IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

9352 CRANESBILL TRUST; TEAL PETALS ST. TRUST; AND IYAD HADDAD,

Appellants,

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Respondent.

No. 76017

FILED

AUG 0 2 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This is an appeal from a district court order granting a motion for summary judgment in an action regarding real property. Our initial review of the docketing statement reveals potential jurisdictional defects. First, it does not appear that the district court has entered a final judgment appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1). See Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 426, 996 P.2d 416, 417 (2000) (defining a final judgment). It appears that the claims of Venise Abelard, as asserted in the second amended complaint, against Mesa Management, Fort Apache Square Homeowners Association, Las Vegas Association Management LLC, and Alessi & Koenig LLC remain pending in the district court because no written order resolves these claims. Second, assuming that the district court's June 19, 2018, order constitutes the final judgment in this matter, it appears that a timely motion for reconsideration of that order is pending in the district court. The motion appears to toll the time to file the notice of appeal such that the notice of appeal was prematurely filed. See NRAP 4(a)(4); AA Primo Builders v. Washington, 126 Nev. 578, 585, 245 P.3d 1190, 1195 (2010) (describing when a motion for reconsideration may be construed as a tolling motion).

Accordingly, appellant shall have 30 days from the date of this order to show cause why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🐠

18.29821

jurisdiction. In responding to this order, appellant should provide, in addition to points and authorities, copies of any district court orders resolving Abelard's claims. Respondent may file any reply within 11 days of service of appellant's response. We caution that failure to demonstrate that this court has jurisdiction may result in the dismissal of this appeal

Briefing of this appeal is suspended pending further order of this court.

It is so ORDERED.

_, C.J.

cc: Geisendorf & Vilkin, PLLC Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Tucson Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas Snell & Wilmer/Phoenix