In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

IN THE MATTER OF THE CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST u.a.d. 10/11/16))	Electronically Filed Jan 10 2019 08:11 a.m Elizabeth A. Brown
SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ROSEMARY KEACH AND RAYMOND CHRISTIAN, JR. Appellants,	Case No.:	Clerk of Supreme Cour
ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD. and JACQUELINE UTKIN, Respondents.)))	
ANTHONY L. BARNEY, LTD., Cross-Appellant, -vs-)))	
SUSAN CHRISTIAN-PAYNE, ROSEMARY KEACH AND RAYMOND CHRISTIAN, JR. Cross-Respondents,)))	
and JACQUELINE UTKIN, Respondent.)))	

APPELLANT/CROSS-RESPONDENTS' APPENDIX - VOLUME 13c

Filed by:

/s/ Cary Colt Payne, Esq.

CARY COLT PAYNE, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No.: 4357

CARY COLT PAYNE, CHTD.

700 S. Eighth Street

Las Vegas, NV 89101

(702) 383-9010

carycoltpaynechtd@yahoo.com

DATE	DOCUMENT	Numbered
APPENDI	X VOLUME 1:	
7/13/17	Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; Confirm Trustees; Instructions, etc.	APP-ROA001-72
8/17/17	Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12 (b)(5)	APP-ROA—73-97
8/22/17	Errata to Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12(b)(5)	APP-ROA—98-101
9/15/17	Supplement and Addendum to Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; confirm Trustees' Instructions, etc. Alternatively to Reform Trust Agreement	APP-ROA102-105
0.45.45	-	
9/15/17	Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	APP-ROA106-115
APPENDI	X VOLUME 2:	
10/4/17	Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	APP-ROA116-156
10/13/17	Response to Petition to Assume Jurisdiction of Trust; Confirm Trustees; Insturctions, Etc. and Joinder in Motion to Dismiss Pursuant	
	to NRCP 12(b)(1) and NRCP 12(b)(5)	APP-ROA157-165
10/25/17	Accounting	APP-ROA166-173
10/25/17	Inventory and Record of Value	APP-ROA174-184
10/31/17	Notice of Entry of Order	APP-ROA185-193

DATE **DOCUMENT** NUMBERED **APPENDIX VOLUME 3:** Joint Petition for Review of Former Trustees 11/3/17 Refusal to Provide a Proper Accounting Pursuant to NRS 165.143 APP-ROA--194-222 APPENDIX VOLUME 4a: APP-ROA--223-298 11/13/17 Joint Objection to Petition Jurisdiction Etc. Part 1 **APPENDIX VOLUME 4b:** APP-ROA--299-373 11/13/17 Joint Objection to Petition Jurisdiction Etc. Part 2 APPENDIX VOLUME 5: Petitioner's Opposition to Motion for 12/4/17 Review/Proper Accounting APP-ROA--374-413 12/14/17 Petitioner's Opposition to Joint Counterpetition to Confirm/Breach of Fiduciary Duty, Etc. Request for Discovery APP-ROA--414-428 **APPENDIX VOLUME 6:** Motion for Compliance with and Enforcement 12/12/17 of Court Order, and for Sanctions Relating Thereto, for Order to show cause why Former Trustees should not be held in Contempt, for Order Compelling Former Trustees to Account, and for Access to and Investment Control of Trust Funds Belonging to the **Christian Family Trust** APP-ROA--429-452

DATE	DOCUMENT	Numbered		
1/4/18	Notice of Suggestion of Death	APP-ROA453-454		
1/11/18	Opposition to Motion for Compliance, Enforcement Sanctions, Contempt, Etc.; Counterpetition for Distribution and Vacating all Pending Matters and Dismiss Trust Proceedings			
APPENDIX VOLUME 7a:				
1/26/18	Petition to Confirm Successor Trustee Part 1	APP-ROA509-539		
APPENDIX VOLUME 7b:				
1/26/18	Petition to Confirm Successor Trustee Part 2	APP-ROA540-569		
APPENDIX VOLUME 8:				
2/6/18	Amended Notice of Entry-Omnibus Order	APP-ROA570-576		
2/8/18	Petition for Fees and Costs	APP-ROA577-659		
2/23/18	Notice of Non-Opposition and Limited Joinder to the Petition for Fees and Costs for Anthony L. Barney, LTD	APP-ROA660-663		
2/23/18	Opposition to Petition to Confirm Successor Trustee; Counterpetition for Reinstatement of Petitioners	APP-ROA664-735		
3/8/18	Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement of Administrative Expenses	APP-ROA736-741		

DATE	DOCUMENT	Numbered		
APPENDIX VOLUME 9:				
3/9/18	Petitioners Combined Opposition to (1) Barney Firm Petition For Fees, Etc. (2) Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement	APP-ROA742-840		
APPENDIX	X VOLUME 10:			
3/12/18	Reply to Opposition to Petition to Confirm Success Trustee; and Opposition to Counter-Petition for Reinstatement of Petitioners	sor APP-ROA841-848		
		AFF-NOA041-040		
3/13/18	Response to Opposition to Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement of Administrative Expenses	APP-ROA849-863		
3/13/18	Reply to Petitioner's Combined Opposition to (1) Barney Firm Petition for Fees, Etc., (2) Monte Reason's Application for Reimbursement	APP-ROA864-894		
3/15/18	Minutes of Hearing – 4/4/18	APP-ROA895-898		
3/29/18	Motion (1) to Expunge Lis Pendens and/or Strike Pleading; and (2) for Preliminary Injunction	APP-ROA899-921		
APPENDIX VOLUME 11:				
3/30/18	Petitioner's Supplemental Response to Opposition to Petition for Fees (Barney Firm); Request for Evidentiary Hearing, Reopening Discovery	APP-ROA922-960		

DATE	DOCUMENT	Numbered	
APPENDIX VOLUME 12:			
4/2/18	Motion for Turnover of Assets and to Dissolve the Injunction Over Christian Family Trust Assets	APP-ROA961-998	
4/3/18	Countermotion 1) to Strike Petitioner's Supplemental Response to Opposition to Petition for Fees (Barney Firm); request for Evidentiary Hearing, and Reopening Discovery; 2) To Find the Former Trustees to be Vexatious Litigants, and 3) For sanctions Against Cary Colt Payne Pursuant to NRS 7.085 and EDCR 7.60	APP-ROA999-1036	
APPENDIX VOLUME 13a:			
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 1	APP-ROA-1037-1061	
APPENDIX VOLUME 13b:			
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 2	APP-ROA-1062-1186	
APPENDIX VOLUME 13c:			
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 3	APP-ROA-1087-1111	
APPENDIX VOLUME 13d:			
4/4/18	Hearing Transcript Part 4	APP-ROA-1112-1134	

DATE **DOCUMENT** NUMBERED APPENDIX VOLUME 14a: 4/10/18 Motion for (1) Fees Pursuant to NRS 165.148 (2) Compliance with and Enforcement of Court Order and Sanctions; (3) for Order to Show Cause Why Former Trustees Should Not be Held in Contempt, and (4) for Extension of Discovery APP-ROA-1135-1279 Part 1 APPENDIX VOLUME 14b: 4/10/18 Motion for (1) Fees Pursuant to NRS 165.148 (2) Compliance with and Enforcement of Court Order and Sanctions; (3) for Order to Show Cause Why Former Trustees Should Not be Held in Contempt, and APP-ROA-1180-1224 (4) for Extension of Discovery Part 2 **APPENDIX VOLUME 15:** 4/12/18 Notice of Entry of Order (Barney Petition Fees) APP-ROA-1225-1232 4/19/18 Petitioner's Combined Opposition to (1) Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction over Trust Assets; (2) Motion to 1. Expunge Lis Pendens and 2. Preliminary Injunction and Countermotion for Distribution/ Termination of Trust; Alternatively for Stay/ Set Bond and Set Evidentiary APP-ROA-1233-1254 Hearing 4/19/18 Opposition to Motion for (1) fees, (2) compliance,

7

APP-ROA-1255-1292

(3) for Order to Show Cause and (4) Extension of Discovery, countermotion to Distribute Trust

Property (2nd request)

DATE **DOCUMENT** NUMBERED **APPENDIX VOLUME 16:** 5/8/18 Response to Combined Opposition to (1) Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction Over Trust Assets; (2) Motion to 1. Expunge Lis Pendens and 2. Preliminary Injunction and Opposition to Countermotion or Distribution/ Termination of Trust; Alternatively for Stay, Set Bond and Set Evidentiary Hearing APP-ROA-1293-1333 5/11/18 Supplement to response to Combined Opposition to (1) Motion to Turnover Assets and Dissolve Injunction Over Trust Assets; (2) Motion to 1. Expunge Lis Pendens and 2. Preliminary Injunction and Opposition to Countermotion for Distribution/Termination of Trust; Alternatively for Stay/Set Bond and APP-ROA-1334-1337 Set Evidentiary Hearing **Hearing Transcript** 5/16/18 APP-ROA-1338-1390 APPENDIX VOLUME 17: Notice of Entry of Order (Utkin suspension) 6/1/18 APP-ROA-1391-1401 10/8/18 Notice of Entry – Probate Commissioner R&R (Hearing re Utkin removal) APP-ROA-1402-1408 11/13/18 Notice of Entry – Order Affirming Probate Commissioner R&R (Utkin removal) APP-ROA-1409-1414

may not be an ultimate asset of the trust.

Well, we have subsequently found out that Voya is now treating the three of them as the beneficiary. In fact, they distributed one of the -- the third of the -- of the beneficial amount just recently.

So that's the only quote/unquote mystery out here, but we fully disclosed it. We -- just because we didn't marshal it in the beginning and we updated our inventory and we -- we did the inventory all the way from October 16th to February 28th, 2018 because we didn't know where to start or stop based upon each time we were in front of you.

So we have an accounting that has been filed. Your Honor sat here and -- and said -- he's -- he's making the exact same things he says. We need -- we need these -- this backup. Here's what Your Honor said at the last hearing. Well, you will write a letter explaining what you need, Mr. Kirschner. This has been written to them as of October and September of this year. I will renew the letter to them today. They didn't renew any letter.

We still filed the inventory, we filed the accounting. What -- and what -- we had deductions of \$36,000 in in -- in income of -- of 15 grand. Here's the accounting. It's -- it's been filed. Now if they want to object to the accounting and do this alternate method that you're talking

about, so be it.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But Your Honor, this thing went astray at the last hearing. We brought a petition to reappoint these people. And I said -- and your client -- Your Honor has figured it out. The trust, it needs to be -- it's -- it's disbursed and terminated. The only issue is the \$19,000 that's going to be held for Monte. What is that going to do? That can be held pending the Court's further -- further resolution. Furthermore, if you think Mr. Barney is entitled to some fees, we'll hold back \$60,000 and if -- if Mr. Joey Powell's client thinks he's entitled to 37, we can hold back a hundred thousand dollars. We'll distribute this trust to the -- to the ultimate beneficiaries and we're done. We don't need to listen to Mrs. Utkin go over and reconstitute everything that Mr. Barney has already previously done because she has some hidden agenda that she doesn't like these children and she wants to get back at the way Nancy was treated.

Now Your Honor, as to the timing, Nancy never made a demand to their -- to the children about payment of anything until right shortly before she removed them. You -- you picked up on this fact. Mr. Raymond Christiansen died January 31st and she made this nomination June 12th. And she made that nomination of -- of appointing Monte within weeks after they said Mom, what do you need the \$5,000 for. That's what

happened. So if there was ever any damages as it relates to this thing, it would be from the time that obviously the -the mon -- the -- the demand was made for the 5,000 which was in the middle of June or right around June. So this 13 months of being entitled to 15,000 -- or \$5,000 is absurd. Absurd.

Now about these other claims that they want to file or them anticipating file. They -- they have -- they have every right to do that. They have every right to bring those claims. And in fact, part of the opposition to the -- Barney's petition will outline why this process is set up. There is a claim process that is under 163, 164, that they have to file a claim. Mr. Barney has a claim. He files the claim against the trustee. The trustee either accepts the claim or rejects the claim and then a lawsuit is filed.

We don't have all the indispensable and right parties here. Monte is kind of playing a game over there because he is not the executor. These are -- these are Nancy's claims. And if Nancy was -- had a probate opened and somebody was the fiduciary of that estate, they would come and bring this claim to this probate proceedings.

Your Honor, this is a really odd thing. It's like a divorce -- it's a divorce proceeding between a husband and a wife. Would you allow a creditor of the wife to show up and say I want to be paid? Your Honor would say you're not a

party to these proceedings. So this thing has gone far astray and I don't want to go too far into that argument.

To your -- to your answer about was some -- was -- we were in there for seven hours yesterday? Seven hours. We did -- we did make some -- some headway. We thought some of the demands were -- were pretty far -- far affront.

I would like to take the deposition. I think what Your Honor ought to do -- and Your Honor has previously said I'm not awarding any fees until we get to -- and your analogy was perfect, the first base, second base, third base, because at the last hearing they were jumping up in joy that they got Mrs. Utkin in as trustee and they figured this thing was over and you said no. We missed the -- the throw from home plate to first base. We still haven't litigated that.

I'd like to take the deposition of Mr. -- Mr. David Grant. Now they're going to oppose that and they're going to oppose it vigorously. In fact, they've already done that by -- by starting a State Bar action, threatening him with all kinds of things. But if I can get the authority to take Mr. Grant's deposition and Mr. Grant confirms what Your Honor was -- was concerned about, and we can bring this to the Court's attention, I think this can get resolved rather quickly.

THE COURT: Well, file your motion for his depo and they can file their opposition. And we need to make -- get a

```
ruling on that now, not at the day of trial.
 1
 2
             MR. PAYNE: Right. I agree.
 3
             THE COURT:
                         And then this may resolve or I hope --
             MR. PAYNE: Well --
 4
 5
             THE COURT: -- resolve.
             MR. PAYNE: -- I'll notice the deposition. And if
 6
   they want to bring them a -- a protective --
 7
             THE COURT: I need some --
 8
             MR. PAYNE: -- order --
 9
                         I need some pleadings --
             THE COURT:
10
             MR. PAYNE:
                         I understand.
11
              THE COURT: -- some law.
12
              MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, would it be well for the
13
    Court to treat this more like a traditional civil discovery
14
    and bring that in the form of a motion in limine or for us to
15
   bring that up so that this Court has some case law regarding
16
    the privilege, who it works for?
17
              THE COURT:
                         That's --
18
              MR. KIRSCHNER: And --
19
              THE COURT: That's what I'm asking for, whatever
20
    vehicle you want to use, but, you know, I need the law.
21
    They're going to want to bring an -- an attorney. You're
22
    going to say he's protected by attorney/client privilege and
23
    it's --
24
```

```
MR. KIRSCHNER: But to be clear, Your Honor --
1
             THE COURT: -- parole evidence.
2
             MR. KIRSCHNER: -- I don't have the privilege.
 3
                                                              The
   trust doesn't have the privilege. The estate has the
 4
 5
   privilege, so --
             MR. HOLYOAK: The estate would have the privilege.
 6
             MR. KIRSCHNER: So I -- I don't even have the
 7
   ability to invoke. So it's not something I'm -- I'm looking
 8
   for purposes of just trying to get this procedurally moved
 9
10
   forward.
              THE COURT: So let's get to why we're really here
11
12
   today.
             MR. KIRSCHNER: Okay.
13
             MR. BARNEY: My petition.
14
             THE COURT: Now your fees are kind of high.
15
             MR. BARNEY: Let -- can I -- can I -- Your Honor,
16
    I'd like to -- I'd like to clarify some of the record though.
17
18
    Even --
              THE COURT: Do you want to talk about your fees or
19
    do you want to talk -- you were -- I -- you're -- you're going
20
    to be out of the case, so --
21
              MR. BARNEY: I -- I know, but --
22
              THE COURT: You're lucky -- you're the lucky one.
23
   You should take --
24
```

```
MR. BARNEY: I --
1
             THE COURT: -- advantage of that.
 2
             MR. BARNEY: I know.
 3
             THE COURT: And don't -- don't stir the pot anymore.
 4
 5
             MR. BARNEY: No, I -- I just -- I just want you to
   know -- and -- and I -- and I've heard it. You know, there's
 6
   part of me -- I -- I don't know. It's -- it's probably the
 7
   teacher in me, but it drives me crazy when I hear like the
   misquotation of the law. Nevada law is that when you assume
   the duties of a trustee, that's when your inventory and
10
   accounting period begins. It's not -- you don't wait around
11
   and say well, somebody might die here or somebody might die
12
    there. Your duty comes when you assume the duties. And
13
    that's --
14
              MR. PAYNE: Your Honor --
15
             MR. BARNEY: -- why --
16
              MR. PAYNE: -- why is --
17
              THE COURT: And -- and --
18
              MR. PAYNE: -- he arguing --
19
              THE COURT: I -- I don't know, really.
20
              MR. BARNEY: And it's --
21
              THE COURT: I don't know, because --
22
23
              MR. BARNEY: And --
              THE COURT: And you're here for one thing, your --
24
```

```
MR. BARNEY: I -- I --
 1
 2
             THE COURT: -- your fees --
 3
             MR. BARNEY: I understand.
 4
             THE COURT: And your representation is done, right?
 5
             MR. BARNEY: Yeah, I -- I understand.
             MR. PAYNE: Well, but -- but for the record, he's
 6
 7
   now got Mr. Payne -- he's preparing affidavits for Mr. Payne
 8
   to -- to submit them to those proceedings. So I don't know
 9
   what he's doing --
10
             MR. BARNEY: No.
             MR. PAYNE: -- Your Honor.
11
12
             MR. BARNEY: Let me -- let me explain that, Your
   Honor, because that -- that's perfect. I would like to
13
14
   respond to that. Karen Connolly represents Mr. Payne in his
   divorce action. She spoke with our office.
15
16
             THE COURT: Don't we have enough going on?
17
             MR. BARNEY: I know. I know. She spoke with our
            She was like --
18
   office.
             THE COURT: You're going to run out of room for
19
20
   attorneys.
21
             MR. BARNEY: Yeah. My -- my client's got all this
   information. We understand that you're a party to the trust.
22
23
   We said well, we're a creditor right now of -- of the trust.
```

We're just waiting for the release of funds. She -- she

24

apparently talked with him, had him come over. We said wow, this is a lot of information. This is not even the information that -- this is more information than we even requested in discovery that we're getting.

And we thought the Court ought to at least know about it, you know, because he wanted us to represent his son, Miles (ph), who is another potential bene -- we said no.

We're -- we're not representing another person in this. And that's -- that's the way the story goes on that. But we said you know what, we've -- we've had a bunch of defamatory material that's been filed against us by Mr. Payne. This has been a regular issue. We want the Court to know that we in good faith always represented Nancy's interest with regard to her assets. If these assets belong to Nancy, the trust should know about it.

And so as a -- as an -- as an issue of full disclosure -- I didn't have to, Your Honor. I could have let everybody just kind of weed through the weeds, but the reality is Your Honor I think that having knowledge is a better resolution for you.

If you can see these documents, after our retaining lien is lifted, we think a lot will be clarified.

THE COURT: I -- I understand your offer and where you're at, but just dropping it on me like this without any

pleading is not fair to everyone here and it's not fair to me 1 -- I'm -- I'm eager to look at those documents. But let's 2 3 give everyone a chance to weigh in on their opinion and -- and why it should not come in. 4 5 MR. BARNEY: I -- I agree. And -- and to the extent 6 you're not going to hear his surreply which was kind of 7 fashioned as an opposition, I'm fine with that. I will need 8 though to file a motion to strike, unless we can get a 9 stipulation today, because we're going to get it stricken. 10 THE COURT: You know, for someone who is going to 11 get some money, you know, I would kind of get to the point. MR. BARNEY: Well, we have always represented 12 13 Nancy's interest. THE COURT: Because I think every time -- every --14 every 10 minutes I'm going to reduce your fee by --15 16 MR. BARNEY: Well, I would hope that would not be the case, Your Honor. But we've always represented Nancy's 17 18 interest. THE COURT: My question is if -- if someone comes to 19 see you and they are the settlor of a trust and they want to 20 make an argument and that's what happened --21 MR. BARNEY: Yeah. 22 THE COURT: -- in this case, and you made the 23

P-17-092512-T CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST 04/04/2018 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

argument and you -- you started this situation here through

24

```
1
   her, shouldn't we know whether you prevail at the end?
 2
             MR. BARNEY: The --
 3
             THE COURT: And -- and considering what your fees
 4
   should be? Or is yours just a straight contract between --
 5
             MR. BARNEY: It --
 6
             THE COURT: -- between you and the --
7
             MR. BARNEY: It -- it is. It's not a prevailing
8
   party fee. That -- that's -- that's what -- the trust is very
   clear. And -- and we can set -- we can set it out. The trust
10
   is very clear, Section 11.1. It says that her decision, as
11
   Mr. Powell has stated, is conclusive and binding upon all the
   parties and interest. Okay.
12
             Once she makes that determination or her -- her
13
   agent makes that determination, there -- there's really not --
14
15
   there's really not a situation where we even analyze --
16
             THE COURT: I -- I would --
             MR. BARNEY: -- this big --
17
18
             THE COURT: -- accept that --
19
             MR. BARNEY: What's that?
20
             THE COURT: -- if there was a -- at -- at arm's
21
   length because you -- your -- your client is saying my son
   approved it. Well, not necessarily my son, but the person my
22
23
   son picked. Now everybody is in agreement. So pay -- pay the
24
   attorney.
```

MR. BARNEY: And that's -- that's all we're here to say is pay the --

THE COURT: But -- but they're all related.

MR. BARNEY: It -- it doesn't -- it doesn't matter.

It -- it could actually as Mr. Powell said it's -- she could have elected the milkman. She could have elected, you know --

THE COURT: Let me -- let me hear the opposition to your fees, if there's an opposition.

MR. BARNEY: Well, I -- I wanted to go ahead and -- and argue my fees. So my -- my fees are such Your Honor that it is binding upon the trust under 11.1. It's been approved. It's been approved by Mr. Reason and Mrs. Utkin. Under NRS 132.390(c)(8), we have standing as a creditor to bring this. We are not subject to -- there -- there was an illusion that we had to come in as a creditor. That is -- notice to creditors has to be sent out.

The Court can already acknowledge, and that's why this Court does on a -- on a daily basis take into account the fees that are granted by trustees. In fact, it doesn't even need to be granted by the trustee. The only -- the -- by the Court. It's only because of the fact that the funds are frozen. Normally this would just be paid.

The issues that were raised with regard to the -- the existence of what -- what they term is a spendthrift

```
provision doesn't apply into the terms of this trust. At --
    because if you look at 4.4 --
 2
 3
              THE COURT: I read your pleadings.
 4
             MR. BARNEY: Okay. Excellent. If you've read the
 5
   pleadings, Your Honor, I don't want to --
 6
              THE COURT: I did read your --
 7
             MR. BARNEY: -- belabor the point. Yeah.
 8
             THE COURT: -- pleadings, yes.
 9
             MR. BARNEY: Okay. If you have no further
   questions, I would just like to reserve rebuttal. Thank you.
11
             THE COURT: And I read your pleadings and what he's
12
   replying. I think a reply brief he's upset with.
13
             MR. PAYNE: He's -- he's always upset. I don't
14
    know, Your Honor.
15
             MR. BARNEY: No, I'm -- I'm not always upset.
16
             MR. KIRSCHNER: I --
17
             MR. BARNEY: I --
18
             MR. KIRSCHNER: No insults, guys.
19
             MR. HOLYOAK: It was titled a --
20
             THE COURT: Okay.
21
             MR. HOLYOAK: -- supplement --
22
             THE COURT: Calm down.
23
             MR. HOLYOAK: -- but it really --
24
             THE COURT: Let's calm down.
```

MR. HOLYOAK: -- is surreply.

THE COURT: So what -- he -- he wants \$62,000, a hundred and five?

MR. BARNEY: AT this point, Your Honor, because of Mr. Payne's actions, it's up to \$70,099.44. And I would like to be out of this so that it doesn't go higher. I really would.

THE COURT: I agree with you.

MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, first of all, they filed an unverified petition. It's not verified. There is no fee agreement. Maybe he was representing her for free, pro bono, because he thought he was going to do something else. He just can't come on up here and show up and say I'm -- that I'm entitled to payments. The purpose of this trust was to protect Nancy from her creditors because she was subject to undue influence and other claims.

It -- it -- Mr. Christiansen set this trust up and made them the gatekeepers for this exact reason, because she was subject to being manipulated by her son and making bad decisions. And one of those bad decisions was hiring this firm in -- in appointing Monte. And -- and Your Honor has already picked up on that.

I mean, she sat at the table. She negotiated this -- and -- and he likes to call it a contract and I think his

MR. PAYNE: -- because --

THE COURT: -- it may well be.

MR. PAYNE: Because -- because the --

THE COURT: I don't know.

MR. PAYNE: -- the beneficiary is the ultimate beneficiaries of this thing are going to be at -- at a loss of their principal if this fee is -- is granted. Furthermore, we should be able to do discovery. In other words, if -- if this petition --

THE COURT: That's going to reduce the fees?

MR. PAYNE: But we're entitled to discovery. How -you -- you know, these -- the -- the bills that they submitted
Your Honor don't show the -- the credits that Nancy apparently
paid. They want the entire 62,000 paid but -- but apparently
Nancy paid some of the bill. So the bills themselves are
incorrect. So there's a lot of problems with this thing.

But primarily, Your Honor, and let me just focus on -- on 160 -- 164.025, the -- the prop -- the proper process.

And they admit that they're a creditor. Okay. 164.02 --

THE COURT: I don't know if they did or not.

MR. PAYNE: Sure, they did. It's the first thing in their pleading. It says we're a creditor of Nancy. 164.025 is notice of death of settlor, filing of claim against the trust estate. Number three, a person having a claim due or --

or to become due against the settlor or the trust must file 1 2 the claim with the trustee. Within 90 days after their mailing, those required to be mailed, blah, blah, blah. 3 THE COURT: I think they -- they know about the --4 5 the claim. They already --MR. PAYNE: But Your Honor --6 7 THE COURT: -- approved it. MR. PAYNE: -- there is a process that is laid out 8 in the NRS. 10 THE COURT: I don't know who was playing trustee 11 that day, but they approved it. 12 MR. PAYNE: Your Honor, but they haven't filed a 13 claim. The -- the -- again, it's like a divorce. You've got a husband and wife before you and a -- and a creditor of the 14 15 wife shows up and she says --16 THE COURT: Well, let me -- okay. This is the Trustor's attorney. You want a claim? 17 18 MR. KIRSCHNER: Your Honor, I think that there's a 19 multi-part (indiscernible). I filed a nonopposition on this, 20 but there was some additional facts that had been added in 21 very recently. 22 Regarding the increase in fees, so we --23 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to 24 consider that at all.

1 MR. KIRSCHNER: So -- so what I'm -- what I'm going 2 to say is this. 3 THE COURT: Sorry. 4 MR. KIRSCHNER: Ultimately, the trust is the one who 5 is carrying the back to pay for any of these fees for a fight between the two of them. They go into the discovery. 6 7 Ultimately, it's going to be the trust that they're seeking to 8 seek -- to collect these fees from. 9 So as this fight goes on and --10 THE COURT: I'm not going to go into discovery. I'm 11 asking you as the attorney for the trustee if you approve his 12 claim for fees. MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes. And it has been --13 THE COURT: And you have already said --14 15 MR. KIRSCHNER: It's been approved by --THE COURT: It's already -- already in a written 16 17 form. 18 MR. KIRSCHNER: It's already been approved by a 19 prior trustee before we were in and it was confirmed and 20 ratified by my trustee once we came in. 21 THE COURT: And you --22 MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes, sir. 23 THE COURT: -- still live by that, right? MR. KIRSCHNER: Yes, Your Honor. We do. 24

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KIRSCHNER: And we also believe that we'll go through the formal notice process, issue a notice to them, and that's fine. We only got the order confirming us as the trustee today. So now we'll do the -- the notice to the creditors to get it started. If we're going to incur additional fees and do this fight and go back the route and have another challenge that comes up, the fees are going -- between the parties are just going up. The Trust wants to settle this out. It's already been confirmed. It's already been approved. Let's get this done with. Let's get Mr. Barney's firm out of this case.

So that's what the Trust is trying to do. We recognize that there's a practical solution to avoid the ongoing fighting between everybody.

MR. BARNEY: And I'm in favor of that practical solution, Your Honor. I just need to know with regard to this last pleading if the Court is inclined to strike the material or if I need to bring another -- another --

THE COURT: What --

MR. BARNEY: -- month --

THE COURT: -- material are you specifically -- what

did --

MR. BARNEY: He -- he --

```
THE COURT: What did he say that got you so upset?
1
             MR. BARNEY: He -- he's taken an order that was
 2
 3
   issued sua sponte from the bench with -- without a notice or
   hearing by -- by Judge Potter. And he's -- he's placed it on
 4
 5
   the record. It's from another --
 6
             THE COURT: Well, that's --
 7
             MR. BARNEY: -- case.
             THE COURT: -- stricken. That's stricken.
 8
 9
             MR. BARNEY: Thank you. I -- I just wanted to know
10
   that that was stricken and -- and part of the court order. I
   just -- I -- I've got no use for this citing to other stuff.
11
    I -- I don't think it --
12
13
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. BARNEY: -- it serves anybody's time.
14
             THE COURT: Well, you're the one talking about it
15
16
   more than I am.
             MR. BARNEY: Okay.
17
18
             THE COURT: It's stricken.
19
             MR. BARNEY: Thank you. Thank you.
             THE COURT: Now I want you out of the case.
20
             MR. BARNEY: I want to be out of the case, Your
21
22
   Honor.
             THE COURT: You're a nice guy and everything, but
23
24
   the fees are kind of high.
```

\$50,000 to be released within the next week from the -- and

24

```
1
    the account will be unfrozen and you will -- should receive
 2
    your 50,000 from the trust. That's -- that's assets.
 3
              MR. KIRSCHNER: Unfrozen, Your Honor. Will we give
   my client custody and control of that account?
 4
 5
              THE COURT: No.
              MR. KIRSCHNER: So the account is currently in their
 6
 7
    three names.
 8
              THE COURT: They're going to release a check to pay
    the attorney 50,000 plus the cost, 100 percent of the cost,
 9
10
    which I think is very minimal. I don't recall what the costs
    were, but they were less than a thousand I thought or is it --
11
12
              MR. HOLYOAK: I don't recall. I can -- I might be
13
   able to look.
14
              (COUNSEL CONFER BRIEFLY)
15
              THE COURT: And then you will be out of the case.
    You won't be representing any husbands or children or anybody
16
17
    else?
              MR. BARNEY: Your Honor, I don't plan to. No.
18
19
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              MR. BARNEY: I've actually already turned down as --
20
21
    as you know --
22
              THE COURT:
                         So --
23
              MR. BARNEY: -- one --
24
              THE COURT: -- that --
```

1 MR. BARNEY: -- one request. 2 3 THE COURT: -- that would eliminate at least two --4 two bodies in the courtroom and we still need for your big surprise package to come if it's going to come. So other --5 6 otherwise, we won't be able to settle the case. 7 MR. POWELL: I mean --8 THE COURT: You better tell --9 MR. POWELL: -- Your Honor, we --10 THE COURT: -- Mr. Monte Reason to be very, very 11 reasonable. 12 MR. POWELL: Understand, but -- but again, Your 13 Honor, who -- who's -- who took control of this when Mr. 14 Reason was trustee and then took the assets out of the trust 15 account -- account? 16 THE COURT: We're going to get all the assets that 17 belong in the estate back in the estate --18 MR. POWELL: All right. 19 THE COURT: -- as -- as much as we can all work 20 together to do that and then we are going to try to settle the case and -- or go forward the way Nancy and Raymond wanted it 21 22 to go forward. And --23 MR. POWELL: Well --

P-17-092512-T CHRISTIAN FAMILY TRUST 04/04/2018 TRANSCRIPT VERBATIM REPORTING & TRANSCRIPTION, LLC (520) 303-7356

THE COURT: -- maybe that -- if your client thinks

24

```
there was some damage done to Nancy, we can consider that,
 1
    but --
 2
 3
 4
              MR. POWELL: Well, I -- let me just ask you right
    now. Would -- would -- is it reasonable that for 14 months
 5
    a --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: No. No.
 8
             MR. POWELL: Okay.
 9
             THE COURT: 14 months is not reasonable. That's --
10
             MR. POWELL: Okay.
11
              THE COURT: -- the wrong number. There may be some
    months after she asked them for the money. That's when she
12
13
   made the demand --
14
             MR. POWELL: Can I --
15
             THE COURT: But we're talking like, you know, we're
16
    -- we're in the middle of a trial and we're not --
17
             MR. POWELL: A formal demand, Your Honor, after she
    had to retain Counsel. Well, you -- you and I both know Your
18
19
    Honor these are trustees. It's not as --
20
              THE COURT: I'm not --
21
             MR. POWELL: -- though you have to make --
22
             THE COURT: I'm not --
23
             MR. POWELL: -- a written (indiscernible).
24
             THE COURT: -- going to negotiate you --
```

```
MR. POWELL: No, I know.
1
             THE COURT: -- right now, but --
2
3
             MR. POWELL: I --
             THE COURT: -- you know --
4
             MR. POWELL: I understand.
5
             THE COURT: -- you can -- you can use the date that
6
   she went to see the attorney if you want -- if that's a
7
8
   different date, but I'm just saying be reasonable, that's
9
   all --
             MR. POWELL: I understand.
10
             THE COURT: -- because these -- I think this party
11
   over here can settle the case but we need to know what your
12
   client's going to want.
13
             MR. POWELL: Sure, Your Honor.
14
             THE COURT: And -- and more than he was he -- what
15
   he was entitled to. And we're not making changes to the trust
16
    until we get this resolved, right? I don't want the wording
17
    to the trust to change because he was supposed to get -- Mr.
18
    Reason was supposed to get some money under the trust but I
19
    thought it was supposed to go to a separate trust, not
20
21
    directly to Mr. Reason.
             MR. KIRSCHNER: My client --
22
             MR. POWELL: And --
23
             MR. KIRSCHNER: -- doesn't have the authority to
24
```