to the \$129 fee because it is a motion order.  Ith this form is subject to the \$57 fee because it is adjust or enforce a final order, or it is a motion id a fee of \$129.  Exp. 2.  In filing with this form is:

Electronically Filed 5/18/2017 11:22 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Atumb Shum

ORDER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Amberlea Davis

Nevada Bar Number: 11551
Law Offices of Amberlea Davis

415 S. Sixth St, Ste 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 440-8000

Email: Amber@ShelsMyLawyer.com

Attorney for: Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

MELANI SCHULTE,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

CASE: D-12-458809-D

DEPT: 1

WILLIAM R. SCHULTE,

Defendants.

# ORDER FROM APRIL 3, 2017 HEARING GRANTING FINAL JUDGMENT AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE

THIS MATTER came for a hearing on March 8, 2017 and an evidentiary hearing on April 3, 2017 regarding omitted assets and debts and to determine who bears responsibility for omitted debts that had not been determined at the trial pursuant to NRS 125.150(3) and if a fraud, misrepresentation or deceit component exists. Plaintiff Melani Schulte was present at both hearings and proffered testimony. At the March 8<sup>th</sup> hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney Randy Richards of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and attorney Amberlea Davis. At the April 3<sup>rd</sup> evidentiary hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney John Kelleher of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and Attorney Amberlea Davis. William R. Schulte filed an opposition, was at the March 8<sup>th</sup> hearing in proper person and proffered testimony. William R. Schulte failed to appear at the April 3<sup>rd</sup> evidentiary hearing.

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the

1

LAW OFFICES OF AMBERLEA DAVIS
416 S. 6th St., Ste. 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: 702.518-4377

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

Number D 40 450000 D

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

arguments of counsel and testimony, having heard the evidence, having been fully apprised as to the facts and matters herein, and for good cause appearing, hereby FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Court finds that Plaintiff met her burden by providing this court admissible evidence to support the requested relief.
- 2. The Court finds that the Plaintiff has proved up all requested damages.
- 3. The Court finds that William R. Schulte was the Licensed Broker and Permitted Property Manager for Sabreco, Inc ("Sabreco"). William R. Schulte as Broker of Sabreco executed leases for the LLCs listed in the individual judgments ("the LLCs"). [Exhibits 1-20]. Sabreco collected rents and security deposits on behalf of the LLCs and deposited those funds into Sabreco trust accounts for which William R. Schulte had sole signatory authority. From these trust accounts, Sabreco paid bank fees and William R. Schulte signed checks to pay Sabreco bills, paid monies to other clients or parties with the monies belonging to the LLCs. Sabreco failed to remit the monies collected for the LLCs to the LLCs. William R. Schulte failed to reconcile the Sabreco trust accounts and failed to file annual reports to the Nevada Real Estate Division ("NRED") for three consecutive years 2009-2011. William R. Schulte acted with fraud, misrepresentation or deceit when deliberately collecting, depositing, keeping and spending the funds belonging to the LLCs, and failing to disclose those wrongful acts to the LLCs and obfuscating that information by not filing mandatory reports.
- 4. In a previous contempt hearing the Court found that William R. Schulte was entrusted to run the daily operations of Sabreco during the divorce and his management resulted in a discrepancy in excess of \$200,000 for which William R. Schulte has not accounted.
- 5. The Court having considered and weighed the Brunzell factors the Court finds that Plaintiff's attorneys' fees were reasonable. Specifically, this was a complex case to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

prepare and argue; Attorney Davis had over 8 years of legal experience and more than 20 years of business experience and an MBA; Attorney Kelleher had more than 20 years as a divorce attorney; Attorney Richards has many years as a divorce attorney in Nevada; the attorneys performed all material work and provided oral arguments; prepared documentation and Plaintiff was successful on her motion.

- 6. The Court hereby orders that the individual judgments be entered against William R. Schulte as follows:
  - a. 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$9,612.38 for \$8,262.38 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,350.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 1]
  - b. KEEP SAFE, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$8,543.00 for \$7,248.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,295.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which KEEP SAFE, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the KEEP SAFE, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to KEEP SAFE, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate-(prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 2]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

c. 4710 BRENTLY, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$9,115.00 for \$7,665.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,450.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 4710 BRENTLY, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 4710 BRENTLY, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 4710 BRENTLY, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 3]

d. 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,260.00 for \$8,960.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,300.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment, [Exhibit 4]

e. 3383 CLOVERDALE, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,250.76 for \$8,000.76 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$2,250.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 3383 CLOVERDALE, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 3383 CLOVERDALE, LLC or Melani Schulte as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- f. 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$13,900.00 for \$12,400.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,500.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 6]
- g. 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$9,615.00 for \$8,365.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,250.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 7]
- h. 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,900.00 for \$8,550.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$2,350.00 collected which was collected by

# LAW OFFICES OF AMBERLEA DAVIS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sabreco for which 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 8]

- 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,400.00 for \$8,775.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,625.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 9]
- j. CHERISH, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$12,060.00 for \$10,465.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,595.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which CHERISH, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the CHERISH, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to CHERISH, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 10]
- k. 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,125.00 for \$8,575.00 of rents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,550.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 11]

- 1. 2861 MARATHON, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$11,228.75 for \$9,528.75 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,700.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2861 MARATHON, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2861 MARATHON, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2861 MARATHON, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 12]
- m. 1341 MINUET, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$12,402.71 for \$10,552.71 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,850.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 1341 MINUET, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 1341 MINUET, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 1341 MINUET, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2017-10-25

of the judgment. [Exhibit 13]

- n. 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,021.00 for \$8,571.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,450.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 14]
- o. 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,715.00 for \$9,065.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,650.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 15]
- p. 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$14,590.00 for \$13,440.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,150.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC was liable; Against William R.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 16]

- g. 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,400.00 for \$9,200.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,200.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 17]
- r. 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$11,093.00 for \$9,443.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,650.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT. LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 18]
- s. 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$11,599.00 for \$9,849.00 of rents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,750.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 191

- 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$12,110.00 for \$10,760.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,350.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 20]
- u. Melani Schulte shall be awarded \$1,556.00 against William R. Schulte for payments Melani Schulte made for the Arcizewski matter, with interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 21]
- v. Melani Schulte shall be awarded all reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred to prosecute this matter against William R. Schulte in the amount of \$22,000 with interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment, plus all additional attorneys

day of May 2017.

**Electronically Filed** 5/18/2017 2:36 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

**JUDG** 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Amberles Davis

Nevada Bar Number: 11551 Law Offices of Amberlea Davis

415 S. Sixth St, Ste 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 440-8000

Email: Amber@SheIsMyLawyer.com Attorney for: CHERISH, LLC and

Successor in Interest Melani Schulte

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

MELANI SCHULTE. 11

Plaintiff,

-VS-

WILLIAM R. SCHULTE,

Defendants.

CASE: D-12-458809-D

DEPT: I

### FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF CHERISH, LLC AND AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE

THIS MATTER came for a hearing on March 8, 2017 and an evidentiary hearing on April 3, 2017 regarding omitted assets and debts and to determine who bears responsibility for omitted debts that had not been determined at the trial pursuant to NRS 125.150(3) and if a fraud, misrepresentation or deceit component exists. Plaintiff Melani Schulte was present at both hearings and proffered testimony. At the March 8th hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney Randy Richards of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and attorney Amberlea Davis. At the April 3rd evidentiary hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney John Kelleher of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and Attorney Amberlea Davis. William R. Schulte filed an opposition, was at the March 8th hearing in proper person and proffered testimony. William R, Schulte failed to appear at the April 3<sup>rd</sup> evidentiary hearing.

1

2017-10-25

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS Case Number: D-12-458809-D 058

JA0327

LAW OFFICES OF AMBERLEA DAVIS 416 S. 6th St., Ste. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702.518-4377

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel and testimony, having heard the evidence, having been fully apprised as to the facts and matters herein, and for good cause appearing ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

- 28. The Court finds that Plaintiff met her burden by providing this court admissible evidence to support the requested relief. The Court finds that Plaintiff has proved up all requested damages.
- 29. The Court finds that William R. Schulte was the Licensed Broker and Permitted Property Manager for Sabreco, Inc. ("Sabreco"), William R. Schulte as Broker of Sabreco executed a lease for CHERISH, LLC. Sabreco collected rents and security deposits on behalf of CHERISH, LLC and deposited those funds into Sabreco trust accounts for which William R. Schulte had sole signatory authority. From these trust accounts. Sabreco paid bank fees and William R. Schulte signed checks to pay Sabreco bills, paid monies to other clients or parties with the monles belonging to CHERISH, LLC. Sabreco failed to remit the monies collected for CHERISH, LLC to CHERISH, LLC. William R. Schulte failed to reconcile the Sabreco trust accounts and failed to file annual reports to the Nevada Real Estate Division ("NRED") for three consecutive years 2009-2011. William R. Schulte acted with fraud, misrepresentation or deceit when deliberately collecting, depositing, keeping and spending the funds belonging to CHERISH, LLC, and failing to disclose those wrongful acts to CHERISH, LLC and obfuscating that information by not filing mandatory reports and not reconciling the bank statements monthly.
- 30. In a previous contempt hearing the Court found that William R. Schulte was entrusted to run the daily operations of Sabreco during the divorce and his management resulted in a discrepancy in excess of \$200,000 for which William R. Schulte has not accounted.

# LAW OFFICES OF AMBERIEA DAVIS 416 S. 61h St., Sic. 300

### TOTAL MONETARY JUDGMENT

CHERISH, LLC, the property owner, is awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$12,060.00 for \$10,465.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,595.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which CHERISH, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the CHERISH, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to CHERISH, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 6 day of May 2017.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

/s Amberlea Davis
Attorney Amberlea Davis

19 | Nevada Bar #11551

7.

ALBA 18 2011

CERTIFIED COPY
DOCUMENT ATTACHED IS A
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY
OF THE DOCUMENT ON FILE

2017-10-25

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

1	WRIT	
2	MELANI SCHULTE	
3	(Name) 9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 (Address)	
4	LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (City, State, Zip Code)	
5	702-275-9904	
6	(Telephone Number) MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM	
7	(E-mail Address)  ⊠ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant, In Proper Person	
	Z I minth    Codinoronimain, in 110po, 1010011	
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC	CT COURT
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	'ADA
10		
11	MELANI SCHULTE	Case No.: D-12-458809-D Dept. No.:
12	Plaintiff(s),	<u>I</u>
13	vs.	
14	WILLIAM R.SCHULTE	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
15	Defendant(s).	This WRIT must be answered, signed and returned to:
16 17	THE STATE OF NEVADA TO:	Office of the Ex-Officio Constable 301 E. Clark Avenue, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101
18	CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION, 2625 N. TENAYA WAY, LAS VEGAS	
19	You are hereby notified that you are attached as garnish	hee in the above-entitled action, and you
20	are commanded not to pay any debt from yourself to WILLIAM R	R. SCHULTE
21	, Defendant(s), and that	t you must retain possession and control of
22	all personal property, money, credits, debts, effects, and choses	s in action of said Defendant(s) in order that
23	the same may be dealt with according to law; where such prope	erty consists of wages, salaries,
24	commissions or bonuses, the amount you shall retain shall be in	n accordance with 15 U.S. Code 1673 and
25	Nevada Revised Statutes 31,295.	
26	Plaintiff believes that you have property, money, credi	ts, debts, effects, and choses in action in
27	your hands and under your custody and control belonging to sa	nid Defendant(s), more particularly
28	described as:	

© 2011 Clark Sounty Givil Law Self-Helo Cenier

1	CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION, 2625 N. TENAYA WAY, LAS VEGAS, NV 89128. BANK ACCOUNTS-CHECKING
2	SAVINGS, MONEY MARKET, SAFE DEPOSIT. WILLIAM R. SCHULTE SS#
3	WAS ENTERED FOR THE AMOUNTS DUE UNDER ORDER ENTERED MAY 18, 2017, BY THE DISTRICT COURT FOR
4	"FINAL JUDGMENT IN FÁVOR OF CHERÍSH, LLC AND AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE.
5	YOU ARE REQUIRED within 20 days from the date of service of this Writ of Garnishment to
6	answer the interrogatories set forth herein and forward such answer to the office of the Sheriff or
7	Constable which issued the Writ of Garnishment. In case of your failure to answer the interrogatories
8	within 20 days, a Judgment by Default will be entered against you for:
9	(a) The amount demanded in the Writ of Garnishment or the value of the property described in
0	the writ, as the case may be; or
1	(b) If the garnishment is pursuant to NRS 31.291, the amount of the lien created pursuant to that
12	section, which amount or property must be clearly set forth in the Writ of Garnishment.
13	IF YOUR ANSWERS TO the interrogatories indicate that you are the employer of the
14	Defendant(s), this Writ of Garnishment shall be deemed to CONTINUE FOR 120 DAYS or until the
15	amount demanded in the attached Writ of Execution is satisfied, whichever occurs earlier.
16	YOU ARE FURTHER DIRECTED to forward all funds due to the Defendant(s) each payday
17	in the future, UP TO 120 DAYS, less any amount which is exempt and less \$3.00 per pay period (not to
18	exceed \$12.00 per month) which you may retain as a fee for compliance. The \$3.00 fee does not apply to
19	the first pay period covered by this Writ of Garnishment.
20	YOU ARE FURTHER REQUIRED to serve a copy of your answers to the interrogatories on
21	Plaintiff and Defendant(s) at the addresses listed below.
22	Issuediat direction of (sign and check one):  SHERIFF/CONSTABLE - CLARK COUNTY
23	Mila JChill   S. Lang P #9599   Date
24	MELANI SCHULTE,9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE2-351, LAS VEGAS, NV 89117
25	Name and address of Plaintiff or Counterclaimant
26	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE, 8252 NICE CT, LAS VEGAS, NV 89129 Name and address of Defendant(s)
27	
28	

1	STATE OF NEVADA )
2	) ss: COUNTY OF CLARK )
3	The undersigned being duly sworn states that I received the within WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
4	on the day of, 20, and personally served the same on the day
5	of, 20 in the same manner as provided by rule of court or law of this
6	state for the service of a summons in a civil action, and I tendered the statutory fee of \$5.00 to
7	at
8	, City of, County of
9	, State of Nevada,
0	By:
1	Title
2	INTERROGATORIES TO BE ANSWERED BY THE GARNISHEE AND SIGNED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY:
3	I. Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s)
4	, or either of them, either in property or money, and is the
5	debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.
6	Answer: No
7	
8	
9	
0.	
:1	2. Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the length of your pay period and the
2	amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during
:3	a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishment,
:4	which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor
25	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time the earnings are payable multiplied
26	by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount required by law to be withheld.
27	Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the following):
28	

	The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:	
	[D] Monthly:	
	(1) Gross Earnings	\$
	(2) Deductions required by law (not including child support)	\$
	(3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1]	\$
	(4) Federal Minimum Wage	\$
	(5) Multiply line 4 by 50	\$
	(6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the le	etter selected above:
	[A] Multiply line 5 by 1	\$
	[B] Multiply line 5 by 2	\$
	[C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24	\$
	[D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12	\$
	In 1 wanted into a of an una rient article of the	*
	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	\$
	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.	\$
	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2	\$
Ar — — — — — 3. D	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.  Inswer: NO	\$25% of the disposable earnings trol, on the date the Writ of
Ar — — — 3. D	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.  Inswer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your comparable from the contract of the contrac	\$
Ar — — — 3. D	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.  Inswer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your comparnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, genoses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which E	\$
Ar — — — 3. D G el	This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.  Inswer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your comparishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, genoses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which Defendants, and state fully all particulars.	\$
Ar — — — 3. D G el	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.  Inswer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your comparnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, genoses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which E	\$
Ar — — — 3. D G el	This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.  Inswer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your comparishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, genoses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which Defendants, and state fully all particulars.	\$
Ar — — — 3. D G el	This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2 line 3.  Inswer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your comparishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, genoses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which that its value, and state fully all particulars.  Inswer: NO	\$

1	1	
1	4.	Do you know of any debts owing to the Defendant(s), whether due or not due, or any money,
2		property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or choses in action, belonging to Defendant(s) or in
3		which Defendant(s) is/are interested, and now in the possession or under the control of others? If so,
4		state all particulars.
5		Answer: No
6		
7		
8		
9		
0	5.	Are you a financial institution with a personal account held by one or all of the Defendants? If so,
1		state the account number and the amount of money in the account which is subject to garnishment.
2		As set forth in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 (76th Sess. 2011), \$2,000 or the entire amount in the
3		account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment if the financial institution reasonably
4		identifies that an electronic deposit of money has been made into the account within the immediately
5		preceding 45 days which is exempt from execution, including, without limitation, payments of money
6		described in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 or, if no such deposit has been made, \$400 or the entire
7		amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment, unless the garnishment is for
8		the recovery of money owed for the support of any person. The amount which is not subject to
9		garnishment does not apply to each account of the Judgment debtor, but rather is an aggregate amount
20		that is not subject to garnishment.
21		Answer: No. He closed his account out on
22		Answer: No. He closed his account out on 09/13/17 and stated was moving to Minnesota.  Writ was received 09/14/17 at 9:00 a.m.
23		Writ was received 09/14/17 at 9:00 a.m.
24		
25		
26	6.	State your correct name and address, or the name and address of your attorney upon whom written
27		notice of further proceedings in this action may be served.
28		Answer: Ardith Russell, Financial Operations, Clark County Credit Union

1	Clark County Credit Union
2	PO Box 36490
3	Las Veges, NV \$9133
4	
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the answers to the foregoing interrogatories by me subscribed are true and correct.
	Executed on the 14 day of the month of September of the year 2017.
6	Executed on the 17 day of the month of of the year 20_7.
7	_ Ardick Russell
8	Print name: Araily Kussell
9	Title: Financial Operations Specialist
10	
11	
12	NOTE: Under 31.297, if an employer, without legal justification, refuses to withhold the earnings of a
13	Defendant demanded in a WRIT OF GARNISHMENT or knowingly misrepresents the earnings of the
14	Defendant, the court may order the employer to appear and show cause why he should not be subject to the
15	following penalties:
16	(1) If the Plaintiff has received a judgment against the Defendant, an order to the employer to pay the
17	Plaintiff the amount of arrearages caused by the employer's refusal to withhold or his misrepresentation of the
18	Defendant's earnings.
19	(2) In addition, the court may order the employer to pay the Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount not to
20	exceed \$1,000 for each pay period in which the employer has, without legal justification, refused to withhold
21	the Defendant's earnings or has misrepresented the earnings.
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

# OFFICE OF THE EX-OFFICIO CONSTABLE LAS VEGAS

September 20, 2017

MELANI SCHUTLE 49811 W CHARLESTON BLVD 2-351 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

n the matter regarding:	
Case#:1	7LVTC030323
Defendant: WILL	IAM R SCHUTLE
We have served the court order in your the following reason(s):	case, but it could not be processed for
CLOSED ACCOUNT ON 09/13/1	7 PER INTERROG 09/20/17 CK
If new information becomes available contact this office. We appreciate your in the future.	in this case, please feel free to re- business and are ready to assist you
ni tile jature.	Sincerely,
	Office of the Ex-Officio Constable
Ву:	CELESTE K
	Office of the Ex-Officio Constable Clerk

301 E. Clark Avenue Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 455-4099 • Fax: (702) 385-2436 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

. 11	1	
1	WRIT	
2	MELANI SCHULTE (Nome and Bar Number ((fany))	
3	9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 (Address)	
4	LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (City, State, Zip Code)	
5	702-275-9904	
6	(Talephone and Facsimile Number) MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM	
7	(E-mail Address):  Attorney for (Name):	
8	☐ Plaintiff, ☐ Counterclaimant, or ☐ Third-Party Plaintiff, In	Proper Person
9		
	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC	CT COURT
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	/ADA
11		
12	MELANI SCHULTE ,	Case No.: D-12-458809-D Dept. No.: -
13	Plaintiff(s),	<u>1</u>
14	vš.	WRIT OF EXECUTION
15	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE ,	□ EARNINGS □ BANK ACCOUNT
16	Defendant(s).	OTHER PROPERTY
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE	OF NEVADA:
18	To the Sheriff of Clark County or the Constable for the Towns	nip of Las Vegas .
19	Greetings:	MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF
20	☐ To Financial Institutions: This judgment is for the reco	over of money for the support of a person.
21	On MAY 18 , 20 17 , a judgment wa	s entered by the above-entitled court in the
22	above-entitled action in favor of MELANI SCHULTE	, as Judgment
23	creditor and against WILLIAM R. SCHULTE	, as Judgment Debtor, for:
24	\$ 12,060.00 Principal,	
25	\$Pre-Judgmen	t Interest,
26	\$ Attorney's Fe	ees, and
27	\$Costs, makin	g a total amount of
28	\$ 12,060.00 The judgme	nt as entered, and
	Page 1 of 3 2017-10-25 Verified Petition - ERRF -	(Rev. 61-3612 DC 1YEB) MS 068

ì	WHEREAS, according to an affidavit or a memorandum of costs after judgment, or both, filed
2	herein, it appears that further sums have accrued since the entry of judgment, to wit:
3	\$ 0.00 Accrued Interest, and
4	\$ Accrued Costs, together with
5	Fee, for the issuance of this writ, making a total of
6	S As accrued costs, accrued interest and fees.
7	Credit must be given for payments and partial satisfactions in the amount of
8	\$
9	which is to be first credited against the total accrued costs and accrued interest, with any excess credited
10	against the judgment as entered, leaving a net balance of
11	s 12,060.00
12	actually due on the date of the issuance of this writ, of which
13	\$
14	bears interest at 6.25% percent per annum, in the amount of \$ 2.10 per day, from the date
15	of judgment to the date of levy, to which must be added the commissions and costs of the officer executing
16	this writ.
17	NOW, THEREFORE, SHERIFF or CONSTABLE, you are hereby commanded to satisfy this
18	judgment with interest and costs as provided by law, out of the following personal property of the
19	judgment debtor, except that for any workweek, 75 percent of the disposable earnings of the debtor during
20	that week or 50 times the minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor
21	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), and in effect at the time the earnings are payable,
22	whichever is greater, is exempt from any levy of execution pursuant to this writ, and if sufficient personal
23	property cannot be found, then out of the real property belonging to the debtor in the aforesaid county.
24	A JUDGMENT WAS ENTERED FOR THE AMOUNTS DUE UNDER ORDER ENTERED MAY 18, 2017,
25	BY THE DISTRICT COURT FOR "FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF CHERISH, LLC AND
26	MELANT SCHULTE, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE. THE COURT FOUND
27	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE ACTED WITH FRAUD, MISREPRESENTATION OR DECEIT WHEN DELIBERATELY
28	COLLECTING, DEPOSITING, KEEPING & SPENDING THE FUNDS BELONGING TO CHERISH, LLC.
İ	

1	You are required to return this Writ from date of issuance not less than 10 days or more than 60
2	days with the results of your levy endorsed thereon.
3	STEVEN D. GRIERSON SEAL
4	CLERK OF COURT
5	By: SEP 1 2 2017
6	Deputy Clerk WOODARD Date
7	Issued at the direction of:
8	(Signature) Make Check Payable To: Office of the Ex-Officio Constant
9	(Signature)   MCC   Constant   Office of the Ex-Officio Constant   Office of the Ex-Office   Office   Offic
10	☑ Plaintiff, ☐ Counterclaimant, or ☐ Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person Las Vegas, NV 8910
	Namo: MELANI SCHULTE 702-455-4099 Address: 9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 City, State, Zip: LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 PUT Case # & Name on Check
11 12	Phone: 702-275-9904 B-mail: MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM
13	SHERIFF OR CONSTABLE INFORMATION
14	AMOUNTS TO BE COLLECTED BY LEVY: RETURN:
15	NET BALANCE: \$ 12,060.00 Not satisfied \$_0
	Satisfied in sum of \$
16	Garnishment Fee: Costs retained \$  Mileage: Commission retained \$
17	Levy Fee: 30 Costs incurred \$
18	Sub-Total: 12/109 Commission incurred \$
19	Commission: 113.05Costs received \$
20	TOTAL LEVY: 12,222.05
21	REMITTED TO JUDGMENT CREDITOR:
22	<b>\$</b>
23	I hereby certify that I have this date returned the foregoing Writ of Execution with the results of the levy
24	endorsed thereon.
25	SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY or CONSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF
26	COMSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIE OF
27	By:
28	ARIU
	Page 3 of 3 (Rev. 61-05-3012 DC WED) 2017-10-25 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS 070

Electronically Filed 5/18/2017 2:29 PM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JUDG

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Ł

Amberlea Davis

Nevada Bar Number: 11551 Law Offices of Amberlea Davis

415 S. Sixth St, Ste 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 440-8000

MELANI SCHULTE.

Email: Amber@ShelsMyLawyer.com

Plaintiff,

-VS-

Attorney for: 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC and Successor in Interest Melani Schulte

DISTRICT COURT

10

11

12

13

14

LAW OFFICES OF AMBERLEA DAVIS

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

CASE: D-12-458809-D

DEPT: 1

WILLIAM R. SCHULTE,

Defendants.

### FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC AND AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE

THIS MATTER came for a hearing on March 8, 2017 and an evidentiary hearing on April 3, 2017 regarding omitted assets and debts and to determine who bears responsibility for omitted debts that had not been determined at the trial pursuant to NRS 125.150(3) and if a fraud, misrepresentation or deceit component exists. Plaintiff Melani Schulte was present at both hearings and proffered testimony. At the March 8th hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney Randy Richards of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and attorney Amberlea Davis. At the April 3<sup>rd</sup> evidentiary hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney John Kelleher of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and Attorney Amberlea Davis. William R. Schulte filed an opposition, was at the March 8th hearing in proper person and proffered testimony. William R. Schulte failed to appear at the April 3rd evidentiary hearing.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the arguments of counsel and testimony, having heard the evidence, having been fully apprised as to the facts and matters herein, and for good cause appearing ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

- 22. The Court finds that Plaintiff met her burden by providing this court admissible evidence to support the requested relief. The Court finds that Plaintiff has proved up all requested damages.
- 23. The Court finds that William R. Schulte was the Licensed Broker and Permitted Property Manager for Sabreco, Inc. ("Sabreco"). William R. Schulte as Broker of Sabreco executed a lease for 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC. Sabreco collected rents and security deposits on behalf of 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC and deposited those funds into Sabreco trust accounts for which William R. Schulte had sole signatory authority. From these trust accounts, Sabreco paid bank fees and William R. Schulte signed checks to pay Sabreco bills, paid monies to other clients or parties with the monies belonging to 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC. Sabreco failed to remit the monies collected for 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC to 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC. William R. Schulte failed to reconcile the Sabreco trust accounts and failed to file annual reports to the Nevada Real Estate Division ("NRED") for three consecutive years 2009-2011. William R. Schulte acted with fraud, misrepresentation or deceit when deliberately collecting, depositing, keeping and spending the funds belonging to 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC, and failing to disclose those wrongful acts to 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC and obfuscating that information by not filing mandatory reports and not reconciling the bank statements monthly.
- 24. In a previous contempt hearing the Court found that William R. Schulte was entrusted to run the daily operations of Sabreco during the divorce and his management resulted in a discrepancy in excess of \$200,000 for which William R. Schulte has not

accounted.

Ì

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### TOTAL MONETARY JUDGMENT

1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC, the property owner, is awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,900,00 for \$8,550.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$2,350.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment.

day of May 2017. IT IS SO ORDERED this

Submitted by:

Attorney Amberida Davis

Nevada Bar #11551

CERTIFIED COPY
DOCUMENT ATTACHED IS A
TRUE AND CONRECT COPY
OF THE DOCUMENT ON FILE

. 1			
1	WRIT		
2	MELANI SCHULTE (Name)		
3	9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 (Address)		
4	LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (City, State, Zip Code)		
5	702-275-9904 (Telephone Number)		
6	MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM		
7	(E-mail Address)  ⊠ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant, In Proper Person		
	⊠ Framitin/ □ Counterclaintain, in Proper Person		
8	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC	CT COURT	
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	/ADA	
10			
11	MELANI SCHULTE	Case No.: D-12-458809-D	
12	Plaintiff(s),	Dept. No.:	
13	1 1411111(3),		
	vs.		
14	WILLIAM R.SCHULTE ,	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT	
15 16	Defendant(s).	This WRIT must be answere signed and returned to:	
	THE STATE OF NEVADA TO:	Office of the Ex-Officio Consi 301 E. Clark Avenue, Suite	
17		Las Vegas, NV 89101	w
18	CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION, 2625 N. TENAYA WAY, LAS VEGAS	, NV 89128 , Garnisnee.	
19	You are hereby notified that you are attached as garnis	hee in the above-entitled action, and you	
20	are commanded not to pay any debt from yourself to WILLIAM I	R. SCHULTE	
21	, Defendant(s), and that	t you must retain possession and control of	
22	all personal property, money, credits, debts, effects, and chose	s in action of said Defendant(s) in order that	
23	the same may be dealt with according to law; where such prop	erty consists of wages, salaries,	
24	commissions or bonuses, the amount you shall retain shall be i	n accordance with 15 U.S. Code 1673 and	Í
25	Nevada Revised Statutes 31.295,		
26	Plaintiff believes that you have property, money, credi	ts, debts, effects, and choses in action in	
27	your hands and under your custody and control belonging to sa	aid Defendant(s), more particularly	
28	described as:		

© 2011 Clark County Civil Law Self-Fielo Cemer

Page I of 6 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

(Rev. 17-27-2911)

,	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY WAS THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY CHECKTRIC		
1	CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION, 2625 N. TENAYA WAY, LAS VEGAS, NV 89128. BANK ACCOUNTS-CHECKING		
2	SAVINGS, MONEY MARKET, SAFE DEPOSIT. WILLIAM R. SCHULTE SS#		
3	WAS ENTERED FOR THE AMOUNTS DUE UNDER ORDER ENTERED MAY 18, 2017, BY THE DISTRICT COURT FOR		
4	"FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC AND AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE.		
5	YOU ARE REQUIRED within 20 days from the date of service of this Writ of Garnishment to		
6	answer the interrogatories set forth herein and forward such answer to the office of the Sheriff or		
7	Constable which issued the Writ of Garnishment. In case of your failure to answer the interrogatories		
8	within 20 days, a Judgment by Default will be entered against you for:		
.9	(a) The amount demanded in the Writ of Garnishment or the value of the property described in		
0	the writ, as the case may be; or		
1	(b) If the garnishment is pursuant to NRS 31.291, the amount of the lien created pursuant to that		
12	section, which amount or property must be clearly set forth in the Writ of Garnishment.		
13	IF YOUR ANSWERS TO the interrogatories indicate that you are the employer of the		
14	Defendant(s), this Writ of Garnishment shall be deemed to CONTINUE FOR 120 DAYS or until the		
15	amount demanded in the attached Writ of Execution is satisfied, whichever occurs earlier.		
16	YOU ARE FURTHER DIRECTED to forward all funds due to the Defendant(s) each payday		
17	in the future, UP TO 120 DAYS, less any amount which is exempt and less \$3.00 per pay period (not to		
18	exceed \$12.00 per month) which you may retain as a fee for compliance. The \$3.00 fee does not apply to		
19	the first pay period covered by this Writ of Garnishment.		
20	YOU ARE FURTHER REQUIRED to serve a copy of your answers to the interrogatories on		
21	Plaintiff and Defendant(s) at the addresses listed below.		
22	Issued at direction of (sign and fleck one):  SHERIFF/CONSTABLE - CLARK COUNTY		
23	Musicular S. Lang P #9599   Date   Date		
24	MELANI SCHULTE,9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE2-351, LAS VEGAS, NV 89117		
25	Name and address of Plaintiff or Counterclaimant		
26	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE, 8252 NICE CT, LAS VEGAS, NV 89129 Name and address of Defendant(s)		
27	Hamic min address of Detendants)		
28			

) S	s:		
) s DUNTY OF CLARK )			
The undersigned being	duly sworn states that I rec	eived the within WRIT OF	GARNISHMENT
the day of		nd personally served the san	ne on the day
,2	0 in the same manne	r as provided by rule of cou	rt or law of this
te for the service of a summo	ons in a civil action, and I t	endered the statutory fee of	\$5.00 to
	the second secon	at	A STATE OF THE STA
	ν,	Title	
	bted to the Defendant(s)	March Code	
•			
	or either o	t them, either in property or	money, and is the
alo		duer State tuny an particula	
)			
)			
)			
)			
Answer: NO  Are you an employer of one	e or all of the Defendants?		ur pay period and the
Answer: NO  Are you an employer of one amount of disposable earning	e or all of the Defendants?	If so, state the length of you	or pay period and the esently earns during
Answer: NO  Are you an employer of one amount of disposable earning a pay period. State the min	e or all of the Defendants? ngs, as defined in NRS 31, imum amount of disposabl	If so, state the length of you 295, that each Defendant pre	or pay period and the esently earns during om this garnishment
Answer: NO  Are you an employer of one amount of disposable earning a pay period. State the min which is the federal minimum.	e or all of the Defendants?  ngs, as defined in NRS 31.  imum amount of disposablum hourly wage prescribed	If so, state the length of you 295, that each Defendant pro e earnings that is exempt fro	or pay period and the esently earns during om this garnishment deral Fair Labor
Answer: NO  Are you an employer of one amount of disposable earning a pay period. State the min which is the federal minimum Standards Act of 1938, 29	e or all of the Defendants?  ngs, as defined in NRS 31,  imum amount of disposabl  um hourly wage prescribed  U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effec	If so, state the length of you 295, that each Defendant pro e earnings that is exempt fro by section 6(a)(1) of the fec	or pay period and the esently earns during om this garnishment deral Fair Labor e payable multiplied
Answer: NO  Are you an employer of one amount of disposable earning a pay period. State the min which is the federal minimum Standards Act of 1938, 29 by 50 for each week of the	e or all of the Defendants?  ngs, as defined in NRS 31,  imum amount of disposabl  um hourly wage prescribed  U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effec	If so, state the length of you 295, that each Defendant pro- e earnings that is exempt fro by section 6(a)(1) of the fec- et at the time the earnings are	or pay period and the esently earns during om this garnishment, deral Fair Labor e payable multiplied
	The undersigned being the day of, 2 te for the service of a summon, State of Ne, State of Ne, State of Ne ENALTY OF PERJURY:	The undersigned being duly sworn states that I rectified the	The undersigned being duly sworn states that I received the within WRIT OF the

The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:	
[D] Monthly:	
(1) Gross Earnings	\$
(2) Deductions required by law (not including child support)	\$
(3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1]	
(4) Federal Minimum Wage	\$
(5) Multiply line 4 by 50	\$
(6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the l	etter selected above:
[A] Multiply line 5 by 1	\$
[B] Multiply line 5 by 2	\$
[C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24	\$
[D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12	\$
[D] whitipity time 3 by 32 and their divide by 12	
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	\$
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.	
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed	
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.  Answer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your cor	25% of the disposable earnings
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.  Answer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your cor Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects,	25% of the disposable earnings ntrol, on the date the Writ of goods, chattels, rights, credits o
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.  Answer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your cor Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which	25% of the disposable earnings ntrol, on the date the Writ of goods, chattels, rights, credits o
This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.  Answer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your cord Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which state its value, and state fully all particulars.	25% of the disposable earnings  ntrol, on the date the Writ of goods, chattels, rights, credits o Defendants are interested? If so
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3  This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.  Answer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your cor Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which	25% of the disposable earnings  ntrol, on the date the Writ of goods, chattels, rights, credits o Defendants are interested? If so
This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.  Answer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your cord Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which state its value, and state fully all particulars.	25% of the disposable earnings  ntrol, on the date the Writ of goods, chattels, rights, credits o Defendants are interested? If so
This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed from line 3.  Answer: NO  Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your cord Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which state its value, and state fully all particulars.  Answer:	25% of the disposable earnings  ntrol, on the date the Writ of goods, chattels, rights, credits o Defendants are interested? If so

1	1	
1	4,	Do you know of any debts owing to the Defendant(s), whether due or not due, or any money,
2		property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or choses in action, belonging to Defendant(s) or in
3		which Defendant(s) is/are interested, and now in the possession or under the control of others? If so,
4		state all particulars.
5		Answer: No
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	5.	Are you a financial institution with a personal account held by one or all of the Defendants? If so,
11		state the account number and the amount of money in the account which is subject to garnishment.
12		As set forth in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 (76th Sess, 2011), \$2,000 or the entire amount in the
13		account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment if the financial institution reasonably
14		identifies that an electronic deposit of money has been made into the account within the immediately
15		preceding 45 days which is exempt from execution, including, without limitation, payments of money
16		described in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 or, if no such deposit has been made, \$400 or the entire
17		amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment, unless the garnishment is for
18		the recovery of money owed for the support of any person. The amount which is not subject to
19		garnishment does not apply to each account of the judgment debtor, but rather is an aggregate amount
20		that is not subject to garnishment.
21		Answer: No. He closed his account out on 09/13/17
22		and stated was moving to Minnesota.
23		Writ was received 09/14/17 at 9:00 am
24		
25		
26	6.	State your correct name and address, or the name and address of your attorney upon whom written
27		notice of further proceedings in this action may be served.
28		Answer: Ardith Ryssell, Financial Operations, Clark County Credit Union
	II	n

	Clark County Credit Union PO Box 36490
	Las Veges, NV \$9133
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the answers to the foregoing interrogatories by me subscribed are true and correct.  Executed on the 14 day of the month of September of the year 2017.
	Ardit Russell
	(Cionatura of Carnishas)
	Print name: Araith RUSSell Title: Financial Operations Specialist
	NOTE: Under 31.297, if an employer, without legal justification, refuses to withhold the earnings of a
	Defendant demanded in a WRIT OF GARNISHMENT or knowingly misrepresents the earnings of the
	Defendant, the court may order the employer to appear and show cause why he should not be subject to the
	following penalties:
	(1) If the Plaintiff has received a judgment against the Defendant, an order to the employer to pay the
	Plaintiff the amount of arrearages caused by the employer's refusal to withhold or his misrepresentation of the
	Defendant's earnings.
	(2) In addition, the court may order the employer to pay the Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount not to
	exceed \$1,000 for each pay period in which the employer has, without legal justification, refused to withhold
	the Defendant's earnings or has misrepresented the earnings.
***************************************	

# OFFICE OF THE EX-OFFICIO CONSTABLE LAS VEGAS

September 20, 2017

MELANI SCHUTLE 49811 W CHARLESTON BLVD 2-351 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

In the matter regarding:		
Case#:	17LVTC030322	
Defendant:	WILLIAM R SCHUTLE	
We have served the court the following reason(s):	order in your case, but it could not be proces	ssed for
CLOSED ACCOUN	T ON 09/13/17 PER INTERROG 09/20/17 C	K
If new information becor contact this office. We ap in the future.	nes available in this case, please feel free preciate your business and are ready to as	e to re- sist you
in the ratale.	Sincerely,	
	Office of the Ex-Officio Consta	ble
	CELESTE K By:	
	Office of the Ex-Officio Constable	: Clerk

301 E. Clark Avenue Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 455-4099 ● Fax: (702) 385-2436 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

1	WRIT
2	MELANT SCHULTE (Name and Bar Number (if any))
3.	9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 (Address)
4	LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (City, State, Zip Code)
5	702-275-9904 (Telephone and Facsimile Number)
6	MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM
7	(E-mail Address)  Attorney for (Name):
8	☐ Plaintiff, ☐ Counterclaimant, or ☐ Third-Party Plaintiff, In Proper Person
9	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
11	
12	MELANI SCHULTE , Case No.; D-12-458809-D  Dept. No.: +
13	Plaintiff(s),
14	vs. WRIT OF EXECUTION  □ EARNINGS
15	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE , BANK ACCOUNT
16	Defendant(s).
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEVADA:
18	To the Sheriff of Clark County or the Constable for the Township of Las Vegas
19	Greetings:
20	☐ To Financial Institutions: This judgment is for the recover of money for the support of a person.
21	On MAY 18 , 2017 , a judgment was entered by the above-entitled court in the
22	above-entitled action in favor of MELANI SCHULTE , as Judgment
23	creditor and against WILLIAM R. SCHULTE, as Judgment Debtor, for:
24	\$Principal,
25	\$Pre-Judgment Interest,
26	\$ Attorney's Fees, and
27	\$ Costs, making a total amount of
28	\$ 10,900.00 The judgment as entered, and
	Page 1 of 3 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS (Rev., 01-44-10)2 DC WEID) 08

1	WHEREAS, according to an affidavit or a memorandum of costs after judgment, or both, filed
2	herein, it appears that further sums have accrued since the entry of judgment, to wit:
3	\$ 0.00 Accrued Interest, and
4	\$ 0.00 Accrued Costs, together with
5	\$ Fee, for the issuance of this writ, making a total of
6	As accrued costs, accrued interest and fees.
7	Credit must be given for payments and partial satisfactions in the amount of
8	\$0.00
9	which is to be first credited against the total accrued costs and accrued interest, with any excess credited
10	against the judgment as entered, leaving a net balance of
11	\$ 10,900.00
12	actually due on the date of the issuance of this writ, of which
13	\$
14	bears interest at 6.25% percent per annum, in the amount of \$ 1.90 per day, from the date
15	of judgment to the date of levy, to which must be added the commissions and costs of the officer executing
16	this writ.
17	NOW, THEREFORE, SHERIFF or CONSTABLE, you are hereby commanded to satisfy this
18	judgment with interest and costs as provided by law, out of the following personal property of the
19	judgment debtor, except that for any workweek, 75 percent of the disposable earnings of the debtor during
20	that week or 50 times the minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor
21	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), and in effect at the time the earnings are payable,
22	whichever is greater, is exempt from any levy of execution pursuant to this writ, and if sufficient personal
23	property cannot be found, then out of the real property belonging to the debtor in the aforesaid county.
24	A JUDGMENT WAS ENTERED FOR THE AMOUNTS DUE UNDER ORDER ENTERED MAY 18, 2017,
25	BY THE DISTRICT COURT FOR "FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC AND
26	MELANI SCHULTE, SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE. THE COURT FOUND
27	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE ACTED WITH FRAUD, MISREPRESENTATION OR DECEIT WHEN DELIBERATELY
28	COLLECTING, DEPOSITING, KEEPING & SPENDING THE FUNDS BELONGING TO 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC.

ı	You are required to return this Writ from date of issuance not less than 10 days or more than 60	
2	days with the results of your levy endorsed thereon.	
3	STEVEN D. GRIERSON COURT CLERK OF COURT	
4	CLERK OF COOK!	
5	By: 1/10 SEP 12 2017	
6	NICOLE WARD	
7	Issued at the direction of:	
8	(Signature) Make Check Payable	· To:
9	Attorney for (Name):  Office of the Ex-Officio Company of Third Party Plaintiff In Proper Person	onstable
10	Name: MELANI SCHULTE Address: 9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 LSS Vegas, NV 891	
11	City, State, Zip: LAS VEGAS, NV 89117   702-455-4099   Phone: 702-275-9904   Put Case # & Name on	Check
12		
13	SHERIFF OR CONSTABLE INFORMATION	
14	AMOUNTS TO BE COLLECTED BY LEVY:  NET BALANCE: \$ 10,900.00 Not satisfied \$ 6	
15	NET BALANCE: 9/0,900.00 Not satisfied \$_{\mathcal{D}}\$ Satisfied in sum of \$	
16.	and the state of t	
17	Mileage:Commission retained \$	
18	Sub-Total: 10919Commission incurred \$	
19	Commission:Costs received \$	l
20		
21	REMITTED TO JUDGMENT CREDITOR:	
22	\$	
23	If hereby certify that I have this date returned the folegoing with of Execution	
24		
25	SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY or CONSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF	
26		
27	7 By: Date	
28		
	Page 3 of 3 (Rev. 6) - 56-2013 DC WED)  Verified Petition - ERRF - MS 083	

2017-10-25

JA0352

-10-25

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

Electronically Filed 5/18/2017 11:22 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

Alexand, Line

ORDER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**Amberlea Davis** 

Nevada Bar Number: 11551
Law Offices of Amberlea Davis

415 S. Sixth St, Ste 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 440-8000

Email: Amber@SheIsMyLawyer.com

Attorney for: Plaintiff

DISTRICT COURT
CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

MELANI SCHULTE,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

CASE: D-12-458809-D

DEPT: 1

WILLIAM R. SCHULTE,

Defendants.

ORDER FROM APRIL 3, 2017 HEARING GRANTING FINAL JUDGMENT AGAINST WILLIAM R, SCHULTE

THIS MATTER came for a hearing on March 8, 2017 and an evidentiary hearing on April 3, 2017 regarding omitted assets and debts and to determine who bears responsibility for omitted debts that had not been determined at the trial pursuant to NRS 125.150(3) and if a fraud, misrepresentation or deceit component exists. Plaintiff Melani Schulte was present at both hearings and proffered testimony. At the March 8<sup>th</sup> hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney Randy Richards of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and attorney Amberlea Davis. At the April 3<sup>rd</sup> evidentiary hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney John Kelleher of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and Attorney Amberlea Davis. William R. Schulte filed an opposition, was at the March 8<sup>th</sup> hearing in proper person and proffered testimony. William R. Schulte failed to appear at the April 3<sup>rd</sup> evidentiary hearing.

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the

1

LAW OFFICES OF AMBERLEA DAVIS
416 S. 6th St., Ste. 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: 702.518-4377

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

arguments of counsel and testimony, having heard the evidence, having been fully apprised as to the facts and matters herein, and for good cause appearing, hereby FINDS and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Court finds that Plaintiff met her burden by providing this court admissible evidence to support the requested relief.
- 2. The Court finds that the Plaintiff has proved up all requested damages.
- 3. The Court finds that William R. Schulte was the Licensed Broker and Permitted Property Manager for Sabreco, Inc ("Sabreco"). William R. Schulte as Broker of Sabreco executed leases for the LLCs listed in the individual judgments ("the LLCs"), [Exhibits 1-20]. Sabreco collected rents and security deposits on behalf of the LLCs and deposited those funds into Sabreco trust accounts for which William R. Schulte had sole signatory authority. From these trust accounts, Sabreco paid bank fees and William R. Schulte signed checks to pay Sabreco bills, paid monies to other clients or parties with the monies belonging to the LLCs. Sabreco failed to remit the monies collected for the LLCs to the LLCs. William R. Schulte failed to reconcile the Sabreco trust accounts and failed to file annual reports to the Nevada Real Estate Division ("NRED") for three consecutive years 2009-2011. William R. Schulte acted with fraud, misrepresentation or deceit when deliberately collecting, depositing, keeping and spending the funds belonging to the LLCs, and failing to disclose those wrongful acts to the LLCs and obfuscating that information by not filing mandatory reports.
- 4. In a previous contempt hearing the Court found that William R. Schulte was entrusted to run the daily operations of Sabreco during the divorce and his management resulted in a discrepancy in excess of \$200,000 for which William R. Schulte has not accounted.
- 5. The Court having considered and weighed the Brunzell factors the Court finds that Plaintiff's attorneys' fees were reasonable. Specifically, this was a complex case to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

prepare and argue; Attorney Davis had over 8 years of legal experience and more than 20 years of business experience and an MBA; Attorney Kelleher had more than 20 years as a divorce attorney; Attorney Richards has many years as a divorce attorney in Nevada; the attorneys performed all material work and provided oral arguments; prepared documentation and Plaintiff was successful on her motion.

- 6. The Court hereby orders that the individual judgments be entered against William R. Schulte as follows:
  - a. 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$9,612.38 for \$8,262.38 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,350.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2460 AVENIDA CORTES, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 1]
  - b. KEEP SAFE, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$8,543.00 for \$7,248.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,295.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which KEEP SAFE, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the KEEP SAFE, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to KEEP SAFE, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 2]

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 c. 4710 BRENTLY, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$9,115.00 for \$7,665.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,450.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 4710 BRENTLY, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte: On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 4710 BRENTLY, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 4710 BRENTLY, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 3]

d. 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,260.00 for \$8,960.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,300.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 3322 CHELTENHAM LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 4]

e. 3383 CLOVERDALE, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,250.76 for \$8,000.76 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$2,250.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 3383 CLOVERDALE, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte: On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 3383 CLOVERDALE, LLC or Melani Schulte as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 the Successor in Interest to 3383 CLOVERDALE, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 5]

- f. 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$13,900.00 for \$12,400.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,500.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 3729 DISCOVERY CREEK, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 6]
- g. 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$9,615.00 for \$8,365.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,250.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 1392 ECHO FALLS, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 7]
- h. 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,900.00 for \$8,550.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$2,350.00 collected which was collected by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Sabreco for which 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 1701 EMPIRE MINE, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 8]

- i. 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,400.00 for \$8,775.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,625.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 9020 FEATHER RIVER, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 9]
- j. CHERISH, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$12,060.00 for \$10,465.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,595.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which CHERISH, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the CHERISH, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to CHERISH, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 10]
- k. 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,125.00 for \$8,575.00 of rents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,550.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC was liable: Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 276 MANZANITA RANCH LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 11]

- 1. 2861 MARATHON, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$11,228.75 for \$9,528.75 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,700.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2861 MARATHON, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte: On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2861 MARATHON, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2861 MARATHON, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 12]
- m. 1341 MINUET, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$12,402.71 for \$10,552.71 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,850.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 1341 MINUET, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 1341 MINUET, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 1341 MINUET, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- n. 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,021.00 for \$8,571.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,450.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 956 OSTRICH FERN, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 14]
- o. 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,715.00 for \$9,065.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,650.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 8216 PEACEFUL CANYON, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 15]
- p. 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$14,590.00 for \$13,440.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,150.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC was liable; Against William R.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 5524 ROCK CREEK, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 16]

- g. 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$10,400.00 for \$9,200.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,200.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 5609 SAN ARDO, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 17]
- r. 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$11,093.00 for \$9,443.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,650.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 9521 SIERRA SUMMIT. LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 18]
- s. 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$11,599.00 for \$9,849.00 of rents

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,750.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2290 SURREY MEADOWS, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 191

- 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC, the property owner, shall be awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$12,110.00 for \$10,760.00 of rents collected by Sabreco on behalf of the property owner but not paid to the property owner and the security deposit of \$1,350.00 collected which was collected by Sabreco for which 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC was liable; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by the 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC or Melani Schulte as the Successor in Interest to 2614 SWEET LEILANI, LLC; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 20]
- u. Melani Schulte shall be awarded \$1,556.00 against William R. Schulte for payments Melani Schulte made for the Arcizewski matter, with interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment. [Exhibit 21]
- v. Melani Schulte shall be awarded all reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred to prosecute this matter against William R. Schulte in the amount of \$22,000 with interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment, plus all additional attorneys

fees and costs in the future collection of these individual judgments and collectable by all lawful means. [Exhibit 22]

BASED UPON THE FOREGOING IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND

IT IS SO ORDERED ON this

day of May 2017.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

DECREED.

Attorney Amberica Davis Nevada Bar #11351

.....

**Electronically Filed** 5/23/2017 10:30 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

JUDG

١

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2017-10-25

Amberlea Davis

Nevada Bar Number: 11551 Law Offices of Amberlea Davis

415 S. Sixth St. Ste 300 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Phone: (702) 440-8000

Email: Amber@ShelsMyLawyer.com

Attorney for: Melani Schulte

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY NEVADA

MELANI SCHULTE,

Plaintiff.

-VS-

WILLIAM R. SCHULTE,

Defendants.

CASE: D-12-458809-D

DEPT: 1

### FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF MELANI SCHULTE AND AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE

THIS MATTER came for a hearing on March 8, 2017 and an evidentiary hearing on April 3, 2017 regarding omitted assets and debts and to determine who bears responsibility for omitted debts that had not been determined at the trial pursuant to NRS 125.150(3) and if a fraud, misrepresentation or deceit component exists. Plaintiff Melani Schulte was present at both hearings and proffered testimony. At the March 8th hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney Randy Richards of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and attorney Amberlea Davis, At the April 3rd evidentiary hearing, Melani Schulte was represented by attorney John Kelleher of the law firm Kelleher & Kelleher, LLC and Attorney Amberlea Davis. William R. Schulte filed an opposition, was at the March 8th hearing in proper person and proffered testimony. William R. Schulte failed to appear at the April 3<sup>rd</sup> evidentiary hearing.

The Court having reviewed the papers and pleadings on file herein, having heard the

JA0366

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

arguments of counsel and testimony, having heard the evidence, having been fully apprised as to the facts and matters herein, and for good cause appearing ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

- 1. The Court finds that Plaintiff met her burden by providing this court admissible evidence to support the requested relief. The Court finds that Plaintiff has proved up all requested damages.
- 2. The Court finds that William R. Schulte was the Licensed Broker and Permitted Property Manager for Sabreco, Inc. ("Sabreco"). William R. Schulte as Broker of Sabreco executed a lease for the Robert and Renee Arciszewski ("Arciszewskis"). Sabreco collected rents and security deposits on behalf of Arciszewskis and deposited those funds into Sabreco trust accounts for which William R. Schulte had sole signatory authority. From these trust accounts, Sabreco paid bank fees and William R. Schulte signed checks to pay Sabreco bills, paid monies to other clients or parties with the monies belonging to Arciszewskis. Sabreco failed to remit the monies collected for the Arciszewskis to the Arciszewskis. William R. Schulte failed to reconcile the Sabreco trust accounts and failed to file annual reports to the Nevada Real Estate Division ("NRED") for three consecutive years 2009-2011. William R. Schulte acted with fraud, misrepresentation or deceit when deliberately collecting, depositing, keeping and spending the funds belonging to the Arciszewskis, and failing to disclose those wrongful acts to the Arciszewskis and obfuscating that information by not filing mandatory reports and not reconciling the bank statements monthly.
- 3. The Arciszewskis received a judgment against Melani Schulte for the amount of \$1,556.00 for these acts committed by Sabreco and William R. Schulte in Small Claims court case 14-A-003291.
- 4. In a previous contempt hearing the Court found that William R. Schulte was entrusted

to run the daily operations of Sabreco during the divorce and his management resulted in a discrepancy in excess of \$200,000 for which William R. Schulte has not accounted.

### TOTAL MONETARY JUDGMENT

Melani Schulte, is awarded a monetary judgment: In the amount of \$1,556 for the return of rents, tenant security deposit and owner's deposit collected by Sabreco and William R. Schulte on behalf of the Arciszewskis but not paid to the Arciszewskis and for which Melani Schulte had to pay; Against William R. Schulte; On the grounds of fraud, misrepresentation or deceit; collectable by all lawful means by Melani Schulte; and With interest to begin accruing at the legal rate (prime rate plus 2%) on the date following the entry of the judgment:

IT IS SO ORDERED this 6 day of May 2017.

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Submitted by:

/s Amberlea Davis

Nevada Bar #11551

Attorney Amberlea Davis

AUG 18 2017

CERTIFIED COPY
DOCUMENT ATTACHED IS A
TRUE AUDICORRECT COPY
DE THE DOCUMENT ON FILE

# LAW OFFICES OF AMBERLEA DAVIS 416 S. 6th St., Stc. 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702.518-4377

## Exhibit 4 Writs - CCSD

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

	٠
~ 100	
4	

	WRIT	
2	MELANI SCHULTE	
3	(Name) 9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 (Address)	This WRIT must be answered.
4	LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (City, State, Zip Code)	signed and returned to: Office of the Ex-Officio Constable
5	702-275-9904 (Telephone Number)	301 E. Clark Avenue, Sulte 100
6	MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM	Las Vegas, NV 89101
7	(E-mail Address)  ⊠ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant, In Proper Person	
8		··
9	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC	
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	/ADA
11	MELANI SCHULTE ,	Case No.: D-12-458809-D
12	Plaintiff(s),	Dept. No.:
13	vs.	
14	WILLIAM R.SCHULTE ,	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
15 16	Defendant(s).	
17	THE STATE OF NEVADA TO:	
18	CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, 5100 W. SAHARA AVE., LAS V	EGAS, NV 89146 , Garnishee.
19	You are hereby notified that you are attached as garnis	hee in the above-entitled action, and you
20	are commanded not to pay any debt from yourself to WILLIAM	R. SCHULTE
21	, Defendant(s), and tha	t you must retain possession and control of
22	all personal property, money, credits, debts, effects, and chose	s in action of said Defendant(s) in order that
23	the same may be dealt with according to law; where such prop	erty consists of wages, salaries,
24	commissions or bonuses, the amount you shall retain shall be i	in accordance with 15 U.S. Code 1673 and
25	Nevada Revised Statutes 31.295.	
26	Plaintiff believes that you have property, money, cred	its, debts, effects, and choses in action in
27	your hands and under your custody and control belonging to sa	aid Defendant(s), more particularly
28	described as:	

© 2011 Clark County Civil Law Self-Hoto Confer Page 1 of 6 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

(Rev. 12-27-1011)

1	CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, 5100 W. SAHARA AVE., LAS VEGAS, NV 89146. WAGES, SALARY,
2	COMMISSIONS, BENEFITS OF WILLIAM R. SCHULTE SS# AT PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT. A JUDGMENT
3	WAS ENTERED FOR THE AMOUNTS DUE UNDER ORDER ENTERED MAY 23, 2017, BY THE DISTRICT COURT FOR
4	"FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF MELANI SCHULTE AND AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE.
5	YOU ARE REQUIRED within 20 days from the date of service of this Writ of Garnishment to
6	answer the interrogatories set forth herein and forward such answer to the office of the Sheriff or
7	Constable which issued the Writ of Garnishment. In case of your failure to answer the interrogatories
8	within 20 days, a Judgment by Default will be entered against you for:
9	(a) The amount demanded in the Writ of Garnishment or the value of the property described in
10	the writ, as the case may be; or
11	(b) If the garnishment is pursuant to NRS 31.291, the amount of the lien created pursuant to that
12	section, which amount or property must be clearly set forth in the Writ of Garnishment.
13	IF YOUR ANSWERS TO the interrogatories indicate that you are the employer of the
14	Defendant(s), this Writ of Garnishment shall be deemed to CONTINUE FOR 120 DAYS or until the
15	amount demanded in the attached Writ of Execution is satisfied, whichever occurs earlier.
16	YOU ARE FURTHER DIRECTED to forward all funds due to the Defendant(s) each payday
17	in the future, UP TO 120 DAYS, less any amount which is exempt and less \$3.00 per pay period (not to
18	exceed \$12,00 per month) which you may retain as a fee for compliance. The \$3.00 fee does not apply to
19	the first pay period covered by this Writ of Garnishment.
20	YOU ARE FURTHER REQUIRED to serve a copy of your answers to the interrogatories on
21	Plaintiff and Defendant(s) at the addresses listed below.
22	Issued at direction of (sign and check one):  SHERIFF/CONSTABLE - CLARK COUNTY
23	Marie Courter   Eric Holyoak P #9590   Date
24	MELANI SCHULTE,9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE2-351, LAS VEGAS, NV 89117
25	Name and address of Plaintiff or Counterclaimant
26	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE, 8252 NICE CT, LAS VEGAS, NV 89129 Name and address of Defendant(s)
27	
28	

S	STATE OF NEVADA ) ) ss:			
C	COUNTY OF CLARK )			
	The undersigned being duly sw	orn states that I	received the within WRIT O	F GARNISHMENT
on	on theday of	, 20	and personally served the s	ame on the day
of	of, 20	in the same man	ner as provided by rule of co	ourt or law of this
sta	state for the service of a summons in a	civil action, and	I tendered the statutory fee o	f \$5.00 to
		erent har service	at	
			, City of	, County of
	, State of Nevada.			
		By:	Title	
		· <b>27</b>	Title	
PI	INTERROGATORIES TO BE ANS PENALTY OF PERJURY:			ž.
∥i,	I. Are you in any manner indebted to t	he Defendant(s)	William S	chulte
		, or either	of them, either in property	or money, and is the
	debt now due? If not due, when is the Answer:	<u> </u>		_
2.	2. Are you an employer of one or all o			
	amount of disposable carnings, as d		`	•
	a pay period. State the minimum as	nount of dispose	ble earnings that is exempt t	rom this garnishment,
	which is the federal minimum hour	ly wage prescrib	ed by section 6(a)(1) of the f	ederal Fair Labor
	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. §	206(a)(1), in eff	ect at the time the earnings a	re payable multiplied
	by 50 for each week of the pay peri	od, after deducti	ng any amount required by I	aw to be withheld.
	Calculate the attachable amount	nt as follows (ch	eck one of the following):	
11				

1	The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly: X	, [C] Semimonthly:,
2	[D] Monthly:	
3	(1) Gross Earnings	\$
4	(2) Deductions required by law (not including child support)	\$
5	(3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1]	\$
6	(4) Federal Minimum Wage	\$_7.25
7	(5) Multiply line 4 by 50	<u> 362-50</u>
8	(6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the le	etter selected above:
9	[A] Multiply line 5 by 1	\$
0	[B] Multiply line 5 by 2	\$ 192
1,1	[C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24	\$
2	[D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12	\$
3	(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	s
14	This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2	25% of the disposable earnings
15	from line 3.	
16:	Answer:	
17		
18		
19		
20	3. Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your con	trol, on the date the Writ of
21	Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, g	oods, chattels, rights, credits or
22	choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which I	Defendants are interested? If so,
23	state its value, and state fully all particulars.	
24	Answer: 📉	
25		
1	1	
26		
26 27		

1	4. Do you know of any debts owing to the Defendant(s), whether due or not due, or any money,	
2	property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or choses in action, belonging to Defendant(s) or in	
3	which Defendant(s) is/are interested, and now in the possession or under the control of others? If so	),
4	state all particulars.	
5	Answer: 6	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	5. Are you a financial institution with a personal account held by one or all of the Defendants? If so,	
11	state the account number and the amount of money in the account which is subject to garnishment.	
12	As set forth in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 (76th Sess. 2011), \$2,000 or the entire amount in the	
13	account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment if the financial institution reasonably	
14	identifies that an electronic deposit of money has been made into the account within the immediatel	У
15	preceding 45 days which is exempt from execution, including, without limitation, payments of mon	ey
16	described in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 or, if no such deposit has been made, \$400 or the entire	;
17.	amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment, unless the garnishment is fo	r
18	the recovery of money owed for the support of any person. The amount which is not subject to	
19	garnishment does not apply to each account of the judgment debtor, but rather is an aggregate amou	ınt
20	that is not subject to garnishment.	
21	Answer:	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	6. State your correct name and address, or the name and address of your attorney upon whom written	
27	notice of further proceedings in this action may be served.	
28	Answer:	
	11	

1	CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
2	PAYROLL - BENEFITS 2832 E. FLAMINGO RD
3	LAS VEGAS, NV 89121
4	
5	I declare under penalty of perjury that the answers to the foregoing interrogatories by me subscribed are true and correct.
6	Executed on the 27 day of the month of of the year 20
7	of the series of
8	Print name: (Signature of Garnishee)
9	Title: Took I
10	
11	
12	NOTE: Under 31.297, if an employer, without legal justification, refuses to withhold the earnings of a
13	Defendant demanded in a WRIT OF GARNISHMENT or knowingly misrepresents the earnings of the
14	Defendant, the court may order the employer to appear and show cause why he should not be subject to the
15	following penalties:
16	(1) If the Plaintiff has received a judgment against the Defendant, an order to the employer to pay the
17	Plaintiff the amount of arrearages caused by the employer's refusal to withhold or his misrepresentation of the
18	Defendant's earnings.
19	(2) In addition, the court may order the employer to pay the Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount not to
	exceed \$1,000 for each pay period in which the employer has, without legal justification, refused to withhold
20	the Defendant's earnings or has misrepresented the earnings.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## Exhibit 5 Writs - CCCU

2017-10-25

Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

JA0376

.			
1	WRIT		
2	MELANI SCHULTE (Name and Bar Number (if any))		Make Chark Sauthle Ter
3	9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 (Address)		Make Check Payable To ffice of the Ex-Officio Constable
4	LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (City, State, Zip Code)		301 E. Clark Avenue, Sulte 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101
5	702-275-9904 (Telephone and Facsimile Number)		702-455-4099
6	MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM (E-mail Address)		Put Case # & Name on Check
7	Attorney for (Name);		
8	☑ Plaintiff, ☐ Counterclaimant, or ☐ Third-Party	Plaintiff, In	Proper Person
9	EIGHTH JUDICI	AL DISTRIC	CT COURT
10	CLARK CO	UNTY, NEV	/ADA
1.1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12	MELANI SCHULTE		Case No.: D-12-458809-D
13	Plaintiff(s),		Dept. No.: 1
14	vs.		WRIT OF EXECUTION
15	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE		☐ EARNINGS ☐BANK ACCOUNT
16	Defendant(s).	**************************************	OTHER PROPERTY
17	THE PEOPLE OF T	HE STATE	OF NEVADA:
18	To the Sheriff of Clark County or the Constable for	or the Towns	hip of Las Vegas
19	Greetings:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	To Financial Institutions: This judgment	is for the reac	war of money for the support of a nercon
20			
21	On MAY 23 , 2017 , a	judgment wa	is entered by the above-entitled court in the
22	above-entitled action in favor of MELANI SCHULT	TE .	, as Judgment
23	creditor and against WILLIAM R. SCHULTE		, as Judgment Debtor, for:
24	\$ 1,556.00	Principal,	
25	\$	Pre-Judgmen	it Interest,
26	\$	Attorney's Fo	ees, and
27	\$	Costs, makir	g a total amount of
28	\$ 1,556.00	The judgme	ent as entered, and
	Page 2017-10-25 Verified F	l of 3 Petition - ERRF -	्हरू. 01-06-2011 DC WEB) MS 108

11	'
1	WHEREAS, according to an affidavit or a memorandum of costs after judgment, or both, filed
2	herein, it appears that further sums have accrued since the entry of judgment, to wit:
3	\$ 0.00 Accrued Interest, and
4	\$ 0.00 Accrued Costs, together with
5	Fee, for the issuance of this writ, making a total of
6	As accrued costs, accrued interest and fees.
7	Credit must be given for payments and partial satisfactions in the amount of
8	\$
9	which is to be first credited against the total accrued costs and accrued interest, with any excess credited
0	against the judgment as entered, leaving a net balance of
11	\$ 1,556.00
12	actually due on the date of the issuance of this writ, of which
13	\$
14	bears interest at 6.25% percent per annum, in the amount of \$ 0.27 per day, from the date
15	of judgment to the date of levy, to which must be added the commissions and costs of the officer executing
16	this writ.
17	NOW, THEREFORE, SHERIFF or CONSTABLE, you are hereby commanded to satisfy this
18	judgment with interest and costs as provided by law, out of the following personal property of the
19	judgment debtor, except that for any workweek, 75 percent of the disposable earnings of the debtor during
20	that week or 50 times the minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor
2,1	Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), and in effect at the time the earnings are payable,
22	whichever is greater, is exempt from any levy of execution pursuant to this writ, and if sufficient personal
23	property cannot be found, then out of the real property belonging to the debtor in the aforesaid county.
24	A JUDGMENT WAS ENTERED FOR THE AMOUNTS DUE UNDER ORDER ENTERED MAY 23, 2017,
2.5	BY THE DISTRICT COURT FOR "FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF MELANI SCHULTE AND AGAINST
26	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE. THE COURT FOUND WILLIAM R. SCHULTE ACTED WITH FRAUD,
27	MISREPRESENTATION OR DECEIT WHEN DELIBERATELY COLLECTING, DEPOSITING, KEEPING &
28	SPENDING THE FUNDS BELONGING TO THE "ARCISZEWSKI'S", THAT MELANI SCHULTE PAID.

1	You are required to return this Writ from	date of issuance not less than 10 days or more than 60
2	days with the results of your levy endorsed thereon.	
3		STEVEN D. GRIERSON COURT COURT
4    5		SEP 12 2017
6		By: Deputy Clerk Date
7	Issued at the direction of:	SHERRY WOODARD
8	(Signature) Mula Shitte	
9	☐ Attorney for (Name):  ☐ Plaintiff, ☐ Counterclaimant, or ☐ Third-Pat Name: MELANI SCHULTE	1y Plaintiff, In Proper Person
11	City, State, Zip: LAS VEGAS, NV 89117	
12	E-mail: MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM	
13	SHERIFF OR CO	NSTABLE INFORMATION
14	AMOUNTS TO BE COLLECTED BY LEVY:	RETURN:
15	NET BALANCE: \$ 1,556.00	Not satisfied \$_0.00  Satisfied in sum of \$
16 17 18	Garnishment Fee:       5.00         Mileage:       10.00         Levy Fee:       30.00         Sub-Total:       (601.00         Commission:       32.01	Costs retained \$Commission retained \$
19 20	Commission: 32.02  TOTAL LEVY: (633.02	
21		REMITTED TO JUDGMENT CREDITOR:
22		\$
23	I haraby cortify that I have this date returned the	ne foregoing Writ of Execution with the results of the levy
24	endorsed thereon.	re reibBourg III was a series of the series
25	SHERIFF OF CLARK COUNTY or CONSTABLE FOR THE TOWNSHIP OF	
26	COLIDERENIE & CALLERY & CALLES	
27	By:	Date
28		
	Pa 2017-10-25 Verif	ge 3 of 3 (Rev. 01-06-2011 DC WEIN led Petition - ERRF - MS 11

JA0379

	WRIT	
2	MELANI SCHULTE (Name)	
3	9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE 2-351 (Address)	
4	LAS VEGAS, NV 89117 (City, State, Zlp Code)	This WRIT must be answered. signed and returned to:
5		Office of the Ex-Officio Constable
6	MELANI.SCHULTE.81@GMAIL.COM	301 E. Clark Avenue, Sulte 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101
7	(E-mail Address)  ⊠ Plaintiff/ □ Counterclaimant, in Proper Person	
8	·	
9	EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRIC	ET COURT
10	CLARK COUNTY, NEV	/ADA
11	NELANZ COUNTY	Case No.: D-12-458809-D
12	MELANI SCHULTE	Dept. No.:
i	Plaintiff(s),	<u>I</u>
13	vs.	
14	WILLIAM R.SCHULTE ,	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
15	Defendant(s).	
16 17	THE STATE OF NEVADA TO:	<u> </u>
	CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION, 2625 N. TENAYA WAY, LAS VEGAS	. NV 89128 Garnishee.
18	You are hereby notified that you are attached as garnis	
19		
20	are commanded not to pay any debt from yourself to WILLIAM I	
21	Defendant(s), and tha	t you must retain possession and control of
22	all personal property, money, credits, debts, effects, and choses	s in action of said Defendant(s) in order that
23	the same may be dealt with according to law; where such prop	erty consists of wages, salaries,
24	commissions or bonuses, the amount you shall retain shall be i	n accordance with 15 U.S. Code 1673 and
25	Nevada Revised Statutes 31.295.	
26	Plaintiff believes that you have property, money, credi	ts, debts, effects, and choses in action in
27	your hands and under your custody and control belonging to sa	aid Defendant(s), more particularly
28	described as:	

© 2011 Clark County Civil Law Self-Helo Center

Page Lof 6 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS

(Rc) [7-27-20]]]

1	CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION, 2625 N. TENAYA WAY, LAS VEGAS, NV 89128. BANK ACCOUNTS-CHECKING
2	SAVINGS, MONEY MARKET, SAFE DEPOSIT. WILLIAM R. SCHULTE SS#
3	WAS ENTERED FOR THE AMOUNTS DUE UNDER ORDER ENTERED MAY 23, 2017, BY THE DISTRICT COURT FOR
4	"FINAL JUDGMENT IN FAVOR OF MELANI SCHULTE AND AGAINST WILLIAM R. SCHULTE.
5	YOU ARE REQUIRED within 20 days from the date of service of this Writ of Garnishment to
6	answer the interrogatories set forth herein and forward such answer to the office of the Sheriff or
7	Constable which issued the Writ of Garnishment. In case of your failure to answer the interrogatories
8	within 20 days, a Judgment by Default will be entered against you for:
9	(a) The amount demanded in the Writ of Garnishment or the value of the property described in
10	the writ, as the case may be; or
11	(b) If the garnishment is pursuant to NRS 31.291, the amount of the lien created pursuant to that
12	section, which amount or property must be clearly set forth in the Writ of Garnishment.
13	IF YOUR ANSWERS TO the interrogatories indicate that you are the employer of the
14	Defendant(s), this Writ of Garnishment shall be deemed to CONTINUE FOR 120 DAYS or until the
15	amount demanded in the attached Writ of Execution is satisfied, whichever occurs earlier.
1.6	YOU ARE FURTHER DIRECTED to forward all funds due to the Defendant(s) each payday
17	in the future, UP TO 120 DAYS, less any amount which is exempt and less \$3.00 per pay period (not to
18	exceed \$12.00 per month) which you may retain as a fee for compliance. The \$3.00 fee does not apply to
19	the first pay period covered by this Writ of Garnishment.
20	YOU ARE FURTHER REQUIRED to serve a copy of your answers to the interrogatories on
21	Plaintiff and Defendant(s) at the addresses listed below.
22	Issued at direction of step and check one):  SHERIFF/CONSTABLE - CLARK COUNTY  S. Lang P #9599
23	☐ Plaintiff ☐ Counterclaimant Title Date
24	MELANI SCHULTE,9811 W. CHARLESTON BLVD., STE2-351, LAS VEGAS, NV 89117
25	Name and address of Plaintiff or Counterclaimant
26	WILLIAM R. SCHULTE, 8252 NICE CT, LAS VEGAS, NV 89129 Name and address of Defendant(s)
27	
28	

JA0381

The undersigned being duly sworn states that I received the within WRIT OF GARNISHMEN on the		TATE OF NEVADA )  ss: DUNTY OF CLARK )
on theday of	C	DUNTY OF CLARK )
of		The undersigned being duly sworn states that I received the within WRIT OF GARNISHMENT
state for the service of a summons in a civil action, and I tendered the statutory fee of \$5.00 to	on	theday of, 20, and personally served the same on theday
	oſ	, 20 in the same manner as provided by rule of court or law of this
, City of, County, State of Nevada.  By:	sta	te for the service of a summons in a civil action, and I tendered the statutory fee of \$5.00 to
By:		at
Title  INTERROGATORIES TO BE ANSWERED BY THE GARNISHEE AND SIGNED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY:  1. Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) \( \sum_{\text{op}} \)  \[ \text{op} \]  \[ \t		, City of, County of
INTERROGATORIES TO BE ANSWERED BY THE GARNISHEE AND SIGNED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY:  1. Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) NO, or either of them, either in property or money, and is the debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.  Answer: NO		, State of Nevada.
INTERROGATORIES TO BE ANSWERED BY THE GARNISHEE AND SIGNED UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY:  1. Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) NO, or either of them, either in property or money, and is the debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.  Answer: NO		Ву:
PENALTY OF PERJURY:  1. Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) ND		Title
, or either of them, either in property or money, and is the debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.  Answer:		
debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.  Answer:	l.	Are you in any manner indebted to the Defendant(s) NO
Answer: NO  2. Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the length of your pay period and amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		, or either of them, either in property or money, and is the
2. Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the length of your pay period and amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		debt now due? If not due, when is the debt to become due? State fully all particulars.
amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		Answer: NO
amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		
amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		
amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		
amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		
a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishm which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor	2.	Are you an employer of one or all of the Defendants? If so, state the length of your pay period and th
which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor		amount of disposable earnings, as defined in NRS 31.295, that each Defendant presently earns during
		a pay period. State the minimum amount of disposable earnings that is exempt from this garnishment
Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time the earnings are payable multiple		which is the federal minimum hourly wage prescribed by section 6(a)(1) of the federal Fair Labor
		Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a)(1), in effect at the time the earnings are payable multiplied
by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount required by law to be withheld.		by 50 for each week of the pay period, after deducting any amount required by law to be withheld.
Calculate the attachable amount as follows (check one of the following):		

The employee is paid: [A] Weekly:, [B] Biweekly:	, [C] Semimonthly:,
[D] Monthly:	
(1) Gross Earnings	\$
(2) Deductions required by law (not including child support)	\$
(3) Disposable Earnings [Subtract line 2 from line 1]	\$
(4) Federal Minimum Wage	\$
(5) Multiply line 4 by 50	\$
(6) Complete the following directions in accordance with the le	etter selected above:
[A] Multiply line 5 by 1	\$
[B] Multiply line 5 by 2	\$
[C] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 24	\$
[D] Multiply line 5 by 52 and then divide by 12	\$
(7) Subtract line 6 from line 3	\$
This is the attachable earnings. This amount must not exceed 2	5% of the disposable earnings
Answer: N()	
3. Did you have in your possession, in your charge or under your con	
Garnishment was served upon you, any money, property, effects, g	
choses in action of the Defendants, or either of them, or in which E	Defendants are interested? If so
state its value, and state fully all particulars.	
Answer: ND	

		- 1		
1	4. Do you know of any debts owing to the Defendant(s), whether due or not due, or any money,			
2	property, effects, goods, chattels, rights, credits or choses in action, belonging to Defendant(s) or in			
3	which Defendant(s) is/are interested, and now in the possession or under the control of others? If so,			
4	state all particulars.			
5	Answer: ND			
6		_		
7				
8		_		
9				
0	5. Are you a financial institution with a personal account held by one or all of the Defendants? If so,			
1	state the account number and the amount of money in the account which is subject to garnishment.			
2	As set forth in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 (76th Sess. 2011), \$2,000 or the entire amount in the			
3	account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment if the financial institution reasonably			
4	identifies that an electronic deposit of money has been made into the account within the immediately			
5	preceding 45 days which is exempt from execution, including, without limitation, payments of mone	y		
6	described in section 3 of Assembly Bill 223 or, if no such deposit has been made, \$400 or the entire			
7	amount in the account, whichever is less, is not subject to garnishment, unless the garnishment is for			
8	the recovery of money owed for the support of any person. The amount which is not subject to			
9	garnishment does not apply to each account of the judgment debtor, but rather is an aggregate amount			
20	that is not subject to garnishment.			
21	Answer: No. He closed his account out on			
22	Writ was received 09/14/17 at 9:00 am.			
23.	Writ was received 09/14/17 at 9:00 am.			
24		me.		
25				
26	6. State your correct name and address, or the name and address of your attorney upon whom written			
27	notice of further proceedings in this action may be served.			
28	Answer: Ardith Russell, Financial Operations, Clark County Credit Unit	n		

1	Clark County Credit Union			
2	PO Box 36490			
3	Las Vegas, NV 89133			
4				
5	I déclare under penalty of perjury that the answers to the foregoing interrogatories by me subscribed are true and correct.			
6	Executed on the 14 day of the month of September of the year 2017.			
7				
8	Ordin Russell			
9	(Signature of Garnishee) Print name: Avaith Kussell			
	Tille: Financial Operations Specialist			
10				
1.1				
12	NOTE: Under 31.297, if an employer, without legal justification, refuses to withhold the earnings of a			
13	Defendant demanded in a WRIT OF GARNISHMENT or knowingly misrepresents the earnings of the			
14	Defendant, the court may order the employer to appear and show cause why he should not be subject to the			
15	following penalties:			
16	(1) If the Plaintiff has received a judgment against the Defendant, an order to the employer to pay the			
17	Plaintiff the amount of arrearages caused by the employer's refusal to withhold or his misrepresentation of the			
18	Defendant's earnings.			
19	(2) In addition, the court may order the employer to pay the Plaintiff punitive damages in an amount not to			
20	exceed \$1,000 for each pay period in which the employer has, without legal justification, refused to withhold			
21	the Defendant's earnings or has misrepresented the earnings.			
22				
23				
1				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
مخامعا	Door 6 of 6			

# OFFICE OF THE EX-OFFICIO CONSTABLE LAS VEGAS

September 20, 2017

MELANI SCHUTLE 49811 W CHARLESTON BLVD 2-351 LAS VEGAS, NV 89117

In the matter regarding:

Case#:	17LVTC030304
Defendant: WI	LLIAM R SCHULTE
We have served the court order in you	ur case, but it could not be processed for
CLOSED ACCOUNT ON 09/13	0/17 PER INTERROG 09/20/17 CK
	le in this case, please feel free to re- ur business and are ready to assist you Sincerely,
	Office of the Ex-Officio Constable
Ву	CELESTE K  Celeste K  Celeste Constable Clerk
	Office of the Ey-Officia Constable Clark

301 E. Clark Avenue Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89101 (702) 455-4099 ● Fax: (702) 385-2436 Verified Petition - ERRF - MS