## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JUSTIN ODELL LANGFORD,

Appellant,

vs.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

JUSTIN ODELL LANGFORD,

Appellant,

VS.

THE STATE OF NEVADA,

Respondent.

No. 75825

No. 76075

FILED

JUL 1 3 2018

CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER CONSOLIDATING APPEALS, REGARDING MOTIONS, AND RETURNING EXHIBITS

These appeals arise from the same district court case and involve the same parties. We conclude that in the interest of judicial economy, these appeals should be consolidated. Accordingly, we consolidate these appeals for all appellate purposes. See NRAP 3(b).

Appellant has filed motions for transcripts at state's expense. We decline to order the preparation of transcripts at this time. However, as these appeals proceed, we will consider the necessity of transcripts and may order their preparation at a later date. See NRAP 9(b)(1)(C). Further, we take no action in regard to the judicial notice filed in Docket No. 75825.

Appellant has also filed a pro se opening brief with exhibits in Docket No. 75825. We elect to construe the document as an informal brief. See NRAP 28(k). However, pro se parties are not permitted to file an

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

18-26801

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The record on appeal was filed on June 28, 2018, in Docket No. 76075.

appendix to their briefs unless ordered to do so by this court. See NRAP 30(i). Accordingly, the clerk of this court shall detach the exhibits from the brief, and return them, unfiled. Respondent need not file a response to the brief unless ordered to do so by this court. See NRAP 46A(c). This court generally will not grant relief without providing an opportunity to file a response. Id.

It is so ORDERED.

Dogles\_, c.J.

cc: Justin Odell Langford
Attorney General/Carson City
Clark County District Attorney