1	IN THE SUPREME O	ΓE OF NEVADA					
2							
3	VERNON NEWSON JR.,) No. 75932					
4	Appellant,)	Electronically Filed Oct 25 2018 09:49 a.m.				
5)	Elizabeth A. Brown				
6	V.)	Clerk of Supreme Court				
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,)					
8	Respondent.)					
9)					
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME V PAGES 833-1044						
11	 PHILIP J. KOHN	STEVE WO	OLESON				
12	Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street	Clark Coun 200 Lewis	ty District Attorney Avenue, 3 rd Floor Nevada 89155				
13	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610	Las Vegas,	Nevada 89155				
14	Attorney for Appellant	ADAM LA Attorney Go	XALT eneral				
15		100 North C Carson City	eneral Carson Street , Nevada 89701-4717				
16		(702) 687-3	538				
17		Counsel for	Respondent				
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

VERNON NEWSON JR., Case No. 75932

1

2	Case 110. 73932	DACENO
3	Affidavit dated 12/21/15	PAGE NO3-8
4	Amended Criminal Complaint filed 04/01/16	14-15
5	Amended Information filed 02/22/18	234-237
6	Commitment and Order to Appear dated 04/01/16	9
7	Criminal Complaint filed 12/22/15	1-2
8	Defendant's Notice of Witnesses filed 02/05/18	225-227
9	Defense Proposed Jury Instructions Date of Hrg: 02/26/18	238-258
11	Defendant's Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 02/08/18	228-230
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 12 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	District Court Minutes from 04/11/16 through 04/19/18	319-339
13	Ex Parte Application and Order to Extend Time to File Writ of Habeas Corpus filed 06/22/16	105-107
14	Judgment of Conviction filed 04/26/18	313-314
15	Justice Court Minutes from 12/22/15 through 04/01/16	10-13
16	Information filed 04/05/16	97-100
17	Instructions to the Jury filed 02/27/18	261-293
18	Instructions to the Jury filed 02/28/18	297-310
19	Media Request and Order filed 04/22/16	101-104
20 21	Motion in Limine Date of Hrg: 02/08/18	216-221
22	Motion to Bifurcate Count 2 Date of Hrg: 02/08/18	153-157
23	Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material Date of Hrg: 02/08/18	158-185
25	Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 08/18/16	108-110
26 27	Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 03/09/17	111-113
28	Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 09/28/17	114-116

1	Notice of Appeal field 05/21/18
2	Notice of Department Reassignment filed 02/21/18
3	Second Amended Information filed 02/27/18
4	State's Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 01/02/18
5	State's Notice of Witnesses filed 01/02/18
6 7	State's Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Compel Production of Discovery & Brady Material Date of Hrg: 02/08/18
8	Stipulation and Order filed 02/22/18
9	Supplemental Notice of Expert Witnesses filed 01/25/18
10	Supplemental Notice of Witnesses filed 01/29/18
11	Third Amended Information filed 02/28/18
12	Verdict filed 02/28/18
13	Verdict filed 02/28/18
14	TED ANG CONTINUES
15	<u>TRANSCRIPTS</u>
16 17	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 1 Date of Hrg: 02/22/18
18 19	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 2 Date of Hrg: 02/23/18
20 21	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 3 Date of Hrg: 02/26/18
22 23	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 4 Date of Hrg: 02/27/18
24 25	Recorder's Transcript JURY TRIAL DAY 5 Date of Hrg: 02/28/18
26 27 28	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call Date of Hrg: 02/09/18
20	

1 2	Recorder's Transcript Calendar Call; All Pending Motions Date of Hrg: 02/08/18
3	Recorder's Transcript
4	Calendar Call; Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 08/18/16
5	Recorder's Transcript
6	Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 03/09/17
7	Recorder's Transcript
8	Defendant's Motion to Continue Trial Date Date of Hrg: 10/12/17
9	Recorder's Transcript
10	Hearing: Deposition Date of Hrg: 02/21/18
11	Recorder's Transcript
12	Initial Arraignment Date of Hrg: 04/11/16
13	Recorder's Transcript
14	Preliminary Hearing Date of Hrg: 04/01/16
15	Recorder's Transcript
16	Sentencing Date of Hrg: 04/19/18
17	Recorder's Transcript
18	Status Check: Trial Readiness Date of Hrg: 11/09/17
19	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Readiness
20	Date of Hrg: 01/11/18
21	Recorder's Transcript Status Check: Trial Setting
22	Date of Hrg: 02/20/18
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Electronically Filed 7/30/2018 9:57 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

TRAN

DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA * * * * *

THE STATE OF NEVADA,)
Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C313919-1) DEPT NO. III)
vs.)
VERNON NEWSON, JR.,)) TRANSCRIPT OF) PROCEEDINGS
Defendant.)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

JURY TRIAL - DAY 3

MONDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2018

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE STATE: PAMELA WECKERLY, ESQ.

Chief Deputy District Attorney

KENNETH N. PORTZ, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney

FOR THE DEFENDANT: KAMBIZ SHAYGAN, ESQ.

RYAN J. BASHOR, ESQ. Deputy Public Defenders

RECORDED BY: SARA RICHARDSON, COURT RECORDER

TRANSCRIBED BY: KARR REPORTING, INC.

INDEX

WITNESSES FOR THE STATE:

WINSTON REECE Direct Examination by Ms. Weckerly 5 WENDY RADKE Direct Examination by Mr. Portz 13 37 Cross-Examination by Mr. Bashor Redirect Examination by Mr. Portz 41 Recross Examination by Mr. Bashor 42 Further Examination by Mr. Portz 44 RICKEY HAWKINS Direct Examination by Mr. Portz 50 BENJAMIN OWENS Direct Examination by Mr. Portz 63 Cross-Examination by Mr. Bashor 111 ALLISON RUBINO 123 Direct Examination by Mr. Portz

STATE'S EXHIBITS ADMITTED:

148	Photograph	10
4 - 7	Diagram	12
9 - 44	Photographs	12
85 - 89	Photographs	12
219 and 2	220 Photographs	12
113 - 110	6 Photographs	58
133 - 14	5 Photographs	58
3 God	ogle Maps	71
117 - 13	2 Photographs	78
146, 147	, 149 - 218 Photographs	78
221 - 23	0 Photographs	78
1		164
231 and 3	232 Photographs	164

1 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 26, 2018, 10:39 A.M. * * * * * 2 3 (Outside the presence of the jury.) 4 THE COURT: You guys have nothing outside the 5 presence, right? 6 MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor. 7 MR. PORTZ: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: One thing I will put on the record, I had 9 contact with one of your jurors this weekend because I had made 10 an appointment to go to DMV to get my car registered, and she 11 was working. So she kind of came walking by in the back as I 12 was getting my car registered, so I said hello to her and said, 13 "I hope you don't have to work because you were doing jury 14 duty." She said, "No, I work on Saturdays until noon anyway." So didn't ask her for anything. Just kind of did my thing and 15 16 got out of there, so. 17 (The Court confers with staff.) 18 (Jurors enter at 10:42 a.m.) 19 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 20 are going to be back on the record. Mr. Newson's present with 21 his attorneys. State's attorneys are present. We're going to 22 continue on with our State's case in chief. So Ms. Weckerly, 23 Mr. Portz, you all can call your next witness.

KARR REPORTING, INC.

WINSTON REECE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN

MS. WECKERLY: Winston Reece.

24

25

- 1 THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your name
- 2 for the record.
- THE WITNESS: Winston Reece, W-i-n-s-t-o-n,
- 4 R-e-e-c-e.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Reece.
- 6 Ms. Weckerly.
- 7 MS. WECKERLY: Thank you.
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MS. WECKERLY:
- 10 Q Mr. Reece, I want to direct your attention to early
- 11 January of 2016. What street were you living on at that time?
- 12 A Cincinnati Avenue.
- 13 Q And is that in Clark County, Nevada?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q In early January of 2016, did you make a call in to
- 16 the police about a vehicle?
- 17 A Yes, I did.
- 18 O Can you tell the members of the jury how many days
- 19 before you made that call that you noticed the vehicle?
- 20 A It was probably about for five days. Nobody came
- 21 back to attend to it. I took the VIN number and the tags and I
- 22 called it in to Metro.
- 23 Q Did you ever see anyone around the vehicle?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And can you describe to the members of the jury what

- 1 you saw?
- 2 A Well, it was around between 4:00, 4:30 in the morning
- 3 when the vehicle pulled up and parked there, then this guy got
- 4 out of it. He walked -- he went to the back passenger door, he
- 5 closed it, he locked it, and then he walked away.
- 6 Q And can you describe anything about -- you said a
- 7 guy, so I assume we're talking about a male?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And can you describe anything else that you could see
- or observe about his clothing or how he looked?
- 11 A Well, he was athletic built, tall, about my height, a
- 12 bit muscular. He had on a dark outfit like blue jean pants and
- 13 either a black or a green jacket.
- 14 Q And how tall are you, sir?
- 15 A Six-one.
- 16 Q And you said he had a dark --
- 17 A Correction. 6-11 1/2.
- 18 0 6-11 1/2?
- 19 A No, no.
- 20 THE COURT: 6-11 1/2?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Five, five. $5-11 \frac{1}{2}$.
- MS. WECKERLY: Okay. I was thinking, well, that --
- 23 THE WITNESS: 5-11 1/2.
- 24 BY MS. WECKERLY:
- 25 Q Okay. So he was about your height?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And you're 5-11 1/2?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And you said he was wearing a darker green jacket and
- 5 dark pants?
- 6 A It could have been a green jacket or a black, but the
- 7 light was not excellent, although he was close to the street
- 8 lamp, but that's as much as I could tell of him.
- 9 O And where were you observing him from?
- 10 A From my living room window.
- 11 Q Okay. So you could see, I guess would your window
- 12 have been across the street or --
- 13 A Yes, yes.
- 14 Q Okay. So you could see across the street and you saw
- 15 him pull up at about 4:30?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And I think you said he had an athletic build?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Do you remember anything about his race?
- 20 A He was a black person. I can't tell if he is black
- 21 Mexican or black, you know, but he was black.
- 22 Q And I assume you couldn't see enough to see his face?
- 23 A No.
- Q Now, as you're looking from your living room window,
- 25 I think you said you saw him go into the back --

- 1 A Passenger door, yes.
- 3 Could you see what he was doing there?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q And after he did that, what did you see the figure
- 6 doing?
- 7 A He closed the door back, he locked it, because I
- 8 could hear when he hit the remote and it locked, and then he
- 9 walked away.
- 10 Q Could you tell what direction he walked?
- 11 A He was going west, and then he made a left going
- 12 towards Sahara Avenue.
- 13 Q Okay. And then I assume you lost sight of him?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Did you go up to the car immediately?
- 16 A No.
- 17 Q At some point did you go up?
- 18 A About two days later.
- 19 Q And what did you see?
- 20 A Well, in the -- well, what happened, my neighbor
- 21 called me because he saw something that looked like a bullet
- 22 hole in the trunk area, and he called me and I went and I look.
- 23 There was three spent cartridges in the back seat and a bloody
- 24 beanie hat. And then I turned around and I called Metro and
- 25 give them that information, and they came out at same time.

- 1 O And so when you described those items that you saw in
- 2 the back seat, I assume you could look into the car window and
- 3 see those things?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q You didn't open the car or anything?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q And you said you saw spent cartridge cases?
- 8 A Yes, three.
- 9 O And some blood?
- 10 A On a whitish looking beanie cap.
- 11 Q And based on that you call it in to Metro?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And sometime after that they come out to the car?
- 14 A Within half an hour they were out there.
- 15 Q And you give them a statement describing what you
- 16 just told us this morning?
- 17 A That's correct.
- MS. WECKERLY: May I approach, Your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: You may.
- 20 BY MS. WECKERLY:
- 21 Q Sir, I'm showing you what's been marked as State's
- 22 Proposed 148. Do you recognize that photograph?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Does that appear to be the vehicle and how you
- 25 observed it on that morning?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And this is obviously after the police came, so it's
- 3 a couple days after you saw it?
- 4 A That's correct.
- 5 MS. WECKERLY: State moves to admit 148.
- 6 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 7 MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. 138 will be admitted. Thank
- 9 you.
- MS. WECKERLY: Oh, sorry, Your Honor. It's 148.
- 11 THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. 148.
- 12 (State's Exhibit No. 148 admitted.)
- 13 BY MS. WECKERLY:
- 14 Q And sir, do you see it on the screen in front of you?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q And can you just -- you can actually mark on the
- 17 screen with your finger. Can you just describe, like mark on
- 18 the screen where you were standing when you were looking into
- 19 the back seat of the vehicle?
- 20 A Right on the side here.
- 21 Q Okay.
- 22 THE COURT: So your mark is kind of, since this is
- 23 just two-dimensional, it kind of looks like it's on top of the
- 24 car. So are you on the side, the street side, or the house
- 25 side?

- 1 THE WITNESS: On the side, on the house side.
- THE COURT: The houses side. All right. Thank you.
- 3 THE WITNESS: On the sidewalk.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 MS. WECKERLY: Thank you very much. I will pass the
- 6 witness.
- 7 THE COURT: Gentlemen.
- 8 MR. BASHOR: No, thank you. Nice meeting you.
- 9 THE WITNESS: That's it?
- 10 THE COURT: Anything from our jurors? Well,
- 11 Mr. Reece, thank you very much for your time. I appreciate you
- 12 coming in, sir. You are excused.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: State may call their next witness.
- MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. The State calls
- 16 Wendy Radke.
- 17 Your Honor, while Ms. Radke's making her way to the
- 18 stand, I'd like to just note for the record we've spoken with
- 19 defense counsel, there's a few additional stipulations on
- 20 proposed exhibits.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- MR. PORTZ: State's Proposed 4 through 44, 85 through
- 23 112, and 219 and 220.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. I thought we already admitted
- 25 a group of through 112.

- 1 MR. PORTZ: That's actually correct. I apologize.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay. Was it like 90 through 112 we had
- 3 previously done or something? But is all that correct, guys?
- 4 MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. So 4 through 44 will be admitted.
- 6 (State's Exhibits No. 4 through 7 admitted.)
- 7 (State's Exhibits No. 9 through 44 admitted.)
- 8 THE COURT: 85 through 112, to the extent that any of
- 9 that was previously admitted it's already in, but the other
- 10 ones will be admitted.
- 11 (State's Exhibits No. 85 through 89 admitted.)
- 12 THE COURT: And then 219 and 220 will be admitted as
- 13 well.
- 14 (State's Exhibits No. 219 and 220 admitted.)
- 15 THE COURT: Hi.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Hello, sir.
- 17 THE COURT: How are you doing. Raise your right
- 18 hand, please.
- 19 WENDY RADKE, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
- 20 THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your name
- 21 for the record.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Wendy Radke, W-e-n-d-y, R-a-d-k-e.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. All right, Mr. Portz.
- 24 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 25 //

- 1 //
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 4 Q Ms. Radke, how are you employed?
- 5 A By the City of North Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 6 Q And what's your position with the City of North Las
- 7 Vegas?
- 8 A Senior crime scene analyst.
- 9 O Is that for the North Las Vegas Police Department?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q And what are your responsibilities as a crime scene
- 12 analyst with the North Las Vegas Police Department?
- 13 A In general terms we respond to crime scenes, document
- 14 crime scenes, collect evidence, and preserve what's called the
- 15 chain of custody.
- 16 Q Is there any training or education required in order
- 17 to become a crime scene analyst with the North Las Vegas Police
- 18 Department?
- 19 A Yes, sir. From college, field training, and we have
- 20 to keep up with lots of training on a yearly basis as well.
- 21 Q I want to direct your attention to the evening, late
- 22 evening hours of December 13, 2015. Were you working that
- 23 evening?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q And sometime around midnight were you called out to a

- 1 potential homicide scene?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 Q Where was that located?
- 4 A That was on the on ramp at the Interstate 15 --
- 5 O Is that near the Lamb Boulevard and the I-15?
- 6 A Yes, south of.
- 7 Q Is that North Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q And when you arrived on scene, can you describe what
- 10 you first saw?
- 11 A When I first arrived, actually I just saw some cones
- 12 off in the distance, and that was basically it from afar.
- 13 Q I'm going to publish for you State's 7. And is that
- 14 the scene that you just described to us?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q State's 8, is that a close-up of the cones that you
- 17 were referencing?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q When you first arrive on scene or any crime scene of
- 20 this nature, do you meet and confer with individuals before you
- 21 begin doing some of your jobs of collecting and photographing
- 22 evidence?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- Q In this case who did you meet with?
- 25 A I met with Detective Ben Owens, his supervisor, which

- 1 was Lieutenant Glazier.
- 2 Q During that meeting are you given a brief of sort of
- 3 what's believed to have occurred at this location?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And were there any other crime scene analysts present
- 6 at this location with you?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Who was that?
- 9 A CSI Harder.
- 10 Q So what were -- after you got the brief, was CSI
- 11 Harder with you as well?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And what were -- at that point in time, what were you
- 14 and CSA Harder assigned to do?
- 15 A I was assigned to photograph the scene and collect
- 16 the evidence. And CSI Harder, she was going to take
- 17 measurements of all the evidence that we were going to collect
- 18 so that at a later time she could create a computer-generated
- 19 diagram.
- 20 Q Can you describe to the members of the jury your
- 21 process of how you go about documenting and collecting
- 22 evidence?
- 23 A Sure. First obviously we kind of walk through the
- 24 scene, see what we have. Then we will take notes, we will take
- 25 photographs of everything that's on scene either from -- you

- 1 know, we'll start far away and then kind of get closer up.
- 2 Then we'll mark the evidence that we plan on collecting with
- 3 numbers. Certain things that can't be collected or we can't
- 4 take away we will put alphabetic markers by or on.
- 5 And then we will actually collect things that we can
- 6 take away with us, and then that way we preserve what we take
- 7 and that's what's called the chain of custody, and book it into
- 8 our evidence vault.
- 9 O Thank you. Was there anything unusual about the
- 10 weather conditions the evening that you were at this crime
- 11 scene?
- 12 A Yes. It was extremely cold and windy that night.
- 13 Q Now, you had mentioned that you arrived and there
- 14 were orange cones, the cones that we see on the screen right
- 15 now; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Were those orange cones part of the crime itself, or
- 18 were they placed there subsequently?
- 19 A Placed there subsequently.
- 20 Q And were you informed as to what these orange cones
- 21 were doing out here before you began collecting evidence?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q What was your understanding about the orange cones?
- 24 A They were placed there by patrol officers that
- 25 responded there first to prevent the small items underneath

- 1 from blowing away.
- 2 Q So as a result of the fact the orange cones were
- 3 there, did you take any extra steps in collecting the evidence
- 4 that was on scene?
- 5 A Yes, we did.
- 6 Q Okay. I guess the orange cones were actually sitting
- 7 on top of items of evidence; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And would you photograph that orange cone in its
- 10 place before moving it to see what was underneath?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. I'm going to turn your attention, you
- 13 referenced that as you go through evidence you would collect
- 14 pieces of evidence and assign each particular piece of evidence
- 15 either a number or a letter; is that right?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q I'm going to start, just we'll go in order,
- 18 publishing State's 9. And is this one of those evidence
- 19 markings that you referenced?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Specifically evidence marking Number 1, correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And State's 10 is a close-up of that item; is that
- 24 correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And State's 11, close-up yet again. Can you describe
- 2 to the members of the jury what you documented as the Evidence
- 3 Item No. 1?
- 4 A Yes. That would be a bullet fragment, or ammunition
- 5 fragment right there.
- 6 Q Moving on to State's 12, tell us what we're looking
- 7 at in State's 12.
- 8 A That is the cluster of cones with all of the evidence
- 9 markers placed by them.
- 10 O And State's 13, what is this?
- 11 A Evidence Item No. 2, with the cone.
- 12 Q And then again as you testified, is the cone
- 13 subsequently removed and another photograph of the evidence
- 14 taken?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q So I'm going to publish State's 14. Describe what
- 17 you identified as Evidence Item No. 2.
- 18 A One piece of cloth, or a portion of cloth.
- 19 Q Move on now to Items 3 and 4, publishing State's 15
- 20 and State's 16. Can you identify what we see in State's 16?
- 21 A This was Evidence Item No. 3, which was a cellphone,
- 22 HTC cellphone.
- 23 Q And State's 17, is that a close-up of the cellphone?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Was there anything of note with regards to the

- 1 cellphone that you had seen as you were collecting the
- 2 evidence?
- 3 A At this point it just appeared to be damaged somehow.
- 4 Q I'm going to publish State's 19. What is this,
- 5 Ms. Radke?
- 6 A Evidence Item No. 4.
- 7 Q And is that with the cone still on top of it?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O State's 20, what is identified as Evidence Item
- 10 No. 4?
- 11 A One piece of, I think it was blue and yellow plaid
- 12 cloth.
- 13 Q I'm going to now publish State's 21. What is
- 14 depicted in State's 21, Ms. Harder [sic] -- or Ms. Radke? I
- 15 apologize.
- 16 A No problem. Another cluster of the orange cones with
- 17 the evidence placards and alphabetical placards.
- 18 Q And again, the cones were placed on top of evidence
- 19 here and you photographed that, the cone in its place and then
- 20 the item underneath it, correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And let's move on to Item No. 5, then
- 23 publishing State's 23. Can you describe what was identified as
- 24 Evidence Item No. 5?
- 25 A Yes. It was one cartridge case.

- 1 Q And now publishing State's 25, what is this?
- 2 A It looks like a bullet fragments, or bullet fragment,
- 3 multiple perhaps.
- 4 Q And did you notice any -- or know anything with
- 5 regards to the ground directly underneath the bullet fragment
- 6 with regards to your report?
- 7 A Yes, sir. It appears that there is some sort of
- 8 defect in the ground underneath it, or the asphalt.
- 9 O And in your training and experience, given the
- 10 location of the fragment of the bullet and the defect in the
- 11 ground, what did you believe the defect in the ground to have
- 12 been?
- 13 A It could have been a possible bullet strike.
- 14 Q So we'll move on to Items 7 and 8 in State's 27. Are
- 15 those your markers, Evidence Items 7 and 8?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Publishing State's 28, can you describe what you
- 18 identified as Evidence Item No. 7?
- 19 A One cartridge case.
- 20 Q Evidence Item No. 8, on State's 29?
- 21 A One cartridge case.
- 22 Q Now to publish State's 30, are Evidence Items 9
- 23 and 10 there?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And what did you record as Evidence Items No. 9

- 1 and 10?
- 2 A One cartridge case for each item.
- 3 Q Moving on to State's 33.
- 4 A One cartridge case.
- 5 O And that's for the record evidence item marked
- 6 Number 11; is that correct?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q Thank you. And then you also indicated that there
- 9 were evidence markers that were letters as opposed to numbers.
- 10 Can you again explain the significance of why you used letters
- 11 for certain items of evidence and numbers for others?
- 12 A Sure. Again, the alphabetical placards are used when
- 13 there's something on a scene that you cannot take away but
- 14 you're still trying to document it and identify it as something
- 15 from the others that are there on scene.
- 16 Q Now, you had already testified previously one of the,
- 17 as you noted in your report, was a defect in the ground that
- 18 you said may have been caused by a bullet strike; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Did you observe other potential bullet strike defects
- 22 as you were examining the scene?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Going to publish State's 33 -- 35, excuse me. Did
- 25 you mark or demarcate those potential defects as Items ABC

- 1 and E in your report?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And can you see -- sorry, I had that slid over a
- 4 little bit. Can we see all four, ABC and E in this exhibit?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q Move through some close-ups. State's 36.
- 7 MR. BASHOR: I'm sorry. Was that 35?
- 8 MR. PORTZ: Yes.
- 9 MR. BASHOR: Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 11 Q State's 36, can you describe what we're seeing here?
- 12 A Yes. One apparent bullet strike or defect.
- 13 Q Marked as Item No. A, item letter A?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q State's 37?
- 16 A Item No. B, one apparent -- do you want me to go and
- 17 describe it as well, or just --
- 18 O If you could, why you documented this particular
- 19 area.
- 20 A Okay. One defect.
- 21 Q And this is Evidence Marker C, State's 38. Why did
- 22 you document this piece of evidence or this particular area of
- 23 the ground?
- 24 A It was another defect in the ground.
- 25 Q Looking at State's 40, that was marked with the

- 1 letter D, why did you photograph this area of the ground?
- 2 A Because it appeared that there was some staining of
- 3 possible blood or brownish red stains.
- 4 Q And State's 41 is, what are we looking at here?
- 5 A This area contained both a defect and apparent blood.
- 6 Q Could you, using the screen, circle where the area of
- 7 the defect is within the apparent blood?
- 8 A Sure [indicating].
- 9 O Thank you. And for the record, you've circled a
- 10 small, I guess, gray patch within the red next to a white line
- on the left side of the photograph. And for the record, this
- is -- this was marked as letter E in your notes?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q Thank you. Publishing State's 42, describe what
- 15 we're looking at here, please.
- 16 A This was an image that was documented and processed
- 17 of collecting a sample of that stain with a cotton swab.
- 19 apparent blood stain?
- 20 A So that if this sample was to be tested at a later
- 21 time we could do that.
- 22 Q State's 43, can you tell us what we're looking at
- 23 here, please.
- 24 A Yes. That is Placard F as in Frank, and it was
- 25 another stain of apparent blood.

- 1 Q And State's 44?
- 2 A Evidence Placard G, George, and another area of
- 3 stains of apparent blood.
- 4 Q Now, you had referenced before you began your
- 5 documentation of the evidence on this scene that another CSA,
- 6 Harder, was present with you and it was her -- her assignment
- 7 was what?
- 8 A She was going to take measurements of all relevant
- 9 items that we marked on scene, and then she was going to create
- 10 a diagram of these items later.
- 11 Q And did she subsequently, to your knowledge and your
- 12 role in this investigation, create a diagram of the items
- impounded on scene?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And does that diagram correspond to the numbers of
- 16 the placards and the letters of the placards that we've just
- 17 gone through in detail?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 20 State's 4. Can you describe what we're looking at here?
- 21 A This is -- well, the overall is the I-15 on ramp from
- 22 Lamb, and it shows the cluster of both alphabetical and
- 23 numerical placards and evidence items that were collected on
- 24 that on ramp.
- 25 Q And State's 5, is that a close-up of the cluster that

- 1 is on the southern portion of the on ramp?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And then did CSA Harder also generate a legend that
- 4 corresponds to the numbers and letters that you've gone
- 5 through?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Publishing State's 6, is that what we see here?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Now, once processing the scene, do you -- what do you
- 10 do with the evidence that you collect?
- 11 A Once we are finished at the scene and all
- 12 measurements are taken, if I'm the person who might -- if I'm,
- 13 we call it custodian, evidence custodian, I'm the custodian, I
- 14 collect it. I transport it to either the CSI bureau or an
- 15 evidence vault where I package it and submit it to the vault.
- 16 Always -- we call it "under our hand," it's under my hand at
- 17 all times, and if anyone else should ever take custody, they
- 18 would have to sign the chain of custody.
- 19 Q And did you do that in this case?
- 20 A Yes. Actually on this case, after we were done I had
- 21 to drop it off at our CSI bureau and lock it up in our
- 22 temporary lockers because we responded to a scene right after
- 23 this that was related to this homicide.
- Q Okay. And where was that scene that you responded to
- 25 located?

- 1 A Saint Bar Street in Las Vegas.
- 2 Q And was that -- so that was shortly after you had
- 3 left the crime scene at the on ramp on Lamb?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And did you respond to that location alone, or were
- 6 you with another analyst again?
- 7 A CSI Harder accompanied me to Saint Bar as well.
- 8 Q Do you go through the same process that you described
- 9 when you arrived at the highway, as in getting a brief from
- 10 detectives, et cetera?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And who did you meet with when you arrived at the
- 13 Saint Bar Court residence?
- 14 A Again, Detective Owens and Detective Stucky.
- 15 Q And were you and CSA Harder assigned different roles
- 16 again in this, in the collection of evidence at this location?
- 17 A This time we switched it around. I basically
- 18 assisted her and she was the one taking the photographs and
- 19 collecting the evidence.
- 20 Q I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 21 State's 90. Is this the residence on Saint Bar Court?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Can you describe what you and CSA Harder did after
- 24 you met with Detective Owens, where you first went and what
- 25 items of evidence you collected?

- 1 A Sure. He advised us that we were allowed to go
- 2 inside the residence at that point, and so first she took
- 3 photographs of where we were just to document our location, and
- 4 then we entered and we were shown the locations of certain
- 5 items of interest.
- 6 Q I'm going to publish State's 91. Describe what we're
- 7 looking at in State's 91.
- 8 A Yes. This was the laundry room within the residence.
- 9 O And what if anything was significant about this
- 10 particular area?
- 11 A That, I believe that was a plastic bag that was
- 12 containing some items that we were to document.
- 13 Q And if I publish State's 92, what are we looking at
- 14 here?
- 15 A Some ammunition. Item No. 1, I'm sorry.
- 16 Q Okay. Thank you. Did you also take a photograph of
- 17 the headstamp of that ammunition in this photograph, or in this
- 18 exhibit?
- 19 A Yes. CSI Harder did.
- 20 Q Publishing State's 93, is that a photograph of that
- 21 headstamp?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- 23 Q Did you also locate items of evidence with any
- 24 potential apparent blood on it?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And I'm going to publish State's 94. Please describe
- 2 what we're looking at here.
- 3 A That was the -- I believe the padding from a child
- 4 seat, an infant seat from a -- that usually fits into a car.
- 5 O And State's 95, what was documented in State's 95?
- 6 A Evidence Items No. 2 and 3.
- 7 Q And was Item 2 -- well, do State's 96. Does Item 2
- 8 include what appears to be a child's blanket, sock and pair of
- 9 pants?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q State's 97, can you tell us what was documented here
- 12 and why?
- 13 A Yes. It was the staining of apparent blood on the
- 14 child's pant leg.
- 15 Q And State's 98, what was documented here and why,
- 16 please?
- 17 A That is a defect that was on the child's blanket.
- 18 O And what do we see in State's 99?
- 19 A Again, the padding of Evidence Item No. 3 that has
- 20 some apparent blood staining in the crevice of the material.
- 21 Q And this padding you had indicated came from a
- 22 child's car seat; is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Did you and/or CSA Harder remove that padding from
- 25 the car seat itself?

- 1 A I believe CSI Harder did.
- 2 Q Publishing State's 100, what do we see here?
- A Ah, there is the car seat. My apologies.
- 4 Q And then State's 101, we have a placard identifying
- 5 Evidence Item No. 4. Can you describe if you recall what was
- 6 significant about the -- on the car seat itself?
- 7 A There was also some apparent blood found on that
- 8 handle as well, and that was the car seat handle.
- 9 O It's one of two that what are we looking at there?
- 10 A Again, that is the process of how we collected the
- 11 stain of apparent blood from the car seat handle.
- 12 MR. PORTZ: The Court's indulgence.
- 13 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 14 Q Publishing what's been admitted as State's 103,
- 15 describe to the members of the jury what we're looking at in
- 16 State's 103.
- 17 A Evidence Item No. 5, which was a diaper bag
- 18 containing various baby items and a baby bottle containing
- 19 liquid.
- 20 Q Thank you. Publishing State's 104, a close-up of
- 21 that baby bottle?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And was there any subsequent processing or analysis
- 24 attempted on the bottle itself?
- 25 A Yes. The bottle was actually brought back to the

- 1 laboratory and was processed for fingerprints or palm prints.
- 2 Q In State's 105, can you describe what we see in 105
- 3 with reference to the bottle itself?
- 4 A Sure. What you see on there that looks kind of like
- 5 Scotch tape is actually what's called latent lift tape, and
- 6 that is what is used once powder is applied to a surface. We
- 7 put the tape onto the surface to lift any potential
- 8 fingerprints or palm prints that might have been left on the
- 9 surface off from the surface, so it's a way of collecting
- 10 fingerprints from a surface. So that is what you actually see
- 11 on there prior to removing it from the baby bottle.
- 12 O As a crime scene analyst, are you trained in
- 13 collecting fingerprint evidence?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And are you also as a crime scene analyst with the
- 16 North Las Vegas Police Department trained in analyzing or
- 17 comparing fingerprint evidence?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And can you just describe briefly for the members of
- 20 the jury what sort of training and experience you have with
- 21 regards to fingerprint analysis?
- 22 A Sure. Well, because we actually go through the
- 23 entire process of comparing and identifying fingerprints, they
- 24 actually -- they'll send us all over the country just to learn
- 25 exactly how fingerprints are formed, to the end on how to enter

- 1 them into our database of fingerprints, and then to actually
- 2 make an identifying comparison at the end. It's -- there's a
- 3 lot of training involved. And they also send us to training
- 4 every year just to keep us updated on this topic, so.
- 5 Q Now, when you collect a print, are they always -- or
- 6 are there -- are there requirements before you can analyze
- 7 them, as do they have to be of a sufficient quality?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Can you describe the determination of whether or not
- 10 they meet that criteria of quality to be compared to other
- 11 known prints?
- 12 A There's not like an exact number or anything that,
- 13 you know, that you have to like see, but there definitely has
- to be enough details within the ridges of a print so that you
- 15 can compare it from what's called a known person or a known
- 16 example to what you're collecting from an item. So I mean you
- 17 have to have some sort of detail in order to do so.
- O So if there's not sufficient detail from the print
- 19 lifted from a particular item, it cannot be compared to a known
- 20 for purposes of forensic testing?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 O Was the print pulled from the bottle that we see in
- 23 this exhibit of any comparable quality?
- A I do not believe so unfortunately, no.
- 25 Q All right. We'll move on to what else was inside the

- 1 house. Did you also -- well, publishing State's 106, and can
- 2 you describe what we're looking at in State's 106?
- 3 A Sure. These were the items that -- well, it was the
- 4 purse that was left behind and all of the items that were found
- 5 within the purse.
- 6 Q Publishing State's 108, is this one of the items
- 7 found within the purse?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And what are we looking at in State's 108?
- 10 A It is an envelope with an address and name on it.
- 11 O Is that the name of Vernon Newson?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q State's 110, can you tell me what was documented
- 14 here?
- 15 A Yes. That was Evidence Item No. 9, which was a City
- 16 of Pasadena birth certificate.
- 17 Q And the birth certificate belonged to one Vernon
- 18 Newson?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Publishing State's 109, and what is in State's 109?
- 21 A Evidence Item No. 7, State of Nevada driver's license
- 22 in the name of Anshanette McNeil.
- 23 Q State's 111, what are we seeing there?
- 24 A I don't know what evidence item number that is,
- 25 but --

- 1 Q Let me back that out.
- 2 A Evidence Item No. 10, one Clark County -- or I'm
- 3 sorry, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, a gun
- 4 registration blue card in the name of Anshanette McNeil.
- 5 Q And State's 112, what do we see in this image?
- 6 A That was the baby that was carried in that car seat
- 7 that we had seen earlier.
- 8 Q Now, you had referenced that you had dropped certain
- 9 items of evidence back off at the -- from the crime scene back
- 10 off at the North Las Vegas crime scene analyst bureau; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Okay. And one of those items that you had impounded
- 14 was the cellphone; is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 17 State's 87. What do we see here?
- 18 A That is the HTC cellphone.
- 19 Q Where was this photograph taken?
- 20 A That was in the CSI crime laboratory.
- 21 Q And publishing State's 89, what do we see in
- 22 State's 89?
- 23 A A defect in the side of the phone.
- Q And what did you note that defect to be potentially
- 25 in your report?

- 1 A An apparent bullet hole.
- 2 Q What about that defect led you to believe that it
- 3 might be an apparent bullet hole?
- 4 A In the following photos you will see there is
- 5 actually a cross-section where you can actually see penetration
- 6 through, through the phone itself.
- 7 Q I want to also turn your attention to -- were items
- 8 of the victim's clothing collected and photographed as well for
- 9 the lab, to your knowledge?
- 10 A I'm sorry. Can you repeat that.
- 11 Q I'm sorry. Were items of the victim's clothing
- 12 impounded and photographed at the lab to your knowledge as
- 13 well?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Okay. Publishing what's been admitted as State's 86,
- 16 and does State's 86 depict the jacket that had been worn by the
- 17 victim of the homicide incident?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And was there noted defects, potential bullet holes
- 20 as well as blood evidence on that jacket?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q Publishing State's 2 -- or I'm sorry, State's 14,
- 23 you've already testified to this, but this was a piece of
- 24 evidence at the crime scene you marked Number 2. Is this a
- 25 gray fabric similar to what we see in the jacket that was in

- 1 the prior exhibit?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 O And then publishing State's 85, is this a photograph
- 4 of a T-shirt that the victim had been wearing during the
- 5 shooting?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And again, was there apparent blood and bullet
- 8 defects on that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And then referring you back to State's 20, from the
- 11 homicide scene, identified as Exhibit 4 [sic], can you describe
- 12 what we see there?
- 13 A Yes. It's another piece of plaid fabric that's
- 14 similar to the shirt that was taken from the victim.
- 15 Q Thank you. I want to jump forward a little bit.
- 16 Later on in the investigation into this incident, were you
- 17 asked to conduct any print analysis on a print that was pulled
- 18 by CSA Harder?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And do you recall where that print came from?
- 21 A There was a cup that was actually located in the
- 22 suspect's vehicle at a later time, and the print was taken from
- 23 the cup in that vehicle.
- 24 Q And what were you asked to do with the print pulled
- 25 from that cup?

- 1 A I was asked to -- it's called confirming that it was
- 2 the victim's fingerprint.
- 3 Q Publishing State's 219, is this a photograph of that
- 4 cup?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And State's 220, can you describe what we're looking
- 7 at here?
- 8 A Yes. That is actually the print itself that was
- 9 developed with black fingerprint powder and what's also called
- 10 cyanoacrylate, a fancy way of saying Super Glue.
- 11 Q And when you analyzed this print itself that was
- 12 pulled from this cup, did you have known prints to compare it
- 13 to from Anshanette McNeil?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And the print that was pulled from this cup, was it
- 16 of a quality sufficient in your opinion based on your training
- 17 and experience to compare it to a known print?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And what was your conclusion as you compared this
- 20 print pulled from this cup to the known prints from Anshanette
- 21 McNeil?
- 22 A That this was identical to her finger, yes. I
- 23 believe it was her right ring finger, if I recall correctly.
- Q Thank you.
- MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.

- 1 THE COURT: Okay. Gentlemen.
- 2 MR. BASHOR: Your Honor, may I have a quick
- 3 indulgence for the exhibits?
- 4 (Pause in proceeding.)
- 5 MR. BASHOR: I got them. Thank you. I don't want to
- 6 mess with Mr. Portz's order.
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MR. BASHOR:
- 9 O Good morning.
- 10 A Good morning.
- 11 Q Now, just so I'm clear, the cones that we see for
- 12 instance in State's 39, those were in place before you arrived,
- 13 right?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And that was used as a precaution by patrol officers
- 16 to prevent evidence from moving?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q And that was because it was extremely high wind that
- 19 day?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And so as soon as -- as you understand it, as soon as
- 22 the officer saw that there was potential for movement, they put
- 23 the cones?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q So that -- those measurements that we have of the

- 1 precise location of each one of these pieces of evidence are
- 2 precise as to when the cones were placed, correct?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q There may have been some movement before the cone was
- 5 placed?
- 6 A True.
- 7 Q Now further getting into the weather, you were having
- 8 a rough time out there, huh?
- 9 A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q I mean you were very conscientious, correct? I mean
- in your report you even indicate that your tripod was moving?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And if you were able to see the exposure not be of
- 14 the best quality, you did your best to take a second
- 15 photograph?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q In addition to that, there were periods of
- 18 precipitation, correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And being conscientious, you noted that that may have
- 21 caused some of what appeared to be the blood stains to lose
- 22 their shape?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O And run or drain outward in direction?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q So in other words, they may have been bigger due to
- 2 precipitation interacting with them?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Now, in for instance State's Exhibit No. 37, you
- 5 indicate that -- indicated on direct examination that this
- 6 appeared to be a bullet strike, correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And I think you used the words "it may have been,"
- 9 correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q So assuming that it is a bullet strike for a moment,
- 12 was anything done on any of these strikes to determine the
- 13 angle in which the bullet struck the pavement?
- 14 A No.
- 15 O So for instance, we do not know if the bullet strike
- 16 that's depicted in State's 37, Letter B was done directly
- 17 perpendicular to the ground, correct?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q Almost done. Thank you for your patience. Now, in
- 20 State's Exhibit No. 35, if I understood your direct examination
- 21 correctly, ABC and E are apparent bullet strikes, correct?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q How -- what's the width of one of those placards?
- 24 A I believe it's 4 inches.
- 25 Q Okay. And so we can use that as a quide to see the

- 1 distance between say A and E, correct? Roughly. I'm not
- 2 asking for a precise.
- 3 A Yeah.
- 4 Q So it looks like the difference between A and E may
- 5 be a few feet, correct?
- 6 A Possibly more, only because this is at an angle.
- 7 Q Right. So but feet, not inches, fair?
- 8 A A little more though, I would imagine.
- 9 Q Okay. Can you describe what you mean?
- 10 A Maybe 10, only because this is kind of at a slant and
- 11 on a hill, so.
- 12 O So more than a few feet?
- 13 A Yeah, maybe six-ish, rough guess.
- 14 Q Fair. No, no. I get it. About 6 feet between say A
- 15 and E?
- 16 A Maybe, yeah.
- 17 Q Whereas maybe between A and B, less than a foot?
- 18 A Yes. But it would be on the diagram too, if you --
- 19 Q Correct, correct.
- 20 MR. BASHOR: The Court's indulgence?
- 21 THE COURT: Okay.
- 22 MR. BASHOR: Thank you very much.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Portz, anything further?
- 25 MS. WECKERLY: Can we just have the Court's

- 1 indulgence.
- 2 MR. PORTZ: The Court's indulgence. I'm sorry.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay.
- 4 (Attorneys confer.)
- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 7 Q Ma'am, you were asked a few questions about the
- 8 bullet strikes themselves that we saw publishing State's 37.
- 9 Do you recall those questions on cross?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q One of the questions was that you didn't do anything
- 12 to document the angle from which the strike may have come from,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q The photograph itself is a document of the strike; is
- 16 that right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O And as to determining the angle in which it came
- 19 from, that would depend on the angle from where the shooter was
- 20 located; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- MR. PORTZ: The Court's indulgence.
- 23 (Attorneys confer.)
- MR. PORTZ: Thank you. No further questions.
- 25 THE COURT: Anything further, Ryan?

- 1 MR. BASHOR: Just briefly, if I can be allowed.
- 2 (Attorneys confer.)
- 3 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. BASHOR:
- 5 Q So just so I understand, a bullet -- would it be fair
- 6 to say, as in State's Exhibit 37, Bullet Strike B, there does
- 7 not appear to be any pooling of that brown-red substance that
- 8 you presume to be blood there, correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Whereas in E, which we now know to be a bullet
- 11 strike, it does appear to be in somewhat of a pool of blood?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q Thank you.
- MR. BASHOR: No further questions.
- 15 MR. PORTZ: Nothing further from the State, Your
- 16 Honor. Thank you.
- 17 THE COURT: Anything from our jurors? Yes.
- 18 (Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
- 19 THE COURT: You all can approach.
- 20 MR. BASHOR: It's fine by me.
- 21 MS. WECKERLY: That's fine.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay.
- 23 (End bench conference.)
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, got a few questions for
- 25 you.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- THE COURT: The first one is, were you able to
- 3 determine how many shots were fired on scene?
- 4 THE WITNESS: I -- I guess you could depending on how
- 5 many cartridge cases were found. So I mean unless there were
- 6 others that -- cartridge cases that blew away that we weren't
- 7 able to locate, there were at least six shots fired.
- 8 THE COURT: And you're basing that just based on the
- 9 number of empty cartridge cases that were located in that area?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Was the cellphone damage on the
- 12 front or back of the phone?
- 13 THE WITNESS: There was some damage on the front of
- 14 the phone where it was cracked, but then most of it was on the
- 15 side. There are some pictures where you can see it appears
- 16 that a bullet just traveled right through the cellphone and the
- 17 cellphone kind of opens up like a sandwich.
- 18 THE COURT: So the bullet traveled through the phone
- 19 front to back, back to front --
- THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 21 THE COURT: -- or could you determine that at all?
- 22 THE WITNESS: From the side through actually.
- 23 THE COURT: From the side through.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 2 THE COURT: And then finally, can you elaborate on
- 3 the defect found on the child's blanket; like a tear, a hole,
- 4 what were you referring to?
- 5 THE WITNESS: It was just like a hole within the
- 6 material, so just a basic hole right through it, the material.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Portz, any questions based on
- 8 mine?
- 9 MR. PORTZ: The Court's indulgence.
- 10 (Attorneys confer.)
- 11 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, we're just attempting to
- 12 locate one exhibit.
- 13 THE COURT: Okay.
- 14 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 16 Q And I apologize, I may have forgotten to publish one
- 17 certain exhibit. Your description of the bullet strike, I'm
- 18 going to publish State's 88, is this one of the photographs of
- 19 the phone that you documented as well when you were at the lab?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q And can you describe what we're looking at there?
- 22 A That was a side view of the HTC cellphone with the
- 23 defect close up.
- 24 O Thank you.
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 THE COURT: Anything further, Mr. Bashor?
- 2 MR. BASHOR: No, thank you. Thank you.
- 3 THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, thank you very much for
- 4 your time. I very much appreciate it. You are excused.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: State may call their next witness.
- 7 MS. WECKERLY: Your Honor, can we approach?
- 8 THE COURT: Sure.
- 9 (Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
- 10 THE COURT: So have we reached our family issue time?
- MS. WECKERLY: No, no. But we don't have anybody
- 12 until 1:00 now because of our thing with our other crime scene
- 13 analyst.
- 14 THE COURT: Oh, okay.
- 15 MS. WECKERLY: So we have three people coming in
- 16 at 1:00, and those are our last three.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. Do you guys have any sense of
- 18 whether you're calling witnesses, or...
- 19 MR. BASHOR: We're going to need to settle some jury
- 20 instructions before I can decide whether or not we rest.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. Got it. Okay. All right. Then
- 22 we will break for a long lunch.
- MS. WECKERLY: Sorry.
- 24 THE COURT: It's okay.
- 25 (End bench conference.)

- 1 THE COURT: All right. Folks, we're going to go
- 2 ahead and take our lunch break a little early today. And just
- 3 so you know, there was an issue, a family issue with one of the
- 4 witnesses. So they were supposed to be here this morning,
- 5 they're going to come in at 1:00 o'clock now. But it's
- 6 anticipated the State has a few more witnesses, so they'll be
- 7 resting their case early this afternoon. So lunch will be just
- 8 a little bit longer than normal today.
- 9 So during the recess you're admonished not to talk or
- 10 converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
- 11 connected with the trial, or read, watch or listen to any
- 12 report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of
- information, including without limitation the newspapers,
- 14 television, the Internet and radio, or form or express any
- opinion on any subject connected with the case until it's
- 16 finally submitted to you. No legal or factual research or
- 17 investigation or re-creation of testimony on your own.
- And I will see you back at 1:00 o'clock. Okay.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 (Jurors recessed at 11:37 a.m.)
- 21 THE COURT: You guys have anything outside the
- 22 presence?
- MS. WECKERLY: No, Your Honor. I want to just be
- 24 clear. If the witness isn't coming at 1:00, she's not coming
- 25 at all, but we're covering her testimony with other people.

- 1 THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. So you guys can go
- 2 ahead and be seated. We'll take our opportunity. Let me go
- 3 ahead and have the chat with Mr. Newson I need to about
- 4 testifying. So --
- 5 MR. BASHOR: Your Honor --
- 6 THE COURT: Yep.
- 7 MR. BASHOR: -- that decision may be based on the
- 8 settlement of jury instructions.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. No, I understand that.
- 10 MR. BASHOR: Okay.
- 11 THE COURT: I just want to go ahead and get on the
- 12 record the admonition about it.
- 13 MR. BASHOR: Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: And I understand that we're going to have
- 15 some further discussion about jury instructions at some point
- 16 that impacts what advice you give to him.
- 17 But Mr. Newson, there is just a couple things that I
- 18 kind of need to go over with you proactively, and I know you'll
- 19 continue to have conversations with your attorneys about this
- 20 and part of whatever decision you may make may hinge somewhat
- 21 on jury instructions. But I just need to make sure that with
- 22 regard to the potential for you testifying you understand
- 23 certain things under the law, okay?
- 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 25 THE COURT: You guys can sit down. It's okay. Thank

- 1 you though.
- 2 Under the Constitution of the United States and under
- 3 the constitution of the State of Nevada, nobody can compel you
- 4 to testify. That means nobody can make you testify. Your
- 5 attorneys cannot make you testify, the State cannot call you as
- 6 a witness. Do you understand that?
- 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. Furthermore, you do have the
- 9 ability to testify if you want to. If you decide that you want
- 10 to testify after speaking with your attorneys, you understand
- 11 that you would be open to questioning by the State just like
- 12 your attorneys could ask you questions just like any other
- 13 witness?
- 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: All right. And furthermore that anything
- 16 that you say when you testify, the attorneys are all allowed to
- 17 make comment on that in their closing arguments if you decide
- 18 to testify. Do you understand that as well?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. If you choose not to testify and
- 21 you and your attorneys want you to, then I'll give the jury a
- 22 written jury instruction that tells them, quote, it is a
- 23 constitutional right of a defendant in a criminal trial that he
- 24 may not be compelled to testify, thus the decision as to
- 25 whether he should testify is left to the defendant on the

- 1 advice and counsel of his attorneys. You must not draw any
- 2 inference of guilt from the fact that he does not testify, nor
- 3 should this fact be discussed by you or enter into your
- 4 deliberations in any way. Do you understand that?
- 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Finally, you understand that if
- 7 you decide to testify after speaking with your attorneys, if
- 8 you decide to testify, you have to understand that if you have
- 9 any felony convictions and they are not more than 10 years old,
- 10 and that date is from the date you were convicted and/or the
- 11 date you were released off of incarceration or parole or off of
- 12 probation, whatever is the most recent date, then you can be
- 13 asked about those felony convictions.
- 14 The attorneys can ask you were you convicted of a
- 15 felony, what was the felony and what year did it happen. They
- 16 can't go into the details of any prior felony conviction
- 17 though. Do you understand that?
- 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
- 19 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions for me
- 20 or your attorneys about those legal rights?
- 21 THE DEFENDANT: No.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. Then we will be in recess, guys,
- 23 and I will see you back at 1:00 o'clock. Okay.
- 24 MR. BASHOR: Very good. Thank you.
- 25 (Court recessed at 11:42 a.m. until 1:05 p.m.)

- 1 (Outside the presence of the jury.)
- 2 (Court confers with staff.)
- 3 (Jurors reconvene at 1:08 p.m.)
- 4 THE COURT: We're going to be back on the record.
- 5 Mr. Newson is present with his attorneys. State's attorneys
- 6 are present. Our jurors are present. We are going to continue
- 7 on, ladies and gentlemen, with the State's case in chief.
- 8 So you all may call your next witness.
- 9 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. The State calls
- 10 Rick Hawkins.
- 11 RICKEY HAWKINS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
- 12 THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your name
- 13 for the record.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Rickey Hawkins. First name,
- 15 R-i-c-k-e-y. Last name, H-a-w-k-i-n-s.
- 16 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Portz.
- 17 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 20 Q Officer Hawkins, where are you employed, sir?
- 21 A Claremont Police Department.
- 22 O How long have you worked for Claremont Police
- 23 Department?
- 24 A For approximately five years.
- 25 Q And I want to direct your attention back to

- 1 December 22, 2015. Were you working with the Claremont Police
- 2 Department that evening?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And what was your position at that time?
- 5 A I was assigned as a patrol officer.
- 6 Q And what are some of the general duties of a patrol
- 7 officer in the Claremont Police Department?
- 8 A Respond to calls for service, go into high crime
- 9 areas to prevent call -- crimes, respond to traffic accidents
- 10 and other emergencies.
- 11 Q And on that evening were you working with anyone?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Who were you working with?
- 14 A Corporal Tillman.
- 15 Q Now, at about 9:07 p.m., were you actually called out
- 16 to respond to a suspicious person call?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O And where was that suspicious person call originating
- 19 from?
- 20 A It was originating in the area of Bucknell. It was
- 21 an apartment complex.
- 22 O And did you respond there?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And when you responded there, did Corporal Tillman
- 25 respond as well?

- 1 A Yes, he did.
- 2 Q And on your way or in route, given the nature of the
- 3 call, were you given descriptors of the suspicious person?
- 4 A Yes, we were.
- 5 O When you arrived at Bucknell in the apartment
- 6 complex, did you come into contact with anyone who matched that
- 7 description?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Can you describe what happened -- or what you first
- 10 saw when you came into contact with that person?
- 11 A We had the physical description of the subject. As
- 12 we were driving up to the location we saw him walking away from
- 13 the apartment complex. He matched the description that was
- 14 given by the reporting party, so at that time we contacted him.
- 15 Q Did he -- did the person have anything in his
- 16 possession at that point in time?
- 17 A Yes. He had a duffle bag.
- 18 O Now, you said that he was walking away from the
- 19 scene. Can you describe that further in detail?
- 20 A The area is dark, so I'm not sure. Possibly he saw
- 21 us before we saw him and was walking away.
- 22 O And do you come into contact or make contact with
- 23 this person?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And does that person identify himself to you?

- 1 A Yes, he does.
- 2 Q And that person you came into contact with, do you
- 3 see that person in the courtroom today?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
- 5 Q Could you please point to that person and identify an
- 6 article of clothing that he's wearing for the Court.
- 7 A I see he's sitting to your right [indicating] wearing
- 8 a gray suit with a red tie.
- 9 MR. PORTZ: I'd ask the record reflect that the
- 10 witness has identified the defendant.
- 11 THE COURT: It will so reflect. Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 13 Q Okay. And how did the defendant identify himself
- 14 when you came into contact with him?
- 15 A He verbally identified himself and he also had
- 16 identification, California identification.
- 17 Q And was that identification belonging to Vernon
- 18 Newson?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And when you obtain information of this nature on a
- 21 suspicious person call, what do you do with that information?
- 22 A Once we get that information, we run a records check
- 23 through dispatch for any outstanding warrants.
- Q And did you do that in this case?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 O And after this records check comes through, what if
- 2 any result did you get from that?
- 3 A The dispatcher advised us of confidential
- 4 information, and that information was there was a 187 warrant
- 5 issued out of Las Vegas on the day that we actually stopped
- 6 him.
- 7 Q And what, for the members of the jury, is a 187
- 8 warrant?
- 9 A It's the penal code for murder.
- 10 O When you learn that there is a murder warrant out of
- 11 Las Vegas for Vernon Newson, what do you do at that point in
- 12 time?
- 13 A We immediately, I grabbed his left arm, my partner
- 14 grabbed his right arm and we placed him in handcuffs right
- away.
- 16 Q And upon placing him in handcuffs what do you do with
- 17 him?
- 18 A I did a cursory search for weapons.
- 19 Q And did you locate any weapons on his person?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q After that what do you do with the defendant?
- 22 A After that I placed him back in my patrol unit and I
- 23 transport him to the Claremont Police Department jail.
- Q And when you arrive at the Claremont Police
- 25 Department -- you mentioned that he had a duffle bag with him;

- 1 is that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Did you bring any of his belongings with --
- 4 A We brought his duffle bag and all of his items with
- 5 him to the jail.
- 6 Q What do you do with those items?
- 7 A Once we get the items, we go through them, we
- 8 inventory them, and given the nature of the warrant, we held
- 9 them in evidence.
- 10 Q And outside of the bag that was with him, did you do
- 11 or conduct prior to admitting him into the jail a further or a
- 12 more thorough search of his person?
- 13 A Yes. I searched again to make sure there was no
- 14 contraband being brought into the jail. At that time, in a
- 15 zipper pocket of his inner jacket, I found 9mm ammunition
- 16 rounds.
- 17 Q And do you recall about how many you found?
- 18 A I'd have to refer to my report for that exact.
- 19 Q More than one?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And what do you do with those ammunition rounds,
- 22 those 9mm ammunition rounds?
- 23 A Once I get those rounds I seize them as evidence.
- 24 Q Did he have anything else on his person at the time
- 25 that you would document before putting him into custody -- into

- 1 the jail?
- 2 A He had a couple layers of clothing on and a watch.
- 3 Q And is that watch removed and impounded as well?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q When you conduct the search and you located those
- 6 rounds of ammunition, the 9mm rounds of ammunition in his
- 7 jacket pocket, was the -- did the defendant see or observe you
- 8 pulling those items out of his jacket pocket?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Did he make any statements to you at the time that
- 11 that happened?
- 12 A He said he didn't know they were in his jacket pocket
- 13 and they were old and they had been in there, he just forgot
- 14 about them.
- 15 Q At that point is the defendant booked into the jail
- 16 and the Las Vegas detectives notified?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O All right. Given the nature of this call, do you
- 19 and/or Corporal Tillman do any further follow-up after he's
- 20 placed in custody?
- 21 A Yes. Given the nature of the call and the warrant
- 22 and ammunition, we called the local police department that had
- 23 a K-9 unit that's capable of detecting gunpowder, because we're
- 24 looking for a firearm. We went back to the location with the
- 25 dog and searched the area.

- 1 Q And did you locate anything during your search?
- 2 A We discovered a single 9mm round in the area where
- 3 the defendant was which matched the rounds found in his jacket
- 4 pocket.
- 5 O And who found that round?
- 6 A I did.
- 7 O And where was it found?
- 8 A It was found on the concrete.
- 9 O And this area, was this in the same general vicinity
- 10 of where you had seen the defendant earlier that night when you
- 11 first responded to Bucknell?
- 12 A Yes, yes.
- 13 Q Thank you. What do you do when you find that round?
- 14 A We photograph it, photograph the scene, and then I
- 15 collect that as evidence as well.
- 16 Q And when you collect it into evidence, you then
- 17 impound it; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, if I could make a brief
- 20 record.
- 21 THE COURT: Sure.
- 22 MR. PORTZ: Pursuant to a stipulation between the
- 23 parties, to the extent they have not already been admitted, the
- 24 State is moving to admit State's Proposed 113 through 116.
- 25 (The Court confers with the clerk.)

- 1 MR. PORTZ: And 133 through 145.
- THE COURT: Any objection to any of those?
- MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay. Those will all be admitted. Thank
- 5 you.
- 6 (State's Exhibits No. 113 through 116 admitted.)
- 7 (State's Exhibits No. 133 through 145 admitted.)
- 8 MR. PORTZ: I'm publishing what's been admitted as
- 9 State's 113. You'll see that up on --
- 10 THE COURT: Sir, is your screen on right here to your
- 11 left?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 13 THE COURT: If anybody asks you to identify anything,
- 14 you can just kind of draw on the screen with your finger, okay?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 16 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 17 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 18 O And Officer, do you recognize what's depicted in this
- 19 exhibit?
- 20 A Yes, I do.
- 21 Q What are we looking at here?
- 22 A We're looking at the area of Bucknell, myself,
- 23 Corporal Tillman and the defendant walking towards my patrol
- 24 unit.
- 25 Q And what is this image taken from?

- 1 A From my dash cam.
- 2 Q I am now going to publish -- give me one second,
- 3 Officer -- State's 136 -- I'm sorry. Strike that.
- 4 State's 135, what is -- what do we observe here in
- 5 State's 135?
- 6 A This is our Claremont Police Department evidence
- 7 envelope, which is signed and filled out by me with the
- 8 ammunition that I found in his pocket above the envelope.
- 9 O And how do you recognize this to be a Claremont
- 10 Police Department evidence envelope?
- 11 A It is my writing and it is our case number.
- 12 Q And when you store items of evidence in the envelope,
- 13 what if anything do you do to secure it to make sure it's not
- 14 tampered with following your impound of the evidence?
- 15 A Once the items are placed in there, put evidence tape
- on there, put my initials across the evidence tape as well as
- 17 my PSN number, which is my identification number.
- 18 O I'm publishing State's 134, and is that the seal that
- 19 you're referencing with your initial and your P number?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And did you -- you impounded in addition to the
- 22 bullets other items of clothing and evidence that was found on
- 23 the defendant at the time of his arrest?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And did you do similarly in each of those situations,

- 1 package them in an evidence envelope, seal it with tape and
- 2 then place your initials and P number on it?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Publishing now what's been admitted as State's 114,
- 5 Officer, what are we looking at here?
- 6 A We're looking at the address of 580 Bucknell, the
- 7 apartment complex in the area that we contacted the defendant.
- 8 Q And is 580 Bucknell where the call originated to your
- 9 understanding?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And showing you State's Proposed 115, what are we
- 12 looking at there?
- 13 A We're looking at the same walkway area as you walk
- 14 through the gate.
- 15 Q And did you take this photograph, Officer?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And then State's 116, again what are we looking at
- 18 here?
- 19 A A 9mm ammunition round that I found at the scene.
- 20 Q In addition you testified to items that you impounded
- 21 off of the defendant's person before placing him into custody
- 22 at the jail; is that correct?
- 23 A Correct.
- Q What are those items that are taken off of his person
- 25 kept in?

- 1 A They're kept in a clear plastic property envelope
- 2 with his booking number.
- 3 Q And I'm showing you what's been admitted as
- 4 State's 140. What is this, Officer?
- 5 A That would be what belts, wallets and cash were taken
- 6 from a subject and placed while they're being booked.
- 7 Q And are these specifically the items that you took
- 8 off the defendant's person and placed into a plastic bag?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And State's 141, is that -- well, what is that?
- 11 A That is a photograph of the defendant.
- 12 Q And does your department use this to tie it -- tie
- 13 this property to him?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q State's 142, do you recognize this?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q What is that?
- 18 A That is a Claremont currency envelope, so the money
- 19 that was taken out of his possession was placed in that
- 20 envelope, sealed and signed.
- 21 Q And then showing you State's 143, what is that?
- 22 A That is my initials and my PSN number.
- 23 Q And State's 144, is this the contents of that
- 24 currency envelope?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 O And these were found on the defendant as well at the
- 2 time of his arrest?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And then finally, State's 145, what is this here,
- 5 sir?
- A A watch that's found in the defendant's possession.
- 7 MR. PORTZ: Pass the witness, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you. Gentlemen.
- 9 MR. SHAYGAN: Thank you for your time, Officer.
- 10 Nothing from the defense, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Anything from our jurors? Officer
- 12 Hawkins, thank you very much. I appreciate you traveling out
- 13 here, sir.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: You are excused.
- The State may call their next witness.
- 17 MR. PORTZ: Detective Ben Owens, Your Honor.
- 18 BENJAMIN OWENS, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
- 19 THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your name
- 20 for the record.
- 21 THE WITNESS: My name is Benjamin Owens. First name
- 22 is B-e-n-j-a-m-i-n. Last name, O-w-e-n-s.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir.
- Mr. Portz.
- 25 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

- 1 //
- 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 4 Q Detective Owens, where do you work, sir?
- 5 A I'm a police detective with the North Las Vegas
- 6 Police Department.
- 7 Q And how long have you been with the North Las Vegas
- 8 Police Department?
- 9 A Over 20 years.
- 10 Q And you said you're a detective. Is there a
- 11 particular department that you work for within the North Las
- 12 Vegas Police Department?
- 13 A Yes. I'm assigned to the robbery/homicide detail.
- 14 Q How long have you been assigned to robbery and
- 15 homicide?
- 16 A About 10 1/2 years.
- 17 Q Can you explain to the members of the jury what the
- 18 responsibilities are of a detective and how that differs from
- 19 say a patrol officer?
- 20 A A detective will conduct follow-up investigation or
- 21 primary investigation on all high profile felony cases that a
- 22 patrol officer would either not have the time or the expertise
- 23 to pursue. And the detail that I'm assigned to deals
- 24 particularly with violent crimes such as homicide and
- 25 robberies.

- 1 Q Now, Detective, were you assigned to investigate a
- 2 homicide event that took place on December 13, 2015, at about
- 3 10:35 in the evening?
- 4 A Yes, I was.
- 5 O And where did that homicide take place?
- 6 A It occurred in the area of Interstate 15 and Lamb
- 7 Boulevard, particularly on the southbound on ramp to get onto
- 8 southbound I-15 from Lamb.
- 9 Q And did you actually respond to that scene that
- 10 evening?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 Q Can you describe when you arrived what you saw
- 13 generally?
- 14 A Yes. I was called out to the scene because it was
- 15 after hours. When I arrived I could see that there was a crime
- 16 scene perimeter established with multiple marked police
- 17 vehicles both from the North Las Vegas Police and from the
- 18 Nevada Highway Patrol to contain the crime scene.
- 19 Q So there were police present?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Were there potential witnesses that were present as
- 22 well?
- 23 A Yes. There was also several private owned vehicles
- 24 of what I found out later were lay witnesses that were in the
- 25 area at the time.

- 1 Q And were there crime scene analysts present when you
- 2 first arrived?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Was the victim of the homicide present when you were
- 5 there?
- 6 A No. It was -- I found out later that she was
- 7 transported to Sunrise trauma hospital from the scene.
- 8 Q Thank you. Now, we've already gone through the
- 9 images of the scene, but I want to publish what's been admitted
- 10 as State's 5. Can you tell me what we're looking at here?
- 11 A It appears to be a partial diagram or legend that's
- 12 part of a crime scene diagram.
- O And from the crime scene itself from this incident?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q You referenced a legend. I'm going to publish what's
- 16 been admitted as State's 6. Is this -- well, what is this?
- 17 A This is the legend to that crime scene diagram from
- 18 the earlier photos, so those two would go together.
- 19 Q And this legend, does this document particular pieces
- 20 of evidence that were impounded at the crime scene?
- 21 A Yes. The numbered items are actual pieces of
- 22 evidence. The lettered items are things that were documented
- 23 and photographed but not collected, and it looks like there are
- 24 measurements corresponding to each item that are measurements
- 25 from a reference point at the scene.

- 1 O And who makes the decision at a crime scene, at a
- 2 homicide scene, at this particular homicide scene as to what
- 3 evidence would be impounded or collected?
- 4 A Generally the ultimate responsibility is of the lead
- 5 homicide detective, but it is usually discussed among the
- 6 investigative team of detectives and crime scene investigators
- 7 present.
- 8 Q And were you assigned to be the lead homicide
- 9 detective in this incident regarding the death of Anshanette
- 10 McNeil?
- 11 A Yes, I was.
- 12 O And did you consult with others, investigators and
- 13 crime scene analysts as to what evidence appeared relevant to
- 14 you for your investigation?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Can you tell us how many -- well, what items of
- 17 evidence did you guys collect from the scene that were relevant
- 18 to you?
- 19 A After doing a walk through, through the scene, I
- 20 could see myself that there were -- there was apparent blood
- 21 stains in the roadway, there were apparent defects in the road
- 22 consistent with bullet strikes, there were multiple cartridge
- 23 cases, which are the ejected shell casing from a semiautomatic
- 24 firearm, there was a damaged cellphone, there was a partial
- 25 piece of cloth. All of these items were noted, documented as

- 1 evidence and collected, or at least samples of it were
- 2 collected.
- 3 O The items referenced in the legend in this exhibit as
- 4 jacketing, can you describe what that is?
- 5 A Jacketing is going to be the partial copper covering
- of a bullet or a fired projectile from a round of ammunition.
- 7 Q And how many of those were collected from the scene?
- 8 A It looks like two partial jacketing pieces from this
- 9 scene were collected.
- 10 Q Additionally you reference cartridge cases that were
- 11 located at the scene; is that correct?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And what do the cartridge cases represent to you for
- 14 purposes of your investigation?
- 15 A A cartridge case is ejected from a semiautomatic
- 16 firearm. They will have a headstamp that indicates the brand
- 17 and also the caliber of ammunition that was fired. I had noted
- 18 that it appeared that all of the cartridge cases appeared to
- 19 be 9 by 19mm.
- 20 Q And how many cartridge cases were recovered from that
- 21 crime scene?
- 22 A There were six total.
- 23 Q And were there anything distinctive about the -- the
- 24 cartridge cases themselves for purposes of your investigation?
- 25 A Yes. As I said, they all had a headstamp on the base

- 1 of the cartridge case with letters that are generally indicate
- 2 who the manufacturer was. There were several different brands.
- 3 Of the six, there were several different brands.
- 4 Q Did you take note of what those brands were?
- 5 A Yes. I believe among the brands listed -- or among
- 6 the brands that were present were Winchester, Federal, CBC and
- 7 Sellier & Bellot.
- 8 Q Doe Sellier & Bellot have an S and the ampersand B
- 9 headstamp?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Again, all of these cartridge casings appeared to you
- 12 to be consistent with a particular caliber however; is that
- 13 correct?
- 14 A Yes. They're all 9 by 19mm.
- 15 Q And in your experience as an officer and detective,
- 16 your experience with firearms, can similar or same caliber
- 17 ammunition be fired from a firearm even though they're made or
- 18 produced by different companies?
- 19 A Yes, absolutely. It's the caliber, the caliber, as
- 20 long as that's the correct caliber for the firearm, then it
- 21 wouldn't really matter what the brand was.
- 22 O And then you also referenced the divots, the bullet
- 23 strikes. Approximately how many bullet strikes were located at
- 24 the crime scene?
- 25 A I want to say there were at least three.

- 1 Q And those were documented by crime scene analysts
- 2 photographically?
- 3 A Yes. Yes, they were.
- 4 Q While you were at the crime scene collecting this
- 5 evidence, did you -- did any updates come about that would help
- 6 further your investigation?
- 7 A Yes. While we were there working that scene I
- 8 received information that the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
- 9 Department was out on a missing persons call in their
- 10 jurisdiction, and it appeared that the victim or possible
- 11 victim from the missing persons matched -- matched the
- 12 description of who we thought -- what we eventually found out
- 13 our victim was from our scene.
- 14 Q And that was -- I'm sorry if you said this. Was that
- 15 at 3613 Saint Bar Court?
- 16 A 3613 Saint Bar Court, that is in Metro's
- 17 jurisdiction, yes.
- 18 O Did you respond to that area?
- 19 A Yes, I did.
- 20 Q Who did you respond with?
- 21 A I went with Detective Stucky, who was assigned to
- 22 work this investigation with me.
- 23 Q Is Detective Stucky on the homicide/robbery division
- 24 as well?
- 25 A Yes, at that time she was. She has recently retired

- 1 however.
- 2 Q And prior to leaving the crime scene, did you -- what
- 3 if anything did you do?
- 4 A There were several patrol officers and crime scene
- 5 investigators still at that scene, so once we got that new
- 6 information at Saint Bar Court, I just kind of directed what I
- 7 needed to have done at that scene so we could go to the Saint
- 8 Bar Court address to follow up on that.
- 9 O And did you and Detective Stucky drive there?
- 10 A Yes, we did.
- 11 Q Approximately how far away was that address from the
- 12 crime scene itself?
- 13 A The way we took was approximately 3.7 miles. There's
- 14 different routes, but it's definitely less than 4 miles. It's
- 15 right around 3.7 miles.
- 16 Q And about how long did it take for you to drive from
- 17 the crime scene to that location?
- 18 A Less than 10 minutes.
- 19 Q And did you generate a document for purposes of
- 20 establishing the distance and time it typically takes to travel
- 21 that distance?
- 22 A Yes. I just did a simple map from Google Maps to
- 23 show.
- MR. PORTZ: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 25 THE COURT: You may.

- 1 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 2 Q Showing you what's been marked as State's Proposed 3,
- 3 do you recognize that?
- 4 A I do.
- 5 0 What is that?
- 6 A It is the -- it's just a printout of -- and Google
- 7 Maps has a feature where you can enter two addresses and find
- 8 the directions, and it'll give you routes for it, and this is
- 9 the one that I printed out and --
- 10 Q Was that generated and placed into your report in
- 11 this investigation?
- 12 A Yes, it was.
- 13 Q And do the markings and timings referenced here
- 14 corroborate, or are they just about the same as what you
- 15 experienced when you actually drove that distance?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 MR. PORTZ: I move to admit State's Proposed 3, Your
- 18 Honor.
- MR. BASHOR: No objection.
- THE COURT: That will be admitted as well.
- 21 (State's Exhibit No. 3 admitted.)
- 22 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 23 Q Publishing State's 3, when you arrived at the
- 24 residence, what -- who if anyone did you meet with?
- 25 A I spoke with Zarharia Marshall and a Tyra Atkins, who

- 1 I later found out was Anshanette McNeil's mother.
- 2 Q And can you -- based on your conversations with them,
- 3 were you able to advance or further your investigation with any
- 4 leads?
- 5 A Yes, I was.
- 6 Q What did you ultimately determine from that
- 7 conversation?
- 8 A I determined that Anshanette was supposed to be
- 9 returning to the house with the defendant, Vernon Newson, Jr.,
- 10 and two of Anshanette's children, one of which is in common
- 11 with Vernon, and that they were supposed to be arriving at the
- 12 house together.
- 13 Q And at this point do you develop a person of interest
- in your homicide investigation?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And who was that?
- 17 A The defendant, Vernon Newson, Jr.
- 18 O Now, what -- aside from your conversations with
- 19 potential witnesses at this scene, did you locate anything of
- 20 evidentiary value?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 O And what items did you locate?
- 23 A I also learned from speaking with Zarharia that
- 24 Vernon had showed up at the house with the two kids, but
- 25 Anshanette was not there. He had dropped off a purse that

- 1 belonged to Anshanette. The purse contained several of her
- 2 personal items such as ID. I also learned that Vernon was seen
- 3 loading a magazine to a semiautomatic firearm, and that he had
- 4 actually dropped some cartridges, some unfired rounds of
- 5 ammunition at the house and then left.
- 6 Q Did you locate any items of evidence that had any
- 7 potential forensic or DNA value at that location?
- 8 A Yes. The baby seat and clothing from one of the
- 9 children that were brought home in the same car as Vernon had
- 10 apparent blood staining on it.
- 11 Q Now, were these items of evidentiary value that
- 12 you've testified about, were they photographed by a crime scene
- 13 analyst?
- 14 A Yes. They were photographed and collected.
- 15 O I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 16 State's 92. Detective, can you tell me what we're looking at
- 17 in State's 92?
- 18 A So these are three rounds of 9 by 19mm handgun
- 19 ammunition, and they're on top of a washing machine inside the
- 20 house at 3613 Saint Bar.
- 21 Q And I'm going to publish State's -- are these the --
- 22 what was the relevance to your investigation of these three
- 23 bullets?
- 24 A They bore the same headstamp of S&B for Sellier &
- 25 Bellot, which is the same headstamp as one of the cartridge

- 1 cases that were fired that were recovered at the scene at I-15
- 2 and Lamb.
- 3 Q Publishing State's 93, is that a photograph of the
- 4 headstamp of one of the three bullets recovered at the Saint
- 5 Barr Court residence?
- 6 A It is.
- 7 Q I want to move your attention to the next morning,
- 8 December 14, I should say, of 2015. Did you attend an autopsy
- 9 of the victim, Anshanette McNeil?
- 10 A Yes, I did.
- 11 Q And can you describe what injuries you observed to
- 12 the victim?
- 13 A She had multiple gunshot wounds to her face, to her
- 14 chest and to her arms.
- 15 Q And were you present for the autopsy itself?
- 16 A Yes, I was.
- 17 O And was there a determination as to the manner of
- 18 death in this case?
- 19 A Yes. The medical examiner opined that the cause of
- 20 death was multiple gunshot wounds, and that the manner of death
- 21 was homicide.
- 22 Q While at the autopsy there's you, the detective,
- 23 there's the medical examiner. Is there also a crime scene
- 24 analyst present?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And what's the purpose of having a crime scene
- 2 analyst present at the autopsy?
- 3 A That is also to document with photographs parts of
- 4 the autopsy and to collect anything of evidentiary value that
- 5 the decedent may have had, such as their clothing or any
- 6 recovered forensic evidence such as bullets that may be still
- 7 inside the victim's body.
- 8 O And were bullets recovered from inside the victim's
- 9 body?
- 10 A Yes. There was a bullet and bullet fragments that
- 11 were recovered.
- 12 Q Is it also common practice for an analyst to recover
- 13 from the decedent certain identifying features or potential DNA
- 14 evidence?
- 15 A Yes. It's generally standard to collect a set of
- 16 fingerprints for an exemplar, and also a swab of DNA, to get a
- 17 reference sample of DNA from the decedent.
- 18 O Were fingerprints taken from Anshanette McNeil at
- 19 this case by the crime scene analyst?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And was a DNA swab also taken of the victim,
- 22 Anshanette McNeil, in this case?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O And how is the DNA swab generally taken?
- 25 A Generally it's a buccal swab. It's just a sterile

- 1 cotton swab and a long wooden toothpick, so it's kind of like
- 2 just a big Q-Tip basically, sterile.
- 3 O And is the swab generally taken inside the mouth
- 4 or --
- 5 A Yes, inside the cheek generally.
- 6 Q Following your initial round of investigation and the
- 7 autopsy report, do you generate an arrest warrant?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 O And who do you make the arrest warrant out for?
- 10 A For the defendant, Vernon Newson, Jr.
- 11 Q And do you recall what date the arrest warrant went
- 12 out?
- 13 A It was issued on December 22, 2015.
- 14 Q Did any additional developments take place in your
- 15 investigation or attempts to locate and arrest the suspect,
- 16 Vernon Newson?
- 17 A Yes. On the evening of December 22, I was contacted
- 18 at home by North Las Vegas dispatch. They had advised me that
- 19 the Claremont Police Department in California had apprehended
- 20 and arrested the defendant, Vernon Newson, Jr., pursuant to the
- 21 arrest warrant which had just been entered into the system that
- 22 very day.
- 23 Q And what do you do, Detective, upon learning that
- 24 he's been arrested in Claremont?
- 25 A The next day would have been December 23. I

- 1 requested permission and was granted permission to drive to
- 2 Claremont Police Department in California with Detective Stucky
- 3 to recover the evidence that he was found with and that was
- 4 collected incident to his arrest.
- 5 Q So you said there was evidence collected incident to
- 6 his arrest. When you arrive, where is that evidence located?
- 7 A It was at the Claremont Police Department. They
- 8 had -- when they encountered him, he was in possession of
- 9 multiple items. He had a duffle bag with various miscellaneous
- 10 clothing. He had a total of 18 rounds of 9 by 19mm handgun
- 11 ammunition, unfired cartridges that all bore the headstamp of
- 12 S&B for Sellier & Bellot. He was also in possession of the
- 13 watch that he was physically wearing, and the watch had an
- 14 apparent blood stain on it.
- 15 Q Now, when you arrive, is the evidence secured in an
- 16 evidence bag?
- 17 A Yes. The Claremont Police Department had seized all
- 18 of that evidence incident to their arrest of him and packaged
- 19 it in their evidence packaging which is standard for their
- 20 police department.
- 21 MR. PORTZ: The Court's indulgence.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay.
- 23 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, pursuant to a stipulation
- 24 with the defense, to the extent they have not already been
- 25 admitted, State's Proposed 117 through 230 will now be admitted

- 1 by stipulation.
- THE COURT: 117 through 230?
- 3 MR. PORTZ: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Agreed, gentlemen?
- 5 MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 7 (State's Exhibits No. 117 through 132 admitted.)
- 8 (State's Exhibits No. 146 and 147 admitted.)
- 9 (State's Exhibits No. 149 through 218 admitted.)
- 10 (State's Exhibits No. 221 through 230 admitted.)
- 11 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 12 Q Now, what do you do with this evidence when you find
- 13 it in the bags in California?
- 14 A I signed for the chain of custody from Claremont
- 15 Police Department, and I signed the appropriate forms and
- 16 documentation for their agency to release the items of evidence
- 17 to me, and then I took them into custody and transported them
- 18 back to North Las Vegas.
- 19 Q And where do you take them specifically to in North
- 20 Las Vegas?
- 21 A I drove them from Claremont, California straight to
- 22 our CSI lab for repackaging, examination and then re-booking.
- 23 Q So when you say repackaging, what needs to happen
- 24 with these items of evidence as you --
- 25 A So we have to open the packaging from Claremont

- 1 Police Department, document what's there, determine if any of
- 2 it needs to be sent off for further testing, repackage it in
- 3 North Las Vegas PD evidence packaging, and then have it booked
- 4 into our evidence vault.
- 5 Q And you do that process with the crime scene analyst?
- 6 A Yes, I do.
- 8 A Yes. CSI Renee Harder.
- 9 Q And I'm going to go briefly through the items that
- 10 you recovered and brought back from California. I'm going to
- 11 post a series of pictures that we've admitted into evidence and
- 12 ask that you explain to the members of the jury what it is
- 13 we're looking at and why it was relevant to your investigation.
- 14 A Okay.
- 15 Q Thank you. I'm going to start with State's 117.
- 16 A So that is -- it's basically a big paper bag, and I
- 17 think it probably either has clothing or something bulky inside
- 18 of it.
- 19 Q And fair to say that the evidence that you obtained,
- 20 these are the bags that you referenced that come out of
- 21 Claremont?
- 22 A Yes, that's -- yeah. I'm looking at the evidence
- 23 tape. That's as it was packaged from Claremont Police
- 24 Department.
- 25 Q And there were a significant number of these bags

- 1 that were just packaged and sealed with the Claremont police
- 2 evidence tape; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes. Yeah. It's standard for not only our agency
- 4 but pretty much every accredited law enforcement agency. In
- 5 order to maintain the chain of custody, anything you seize as
- 6 evidence has to be packaged up and sealed in a way as to
- 7 preserve the chain of custody.
- 8 Q Now I want to go just generally through the items
- 9 found in Package 1. I'm going to publish State's 118,
- 10 State's 119, State's 120, and State's 121. Were these the
- 11 items contained in Package 1 from the Claremont Police
- 12 Department?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And just generally can you describe what items were
- 15 found inside that package?
- 16 A Miscellaneous clothing, a pair of shoes, some
- 17 cologne, multiple cellphones, multiple watches, multiple
- 18 miscellaneous pieces of paper and receipts, and a key chain
- 19 with some keys.
- 20 Q Was there also an evidence bag marked Number 2 with
- 21 miscellaneous clothing contained inside it?
- 22 A Yes, there was.
- 23 Q Publishing State's 122, 123, 124. And is this those
- 24 three exhibits depicting the un-packaging of that bag marked
- 25 Number 2 by the Claremont Police Department?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And just generally again what were the contents
- 3 contained therein?
- 4 A Miscellaneous items of men's clothing, like a jacket
- 5 and a pair of sweatpants and a sweatshirt.
- 6 Q State's 125, what is this, Detective?
- 7 A A black duffle bag.
- 8 Q And State's 126 and State's 127 and State's 128 and
- 9 State's 129, what were depicted in those exhibits?
- 10 A Miscellaneous clothing and towels that were inside
- 11 the aforementioned black duffle bag.
- 12 O Was there also located -- and all of these items were
- 13 again located on the defendant when -- during his arrest in
- 14 Claremont, California?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 17 receipts?
- 18 A Yes, there was.
- 19 Q Was that packaged in and evidence bag item marked
- 20 Number 4 by the Claremont Police Department?
- 21 A Yes, it was.
- 22 Q Publishing State's 130, 131, is that the evidence bag
- 23 Item No. 4 from Claremont Police Department?
- 24 A Yes, it is.
- 25 Q And State's 132, what's -- what is depicted in

- 1 State's 132?
- 2 A The contents that were in that envelope. Again, it's
- 3 the wallet, there's several forms of identification all in the
- 4 defendant's name, and several receipts and other miscellaneous
- 5 paperwork.
- 6 Q I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 7 State's 135. Can you tell me what we're looking at there?
- 8 A So these are the 18 rounds of 9 by 19 Sellier &
- 9 Bellot unfired cartridges that were in his possession.
- 10 Q And does State's 136 depict the headstamp that you've
- 11 referenced on one of those 17 rounds of ammunition?
- 12 A Yes. As you can see, it's the S, ampersand, B, and
- then to the lower left at about the 7:00 o'clock position is 9
- 14 by 19.
- 15 Q And can you refresh our memories as to why the S&B
- 16 logo was pertinent to your investigation?
- 17 A S&B indicates that it was manufactured by Sellier &
- 18 Bellot, and it is the same manufacturer of the three 9mm
- 19 cartridges that were found at 3613 Saint Bar that were unfired,
- 20 and also matched one of the 9mm casing, cartridge cases fired,
- 21 that were fired and recovered at the scene at I-15 and Lamb.
- 22 So you have three different locations with the commonality of
- 23 ammunition specific to the manufacturer.
- 24 O Publishing State's 138, was this also an item of
- 25 evidence recovered from the Claremont Police Department?

- 1 A Yes. And just to clarify, there was one -- one
- 2 cartridge that was found in the vicinity of where he was taken
- 3 into custody at Claremont PD, and the other remaining, I
- 4 believe it was 17 rounds, were physically on his person.
- 5 O And then this was one round that was kept separate
- 6 from the other 17 that you recovered or retrieved from the
- 7 Claremont Police Department?
- 8 A Yes. They had -- after taking him into custody they
- 9 did a -- I believe they did a search of the immediate area
- 10 where he was and found the one additional cartridge.
- 11 Q State's 139, is that a headstamp of that additional
- 12 cartridge that was located?
- 13 A Yes. It's --
- 14 Q And what -- I'm sorry. I interrupted you. Go ahead.
- 15 A Yeah. As you can see, it's upside down, but it bears
- 16 the same headstamp of S&B for Sellier & Bellot, 9 by 19mm.
- 17 Q Did you also retrieve from Claremont police items of
- 18 evidence that were actually taken off of the defendant's person
- 19 at the time of his arrest?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 22 State's 145. What is this, Detective?
- 23 A This is a watch that he was physically wearing when
- 24 he was taken into custody by Claremont PD.
- 25 Q And was there anything when you uncovered or opened

- 1 this watch that stood out to you in your investigation?
- 2 A Yes. If you look at the tail end of the watch
- 3 towards the bottom of that photograph, where all the holes are
- 4 from when you fasten it, there's some red staining that appears
- 5 to be blood.
- 6 Q Publishing State's 146, what do we see here, sir?
- 7 A This is a close-up photograph of what I was talking
- 8 about. It's an apparent blood stain.
- 9 Q What if anything do you and CSA Harder do when you
- 10 observe what appears to be an apparent blood stain on the
- 11 defendant's wristwatch?
- 12 A She conducted a test that presumptively showed that
- 13 it was positive for human blood.
- 14 Q Showing State's 147, can you tell me what we're
- 15 looking at here?
- 16 A This is the testing kit that she applied that showed
- 17 positive for human blood.
- 18 O And upon -- well, based on the results of that
- 19 presumptive analysis, did you or CSA Harder collect any
- 20 evidence of this for purposes of forensic testing down the
- 21 road?
- 22 A Yes. That swab that's there that was taken from the
- 23 red staining was submitted to the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police
- 24 Department's DNA lab.
- 25 Q Now, based on your interviews with the people at the

- 1 scene and at the Saint Bar Court with Zarharia Marshall and
- 2 Tyra Atkins, were you attempting to locate any potential
- 3 vehicles?
- 4 A Yes. We knew that -- that information from Zarharia
- 5 was that Vernon was seen driving in an SUV type vehicle that,
- 6 you know, and he arrived at the house in an SUV type vehicle
- 7 and left in that same vehicle.
- 8 Q Were you able to determine further a make and model
- 9 or somehow associate that vehicle with your investigation?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q What did you determine?
- 12 A So on January 8th it would have been 2016, I received
- 13 notification that the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department
- 14 had located what appeared to be an abandoned vehicle in their
- 15 jurisdiction. It was a rental car. It was a Kia SUV, and a
- 16 registration check on the plate showed that it had been
- 17 previously rented to the decedent, Anshanette McNeil, back in
- 18 December of 2015.
- 19 Q Publishing State's 148, what is this, Detective?
- 20 A This is the, in this picture, blue in color Kia SUV,
- 21 and it is parked in the Cincinnati Avenue, I believe it's the
- 22 2700 block, 3700 block.
- 23 Q And what do you do with the vehicle after it's
- 24 located?
- 25 A Once it was recovered -- or once it was located,

- 1 Detective Stucky actually responded to the scene along with
- 2 another CSI, and it was sealed at the scene and towed to our
- 3 evidence bay at the North Las Vegas Police Department.
- 4 Q And what's the purpose of sealing a vehicle -- or
- 5 actually first describe what you mean by sealing a vehicle.
- 6 A So a CSI will respond to photograph it in place, and
- 7 they will put evidence tape and sign it on all the doors and
- 8 latches and hood to make sure that the vehicle, none of the --
- 9 none of the openings will be opened prior to when we can
- 10 process it. So that's, when you seal it, it's basically just
- 11 preserving anything of evidentiary value inside the vehicle --
- 12 Q I'm going to show you --
- 13 A -- and outside the vehicle.
- 14 Q I'm sorry. I interrupted you again.
- 15 A It's to preserve anything inside or outside the
- 16 vehicle.
- 17 Q I'm going to show you State's 150. Do we see what
- 18 you're referencing there with regards to how the vehicle is
- 19 sealed?
- 20 A Yes. There's a slight small piece of evidence tape
- 21 and it's initialed by the CSI that responded, Pat Fisher.
- 22 O And was that done at all locations where a door could
- 23 open on the vehicle?
- 24 A Yes, a door, a hatch, a hood, anywhere where you can
- 25 get to the interior portion of the vehicle.

- 1 Q And those seals, are they documented when it's
- 2 brought back to the North Las Vegas crime scene lab --
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q -- to ensure that they're all still intact?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q And were all the seals still intact when you brought
- 7 the vehicle back to the crime scene lab for a search?
- 8 A Yes. And I was present for that, so I also visually
- 9 verified that all the seals were intact.
- 10 Q Now, prior to searching the vehicle itself, did you
- 11 actually obtain a search warrant?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
- O And when the vehicle is at the North Las Vegas crime
- 14 scene lab, who if anyone -- you said you were present for the
- 15 search of the vehicle?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Who if anyone else was present with you?
- 18 A CSI Harder.
- 19 Q And did you find items of evidentiary value inside
- 20 the vehicle?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q I'd like to start briefly with just overviews, if we
- 23 could. State's 156, what are we looking at here?
- 24 A This is a view inside the vehicle from the driver's
- 25 side front window. You can see in the center console there is

- 1 a paper drink cup with a straw and a soda bottle, a plastic
- 2 soda bottle.
- 3 Q I'm going to publish State's 157. What are we
- 4 looking at here?
- 5 A This is a view from the driver's side into the rear
- 6 seat. You can see that there's miscellaneous articles of
- 7 clothing, it looks like a pair of yellow flip-flops, and a car
- 8 seat on the right passenger side.
- 9 O State's 158, and just generally what are we looking
- 10 at here?
- 11 A This is the rear cargo area. You can see there's a
- 12 bag and it looks like a camouflage colored article of baby
- 13 clothing or child's clothing.
- 14 Q Do you search all areas of this vehicle on the
- 15 interior?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 O And during that search and aside from items of
- 18 evidence collected, did you locate what appeared to be blood
- 19 within the vehicle?
- 20 A Yes. There was a very large apparent blood stain on
- 21 the driver's side rear seat, which would be directly behind the
- 22 driver's seat.
- 23 Q And I would start -- did you locate any in the front
- 24 driver's seat area as well?
- 25 A Blood?

- 1 Q Yes.
- 2 A There may have been some spatter, but I don't recall
- 3 exactly.
- 4 Q Well, let's publish -- again, all of these findings
- 5 are documented photographically, correct?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Publishing State's 159, and what is this here, sir?
- 8 It's hard to tell from there. I can walk it up to you, but...
- 9 A So this is the driver's door opened with the view of
- 10 the basically the driver's door. It looks like there is some
- 11 red marks on it.
- 12 O And State's --
- 13 A Actually, no, that's just my screen.
- 14 0 -- 160?
- 15 A Okay. Yeah. So this is the door handle, and as you
- 16 can see, there's apparent blood on it.
- 17 Q And you referenced there was also blood located in
- 18 the back seat; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O In the rear area.
- 21 Publishing State's 161, what are we looking at here?
- 22 A So there's significant amounts of blood on the rear
- 23 driver's side door towards the handle area.
- 24 Q Is this the door associated with the seat directly
- 25 behind the driver?

- 1 A Yes, it is.
- 2 THE COURT: Just for the record, you're talking about
- 3 the interior part of the door, correct?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. This is the interior door panel
- 5 on the left side or driver's side, the rear door.
- 6 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 7 0 State's 162?
- 8 A This is a close-up shot of the same door, and with
- 9 that clearer view of the apparent blood.
- 10 Q And again, that blood, as we noted, that's on the
- 11 interior side of the door, correct?
- 12 A Yes, it is.
- 13 0 163.
- 14 A I believe this is just a different angle of the same
- 15 door.
- 16 O And 164.
- 17 A Yes, same door showing the blood.
- 18 O Showing you now what's been admitted as State's 165,
- 19 what are we looking at here, Detective?
- 20 A So this is a view of the rear seat on the left side
- 21 which is directly behind the driver, and it's a dark colored
- 22 upholstery, but the staining is significant apparent blood
- 23 stain.
- Q And similarly as was done with the rear driver's side
- 25 door, did you also -- were they also documenting these

- 1 photograph -- taking closer-up photographs of the apparent
- 2 blood?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Showing you State's 166.
- 5 A Again, yeah, this is a close-up view. The red
- 6 staining is blood.
- 7 Q And State's 167.
- 8 A Yeah, it's the same, just a different view, different
- 9 perspective of the same seat. There is blood staining on the
- 10 seat belt and the back of the seat.
- 11 Q Now, you referenced during your testimony that there
- 12 were items of evidentiary value found inside the vehicle. How
- do you go about marking those items of evidence as you're
- 14 conducting the search and photographing it?
- 15 A If it's an item that's going to be collected, it's
- 16 numbered. If it's a stain that you can't take an entire sample
- of or like a hole or a defect, then there's a letter that it's
- 18 documented with.
- 19 Q Is that similar to how this was carried out at the
- 20 crime scene itself on I-15 southbound and Lamb?
- 21 A Yes. So to use that as an example, the items that we
- 22 collected were all numbered items. The bullet -- the defects
- 23 in the roadway that were apparent bullet strikes, you can't
- 24 really collect the whole road, so you put a letter there and
- 25 you photograph it.

- 1 0 I'm going to start generally and we'll move closer in
- 2 with beginning in the front driver's side. Publishing
- 3 State's 169, what are we looking at here?
- 4 A So this is a view of the driver's side front seat of
- 5 the vehicle, and every one of those yellow numbered placards
- 6 indicates an item of evidence that was collected or to be
- 7 collected.
- 8 Q Publishing State's 170, what are we looking at here?
- 9 A This would be Evidence Item No. 1 that was collected
- 10 from the vehicle. It is a receipt from Raising Cane's
- 11 restaurant.
- 12 Q And publishing now State's 171, what are we looking
- 13 at in 171?
- 14 A Item 4 is the paper cup with the straw. Item 5 is
- 15 the soda bottle, plastic soda bottle.
- 16 Q Do you recall a restaurant the cup from Item 4 came
- 17 from?
- 18 A I don't.
- 19 Q Publishing State's 172, what do we have here?
- 20 A Number 7, is toward the -- this would be in the back
- 21 seat next to that car seat towards the center, there is a --
- 22 it's either a cartridge case or an unfired round of a
- 23 cartridge.
- Q Are these items that you locate, after they're
- 25 documented in place, subsequently removed and further examined?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 MR. PORTZ: The Court's indulgence.
- 3 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 4 Q At the conclusion -- let me publish what's been
- 5 admitted as State's 198. Tell me what we're looking at in
- 6 State's 198.
- 7 A So this is a cartridge case. As you can see, the
- 8 primer's been struck. That means it's been fired and ejected.
- 9 The caliber is 9 by 19mm, and that headstamp, the letters WIN
- 10 indicates Winchester is the manufacturer of that ammunition.
- 11 Q Was that headstamp WIN pertinent to your
- 12 investigation?
- 13 A Yes. The WIN or Winchester brand was the same as one
- of the recovered cartridge cases that were recovered at I-15
- 15 and Lamb the night of the murder.
- 16 Q And for the record, the item depicted in State's 198,
- 17 what evidence placard is marked with that?
- 18 A Number 7.
- 19 Q Does that refresh your recollection, now moving back
- 20 to State's 172, as to what this was, whether it was a live
- 21 round of ammunition or a fired, a spent cartridge?
- 22 A It does. That is a cartridge case.
- O Move on now to the rear driver's side, State's 173.
- 24 Can you tell me what we're looking at here?
- 25 A This is the rear seat. There are numerous evidence

- 1 placards all indicating items of evidence that were to be
- 2 collected.
- 3 Q State's 174, what is this, Detective?
- 4 A 8, 9, 10 and 11 are all cartridge cases. And so
- 5 is -- actually I can't see the number, but in the upper left
- 6 there's another evidence placard, and that appears to be a 9mm
- 7 cartridge. I know there was one 9mm cartridge and six
- 8 cartridge casings total.
- 9 Q Showing you State's 175.
- 10 A So yeah, Number 12 is an unfired round of 9 by 19mm
- 11 ammunition.
- 12 Q And again, were these items removed and further
- 13 examined outside of the car itself?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O And was --
- MR. BASHOR: I'm sorry, Counsel. What exhibit number
- 17 are we displaying? I'm sorry.
- 18 MR. PORTZ: 175.
- 19 MR. BASHOR: Thank you.
- 20 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 21 Q Was there anything of note as to the caliber and/or
- 22 any other markings on these expended cartridge casings and the
- 23 live round of ammunition seen in 7 through 12?
- 24 A Yes. As far as the caliber, the caliber for all of
- 25 them were 9 by 19mm, which is the same caliber as the caliber

- 1 from the evidence recovered at I-15 and Lamb where the shooting
- 2 occurred, it was the same caliber as the three cartridges that
- 3 were recovered at 3613 Saint Bar, and it was the same caliber
- 4 as the 18 cartridges that were recovered on the defendant's
- 5 person in Claremont, California.
- 6 Q So publishing State's 199, Detective, what is this
- 7 here?
- 8 A This is Number 8. It is a cartridge case, means it's
- 9 been fired, and the headstamp is for Winchester.
- 10 Q And State's 200, 201 and 202, what do we see in those
- 11 exhibits, Detective?
- 12 A They're all fired ejected casings all bearing the
- 13 headstamp of WIN for Winchester and all in the same caliber,
- 14 9mm by 19.
- 15 Q And just to be clear, these photographs depict
- 16 close-ups of what was [inaudible] car in State's Proposed [sic]
- 17 174; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q The items marked 8, 9, 10 and 11?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q And then moving back to State's 175, you referenced
- 22 that there was a live round of ammunition there, correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And again, that was as you testified removed with the
- others ultimately and examined further?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q State's 203, what is that?
- 3 A That is just a close-up view of the unfired round of
- 4 9mm ammunition that was recovered from the vehicle.
- 5 O State's 204?
- 6 A This is the same cartridge this time with the view of
- 7 the headstamp which bears S&B, or Sellier & Bellot.
- 8 Q Publishing State's 176 now, what are we looking at
- 9 here, Detective?
- 10 A So Item 12 is that cartridge that we just looked at.
- 11 Item 13 is a pair of yellow sandals.
- 12 0 Where were those located?
- 13 A In the back seat of the vehicle on the floorboard.
- 14 Q Would that be behind the driver's seat or the front
- 15 passenger seat?
- 16 A Behind the driver's seat on the left side of the
- 17 vehicle.
- 18 O State's 177, what is this, Detective?
- 19 A That is a white beanie hat. It appears to be a
- 20 female.
- 21 Q And where was the white beanie hat located?
- 22 A In the back seat.
- 23 Q And in the back seat specifically where?
- 24 A On the -- on the left side, driver's side.
- 25 Q State's 205, can you tell us what we're looking at

- 1 in 205?
- 2 A That same beanie hat and on the inside there is
- 3 staining that is consistent with blood.
- 4 Q Did you also locate documents related to the victim,
- 5 Anshanette McNeil, inside the vehicle?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 O Publish State's 178, what area of the vehicle are we
- 8 looking at here?
- 9 A This is going to be the front passenger side, a view
- 10 inside the glove box, and you can also see the interior, a
- 11 partial interior of the front passenger side door and the front
- 12 passenger seat.
- 13 O In State's 179, what is this, Detective?
- 14 A This is some paperwork from some type of medical
- 15 facility or lab facility that indicates the decedent's name,
- 16 Anshanette McNeil. Actually, I believe it's got her former
- 17 married name of Berger for the last name.
- 18 O State's 180, can you tell us what area of the vehicle
- 19 we're looking at here and what you can see inside that exhibit?
- 20 A This is the rear passenger side. You can see the
- 21 floorboard and part of the baby seat that was in that seat.
- 22 Q Showing you State's 181, what is depicted in this
- 23 exhibit, Detective?
- 24 A That is a full view now of the baby car seat that is
- 25 in the rear of the vehicle on the right side.

- 1 Q Now going to publish State's 182, and what area of
- 2 the car are we looking at here?
- 3 A This is the rear cargo area.
- 4 Q And in this exhibit, we've seen one picture of the
- 5 rear cargo area before. In this exhibit are there evidence
- 6 placards located inside the rear cargo area?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q I want to focus in on Item No. 20. I'm publishing
- 9 State's 183. What is that? And I have another close-up, but
- 10 what are we just generally looking at --
- 11 A Yeah, if I could see a close-up, that's pretty small.
- 12 O Publishing State's 184.
- 13 A It's kind of blurry, but I think that might have been
- 14 a partial projectile.
- 15 Q I can approach with the exhibit if that would help.
- 16 A Yeah, maybe.
- 17 I'm not sure. I know that's listed in the evidence
- 18 report, but there's a lot of evidence. I didn't remember every
- 19 single one.
- 20 Q Understood. Would it refresh your memory to look at
- 21 the evidence report of what was recovered?
- 22 A It would.
- Q Do you have that with you, Detective?
- 24 A I do.
- MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, I'd ask permission for the

- 1 witness to refresh his recollection as to what Item 20 was just
- 2 for the record.
- 3 THE COURT: Any objection, gentlemen?
- 4 MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. You can go ahead, Detective.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 7 (Pause in proceeding.)
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a bullet fragment.
- 9 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Detective.
- 10 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 11 Q Did you also locate inside the vehicle items tied to
- 12 or identified with the defendant, Vernon Newson?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q I'm going to publish a series of photographs in
- 15 succession here. State's 185, State's 186, State's 187, and
- 16 State's 188. What's depicted in State's 188, Detective?
- 17 A So Item 33 was a folder containing paperwork from
- 18 Bank of America in the name of the defendant, Vernon Newson,
- 19 Jr.
- 20 Q And these prior exhibits I referenced, 185 through
- 21 187, were those depicting where that item was located and just
- 22 closing up on it?
- 23 A Yes. It was by the center console.
- Q Did you find other bullet fragments inside the
- 25 vehicle as well?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Publishing State's 189, again just for reference,
- 3 what area of the vehicle are we looking at here?
- 4 A That's the rear seat on the driver's side.
- 5 Q And State's 190, what evidence placard are we looking
- 6 at here?
- 7 A That's Item 34.
- 8 Q State's 191, what is Item 34 referencing?
- 9 A That the small little silver thing there right in the
- 10 seam of the seat, that's a bullet fragment.
- 11 Q I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 12 State's 192, again for reference to the jury, what area of the
- 13 vehicle are we looking at here?
- 14 A This is the floorboard of the rear seat on the
- 15 driver's side.
- 16 Q So this is directly behind the driver's seat?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O And there are two evidence markers in this case; is
- 19 that correct?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Or this photograph, I'm sorry.
- We'll start with Number 35. This is State's 193,
- 23 what was Evidence Marker 35 referencing?
- 24 A A cartridge case.
- 25 Q And then State's 194 shows Evidence Marker 36,

- 1 correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 O And 195, what is Evidence Marker 36 indicating?
- 4 A That's a bullet fragment.
- 5 Q And then State's 196, can you tell us what that is?
- 6 A A watch.
- 7 O Was that located inside the vehicle as well?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Publishing now State's 197, can you tell us what
- 10 we're looking at here?
- 11 A This is all of the items that were identified as
- 12 evidence from inside the car laid out on a clean sheet just to
- 13 get another view of everything that we were taking out of the
- 14 car.
- 15 Q And then were those items examined and photographed
- 16 closer as we've seen in some of the previous exhibits?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O I want to turn back to State's 193. Again just,
- 19 Detective, can you refresh our memories what's depicted in this
- 20 exhibit?
- 21 A It is a cartridge case.
- 22 Q And that's depicted as Evidence Marker 35; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q State's 209, can you tell us what we're looking at

- 1 there?
- 2 A That's a close-up of that cartridge case that shows
- 3 the headstamp. The caliber is 9 by 19mm. The manufacturer's
- 4 stamp has the letters FC, which is Federal Cartridge
- 5 Corporation.
- 6 Q In addition to collecting these items of physical
- 7 evidence, with regards to the blood evidence itself, were any
- 8 forensic swabs taken?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And I'd like to cycle quickly through those.
- 11 State's 211, what are we looking at here, Detective?
- 12 A This is a DNA swab of the door handle.
- 13 0 It was the driver's side door handle?
- 14 A Yes, the driver's side door handle.
- 15 0 State's --
- 16 THE COURT: Just for the record, that photo is a
- 17 photo of the interior of the driver's door, correct?
- 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 19 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 21 Q State's 212.
- 22 A This is a swab of the steering wheel.
- 23 O And was there a blood stain on the steering wheel
- 24 that was being swabbed as well there?
- 25 A Yes. I also had a swab of the steering wheel taken

- 1 because there could also be touch DNA, epithelial DNA on there
- 2 as well.
- 3 Q State's 214, what is this?
- 4 A This is a DNA swab taken from the gear shift inside
- 5 the vehicle.
- 6 Q And what is the purpose of taking a swab of the gear
- 7 shift?
- 8 A DNA can be -- I'm not a DNA analyst, however I do
- 9 know, I have training that and I know that DNA, you can get it
- 10 from bodily fluids such as blood or saliva, and you can also
- 11 get it from skin cells or sweat. So areas that are touched
- 12 frequently or handled frequently can often have traces of DNA
- 13 that can be collected with a swab. A gear shift of a vehicle,
- 14 a steering wheel, these are areas that are frequently touched
- 15 and handled, therefore there's a possibility that there could
- 16 be trace DNA present on those surfaces.
- 17 O State's 215.
- 18 A This is a DNA swab taken from the rear view mirror,
- 19 and again the reason was every time you get into a vehicle, if
- 20 it's a new driver or a different driver, they will always
- 21 adjust the rear view mirror, therefore they have to touch it.
- 22 There's a possibility that DNA could be transferred.
- 23 Q And did you also swab the blood evidence in the back
- 24 seat and on the interior back driver's side door?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And is that what we see in State's 216?
- 2 A Yes. And to clarify, I didn't do it myself. I
- 3 directed CSI Harder to do it.
- 4 O And then in State's 217?
- 5 A Yes. These are swabs of -- to collect potential DNA
- 6 from the blood stains.
- 7 Q And then publishing State's 218, what are we looking
- 8 at here, Detective?
- 9 A This is a DNA swab of the drinking straw from the
- 10 paper cup that was in the center console.
- 11 Q All these items, were they impounded by CSI Harder at
- 12 your direction?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And did you subsequently submit those items for DNA
- 15 analysis and testing?
- 16 A Yes, I did.
- 17 Q What if anything else did you do with the vehicle
- 18 itself?
- 19 A There were several defects in the seats that appeared
- 20 to be bullet strikes or bullet holes. I had CSI Harder insert
- 21 trajectory rods that showed the apparent path of travel of the
- 22 bullet strikes.
- 23 Q Publishing State's 221, what are we looking at here?
- 24 A So I've got three defects, AB and C, and those pink
- 25 sticks are the trajectory rods that kind of show what the path

- of the bullet would be. Laws of physics, a bullet's going to
- 2 travel in a straight line, so all three of those defects are --
- 3 appear to be bullet entry strikes.
- 4 Q And can you explain to the members of the jury where
- 5 this photograph is being taken from within the vehicle?
- 6 A So yes. So the perspective on this photograph is she
- 7 is in the front driver's seat facing towards the rear of the
- 8 vehicle with her camera pointed towards the back seat.
- 9 Q When you say she is, you mean CSA Harder is taking
- 10 the picture from the driver's seat?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q State's 222, what is this, Detective?
- 13 A This is just a different view of it, and it looks
- 14 like she would have been standing just inside the open rear
- 15 door of the driver's side.
- 16 MR. BASHOR: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
- 17 foundation on that one.
- 18 THE COURT: In terms of where it was taken from, or?
- 19 MR. BASHOR: Or the -- or I'm sorry, by her, I guess,
- 20 yeah, as foundation is it her the person taking the picture of
- 21 her being the decedent.
- 22 MR. PORTZ: I'll clarify that.
- 23 THE COURT: Oh, I see what you're saying. I'm sorry.
- 24 Go ahead, Mr. Portz.
- MR. PORTZ: Oh, I'm sorry.

- 1 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 2 Q Detective, when we're talking about, I'm sorry, when
- 3 you're referencing where the photo's being taken from when you
- 4 say her, do you mean CSA Harder?
- 5 A Yes. I apologize. This photograph, it appears that
- 6 the perspective was is that CSI Harder, the photographer, was
- 7 standing just inside the open rear door of the driver's side.
- 8 Q Are these trajectory rods marked on Defects AB and C,
- 9 they continue in a straight line as you referenced throughout
- 10 the car; is that correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And did you direct CSA Harder to take photographs
- 13 from different angle of those trajectory rods to provide
- 14 perspective as to where it appears that those, the bullets had
- 15 potentially traveled?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Okay. I'm going to publish State's 233. Is this
- 18 another view of the trajectory rods?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And where is this photograph from, what direction?
- 21 A So this is the perspective of the photographer is
- 22 basically just standing right outside the rear door on the
- 23 driver's side or left side looking left to right, so just a
- 24 side view or a side perspective.
- 25 Q Based on these three photographs that we've seen of

- 1 the rods, were you able to gauge just with the rods themselves
- 2 a potential trajectory?
- 3 A Yes. From the area of the driver's seat from front
- 4 to back.
- 5 Q State's 299, what are we seeing here?
- 6 A So these are the trajectory rods that depict that
- 7 they're going, they entered into the seat on the front seat and
- 8 came out the rear of the seat towards the back of the vehicle.
- 9 Q The references A and B, do they continue on and
- 10 strike another object within the vehicle?
- 11 A Yes. You can see the AB and C with the white
- 12 stickers, and there's two additional white stickers that
- 13 correspond, there's another A. So A corresponds with A and B
- 14 corresponds with B, so that means that same bullet went, came
- 15 from the area of the driver's seat towards the back of the
- 16 vehicle, went through the front side of the car seat, came out
- 17 the back side of the car seat and hit the rear cargo door area.
- 18 O Were you able to determine what if anything happened
- 19 to the projectile that was marked as Defect C?
- 20 A No. There was some partial fragments that we
- 21 recovered from the rear cargo area, but it's -- I don't -- the
- 22 rest of the bullet projectile we couldn't recover.
- 23 Q State's 277, is this a continuation of or a close-up
- 24 of where A and B struck the rear of the vehicle?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And finally State's 228, what are we looking at here,
- 2 Detective?
- 3 A So this is the outside of the car looking at the rear
- 4 hatch. There is a bullet strike with a rod coming out of it,
- 5 and again that corresponds with A. So there is three holes or
- 6 bullet strikes that are all consistent with that A, which means
- 7 it was all fired, caused by the same bullet.
- 8 Q Now, the defendant had been arrested in Claremont,
- 9 California. Is he ultimately brought back to Las Vegas,
- 10 Nevada?
- 11 A Yes. He was eventually extradited back to Nevada.
- 12 O And are you notified when he arrives back in North
- 13 Las Vegas?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And when he arrives in North Las Vegas, do you obtain
- 16 any search warrants for potential evidence from the defendant
- 17 himself?
- 18 A Yes, and it --
- 19 Q And what -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 20 A To clarify, he was actually booked into the Las Vegas
- 21 Detention Center.
- 22 Q Okay. Thank you. And what if anything do you do
- 23 when he is booked into the Las Vegas Detention Center?
- 24 A I authored and obtained a search warrant for a DNA
- 25 reference sample to be collected with a buccal swab.

- 1 Q Was that search warrant granted?
- 2 A Yes, it was.
- 3 O And did Crime Scene Analyst Harder collect a buccal
- 4 swab from the defendant?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And was that buccal swab also submitted for forensic
- 7 testing DNA analysis as pursuant to your orders in this
- 8 investigation?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q So just to recap, in total how many cartridge casings
- 11 were found at the crime scene itself on I-15 southbound and
- 12 Lamb?
- 13 A Six.
- 14 Q How many cartridge cases were found inside the
- 15 vehicle?
- 16 A Six.
- 17 Q How many gunshot wounds did the victim sustain when
- 18 you reviewed her autopsy?
- 19 A I want to say about eight. She had multiple gunshot
- wounds.
- 21 Q And to be clear, was there blood located at the scene
- 22 of the crime?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O And the blood located inside the vehicle?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And those were collected as part of your
- 2 investigation, correct?
- 3 A Yes, samples.
- 4 Q The bullets that were recovered from the crime scene,
- 5 from Saint Bar Court and from inside the vehicle, either the
- 6 ammunition or the expended shell cartridge casings, did they
- 7 all match the same caliber?
- 8 A Yes, 9 by 19mm.
- 9 Q And did they in one way or another, were there
- 10 anything about the headstamps that tied each scene together?
- 11 A Yes. Sellier & Bellot was consistent with the
- 12 roadside at I-15 and Lamb, the house on Saint Bar Court, in his
- 13 possession at Claremont, California, and inside the vehicle.
- MR. PORTZ: The Court's indulgence.
- 15 (Attorneys confer.)
- 16 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 17 Q Detective, throughout all your investigation here,
- 18 did you ever recover a firearm?
- 19 A No.
- 20 Q And when the defendant was apprehended, did you also
- 21 locate any blood subsequent to his arrest specifically as to an
- 22 item of clothing that he was wearing that day?
- 23 A Yeah. He was wearing a watch that had the blood
- 24 stain that we showed the pictures of it.
- 25 Q Thank you.

- 1 MR. PORTZ: I have no further questions.
- THE COURT: Mr. Bashor.
- 3 MR. BASHOR: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. BASHOR:
- 6 Q Good afternoon, Detective.
- 7 A Good afternoon.
- 8 Q During the course of your investigation you were able
- 9 to determine that Anshanette both owned and registered a
- 10 Ruger 9mm handgun; is that correct?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 O And that it was purchased in February 2015?
- 13 A I'm sure that information was on the blue card, but I
- 14 don't remember exactly when it was purchased.
- 15 Q If I could approach with a gun registration, Las
- 16 Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Form 24A, would that
- 17 refresh your recollection as to exactly when it was purchased?
- 18 A I'm sure it would.
- 19 MR. BASHOR: Your Honor, may I approach?
- THE COURT: You may.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Sold on February 15 -- or I'm
- 22 sorry, February 25, 2015. And actually, it looks like at the
- 23 time there was a 72-hour hold, which would indicate she would
- 24 have been a first-time firearm purchaser. So she received
- 25 delivery on March 1, 2015.

- 1 MR. BASHOR: Thank you very much.
- No further questions, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Portz.
- 4 MR. PORTZ: Nothing further from the State, Your
- 5 Honor. Thank you.
- 6 THE COURT: Anything from our jurors? No.
- 7 Detective, thank you very much for your time. I appreciate it.
- 8 You are excused.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- We can just take a short break before we continue on.
- 12 Ladies and gentlemen, we'll take a short recess before we
- 13 continue on.
- During the recess you're admonished not to talk or
- 15 converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
- 16 connected with the trial, or read, watch or listen to any
- 17 report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of
- 18 information, including without limitation the newspapers,
- 19 television, the Internet or radio, or form or express any
- 20 opinion on any subject connected with the case until it's
- 21 finally submitted to you. No legal or factual research or
- 22 investigation or re-creation of testimony on your own.
- 23 All right. We'll be in break for about 15 minutes.
- 24 (Jurors recessed at 2:29 p.m.)
- 25 THE COURT: How many more witnesses do you guys have?

- 1 MS. WECKERLY: One.
- 2 MR. PORTZ: One, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: DNA?
- 4 MS. WECKERLY: Yes.
- 5 (Court recessed at 2:30 p.m. until 2:49 p.m.)
- 6 (Outside the presence of the jury.)
- 7 THE COURT: So do we need to have argument and talk
- 8 about the issue with the jury instructions, since -- how
- 9 much -- how long is your last witness going to be?
- 10 MS. WECKERLY: Maybe 20 minutes.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay. Because I don't think I want to
- 12 stop and start back up again. But if it's -- we need to get
- 13 through this witness as well before we do that, that's fine.
- MS. WECKERLY: I'm not sure what you're asking.
- 15 THE COURT: Well, I got the impression from you all
- 16 that before you make a decision about putting a witness on you
- 17 want to argue about assumably the lesser included issue with
- 18 voluntary manslaughter.
- 19 MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: And that you all aren't agreeing to
- 21 voluntary manslaughter because you didn't submit any
- 22 instructions on voluntary manslaughter.
- MS. WECKERLY: Correct.
- 24 THE COURT: So rather than putting this witness on
- 25 and going for 20 minutes or whatever it is and then saying,

- 1 okay, now go back out --
- MS. WECKERLY: Oh, I see. Sure, okay.
- 3 THE COURT: -- because we got to talk about this
- 4 before we can decide whether to move into their case or not,
- 5 did you guys want to do that now, or is there some need to wait
- 6 for this last witness before we do it?
- 7 MS. WECKERLY: No. We can do this witness and then
- 8 argue, whatever your preference is.
- 9 THE COURT: Well, no, that's why I was saying should
- 10 we do it now while we're in a recess so that when we then go
- 11 and finish up this witness we can either go into their case or
- 12 we're sending the jury home for the day.
- MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
- 14 THE COURT: Does that make sense?
- MS. WECKERLY: Yep.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Gentlemen, can you
- 17 guys get Mr. Newson. Oh, gosh. I'm sorry, Mr. Newson, I
- 18 didn't see you sitting there. I apologize.
- 19 All right. Mr. Bashor.
- 20 MR. BASHOR: Yes, Judge. I would just cite to Wilson
- 21 v. State, 99 Nev. 530. A defendant in a criminal case is
- 22 entitled upon request to a jury instruction on his or her
- 23 theory of the case so long as there is some evidence, no matter
- 24 how weak or incredible, to support it. In Wilson that was a
- 25 case where manslaughter was not given.

- 1 Your Honor, given that proviso, I would submit that
- 2 the evidence to do so far and particularly most importantly was
- 3 a statement of Mr. Newson as elicited through Zarharia
- 4 Marshall, quote, and excuse my language, "Just know mother
- 5 fuckers took me to a point where I can't take it no more."
- 6 Between that, the description of the length of time
- 7 in which this instance occurred and the behavior of Mr. Newson
- 8 as described by Ms. Marshall when she arrived at the house, I
- 9 would submit that while I'm sure the State would find that
- 10 that's not overwhelming evidence, it would nonetheless meet the
- 11 threshold as defined by Wilson v. State, and I'd ask that the
- 12 jury be instructed on voluntary manslaughter.
- 13 THE COURT: All right. State.
- MS. WECKERLY: So I mean, the definition of voluntary
- 15 manslaughter is that there has to be some kind of provocation.
- 16 And that statement referenced by Mr. Bashor doesn't reference
- 17 any kind of -- I mean, I don't know what he's talking about,
- 18 any kind of provocation, and there's just no -- there's just
- 19 nothing. There's no evidence of any kind of provocation in
- 20 this case other than they had at some point argued in a
- 21 relationship, which isn't terribly striking to begin with, I
- 22 mean.
- But literally if that is enough, that comment he made
- 24 about being pushed too far, I mean, that's literally the only
- 25 thing. And I'm not sure that that is -- I don't know what that

- 1 means, why he said it, or why that would be considered
- 2 provocation enough to warrant a manslaughter instruction.
- 3 THE COURT: Anything further?
- 4 MR. BASHOR: Well, I mean, Your Honor, the position
- 5 that this puts me in then is he now has to make a determination
- of whether or not to waive his Fifth Amendment right by virtue
- 7 of fact that this occurred without any other witnesses there.
- 8 THE COURT: Right.
- 9 MR. BASHOR: I would submit that there's a
- 10 circumstantial basis, although minimal, out there which would
- 11 warrant the instructions. And I'm sure that the arguments that
- 12 the State just made will be made even more artfully than they
- 13 currently were in front of the jury in summation.
- 14 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this, because
- obviously manslaughter isn't just a provocation, it's that
- 16 sudden heat of passion type thing. So what -- and then as the
- 17 instructions go on to tell us, that your basic inquiry is about
- 18 was the reason of the defendant so obscured by this passion
- 19 that he couldn't act rationally, right, and that they did what
- 20 they did.
- 21 How do they get anything about that from Zarharia's
- 22 statement that he said I got pushed too far and couldn't take
- 23 it anymore? Because I mean there's no context to did this
- 24 happen at the house, did it happen in the car, did it happen
- 25 three days ago.

- I mean what -- it just -- and trust me, I get that
- 2 the law is if there is scintillas of evidence available to you
- 3 to pursue a theory, you're entitled to do that. But my sense
- 4 is here that we're kind of taking that statement and then
- 5 saying speculate about a whole lot of other things that aren't
- 6 there.
- 7 MR. BASHOR: And I would dovetail then in, Your
- 8 Honor, it's not just the statement. As I indicated, the
- 9 description of how the incident occurred, Ms. Hall testified
- 10 rapid succession of firing and a speeding off car, added to
- 11 that, that Zarharia Marshall describes him as amped, hurried,
- 12 et cetera.
- 13 It's not just the statement alone. I think that the
- 14 circumstantial evidence as adduced. Again, I understand Your
- 15 Honor's point and I certainly understand the State's point, but
- 16 I think that we've met that minimal threshold under the
- 17 circumstances.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Do you guys have anything
- 19 further based upon what I just said a moment ago, the record
- 20 that I made?
- 21 MS. WECKERLY: I mean, no, other than if this -- if
- 22 this minimal showing or this, I mean statement that's subject
- 23 to interpretation or the fact that these two know each other,
- 24 then it just seems like you'd get this instruction then in
- 25 every case, I mean where the parties had some kind of prior

- 1 relationship, because there's literally nothing there. There's
- 2 nothing else. So I mean the fact that he's amped up and in a
- 3 hurry, I would say that's because he wants to get out of town
- 4 because he just killed somebody.
- 5 MR. BASHOR: And Your Honor, just to complete my
- 6 record --
- 7 THE COURT: Yeah, you can go ahead. Obviously it's
- 8 your request.
- 9 MR. BASHOR: Just to complete my record, Judge, I
- 10 just think that -- and I understand the State's concerns. It's
- 11 just that we're now -- we're placed in the position of the
- 12 burden of production of some piece of evidence where again,
- 13 that's not exactly how this system is designed. It's not a
- 14 full defense like self-defense where --
- THE COURT: Well, but you acknowledge that I mean
- 16 there are circumstances where that absolutely falls on you all
- 17 to produce evidence before you get an instruction on something
- 18 like self-defense --
- 19 MR. BASHOR: Correct.
- 20 THE COURT: -- or knowledge of somebody's propensity
- 21 for violence or whatever it may be, that if that rests solely
- 22 with your client because, you know, he was there at the time of
- 23 a crime and there are no other witnesses, then that isn't a
- 24 violation of any constitutional provisions.
- 25 MR. BASHOR: And I would submit that like a

- 1 distinction for instance between self-defense and here is that
- 2 this is a lesser included, whereas a burden -- I could see more
- 3 clearly a burden of production in something that's an absolute
- 4 defense.
- 5 THE COURT: Right. No, look, I agree that there is a
- 6 distinction, but I don't -- I don't -- I just don't think
- 7 there's enough evidence here of anything to give that
- 8 instruction right now. Because if you evaluate everything we
- 9 have so far that's in evidence in as open way as I can, there
- 10 is a shooting in the car, there's shell casings in the car,
- 11 there are bullets or bullet holes in the back -- well, let me
- 12 back up a little further.
- 13 The state of the evidence would be that the decedent
- 14 is in the back seat of the car where the two children are, that
- 15 there is a shooting in the car, that there's at least three
- 16 shots fired since there are three bullet holes in that back
- 17 seat of the car, that she's wounded in some fashion in the car,
- 18 you would infer from a bullet shot.
- 19 But she's wounded in some fashion and she would
- 20 appear to have been seat belted in at the time since there's
- 21 blood on the seat belt as well, and blood in the car and then
- 22 blood that gets into the car seat and on the baby's blanket.
- 23 And then there's a shooting outside the car at some point once
- 24 the car stops, and she's taken out of the car and is on the
- 25 side of the road where there is at least, I think from the

- 1 testimony, six shell casings found and blood on the side of the
- 2 road.
- 3 So the state of it is that there appears to be two
- 4 shootings, that they had a relationship where according to
- 5 Ms. Marshall they argued a lot, each of them would argue with
- 6 the other, yell at the other. She said no fighting, but that
- 7 they would -- like no physical fighting that she was aware of,
- 8 but -- or ever witness, but that they would yell at each other
- 9 a lot, and that she had methamphetamine in her system, and that
- 10 he made the statement to Zarharia after this was over.
- 11 And I'm less concerned about his behavior after it
- 12 was over because that's after this has occurred and he's
- 13 dropping off the kids, and I don't know that that's really
- 14 indicative of anything related to intent or state of mind
- 15 before the crime occurred. And by that I mean his rushing
- 16 around to get the kids in the house and out of the car and
- 17 whatnot.
- But he makes that statement to her about, you know,
- 19 mother fucker, they pushed me too far and I couldn't take it
- 20 anymore, that. There is absolutely no context to when
- 21 something like that occurs. I mean, that, a jury could listen
- 22 to that and say somebody's saying they got pushed too far and
- 23 they decided they were going to go kill somebody, which could
- 24 be first degree murder.
- 25 It could be pushed me too far moments ago and I

- 1 reacted very suddenly to what occurred there, and that could
- 2 be, you know, voluntary manslaughter certainly. But without
- 3 any further context of that, I don't know that that meets the
- 4 standard of getting that statement in, because there's no basis
- 5 to argue in evidence for the voluntary manslaughter.
- I mean it would be saying we want you to take this
- 7 and then kind of speculate about what else must have been going
- 8 on to reach that he was so obscured by what it was that
- 9 occurred that his passions were inflamed and he couldn't act
- 10 rationally. Because there are separate parts to that.
- 11 There is the suddenness of the heat of passion, there
- 12 is the provocation part of it, and I don't think that his
- 13 simple statement about I got pushed too far really provides
- 14 either, and especially not both of those things to justify a
- 15 manslaughter instruction.
- And I recognize that your trouble with that invoking
- 17 his, you know, right to remain silent and not produce evidence,
- 18 but like I said, I don't think it violates constitutional
- 19 provisions when in order to get a certain theory of defense in
- 20 the defendant is the one that holds that evidence, and they'd
- 21 be the person that has to testify since there is no other
- 22 witnesses to it.
- 23 So I'm going to deny the request to give those
- 24 instructions right now. Obviously first and second degree
- 25 murder apply. But -- and I can revisit this if and when we

- 1 need to based on any other witness testimony, whether it's
- 2 anything with your last witness, which I'm assuming it won't
- 3 because it's a DNA person, or any witnesses that you all decide
- 4 to put on. Okay.
- 5 MR. BASHOR: Okay.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead and get the jury.
- 7 Can you guys tell anybody outside they can go ahead
- 8 and come back in as well. I hate to keep bringing them in when
- 9 the witness is already on the stand.
- 10 (Jurors reconvene at 3:02 p.m.)
- 11 THE COURT: We will be back on the record.
- 12 Mr. Newson's present with his attorneys. State's attorneys are
- 13 present. All of our jurors are present. We will continue on
- 14 with the State's case in chief. Your next witness is going
- 15 to be?
- 16 MR. PORTZ: The State calls Allison Rubino.
- 17 Your Honor, while we're waiting for Ms. Rubino, there
- 18 has been one final stipulation between the parties as to
- 19 State's 1, 231 and 232, to be admitted by way of stipulation.
- THE COURT: 1, 231 and 232. Okay. Is that correct,
- 21 gentlemen?
- MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 24 (State's Exhibit No. 1 admitted.)
- 25 (State's Exhibits No. 231 and 232 admitted.)

- 1 ALLISON RUBINO, STATE'S WITNESS, SWORN
- THE CLERK: Will you please state and spell your name
- 3 for the record.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Allison Rubino, A-l-l-i-s-o-n,
- 5 R-u-b-i-n-o.
- 6 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Portz.
- 7 MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. PORTZ:
- 10 Q Ma'am, where do you work?
- 11 A I am a forensic scientist at the Las Vegas
- 12 Metropolitan Police Department Forensic Laboratory.
- 13 Q Do you specialize in any particular area within the
- 14 forensic laboratory?
- 15 A I am set to the biology DNA detail.
- 16 Q What are your general job responsibilities in that
- 17 position?
- 18 A Primarily I'm responsible for examining evidence for
- 19 the presence or the absence of biological material, and then
- 20 conducting DNA analysis on those samples as well as other
- 21 samples as they're requested to our section of the lab.
- 22 Q How long have you been working in that position as a
- 23 DNA analyst?
- 24 A I have been with Metro since January of 2014.
- 25 Q Did you work for any other law enforcement agencies

- 1 in the area of DNA analysis?
- 2 A Prior to working here in Las Vegas, I worked for the
- 3 Armed Forces DNA Identification Laboratory in Dover, Delaware.
- 4 I was there for about a year and a half. And prior to that I
- 5 was a research associate and a forensic scientist at the
- 6 Suffolk County Crime Laboratory in Hauppauge, New York, and I
- 7 was there for about three years.
- 8 Q So in total how long have you been a DNA analyst, a
- 9 forensic analyst?
- 10 A I quess about 8 1/2.
- 11 Q What sort of training and education is required to
- 12 reach your position?
- 13 A We're required to have a certain level of education
- 14 and training that we undergo all through schooling, and then at
- 15 each of the individual locations we're employed at. So I have
- 16 a bachelor's of science in biochemistry from the University of
- 17 Scranton, and I have a master's of science degree in forensic
- 18 science from the University of New Haven.
- 19 Here at the Las Vegas laboratory we're required to
- 20 undergo a well-documented intensive training program that deals
- 21 with reading articles, taking exams and working with items that
- 22 we would routinely see during our normal casework. Once we've
- 23 passed our specific training program, then we're released to
- 24 perform current casework.
- 25 Q And in addition, after you've passed the training and

- 1 you're performing casework, to remain accredited do you have to
- 2 continue on in your education in the area of DNA analysis and
- 3 comparison?
- 4 A Yeah. We're required to do at least eight hours of
- 5 continuing education per year.
- 6 Q Can you describe to the members of the jury what
- 7 exactly DNA is?
- 8 A Sure. DNA stands for deoxyribonucleic acid. It's a
- 9 compound that's found in nearly every cell within our body.
- 10 And what it has is essentially it contains the instructions
- 11 that make us into the individuals that we are, like our hair
- 12 color, eye color, numbers of fingers and toes we have, size of
- 13 our organs, things like that. Our DNA is inherited, so we get
- 14 half of our DNA from our mother and half of our DNA from our
- 15 father.
- 16 Q Now, given your experience and your time as an
- 17 analyst, what is it about DNA that makes it a powerful forensic
- 18 tool?
- 19 A Well, because DNA is unique to each individual with
- 20 the exception of identical siblings, we can use that
- 21 information to establish relationships, if they exist, between
- 22 DNA evidence from a crime scene for example and compare that to
- 23 DNA from known reference samples.
- 24 Q And what -- when you talk about sources from a crime
- 25 scene for example, what types of sources can investigators

- 1 acquire or obtain DNA samples from?
- 2 A We can use many different mediums that have DNA in
- 3 it, whether it's blood, semen, saliva, skin cells. Usually it
- 4 could be any of that which we will obtain a DNA profile from.
- 5 Q When you say skin cells, is it just simply like a
- 6 matter of if I touch this podium here for a brief moment am I
- 7 going to deposit DNA that you will find if it's swabbed?
- 8 A With skin cells it's something that we call touch
- 9 DNA, and touch DNA is very variable, but it is possible that if
- 10 you were to hold on to an item or touch a surface, that there
- is potential for you to leave DNA behind.
- 12 O And you mentioned touch DNA can be variable as to
- 13 whether or not DNA will ultimately be found. Are there certain
- 14 sources that are more DNA rich, I guess for lack of a better
- 15 word, than a touch?
- 16 A Other biological fluids like blood, semen or saliva
- 17 are more likely to have more DNA or a robust amount of DNA
- 18 present.
- 19 Q When we talk about collecting sources of DNA from a
- 20 crime scene, can you describe typically how sources are
- 21 collected? What sort of methods do analysts use and give to
- 22 you for testing?
- 23 A We receive items in a number of fashions. It could
- 24 be something as simple as a swab of an item, or it could be the
- 25 actual items themselves, and which we're -- as an analyst, I'm

- 1 the one that's going to sample directly from an item. So it
- 2 really just depends.
- 3 Q So when you receive a sample either from an
- 4 investigator who picked it up at the scene or you're asked to
- 5 swab an item and check for DNA, can you describe the process of
- 6 how you analyze the DNA after you've swabbed it?
- 7 A After I swab it we go through our DNA process. And
- 8 the first step is called extraction, and that's basically we
- 9 want to isolate any DNA present from any of the other material.
- 10 So we want to isolate the DNA from the swab itself, and we want
- 11 to isolate the DNA from any other maybe dirt or debris or any
- 12 other biological material that's present there.
- Once I've isolated the DNA, I want to quantify it,
- 14 find out how much DNA I have. And after I've done that, we put
- 15 a portion of that sample in a DNA copy machine and we amplify
- 16 it, making millions and millions of copies of the different
- 17 areas that we look at. And once I've amplified the DNA, we put
- 18 it through an instrument that takes little snapshots as the DNA
- 19 passes through a window.
- 20 After all the DNA has traveled and all of my pictures
- 21 are taken, all of those pictures get culminated into one chart
- 22 that I get to see, and that's what we call the DNA profile.
- 23 O And so are those, that's in reference to taking a
- 24 sample and you perform your analysis and you get a DNA profile
- 25 from it; is that correct?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Okay. Do you take those samples typically in a
- 3 criminal forensic investigation setting, do you take those
- 4 samples and compare them to what would be called a known
- 5 sample?
- A After processing evidence samples, we'll then process
- 7 and compare them to reference samples, yes.
- 8 Q And what are reference samples, just so the members
- 9 of the jury are aware?
- 10 A Reference samples are DNA samples that have a known
- 11 source. Sometimes they're referred to as a buccal swab. And
- 12 they're essentially taken from the inside of someone's cheek
- 13 and documented as coming from that particular individual, so we
- 14 have a known source of their DNA.
- 15 Q So once you've taken samples and you've done your
- 16 testing and you take a known source and you do your testing and
- then you compare, do you generate reports of your findings of
- 18 those comparisons?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And when that process is concluded, are there any
- 21 sort of backup checks or other individuals who review your work
- 22 to make sure for accuracy's sake?
- 23 A Each of our case files goes through two types of
- 24 review. One is called a technical review in which one of my
- 25 peers at the laboratory will go through my entire case file and

- 1 make sure that everything that I have done throughout the
- 2 course of the case file is technically sound with our standard
- 3 operating procedures.
- 4 And once it's gone through its tech review, it then
- 5 goes through a subsequent administrative review in which one of
- 6 the other analysts in the detail will make sure all of my I's
- 7 are dotted, my T's are crossed, and look for more
- 8 administrative issues that may be there.
- 9 O I want to direct your attention now to this case. At
- 10 some point in time were you asked to perform DNA analysis on a
- 11 series of items that were impounded in association with a
- 12 homicide from December 15, 2000 -- excuse me, December 13,
- 13 2015, from North Las Vegas?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And did Detective Ben Owens request that you conduct
- 16 that testing?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 O And the evidence that you were asked to analyze, is
- 19 it fair to say that it came from various different sources
- 20 throughout the course of the investigation?
- 21 A I received different types of evidence in this
- 22 request.
- 23 Q Were you also in addition to evidence given reference
- 24 samples from individuals in this case?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q Was that for -- the reference samples you were given,
- 2 was that for a suspect by the name of Vernon Newson?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Were you also given reference samples from the
- 5 victim, Anshanette McNeil?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q I want to -- I'm going to publish what's been
- 8 admitted as State's 231. Can you tell us what we're looking at
- 9 in State's 231?
- 10 A That is a picture of a car seat liner.
- 11 Q And was that submitted to you for testing?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Can you describe to the members of the jury how you
- 14 went about testing the car seat liner for evidence of DNA?
- 15 A Well, the first thing we do is a visual examination.
- 16 So in this particular case it was requested looking for the
- 17 presence of blood, and the first thing you want to do is use
- 18 the visual cues that would indicate possible locations where
- 19 blood could be. So what I'm essentially looking for is any
- 20 red-brown staining that may be present throughout the surfaces
- 21 of the car seat liner.
- 22 O And did you find staining that was red or brown or
- 23 appeared to be blood to you on the car seat liner here?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q And what did you do when you found that?

- 1 A So what I would do first, before deciding whether or
- 2 not to go through with DNA, is we do what's called a
- 3 presumptive test, and it's a chemical test for the presence of
- 4 blood. So I'll take a little swabbing of a particular area and
- 5 I'll add chemicals to that swab, and ultimately if I get a pink
- 6 result, that means blood may be present in that area.
- 7 Q Did you do a presumptive blood test on the swabs from
- 8 the car seat liner?
- 9 A I tested a bunch of areas and decided that, and they
- 10 were positive for blood, yes.
- 11 Q Based on the fact that you got a positive blood
- 12 presumptive result, did you conduct DNA analysis on that
- 13 sample?
- 14 A I conducted DNA analysis on one of the stains of the
- 15 car seat liner, yes.
- 16 Q Were you able from conducting the analysis from one
- 17 of the stains, were you able to determine whether or not a DNA
- 18 profile existed?
- 19 A Yes, I was.
- 20 Q And were you further able to determine whose DNA if
- 21 anyone's was present?
- 22 A I was able to make a comparison, yes.
- 23 Q What were the results of your comparison?
- 24 A So this particular DNA profile consisted of
- 25 originating from at least one male contributor, and assuming

- 1 that there were two people present. So this was a mixture DNA
- 2 profile that contained DNA from multiple individuals. There
- 3 was what we call a full major profile which is someone that
- 4 gave more DNA to the profile, and that full major profile was
- 5 consistent with Anshanette McNeil.
- 6 Q And we've spoken previously about potential sources
- 7 that are more DNA rich. You referenced bodily fluids such as
- 8 blood can be particularly DNA rich; is that correct?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Would -- could that contribute to, or would that in
- 11 your opinion contribute to the fact that there is a major
- 12 source as opposed to say a minor male contributor in this case?
- 13 A It's possible, yes.
- 14 Q And you said that the major DNA profile came back to
- 15 Anshanette McNeil; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And do you conduct an analysis as to statistically
- 18 the probability that the DNA that you found on the blood stain
- 19 belongs to the DNA you were given from Anshanette McNeil?
- 20 A So once we've decided in a comparison that the DNA
- 21 profiles are consistent with each other, we then generate a
- 22 statistic to assess the weight of that consistency. And for
- 23 this particular item, the probability of randomly selecting an
- 24 unrelated individual having a DNA profile consistent with that
- 25 evidence is approximately 1 in 2.01 sextillion.

- 1 Q So not many people are familiar with what a
- 2 sextillion is. Can you explain what that number is exactly?
- 3 A Sure. So we can work backwards. So a million has
- 4 six zeros, a billion has nine, a trillion has 12, a quadrillion
- 5 has 15, a quintillion has 18, and then a sextillion has 21
- 6 zeros. And when we're thinking sextillion as being a large
- 7 number, when we're thinking about the probability, it's
- 8 approximately 1 in 2.01 sextillion, which actually makes a
- 9 very, very small number, and it's establishing the rarity of
- 10 this evidence profile. And the fact that we have a reference
- 11 profile that is consistent with this rare evidence profile
- 12 shows weight or significance to that consistency.
- 13 O So the statistic is 1 in 2.01 sextillion --
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 O -- as to Anshanette McNeil?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q I'm going to -- oh, were you also asked to conduct
- 18 DNA analysis on a swab of blood collected from a car seat
- 19 handle in this case?
- 20 A Yes, I was.
- 21 Q And do you recall whether or not did you conduct the
- 22 presumptive blood testing in that?
- 23 A Yes, I did.
- 24 Q And did it come back positive for blood?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And did you conduct DNA analysis on that piece of
- 2 evidence?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And what was the result of the DNA analysis from the
- 5 blood swab from the car seat handle?
- 6 A So this swab, this sample generated a full single
- 7 source profile, meaning there was only one evident contributor,
- 8 and that full profile was consistent with Anshanette McNeil.
- 9 O And what was the statistical analysis or the
- 10 probability number that you generated as a result of that
- 11 comparison?
- 12 A The probability of observing a DNA profile consistent
- 13 with that of the evidence is approximately 1 in 2.01
- 14 sextillion.
- 15 Q I'm going to publish what's been admitted as
- 16 State's 232, and can you describe to the jury what we're
- 17 looking at here?
- 18 A These are three items of evidence that I received; a
- 19 fleece-like blanket which is on the right, a sock that's in the
- 20 middle, and then a pair of pants that's on the left.
- 21 Q Were you asked to conduct a potential DNA analysis on
- 22 these three separate items?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q You described with the car seat your process of first
- 25 beginning with the visual observation to see if there was any

- 1 potential DNA sources like blood present on the items. Did you
- 2 do that in this case as well?
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 Q Did you locate anything that appeared to be blood on
- 5 the little pair of pants?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And did you locate anything that appeared to be blood
- 8 on the sock?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And then again on the blanket, did you locate
- 11 anything that appeared to be blood?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q When you -- let's start with the blanket. Did you
- 14 conduct a presumptive blood test on that?
- 15 A Yes, I did.
- 16 Q And did it come back positive?
- 17 A Yes, it did.
- 18 O Did you as a result of that conduct a DNA analysis on
- 19 that blood and compare it to the known DNA profiles of
- 20 Anshanette McNeil and Vernon Newson?
- 21 A Yes. For this particular item I obtained a full -- a
- 22 single source, a single contributor DNA profile, and that
- 23 profile was consistent with Anshanette McNeil.
- 24 Q And to what degree?
- 25 A And the probability of randomly selecting an

- 1 unrelated individual having a DNA profile that is consistent
- 2 with the evidence is approximately 1 in 2.01 sextillion.
- 3 Q And can you describe your results to the members of
- 4 the jury as to the swab on the sock that you appeared -- that
- 5 you thought was apparent blood?
- 6 A I tested that and it was -- had a positive
- 7 presumptive test for blood. And then after conducting DNA
- 8 analysis, I also obtained a full single source profile that was
- 9 consistent with Anshanette McNeil.
- 10 Q And then again for the stain on the left pant leg,
- 11 can you describe your testing and results?
- 12 A I obtained positive presumptive blood test on the
- 13 pants, and after conducting DNA analysis I obtained a single
- 14 source full DNA profile consistent with Anshanette McNeil.
- 15 Q Were you also provided a swab from the crime scene
- 16 itself related to a blood stain identified as Blood Stain E at
- 17 the scene of the crime?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And did you test that swab?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q And what were your results of your testing in that?
- 22 A I obtained a positive presumptive test for blood, and
- 23 I conducted DNA analysis and obtained a full single source DNA
- 24 profile consistent with Anshanette McNeil.
- 25 Q And to what degree again?

- 1 A The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated
- 2 individual having a DNA profile consistent with the profile
- 3 from that evidence is approximately 1 in 2.01 sextillion.
- 4 Q Were you also provided a series of swabs that were
- 5 taken from the inside of a Kia SUV that was purported to be
- 6 tied to the homicide investigation?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And specifically were you asked to review and analyze
- 9 a swab taken from a rear view mirror within that vehicle?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And did you locate or find any DNA in that swab?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
- 13 Q And can you describe your results for the members of
- 14 the jury?
- 15 A For this particular item, this was a mixture DNA
- 16 profile, meaning that it had multiple contributors to it, and I
- 17 determined there to be three contributors with at least one of
- 18 them being a male, and there was a major DNA profile that was
- 19 consistent with Vernon Newson, Jr.
- 20 Q And so the profile from the swab on the rear view
- 21 mirror was consistent with the defendant, Vernon Newson?
- 22 A The major DNA profile, so the one that contributed
- 23 the most DNA to that particular profile.
- 24 Q Understood. And then again to what degree did you
- 25 calculate that comparison?

- 1 A The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated
- 2 individual having a DNA profile consistent with that major DNA
- 3 profile is approximately 1 in 3.03 sextillion.
- 4 Q And also again from within that vehicle were you
- 5 provided a swab of the gear shift?
- 6 A Yes, I was.
- 8 on the swab from the gear shift?
- 9 A For this particular item, this again was a mixture
- 10 DNA profile with at least one contributor being a male. And
- 11 given that there were three contributors, I was able to obtain
- 12 a two person major component. So two people gave a lot of DNA,
- 13 and it was determined that this two person major DNA profile
- 14 was consistent with originating from Vernon Newson, Jr. and
- 15 Anshanette McNeil.
- 16 Q And can you explain how you calculate -- well, first
- 17 what your probability in that determination and then how you
- 18 calculated that?
- 19 A So the probability of observing that two person
- 20 mixture is approximately 697 quadrillion times more likely if
- 21 it originated from Vernon Newson, Jr. and Anshanette McNeil
- 22 than if it had originated from Vernon Newson, Jr. and one
- 23 random contributor.
- Q And I think you already told us this, but 697
- 25 quadrillion, that's 697 with how many zeros after it?

- 1 A So that's going to be 15 zeros.
- 2 Q And again from inside that vehicle were you provided
- 3 a swab from the steering wheel?
- 4 A Yes, I was.
- 5 Q Did you conduct in the steering wheel a test for
- 6 whether or not blood existed?
- 7 A Yes, I did, and I obtained a positive presumptive
- 8 blood test for that sample.
- 9 Q And from the steering wheel were you able to conduct
- 10 a DNA analysis?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And what if anything were your findings?
- 13 A For the sample I also obtained a mixture DNA profile
- 14 with at least one contributor being male. This sample also had
- 15 a two person major component, and that two person major
- 16 component was consistent with originating from Vernon Newson,
- 17 Jr. and Anshanette McNeil.
- 18 O And what was the statistical probability of that
- 19 match?
- 20 A The probability of observing that two person major
- 21 is 697 times more likely if it originated from Vernon Newson,
- 22 Jr. and Anshanette McNeil than if it had originated from Vernon
- 23 Newson, Jr. and one random contributor.
- Q I just want to be clear on that statistic. You said
- 25 697 times. Is that 697 --

- 1 A Quadrillion times.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A My apologies.
- 4 Q A little different size and number. Did you also
- 5 conduct DNA analysis on a swab from a straw from a cup inside
- 6 the cup holder in that vehicle?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- 8 Q Okay. And what were the results of that test?
- 9 A I obtained a DNA profile, a mixture DNA profile with
- 10 at least one contributor being male, and the major DNA
- 11 profile -- the major part of that DNA profile was consistent
- 12 with Vernon Newson, Jr.
- 13 Q And again, the statistical probability of that match?
- 14 A The probability of observing, of randomly selecting
- 15 an unrelated individual having a profile consistent with that
- 16 evidence is approximately 1 in 300 -- 1 in 3.03 sextillion.
- 17 Q And finally were you provided with a swab of a blood
- 18 stain on the interior side of a black wristwatch?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And did you conduct the presumptive blood testing on
- 21 that swab?
- 22 A Yes, and I obtained a positive result.
- 23 Q And based on that positive result did you further
- 24 conduct DNA analysis of that swab?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 Q And what were the results of your analysis?
- 2 A Here I obtained a mixture DNA profile with at least
- 3 one contributor being male, and there was a major DNA profile
- 4 that was consistent with Anshanette McNeil.
- 5 O And again, your statistical probability of that
- 6 profile match?
- 7 A The probability of randomly selecting an unrelated
- 8 individual having a DNA profile consistent with that evidence
- 9 is approximately 1 in 2.01 sextillion.
- 10 O Thank you, ma'am.
- 11 MR. PORTZ: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Bashor or Mr Shaygan.
- 13 MR. BASHOR: No, thank you. Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: Anything from our jurors? Ms. Rubino,
- 15 thank you very much for your time. I appreciate you coming to
- 16 court.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 18 THE COURT: State have any further witnesses?
- MS. WECKERLY: No, Your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: State's going to rest their case in
- 21 chief?
- MS. WECKERLY: Yes, Your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: And have you all had an opportunity to
- 24 make sure all your exhibits have been admitted?
- MS. WECKERLY: Yes.

- 1 THE COURT: Okay. Can you guys approach, please.
- 2 (Bench conference transcribed as follows.)
- 3 THE COURT: I assume that you guys have discussed
- 4 either of those probabilities.
- 5 MR. BASHOR: And the reaction has changed.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. So that's what I'm saying, do you
- 7 need a minute?
- 8 MR. BASHOR: I need about 10 minutes.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. No problem.
- 10 MR. BASHOR: Thank you.
- 11 (End bench conference.)
- 12 THE COURT: Folks, we're going to take another short
- 13 recess before we continue on. During the recess you're
- 14 admonished not to talk or converse among yourselves or with
- 15 anyone else on any subject connected with the trial, or read,
- 16 watch or listen to any report of or commentary on the trial by
- 17 any medium of information, including without limitation the
- 18 newspapers, television, the Internet and radio, or form or
- 19 express any opinion on any subject connected with the case
- 20 until it's finally submitted to you. No legal or factual
- 21 research, investigation or re-creation of testimony on your
- 22 own. Thank you.
- 23 (Jurors recessed at 3:28 p.m.)
- 24 THE COURT: Anything outside the presence?
- 25 MS. WECKERLY: Not on behalf of the State.

- 1 THE COURT: Okay.
- 2 MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: Just take your time, guys. Just let me
- 4 know whenever you're ready to start.
- 5 (Court recessed at 3:30 p.m. until 3:50 p.m.)
- 6 (Outside the presence of the jury.)
- 7 THE COURT: Anything before I get the jury back in?
- 8 MR. PORTZ: No, Your Honor, not for the State.
- 9 MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. You can go ahead.
- MR. BASHOR: Your Honor, we intend to rest.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay.
- 13 (Pause in proceeding.)
- 14 THE COURT: Do you guys think argument will be any
- 15 more than two hours total, all combined?
- MS. WECKERLY: I don't think it'll be any longer than
- 17 that.
- 18 THE COURT: Then I'll probably just tell them to come
- 19 back and we'll start at 11:00, to give us a little flex time
- 20 between the calendar, and then we'll do all the argument and
- 21 then bring in lunch for them.
- MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
- 23 (Jurors reconvene at 3:52 p.m.)
- 24 THE COURT: We are back on the record. Mr. Newson is
- 25 present with his attorneys. State's attorneys are present as

- 1 well. The State rested their case in chief. Mr. Bashor,
- 2 Mr. Shaygan.
- MR. BASHOR: Your Honor, the defense rests as well.
- 4 THE COURT: All right. So ladies and gentlemen, I
- 5 got you all back in here and seated down so I can send you home
- 6 now. So we have to wait for the close of evidence in a case
- 7 before we can get together, myself and the attorneys, and
- 8 settle all the jury instructions that we need to give to you
- 9 all and get all those typed up, which takes a little bit of
- 10 time. So we can't get to closing argument today, because I
- 11 need to do that with them now.
- 12 So I'm going to send you home a little early today.
- 13 We're going to start tomorrow at 11:00 o'clock, just to make
- 14 sure we can get done with our morning calendar stuff and don't
- 15 have you waiting around. We'll get you in -- hold on one
- 16 second. We'll get you in here at 11:00, we'll go straight
- 17 through with the closing arguments, and then break for lunch.
- 18 And I'll buy lunch and bring it in for you so you can have
- 19 lunch while you start your deliberations. Yes, question.
- JUROR NO. 10: We didn't hear what time.
- THE COURT: Oh, 11:00 o'clock.
- JUROR NO. 10: Thank you.
- 23 THE COURT: Thank you. That's a good supervisor
- 24 speaking for everybody. You got good people working for you.
- 25 I know people complain about DMV all the time, but I went there

- 1 this weekend to register my car, made the appointment online
- 2 like anybody else. And I know people like to complain a lot
- 3 about stuff in life, so I'll give you some praise. There were
- 4 some good folks there.
- JUROR NO. 10: Thank you.
- 6 THE COURT: So yeah, when you get outside, have a
- 7 conversation with JR, if you would, about what you might want
- 8 tomorrow. The two things we can get in here pretty quickly are
- 9 pizza, salads, and then -- or sandwiches from Capriotti's
- 10 downstairs. So think about what you want and just let JR know,
- 11 and tomorrow we'll arrange to have lunch brought in for you so
- 12 you can start eating while you're deliberating, okay, or eat
- 13 while you start deliberating. With that we're going to release
- 14 you for the evening.
- During the recess you're admonished not to talk or
- 16 converse among yourselves or with anyone else on any subject
- 17 connected with the trial, or read, watch or listen to any
- 18 report of or commentary on the trial by any medium of
- 19 information, including without limitation the newspapers,
- 20 television, the Internet and radio, or form or express any
- 21 opinion on any subject connected with the case until it's
- 22 finally submitted to you. No legal or factual research or
- 23 investigation or re-creation of testimony on your own.
- 24 Thank you very much for today, and I will see you
- 25 tomorrow.

- 1 (Jurors recessed at 3:55 p.m.)
- 2 THE COURT: All right. Do you all need a minute to
- 3 chat about jury instructions before we get back in here and
- 4 start arguing about anything?
- 5 MR. BASHOR: I think the majority of the ones I
- 6 submitted due to Your Honor's ruling have been vitiated. The
- 7 other remaining are a method of formatting, the first degree
- 8 instruction, and I have an additional version of the two
- 9 reasonable interpretation instructions.
- 10 THE COURT: Okay. So I tell you what. Why don't you
- 11 guys go ahead and look at those. And we also need that
- 12 instruction that was what I was just telling them at the end,
- 13 no investigation, no re-creation of testimony, remember that
- 14 has to be in a written instruction now. Do you know which one
- 15 I'm talking about?
- MS. WECKERLY: No.
- 17 THE COURT: Why not? Do you guys know which one I'm
- 18 talking about?
- 19 MR. BASHOR: I believe so. I believe I have a copy.
- 20 THE COURT: I have a copy somewhere as well, but it's
- 21 that case that came out that said not only do we need to be
- 22 telling them that whenever we take a break, but they should
- 23 have a written instruction that tells them --
- MS. WECKERLY: Oh, okay.
- 25 THE COURT: -- can't talk about the case, can't do

- 1 any investigation --
- 2 MR. BASHOR: Can I approach, Your Honor?
- 3 THE COURT: Yeah, please.
- 4 MR. BASHOR: It has a number on it because it's from
- 5 a different case.
- 6 THE COURT: That's okay. It's probably similar to
- 7 the one we give.
- 8 MS. WECKERLY: Thank you.
- 9 THE COURT: Yeah, that's it. So I think I have that,
- 10 so I'll go back and print out copies of that as well. Then I'm
- 11 trying to remember if there was anything else I noticed
- 12 anywhere. Okay. Yeah, let me print those out and I'll be
- 13 right back.
- 14 (Pause in proceeding.)
- 15 THE COURT: Okay. Here you go, guys. Sorry. It
- 16 took me a minute to find. You guys come up with anything else
- 17 while I was gone?
- 18 MS. WECKERLY: As instructions?
- 19 THE COURT: Pardon?
- MS. WECKERLY: Well, we're in agreement -- we had
- 21 pled in, in our charging document aiding and abetting.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. WECKERLY: They've asked us to strike that.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay.
- MS. WECKERLY: Which we will do, or we're happy to do

- 1 that.
- 2 THE COURT: Okay. So at line 24 of that instruction,
- 3 we're just going to -- or lines 23 and 24, take out the number
- 4 one, and then stop after, Committing this crime?
- 5 MS. WECKERLY: Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: Okay. Well --
- 7 MS. WECKERLY: Well, I can just actually, we can just
- 8 strike it out like a willful -- for the murder, like willful,
- 9 deliberate and premeditated.
- 10 THE COURT: Yeah. I was looking at the wrong line.
- 11 I'm sorry.
- MS. WECKERLY: And then stop it there because --
- 13 THE COURT: Okay. You don't need all the principles.
- MS. WECKERLY: We don't need all that. And then same
- 15 kind of with the next two counts.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. So in the next two counts, the
- 17 child abuse counts, line 22, after Ashanette McNeil?
- 18 MS. WECKERLY: Right.
- 19 THE COURT: And I'm sorry. It's Anshanette, isn't
- 20 it, like it's spelled?
- 21 MS. WECKERLY: Yeah. I think we -- yeah, in Count 1
- 22 we have it right, and then --
- 23 THE COURT: So correct that in the other counts as
- 24 well if you would, please.
- 25 MS. WECKERLY: Yeah. And then the only other thing

- 1 is on Count 3, at the prelim we called him Brandon, that kid as
- 2 Brandon Berger, we also put in McNeil. I mean, I don't know if
- 3 it matters a lot, but we'll add in.
- 4 THE COURT: So you're adding in what, I'm sorry?
- 5 MS. WECKERLY: McNeil at the end of that, like
- 6 Brandon Berger --
- 7 THE COURT: Brandon Berger McNeil. Okay. And then
- 8 the same thing, taking out everything at line 6 on --
- 9 MS. WECKERLY: Right.
- 10 THE COURT: -- about that criminal liability.
- MS. WECKERLY: Right.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. And then at line 11, where it
- 13 starts, It is the duty of the jury, just indent that to be a
- 14 paragraph if you would.
- 15 Okay. And so then the next series of instructions
- 16 that deal with the definitions of murder, premeditation,
- 17 deliberation, et cetera, second degree murder, any objection to
- 18 any of those?
- 19 MR. BASHOR: Judge, we just disagree as to
- 20 formatting. I'd like the Byford instructions to be as to first
- 21 be separated into a separate instruction for each element.
- 22 I've done this before in another department. I think that
- 23 especially now that a partial theory of defense is no longer,
- 24 this now becomes center focus.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay.

- 1 MR. BASHOR: And I would ask that they be separated.
- 2 THE COURT: So are you asking for a separate
- 3 instruction for willfulness, a separate instruction for
- 4 deliberation, a separate instruction for premeditation, or just
- 5 that the definitions be a separate one than the murder of the
- 6 first degree is?
- 7 MR. BASHOR: It would be as I submitted in my
- 8 proposed instructions, Your Honor. It would begin with the
- 9 murder of the first degree with all three elements, then
- 10 willfulness is defined --
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- 12 MR. BASHOR: -- then deliberation is the process,
- 13 then a deliberate determination may be, premeditation is the
- 14 design, premeditation need not be for a day, the law does not
- 15 undertake to measure in units of time.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. State.
- 17 MS. WECKERLY: So I don't know what the legal basis
- 18 is for that. We've used these instructions for a long time and
- 19 they've all been approved. However if the Court is considering
- 20 it, I don't think there should be two for deliberation and two
- 21 for premeditation.
- 22 THE COURT: Yeah. I haven't done it that way before.
- 23 I don't know, to be quite honest, how often I've seen the
- 24 differences. So that leads me to conclude I probably see them
- 25 both about the same, which is where you have murder, and then

- 1 there's an instruction for willfulness, an instruction that
- 2 contains both of the deliberations, and an instruction that
- 3 contains both premeditations.
- I don't have any problem doing it that way, dividing
- 5 them up, but I would keep the whole of deliberation together
- 6 and the whole of premeditation together.
- 7 MR. BASHOR: I think that compromise is appropriate,
- 8 Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay. So we'll go ahead and do that.
- 10 Okay. And then any objection to any of the others?
- 11 MR. BASHOR: Other murder instructions, Your Honor?
- 12 THE COURT: Yeah.
- MR. BASHOR: No.
- 14 THE COURT: Deadly weapon instructions?
- MR. BASHOR: No.
- 16 THE COURT: Child abuse instructions?
- 17 MR. BASHOR: No.
- 18 THE COURT: And then the standard instructions
- 19 thereafter, the stock instructions?
- 20 MR. BASHOR: The only thing, and it was just a typo,
- 21 it's not an objection, in the -- and the State is going to fix
- 22 it, is line 8 of the witnesses who have special knowledge, I
- 23 think the word "nay" should be any, and I think the State
- 24 agrees.
- MS. WECKERLY: Correct.

- 1 THE COURT: Oh, okay. That any fact, okay, got it.
- 2 All right. And are you all going to want the
- 3 constitutional right of the defendant not to testify?
- 4 MR. BASHOR: Yes.
- 5 THE COURT: Okay. So I will stick that in at the end
- of the witness instructions. I always stick it in with the
- 7 other witness stuff, so that's going to be I guess right after
- 8 witnesses who have special knowledge.
- 9 And then the State submitted a flight instruction as
- 10 well. Do you guys have an objection to the flight instruction?
- 11 MR. BASHOR: I object, but submit it.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. State.
- MS. WECKERLY: You mean why do we want a flight
- 14 instruction?
- 15 THE COURT: I'm just asking if there's anything you
- 16 wanted to put on the record.
- MS. WECKERLY: Oh. Well, he's found in another state
- 18 after the murder and it's shortly after, and we think that
- 19 under the caselaw that that justifies the flight instruction,
- 20 in addition to his actions with dropping off the kids.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. I think the flight instruction is
- 22 appropriate. I mean, I primarily focus on the evidence that's
- 23 out in front of the jury right now about the shooting at the
- 24 side of the road area and then getting into the car and fleeing
- 25 from that area, and then compounded with dropping off the kids

- 1 and leaving the state. I think all of those would justify the
- 2 flight instruction to allow the jury to make whatever hay from
- 3 what they believe is appropriate. I'm trying to think if...
- 4 Okay. Yeah. So if you can just take the footnote
- 5 off that one as well.
- 6 MS. WECKERLY: Yep.
- 7 THE COURT: And then I'm going to stick that probably
- 8 at the end of the special stuff before the stock starts, so
- 9 it'll be after a person who is responsible for the safety or
- 10 welfare of a child. Okay. And that is all that the State had.
- And then you all were going to mark as proposed but
- 12 not given the manslaughter instructions, correct?
- 13 MR. BASHOR: Correct.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay.
- 15 MR. BASHOR: I have that prepared, but I was
- 16 waiting -- I had that prepared for filing.
- 17 THE COURT: Yeah, you can do that.
- 18 MR. BASHOR: It's just...
- 19 THE COURT: And just again for the record, I mean the
- 20 basis for denying those was the obvious evidentiary basis
- 21 needed to justify the instructions, because the jury is the one
- 22 that has to decide was there some sudden issue heat of passion
- 23 that occurred, was there some provocation occurred, or was the
- 24 nature of the provocation such that it could be reasonably
- 25 determined to have obscured the reason of the accused. And

- 1 without any evidence being provided to them that there was a
- 2 provocation and if so when any of that occurred, I didn't think
- 3 there was a basis for those instructions.
- 4 MR. BASHOR: And just in case I'm accused of not
- 5 contemporaneously objecting again --
- 6 THE COURT: You're okay.
- 7 MR. BASHOR: -- I do cite to Williams v. State, rely
- 8 on the factual assertions I made earlier and include the
- 9 language from Wilson that says that an instruction no matter
- 10 how weak or incredible includes a lesser included offense such
- 11 as manslaughter.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. And which is it -- I
- 13 want to make sure I have what you have. Do you have four
- 14 instructions that are being marked for manslaughter, or is
- 15 it --
- MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor, because there was slight
- 17 language changes in the rest of the instructions, like if, you
- 18 know, this charge may include murder in the first or second or
- 19 voluntary.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay.
- 21 MR. BASHOR: But other than that, yes, Your Honor,
- 22 it's the typical four voluntary manslaughter instructions.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. And then you all have
- 24 your -- the last instruction that you have that you're
- 25 proffering is the two reasonable interpretation instructions,

- 1 correct?
- 2 MR. BASHOR: Well, it's a slight variance on the two
- 3 reasonable interpretations instruction, Your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: I want to hear the slight variance.
- 5 MR. BASHOR: We are -- I think that -- you know, I
- 6 don't think that as in Crane v. State, 88 Nev. 684, and I'm
- 7 only referencing an unpublished petition because I know that
- 8 Ms. Weckerly is extremely familiar with it.
- 9 THE COURT: Is that the Judge Johnson case?
- 10 MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor. So that's State vs.
- 11 Eighth Judicial Judge Johnson with Thomas Supranovich, real
- 12 party in interest. It was filed September 24, 2015, Supreme
- 13 Court No. 68837. I know that we're discouraged in citing this,
- 14 but given that there's familiarity here, I thought I would.
- 15 THE COURT: That's okay.
- 16 MR. BASHOR: Judge, here, you know, I just don't
- 17 think -- I would submit that both of you separately and
- 18 probably unintentionally made my point when we were arguing the
- 19 voluntary manslaughter instructions. Your Honor indicated how
- 20 the statement could be interpreted reasonably in two different
- 21 ways.
- 22 THE COURT: Sure.
- 23 MR. BASHOR: And the State proffered how his behavior
- 24 afterwards, after the fact at Zarharia's house could be
- 25 interpreted in two reasonable different ways. I don't think

- 1 that the instruction should be restricted to innocence versus
- 2 quilt. And I tried to draft a version of the instruction that
- 3 I think would be appropriate in a circumstantial evidence case,
- 4 at least parts of this case that are circumstantial.
- 5 Those two examples that I just gave I think are apt
- 6 for interpretation in that the jury, if they were to find both
- 7 reasonable, ought to be instructed to give what I essentially
- 8 call tie goes to the runner, the runner being the defendant,
- 9 and I'd ask that it be included in the packet.
- 10 THE COURT: State.
- 11 MS. WECKERLY: Well, I mean, this instruction is
- 12 for -- I mean even when it was offered in Supranovich it was
- 13 for like cases where there's conduct and it could be
- 14 interpreted two different ways, whether criminal or not
- 15 criminal, not like degrees of murder or not what we have here,
- 16 where someone's admitting or the defense is that, yes, he's the
- one responsible but it's a lesser degree type offense. So this
- instruction really isn't even appropriate for this kind of
- 19 case.
- The other thing I would say is they allowed that
- 21 instruction in Supranovich, but they still maintained that it's
- 22 not error to refuse the instruction. And there's a whole line
- 23 of, I mean like four Nevada cases where the instruction has
- 24 been refused and the Nevada Supreme Court has found it's not
- 25 error to refuse the instruction.

- So I don't look at Supranovich as binding that you
- 2 get the instruction. I look at it as sort of if you are going
- 3 to give it, it has to be in this format. But even in that
- 4 opinion, it's based on a California instruction where the
- 5 conduct could be interpreted as criminal or not criminal, which
- 6 really isn't applicable to this kind of case.
- 7 THE COURT: Anything further?
- 8 MR. BASHOR: Judge, I mean I understand that
- 9 Supranovich doesn't dictate that it must be given. I just
- 10 think that there have been at minimum two and probably more
- 11 examples in this particular case where I believe it
- 12 appropriate, and I've tried to tailor it so that it fit kind of
- 13 the facts here.
- 14 And I think that those cases that the State talks
- 15 about, those four cases where it was upheld that it -- I think
- 16 this is a very fact specific analysis is what I'm trying to
- 17 say, and I think that these facts as the instruction is modeled
- 18 make it appropriate.
- 19 THE COURT: Well, a couple of things. The first
- 20 thing I'll tell you is that I've never given this instruction
- 21 in any form. And I think part of when the Supreme said it's
- 22 not error to give it, it's not error not to give it, what it
- 23 really falls back on kind of is somewhat of your philosophy on
- 24 instructing the jury as a trial court judge.
- I think some judges tend to, just my personal

- 1 opinion, kind of want to over-manage juries with lots of jury
- 2 instructions and almost micro managing everything that they do
- 3 in their deliberative process, and others of us tend to be, you
- 4 know, myself included, kind of I favor less instructions.
- 5 There's a lot of things you all can argue about, but I think
- 6 there's some core law that the jury needs to get on things and
- 7 that obviously there's going to be other things that come up in
- 8 each potential trial.
- 9 But ever since way back in Crane and Bails and those
- 10 early '70s cases, I believe, where they kind of said, hey,
- 11 look, if we're giving them the appropriate reasonable doubt
- 12 instruction, these other things aren't needed. And I think
- 13 we've since got to that point where we give an appropriate
- 14 reasonable doubt instruction and the Supreme said that's what
- 15 you give, that's the only thing you can give and nobody can say
- it any differently, and that's, you know, that's the holy grail
- 17 where you start with everything. Because of that I don't think
- 18 these are needed.
- I would also say that I don't think this is the kind
- 20 of circumstantial case that this was contemplated here. We're
- 21 really speaking more towards what to make of a statement as
- 22 opposed to a circumstantial case pointing to whether somebody
- 23 is the correct perpetrator or committed a crime or didn't
- 24 commit a crime. You know, my point about commenting on
- 25 interpretations of that statement was because it was what it

- 1 was. Without more it's open to lots of things, but there
- 2 needed to be that more.
- 3 Like if somebody says, you know, we were in the car
- 4 and she told me she cheated on me again and I couldn't take it
- 5 anymore and I lost it, that's a little different. But just
- 6 saying, you know, I got pushed too far and couldn't take it
- 7 anymore without more didn't speak to the when part of it,
- 8 didn't speak to the what it was, didn't speak to the alleged
- 9 provocation, didn't give anybody any ability to do anything
- 10 other than ask the jury to speculate.
- And so I just don't think the statement that really
- 12 plays into the state of mind or criminal intent part is really
- 13 what was contemplated by this, in addition to the fact that
- 14 just generally I don't think this kind of instruction is
- 15 necessary. But we will mark that as a court exhibit.
- 16 MR. BASHOR: I have the --
- 17 THE COURT: Yeah, we can just do your whole packet if
- 18 you want.
- 19 MR. BASHOR: Oh, well, no. I took out the ones
- 20 that --
- 21 THE COURT: That were verbatim for the others?
- MR. BASHOR: Well, yeah, now that -- exactly. I'll
- 23 just -- if that's okay with Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. That's fine.
- Okay. And then I think it should be okay in the

- 1 order that they're in now, because I --
- 2 MS. WECKERLY: Could I just interrupt you one second?
- 3 THE COURT: Sure.
- 4 MS. WECKERLY: In our packet at the child abuse
- 5 instructions, we just noticed the first one we have is a person
- 6 who willfully causes a child.
- 7 THE COURT: Okay. Hold on just a second. Let me get
- 8 there. Okay.
- 9 MS. WECKERLY: Okay. So a person who willfully
- 10 causes a child who is less than 18, that is what we -- that's
- 11 what the Information is and that's what we've charged,
- 12 200.508.1. The one behind it is the gross misdemeanor
- 13 definition, so that should probably be removed because we
- 14 charged the felony.
- 15 MR. BASHOR: But I would -- go ahead.
- MS. WECKERLY: Or well, or I mean --
- 17 MR. BASHOR: I would disagree, because the gross
- 18 misdemeanor version, Mr. Newson is the father of the child,
- 19 which would make him responsible for his care, making it a
- 20 gross misdemeanor.
- 21 THE COURT: So argue that there's kind of a lesser
- 22 there?
- MR. BASHOR: Well, it's -- yes. I mean the way the
- 24 statute reads, and that's why I thought the State had put them
- 25 both in, was that a fact in issue is whether or not, and it

- 1 seems to be an undisputed fact that Major Newson is Vernon's
- 2 son, if he's responsible for his son's care, which under the
- 3 statute he would be, it would make that count a gross
- 4 misdemeanor, whereas Brandon Berger it would not.
- 5 THE COURT: Well, but I think that the distinction
- 6 between those two things you got to remember is one of them is
- 7 abuse and one of them is neglect, and the person responsible is
- 8 the neglect one where you permit or allow a child to be in a
- 9 situation where they could be injured, as opposed to the abuse
- 10 one which is you engage in a conduct that could potentially
- 11 injure or does injure the child.
- 12 So in this case what's charged is he shot their mom
- in close proximity to where they are, which put them in that
- 14 position where they could be injured, as opposed to I permit or
- 15 allowed them to -- like saying I'm responsible for them and I
- 16 allowed them to be in a car where somebody else shot somebody
- 17 and almost injured them. See the distinction there?
- 18 MR. BASHOR: I do.
- 19 THE COURT: I mean it's not charged as a neglect.
- 20 It's charged as he engaged in the conduct which either injured
- 21 them or put them in a situation where they could have been
- 22 injured.
- 23 MR. BASHOR: If that is the case, I guess I'm
- 24 probably going to need to draft some more instructions.
- 25 THE COURT: Okay. I just look at that -- that's the

- 1 way I've always viewed the abuse charge versus the permit or
- 2 allowing neglect charge. One of them is engaging in conduct
- 3 which injures or could injure, the other is permitting your kid
- 4 to be in a situation where potentially somebody else does
- 5 something to them or, you know, you -- well...
- 6 MR. BASHOR: Is now only to -- I guess as to Major
- 7 I'll need probably to submit a special verdict form as well to
- 8 see if the jury finds it to be abuse or neglect.
- 9 MS. WECKERLY: But that's not the law. It's not you
- 10 get a break on it if it's your kid. It's the abuse is the
- 11 willful conduct versus neglectful conduct by leaving a child in
- 12 a dangerous setting, and you can only be charged with a gross
- 13 misdemeanor if you're the one who's responsible for the child.
- 14 If you willfully engage in conduct that is abusive to any
- 15 child, it's the felony whether you're the parent or not. If
- 16 you're a parent and you neglect your child by leaving them in a
- 17 situation where they can suffer --
- 18 THE COURT: That's kind of what I'm getting at, and
- 19 I'm sorry to interrupt, is they're not lessers because one of
- 20 them involves the element that you have to be the person
- 21 responsible for the child, and the other involves the element
- 22 of you engaged in the conduct that could injure the child.
- 23 So one of them speaks to anybody out there that does
- 24 something abusive towards a child or does some type of conduct
- 25 that causes a child to potentially suffer injury, and the other

- 1 focuses on neglect or endangerment by permit or allow, and only
- 2 penalizes that if you're the person responsible for the child.
- 3 Like if I'm outside and I see some kid at the
- 4 playground and I permit or allow them, because I'm in that area
- 5 with my kids, to wander into the street and get hit by a car,
- 6 I'm not guilty of anything because I'm not responsible for the
- 7 safety of that child. But if I do something towards that child
- 8 regardless of whether I'm responsible for their safety, then I
- 9 could be guilty under the felony abuse part of it by injuring
- 10 the child or putting them deliberately in a situation where
- 11 they could be injured.
- MR. BASHOR: And my point now is that I'll need to
- 13 add instructions from the statute which define what abuse is.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Oh, I see what you're saying.
- 15 Okay. No, I mean if you want to get more into defining the
- 16 elements particularly since one of the children was his and one
- 17 of them wasn't, then yeah, we can do that. We can --
- 18 MR. BASHOR: I mean the jury may find it to be
- 19 abusive conduct regardless --
- 20 THE COURT: Right.
- 21 MR. BASHOR: -- but we're going to need -- because
- 22 there is that distinction, we're going to need some
- 23 definitions.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. So go ahead -- and we'll wait on
- 25 numbering them. That's okay. Go ahead and clean up the

- 1 language, go ahead and divide up the elements of the first
- 2 degree murder one instructions.
- 3 MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
- 4 THE COURT: And then whatever else you guys want to
- 5 offer, just email it to me tonight or whatever --
- 6 MR. BASHOR: Okay.
- 7 THE COURT: -- whenever you get a chance to. And
- 8 then when we come back tomorrow, if you guys want to be back
- 9 here like 10:30, we can go through and finish getting them
- 10 settled and make a record.
- 11 MR. BASHOR: Okay. Thank you.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I will see you
- 13 tomorrow, folks.
- Oh, I forgot. The investigation -- hold on before we
- 15 go off the record. The -- during the course of the trial you
- 16 can't investigate, do any research, that's going to be also at
- 17 the end of the -- right after flight. It'll be at the end of
- 18 the specials before the stocks start.
- MR. BASHOR: Thank you.
- 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 21 (Court recessed for the evening at 4:30 p.m.)

23

24

25

CERTIFICATION

I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE AUDIO-VISUAL RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.

AFFIRMATION

I AFFIRM THAT THIS TRANSCRIPT DOES NOT CONTAIN THE SOCIAL SECURITY OR TAX IDENTIFICATION NUMBER OF ANY PERSON OR ENTITY.

KARR REPORTING, INC. Aurora, Colorado

KIMBERLY LAWSON

Electronically Filed 7/30/2018 9:57 AM Steven D. Grierson CLERK OF THE COURT

1	RTRAN	Deuns.	
2			
3			
4			
5	DISTRICT COURT		
6	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA		
7)	
8	THE STATE OF NEVADA,) CASE#: C-16-313919-1	
9	Plaintiff,) DEPT. III	
10	vs.		
11	VERNON NEWSON, JR,		
12	Defendant.	}	
13)	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS W. HERNDON, DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2018		
15	, in the second	,	
16	RECORDER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS: JURY TRIAL - DAY 4		
17			
18	APPEARANCES:		
19	For the State:	PAMELA WECKERLY, ESQ. Chief Deputy District Attorney	
20		KENNETH N. PORTZ, ESQ. Deputy District Attorney	
21	For the Defendant		
22	For the Defendant:	RYAN J. BASHOR, ESQ. KAMBIZ SHAYGAN-FATEMI	
23		Deputy Public Defenders	
24			
25	RECORDED BY: SARA RICHARD	SON, COURT RECORDER	

998

1	Las Vegas, Nevada, Tuesday, February 27, 2018	
2		
3	[Case called at 10:52 a.m.]	
4	[Outside the presence of the jury]	
5	THE COURT: Okay. We'll be on the record. Mr. Newson is	
6	here with his attorneys; State's attorneys are present.	
7	You guys all have copies of the instructions that I emailed out	
8	last night?	
9	MS. WECKERLY: Yes.	
10	MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor.	
11	THE COURT: Okay. And my understanding was that last	
12	email that I sent and this was before you got here this morning, Pam,	
13	but defense attorneys and Mr. Portz all said that that last copy that I sent	
14	out was good; correct?	
15	MS. WECKERLY: Yes, Your Honor.	
16	THE COURT: Okay. So, for the record, Instruction Number 1	
17	is it is now my duty as judge. Number 2, if in these instructions. Number	
18	3, an Information is but a formal method. Number 4, in this case the	
19	Defendant is accused. Number 5, murder is the unlawful killing.	
20	MR. SHAYGAN-FATEMI: I'm sorry, Your Honor.	
21	MR. BASHOR: It's just part of that.	
22	MR. SHAYGAN-FATEMI: Oh, it's part of that?	
23	MR. BASHOR: Yeah.	
24	MR. SHAYGAN-FATEMI: Okay.	
25	THE COURT: Good?	

1
1
-

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

MR. SHAYGAN-FATEMI: Thank you.

THE COURT: Number 6, malice aforethought means.

Number 7, expressed malice is. Number 8, murder of the first degree is.

Number 9, willfulness is the intent. Number 10, deliberation is the

process. Number 11, premeditation is. Number 12, the law does not

undertake. Number 13, all murder which. Number 14, you are instructed

that if you find the State has established. Number 15, you are instructed

that if you find the Defendant guilty. Number 16, deadly weapon means.

Number 17, the State is not required. Number 18, a person who willfully.

Number 19, abuse and neglect means. Twenty, to constitute the crime

charged. Twenty-one, the Defendant is presumed innocent. Twenty-two,

the evidence which you are to consider. Twenty-three, the credibility or

believability. Twenty-four, witnesses who have special knowledge.

Twenty-five, it is a constitutional right. Twenty-six, although you are to

consider only the evidence. Twenty-seven, the flight of a person.

Twenty-eight, when you retire to consider your verdict. Twenty-nine, if

during deliberations. Thirty, during the course of this trial and your

deliberations. Thirty-one, now you will listen to the arguments of counsel.

State, in regard to those 31 instructions, any objections other than what was stated on the record yesterday?

MS. WECKERLY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Any that you all wish to offer that aren't being given that you want to have marked as Court exhibits?

MS. WECKERLY: No.

THE COURT: Defense, with regard to the 21 [sic]

1	instructions, any objections other than what was stated on the record	
2	yesterday?	
3	MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: And you marked your proposed but not given	
5	yesterday; correct?	
6	MR. BASHOR: Correct, Your Honor. And I appreciate the	
7	opportunity to re-read the statute yesterday.	
8	THE COURT: Yeah. No worries.	
9	Any objections by anybody to the verdict form?	
10	MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.	
11	MR. PORTZ: No, Your Honor.	
12	THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll be at ease until we get all ou	
13	jurors here and then we'll get started. Did you guys make sure your	
14	stuff's working with the on the monitors and everything? Yeah? Okay.	
15	MR. PORTZ: And, Your Honor, just so we note on the record	
16	the State did provide and file the Amended Information reflecting the	
17	changes we discussed yesterday and provided copies to the defense.	
18	THE COURT: Got it.	
19	No objection to that?	
20	MR. BASHOR: No, Your Honor.	
21	THE COURT: Okay. And just make sure if you all would that	
22	you get hard copies to us as Court exhibits of any PowerPoints from	
23	opening and/or closings; okay. Thank you.	
24	THE MARSHAL: Your Honor, waiting on one more juror.	
25	THE COURT: One more?	

Page 4 1001

1	THE MARSHAL: Yes.	
2	THE COURT: Okay.	
3	[Off the record at 10:56 a.m.]	
4	[Proceedings resumed at 11:16 a.m.]	
5	[Outside the presence of the jury]	
6	THE COURT: Do you guys have anything outside the	
7	presence?	
8	MR. BASHOR: Ms. Weckerly went to grab a quick drink and	
9	Mr. Shaygan went to the restroom real quick.	
10	THE COURT: Okay. So I appreciate the nutrition and	
11	hygiene update.	
12	MR. BASHOR: I try, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: Other than that, we're ready to get started with	
14	the jurors when they come back in?	
15	MR. BASHOR: Yes, Your Honor.	
16	MR. PORTZ: Yes, Your Honor.	
17	[Courtroom at ease]	
18	THE MARSHAL: Please rise for the jurors.	
19	[In the presence of the jury]	
20	THE MARSHAL: The panel's present, Your Honor.	
21	THE COURT: Thank you. You all can be seated.	
22	Okay. We're going to be back on the record. Mr. Newson's	
23	present with his attorneys; State's attorneys are present; all of our jurors	
24	are present.	
25	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Does each of you have	

Page 5 1002

a packet of jury instructions in your chair? Anybody not get that? No; okay. You'll recall back when we were doing the jury selection process there was a couple of times we commented on the fact that you all would get the law from the Court at some point and obviously that's the point we reached. I have to read these to you by law, so I think it's a lot more pleasant if you can read them while I'm reading them to you, as well as, I think it's a lot easier if you're seeing as I'm reading them to you to start kind of thinking about them, and digest, and a little bit about the law.

You'll get to take this packet back with you when you go to deliberate. So to the extent you want to write any notes on the packet while I'm reading them to you, or while the attorneys are making their arguments, feel free to do so. I'm sure they'll have on occasion specific instructions that they're talking to you about. You might write your name or your initials or something just on the front of your packet because obviously you see back there in the deliberation room you're all just going to be at one big table so you can kind of make sure you keep track of your packet. But I will read through these as quick as I can so we can get you on to the closing arguments.

[The Court read the jury instructions to the jury]

THE COURT: Can you guys approach the bench real quick.

[Bench conference -- begins]

THE COURT: You guys didn't give me an instruction on you're not to consider punishment in your deliberations. Somehow that didn't get into there. So I'm going to pull one real quick and I'll read it to them. Then I'll make copies and give it to them as a supplement; okay?

Page 6 1003

MR. BASHOR: Okay.

THE COURT: All right.

[Bench conference -- concludes]

THE COURT: Don't go anywhere, guys. There's an instruction that should've been in there, and it's not in there, that everybody seems to have missed and I apologize. So I'm going to find it, I'm going to read it to you, and then we're going to make a copy of it and we'll mark it as 27A, and then just get it into your packets when you go back for your deliberations. So I apologize for the inconvenience, but give me a second here.

[The Court resumed reading the instructions to the jury]

THE COURT: So thank you for your patience with me reading through those. You should each have attached to your jury instruction packet a copy of the verdict form. The attorneys may discuss that further with you, but I will just point out to you that under each of the three counts you're only going to check one box; okay? So with that I will turn it over to the State for their closing argument.

And, JR, can you move the screen. They don't need to see me anymore. You can move it all the way out so it's better -- better view for the jury.

Go ahead.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE STATE

BY MS. WECKERLY:

On a cold and windy night the Defendant, Vernon Newson, left

Anshanette McNeil to bleed out on the side of the road. His intent was

that she die alone there on the payment. And he was thorough in making sure that that very thing would happen, that she would die there alone.

And but for the actions of strangers, she would've died there alone on that payment wouldn't she. But you heard from a young woman by the name of Janei Hall. And she explained how she and her husband were driving that night, and she heard something, and she looked over to that area. And she couldn't see at first that Anshanette was on the payment bleeding, but another car drove by and in the headlights she saw that there was a human being there on the ground and she told her husband we need to turn around and see if that person's okay.

And when Janei gets out of her car she goes over to

Anshanette McNeil and she makes these very human observations about
what this young woman has just gone through. She can see that this
woman is taking her last breath. She can see that she doesn't have any
shoes on. She can see that her -- her arm -- or her hand looks like she
was holding a cell phone. And so she and her husband, among some
other people, call 9-1-1.

And we know paramedics arrived. And we heard from paramedic Corrales in this case. And he and fellow paramedics take steps to save Anshanette. They check her vital signs which indicate that she's of course in very desire straights. They try to clear her airway but they can't because there's a bullet injury there. And they put her on a gurney and they transport her in order for her to get medical attention, or as the paramedic said to you, in order for her to have a fighting chance at life. But this Defendant made sure ahead of time that Anshanette, she

Page 8 1005

was going to lose that fight. He made sure of that with the number of injuries he inflicted upon her. He was thorough. And so while those strangers were fighting to save Anshanette's life, you all know now what the Defendant was doing. He was fighting to save himself by abandoning his child and fleeing to another state.

Now in this case there are two types of crimes charged. The first one is murder, and then there are also two counts of child abuse for each of those children who were in the car at the time -- at the time this occurred. And one of those children is named Brandon Berger McNeil, and he was two years old at the time, and the other child of course was the baby that you saw a picture of who was eight months old, Major Newson, the Defendant's son.

Now in terms of the murder count, the instructions Judge Herndon just read to you explain that you'll have to make a decision about whether a deadly weapon was used in the commission of that crime. And the instructions tell you that regardless of whether you find the Defendant guilty of first or second degree murder, you must also determine whether or not a deadly weapon was used in the commission of the crime. Now this is probably the easiest question that you'd have to answer in this case because you know from the evidence, of course, that a deadly weapon was used.

The instructions define a deadly weapon for you and it's essentially an instrumentality that's capable of causing substantial bodily harm or death. And at the end of the instruction, which is perhaps obvious to all of you, is that a firearm is a deadly weapon. And you know

Page 9 1006

of course from the autopsy that a firearm was used over, and over, and over again into the body of Anshanette McNeil. And those injuries were of course inflicted by the Defendant, Vernon Newson. So there's no question whatsoever in this case that a deadly weapon was used. There's no question in this case that this young woman was killed. And there's no question in this case that that man, Vernon Newson, is the person who did it.

Now you may be asking yourselves well, where is that gun?

And the short answer is, it's probably somewhere between here and

Claremont, California. But the jury instructions, and the law as the Judge read to you, tell you that it's not necessary that we have the gun in court in order for you to find that he used a deadly weapon in the commission of the crime.

The instructions tell you the State is not required to have recovered the deadly weapon used in the alleged crime, or to produce the deadly weapon in court at trial to establish that a deadly weapon was used in the commission of a crime. And that's kind of a common sense instruction that people who discard weapons after they commit crimes are still held liable for their conduct even if they're good at discarding the weapon. And so the easiest question in this case is probably whether or not a deadly weapon was used. And you know of course that a deadly weapon was used in this case.

Now let's talk about murder which is the first count of the Amended Information in this case. Now the instructions give you the legal definition of murder. And it's actually a pretty simple definition.

Murder is the unlawful human being -- or the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought, either expressed or implied. And the unlawful killing may be effected by any of the various means by which death may be occasioned. So murder -- generally murder is simply the unlawful killing of a human being with this -- with this concept of malice aforethought.

Now you may or may not have heard that expression before, or that word before, but in your instructions that actually defined for you as well. Malice aforethought means the intentional doing of a wrongful act without legal cause or excuse, or what the law considers adequate provocation. The condition of the mind, described as malice aforethought, may arrive from anger, hatred, revenge, or from particular ill will, and the instruction goes on. And as Judge Herndon said, you will have a copy of those instructions in the deliberation room and you can review the entire instruction on malice aforethought. But essentially a malice aforethought is a wrongful act; an act of ill will without provocation towards the person of another.

Now we know in this case that one of the injuries that

Anshanette McNeil sustained was that gunshot wound to her face. And

Dr. Corneal described to you those red marks around her face which

were indicative of stippling. That was the term she used. And that shows

-- or that meant to her that that gun, when it was fired, was within two feet

of her face when Vernon Newson pulled the trigger, and that deposited

the gun powder -- or burning small particles onto her face. Now when

you shoot someone in the face at close range that is without question an

Page 11 1008

act of ill will. That is an act with malice aforethought.

And you know from the testimony of Zarharia Marshall, the young woman who came in last week, what the Defendant's conduct was when he rolled up to St. Bar Court in order to abandon his child and deposit another child with Zarharia. He was in a hurry. He was fumbling around. And I submit to you know you now you the purpose of that. And that was to get out of town because the last place he ever wanted to be was sitting in this courtroom before you. He wasn't in some hurried state when he talked to Zarharia in order to get an ambulance to Anshanette or get help for her. He was worried about himself and getting out of town. He knew what he had done was a wrongful act.

Now the question in this case about whether or not the murder in this case is one of the -- is one of first or second degree is something that you'll obviously be consulting your instructions about. And in opening statements you heard discussion from defense counsel about how this crime actually involved a lack of planning. And I'd like you to sort of keep that in your -- in the back of your mind as we discuss the law in Nevada as to what constitutes first degree murder.

Now in other states the degrees of murder are defined differently. There's first degree, second degree, third degree. In Nevada there's two degrees of murder. There's first degree and there's second degree. And before you came in and sat as jurors, you might've had in your mind a conception of what first degree murder is. But the law that you're to apply in this case is in that packet of instructions that the -- that the judge gave you. That is the law that you took an oath to follow in this

Page 12 1009

case. And what is not in any of those instructions, despite what we heard in opening statements from the defense, is a requirement of planning. You can read all through those murder instructions and planning, or the word plan, is not in there because a plan, or planning, is not a requirement of first degree murder.

This is the definition of first degree murder. Murder of the first degree is murder which is perpetrated by means of any kind of willful, deliberate and premeditated killing. All three elements, willfulness, deliberation and premeditation must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt before an accused can be convicted of first degree murder. Planning's not in there. What is in there though is the murder has to be willful; the murder has to be deliberate, and the murder has to be premeditated. Those three things have to be present in order for there to be a conviction or someone to be held responsible for first degree murder.

Now the definition of willfulness is fairly short. Willfulness is simply the intent to kill. And the instruction goes on and states there need be no appreciable space of time between the formation of the intent to kill and the act of killing. So all that's required for the willfulness part of those three is an intent to kill. And what do we have in this case? Well, we have someone who was shot in the face and shot in other parts of her body. When you shoot someone in the face, or in the head, you're not trying to start a conversation with them; you're not trying to have a discussion with them; you're not trying to remind them of anything. When you shoot someone and pull a trigger and fire a bullet into the body of

Page 13 1010

another human being you are trying to kill them. There is no other expectation or any kind of reasonable interpretation of that type of act.

Now let's talk about deliberation, which is the second requirement of first degree murder, and this is also obviously defined in your packet. Deliberation is the process of determining upon a course of action to kill as the result of thought, including weighing the reasons for and against the action in considering the consequences of the action. A deliberate determination may be arrived at in a short period of time, but in all cases the determination must not be formed in passion, or if formed in passion it must be carried out after there has been passion -- or after there has been time for the passion to subside and deliberation to occur. A mere unconsidered and rash impulse is not deliberate, even though it includes the intent to kill.

So this second requirement of first degree murder is deliberation. And there is some mention of the word passion in this instruction. And it tells you that the intent to kill must not be formed in passion, or if it was, was there time for the passion to subside before the killing occurred.

Now in this case we know that Vernon Newson and Anshanette McNeil were in a relationship. And we're not suggesting to you that there wasn't something that was said, or something that happened in that car between the two of them that was upsetting to the Defendant; right? I mean, he didn't plan this murder for days and days. There was something that happened in the car. But his anger, or his getting upset, really isn't the end of the inquiry. You have to look at how

the murder was accomplished. And the question is not whether or not he was angry or not. The question is did he make a choice to kill her? Was killing her an intentional act or a rash impulse?

Now in order to answer that question it's probably a good idea to review the steps and the actions that he had to take in order to accomplish that killing. And you, of course, I'm sure remember that the way they were seated in the car is an important factor in making that determination. He was in the front seat driving the car and she was sitting in the back seat with the kids. And the shots, we know there were at least three, although there's three more casings in that car suggesting there were six, but we know there were at least three shots fired in that car. And you could see those trajectory rods when the detective testified yesterday; the three shots that went into the back seat and then into the cargo area of the vehicle.

So let's give him the benefit of the doubt that there were only three shots fired in that car. He was driving a car before those shots were fired and she's sitting in the back with two kids. So at some point, as he's driving, he had to make a decision to get a gun that was probably in the car. So somehow he made a decision to get a gun as he's driving. And then he would've had to make a decision about whether or not he was going to stop that car, or shoot her as the car was still moving, because we know -- we don't know really whether or not he's stopped or not before the shots in the car were fired. But we know he did make some decision and those shots were fired at some point. A decision -- those are two decisions easily made by the Defendant in this case.

We also know that he probably shot those shots over his shoulder. This is the driver's seat; that's the passenger -- or the back driver's seat where Anshanette was sitting. So he had to turn, and aim, and fire in order to hit her. That was another intentional act on the part of the Defendant in this case that demonstrates deliberation. And we know from the blood in the back seat of the car that she was injured as a result of his actions, but he made a choice to continue.

Now you also know that at some point that car had to stop because more shooting occurs on the part of Vernon Newson. So he had to decide to pull over. And what was the purpose of pulling over for Vernon Newson at that point? Well, it was to kill her. And then he had to get out of the car himself. And why is he getting out of the car? To kill her. He had to remember to take the gun with him as he got out of the car. And why is he taking that gun with him? To kill her. And then he had to get her out of the car as well. And you know from the blood on the -- part of the seatbelt in the back seat that she was injured. And so she was likely in a seatbelt at the time he pulled her out of the car. And he had to deliberately and intentionally get her out of that car because it was his intent to shoot her some more. That's deliberation.

And you also know from the pictures taken at the scene that her clothing -- this is a piece of her shirt, but there was also a piece of her coat as well, that there was some kind of struggle on her part once she is out of the car, or she's moving around or something and he overpowered her, or he somehow gets the better of her, which wouldn't be too hard because she's already been shot at this point, but those type of actions

Page 16 1013

show decision making, a choice to kill on his part.

And lastly, you know from at least one of the blood stains at the scene that she had to be bleeding in this area. And then there was another bullet strike into the pavement that indicates that he shot her when she's already down. That is a deliberate act to kill. None of that shows any kind of rash inference. In fact, given how much time and effort this took, it's impossible for this to have been a rash -- an impulsive act. He made a choice to kill her and that's what he did.

Now the third element of first degree murder is premeditation. Premeditation is defined as -- premeditation is a design, a determination to kill, distinctly formed in the mind by the time of the killing.

Premeditation need not be for a day, an hour, or even a minute. It may be as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind. For if the jury believes from the evidence that the act constituting the killing has been proceeded by, and has been the result of premeditation, no matter how rapidly the act follows the premeditation, it is premeditated.

And the premeditation instruction, or when you think of the word premeditation, it does sort of without that definition imply, or suggest, or sometimes conjure up for people the idea of planning. But when you read through that instruction the word planning isn't in there. Premeditation isn't a plan; it is simply a decision. A decision that's made before the time of the killing. And as the instruction tells you, it may not be for a day, an hour, or even a minute. It's simply a decision to kill before the killing takes place.

So if I wanted to kill someone and I do it six months from now,

Page 17 1014

that is certainly a premeditated murder. If I wanted to kill someone and I do it a day from now, that is a premeditated murder. If I do it an hour after I decided, it is a premeditated murder. If I do it a minute, or a second after I decide to do it, that is a premeditated murder. It is as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind. That is the law in the state of Nevada.

What do we know happened to Anshanette? Well, Vernon Newson shot her not just once; he shot her multiple times. And he shot her -- these are the casings in the car. He shot her in the car and then he pulls her out and he shoots her again on the side of the road. Now you could maybe argue that if you shoot someone one time you really hadn't made the decision to kill. It was something that you did, which would be hard given how they're positioned in this car. But let's just say you could maybe shoot someone one time and the suggestion could be made that a decision had not been made to kill. But she's not shot one time as you know. She's shot over, and over, the made a decision to kill obviously before he did it.

Now Counts 2 and 3, as I mentioned at the beginning, concern the counts of child abuse for the two children that were in the car. And that crime is defined as a person who willfully causes a child who is less than 18 years of age to suffer unjustifiable physical pain or mental suffering as a result of abuse or neglect, or to be placed in a situation where the child may suffer physical pain or mental suffering as the result of abuse or neglect is guilty of child abuse, neglect or endangerment. At

Page 18 1015

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

this point you know that those two very, very young children were in the back of that car. This is the one -- two year old's car seat. That was still in the car. And Vernon, Jr.'s car seat was brought into the house with Zarharia. And this is where their mother was sitting at the time she got shot in front of them in an enclosed space. Those bullets would've been loud and frightening to those children. Seeing their mother injured would've caused mental suffering. And they also would've been afraid for their own safety in that setting. Certainly shooting those kids in that -- or shooting the mother of those kids in that confined space is something that amounts to a -- an act that inflicts mental suffering on those two very small children.

Now Anshanette McNeil, like all of us, you know, wasn't a perfect person. And her life gets cut short on just -- on a regular night. I mean, and she's doing regular things at the time it happens. She's gotten fast food. She's getting ready to drop her kids off at a babysitter. And nothing momentous, or out of the ordinary, or striking happens other than a possible disagreement in a car and she ends up shot multiple times, dead at the side of the road. She did nothing to warrant any of this. There was some sort of thing that upset him in that car and he ended it, whatever the disagreement was, by killing her.

But he doesn't get the last word in a courtroom, you do. By your verdict, you get the last word. And the last word in the verdict should be something that reflects equal and exact justice. That's in your instructions. And equal and exact justice is not a compromise. He should be held accountable for the full extent of what he did. And what

Page 19 1016

.

he did in this case was commit the crime of first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon and two counts of child abuse.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Weckerly.

Gentlemen. Mr. Bashor or Mr. Shaygan.

MR. BASHOR: Thank you, Your Honor.

CLOSING ARGUMENT BY THE DEFENSE

BY MR. BASHOR:

The State has proven beyond a reasonable doubt that man's a murderer. You're angry; you're upset; you've seen things you didn't want to see, so we're done. I should go sit down; right? Wrong. We've voir dired on this. The folks that are sitting in this jury box are capable of making distinctions along that spectrum. Each one of you answered in the affirmative.

You're also allowed to be angry and upset. You should be. You know why? You're human beings. We are going to ask you, and are asking you, to convict him of murder. He will pay as a murderer, but that's not the end of the story. That's not we're done. The law makes some very important distinctions. The verdict may never be influenced by sympathy, prejudice or public opinion. You could have those emotions, in fact you better have those emotions, but that sympathy you feel for Anshanette, it's natural and should exist, can't overwhelm your ability to take the law that's literally in your hands right now and apply it to the facts. Your decision should be the product of sincere judgment and sound discretion in accordance with these rules of law. Sound discretion.

As you'll see throughout my presentation here I have the

Page 20

Instruction number. Sometimes I will have the entire instruction up there. That's not because I'm trying to hide the ball from you. Please read the instructions in their entirety. Please take your time to deliberate and look at them. Just as the State has, and I'm sure will in rebuttal make emphasis of certain instructions, I will too. In the end, what we're going to ask you to do is convict this murderer of second degree murder because the State has not met its burden that this was a premeditated, willful and deliberate killing. This is a second, not a first.

Those instructions that you literally have in your hands, that's what this case is about right here. You might've been asking yourselves why aren't they asking very many questions? Because the facts are the facts. They've proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Vernon is a murderer and he's going to pay for that. That's why we didn't ask a lot of questions. The question now for you is what kind of murder did he commit?

You know, I don't run the justice system. And it's probably a good reason that these instructions are read at the end. But this is the kind of case where it sure would've been nice for the defense to have them read at the beginning to give context. But it's designed for that reason. You should be soaking in the facts, taking them, making note of them without the bias of an instruction. But now I'm going to ask you folks to go back there and take the law, take your notes, take what you observed and heard and apply the facts to the law.

That law in your hands, those are the rules. That's your recipe. That's your guide. That's your atlas to apply the facts. None of

Page 21 1018

you should get back there and say, you know what, the law should be X. We're in Judge Herndon's house. He's told you what the law is. It's in black and white in your hands. Things like I don't care, he's a horrible person. Call your fellow juror on that. You have to care about what the law says and how it applies to these facts.

Again, I'm not trying to hide the ball. You'll see me go over some of the instructions. It's also not designed to patronize you. I know that Judge Herndon has read them to you. Ms. Weckerly has read some of them to you. I'm going to read some to you. I'm sure Mr. Portz is going to read some to you. I think that the reason for that is because they're so darn important.

Instruction 8. Remember, a first degree murder requires willfulness, and deliberation, and premeditation. This isn't pick one and let's go home. All three must be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Willfulness is the intention to kill. This was an intentional killing. Okay, so they got willfulness. But just because it's an intentional killing that does make it a murder, but it's a second, not a first. If that's all they've proven beyond a reasonable doubt -- if they have not proven premeditation and deliberation beyond a reasonable doubt, but they have proven willfulness, it's a second, not a first.

And this is where the argument really is, deliberation.

Weighing the reasons for and against the actions and considering the consequence of the actions. That's in Instruction 10. When was there time to consider the consequences? What did we hear? And I'm going to talk about time a lot. And in the deliberation instruction time is actually

Page 22 1019

extremely important. You'll hear successive thoughts of the mind, for instance, in the premeditation instruction. Time is important because if the intent to kill, the willfulness is formed in passion, it must subside in order for premeditation and deliberation and for the actual crime for deliberation to occur.

Irrationables [sic], you're going to hear that a lot in the next few minutes. What did we hear from Ms. Hall? We heard that there were several eyewitnesses. You heard from one. That one witness said the following: I heard shots [clapping] rapid succession. No one group of shots, pause, second group of shots. And immediately after hearing the successive shots she hears doors close and the car speed off immediately. She said it happened in a matter of seconds. When was there time to weigh the consequences of the action? What proof has the State provided you that he did weigh the consequences of his actions? Remember, it's their burden.

Assumptions, and there were a lot made in the first summation that we're going to go over, aren't enough. That's not even close to evidence. You're going to be asked what makes more sense given the circumstances. And I think that the State had to logically concede that in all likelihood there was an argument in that car. And in all likelihood Mr. Newson, Vernon, got very angry and acted out of passion, not cold blood. Cold blood, when we talk about that, is not necessarily a conduct. It's what's up here. Whats inside someone's head. Cold, calculated.

We don't check our common sense at the door. You must bring to the consideration of the evidence your everyday common sense

Page 23 1020

and judgment as reasonable men and women. Use of your common experience when applying that to the facts. And I ask you, does this make sense? Does it make sense that he's weighing the consequences and decides to kill while driving in a car, on a busy freeway on-ramp, to kill in front of his children? If anything, that suggests he's not weighing the consequences of his actions. He's acting out of anger.

We don't have any evidence. In fact, the evidence suggests the contrary. Things happened so quickly, and so fast, that he acted out of anger and he intentionally killed her. That makes him a murderer. No one's saying this is get out of jail free time. It's just not premeditated and deliberate. A deliberate determination must not be formed in passion. And the evidence and the reasonable inferences you could make will suggest that it was formed in passion.

We talked about the rapid shots. Remember, more bullets were fired than actually struck Anshanette. We heard that this happened in a matter of seconds. We heard it was likely not even his gun. The State went on and on about well there was a 9mm over here, and there was a 9mm over there. Who told you that Anshanette had a 9mm registered in her name? It's her gun. Formed in passion. Look at the environment. A premeditated and deliberate killing. You're in a car. This is your child's mother. Kids are in the car. You're in an on-ramp. And he had no reason other than anger.

We heard that they were constantly arguing back and forth; right? That was day in and day out. Zarharia knew them for I believe about a year. Check your notes, could've been a little less. Certainly

Page 24 1021

knew the both of them since Major was born because she babysat. And she said, day after day these two were like oil and water. That's what these two were. That doesn't mean Anshanette deserved to die that day; right? It just means you got to consider whether or not he's weighing the consequences of his actions or not when he's constantly arguing with her. And I think we can agree in common sense that we get angriest at the people we love because we're emotional.

What went on in that car? Well, I -- you know, I agree with the State that, in their characterization, that anger is what makes the most sense here. We also have something weird; right? Zarharia didn't know that Anshanette used drugs at all. But we find something really weird in the toxicology. And I don't say this because I want to beat up on Anshanette. Anshanette deserves to be here right now. But she has a load of meth in her system. And we know that the reactions and the symptoms of somebody with meth, they are variable, and you heard examples of the erratic behavior that could -- that could happen. But at the very least, it sounds like there are two people in that car that are not of right mind.

How do we know what went on in that car. Now Vernon's not Shakespeare. His eloquence isn't going to be very exact, but to Zarharia, just no mother fuckers took me to a point where I can't take it no more. Anger formed in passion.

Now there was no time for the passion to subside. We heard about the quickness. If the deliberate determination is formed in passion it must be carried out after there is time for the passion to subside and

Page 25 1022

deliberation to occur. When did that happen? The one eyewitness we've 1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

heard from, that doesn't happen. And remember what the State told you, and told you today as well, we're making some assumptions here and some leaps. Vernon got out of the car, stood over Anshanette and shot her multiple times. What evidence, and I mean evidence, do you have of this? Well, there's shell casings outside the car; right? We know that. We hear that there's a possibility of two doors being closed; right? And we know about the bullet strikes outside the vehicle. All of those things could still happen within the car, every single one. Obviously the door was open. She was trying to get away. No witness and no evidence has been shown to you that he every got out of the car. And the reason they want you to have him out of the car is because that's where the deliberation occurs in their mind.

So, he got out of the car in opening, stood over her and shot her multiple times. And this was the motion, hand pulling a trigger towards the ground, perpendicular. State's Exhibit 35, what's the problem here? The problem is wouldn't all the strikes be in extremely close proximity to one another. We heard 6 feet, maybe 10 feet. You know, 4 inches in that placard. You have a diagram if you're good at math, right, to get an exact number. But if you're standing over, as alleged in opening statement, and firing bullets into her, the bullet strikes shouldn't be all over the place. It should be one on top of one another. And wouldn't all the strikes be in the pool of blood because you're standing over her.

What angle were these bullets fired? We know that no testing

1023 Page 26

was done, or no analysis was done, if tests even exist; right? Look at the cell phone. That was a weird way the bullet went through that, right? On a plane. In all likelihood Mr. Newson didn't get out of that car because the evidence doesn't exist that he did. It doesn't add up; doesn't match.

And in addition to the physical evidence, the picture, and the fact that, you know, the witness didn't see if any individual was out the car. She did hear a door or doors close. We got to give them that, right? That's what she said she heard. But it is clear that Vernon did not stand over her and shoot her multiple times.

You heard that there were several eyewitnesses. Only one testified. Couldn't tell you -- Ms. Hall couldn't tell you if anyone was out of the car. She heard doors closing.

Shots in the back. We didn't hear -- we knew there was some shots. Entrance wounds in the back; right? We heard about that. We don't know if that occurred when she was standing on the ground, none of that. We didn't hear about any breaks in the shots. Under the State's assumption, which I will submit to you is an absolute assumption, you would've heard pop, pop, pop [pause] pop, pop, pop, pop. That's not what the testimony was. That's not what the evidence shows. Testimony is evidence, folks, not just pictures.

His passion never subsided. He didn't have time to weigh the consequences of his actions. That doesn't mean he gets to -- you know, that's it. He's a murderer. He'll pay. It's just not a first degree murder.

Time is critical to deliberation. Now, as I've told you, you're going to see things in those instructions about time. That things could

Page 27 1024

happen very quickly and that's -- and that's the law. That's there. But in deliberation the law does not undertake to measure in units of time the length of the period during which the thought must be pondered before it can ripen into an intent to kill which is truly deliberate and premeditated. The time will vary with different individuals and under varying circumstances. Circumstances, folks. Circumstances that we know that are supported by evidence adduced in this courtroom. So -- and this is where -- I mean, the disagreements could not be bigger. If time's not important, why is the State trying to slow everything down?

Okay, decision to get a gun. We don't know where the gun was; right? Should I stop or shoot while I'm moving? Well, that's an assumption. How do we know the -- that there wasn't a pull -- he was pulled over, argument, argument, argument gets worse, anger, in passion, reacts, kills. But now we're assuming that he's sitting there going well, should I kill her while we're driving or should I stop? What evidence is there? There's no evidence of that. What are we talking about?

Should I continue driving or stop? How do we know the car isn't already stopped? You have to decide to pull over. Well, yes, the car was pulled over. We don't know if the decision to pull over was midshots or not. The biggest assumption is that he got out of the car. But these are these blocks that were just listed for you to describe the deliberation that occurred in this case. They're assumptions; it's not evidence.

Get her out of the car, a seat belt. How do we know she didn't

Page 28 1025

unfasten her own seat belt trying to get the heck out of there to avoid
being struck by bullets? Then there's this struggle -- this power struggle.
And our great evidence of this is a torn piece of her coat. How do we
know the paramedic didn't do that trying to save her life? We're making
leaps here to try to establish deliberation that didn't exist because he
didn't have time. Anger doesn't excuse him from being a murderer.
Passion doesn't excuse him from being a murderer, but
he's not a first degree killer.

A cold, calculated judgment. Remember, up here, cold, calculated. A mere unconsidered and rash impulse, even though it includes the intent to kill, is not deliberation and premeditation as will fix the unlawful killing as murder of the first degree. Right, because it's murder of the second degree.

We also know -- and I agree that this can be interpreted two different ways; right? Vernon's behavior in front Zarharia, the State could be absolutely right; right? He wanted to get the hell out of there and that would account for his franticness, his nervousness, his hurried behavior. I get it. That's not anywhere near some of the assumptions that were made about deliberation. But just as likely was he never even calmed down. He was still angry, and frantic, hurried. Maybe it's a combination of both. A mere unconsidered and rash impulse if not deliberate, even though it includes the intent to kill. This was rash impulse. This was anger, this was a fight, an argument. This is what all the evidence suggests.

So what is an intentional killing without deliberation?

Page 29 1026

Premeditation is a design, a determination to kill, distinctly formed in the mind at the time of the killing is Instruction 11. Everything about this case suggests a lack of design; the ball, the gin joints, on-ramp, nighttime, in front of the kids. An intentional killing without premeditation is [defense displays PowerPoint slide to jury].

We don't just assume that Vernon was cold and calculated.

The circumstances of this killing, the environment in which this killing occurred, that defines whether or not he was cold and calculated.

Evidence is important in this case. This is not enough to get it done for a first degree. If this was an unconsidered and rash impulse, which I suggests to you all of the evidence points to, this is a second, not a first.

Motive, and here's where it's going to make the most sense. What else can we look to that makes us know this was a second and not a first? Do not confuse intent with motive. Motive is what prompts a person to act. Intent refers only to the state of mind in which the act was done. Motive is not an element of the crime. I'm not saying they have to prove to you motive, but the instructions say you can consider it.

THE COURT: Mr. Bashor, can I interrupt you for a minute?

Hey, everybody in the audience, I'm getting real tired of seeing people getting up and moving around while these folks are trying to make their arguments to the jury; okay? So cut it out. If anybody needs to leave, leave now, but don't come back in.

After -- after this person's gone, JR, lock the door.

THE MARSHAL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It's incredibly disrespectful. Or after both of

Page 30 1027

these folks are gone lock the door, please. After all three of them are gone lock the door, please.

Mr. Bashor, I apologize to you, sir.

MR. BASHOR: No, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You shouldn't have been interrupted like that.

MR. BASHOR: No, no. I understand. I think we all understand.

BY MR. BASHOR:

Okay. Look -- remember, I'm not saying the State has to prove motive. It's not an element of the crime. The instructions tell you so; okay, folks? But you may consider evidence and motive, or lack of motive, as a circumstance in this case. So why? Why? Why then? Why there? Why at that moment? Why under those circumstances? Those why questions don't give you premeditation and deliberation; they give you is anger, rash impulse. All of those questions point to a second degree.

The State, he's a cold-blooded killer. Well, if we're going to assume that everyone is cold blooded we don't need second degree murder in Nevada or anywhere else. We're just going to assume he's cold blooded because we say so. That's not enough. Cold blooded and calculated. We don't assume here. Is he cold because of the number of shots? Is he cold because the kids were present? I would submit to you that the answer to those questions are why -- exactly why this wasn't premeditated and deliberated because it doesn't make any sense under these circumstances to commit a deliberate and premeditated killing.

1 2 3

5

What makes sense is that this is an unconsidered rash impulse which makes this a [defense displays PowerPoint slide to jury]. Remember, first degree murder requires all three. I give them willfulness. He intended to kill.

as to whether or not this killing was premeditated and deliberate. What

do you do? You turn to those instructions. If you are convinced beyond

a reasonable doubt that the crime of murder has been committed by the

Defendant, yes, but you have a reasonable doubt whether such murder

So, you're back there and you have some reasonable doubt

was of the first or second degree, you must give the Defendant the benefit of that doubt and return a verdict of murder of the second degree.

Assumptions are reasonable doubt as to premeditation and deliberation.

12

14

15

And if you have those reasonable doubts remember your atlas, your guide, your template, because it tells you what to do. We are asking you to convict him of murder. He will pay because he should. This is a

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

second and not a first.

You know, the reason why the State gets the final say is because I would hope to think that the system that you got to witness firsthand here shows how truly great it is. We weren't binding of each other's feet, no fistfights. These are colleagues in the law. And I think we were able to demonstrate that our system can work fairly civilly. And so there are some things about our system that are just as great and beautiful; right? There is beyond a reasonable doubt. There is the presumption of innocence, although he's not sitting there innocent. We told you that. But the accuser is the prover [sic] in this courtroom and in

Page 32 1029

every courtroom across the country. That's why they get to go last
because they have the burden of proof. I submit to you that he will do an
eloquent job as he has throughout this entire trial. But the State will not
be able to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that Vernon acted in a
premeditated and deliberate way that night. And for that reason I'd ask
that you return a verdict of second degree murder. Thank you for your
attention.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bashor.

Mr. Portz.

MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

REBUTTAL BY THE STATE

BY MR. PORTZ:

Folks, there is only one type of murder when a man shoots a woman in the back seat of a car when she's trapped between her children and a door. There's only one degree of murder when he rips her out of that car leaving her shoes behind, throwing her barefoot into the street and shooting her six more times. There's only one degree of murder in this case and that's first degree murder. And nothing that Mr. Bashor just told you in his closing argument, respectfully, drops that from anything lower than second degree murder. They're asking you to find him guilty of murder; we are too, but we're asking you to find him guilty of the murder he committed which is first degree murder.

Now your task in this case at the beginning was to determine whether the Defendant was guilty, one, of murder, and two, of two counts of child abuse and neglect. That job has become significantly easier as

Page 33 1030

this trial has progressed. At this point in time you didn't even hear the defense contesting the child abuse and neglect charges, so you could check those off as guilty for all the reasons that Ms. Weckerly put forth, and for all the reasons why the evidence shows so overwhelmingly and how abundantly clear it is that when he murdered their mother -- when he first started the process of the murder by shooting at her -- six times inside that car shooting at her with those children just inches away inside a confined space that there was clearly child abuse and neglect.

So what has your task come down to? Did the Defendant commit murder? Well, he's already conceded. It's been no surprise. The State has put on an overwhelming amount of evidence of the fact that he murdered Anshanette McNeil on the evening of December 13th, 2015. So all that it comes down to at this point is whether or not it's first degree murder or second degree murder.

Now, Mr. Bashor made reference a few times to the State not meeting its burden proving those three additional prongs of murder; right? So you have murder. It has to be willful, premeditated and deliberate in this case. Ms. Weckerly went through all the many, many reasons why the evidence that has been presented to you shows that this was willful, premeditated and deliberate under the law. Maybe not as we originally come to the courtroom and thinking of things like premeditation and deliberation, but clearly under the law as it's spelled out for you, and the facts and evidence presented to you this week, it was -- it was willful, premeditated and deliberate.

But Mr. Bashor suggested that the State has not met its

1 burden. And what is our burden? It's the burden of beyond a reasonable 2 3 5 6 7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

doubt. Instruction 21 tells you. And if I asked you to define reasonable doubt in your own mind that might be a difficult concept to put into words; right? You don't have to do that because Judge Herndon did it for you. A reasonable doubt must be one based on reason, not mere possible doubt, and it cannot be doubt based on mere possibility or speculation. That's the burden here. It's not some pie in the sky unobtainable standard. And you are not to speculate as to possible reasons of doubt that may have been suggested to you by the defense in their closing argument.

So let's go through those three prongs, willfulness, premeditation and deliberation, understanding that this is the burden -this is the law that you -- the burden that you have sworn to hold us by. And before we get into the evidence, let's discuss what evidence you are to consider.

Instruction 31, the oath that you have taken as jurors is that you will be governed solely by the evidence that has been presented to you. You're not to speculate as to what may or may not have occurred when there is no reasonable inference to be drawn from the evidence that's been presented.

What is the evidence that you can consider? Testimony. Testimony of witnesses, exhibits, and other facts that the parties stipulated to. That's what you are to consider when you go back to deliberate what -- and place the law against the facts.

So we'll start with murder in the first degree must be, one,

1032 Page 35

willful. Willfulness is simply an intent to kill. Mr. Bashor told you this was a willful murder -- a willful murder, so you can check that prong off your list. When you point a firearm at someone and pull the trigger you're expressing an intent to kill. When you point it at their head, at their body, at their back, at their neck, where all the areas Anshanette was shot, you're expressing a clear intent to kill. When you shoot someone eight separate times you're showing a clear intent to kill. This was without a doubt a willful murder.

Let's discussion deliberation for a moment. And this was the one that Mr. Bashor was really -- really harping on in his closing. And you've seen this. And you'll have this back there with you. And I'm not going to read the entire instruction to you again, but here's a key point, a key provision. A deliberate determination may be arrived at in a short period of time. And Mr. Bashor wants you to go back there and think that simply because this murder took place over a period of time it wasn't Vernon planning it out days, weeks, or hours ahead of time that it's not, for some reason, a deliberate.

Well, here's a good way to think about deliberation. This is something you do in your everyday life. You're driving to work, and on your way to work you're coming up to a major intersection and a light turns yellow. What do you do? Well, first, you look in front of you. Are there any cars in front of me that are going to slam on their brakes; do I need to be ready to stop? Then you look behind you. Is there anyone tailing me too close? If I slam on my brakes at this yellow light will they rear-end me? Then you think, well, I'm kind of late for work, maybe I

Page 36 1033

should go for it. And then you look at the intersection to see if there are any police officers, if there's a lot of traffic. And then you just decide one way or another you either stop or you go. That's deliberation. You deliberated in that period of time. And how quickly do you do that every day? Like that [snapping fingers]. You assess and weigh all those consequences and circumstances of your actions and you make a decision. That's the amount of time you need to deliberate. It does not need to be Vernon Newson pulling over the car and then looking up to the sky wondering to himself, hmm, do I want to kill her or not? Okay, I'm going to decide to do this and then grab a gun and shoot her. That's not necessary, folks. Deliberation can happen that quick [snapping fingers]. The law does not require anything more.

And what you have of evidence of his deliberation is the facts that Ms. Weckerly went over with you. It is clear that he has to at some point decide I'm going to pick up this gun. At some point he has to decide I'm going to turn around over my shoulder and fire off a number of rounds into the mother of my child, who is sitting next to my child, who is only eight months old. Then I have to decide at some point to stop this car to carry out my intent to kill. I have to get out. I have to rip her out of the car, bleeding, injured, defenseless, unable to run, unable to fight back, throw her to the ground and fire a few more shots into her. There is deliberation and evidence of deliberation in abundance.

The suggestion by the defense that to be deliberate thoughts cannot be formed out of a passion. That does not mean that simply because you're angry at someone -- and clearly no one's suggesting that

Page 37 1034

Vernon Newson wasn't angry. I'm sure he was angry about something. God knows what. Apparently they fight a lot. He doesn't shoot her every time they fight. Just because you get angry doesn't mean that you can't be guilty of first degree murder. People who commit first degree murder are often angry. It's their reason for hurting someone; it's their reason for trying to kill or for killing someone. They can be angry; they can be homicidal. Being angry does not preclude a conviction for first degree murder.

There was a reference by the defense to show that this was somehow enacted in a passion and that the Defendant had a few missed shots. Well, granted there were. That's what the evidence shows. There were more cartridge casings than there were injuries. Not many more, but there were more. It's not exactly easy to shoot someone sitting behind you inside a vehicle. And it's also not exactly easy to commit a murder, especially if someone's moving around, flopping, people get nervous. He let off a number of shots inside the car with the intent to kill. He stopped, pulled her out, and again, started that whole process over.

The defense has brought up time and again the fact that Anshanette McNeil had methamphetamine in her system. That tells you absolutely nothing -- absolutely nothing about what was happening at the time of this murder. It doesn't tell you how she was acting; doesn't tell you when or how she ingested any drugs; doesn't tell you whether or not she was high at the time. Why is it being brought up again, and again, and again? It's being brought up because he wants you to think that for some reason or another this person who you don't know -- the only thing

Page 38 1035

you know about is this person is how she spent the last hour of her life, that this person is a drug addict or uses drugs and is somehow unworthy of your protection, or that you should go back into your deliberation room and say, gee, what Vernon did was really cold blooded. I mean, that was awful what he did, shooting her like that inside the car next to her children and then ripping her out and shooting her again on the ground. That was really awful, but you know what? I don't really like Anshanette McNeil because the tox screen showed she had something in her system that I don't approve of, so I'm going to give him a second. Is that -- is that why we're bringing it up because it shows absolutely nothing about -- about what took place inside that car when Vernon Newson shot Anshanette McNeil. It is not a justification. And each of you as jurors stated that it would not be a justification for killing someone.

Additionally, I'd note, the suggestion that she had a high limit of this drug inside of her system. The medical examiner told you that the way that Vernon shot her -- how many times he shot her, and where he hit her, she had bled out so severely that they had to take samples from the liver to submit for these screens. And that these screens show an abnormally high amount of a drug present.

MR. BASHOR: Objection, Judge. I would say that that misstates the testimony.

MR. PORTZ: That -- Your Honor, that question was asked directly of the medical examiner.

THE COURT: Right. I know it was asked directly.

I'll just remind you, ladies and gentlemen, that the evidence is

Page 39 1036

BY MR. PORTZ:

21

22

23

24

25

Additionally, the suggestion that the Defendant was in a hurry when he got to Zarharia's house shows that he was under a passion when he committed this murder. It does not. His actions of getting that child -- those children out of the car, to leave them behind, is exactly what you would expect from someone who just committed a murder. It's self-preservation. He's going back to the house. He's relieving himself of baggage that could hold him back; he's getting rid of these kids. And he's loading the firearm in front of Zarharia because his plan is to shoot his way out of that city if he needs to and he's going to get the heck out of Dodge. That's evidence of consciousness of guilt, ladies and gentlemen. That is not evidence of a second degree murder.

what you understand and remember it to be. Obviously the attorneys are

evidence shows, but I'll just remind you that you determine those -- those

able in their closing arguments to reference things that they believe the

The defense says in their closing that the biggest assumption the State makes, and probably the most damning evidence, is that the Defendant got out of the car. That there was no evidence that he got out of the car to shoot Anshanette that second time. Are you kidding me? The evidence couldn't be more clear. She was clearly shot inside that vehicle multiple times from shots coming directly from the driver's seat, pointing straight back into where Anshanette was seated.

We had Janei Hall come and testify to you. And what did she testify to? That she heard shots, looked up and saw someone, Vernon

> 1037 Page 40

1	Newson, get into a car, close the door
2	MR. BASHOR: Objection, Judge. That's absolutely not the
3	testimony.
4	THE COURT: Well, approach the bench.
5	[Bench conference begins]
6	THE COURT: Go ahead.
7	MR. BASHOR: Judge, she testified that she heard two doors
8	close when I asked could you see individuals getting into the car? No.
9	Did you know if it was an individual or individuals? Answer: No.
10	THE COURT: I thought and again, look, I'm [indiscernible].
11	It may had been something that I read, but I thought she said she saw
12	somebody. I don't think she said she saw who got in the car, but I
13	thought she said she saw somebody outside the car.
14	MR. PORTZ: And that was recollection as well.
15	THE COURT: I don't know if anybody else has any we don't
16	have transcripts obviously, so I'm not in a position to say yeah or neah as
17	to that objection. I'll give them that same
18	MR. BASHOR: I won't interrupt again. I'll just
19	THE COURT: No, no, no. I know.
20	MR. BASHOR: Yeah.
21	THE COURT: You're more than appropriate. And sometimes
22	I'll remember exactly what was said. Sometimes I lack in the memory
23	MS. WECKERLY: I mean, she hears
24	THE COURT: exactly what was said.
25	MS. WECKERLY: doors slam. That's not

1	THE COURT: Right.
2	MS. WECKERLY: Anshanette doing that.
3	MR. BASHOR: And that's perfectly
4	THE COURT: Well, that's not what his objection is.
5	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
6	MR. BASHOR: Yeah, that's perfectly allowable. I mean, the
7	inference could go the other way; right?
8	MR. PORTZ: I mean, my recollection is that someone got in
9	and took off is what she said.
10	MR. BASHOR: I would I would just ask that you do the
11	THE COURT: Well, just kind of confine it to the at the very
12	least, she heard doors shut. Meaning somebody was
13	MR. BASHOR: Right.
14	THE COURT: outside a car and got back into a car.
15	MR. PORTZ: Okay.
16	THE COURT: That's the cleanest way to argue that.
17	MR. PORTZ: Okay.
18	MR. BASHOR: Thank you, Judge. Yeah.
19	[Bench conference concludes]
20	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Portz, you can go ahead.
21	MR. PORTZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	BY MR. PORTZ:
23	And Ms. Hall told you that she heard the door shut. What
24	does that tell you? Someone was outside the car; someone had to get
25	inside the car, and then the car drove off at that moment. So there's

absolutely no doubt when you look at where, one, the bullets were flying from inside the car; two, the fact that bullets were striking down to the ground in the area, in the vicinity around Anshanette's body, that there were two separate shootings. And that comports with the fact that she testified only hearing a rapid number of fired shots all at once because she doesn't hear the shots that are fired inside the car at first. It's inside a car; it's very far away. It's in the middle of the night. You're not expecting or thinking to be listening for something like that. What she hears are the rapid fire shots. And she told you she heard a significant number, about -- I believe, six or seven, but you're recollection will be the -- what it tells you what she testified to. And what do we have? Six shell casings outside the car; boom, boom, boom, boom, boom, boom. That's what happens after he gets outside of the car.

He was outside of the car, ladies and gentlemen. He had to drag Anshanette McNeil outside the car. Go back and look at those photos if you think that she just got of that car by herself because that's what they're suggesting to you. Those photos show a seat belt covered in blood suggesting what? Anshanette was still seat belted when she was first shot in the car. It shows blood drippings all by the seat belt cover. And it shows blood smears as though it were her hands on the door itself. The Defendant had to have pulled her out of that vehicle; had to have stopped and taken the time to deliberate, remove her from that vehicle and shoot her to death.

We're going to move finally on to the premeditation instruction.

And again, I've highlighted for you and underlined the portion of this

Page 43 1040

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

22

23

24

25

instruction because we all come into a courtroom with our different perceptions, our different beliefs of what different things mean. And premeditation in a murder, understandably you might think it's what you see in TV shows or movies where someone stalks someone, or plans murder for days on end, locates where they're going and where they'll be at a certain time and enacts their plan. Absolutely that's premeditation. But under the law, premeditation may be as instantaneous as successive thoughts of the mind. That's what we have here. That's exactly what we just discussed with the deliberation of how quickly one can come to the conclusion, or premeditate, or decide to kill.

It doesn't have to be something that is well thought out. Just because he decided at this point his decision, his design to kill, came about when they were on a highway does not reduce this from a first to a second degree murder, folks.

At the end of the day there is only [indiscernible] of murder, the facts of this case, and that's first degree murder with use of a deadly weapon. The Defendant's decisions have consequences and we're asking that you hold him accountable for what he did and find him guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Portz, thank you very much.

We're going to swear our officers to take charge of our jurors at this point.

[The court clerk swore in the officers to take charge of the jury during deliberations]

THE COURT: Okay. Folks, gather up all your belongings.

Page 44 1041

1	We're going to get you back to the deliberation room. I believe lunch is
2	here, so Molly's properly down getting all that together for you, so we'll
3	get that back there as well.
4	Our alternate is going to be Mr. Rivera, was picked as seat
5	number 6. So, Mr. Rivera, when you guys go back there my law clerk,
6	Katherine, is going to get some information from you. You're going to be
7	released right now. You're under the same admonition not to talk about
8	the case, not to do anything about the case until such time as we let you
9	know that the jury has finished their deliberations; okay?
10	Everybody else, we'll get you to the deliberation room. We're
11	going to get all the exhibits back there for you as well so you could start
12	your deliberations; okay? Thank you.
13	THE MARSHAL: All rise for the jury.
14	[The jury retired to deliberate at 12:58 p.m.]
15	[Outside the presence of the jury]
16	MR. BASHOR: Your Honor, if I could approach with a
17	THE COURT: Yeah.
18	MR. BASHOR: clean copy of my PowerPoint?
19	THE COURT: Yeah. Oh, yes. Thank you.
20	And do you have yours as well, Pam, or no?
21	MS. WECKERLY: I'll print it.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. You guys could also, if you want
23	just email it to Molly and she can print them out
24	MS. WECKERLY: Okay.
25	THE COURT: on anybody's. And just to be clear, since we

Page 45

1042

1	were kind of discussing that in hush tones at the bench, what I had said
2	about that last objection, and it kind of, you know, pertains to the earlier
3	one as well. Sometimes I can remember with great specificity what it
4	was a particular witness said about a particular issue. On an occasion
5	where I can't remember with specificity I'm always just going to try and
6	tell them make sure you relied on your own memory of what the evidence
7	was. On that particular thing with Ms. Hall, I thought I recalled her and
8	as I said at the bench, it could've been something I read, saying that she
9	saw somebody and then heard the door close after the shots were fired.
0	But I do remember what Ryan was saying was that I don't remember her
1	saying anything about I saw the people get in the car and leave. I just
2	thought she said she had seen somebody earlier, so, in any event
3	Anything else outside the presence that we need to put on the
4	record?
5	MS. WECKERLY: No, Your Honor.
6	MR. BASHOR: No. Thank you very much, Judge.
7	THE COURT: Okay. If you guys could just get your oh, you
8	got it already?
9	THE COURT CLERK: Mm-hmm.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Then I will keep you informed of what's
21	going on. Thank you.
22	///
23	///
24	///
05	

Page 46 1043

1	MR. PORTZ: Thank you.
2	MR. BASHOR: Thank you.
3	[Jury trial, Day 4 concluded at 1:00 p.m.]
4	* * * * *
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	ATTEST: I do hereby certify that I have truly and correctly transcribed the
22	audio/video proceedings in the above-entitled case to the best of my ability.
23	
24	Sanna A Prucknic SANDRA PRUCHNIC
25	SANDRA PRUCHNIC

Court Recorder/Transcriber

25

1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA
2	
3	VERNON NEWSON JR.,) No. 75932
4) Appellant,)
5)
6	v.)
7	THE STATE OF NEVADA,
8) Respondent.)
9	
10	APPELLANT'S APPENDIX VOLUME V PAGES 833-1044
11	PHILIP J. KOHN Clark County Public Defender 309 South Third Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 STEVE WOLFSON Clark County District Attorney 200 Lewis Avenue, 3 rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
12	Las Vegas, Nevada 89155-2610 Las Vegas, Nevada 89155
13	Attorney for Appellant ADAM LAXALT Attorney General 100 North Carson Street
14	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717
15	(702) 687-3538
16	Counsel for Respondent <u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
17	I hereby certify that this document was filed electronically with the Nevada
18	Supreme Court 24 on the day of October, 2018. Electronic Service of the foregoing
19	document shall be made in accordance with the Master Service List as follows:
20	ADAM LAXALT WILLIAM M. WATERS
21	STEVEN S. OWENS HOWARD S. BROOKS I further certify that I served a copy of this document by mailing a true and
22	correct copy thereof, postage pre-paid, addressed to:
23	VERNON NEWSON, #1051868
24	ELY STATE PRISON
25	P.O. BOX 1989 ELY, NV 89301
26	
27	BY /s/ Rachel Howard Employee, Clark County Public Defender's Office
28	